Roy Cooper Governer Elizabeth Biser Secretary Michael A. Abraczinskas Director



# DAQ-03-003.2 Standard Operating Procedure (SOP)

# For the Markes-Agilent GC-MS Analysis of Volatile Organic Compounds in Ambient Air Collected in 6-Liter Canisters

# North Carolina Division of Air Quality (DAQ)

**Operator Responsibilities** 



# 1.0 Approval Sign-Off Sheet

I certify that I have read and approve of the contents of DAQ-03-003.2 Standard Operating Procedure For the Markes-Agilent GC-MS Analysis of Volatile Organic Compounds in Ambient Air Collected 6-Liter Canisters, Operator Responsibilities, with an effective date of May 25, 2022.

#### **Director, Air Quality Division**

Mike Abraczinskas		
Signature: Michael Abraczinskas	Date:	5/23/2022
018110010100013LE00218423	Duter_	
Ambient Monitoring Section Chief		
Patrick Butler		
Signature: Patrick Butter	Date:_	5/23/2022
Laboratory Analysis Branch Supervisor		
Jim Bowyer, Environmental Program Supervisor	igned by:	
Signature:	, 20WyU/ <sub>1826098410</sub> Date:	5/23/2022
Projects and Procedures Branch Supervisor		
Joette Steger, Environmental Program Supervisor	DocuSigned by:	
Signature:	2.00 Hope 3278F8186CEC4DC	5/23/2022
Primary SOP Author		
Steven Walters, Chemist II		
Signature:	Date:	5/23/2022

Disclaimer:

This document, and any revision hereto, is intended solely as a reference guide to assist operators in the successful operation of VOC analysis equipment and VOC sampling media related to the North Carolina Division of Air Quality's (DAQ) Ambient Monitoring Program. Mention of products, services, or materials does not constitute endorsement or requirement for use by DAQ.

DAQ-03-003.2 Revision 0 05/25/2022 Page 3 of 46

# SOP Acronym Glossary

- AQS Air Quality System
- BFB Bromofluorobenzene
- COC Chain of Custody
- DAQ North Carolina Division of Air Quality
- °C Degrees Celsius
- EPA United States Environmental Protection Agency
- GC Gas Chromatograph
- inHg inches of mercury
- MDL Method detection limit
- MS Mass Spectrometer
- NATTS National Air Toxics Trends Station
- NIST National Institute of Standards and Technology
- ppb part per billion
- QA Quality assurance
- QC Quality control
- psig Pounds Per Square Inch Gauge
- RRF Relative Response Factor
- RT Retention Time
- SOP Standard operating procedure
- UAT Urban Air Toxics
- UHP Ultra High Purity
- USB Universal Serial Bus

# Table of Contents

1.0 Approval Sign-Off Sheet	2
SOP Acronym Glossary	3
2.0 SCOPE AND PURPOSE	6
3.0 INSTRUMENT CHECKS	7
3.1 List of Equipment and Materials	7
3.2 Markes Equipment Checks	7
3.3 Agilent GC-MS Checks	8
<ul> <li>3.3.1 GC-MS Tuning</li> <li>3.3.2 GC-MS Internal Standard</li> <li>3.3.2 GC-MS Cleanliness</li> <li>2.3.2 GC-MS Calibration</li> </ul>	8 9 9
3.3.4 GC-MS Calibration Verification and Continuing Calibration	9
3.3.5 VOC Compound List	. 10
3.4 Method Sensitivity	. 12
3.5 Canister Cleanliness	. 13
3.6 Sampler Cleanliness	. 13
4.0 STE CHECKS	. 13
5.0 DETAILED PROCEDURES	.13
5.1 Un-Sampled Canister Shipping	. 13
5.2 Sampled Canister Receiving	. 15
5.3 Canister Install on CIA Advantage Autosampler	. 15
<ul> <li>5.3.1 Field Sample Canisters</li> <li>5.3.2 Calibration Standard Canisters and Quality Control Canisters</li> <li>5.4 Analytical Sequences</li> </ul>	. 15 . 16 . 16
<ul> <li>5.4.1 Calibration Curve Sequences</li> <li>5.4.2 Field Sample Sequences</li> <li>5.4.3 Certification Sample Sequences</li> <li>5.4.4 Analysis Sequence Creation</li> <li>5.5 GC-MS Data Processing</li> </ul>	. 16 . 16 . 17 . 17 . 20
5.5.1 Processing a New Calibration Curve 5.5.2 Processing Samples Using an Existing Calibration Curve 5.6 Generating Run Folder Files for Calibration Curve and Field Sample Sequences	. 20 . 20 . 21
5.7 Generating Files for Certification Samples and Certification Sample Sequences	. 22
5.8 Quality Control Parameters and Summary Reports	. 23

DAQ-03-003.2 Revision 0 05/25/2022 Page 5 of 46

5.8.1 VOC Analysis QC Summary Report	. 26
5.8.2 Internal Standard Summary Report	. 28
5.8.3 VOC Canister COC Form QC Summary Report	. 30 30
6.1 Self Review (Level 1)	. 30
6.2 Peer Review (Level 2)	. 31
7.0 VOC FILE MANAGEMENT	. 31
7.1 GC-MS Instrument Files	. 31
7.2 VOC Canister COC Forms	. 32
7.3 QC Summary Reports and Data Review Checklists	. 32
8.0 QUALITY ASSURANCE FILES AND DATA HANDLING	. 32
8.1 VOC Standard Mix and Internal Standard Mix Certificates of Analysis Storage	. 32
8.2 GC-MS Instrument Logbook	. 32
8.3 Quarterly GC-MS Instrument Computer Backups	. 33
9.0 TROUBLESHOOTING AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS	. 33
9.1 GC-MS Instrument	. 33
9.2 GC-MS Support Modules (Markes Instruments)	. 33
9.3 Data Review Errors	. 34
10.0 REVISION HISTORY	. 34
11.0 REFERENCES	. 34
12.0 APPENDICES	. 35
12.1 VOC Canister Chain of Custody Form	. 35
12.2 GC-MS Results Report Example	. 36
12.3 DAQ-03-021 VOC Canister COC Form QC Summary Report	. 39
12.4 DAQ-03-022 System I VOC Analysis QC Summary Report (ICAL Summary Workbook)	. 40
12.5 DAQ-03-022 System I VOC Analysis QC Summary Report (Analysis Summary Workbook)	. 41
12.6 DAQ-03-022 System I VOC Analysis QC Summary Report (Retention Time Summary Workbook)	. 42
12.7 Internal Standard Summary Report	. 43
12.8 DAQ-03-020 Data Review Checklist	. 44

DAQ-03-003.2 Revision 0 05/25/2022 Page 6 of 46

# 2.0 SCOPE AND PURPOSE

The purpose of this Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) is to describe the steps followed by the Laboratory Analysis Branch (LAB) chemist to successfully analyze volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in ambient air samples collected in 6-Liter canisters.

#### Summary of Method:

Ambient air is collected in 6-Liter silica lined canisters using a Xontech 911 sampler. The sampling procedure for air samples is detailed in the Xontech 911 sampler SOP. All the operational procedures and sampling conditions for each sample are documented in the field using the chain of custody (COC) sampling information form. The COC form is generated at the LAB after a batch of canisters has been cleaned and certified. The form accompanies each canister to the field sample site. The field sampling information is recorded on the form and sent back to the VOC laboratory. The sample is then analyzed according to this document.

The ambient air samples are introduced into the analytical system from a 6-Liter silica lined canister with the aid of a mass flow controller (MFC) and a vacuum system. A digital readout attached to the MFC provides a visual indication of the proper sample flow during sampling. Automated sampling of up to 16 canisters can be accomplished using the system's multi-position stream selector valve.

The VOCs in the ambient air sample are concentrated for injection onto the gas chromatograph (GC) column by means of a Markes CIA Advantage and Markes Unity pre-concentrator using a micro-scale purge and trap method, and MARKES Kori Xr sample water management system.

The sample flows through the Kori Xr water management system and is slowly flushed with helium to deliver the VOC's to a second Tenax<sup>®</sup> trap which has been cooled to -30 °C, leaving most of the water behind. The second trap allows the CO<sub>2</sub> in the sample to pass through it and out to the pump. The VOCs are then thermally desorbed at 275 degrees Celsius (°C). The focuser is then rapidly heated to inject the sample, and the GC is started.

The sample mixture is separated into individual components by their interaction with the capillary column's stationary phase, using temperature-programmed gas chromatography. A single quadrupole mass spectrometer (MS) ionizes the eluting compounds, which are then detected, identified, and quantified. Identification of a compound in a sample is based upon both the retention time and the mass spectral matching. The response of the primary quantitation ion is used to quantify the peak of interest. Quantitation is accomplished via a six-point calibration curve. A standard of known concentration for TO-15 target compounds is prepared and introduced to the analytical system in concentrations of 0.2, 0.5, 1.0, 2.0, 5.0, and 10.0 ppb for the required points of the calibration curve. Unknown samples are analyzed in relation to this curve. Each sample is also analyzed with a known volume of an internal standard mix.

# 3.0 INSTRUMENT CHECKS

The following instrument checks are performed on the GC-MS instrument and support equipment.

# 3.1 List of Equipment and Materials

- GC-MS Instrument modules
- Computer and software
- 6 Liter (L) Silica Lined Canisters
- Wrenches, tools
- VOC COC forms
- Instrument logbook
- National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) traceable pressure gauge
- VOC Standard, Zero-Air, and Ultra High Purity (UHP) Nitrogen cylinders
- Entech Dilution System

#### 3.2 Markes Equipment Checks

The Markes software controls the Kori Xr water management system; the CIA Advantage autosampler tree; and the Ultra Xr thermal desorber.

- Check the pressure of the UHP nitrogen cylinder. The pressure should be ≥ 400 pounds per square inch gauge (psig).
- 2. Install a new cylinder of nitrogen if the pressure is below the limit.
- 3. Turn on each unit of the Markes Unity, i.e., Kori Xr, CIA Advantage, and the Ultra Xr.
- Click on the M TD MIC 2.0 to activate the <u>MARKES software control</u> window. The Markes Instrument Control window will open. <u>Workflow</u> will appear with *Method editor, Sequence, Sequence History.*
- 5. <u>Tools</u> window with *Instrument configuration, Setting, About*.
- 6. Instruments window will indicate *running or idle*.
- 7. See display on the Markes instrument control editor window to ensure that the MARKES Unity Xr is connected and ready. **Figure 1** below shows the Markes instrument control editor.



#### Figure 1: Markes instrument control editor

DAQ-03-003.2 Revision 0 05/25/2022 Page 8 of 46

## 3.3 Agilent GC-MS Checks

- 1. Check the carrier gas (helium) cylinder; the gauge pressure should be  $\geq$  400 psig.
- 2. Check the internal standard gas cylinder; make sure the tank contains ≥ 200 psig and the line pressure is set to 40 psig.
- 3. Load the method file which will contain the temperature program, carrier gas flow, and column type for the method.
- 4. See **figure 2** below showing the Gas Chromatograph Mass Spectrometer (GC-MS) instrument software control panel.



#### Figure 2: GC-MS Instrument Software Control Panel

#### 3.3.1 GC-MS Tuning

To ensure the Mass Spectrometer (MS) detector is properly tuned, 4-Bromofluorobenzene (BFB) is injected into the detector. The internal standard cylinder used by the lab contains the BFB tuning compound which means BFB is added with every injection. The results of the first injection of the method blank are used for tune evaluation. The following criteria must be met before the instrument can be calibrated and tuning criteria must be met prior to sample analysis.

Mass	Ion Abundance Criteria
50	15.0% to 40.0% of m/z 95
75	30% to 60% of mass 95
95	Base Peak, 100% Relative Abundance

#### Table 1: GC-MS Tuning Criteria

DAQ-03-003.2 Revision 0 05/25/2022 Page 9 of 46

Mass	Ion Abundance Criteria
96	5% to 9% of mass 95
173	≤2% of mass 174
174	50% to 100% of mass 95
175	5% to 9% of mass 174
176	95% to 101% of mass 174
177	5% to 9% of mass 176

#### Table 1: GC-MS Tuning Criteria

#### 3.3.2 GC-MS Internal Standard

An aliquot of an internal standard mix of Hexane-d14, 1,4-Difluorobenzene, Chlorobenzene-d5, and 4-Bromofluorobenzene is added to all GC-MS analysis injections. The internal standard is connected to the Markes system which also controls how much internal standard is added to the injection and when the internal standard is added to the injection. The results of the internal standard compound relative response factors (RRFs) measured with every injection are tracked to evaluate matrix effects of fieldcollected samples.

#### 3.3.2 GC-MS Cleanliness

The second injection of the method blank is used to assess overall background contamination contribution from the GC-MS, internal standards, and sample canisters. The method blank is made using a certified clean canister that is filled with humidified zero-air employed from the dilution system. The dilution system is used to generate GC-MS calibration standards and GC-MS calibration verification standards.

The method blank is analyzed twice at the beginning of each analytical sequence.

#### 3.3.3 GC-MS Calibration

A six-point instrument calibration (ICAL) curve is generated at the following nominal levels: **0.2ppb**, **0.5ppb**, **1.0ppb**, **2.0ppb**, **5.0ppb**, and **10.0ppb**. The best fit line applied to the calibration curve level results must be **linear** or **quadratic** and each calibration level must meet accuracy requirements.

#### 3.3.4 GC-MS Calibration Verification and Continuing Calibration

The GC-MS calibration verification is performed by analyzing a canister filled with a known amount of target VOCs made from the second source cylinder. This sample is analyzed immediately after calibration standard levels are injected and in the same analysis sequence. This sample is also analyzed at least once in analysis sequences that contain field collected samples. This quality control (QC) sample targets a nominal concentration in the mid-range of the calibration curve and measures overall calibration curve accuracy.

DAQ-03-003.2 Revision 0 05/25/2022 Page 10 of 46

Additionally, GC-MS calibration verification is performed by analyzing a canister filled with a known amount of target VOCs made from the primary source cylinder. This sample is also called the laboratory control sample. This QC sample is analyzed at the beginning and end of each analysis sequence that contains field collected samples. This QC sample targets a nominal concentration in the lower-third range of the calibration curve and measures overall calibration curve accuracy and overall GC-MS precision for all target VOCs.

# 3.3.5 VOC Compound List

The VOC compounds analyzed by the laboratory are listed in **Table 2**. Bold font compound names indicate Core I and target proficiency testing (PT) compounds for the National Air Toxics Trends Station (NATTS) network.

Compound names in italic font and underlined are not reported to the Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA's) air quality system (AQS) using the VOC analysis method. Acetone, 1-butanol, isopropyl alcohol, ethanol, acetaldehyde, hexanal, n-butanal, and propanal are not reported to AQS due to persistent issues exhibited by the GC-MS instrument for these compounds.

Acetaldehyde, hexanal, n-butanal, and propanal are collected, analyzed, and reported using AQS method 202 (Carbonyls by TO-11A).

All VOCs in the calibration standard mix and the calibration verification standard mix are listed in **Table 2**. Additionally, the primary and secondary qualifier ions are listed for reference.

	Calibration Range		Qualifier Ions	;
	Lower Limit	Upper Limit		
Peak Table	(ppb)	(ppb)	Primary	Secondary
1,1,1-Trichloroethane 43814	0.2	10.0	97	99, 61
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane 43818	0.2	10.0	83	85
1,1,2-Trichloroethane 43820	0.2	10.0	97	83, 61
1,1-Dichloroethane 43813	0.2	10.0	63	65, 27
1,1-Dichloroethene 43826	0.2	10.0	61	96
1,2,3-Trimethylbenzene 45225	0.2	10.0	105	120
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene 45810	0.2	10.0	180	182, 184
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene 45208	0.2	10.0	105	120
1,2-Dibromoethane 43843	0.2	10.0	107	109
1,2-Dichlorobenzene 45805	0.2	10.0	146	148
1,2-Dichloroethane 43815	0.2	10.0	62	64, 27
1,2-Dichloropropane 43829	0.2	10.0	63	41, 62
1,3,5-Trimethyl Benzene 45207	0.2	10.0	105	120
1,3-Butadiene 43218	0.2	10.0	39	54
1,3-dichlorobenzene 45806	0.2	10.0	146	148

#### Table 2: VOC Compound List

DAQ-03-003.2 Revision 0 05/25/2022 Page 11 of 46

	Calibration	Range	Qualifier Ion	s
Dook Toblo	Lower Limit	Upper Limit	Primary	Secondary
1.4 dichlorohonzono 45907			146	
1,4-diciliorobelizene 45807	0.2	10.0	140	140, 111
1,4-DIOXAIIE 46201	0.2	10.0	88	58
1-Bromopropane 43853	0.2	10.0	43	41
<u>1-Butanoi 43305</u>	0.2	10.0	56	43
2-Pentanone 43562	0.2	10.0	43	86
3-Hexanone 43557	0.2	10.0	43	/1
3-Pentanone 43553	0.2	10.0	57	86
<u>Acetaldehyde 43503</u>	0.2	10.0	44	29, 43
Acetone 43551	0.2	10.0	43	42
Acetonitrile 43702	0.2	10.0	41	40
Acrolein 43505	0.2	10.0	56	55
Benzene 45201	0.2	10.0	78	77, 50
Benzyl chloride 45809	0.2	10.0	91	126
Bromodichloromethane 43828	0.2	10.0	83	85
Bromoform 43806	0.2	10.0	173	171, 175
Bromomethane 43819	0.2	10.0	94	96
Carbon disulfide 42153	0.2	10.0	76	44, 78
Carbon Tetrachloride 43804	0.2	10.0	117	119
chlorobenzene 45801	0.2	10.0	112	77, 114
Chloroethane 43812	0.2	10.0	64	66
Chloroform 43803	0.2	10.0	83	85, 47
Chloromethane 43801	0.2	10.0	50	52
Cis-1,3-dichloropropene 43831	0.2	10.0	75	39, 77
Cyclohexane 43248	0.2	10.0	56	41
Cyclopentane 43242	0.2	10.0	42	43
Ethanol 43302	0.2	10.0	45	46
Ethylbenzene 45203	0.2	10.0	91	106
Freon 11 43811	0.2	10.0	101	103
Freon 113 43821	0.2	10.0	151	101
Freon 114 43208	0.2	10.0	85	135
Freon 12 43823	0.2	10.0	51	35
Freon 22 43359	0.2	10.0	85	87
Hexanal 43517	0.2	10.0	56	44
Hexane 43231	0.2	10.0	57	41.43
Iodomethane 43808	0.2	10.0	142	127

DAQ-03-003.2 Revision 0 05/25/2022 Page 12 of 46

	Calibration R	ange	Qualifier lons	Qualifier Ions		
Peak Table	Lower Limit (ppb)	Upper Limit (ppb)	Primary	Secondary		
Isobutene 43270	0.2	10.0	41	56		
Isoprene 43243	0.2	10.0	67	53		
Isopropyl Alcohol 43312	0.2	10.0	45	59		
m,p-xylene45109	0.2	10.0	91	106		
Methacrolein 43515	0.2	10.0	41	70		
Methyl Butyl Ketone 43559	0.2	10.0	58	43		
Methyl Ethyl Ketone 43552	0.2	10.0	43	72		
Methyl Isobutyl Ketone 43560	0.2	10.0	43	58, 100		
Methyl Tert Butyl Ether 43372	0.2	10.0	73	41, 53		
Methyl Vinyl Ketone 43558	0.2	10.0	55	70		
Methylene Chloride 43802	0.2	10.0	49	84, 86		
<u>n-Butanal 43510</u>	0.2	10.0	44	72		
n-Pentane 43220	0.2	10.0	43	41		
o-Xylene 45204	0.2	10.0	91	106		
Propanal 43504	0.2	10.0	58	29, 57		
Propylene 43205	0.2	10.0	41	39		
Styrene 45220	0.2	10.0	104	78, 103		
Tetrachloroethylene 43817	0.2	10.0	166	164, 131		
Toluene 45202	0.2	10.0	91	92		
Trans-1,2-Dichloroethene 43838	0.2	10.0	61	96		
Trans-1,3-dichloropropene 43830	0.2	10.0	75	110		
Trichloroethylene 43824	0.2	10.0	130	132, 95		
Vinyl Acetate 43447	0.2	10.0	43	86		
Vinyl Chloride 43860	0.2	10.0	62	64		

Table 2 Note: Bold font peak names = NATTS Core I and PT compounds. Italic and underlined peak names = compounds not reported to AQS using this method (150) or are not reported to AQS due to excessive analysis QC issues.

# 3.4 Method Sensitivity

Sensitivity is determined using the method detection limit (MDL) determination guidance described in Section 4.1.3.1 of the NATTS Technical Assistance Document Revision 3.

The MDL is determined from the analysis of at least seven separate MDL blank canisters and seven separate MDL spike canisters. The statistical method used to calculate the MDL are described in more details in SOP# DAQ-03-003.5. The following criteria must be met for the MDL to be considered valid:

- The canisters designated MDL spikes and MDL blanks must be pulled from at least 3 different canister cleaning batches.
- The cleaning batches cannot occur on consecutive days of the week.

DAQ-03-003.2 Revision 0 05/25/2022 Page 13 of 46

- The analysis of the MDL samples must take place in at least three different analysis batches.
- The analysis batches cannot occur on consecutive days of the week.
- At least seven separate MDL blank canister results and seven separate MDL spike canister results must be included in the MDL computations.
- MDLs must be performed once per calendar year and every 365 days thereafter or whenever instrument adjustments or maintenance affects detector sensitivity.

#### 3.5 Canister Cleanliness

All canisters used for the collection of pressurized ambient air and creation of QC standards are cleaned according to SOP# DAQ-03-005.2.

#### 3.6 Sampler Cleanliness

Samplers used for canister sampling are cleaned according to SOP# DAQ-03-001.1.

# 4.0 SITE CHECKS

This section is reserved. Site checks do not apply to analysis instruments housed in a secure laboratory facility.

# **5.0 DETAILED PROCEDURES**

The following sections describe detailed procedures for shipping unsampled canisters, receiving sampled canisters, installation of canisters onto instrument auto-sampler, sampled canister analysis sequence development, instrument calibration curve sequence development, and data processing

# 5.1 Unsampled Canister Shipping

Canisters must be certified clean before shipping to the field. Additionally, these canisters must be under vacuum ( $\geq$  28 inHg vacuum) prior to field deployment and verified using a NIST traceable certified pressure gauge.

- 1. Obtain a blank air sampling canister COC form for each canister being shipped. The main shipping boxes are heavy duty metal boxes that hold two canisters. At a minimum, ship two canisters to the field site. If a single canister is being shipped, use the cardboard shipping box designed for a single canister shipment.
- 2. Assign a sampling site and record the sample site on the air sampling canister COC form
- Assign a sample # using the EPA national sampling schedule date and the site name abbreviation. For example, if the canister is being shipped to Candor for the 1/29/2022 EPA national sample date, the sample# is 012922C. Reference Table 3 below describing the site name and abbreviation.

DAQ-03-003.2 Revision 0 05/25/2022 Page 14 of 46

Site Name	Abbreviation
Asheville	А
Candor	С
Winston Salem	W
Millbrook	М
Millbrook Collocated	MD
Eagle Island	В
Pitt Ag	Р
Garinger	G
Huntersville	Н

#### **Table 3 Site Names and Abbreviations**

- 4. Record the canister# on the COC form and the cleaning batch number.
- 5. Attach a NIST certified pressure gauge to the canister. The pressure gauge units should be in "inHg vacuum".
- 6. Open the canister valve and allow a few seconds to elapse to stabilize the pressure gauge reading. The absolute value of the displayed gauge pressure reading should be ≥ 28 inHg vacuum. Do not send the canister to the field if the canister pressure is < 28 inHq vacuum. If the canister fails this pressure criteria, obtain another canister and repeat steps 1-6.</p>
- 7. If the canister pressure passes criteria, record the pressure gauge reading on the COC form.
- 8. Close the canister valve and remove the pressure gauge.
- 9. Install a quick connect on the canister inlet if quick connects are in use at the field site or cap the canister using the attached canister inlet cover
- 10. Obtain a canister valve cover and a COC seal.
- 11. Place the valve cover over the canister and place the COC seal in the cover and snap the COC seal closed.
- 12. Record the COC seal number on the COC form and record the initials of the person performing the canister shipping and the date and time the canister valve was sealed on the COC form.
- 13. Tear off the bottom copy of the COC form for storage in the laboratory.
- 14. Label the outside of the shipping box with the assigned sample number using a permanent marker and tape.
- 15. Obtain one shipping label and one return shipping label for each shipping box being sent to the field.
- 16. Place the canister, assigned COC form, and return shipping label in the shipping box.
- 17. Seal the metal shipping boxes using the attached clips and straps. Seal the cardboard shipping box using packing tape.
- 18. Coordinate a shipping pickup with the preferred shipping carrier.
- 19. Update the shipping dates on the current year's canister sample tracking chart for all sites and sample dates shipped to the field. If needed, reference the sites and sample dates on the gold copies of the COC forms when updating the VOC canister sample tracking chart.

DAQ-03-003.2 Revision 0 05/25/2022 Page 15 of 46

# 5.2 Sampled Canister Receiving

Samples are delivered to the laboratory in metal shipping boxes or cardboard shipping boxes via Federal Express and/or United Parcel Service. The metal shipping boxes can transport two canisters and the cardboard shipping boxes transport one canister.

- 1. Open the shipping box and remove the canister(s) and COC form(s).
- 2. One COC form should be present for each canister in the shipping box. If the shipment is missing a COC form, contact the site operator that shipped the canisters to the laboratory and attempt to recover the COC form.
- Examine the COC form to make sure the Pre-Sample (Lab) and the Sample Setup and Collection (Field) sections are completely filled out. Also ensure the hand-written entries are legible. Contact the site operator for assistance when correcting illegible and/or missing entries.
- 4. Verify the canister manufacturer number stamped on the canister matches the canister number recorded on the COC form and the canister number recorded on the canister tag. Place a check mark next to the canister number field if they match and physically circle the canister number if they don't match and place a comment on the COC form such as "canister # issue."
- 5. Verify the sample # recorded on the COC form matches the site name and sample date recorded on the COC form and verify the sample# on the COC form matches the sample# on the canister tag.
- 6. If the sample date does not match the sample # or if the COC form and canister tag do not match, check the COC form for site operator comments. If the deviation can be explained, correct the sample # on the COC form and canister tag to match the sample date on the COC form. If no comments are present, contact the site operator to clarify the mismatched sample# and sample date issue.
- 7. Verify the canister valve COC seal is intact, undamaged, and the COC number on the form matches the number on the canister. Note deviations in the COC form comments section.
- 8. If no deviations are observed, place a check mark in the **Canister COC seal intact** and **Same # as sealed** boxes on the COC form.
- 9. Enter the initials of the person checking-in the sample and the date and time the sample was received in the **Sample Received by** field on the COC form.
- 10. Place the COC sealed canister and COC form on the GC-MS analysis laboratory staging table.
- 11. Update the received dates on the canister sample tracking chart for all samples received.

# 5.3 Canister Install on CIA Advantage Autosampler

#### 5.3.1 Field Sample Canisters

- Break the VOC canister COC seal and record the initials of the person breaking the COC seal and the date and time the COC is broken in the COC Broken by Initial, Date & Time field on the COC form.
- 2. Measure the canister pressure using the NIST traceable certified pressure gauge in the units of psig and record this pressure in the **Canister Pressure psig (Lab)** field on the COC form.

- 3. Install canister on the Markes autosampler tree and record the port number, sample #, and canister number in the instrument logbook.
- 4. Repeat steps 1-3 for all samples being analyzed.

## 5.3.2 Calibration Standard Canisters and Quality Control Canisters

Calibration standard canisters and QC canisters do not have a COC form. The dilution system logbook contains the written record of when the calibration standard canisters and QC canisters were made; what concentration of VOCs were added to the calibration standard canister and QC canister; and the type of standard mix and diluent gas added to the canister. Additional details on making calibration canisters and QC canisters are described in SOP # DAQ-03-006.2.

# 5.4 Analytical Sequences

Analysis sequences are created using the Markes instrument control software and the GC-MS instrument control software. There are three types of sequences: calibration curve sequences, field sample sequences, and if needed, certification sample sequences. Regardless of the sequence type, all sequences must contain the following QC samples:

- **Method blank** (routine field sample canister filled with zero-air from the dilution system and filled using the dilution system).
- Lab Control Sample or Continuing Calibration (canister filled with primary calibration standard mix targeting a nominal concentration in the lower third of the calibration curve range, typically 1.0ppb).
- Calibration curve sequences and field sample sequences require the additional analysis of the **Second Source** standard (canister filled with secondary source standard mix targeting a nominal concentration in the upper-third of the calibration curve range, typically 4.0ppb)

# 5.4.1 Calibration Curve Sequences

Calibration sequences must contain, at a minimum, the following injections in chronological order by injection date/time:

- 1. method blank (BFB tune criteria only)
- 2. method blank (instrument and sampling media background)
- 3. calibration curve standards (0.2ppb, 0.5ppb, 1.0ppb, 2.0ppb, 5.0ppb, and 10.0ppb)
- 4. lab control sample or continuing calibration (calibration curve accuracy)
- 5. second source (calibration curve accuracy)

#### 5.4.2 Field Sample Sequences

Field sample sequences must contain, at a minimum, the following injections in chronological order by injection date/time (#6 is not required if 10 or less field samples are being analyzed in the field sample sequence):

- 1. method blank (BFB tune criteria only)
- 2. method blank (instrument and sampling media background)
- 3. lab control sample or continuing calibration (accuracy)

DAQ-03-003.2 Revision 0 05/25/2022 Page 17 of 46

- 4. **field samples** not to exceed 10 consecutive injections and must include at least one **replicate injection** of a field sample (analysis precision)
- 5. second source sample (calibration verification)
- 6. field samples (no more than 10 consecutive injections)
- 7. method blank (instrument and sampling media background)
- 8. lab control sample or continuing calibration (same as #3 and used for accuracy and precision)

# 5.4.3 Certification Sample Sequences

Certification samples include canister cleaning batch certification canisters and clean sampler certification canisters. The results of these two certification samples are used to assess canister cleanliness and sampler cleanliness. It is encouraged to analyze certification samples alongside routine field sample canisters but due to the immediate need for certification sample results, certification samples can be analyzed by themselves or with other certification samples if an insufficient number of field samples are ready for analysis. When certification samples are analyzed by themselves or with other certification samples are or with other certification samples are analyzed by themselves or with other certification samples are or with other certification samples are analyzed by themselves or with other certification samples are or with other certification samples are or with other certification samples are preserved by themselves or with other certification samples are or with other certification samples are or with other certification samples are analyzed by themselves or with other certification samples are or with other certification samples are or with other certification samples are preserved by themselves or with other certification samples are analyzed by themselves or with other certification samples are analyzed by themselves or with other certification samples are analyzed by themselves or with other certification samples are analyzed by themselves or with other certification samples are preserved by themselves or with other certification samples are analyzed by themselves or with other certification samples are analyzed by themselves or with other certification samples are analyzed by themselves or with other certification samples are analyzed by themselves or with other certification samples are analyzed by themselves or with other certification samples are analyzed by themselves or with other certification samples are analyzed by themselves or with other certification samples are analyzed by the

- 1. method blank (BFB tune criteria only)
- 2. method blank (instrument and sampling media background)
- 3. lab control sample (accuracy)
- 4. batch cert or sampler cert canisters (not to exceed 10 consecutive)
- 5. lab control sample (accuracy)

# 5.4.4 Analysis Sequence Creation

- 1. Click the *M TD MIC 2.0* icon which activates the Markes instrument control window to open.
- 2. Select the *Sequence* icon to allow the operator to enter information into the sequence window which includes the sample list which should correlate with the sample list on the Sequence list on the Instrument Control on the GCMS sample list. See figure 3 below for the Markes sequence editor screen.

DAQ-03-003.2 Revision 0 05/25/2022 Page 18 of 46

	hotos 📮 🗠	id to :	a creation			Q			രാ	🎉 Edit & Create 👻 🗠 Share	8
	1 💕 🖬 ,	5	e 15 +	* * *							
	Sample Ty	pe	Comment	Method	Channel	Re-collect	tion type	Sample	e gas		
1	Blank	~	082521bfb	MFC 500ml	1	None	•	Air	~		
2	Blank	~	082521blk	MFC 500ml	1	None	• ~	Air	~		
3	Sample	~	\$08252103	MFC 500ml	3	None	• ~	Air	~		
4	Sample	~	\$08252104	MFC 500ml	3	None	. ~	Air	~		
5	Sample	~	\$08252105	MEC 500ml	4	None		Air	~		
-	Campie	~	509252106	MEC 600ml		None					
	autiple		300232100	MPC SOOM	-	None	· _	~	Ľ		
		-	-						_		_
instrun Idle	nents			_	Idle	Schematic	_	_			
Instrum Idle Kori GC F	nents poltior timoo Roady?	out	×	•	Idle	Schematic	IS Vent	_		GC Cartier CH MA	C artago H
Instrum Idle Kori GC F	nents poltior timoc Roady?	ut	x	Actual	idie Set	Schematic	IS Verit			Vert Vert Vert Vert Vert Vert Vert Vert	C artige H
Instrum Idle Kori GC F	poltior timoo Roady? eratures (*C)	ut	x	Actual	Idie Sot	Schematic	IS Vera		UNIT		C ertage H
Instrum Idle Kori GC F Tempe CIA	nents poltior timoc Roady? eratures (°C) NS oven Avalve oven	ut	x	Actual 44.4 120.7	Idie Set 45	Schematic	s, −O				
Instrum Idle Kori GC F Tempe CIA CIA	ments polition timood Roady? eratures (*C) AIS oven Avalve oven Avalve oven Acanister lines	out	x	Actual 44.4 120.7 120	Idle Set 45 120 120	Schematic	Vent				D
Instrum Idle Kori GC F Tempe CIA CIA CIA	polition timood Roady? eratures (°C) AlS oven Avalve oven Acanister lines Ainterconnector	ut	x	Actual 44.4 120.7 120 120.5	Idle Set 45 120 120 120	Schematic			UNT		95
Instrum Idle Kori GC F CIA CIA CIA CIA Kor	nents polition timoor Roady? eratures (°C) NS oven Avalve oven Avalve oven Acanister lines Ainterconnector ri cold trap	ut	x	Actual 44.4 120.7 120 120.5 49	Kdle Set 45 120 120 120 -27	Schematic					)) )) ))
Instrum Idle Kori GC F Tempe CIA CIA CIA Kori Kori	ments polition timoor Ready? aratures (°C) NS oven Avaive oven Acanister lines Ainterconnector ri cold trap ri heated vaive	ut	x	Actual 44.4 120.7 120 120.5 49 119.6	Idle           Set           45           120           120           120           120           120           120           120           120	Schematic					)) ))
Instrum Idle Kori GC F Tempe CIA CIA CIA CIA Kori Kori Kori	ments polition timoor Ready? aratures (°C) NS oven Avaive oven Acanister lines Ainterconnector ri cold trap ri heated valve ri transfer line	ut	x	Actual 44.4 120.7 120 120.5 49 119.6 120.4	Idle Sot 45 120 120 120 -27 120 120 120	Schematic					35
Instrum Idle Kori GC F Tempe CIA CIA CIA CIA Kor Kor Kor UN	ments polition timood Roady? Instruces (*C) INS oven Avaive oven Acanister lines Linterconnector ri cold trap ri heated valve ri tanafet line ITY cold trap	ut	x	Actual 44.4 120.7 120 120.5 49 119.6 120.4 42.4	Idie           Set         *           45         120           120         120           120         120           120         120           120         120           120         0	Schematic ULTRA					) ) ) (
Instrum GC F Tempe CIA CIA CIA CIA Kon Kon UN UN	nents polition timeou Ready? aratures (°C) NIS oven Acanister lines Ainterconnector ni cold trap ni heated valve ni baatef line ITY cold trap ITY cold trap	out	x	Actual 44.4 120.7 120.5 49 119.6 120.4 42.4 29.2	idle           Set           45           120           120           120           120           120           120           0           0	Schematic ULTRA					95
Instrum GC F Tempe CIA CIA CIA CIA Kon Kon Kon UN UN UN	ents policer timeoc Ready? aratures (°C) US oven Valve oven Acanister lines Valve oven ti cold trap if i basted valve if i basted trap IITY indeface IITY heated valve	ut	x	Actual 44.4 120.7 120.5 120.5 49 119.6 120.4 42.4 29.2 36	idle           Set           45           120           120           120           120           0           0           0	Schematic ULTRA					9
Instrum GC F Tempe CIA CIA CIA CIA Kon Kon Kon UN UN UN UN	nents politor timoco Roady? urahuros (°C) US oven Vavies oven canister lines Linterconnector ri cold trap ri cold trap ri cold trap IITY interface IITY heatd value IITY heater line	ut	x	Actual 44.4 120.7 120.5 49 119.6 120.4 42.4 29.2 36 28	Set           45           120           120           120           120           120           120           0           0           0           0           0           0	Schematic	×				
Instrum Idle Kori CIA CIA CIA CIA CIA CIA CIA CIA CIA UN UN UN UN UN UN UN	policier timoco Roady? Information (C) ISS oven Vavies oven Vavies oven Vavies oven Varieter lines Ainfercientes Ainfercientes Ainfercientes Ainfercientes Ainfercientes ITV cold trap ITV inferface ITV cold trap ITV inferface ITV cold trap ITV inferface ITV cold trap ITV inferface	ut	x	Actual 44.4 120.7 120 120.5 49 119.6 120.4 42.4 29.2 36 28 34.2	Idle           Set         ▲           45         ▲           120         ↓           121         ↓           120         ↓           120         ↓           120         ↓           120         ↓           120         ↓           0         ↓           0         ↓           0         ↓	Schematic	×				

Figure 3: Markes Sequence Editor Screen

- 3. In the **Sample Types** select either sample, blank, unknown, standard or quality control.
- 4. Enter the sample # in the **Comment** field.
- 5. Click method and select the method named "MFC 500ml".
- 6. The **Channel** refers to the Absorbent tubes in the Ultra Xr, or the port on the CIA Advantage on the canister auto-sampler tree. Enter the port number into the Markes sequence.
- 7. For the **Re-collection type** select "none" to force Markes to sample from the canister tree.
- 8. For the Sample gas select "Air".
- 9. Next click the "GCMS icon to open the **GCMS\Enhanced MassHunter** software. See **figure 4** below for an example of the Masshunter sequence editor window.

DAQ-03-003.2 Revision 0 05/25/2022 Page 19 of 46

Photos - GCMS Masshunter sample								
See all photos 📮 Add to	o a creation	œ	रे 🛍 🗢 🤉		💥 Edit & Create 👻	🖻 Share	8	
ation #			2		MSD Communication: C	onnected. 6:7	54	
Sequence Table								
🗈 🛝   New Sample(s) 🔹 🕻	🕻 💽 💽 Tools •							
Name	Vial Method Path	Method File	Data Path	Data File	Type		Level	DI
1 Zero air blank sample	1 D:IMa/methods	MFC 500m_BFBI.M	D:MassHunter\GCMS\1\data\082021A	082021bfb	Blank	Ŷ	-	
2 Zero air blank sample	1 D:IMa/methods	MFC 500m_BFBI.M	D.MassHunter\GCMS\1\data\082021A	0820215k	Blank	Y	-	
3 1 ppb TO-15 standar	2 D/Ma/methods	MFC 500m_BFBI.M	D.\MassHunter\GCMS\1\data\082021A	082021\$1c	CC	~	1.0	
4 1 ppb TO-15 standar	2 D.IMaVmethods	MFC 500m_BFBI.M	D:MassHunter\GCMS\1\data1082021A	082021\$10-	CC .		1.0	
5 080221A Asheville s	3 D:IMa/methods	MFC 500m_BFBI.M	D:MessHunter\GCMS\1\dats1082021A	000221A	Sample		-	
6 080221B Eagle Islan	4 D:IMa/methods	MFC 500m_BFBI.M	D.MassHunter\GCMS\1\data\082021A	080221B	Sample	- Y	-	
7 080821A Asheville s	5 D1Ma/methods	MFC 500m_BFBI.M	D.MassHunter\GCMS\1\data\082021A	080821A	Sample		-	
8 080821P Ptt Ag Gre	6 D.IMa/methods	MFC 500m_BFBI.M	D:MassHunter\GCMS\1\data\082021A	080821P	Sample		-	
9 081121G Garinger C	7 D.IMalmethods	MFC 500m_BFBI.M	D:MassHunter\GCMS\1\data1082021A	081121G	Sample	• Y	1	
10 080821H Huntersvill	8 D1Ma/methods	MFC 500m_BFBI.M	D:MassHunter\GCMS\1\data\082021A	080821H	Sample			
11 080821B Eagle Islan	9 D1Ma/methods	MFC 500m_BFBI.M	D.\MassHunter\GCMS\1\data\082021A	080821B	Sample		-	
12 081121C Candor sa	10 D:IMa/methods	MFC 500m_BFBI.M	D:MassHunter\GCMS\1\data\082021A	081121C	Sample	• Y	-	
13 081421G Gamper C	11 D.IMa/methods	MFC 500m_BFBI.M	D:MassHunter\GCMS\1\data\082021A	081421G	Sample		/	
14 081421G Garinger C	11 D:IMa/methods	MFC 500m_BFBI.M	D:MassHunter\GCMS\1\data\082021A	081421G-	Sample		-	
15 081421H Huntensvill	12 D1Ma/methods	MFC 500m_BFBI.M	D:MassHunter\GCMS\1\data\082021A	081421H	Sample		-	
16 081421M Milbrook R	13 D/IMa/methods	MFC 500m_BFBI.M	D/MassHunter/GCMS/1/data/082021A	081421M	Sample	• Y	-	
17 081421MD Milbrook	14 D.IMaVmethods	MFC 500m_BFBI.M	D:MassHunter\GCMS\1\data1082021A	081421MD	Sample			
18 080221PR Pitt Ag Gr	3 D:IMa/methods	MFC 500m_BFBI.M	D:MassHunter\GCMS\1\dats1082021A	000221PR	Sample		-	
19 0.2 ppb TO-15 stand	4 D:IMa/methods	MFC 500m_BFBI.M	D.MassHunter\GCMS\1\dats\082021A	0820215-2	Sample	- Y	-	
20 0.2 ppb TO-15 stand	4 D1Ma/methods	MFC 500m_BFBI.M	D.MassHunter\GCMS\1\data\082021A	0820215-2-	Sample		-	
21 Zero air blank sample	1 D.IMa/methods	MFC 500m_BFBI.M	D.MassHunter\GCMS\1\data\082021A	082021blk-	Blank		-	
22 1 ppb TO-15 standar	2 D.IMalmethods	MFC 500m_BFBI.M	D:MassHunter\GCMS\1\data\082021A	08202151d	CC		1.0	
23 1 ppb TO-15 standar	2 D.IMa/methods	MFC 500m_BFBI.M	D:MassHunter\GCMS\1\data\082021A	08202151d-	CC	~	1.0	
<								
Read Barcode						OK		Ca
							_	~

Figure 4: Masshunter Sequence Editor Window

- 10. Click Sequence and select "edit" sequence.
- 11. Select save as and save the sequence with today's run date and add an "A" at the end of the file name indicating the first sequence analyzed for the current day. If a second sequence is made and started on the same day, save the sequence with "A1" on the end of the file name.
- 12. The sequence table opens and can now be edited.
- 13. The NAME column must include sample# and site name.
- 14. The VIAL should match the vial number in the logbook and the Markes sequence.
- 15. The METHOD PATH must be D:\MassHunter\GCMS\1\methods.
- 16. The METHOD FILE must be MFC 500m\_BFB.
- 17. The DATA PATH must be selected by clicking the box to the right of the path name and selecting the sequence name that was just created and saved.
- 18. The DATA FILE is manually entered for field sample injection and should match the sample# in the NAME field.
- 19. The DATA FILE is manually entered for QC injections (blanks, ICAL, and check standards). The data file name will be MMDDYY with "bfb" on the end for tuning samples and "S4" on the end for the 4ppb check standard. The duplicate injection data file name has a "-"added to the end. ICAL standard data file names have "cal1", "cal2", "cal3", "cal4", "cal5", and "cal6" added to the name. Samples receive the sample # and site abbreviation.
- 20. The TYPE should be blank for the bfb injection and method blank injections; CC for all check standards; and sample for all field collected samples. ICAL standard type should be cal.
- 21. Compare the GC sequence to the Markes sequence.
- 22. If no errors are found save the GC sequence again.
- 23. Select the run sequence icon.
- 24. The start sequence window will open. Select "run sequence" using the GC software.
- 25. Next start the Markes sequence by clicking the green arrow on the Markes Instrument Control Sequence editor window.

## 5.5 GC-MS Data Processing

These are the steps for processing the analysis data following the completion of the analysis sequence.

#### 5.5.1 Processing a New Calibration Curve

- 1. Open the MS Quantitation icon on the desktop. The Agilent MassHunter Quantitative Analysis (for GCMS and LCMS) window opens.
- 2. Click file and select new batch.
- 3. Select the sequence folder name that was recently saved and analyzed that also contains the calibration standard injection results. The sequence folder is in the MMDDYYA format.
- 4. Click method and then select new method from acquired scan with library search.
- 5. Use the 1ppb curve level results to perform the library search and verify retention times of the standard mix.
- 6. Save the calibration curve with a new name such as MMDDYY TO-15cal. This file name will be used to apply existing calibration curve results to future analysis sequences.

#### 5.5.2 Processing Samples Using an Existing Calibration Curve

- 1. Open the MS Quantitation icon on the desktop. The Agilent MassHunter Quantitative Analysis (for GCMS and LCMS) window opens.
- 2. Click File and select new batch.
- 3. Select the sequence folder name that was recently saved and analyzed.
- 4. Type in a file name MMDDYYA. The MMDDYY must match the analysis date the sequence was analyzed. Click create to start a new data processing batch.
- 5. The Add Sample window opens; click ok.
- 6. Go to method and select open.
- 7. Open method from existing file and select the most recent/current calibration file name MMDDYY TO15cal and select open.
- 8. Select Analyze batch and a screen will pop up as shown in Figure 5.



#### Figure 5: MassHunter Data Processing Window

9. Examine the processing batch for flags and outliers. Perform manual integration of each injection and peak if necessary. Typically, the instrument software does a good job properly identifying and integrating target VOC peaks.

# 5.6 Generating Run Folder Files for Calibration Curve and Field Sample Sequences

The files listed in figure 6 are generated using the GC-MS instrument control software and Markes instrument control software. All files in figure 6 are generated for each hardcopy version of the current run folder and the electronic version of the current run folder except for the two files "04-14-22" and 04-14-22a".





These two files are not formatted to print correctly and therefore not included in the hardcopy version of the current run folder.

- After processing the GC-MS data, obtain one empty file folder and label it with the current run folder name such as "04-14-22 system II". Also, create an electronic copy of the run folder by creating a new folder on the p-drive here: P:\Toxics\Urban Air Toxics\VOC's Current Year Data and naming newly created file folder as "04-14-22 system II".
- 2. Using the GC-MS instrument computer, select report from the MassHunter pulldown menu.
- 3. Go to export table to generate the csv files (04-14-22 and 04-14-22a).
- 4. Choose compound table and flat table for the two csv files.
- 5. Select generate on the top line. Save the csv files and transfer them to the electronic copy of the current run folder.
- 6. Go to report method and Choose <u>C:\user\DAQ\Document\sample\_results\_Tic.m</u> to generate the "Env\_Results02" report for all injections in the sequence.
- Go to report method and choose <u>C:\user\DAQ\Document\ICAL</u> to generate the "Env\_InitialCal" report.
- 8. Select process to generate the reports. Print the Env\_Results and Env\_InitialCal reports and store them in the hardcopy version of the current run folder and save an electronic copy of these reports in the electronic version of the current run folder.
- 9. To generate the Markes sequence file **Sequence Thursday, April 14, 2022 10 23 11 AM,** open the Markes control software and on the sequence history select export and choose a drive. Save the file in the current run folder on the p-drive and print the file.
- 10. To generate the **Sequence** .txt report go to the sequence pulldown menu on the MassHunter software and select view sequence log.
- 11. Open the sequence based on the mm/dd/yy of analysis. Save the file in the current run folder on the p-drive and print the sequence.

- 12. The **Tune Evaluation Report** is generated by selecting the tune evaluation shortcut on the GC-MS computer.
- 13. Select file pulldown menu and open to find the data file designated the BFB tune and select data.
- 14. Evaluate the BFB, select report, save the report in the current run folder on the p-drive, and print the report.
- 15. Gather the VOC Canister COC forms that were analyzed in the sequence and gather VOC Canister COC forms (recently received) that indicate a failed field-sample collection and will not be analyzed by the laboratory.
- 16. Scan these forms into a single pdf file or multiple pdf files and store these files in the 04-14-22 system II run folder. If a single scan is created save the file as "VOC Canister COC forms\_04-14-22 system II." For example, if five individual scans of the VOC canister COC forms are created, add text "1 of 5"; "2 of 5"; "3 of 5"; "4 of 5"; and "5 of 5" at end of the file name.

# 5.7 Generating Files for Certification Samples and Certification Sample Sequences

It is strongly recommended to analyze certification samples such as canister cleaning batch certification samples and clean sampler certification samples alongside routine field samples or calibration curve samples. Due to the immediate need for valid certification sample results, the certification samples may be analyzed by themselves or with other certification samples.

- If a certification sample is analyzed in the same sequence as routine field samples or analyzed in the same sequence as the calibration curve samples or analyzed in a dedicated certification sample sequence with other certification samples, the "Env\_Results02" report is generated and printed for each certification sample injection. Additionally, the "Env\_Results02" report is generated for the method blank sample injection and lab control sample injections. Lastly, print the tune evaluation report.
- 2. All these files are reviewed by the analyst using an indelible ink pen, highlighter, or both.
- 3. Examine the tune evaluation report to ensure all criteria indicate a "pass" status. If the tune fails, the results obtained from an "out of tune mass spectrometer" are considered invalid and the samples should be re-analyzed after a passing tune is achieved.
- 4. If the tune passes, examine the printed method blank results report and circle or highlight concentration values > 0.2ppb and circle or highlight the compound name.
- 5. Examine the lab control sample results and circle or highlight concentration values not between 0.700 ppb and 1.30 ppb.
- 6. Examine the certification sample results for any VOC concentration > 0.2ppb.
- If the detected VOC in the certification sample is > 0.2ppb and passes the method blank criteria and passes the lab control accuracy criteria, circle or highlight the concentration value and compound name in the certification sample results report.
- 8. If the detected VOC in the certification sample is > 0.2ppb and the same VOC is detected in the method blank sample with a concentration value > 0.2 ppb or the same VOC detected in the certification sample is not between 0.700 ppb and 1.300 ppb in the lab control sample, the detected VOC in the certification sample is considered invalid and does not count toward a failing status.

DAQ-03-003.2 Revision 0 05/25/2022 Page 23 of 46

- 9. Do not circle or highlight VOC concentration or compound names detected in the certification sample that also fail method blank criteria or fail lab control accuracy criteria.
- 10. If the detected VOC in the certification sample is > 0.2ppb and passes method blank criteria and passes lab control accuracy criteria, the detection in the certification sample is considered valid and counts toward a failing status for batch of canisters being cleaned or the sampler being cleaned. Circle or highlight the VOC concentration and compound name in the certification sample results report.
- 11. Certification results that fail for one or more VOC compounds may still be used for field operations but the results for the failing VOC in the certification sample will be flagged if the same VOC is detected in the field sample collected using the batch of canisters or field samples collected using the sampler.

# 5.8 Quality Control Parameters and Summary Reports

VOC canister sampling data and VOC canister analysis data should meet quality control parameters listed in Table 4 below. To document the testing of these quality control parameters, the following summary reports are generated with each run folder:

- DAQ-03-020 VOC Canister COC Form QC Summary Report
- DAQ-03-022 System I VOC Canister Analysis QC Summary Report
- DAQ-03-023 System II VOC Canister Analysis QC Summary Report
- DAQ-03-024 Internal Standard Summary Report

#### Table 4: VOC Canister Sampling and Analysis Quality Control Parameters

Quality Control Parameter Description	AQS Flag or Code	Туре	Criteria
V	OC Canist	er Sampling (	Quality Control Parameters
Pre-sampling canister vacuum/pressure	QX	QUALIFIER	Applied to all VOC detected in field-collected canisters with a pre-sampling vacuum reading < 28 inHg vacuum.
Post-sampling canister pressure	QX	QUALIFIER	Applied to all VOCs detected in field-collected canisters where the post sampling canister pressure is not between 12-30 psig.
Pre and post sampling flow rate verification	w	QUALIFIER	Applied to all VOCs detected in field-collected canisters where the pre or post flow average is not between 8-12 mL/min.
Clean canister Hold Time	QX	QUALIFIER	Applied to all VOCs detected in field-collected canisters with holding times > 30 days.
Lab verified canister pressure and Markes pre-sampling canister pressure	QX	QUALIFIER	Applied to all VOCs detected in field-collected canisters where the lab verified canister pressure or Markes pre-sampling canister pressure is ≤ 0.2 psig.

Quality Control Parameter Description	AQS Flag or Code	Туре	Criteria
Sampled Canister Holding Time	QX	QUALIFIER	Applied to all VOCs detected in field-collected canisters with holding times > 30 days.
V	OC Canist	er Analysis C	Quality Control Parameters
Clean Canister Batch Certification	сс	QUALIFIER	Applied to VOCs detected in the certification sample > 0.2ppb. To be considered a valid detection in the certification sample, the failing VOC must pass method blank and accuracy criteria. Also apply the flag to same VOCs detected in canisters from the out-of-control cleaning batch.
Clean Sampler Certification (zero bias)	SB	QUALIFIER	Applied to VOCs detected in the certification sample > 0.2ppb. To be considered a valid detection in the certification sample, the failing VOC must pass method blank and accuracy criteria. Also apply the flag to same VOCs detected in canisters filled using the out-of- control sampler.
GC-MS Calibration Curve Type (linear or quadratic)	AT	NULL	Applied to VOCs with a calibration curve best fit line that is not linear or quadratic. Also apply the flag to same VOCs detected in field-sample canisters processed using the out-of-control calibration curve.
GC-MS Calibration Curve R <sup>2</sup>	AT	NULL	Applied to VOCs with R <sup>2</sup> < 0.995. Also apply the flag to same VOCs detected in field-sample canisters processed using the out-of-control calibration curve.
GC-MS Calibration Curve Level Accuracy	AT	NULL	Applied to VOCs outside ± 30% of nominal. Also apply the flag to same VOCs detected in field- sample canisters processed using the out-of- control calibration curve.
GC-MS Calibration Verification Accuracy (second source)	ST	NULL	Applied to VOCs outside ± 30% of nominal. Also apply the flag to same VOCs detected in field- sample canisters analyzed in the same analysis batch as the out-of-control second source standard accuracy.
Method Blank (Zero-air blank)	LB	QUALIFIER	Applied to VOCs detected in the method blank sample > 0.2ppb. Also apply the flag to the same VOCs detected in field-sample canisters that are analyzed in the same analysis batch as the out-of-control method blank.

Quality Control Parameter Description	AQS Flag or Code	Туре	Criteria
GC-MS Laboratory Control Sample (continuing calibration) Accuracy	SP	QUALIFIER	Applied to VOCs outside ± 30% of nominal. Also apply the flag to the same VOCs detected in field-sample canister that are analyzed in the same analysis batch as the out-of-control lab control sample accuracy.
GC-MS Laboratory Control Sample (continuing calibration) Precision	AX	NULL	Applied to VOCs when the RPD between the initial lab control sample VOC concentration and ending lab control sample VOC concentration exceeds ± 25%. Also apply flag to same VOCs detected in field-sample canisters that are analyzed in the same analysis batch as the out-of-control lab control sample RPD.
GC-MS Replicate Analysis (duplicate injection analysis) of a Field Sample	4	QUALIFIER	Applied to VOCs when the RPD between the initial field-sample VOC concentration and replicate field-sample VOC concentration exceeds ± 25%.
Primary/Collocated Sample Collection and Analysis Precision	3	QUALIFIER	Applied to all VOCs detected in the primary and collocated samples when the RPD between the primary and collocated results exceeds ± 25%.
Below Reportable Limit	7	QUALIFIER	Applied to all detected VOCs in field samples <0.2ppb
Above Reportable Limit	EH	QUALIFIER	Applied to all detected VOCs in field samples >10ppb
Internal Standard Response Factor	МХ	QUALIFIER	The internal standard response factor must be within ±40% of the mean ICAL internal standard response factor. If the internal standard response factor is outside this limit, the detected VOCs in the sample will be qualified MX prior to reporting.
Internal Standard Retention Time Window	MX	QUALIFIER	Each internal standard retention time must be within ± 0.33 min of its mean calibration curve retention time. If an internal standard retention is outside this limit, the detected VOCs in the sample will be qualified MX prior to reporting.
Target VOC Retention Time Window	вн	NULL	Applied to VOCs detected in the sample when the VOC retention time is not between ± 0.5 min of its mean calibration curve retention time.

Quality Control Parameter Description	AQS Flag or Code	Туре	Criteria
Target VOC Detection	ND	QUALIFIER	Applied to target VOCs when the GC-MS reports concentration values = 0 ppb or blank/null value.

# 5.8.1 VOC Analysis QC Summary Report

This report is generated using the processed GC-MS analysis data stored in the current run folder. The analysis QC summary reports are unique to the analytical system being used. The VOC Analysis QC Summary reports are assigned to a specific GC-MS system.

- Open a blank "System II VOC Analysis QC Summary Report\_Template" located here: P:\Toxics\Urban Air Toxics\VOC's Current Year Data\Data Review Templates.
- Select save as and rename the file by removing template and entering the current run folder name. For example, if the report is for the **04-14-22 system II** run folder, the summary report is named "System II VOC Analysis QC Summary Report\_04-14-22 system II."
- 3. Create a sub folder named "data review" in the electronic version of the **04-14-22 system II** run folder and store the recently created summary report in the "data review" sub folder.
- 4. In the summary report there are four workbook tabs: ICAL Summary, Analysis Summary, Retention Time Summary, and QC Criteria. Data entry is required for the ICAL Summary, Analysis Summary and Retention Time Summary workbook tabs.
- 5. Add the current run folder name "04-14-22 system II" to the title of the Analysis Summary workbook tab.
- Add the calibration curve run folder name to the title of the ICAL Summary workbook tab. In this example, the original calibration curve accuracy results are in the 03-09-22 system II new curve run folder.
- Open the 03-09-22 system II new curve run folder and open the .csv format excel file named 03-09-22.
- 8. Highlight cells A3 to A76 and select "sort A-Z" and a sort warning window will be displayed, select expand selection and click sort. This will sort all the data in the file in alphabetical order by target VOC peak name.
- 9. Open the file named "Env\_InitialCal" located in the **04-14-22 system II** run folder.
- 10. Click "export pdf" then select "spreadsheet" and select "Microsoft excel workbook" then click "export" and a "save as" file window opens.
- 11. Select the **04-14-22 system II** run folder and save the exported file as "Env\_InitialCal\_04-14-22 system II."
- 12. The adobe export engine will process the file and open the excel version of the file.
- 13. In the exported file, highlight rows 92 to 165.
- 14. In the menu bar, deactivate the "merge & center" formatting for all the highlighted rows.
- 15. Highlight all data from cells A92 to L165 and select "sort A-Z."

- 16. Copy and paste the peak names from cells A92 to A165 as "paste special values" into the ICAL Summary workbook tab cells C4 to C77.
- 17. Copy and paste the "curve fit" column data from the exported excel file as "paste special values" into cells D4 to D77 of the ICAL Summary workbook tab.
- 18. Copy and paste the R-squared value from the exported excel file as "paste special values" into cells E4 to E77 of the ICAL Summary workbook tab.
- 19. Open the .csv format excel file named **03-09-22.** Highlight row 1 and select "wrap text."
- Locate the "0.2 ppb TO-15..." "final conc." values, copy and "paste special values" into cells F4 to F77 of the ICAL Summary workbook tab. Repeat this process for the 0.5ppb, 1.0ppb, 2.0ppb, 5.0ppb, and 10.ppb calibration curve levels.
- 21. Examine the response factors for null or blank values in the "Env\_InitialCal\_04-14-22 system II."
- 22. If a null or blank response factor value is found, this means the point was removed from the calibration curve and results of the accuracy test do not apply. Enter the number of "null or blank" response factors for each target VOC into cells L4 to L77. For example, if two null or blank response factors are discovered for Propylene, enter "2" into cell L69 of the ICAL Summary workbook tab. If no blank or null response factors are discovered, enter "0" into cells L4 to L77 of the ICAL Summary workbook tab.
- 23. Locate the first "second source" injection sample name and copy and "paste special values" into cell M3 of the ICAL Summary workbook tab.
- 24. For the same injection, locate the "final conc." values, copy and "paste special values" into cells M4 to M77 of the ICAL Summary workbook tab. Repeat steps 21 and 22 if there is a second injection of the secondary source standard, place these values into cells N3 and N4 to N77 of the ICAL Summary workbook tab.
- 25. Locate the first laboratory control sample injection and copy and "paste special values" the value in row 1 into cell O3 of the ICAL Summary workbook tab.
- 26. For the same injection, locate the "final conc." values, copy and "paste special values" into cells O4 to O77 of the ICAL Summary workbook tab. Repeat steps 23 and 24 if there is a second injection of the laboratory control sample, place these values into cell P3 and P4 to P77 of the ICAL Summary workbook tab.
- 27. Locate the second method blank injection and copy and "paste special values" the value in row 1 into cell Q3 of the ICAL Summary workbook tab.
- 28. For the same injection locate the "final conc. values, copy and "paste special values" into cells Q4 to Q77 of the ICAL Summary workbook tab. The first method blank injection is used for BFB tuning criteria only. Repeat steps 25 and 26 if there is a third injection of the method blank, place these values into cell R3 and R4 to R77 of the ICAL Summary workbook tab.
- 29. Open the **04-14-22 system II** run folder and open the .csv format excel file named **04-14-22**.
- 30. Highlight cells A3 to A76 and select "sort A-Z"; a sort warning will be displayed; select expand selection and click sort. This will sort all data in the file in alphabetical order by target VOC peak name.
- 31. Copy and "paste special" the peak names from the .csv format excel file named **04-14-22** as values into cells C4 to C77 of the Analysis Summary workbook tab.

- 32. In the .csv format excel file named **04-14-22**, highlight row 1 and select "wrap text" to better read the sample names.
- 33. Locate the initial and final laboratory control sample injection in the .csv format excel file named 04-14-22 and paste the sample name and final conc. values in cells D3 and D4 to D77 (initial LCS) and cells E3 and E4 to E77 (final LCS) of the Analysis Summary workbook tab.
- 34. Locate the second source injection in the .csv format excel file named **04-14-22** and paste the sample name and final conc. values into cells G3 and G4 to G77. If a second, second source injection is present add these values to cells H3 and H4 to H77 of the Analysis Summary workbook tab.
- 35. Locate the second injection of the method blank in the .csv format excel file named **04-14-22** and paste the sample name and final conc values into cells I3 and I4 to I77. If a third, fourth or fifth method blank injection is found in the .csv format excel file named **04-14-22**, paste the sample names and final conc. values into cells J3 and J4 to J77, K3 and K4 to K77, and L3 and L4 to L77 of the Analysis Summary workbook tab.
- 36. Locate the replicate analysis or duplicate injection analysis pair of a field collected sample in the .csv format excel file named **04-14-22**. Paste the first injection results into cells M3 and M4 to M77 and paste the second injection results into cells N3 and N4 to N77 of the Analysis Summary workbook tab.
- 37. Locate the M and MD primary/collocated sample collection analysis pairs that contain the same sample dates in the .csv format excel file named **04-14-22.** For example, paste the "040521M" injection results into cells P3 and P4 to P77 of the Analysis Summary workbook tab and paste the "040521MD" injection results into cells Q3 and Q4 to Q77 of the Analysis Summary workbook tab. Repeat if a second, third or fourth pair of M and MD primary/collocated sample collection analysis pair are found in the .csv format excel file named **04-14-22** and paste values into the next group of M/MD pairs of the Analysis Summary workbook tab.
- 38. Fill out the Retention Time Summary workbook tab using the .csv format excel files, already sorted A-Z and named **03-09-22** and **04-14-22**.
- 39. The sample names and retention times from the calibration curve level injections in the .csv format file named **03-09-22** go into cells B1 to G1 and cells B3 to G76 of the Retention Time Summary workbook tab.
- 40. The sample names and retention times from the .csv format excel file named **04-14-22** go into cells K1 to AN1 and K3 to AN76 of the Retention Time Summary workbook tab.
- 41. Delete unused gray colored columns in the Retention Time Summary workbook tab.

# 5.8.2 Internal Standard Summary Report

This report evaluates the internal standard response factors and retention times in the current analysis batch against the mean calibration curve internal standard response factors and retention times. This report is generated using a macro that is built into the summary report.

 Open a blank "Internal Standard Summary Report\_Template" located here: P:\Toxics\Urban Air Toxics\VOC's Current Year Data\Data Review Templates. Select save as and rename the file by removing template and entering the current run folder name. For example, if the report is for the **04-14-22 system II** run folder, the report is named "Internal Standard Summary Report\_04-14-22 system II" and stored in the "data review" subfolder in the electronic version of the **04-14-22 system II** run folder.

- Open the "Env\_Result02" .pdf file for the current run folder. Select "export pdf" then select "spreadsheet" and select "Microsoft excel workbook" then click "export" and a "save as" file window opens.
- 3. Select the current run folder and sub folder and save the file as "Env\_Results02\_04-14-22 system II".
- 4. The adobe export engine will process the file and open an excel workbook using the data within the pdf file. Close the excel workbook file and the "Env\_Results02" pdf file.
- 5. Open the original calibration curve run folder (in this example the original calibration curve run folder is named: "03-09-22 system II new curve". Open this run folder and then open the pdf file named "Env\_Results02". Select "export pdf" then select "spreadsheet" and select "Microsoft excel workbook" then click "export" and a "save as" file window opens.
- 6. Select the current run folder and sub folder and save the file as "Env\_Results02\_03-09-22 system II new curve".
- 7. The adobe export engine will process the file and open an excel workbook using the data within the pdf file. Close the excel workbook file and the "Env\_Results02" pdf file.
- 8. Re-visit the recently saved summary report and enter the current run folder name into cell A2.
- 9. Select the "view" tab and then select down arrow below "macros" to view the current macro within the summary report.
- 10. The "Kevin\_Ours\_ISC\_Report\_Function" macro name should appear; highlight this macro name, and select "edit". Microsoft visual basic screen should appear.
- 11. Look for the green font colored comment "Manually set the path to the source files".
- 12. Using the **04-14-22 system II** run folder example set the path to source files "P:\Toxics\Urban Air Toxics\VOC's Current Year Data\04-14-22 system II\Data Review\"
- 13. Immediately below the path is the source file identification. Set Source1File = "Env\_Results02\_03-09-22 system II.xlsx" (recently exported file created in step #5 above).
- 14. Set Source2file = "Env\_Results02\_04-14-22 system II.xlsx" (recently exported file created in step #2 above).
- 15. Click the save icon and close the visual basic window and click save again in the excel format internal standard summary report.
- 16. Select the "view" tab and then select down arrow below "macro" to view the current macro and make sure the "Kevin\_Ours\_ISC\_Report\_Function" macro is highlighted. Click "run".
- 17. The macro should take several seconds to a minute to run, and the end result will be a filled out internal standard summary report that flags internal standard response factors and retention times that fail acceptance limits. *Please note: the macro search function places the results of the first method blank injection (also known as the BFB tune check injection) at the end of the sample name list in the internal standard summary report.*
- 18. Save the summary report.

DAQ-03-003.2 Revision 0 05/25/2022 Page 30 of 46

# 5.8.3 VOC Canister COC Form QC Summary Report

This report is used to summarize the VOC canister field sampling data and the canister cleaning data. Fill out this report for each run folder. To fill out this report the following documents and records are needed: VOC canister COC forms, canister cleaning records, and the current run folders' "MMDDYYa" .csv format report.

- Open a blank "VOC Canister COC Form QC Summary Report\_Template" located here: P:\Toxics\Urban Air Toxics\VOC's Current Year Data\Data Review Templates. Select save as and rename the file by removing template and entering the current run folder name. For example, if the report is for the 04-14-22 system II run folder, the report is named "VOC Canister COC Form QC Summary Report\_04-14-22 system II" and stored in the "data review" subfolder in the electronic version of the 04-14-22 system II run folder.
- Each gray colored header in the report requests a specific data point from the VOC canister COC forms, canister cleaning records, and analysis records stored in the current run folder. Enter the requested information into the report for all valid and invalid field-collected canisters. *Please note: some fields will be blank for invalid-sample canisters.*
- 3. Once the data has been entered, remove/delete unused rows, and enter the current run folder name into cell A2 in the summary report.
- 4. Save the summary report in the current run folder.

# 6.0 DATA REVIEW

This section describes the steps required to perform self-review (level 1) and peer review (level 2) on the VOC canister sampling data and VOC canister analysis data.

# 6.1 Self Review (Level 1)

Self-review is performed by the VOC analyst that setup the GC-MS to analyze field samples or calibration curve samples; processed the GC-MS analysis batch, created the run folder files, and created the QC summary reports.

- Open a blank review form, document number DAQ-03-020, located here: P:\Toxics\Urban Air Toxics\VOC's Current Year Data\Data Review Templates.
- This form can be filled out electronically or printed as a blank form and filled out by hand using an indelible ink pen. If filling out this form electronically, save this file as "DAQ-03-020\_04-14-22 system II (using the same run folder example as in 5.8.3 above) and store this file in the 04-14-22 system II run folder.
- 3. Enter the run folder name and begin to answer all questions on the data review form.
- 4. Once complete, initial and date the bottom of the form.
- If filling out the data review form electronically, save the form in the current folder. If filling out a blank form by hand using an indelible ink pen, scan the completed form and save the scan as "DAQ-03-020\_04-14-22 system II" and store in the **04-14-22 system II** run folder on the p-drive.
- 6. Store the handwritten form (if filled in by hand) in the run folder file that is used to store the "Env\_Results" reports and "Env\_InitialCal" reports generated for the current run folder.

DAQ-03-003.2 Revision 0 05/25/2022 Page 31 of 46

7. Notify the Level 2 reviewer that VOC canister sampling and analysis data are ready for review.

# 6.2 Peer Review (Level 2)

Peer-review is performed by a trained laboratory person that was not directly involved with analyzing the samples, processing the GC-MS analysis batch, creating the run folder files, creating the QC summary reports, and performing the Level 1 review.

- 1. Open the **04-14-22 system II** run folder and open the form named "DAQ-03-020\_04-14-22 system II".
- 2. Verify all Level 1 questions have been answered.
- 3. If unanswered questions are present and the same questions also contain blank comments sections, contact the self-reviewer to rectify the issue. Also contact the self-reviewer to clarify illegible entries/comments.
- 4. If all questions are answered by the self-reviewer and the entries are legible and comments clearly explain unanswered questions; the peer-review can move-forward, and this form can be filled out by hand using indelible ink or filled out electronically using Microsoft Word.
- 5. If filling out the data review form by hand using an indelible ink pen, print the form and store the completed form in the hardcopy of the run folder. If filling out the form electronically, save the form as "DAQ-03-020\_04-14-22 system II-Level2" and store it in the **04-14-22 system II** run folder.
- 6. Begin answering all questions on the data review form and placing answers in the Level 2 columns on the form.
- 7. Once complete, sign and date the bottom of the form as the Level 2 reviewer.
- If filling out the data review form electronically, save the form in the current run folder. If filling out the form by hand using an indelible ink pen, scan the completed form and save the scan as "DAQ-03-020\_04-14-22 system II-Level2" and store in the 04-14-22 system II run folder on the p-drive.
- Store the original handwritten copy of the review checklist in the run folder file that is used to store the "Env\_Results" reports and "Env\_InitialCal" reports generated for the current fun folder.
- 9. Notify the Level 3 data reviewer that VOC canister sampling and analysis data are ready for review.

# 7.0 VOC FILE MANAGEMENT

# 7.1 GC-MS Instrument Files

The GC-MS instrument files such as sequences, results files in pdf and excel formats, tune reports and calibration reports are stored on the GC-MS instrument computer in appropriately named file folders using a naming system established by the primary analyst.

Additionally, these files are stored electronically on Universal Serial Bus (USB) storage devices and internal network drives and some files are stored as hard copies inside the hardcopy of the run folder

DAQ-03-003.2 Revision 0 05/25/2022 Page 32 of 46

file. See section 5.5 for more details on GC-MS instrument file naming conventions and storage locations.

#### 7.2 VOC Canister COC Forms

The hardcopies of the VOC Canister COC forms are stored in a secure file cabinet in the laboratory. Scans of the VOC canister COC forms that are analyzed in a specific run folder will be stored in the run folder saved to internal network drives. For example, scans of the VOC canister COC forms analyzed in the 04-14-22 system II run folder will be stored in the 04-14-22 system II run folder saved on internal network drives. See section 5.6 for more details on VOC Canister COC form naming conventions and storage locations.

# 7.3 QC Summary Reports and Data Review Checklists

The QC summary reports: VOC Canister Analysis QC Summary Report, VOC Canister COC Form QC Summary, Internal Standard Summary, and DAQ-03-020 (data review checklist) are stored in the current run folder on internal network drives. For example, these reports and data review checklists generated for the 04-14-22 system II run will be stored in the 04-14-22 system II run folder saved on internal network drives. See sections 5.7, 6.1, and 6.2 for more details on file naming conventions and storage locations. Occasionally, the data review checklist may be filled out by hand using an indelible ink pen. In these cases, the original handwritten copes are stored in the hardcopy version of the current run folder.

# 8.0 QUALITY ASSURANCE FILES AND DATA HANDLING

# 8.1 VOC Standard Mix and Internal Standard Mix Certificates of Analysis Storage

The VOC standard cylinders and VOC internal standard cylinders purchased by the laboratory must be traceable to NIST and contain a certificate of analysis generated by the cylinder manufacturer that confirms the measured concentrations of target VOC and/or concentrations of internal standard compounds in the cylinder. The certificates of analysis are stored in a designated file folder controlled by the VOC analyst. Scans of the certificates of analysis may also be stored on the p-drive here: **P:\Toxics\Urban Air Toxics\VOC's Current Year Data\VOC Standard CofA** using a naming convention such as this for an internal standard connected to GC-MS system I: "System1\_Internal Standard CofA\_1-18-22to1-18-23".

# 8.2 GC-MS Instrument Logbook

This logbook is stored in the VOC laboratory next to the GC-MS system. This logbook contains a handwritten log of sample canisters, calibration canisters, certification canisters, and QC canisters analyzed by the laboratory and the dates of analysis. Also included are brief summaries of GC-MS instrument maintenance activities.

DAQ-03-003.2 Revision 0 05/25/2022 Page 33 of 46

## 8.3 Quarterly GC-MS Instrument Computer Backups

At the end of each calendar quarter, the primary analyst backs up the GC-MS instrument data and methods using a specially formatted 4-terabyte, external hard drive. Plug in the external hard drive and click the toolkit app on the GC-MS computer and the backup process will start automatically.

# 9.0 TROUBLESHOOTING AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

This section describes some basic troubleshooting and corrective actions for GC-MS instruments and support equipment modules including errors and issues found during the data review process. All GC-MS and support module maintenance activities should be documented in the instrument logbook.

#### 9.1 GC-MS Instrument

Agilent certified technicians are best equipped to handle most of the mechanical issues and software issues that develop over time during instrument operation. The GC-MS is under an annual service agreement with Agilent service technicians and the primary analyst and GC-MS operators are encouraged to call the instrument manufacturer for technical support and troubleshooting problems.

The Agilent service technicians perform preventative maintenance according to Agilent's protocols which includes rough pump oil changing, transfer line O-ring replacement, column changing, ion source cleaning, and general performance checks to ensure the system is operating as designed.

Agilent technicians perform preventative maintenance once per year and every 365 days thereafter. Due to the consistent operation of the instrument and support modules, the primary analyst may have to perform some of the following maintenance activities in the days between the preventative maintenance performed by the Agilent service technicians and may include the following:

- Changing the oil of the rough pump once per year and every 365 days thereafter. As the instrument and rough pump age, more frequent oil changes may be required.
- Disassembling and cleaning the ion trap as necessary when tuning checks fail acceptance criteria.
- Scheduling regular preventative maintenance of the GC-MS and support modules through contacting Agilent service technicians (1-800-227-9770).

# 9.2 GC-MS Support Modules (Markes Instruments)

The support modules include the Unity Xr thermal desorber, Kori Xr water management, and CIA Advantage autosampler tree. Regularly check the support modules for leaks and check the transfer lines between the GC-MS and support modules for leaks. Regularly replace the two O-rings that seal the transfer line on both sides when leaks are discovered. Replace the desorber trap once per year and every 365 days thereafter or whenever a leak is detected, and it's determined the cause of the leak is a broken and worn trap.

DAQ-03-003.2 Revision 0 05/25/2022 Page 34 of 46

See **Agilent User Manual** loaded on the GC-MS instrument computer and operating software for any other instrument maintenance issues not specified in Section 9.0. Follow the instructions on the videos for filament replacement, and source cleaning for the Mass Spectrometer.

# 9.3 Data Review Errors

Errors may be found within the instrument files, summary reports, and data review checklists during data review procedures.

If an error or typo is found in an excel file or word document file and will be corrected electronically, go to the error and highlight the error. Select the "strikethrough" font type. This will show the error in "strikethrough" text font. Place your cursor in the space immediately after the "strikethrough" text and turn off "strikethrough" text format function. Enter the "(initials and date)" of person making correction and then add the correction immediately after the last parenthesis of the "(initial and date)". If the excel file or word document is equipped with a "comments" section or "comments" field, the person making the change can add their initials and date of the correction in this field.

Errors found on records that cannot be changed electronically should be changed manually by crossing out the error using an indelible ink pen using a single line to cross out the error and writing the correction. Add the initials and date of the person making the change.

# **10.0 REVISION HISTORY**

1. Revision 0, Steven Walters, Chemist II, 05/03/2022.

# **11.0 REFERENCES**

- Technical Assistance Document for the National Air Toxics Trends Stations Program, Revision 3, Prepared for: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards (C304-06) Research Triangle Park, NC 27711. <u>https://www3.epa.gov/ttnamti1/files/ambient/airtox/NATTS%20TAD%20Revision%203\_FINAL%</u> 20October%202016.pdf
- Compendium Method TO-15 Determination of Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) in Air Collected in Specially Prepared Canisters and Analyzed by Gas Chromatography Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS), Center of Environmental Research Information Office of Research and Development U.S Environmental Protection Agency Cincinnati, OH 45268, January 1999. <u>https://www3.epa.gov/ttnamti1/files/ambient/airtox/to-15r.pdf</u>

٦

# **12.0 APPENDICES**

# 12.1 VOC Canister Chain of Custody Form

		NC Air	DAQ Laborato Sampling Canis	ory Anal ster Chair	ysis Br n of Cust	anch tody			
NC Division 4403 Reedy	of Air Qua Creek Rd	lity Laborator	у	Sampling	j Site				
Raleigh, NC (919) 733-97	27607 77			Sample #	ł				
			Pre-Sa	mple (Lab)					
Caniste	er #	Cleaning Batch #	Canister Vacuum Inches Hg (Lab)	Canister	COC #	C. Init	anister CC tials, Date	)C Sealed , & Time (	by Lab)
			Sample Setup ar	nd Collectio	n (Field)				
Sampler ID#:_ Exp. Date C	hecked	Flow mete	er ID#: ate Checked	□ Samp □ Same	ler COC s # as seale	eal intact ed	□ Cani □ Sam	ster COC : e # as sea	seal intact led
Sampler CO	C Broken by	/ Canister	COC Broken by	Canister	Vacuum	Leak Ch	eck (psig	) ( <u>&lt;</u> 0.1 psi o	ver 10 min)
initiais, Du	allo, a Time	induis	, oato, a rino	moneo n	a (a rota)	Start	LIIU	UIII.	r ass/rall
Timer Clock	checked ag	ainst NIST 🛛	Timer Adjusted (Det	tails noted in	comment	s below)			
Pre-Sampling /	Avg Flow Ch	eck:	_mL/min	#1:	#2:		#3:	#4:	
Acceptable Range	: 8 mL/min to 1	2 mL/min (max)	Conjetar						
Sampling Date & Day	Timer Start Time	e End Timer	e Pressure (psig)	Sampler	COC #	Canister	and Samp Initials, D	oler COCs ate, & Tim	Sealed by
				Canister	COC #				
Post-Sampling	Avg Flow C	heck:	mL/min	#1:	#2:		#3:	#4	:
Acceptable: 8 mL/	min to 12 mL/m	in (max)							
Adjusted Samp	oling Avg Flo	w Check:	mL/min	#1:	#2:		#3:	#4	
Acceptable: 8 mL/	min to 12 mL/m	in (max) (NA = N	o Adjustment)						
Comments:									
			Post San	npling (Lab)	)				
Samp Initia	ole Received Is, Date, & T	d by Time	□ Canister COC s	eal intact	C) Initia	OC Broker als, Date, 8	n by k Time	Ca Pro	nister essure a (Lab)
			□ Same # as seale	ed				001	g (Lab)
Comments:		I							
			Intra-Laboratory	Canister T	ransfers				
VOC Lab Relin	quished by:					Date/1	lime:		

# 12.2 GC-MS Results Report Example

# Page 1 of 3:

	Qua	ntitation Resul	ts Report		-	Agilent 1	Technologies
Data File	: 04142251-LCS.D						
Operator	: GKK						
Acq. Method	: MFC 500m_BFBI						
Acq. Date-Time	: 4/14/2022 12:05:58 PM						
Sample Name	: 1 ppb TO-15 standard c	an # 56333					
Vial	: 2						
Multiplier	: 1						
Sample Info							
DA Method File	: 030922A-TO15 cal.batch	n.bin					
Tune File	: BFB Atune.u						
Tune Date	: 3/10/2022 7:36:50 AM						
Batch Name	: 041422A.batch.bin						
Last Calib Update	: 4/19/2022 7:56:06 AM						
+ TIC Scan 041422S1-LCS D	(1 nnh TO-15 standard can #	56333)					
<sup>₽</sup> ×10 <sup>5</sup>		,		1			
3.25				81			
275		2 8	<u></u>	4			_
2.5		8 . 8	8	UB/	801		810
2.25	- 1	ane de z	4 10	ef	4		45
2-	8 S	ja la	4 Set	loc	ene		ene
1.75	8	g gc	ane ett.	act	20		BUZ
1.5	3 pp 111 pp 44.	유럽는 물론을	in pool	त मुख्य	8 - <u>8</u>		ĝ,
1.25	2 p 111		2001 1000	1 g c	2 198		i≓≓a
0.75	20092 224795	41:73 248 248 248 248 248 248 248 248 248 248	1101 050 050 050 050 050 050 050 050 050		2001		독은표
0.5	1947	2 202 282	-F- 86-	1T	0 756 0 0 756 0		87E
0.25-	she in here all	7	1.1.1.1.14	I U	1 1 14		8-B
			10 00 00 00				
1 2 3 4 5	0 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	13 14 15 16 17 18	19 20 21 22	23 24 25 26	27 28 29 3	Acquisition T	33 34 Time (min)
Compound	RT	QIon	Resp.	Conc.	Units		Dev(Min)
Internal Standards							
Hexane-d14	11.734	66.0	152756	8.000	ppb	#	0.004
Benzene, 1,4-difluoro-	15.808	114.0	307244	8.000	ppb	m	0.000
Chlorobenzene-d5	21.967	117.0	347409	8.000	ppb		0.000
4-Bromofluorobenzene	25.417	95.0	243657	8.000	ppb		0.004
System Monitoring Compou	nde						
System Horitoring Compou	145						
Target Compounds							QValue
Propylene 43205	5.242	41.0	5299	1.053	ppb	#m	67
Freon 12 43823	5.351	85.0	47700	0.999	ppb		95
Freon 22 43359	5.435	51.0	21358	1.128	ppb	#m	1
Freon 114 43208	5.795	85.0	50808	1.024	ppb	#	73
Chloromethane 43801	5.975	50.0	10147	1.106	ppb	#m	69
Isobutene 43270	6.278	41.0	9647	1.019	ppb	#m	83
Vinyl Chloride 43860	6.383	62.0	11185	1.194	ppb	#m	69
1,3-Butadiene 43218	6.506	39.0	5354	0.994	ppb	#	84
Acetaldehyde 43503	6.769	44.0	6269	1.076	ppb	#m	1
Bromomethane 43819	7.441	94.0	11154	1.135	ppb	#	98
Chlomethane 43812	7.775	64.0	8386	0.972	nnh	m	97
Freen 11 43811	9.459	101.0	52822	1.007	ppb		99
n-Dentane 43220	9,455	43.0	12066	1 1 1 2 7	ppb	#	77
Ethonal 42202	0.052	45.0	12000	1.10/	ppo	#	
Euranoi 43302	8.920	45.0	269	1.011	ppo	#m	1
Isoprene 43243	9,311	67.0	59//	1.188	ppb	m	95
Acrolein 43505	9.609	56.0	1959	1.161	ppb	#m	51
Propanal 43504	9,759	58.0	1341	1.111	ppb	#m	41
04142251-LCS.D		Page 5 of 58	в	Ge	nerated at 8:	00 AM on 4	/19/2022

DAQ-03-003.2 Revision 0 05/25/2022 Page 37 of 46

Page 2 of 3:

	Qua	ntitation Re	sults Report			📯 Agil	ent Technologies
Freon 113 43821	9.798	101.0	43067	0.960	ppb	#	86
1,1-Dichloroethene 43826	9.798	61.0	15254	1.013	ppb		99
Acetone 43551	9.930	43.0	29607	1.020	ppb	#m	90
Iodomethane 43808	10.189	142.0	17869	0.965	ppb	#	75
Isopropyl Alcohol 43312	10.193	45.0	4623	1.186	ppb	#m	86
Carbon disulfide 42153	10.343	76.0	50675	1.096	ppb		96
Acetonitrile 43702	10.619	41.0	9808	1.148	ppb	#m	90
Methylene Chloride 43802	10.975	49.0	15766	1.059	ppb	#m	70
Cyclopentane 43242	11.067	42.0	11684	1.107	ppb	#	84
Methyl Tert Butyl Ether 43372	11.479	73.0	14384	1.029	ppb	#	81
Trans-1,2-Dichloroethene 43838	11.532	61.0	17207	1.140	ppb		95
Hexane 43231	12.041	57.0	12965	1.206	ppb	#m	90
Methacrolein 43515	12.309	41.0	4128	1.148	ppb	#m	58
Vinyl Acetate 43447	12.397	43.0	13833	1.222	ppb	#m	92
1,1-Dichloroethane 43813	12.445	63.0	25562	1.200	ppb	#m	89
Methyl Vinyl Ketone 43558	13.191	55.0	4163	1.184	ppb	#m	1
n-Butanal 43510	13.191	44.0	2413	0.812	ppb	#m	1
Methyl Ethyl Ketone 43552	13.490	43.0	13332	1.145	ppb	#m	1
1-Bromopropane 43853	13.911	43.0	14309	1.191	ppb	#m	80
Chloroform 43803	14.131	83.0	40687	1.201	ppb		96
1,1,1-Trichloroethane 43814	14.460	97.0	36078	1.266	ppb	#	89
Cyclohexane 43248	14.579	56.0	9232	1.205	ppb	#m	82
Carbon Tetrachloride 43804	14.732	117.0	47293	1.249	ppb		100
Benzene 45201	15.114	78.0	36836	1.227	ppb		100
1,2-Dichloroethane 43815	15.215	62.0	23031	1.299	ppb	#	87
1-Butanol 43305	15.931	56.0	990	0.980	ppb	#m	1
Trichloroethylene 43824	16.238	130.0	22495	1.066	ppb		96
2-Pentanone 43562	16.501	43.0	15378	1.280	ppb		98
3-Pentanone 43553	16.738	57.0	13692	1.201	ppb	#	82
1,2-Dichloropropane 43829	16.743	63.0	14602	1.186	ppb	m	93
1,4-Dioxane 46201	16.817	88.0	2790	0.973	ppb	#	54
Bromodichloromethane 43828	17.164	83.0	40483	1.141	ppb	#	96
Cis-1,3-dichloropropene 43831	17.950	75.0	14540	1.239	ppb		98
Methyl Isobutyl Ketone 43560	18.174	58.0	3832	1.487	ppb	#	84
Toluene 45202	18.617	91.0	30469	1.070	ppb	#	97
Trans-1,3-dichloropropene 43830	19.056	75.0	13111	1.271	ppb		98
1,1,2-Trichloroethane 43820	19.513	97.0	22234	1.140	ppb		97
3-Hexanone 43557	19.622	57.0	3807	1.231	ppb	#m	83
Tetrachloroethylene 43817	19.702	166.0	32840	1.172	ppb		99
Methyl Butyl Ketone 43559	19.908	58.0	2682	1.053	ppb	#m	52
Hexanal 43517	20.198	56.0	2699	1.243	ppb	#	58
1,2-Dibromoethane 43843	20.799	107.0	28196	1.095	ppb		98
Chlorobenzene 45801	22.050	112.0	45696	1.069	ppb		93
Ethylbenzene 45203	22,252	91.0	40326	0.931	ppb		99
m,p-xylene45109	22,625	91.0	55301	0.944	ppb	#	94
o-Xylene 45204	23.762	91.0	24961	1.030	ppb		97
Styrene 45220	23.828	104.0	18485	0.916	ppb	#	94
Bromotorm 43806	24.451	173.0	42014	1.017	ppb		99
1,1,2,2-Tetrachioroethane 43818	25./59	83.0	50839	0.965	ppb	#	1
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene 45208	26.615	105.0	24698	1.030	ррв		98
1,2,3-Trimethylbenzene 45225	27.770	105.0	22477	1.039	ppb		96
1,3-aichiorobenzene 45806	28.6/0	146.0	30521	0.919	ррь	#	100
1,4-aichiorobenzene 4580/	28.9//	146.0	28185	0.892	ppb		98
1,3,5- Trimethyl Benzene 45207	29.021	105.0	23294	0.903	ppb		95
1 2-Disblorabonzono 45905	29,319	51.0	2305/	0.946	ppo		3/
1/2 D/Chlorobenzene 45005	30.017	140.0	20000	0.905	ppu		22
04142251-LCS.D		Page 6	of 58		Generated at 8:	00 AM (	on 4/19/2022

Page 3 of 3:

	Quant	itation Results	Report			Agilent Technolo	gies
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene 45810	34.034	180.0	6555	0.774	ppb		99
(#) = Qualifier Out of Range;	(m) = Manual Integration;	(+) = Area Summed;	(*) = Surro	gate Percent	Recovery Out	of Range; (d):	
Zeroed Peak							
04142251-LCS.D		Page 7 of 58		G	enerated at 8:	:00 AM on 4/19/20	022



# 12.3 DAQ-03-021 VOC Canister COC Form QC Summary Report

DAQ-03-003.2 Revision 0 05/25/2022 Page 40 of 46



# 12.4 DAQ-03-022 System I VOC Analysis QC Summary Report (ICAL Summary Workbook)

Name Ped Namesfron Joy file	Laboratory Control Sample Accuracy *ample same*	Laboratory Control Sample Accuracy *sample Same*	Laboratory Control Sample Precision	Secondary Source Accuracy *ample tame*	Secondary Source Accuracy	Zero Air Blank	Zero Alr Blank	Zero Ale Blank	Zero Air Blank	Replicate A	nalysis Precisio Sample	on of a Field	Collocated Si	ample Collectio (M/MD)	n Predalon	Collocated Sa	mple Collectio (M/MD)	n Precision	Collocated Sa	mple Collect (M/MD)	tion I
Peak Names from .cov file	"ample anne"	"emple hand"	RPD	Tempie Secol	Į,	- angle	*ample	į.													Т
Peak Names from .cov file	1419	and a	RPD	1414	Dame.					sample	"sample		*semple	Temple		<b>Sample</b>	Teample		Tample	*emple	
							same*	14114	same"	and.	NAME*	RPD #DIV/01	and a	name*	RPD #DIV/01	0.004	name*	RPD #DIV/01	and.	rans*	$\pm$
												#DIV/08 #DIV/08			#DIV/01 #DIV/01			#Dev/ot #Dev/ot			+
												#Dev/00			#Dev/01			#Dev/08			Ŧ
												#DIV/08			#Dev/01			#Dev/ot			‡
												BOW/G			#DIV/01			#DW/0			t
												#DIV/01			#DIV/01			#Dev/01			Ŧ
												10W/60			#Dev/00			stev/of			‡
												#DIV/0			#Dev/or			#Dev/01			1
												#DIV/01 #DIV/01			#Dev/ot			#Dev/01			t
												#D9V/00			#Dev/or			#Dev/01			Ŧ
		_										#DIV/OI			#Dev/or			#Dev/01			‡
												PDPV/01			#Dev/or			#DW/01			t
		-										#DIV/00			#Dev/or			#Dev/01			ł
												#DIV/08			#DIV/01			#Dev/ot			‡
												PDW/0			#DW/01			#DW/0			±
												#DIV/00			#Dev/01 #Dev/01			#Dev/08			╉
												#DIV/01			#DIV/01			#Dev/ot			Ŧ
												50V/0			#DIV/01			#Dev/of			t
												#DIV/01 #DIV/01			#Dev/ol #Dev/ol			#Dev/ot #Dev/ot			t
												#D9V/01			stev/or			#Dev/01			Ŧ
												#DRV/DE			#Dev/or			#Dev/01			1
												#DIV/00			#Dev/ot			#Dev/01			ł
		_										#DIV/01			#Dev/or			#Dev/01			Ŧ
												#DIV/08			#Dev/ot			#Dev/01			1
												#DEV/DE			#DIV/01			#Dev/01			t
												PDFV/DD PDFV/DD			#DIV/01			#DW/01 #DW/01			ł
												SDAV/01			#Dev/01			#Dev/ot			1
												#DIV/01			#DIV/01			#Dev/ot			1
												#DIV/01 #DIV/01			#DIV/01 #DIV/01			#DW/01			1
												#DIV/50			#DIV/01 #DIV/01			#Dev/08			+
												#DIV/01			#DPV/01			#Dev/ot			1
												#DIV/0			#Dev/of			#Dev/01			1
												#DIV/00 #DIV/00			#Dev/ol			#Dev/01 #Dev/01			╉
												#DIV/00			#Dev/or			#Dev/01			4
												#DIV/01			#Dev/ot			#Dev/01			1
												#DIV/G			#Dev/ol			#DW/01			t
												#DPV/01 #DPV/01			#Dev/or			#Dev/01			Ŧ
		_										#CRV/OI			#DIV/01			#Dev/01			‡
												#DEV/DE			#DIV/01			#Dev/01			1
												#DIV/0			#DIV/01			#DIV/01			ł
												#DIV/01			#DIV/01			#Dev/08			1
												IDW/01			#DIV/01			#Dev/ot			‡
												#DIV/G			#DIV/01			#DW/01			t
		_										ALC: NO POINT OF A			#DW/01			#DW/01			J

# 12.5 DAQ-03-022 System I VOC Analysis QC Summary Report (Analysis Summary Workbook)

DAQ-03-003.2 Revision 0 05/25/2022 Page 42 of 46

# 12.6 DAQ-03-022 System I VOC Analysis QC Summary Report (Retention Time Summary Workbook)



DAQ-03-003.2 Revision 0 05/25/2022 Page 43 of 46

# 12.7 Internal Standard Summary Report

un Folder Name"									
un Folder Name				Level 2 Revie	wer (Initial, D	ste and Time)			-
			Retention Time	Control Limit	\$	R	esponse Facto	or Control Limi	ts
					4-				4-
			Benzene, 1,4-	Chloroberze	Bromofluoro		Benzene, 1,4-		Bromofluor
		Hexane-d14	difluoro-(RT	ne-d5 (RT	benzene (RT	Hexane-d14	difluoro-	Chlorobenze	benzene
Sample Name		(RT mins)	mins]	mins)	mins)	(Resp.)	(Resp.)	ne-d5 (Resp.)	(Resp.)
0.2 (Cal1)									
0.5 (Cal2)									
1 (Cel3)									
2 (Cal4)									
5 (Cal5)									
10 (Cal6)									
	Average =	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/01	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/
	Upper Limit =	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/01	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/
	Lower Limit =	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/01	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/
			Retention T	ime QC Test			Response Fe	ctor QC Test	
					4.				4
			Benzene 1.4-	Chiocoberga	Smmonucco		Renzene 1.4		Bromotiver
		Havenautte	diffuoro /m	next5 (PT	benzene (ST	Havenetter	diffuore, 1,4	Chieroberra	benzene
Sample Name		(RT mine)	minel	minel	mine (NI	(Recol	(Reco.)	next5 (Rern )	(Ren )
Sample Name		[INT HILE]	in mag	minej	miner	[mealst]	[neap.]	ne us (wesp.)	(Mcap.)
			l						
			l						
			l						
			l						

DAQ-03-003.2 Revision 0 05/25/2022 Page 44 of 46

# 12.8 DAQ-03-020 Data Review Checklist

Page 1 of 3:

DAQ-03-020 VOC Canister Data Review Cheo Revision 0 Effective: 5/3/2022	cklist				
C	AQ-	03-02	20		
VOC Canister Data R	eview (	hecklis	st (Leve	l 1 and	Level 2)
Run Folder Name:					
Verify all files are present in the hard on name stored on p-drive (asterisk "*" in copy of the run folder stored on network of the run folder):	copy an ndicate k drives	nd/or e s files s and w	lectron that sh ill not k	ic copy ould b e prese	versions of the run folder e present in the electronic ent in the hard copy version
	Lev	el 1	Lev	el 2	
	Rev	iew	Rev	iew	
Name of Document	YES	NO	YES	NO	Comments
*Two GC-MS summary files in .csv format that					
contain the same date in the file names and this					
date is identical to the date in the run folder name.					
One or multiple Markes sequence files in excel					
format that also contains a month, day, and year in					
the file name that is identical to the date in the run					
folder name.					
File named "sequence" in .txt format.					
PDF report named "Env_InitialCal."					
PDF report named "Env_ResultsU2."					
PDF file named "TuneEvaluationReport."					
"Form "DAQ-03-021" for current run folder.					
"Form "DAQ-03-022" or "DAQ-03-023" (not both)					
for current run folder.					
"Form "DAQ-03-024" for the current run folder. If					
no, provide comment.					
Excel file "Env_InitialCal_"current run folder name."	<u> </u>				
Excel file "Env_Results02_current run folder name."					
Excel file "Env_ResultsU2_calibration run folder					
Scape of the VOC Capistor COC forms in a single file	<u> </u>				
or multiple files.					
Answer the following questions regardi	ng info	rmatio	n recor	ded in	the Run Folder Files:
	Lev	el 1	Lev	el 2	
	Rev	iew	Rev	iew	
Questions	YES	NO	YES	NO	Comments
Open the Tune Evaluation Report, do all test					
parameters pass criteria? If no, list the failing tune					
check parameter in comments.		L			
Does the Tune Evaluation Report "Acq on:" date					
match the date in the current run folder name and					
was the tune check performed using the first					
Page 1 of 3					

DAQ-03-003.2 Revision 0 05/25/2022 Page 45 of 46

Page 2 of 3:

	Lev	el 1 iew	Leve	el 2 iew	
Questions	VES	NO	VES	NO	Comments
injection of the method blank? If no list the "Aca	165	no	165	NO	connents
on:" date and injection # in the comments					
Open the "sequence", txt format file, is each VOC					
canister COC form sample # found? If no list					
sample# in comments. Also list sample# in					
comments that are listed in the "sequence" .txt file					
but do not have a VOC canister COC form.					
Open the Markes sequence file(s) in .csv format. Is					
each VOC canister COC form sample # found? If no.					
list sample# in comments. Also list sample# in					
comments that are listed in the sequence file but do					
not have a VOC canister COC form.					
In the Marke's sequence, are all pre-sample canister					
pressures > 0.2psi? If no, list sample# in comments.					
Open both GC-MS summary files (.csv format). Is					
each VOC canister COC form sample # found in both					
files? If no, list sample# and summary file name in					
comments. Also list sample# in comments that are					
listed in the summary files but do not have a VOC					
canister COC form.					
Do the injection names match in both summary					
files? If no, list mis-matched injection names in the					
comments.					
Does the trap fire time in the Markes Sequence					
match the Acq date-times in the GC-MS summary					
file in .csv format? If no, list mis-matched sample					
names in the comments.					
Does the trap fire time in the Markes Sequence					
match the Acq date and time in the GC-MS					
"Env_Results02" pdf file? If no, list mis-matched					
sample names in the comments.					
Does the vial numbers and comment values in the					
Markes Sequence match the vial numbers and					
sample names in the "Env_Results02" pdf report? If					
no, list the mismatches in the comments					
Open the "Env_Results02" pdf report and the					
"Env_Results_current run folder name" excel report					
(pdf file extracted as excel workbook). Is each VOC					
canister COC form sample# found in both files? If					
no, list sample# and file name in comments				L	
In the VOC canister analysis QC summary report,					
verify the ICAL summary workbook tab contains the					
current calibration curve results					
In the VOC canister analysis QC summary report,					
verify the Analysis summary workbook tab contains					
the current run folder analysis results					

DAQ-03-003.2 Revision 0 05/25/2022 Page 46 of 46

Page 3 of 3:

Review         Review         Review           Level 2 Reviewer Only: Do the conditional formats embedded in the ICAL Summary workbook tab in the VOC canister analysis QC summary report correctly flag concentration values and RPD values that are outside control limits?         Image: Control Contr		Lev	el 1	Leve	el 2	
Questions       YES       NO       YES       NO       Comments         Level 2 Reviewer Only: Do the conditional formats embedded in the ICAL Summary workbook tab in the VOC canister analysis QC summary report correctly fag concentration values and RPD values that are outside control limits?       Image: Concentration values and RPD values that are outside control limits?         Level 2 Reviewer only: Do the formulas embedded in the Analysis Summary workbook tab in the VOC canister analysis QC summary report correctly calculate the RPD values?       Image: Concentration values and RPD values that are corded in the Retention Time Summary workbook tab match the data in the calibration curve summary file (.csv format)?       Image: Concentration values the correct average and upper and lower control limits?         Do the data recorded in the Internal Standard Summary report match the data in the "Env, Result_calibration curve run folder name" and the "Env_Result_current run folder name" (both "files" are extracted from pdf "Env_Results02" reports)? If no, list mis-matched sample# and file name in the comment Level 2 Reviewer only: Are the calculations in the Internal Standard Summary Report correctly determing the correct average and upper and lower control limits?       Image: Concenter control limits?         Is the data recorded in the VOC canister COC form QC summary report match the canister cleaning data recorded in the VOC canister COC form QC summary report match the canister cleaning records?       Image: Concenter control limits?         Level 1 Reviewer :		Rev	iew	Revi	ew	-
Level 2 Reviewer Only: Do the conditional formats embedded in the ICAL Summary workbook tab in in the VOC canister analysis QC summary report correctly flag concentration values and RPD values that are outside control limits? Level 2 Reviewer only: Are the calculations in the 'Tevel 2 Reviewer only: Do the formulae and poper and lower control limits? Level 2 Reviewer only: Do the condition formats and embedded in the VOC canister COC form QC summary report correctly determining the correct average and upper and lower control limits? Level 2 Reviewer only: Do the condition formats and embedded in the VOC canister COC form QC summary report match the recorded in the VOC canister COC form QC summary report match the recorded in the VOC canister COC form QC summary report match the recorded in the VOC canister COC form QC summary report match the recorded in the VOC canister COC form QC summary report match the recorded in the VOC canister COC form QC summary report match the recorded in the VOC canister COC form QC summary report match the recorded in the VOC canister COC form QC summary report match the recorded in the VOC canister COC form QC summary report match the recorded in the VOC canister COC form QC summary report match the recorded in the VOC canister COC form QC summary report match the recorded in the VOC canister COC form QC summary report match the recorded in the VOC canister COC form QC summary report match the recorded in the VOC canister COC form QC summary report match the recorded in the VOC canister COC form QC summary report match the recorded in the VOC canister COC form AC summary report match the recorded in the VOC canister COC form QC summary report match the recorded in the VOC canister COC form AC summary report match the recorded in the VOC canister COC form AC summary report match the recorded in the VOC canister COC form QC summary report match the recorded in the VOC canister COC form QC summary report to recetly calculate clean canister clean in the VCC canister COC form QC summa	Questions	YES	NO	YES	NO	Comments
Embedded in the IC-LA Summary workbook tab in the VOC canister analysis OC summary report correctly flag concentration values and RPD values that are outside control limits? Elseviewer only: Do the formulas embedded in the Analysis Summary report correctly calculate the RPD values? Is the data recorded in the Retention Time Summary workbook tab determining the correct average and upper and lower control limits? Do the data in the calculation in the "Env_Results_calibration curve run folder name" and the "Env_Results_calibration curve run folder name" and the "Env_Results_calibration curve run folder name" and the current run folder summary workbook tab determining the correct average and upper and lower control limits? Do the data recorded in the Internal Standard Summary Report match the data in the calibration curve run folder name" (both "files" are extracted from pdf "Env_Results22" reports)? If no, list mis-matched sample# and file name in the comment Level 2 Reviewer only: Are the calculations in the Internal Standard Summary Report correctly determining the correct average and upper and lower control limits? Is the data recorded in the VOC Canister COC form QC summary report match the data in the calculations in the Internal Standard Summary Report correctly determining the correct average and upper and lower control limits? Is the data recorded in the VOC canister COC form QC summary report match the canister cleaning data recorded in the VOC canister COC form QC summary report match the correct of canister COC form QC summary report match the canister COC form QC summary report correctly identify out-of-correct part of the VOC canister COC form QC summary report correct identify out-of-correct id	Level 2 Reviewer Only: Do the conditional formats					
Une voc Lamister analysis Qc Summary Report         that are outside control limits?         Level 2 Reviewer only: Do the formulas embedded in the Analysis Summary workbook tab in the VOC canister analysis QC summary report correctly calculate the RPD values?         Is the data recorded in the Retention Time Summary workbook tab match the data in the calibration curve summary file (.csv format)?         Level 2 Reviewer only: Are the calculation in the retention time summary workbook tab determining the correct average and upper and lower control limits?         Do the data recorded in the Internal Standard Summary report match the data in the "Erv_Results_calibration curve run folder name" and the "Erv_Results_calibration curve run folder name" (both "files" are extracted from pdf "Erv_Results02" reports)? If no, list mis-matched sample# and file name in the correct average and upper and lower control limits?         Is the data recorded in the VOC canister COC form QC summary report match the recorded data in the canister COC forms?	the VOC capister applysis OC summary workbook tab in					
Level 2 Reviewer only: Are the calculations in the Tonce of the corner of the Analysis Calcumary report and the terminal and the current run folder summary workbook tab in the calibration curve summary file (.cs format) and the current run folder summary file (.cs format)? Level 2 Reviewer only: Are the calculation in the retention time summary workbook tab determining the correct average and upper and lower control limits? Do the data recorded in the Internal Standard Summary Report correctly detaining the correct average and upper and lower control limits? Do the data recorded in the Internal Standard Summary Report correctly detain in the fave. Results_calibration curve run folder name" and the "Env_Results_calibration curve run folder name" and the comtext run folder name" and the comtext run folder name" and the comtext run folder name" and the for the vest are and upper and lower control limits? Is the data recorded in the VOC canister COC form QC summary report match the canister COC forms? Does the canister cleaning data recorded in the VOC canister COC form QC summary report match the caniste	correctly flag concentration values and RPD values					
Level 2 Reviewer only: Do the formulas embedded in the Analysis Summary workbook tab in the VOC canister analysis QC summary report correctly calculate the RPD values?         Is the data recorded in the Retention Time Summary workbook tab anatch the data in the califoration curve summary file (.csv format) and the current run folder summary file (.csv format)?         Level 2 Reviewer only: Are the calculation in the retention time summary workbook tab determining the correct average and upper and lower control limits?         Do the data recorded in the Internal Standard Summary report match the data in the "Env_Results_calibration curver run folder name" and the "Env_Results_current run folder name" (both "files" are extracted from pdf "Env_ResultS02" reports)? If no, list mis-matched sample# and file name in the comment         Level 2 Reviewer only: Are the calculations in the Internal Standard Summary Report correctly determining the correct average and upper and lower control limits?         Is the data recorded in the VOC canister COC form QC summary report match the recorded data in the canister COC forms?         Does the canister cleaning data recorded in the VOC canister cleaning records?         Level 1 Reviewer: Date of Level 1 Review:	that are outside control limits?					
in the Analysis Summary workbook tab in the VOC canister analysis QC summary report correctly calculate the RPD values? Is the data recorded in the Retention Time Summary workbook tab match the data in the calibration folder summary file (.csv format) and the current run folder summary file (.csv format) and the current run folder summary file (.csv format) and the current run folder summary may workbook tab determining the correct average and upper and lower control limits? Do the data recorded in the Internal Standard Summary report match the data in the "Env_Results_calibration curve run folder name" and the "Env_Results_current run folder name" (buth "files" are extracted from pdf "Env_Results02" reports)? If no, list mis-matched sample# and file name in the comment Level 2 Reviewer only: Are the calculations in the Internal Standard Summary Report correctly determining the correct average and upper and lower control limits? Is the data recorded in the VOC canister COC form QC summary report match the recorded data in the canister COC forms? Does the canister cleaning data recorded in the VOC canister COC forms? Does the canister cleaning data recorded in the VOC canister COC forms? Level 2 Reviewer only: Do the condition formats and embedded calculations in the VOC canister COC form QC summary report correctly identify out-of- control data and correctly calculate clean canister holding times and sampled canister holding times? Level 1 Reviewer: Date of Level 1 Review:	Level 2 Reviewer only: Do the formulas embedded					
canister analysis QC summary report correctly calculate the RPD values? Is the data recorded in the Retention Time Summary workbook tab match the data in the calibration curve summary file (.csv format) and the current run folder summary file (.csv format)? Level 2 Reviewer only: Are the calculation in the retention time summary workbook tab determining the correct average and upper and lower control limits? Do the data recorded in the Internal Standard Summary report match the data in the "Env_Results_calibration curve run folder name" and the "Env_Results_calibration curve run folder name" and the "Env_Results_calibration curve run folder name" (both "files" are extracted from pdf "Env, ResultSQ2" reports)? If no, list mis-matched sample# and file name in the comment Level 2 Reviewer only: Are the calculations in the Internal Standard Summary Report correctly determining the correct average and upper and lower control limits? Is the data recorded in the VOC canister COC form QC summary report match the recorded data in the canister COC forms? Level 2 Reviewer only: Do the condition formats and embedded calculations in the VOC canister COC form QC summary report match the canister cleaning records? Level 2 Reviewer only: Do the condition formats and embedded calculations in the VOC canister COC form QC summary report correctly identify out-of- control data and correctly calculate clean canister holding times and sampled canister holding times? Level 1 Reviewer: Date of Level 1 Review:	in the Analysis Summary workbook tab in the VOC					
calculate the RPD values? Is the data recorded in the Retention Time Summary workbook tab match the data in the calibration curve summary file (.csv format) and the current run folder summary file (.csv format)? Level 2 Reviewer only: Are the calculation in the retention time summary workbook tab determining the correct average and upper and lower control limits? Do the data recorded in the Internal Standard Summary report match the data in the "Env_Results_calibration curve run folder name" (both "files" are extracted from pdf "Env_Results02" reports)? If no, list mis-matched sample# and file name in the comment Level 2 Reviewer only: Are the calculations in the Internal Standard Summary Report correctly determining the correct average and upper and lower control limits? Does the canister cleaning data recorded in the VOC canister COC form QC summary report match the canister cleaning records? Level 1 Reviewer: Date of Level 1 Review:	canister analysis QC summary report correctly					
Is the data recorded in the Retention Time Summary workbook tab match the data in the calibration curve summary file (.csv format) and the current run folder summary file (.csv format)?  Level 2 Reviewer only: Are the calculation in the retention time summary workbook tab determining the correct average and upper and lower control limits? Do the data recorded in the Internal Standard Summary report match the data in the "Env_Results_calibration curve run folder name" and the "Env_Results_current run folder name" (both "files" are extracted from pdf "Env_Results02" reports)? If no, list mis-matched sample# and file name in the comment Level 2 Reviewer only: Are the calculations in the level 2 Reviewer only: Are the calculations in the Canister COC form Q C summary report match the recorded data in the canister COC form Q? Level 2 Reviewer only: Do the condition formats and embedded calculations in the VOC control data and correctly calculate clean canister holding times and sampled canister holding times? Level 1 Reviewer: Date of Level 1 Review:	calculate the RPD values?					
workbook tab match the data in the calibration curve summary file (.csv format) and the current run folder summary file (.csv format)? Level 2 Reviewer only: Are the calculation in the retention time summary workbook tab determining the correct average and upper and lower control limits? Do the data recorded in the Internal Standard Summary report match the data in the "Env_Results_calibration curve run folder name" and the "Env_Results_current run folder name" (both "files" are extracted from pdf "Env_ResultsO2" reports)? If no, list mis-matched sample# and file name in the comment Level 2 Reviewer only: Are the calculations in the Internal Standard Summary Report correctly determining the correct average and upper and lower control limits? Is the data recorded in the VOC canister COC form QC summary report match the recorded data in the canister COC form QS summary report match the canister cleaning data recorded in the VOC canister COC form QS Level 2 Reviewer only: Do the condition formats and embedded calculations in the VOC canister COC form QC summary report so the VOC canister COC form QC summary report correctly identify out-of- control data and correctly calculate clean canister holding times and sampled canister holding times?	Is the data recorded in the Retention Time Summary					
curve summary file (.csv format) and the current run folder summary file (.csv format)? Level 2 Reviewer only: Are the calculation in the retention time summary workbook tab determining the correct average and upper and lower control limits? Do the data recorded in the Internal Standard Summary report match the data in the "Env_Results_calibration curve run folder name" and the "Env_Results_current run folder name" (both "files" are extracted from pdf "Env_Results02" reports)? If no, list mis-matched sample# and file name in the comment Level 2 Reviewer only: Are the calculations in the Internal Standard Summary Report correctly determining the correct average and upper and lower control limits? Does the canister cleaning data recorded in the VOC canister COC forms? Does the canister cleaning data recorded in the VOC canister COC form QC summary report match the canister cleaning records? Level 1 Reviewer: Date of Level 1 Review:	workbook tab match the data in the calibration					
tolder summary lie (.csv tormat)?         Level 2 Reviewer only: Are the calculation in the retention time summary workbook tab determining the correct average and upper and lower control limits?         Do the data recorded in the Internal Standard         Summary report match the data in the "Env_Results_calibration curve run folder name" and the "Env_Results_calibration curve run folder name" (both "files" are extracted from pdf "Env_Results02" reports)? If no, list mis-matched sampleff and file name in the comment Level 2 Reviewer only: Are the calculations in the Internal Standard Summary Report correctly determining the correct average and upper and lower control limits?         Is the data recorded in the VOC canister COC form QC summary report match the recorded data in the canister COC form 20 C summary report match the canister coll form of C summary report match the canister COC form 20 C summary report correctly identify out-of-control data and correctly calculate clean canister holding times?         Level 1 Reviewer:       Date of Level 1 Review:	curve summary file (.csv format) and the current run					
Level 1 Reviewer of by: Are the calculation in the         retention time summary report         pothe data recorded in the Internal Standard         Summary report match the data in the         "Env_Results_calibration curve run folder name"         and the "Env_Results_current run folder name"         and the "Env_Results_current run folder name"         (both "files" are extracted from pdf "Env_Results02"         reports)? If no, list mis-matched sample# and file         name in the comment         Level 2 Reviewer only: Are the calculations in the         Internal Standard Summary Report correctly         determining the correct average and upper and         lower control limits?         Is the data recorded in the VOC canister COC form         QC summary report match the recorded data in the         canister COC forms?         Does the canister cleaning data recorded in the VOC canister COC form QC summary report match the         canister COC form QC summary report match the         canister cleaning records?         Level 2 Reviewer only: Do the condition formats         and embedded calculations in the VOC canister COC         form QC summary report correctly identify out-of-         control data and correctly calculate clean canister         holding times and sampled canister holding times?	folder summary file (.csv format)?					
Internation time summary workbook tab determining Imits? Do the data recorded in the Internal Standard Summary report match the data in the "Env_Results_calibration curve run folder name" (both "files" are extracted from pdf "Env_Results02" reports)? If no, list mis-matched sample# and file name in the comment Level 2 Reviewer only: Are the calculations in the linternal Standard Summary Report correctly determining the correct average and upper and lower control limits? Is the data recorded in the VOC canister COC form QC summary report match the recorded data in the canister COC forms? Does the canister cleaning data recorded in the VOC canister COC form QC summary report match the canister cleaning records? Level 2 Reviewer only: Do the condition formats and embedded calculations in the VOC canister COC form QC summary report correctly identify out-of- control data and correctly calculate clean canister holding times and sampled canister holding times?	Level 2 Reviewer only: Are the calculation in the					
Imits?       Imits?         Do the data recorded in the Internal Standard       Imits?         Summary report match the data in the       "Env_Results_calibration curve run folder name"         (both "files" are extracted from pdf "Env_Results02"       reports)?         reports)? If no, list mis-matched sample# and file       Imits?         Level 2 Reviewer only: Are the calculations in the       Imits?         Is the data recorded in the VOC canister COC form       QC summary report match the recorded data in the         Canister COC forms?       Imits?         Do she canister cleaning data recorded in the VOC canister COC form       Imits?         Level 2 Reviewer only: Do the condition formats       Imits?         and embedded calculations in the VOC canister COC form QC summary report correctly identify out-of-control data and correctly calculate clean canister holding times?       Imits?         Level 1 Reviewer:       Date of Level 1 Review:	the correct average and upper and lower control					
Do the data recorded in the Internal Standard Summary report match the data in the "Env_Results_calibration curve run folder name" and the "Env_Results_current run folder name" (both "files" are extracted from pdf "Env_Results02" reports)? If no, list mis-matched sample# and file name in the comment Level 2 Reviewer only: Are the calculations in the Internal Standard Summary Report correctly determining the correct average and upper and lower control limits? Is the data recorded in the VOC canister COC form QC summary report match the recorded data in the canister COC form? Does the canister cleaning data recorded in the VOC canister COC form QC summary report match the canister cleaning records? Level 2 Reviewer only: Do the condition formats and embedded calculations in the VOC canister COC form QC summary report correctly identify out-of- control data and correctly calculate clean canister holding times and sampled canister holding times?  Level 1 Reviewer: Date of Level 1 Review:	limits?					
Summary report match the data in the "Env_Results_calibration curve run folder name" and the "Env_Results_current run folder name" (both "files" are extracted from pdf "Env_Results02" reports)? If no, list mis-matched sample# and file name in the comment Level 2 Reviewer only: Are the calculations in the Internal Standard Summary Report correctly determining the correct average and upper and lower control limits? Is the data recorded in the VOC canister COC form QC summary report match the recorded data in the canister COC forms? Does the canister cleaning data recorded in the VOC canister cOC form QC summary report match the canister cOC form QC summary report match the canister cole form QC canister COC form QC summary report correctly identify out-of- control data and correctly calculate clean canister holding times and sampled canister holding times? Level 1 Reviewer: Date of Level 1 Review:	Do the data recorded in the Internal Standard					
"Env_Results_calibration curve run folder name" and the "Env_Results_current run folder name" (both "files" are extracted from pdf "Env_Results02" reports)? If no, list mis-matched sample# and file name in the comment Level 2 Reviewer only: Are the calculations in the Internal Standard Summary Report correctly determining the correct average and upper and lower control limits? Is the data recorded in the VOC canister COC form QC summary report match the recorded data in the canister COC form 9C summary report match the canister color form QC summary report correctly identify out-of- control data and correctly calculate clean canister holding times and sampled canister holding times? Level 1 Reviewer: Date of Level 1 Review:	Summary report match the data in the					
and the "Env_Results_current run folder name" (both "files" are extracted from pdf "Env_Results02" reports)? If no, list mis-matched sample# and file name in the comment Level 2 Reviewer only: Are the calculations in the Internal Standard Summary Report correctly determining the correct average and upper and lower control limits? Is the data recorded in the VOC canister COC form QC summary report match the recorded data in the canister COC forms? Does the canister cleaning data recorded in the VOC canister COC form QC summary report match the canister cleaning records? Level 2 Reviewer only: Do the condition formats and embedded calculations in the VOC canister COC form QC summary report correctly identify out-of- control data and correctly calculate clean canister holding times and sampled canister holding times? Date of Level 1 Reviewer: Date of Level 1 Review:	"Env_Results_calibration curve run folder name"					
(both "files" are extracted from pdf "Env_Results02"         reports)? If no, list mis-matched sample# and file         name in the comment         Level 2 Reviewer only: Are the calculations in the         Internal Standard Summary Report correctly         determining the correct average and upper and         lower control limits?         Is the data recorded in the VOC canister COC form         QC summary report match the recorded data in the         canister COC forms?         Does the canister cleaning data recorded in the VOC         canister COC form QC summary report match the         canister cleaning records?         Level 2 Reviewer only: Do the condition formats         and embedded calculations in the VOC canister COC         form QC summary report correctly identify out-of-         control data and correctly calculate clean canister         holding times and sampled canister holding times?         Level 1 Reviewer:	and the "Env_Results_current run folder name"					
reports)? If no, list mis-matched sample# and file name in the comment Level 2 Reviewer only: Are the calculations in the Internal Standard Summary Report correctly determining the correct average and upper and lower control limits? Is the data recorded in the VOC canister COC form QC summary report match the recorded data in the canister COC forms? Does the canister cleaning data recorded in the VOC canister COC form QC summary report match the canister cleaning records? Level 2 Reviewer only: Do the condition formats and embedded calculations in the VOC canister COC form QC summary report correctly identify out-of- control data and correctly calculate clean canister holding times and sampled canister holding times?  Level 1 Reviewer: Date of Level 1 Review:	(both "files" are extracted from pdf "Env_Results02"					
name in the comment	reports)? If no, list mis-matched sample# and file					
Level 1 Reviewer:	name in the comment					
International statuting the correct average and upper and lower control limits?       Is the data recorded in the VOC canister COC form QC summary report match the recorded data in the canister cleaning data recorded in the VOC canister COC form?         Does the canister cleaning data recorded in the VOC canister COC form QC summary report match the canister cleaning records?       Is the data recorded in the VOC canister COC form QC summary report match the canister cleaning records?         Level 2 Reviewer only: Do the condition formats and embedded calculations in the VOC canister COC form QC summary report correctly identify out-off-control data and correctly calculate clean canister holding times and sampled canister holding times?       Date of Level 1 Review:	Internal Standard Summany Report correctly					
Is the data recorded in the VOC canister COC form QC summary report match the recorded data in the canister COC forms? Does the canister cleaning data recorded in the VOC canister COC form QC summary report match the canister cleaning records? Level 2 Reviewer only: Do the condition formats and embedded calculations in the VOC canister COC form QC summary report correctly identify out-of- control data and correctly calculate clean canister holding times and sampled canister holding times? Level 1 Reviewer: Date of Level 1 Review:	determining the correct average and upper and					
Is the data recorded in the VOC canister COC form QC summary report match the recorded data in the canister COC forms? Does the canister cleaning data recorded in the VOC canister COC form QC summary report match the canister cleaning records? Level 2 Reviewer only: Do the condition formats and embedded calculations in the VOC canister COC form QC summary report correctly identify out-of- control data and correctly calculate clean canister holding times and sampled canister holding times? Level 1 Reviewer: Date of Level 1 Review:	lower control limits?					
QC summary report match the recorded data in the canister COC forms?	s the data recorded in the VOC canister COC form					
canister COC forms? Does the canister cleaning data recorded in the VOC canister COC form QC summary report match the canister cleaning records? Level 2 Reviewer only: Do the condition formats and embedded calculations in the VOC canister COC form QC summary report correctly identify out-of- control data and correctly calculate clean canister holding times and sampled canister holding times? Level 1 Reviewer: Date of Level 1 Review:	QC summary report match the recorded data in the					
Does the canister cleaning data recorded in the VOC canister COC form QC summary report match the canister cleaning records? Level 2 Reviewer only: Do the condition formats and embedded calculations in the VOC canister COC form QC summary report correctly identify out-of- control data and correctly calculate clean canister holding times and sampled canister holding times? Level 1 Reviewer: Date of Level 1 Review:	canister COC forms?					
canister COC form QC summary report match the canister cleaning records? Level 2 Reviewer only: Do the condition formats and embedded calculations in the VOC canister COC form QC summary report correctly identify out-of- control data and correctly calculate clean canister holding times and sampled canister holding times? Level 1 Reviewer: Date of Level 1 Review:	Does the canister cleaning data recorded in the VOC					
canister cleaning records?         Level 2 Reviewer only: Do the condition formats         and embedded calculations in the VOC canister COC         form QC summary report correctly identify out-of-         control data and correctly calculate clean canister         holding times and sampled canister holding times?         Level 1 Reviewer:	canister COC form QC summary report match the					
Level 2 Reviewer only: Do the condition formats and embedded calculations in the VOC canister COC form QC summary report correctly identify out-of- control data and correctly calculate clean canister holding times and sampled canister holding times? Level 1 Reviewer: Date of Level 1 Review:	canister cleaning records?					
In the voce can be a voce and the voce can be a voce of the voce can be a voce of the voce can be a voce of the vo	Level 2 Reviewer only: Do the condition formats					
Level 1 Reviewer:	form OC summany report correctly identify out of					
holding times and sampled canister holding times?         Level 1 Reviewer:    Date of Level 1 Review:	control data and correctly calculate clean canister					
Level 1 Reviewer: Date of Level 1 Review:	holding times and sampled canister holding times?					
Level 1 Reviewer: Date of Level 1 Review:	× i ×					
	Level 1 Reviewer:		Date	ofLevel	1 Rev	ew:
			Dute		-	
Data at Javal 2 Raview	Level 3 Reviewer		Data	of Louis	2 Pour	