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## 1 Introduction

Environmental justice is the fair treatment and meaningful involvement of all people regardless of race, color, national origin, or income, with respect to the development, implementation, and enforcement of environmental laws, regulations, and policies (US EPA). This evaluation examines the demographic and environmental conditions in Wayne County, in Census Tract 6.02, and the one-mile radius around the property boundary of the Flowers Timber Company facility. Finally, the demographics of the entire state of North Carolina are also considered as they compare to both the county and the local census tract and radius settings.

The primary goal of this EJ Report is to encourage comments and suggestions from the surrounding community, industry, and environmental groups throughout the comment period. Public comments will be considered throughout the remainder of the comment period to inform the Final EJ Report.

## 2 Environmental Justice Evaluation

The Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ or Department) has assessed the permit application and the demographics of the communities in the area surrounding the proposed project. Accordingly, this EJ Report includes:

- Permit application submitted by Flowers Timber Company
- Facility emissions overview
- Study of area demographics [determined by utilizing the US EPA Environmental Justice tool (EJSCREEN) https://ejscreen.epa.gov/mapper/ and current, available census data. https://data.census.gov/cedsci/]
- Comparison of local area demographics to the county and statewide census data
- County health assessment
- Sensitive receptors surrounding the area
- Local industrial sites (using the NCDEQ Community Mapping System https://ncdenr.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=1eb0fbe2bcfb $4 \mathrm{cccb} 3 \mathrm{cc} 212 \mathrm{af8a0b8c8})$.

Demographics for Wayne County and the state are compared to the local (census tracts and project radius) level data to identify any disparities surrounding the project area using standard environmental justice guidelines from the EPA and National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation. Certain areas will be flagged as potentially underserved communities using criteria set out in more detail in Section 5: Regional and Local Settings.

## 3 Proposed Project

Flowers Timber Company has a North Carolina air quality permit (10549R00) for emission sources associated with sawmill and log fumigation operations. The facility has submitted a permit modification application to incorporate requirements established under the 15A NCAC 2D . 0546 "Control of Emissions from Log Fumigation Operations" regulation, which became effective November 1, 2020. Flowers Timber Company plans to contract with Ecolab Inc. to
fumigate logs under tarpaulins using methyl bromide, and to fumigate other commodities inside of containers using phosphine. The facility has requested to retain synthetic minor status; therefore, the annual emission limit for methyl bromide will remain 10 tons per year in the permit.

Emissions modeling was submitted with the application to demonstrate compliance with methyl bromide and phosphine Acceptable Ambient Levels (AALs) provided in 15A NCAC 2D. 1100 "Control of Toxic Air Pollutants." Fugitive emissions are considered in the modeling. Based upon the modeling results, the application requests emission limits of 1,584 pounds per day and 19,999 pounds per year for methyl bromide, and 1.15 pounds per hour for phosphine.

Both methyl bromide and phosphine emissions will vent to a single stack ( 2 feet in diameter, 40 feet minimum height, and 57.6 feet per second minimum velocity) that will be permanently constructed at the location dictated by the modeling ${ }^{1}$.

Table 1. Facility Emissions Overview

| Pollutant | Expected Actual <br> Emissions <br> (tons/yr) | Potential <br> Emissions <br> Before Controls <br> (tons/yr) | Potential <br> Emissions <br> After Controls <br> (tons/yr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PM | 7.30 | 53.95 | 7.30 |
| $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$ | 4.80 | 35.40 | 4.80 |
| $\mathrm{PM}_{2.5}$ | 3.52 | 25.63 | 3.52 |
| $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ | 0.03 | 0.15 | 0.03 |
| NOx | 97.84 | 699.71 | 97.84 |
| CO | 19.57 | 139.94 | 19.57 |
| VOC | 13.12 | 321.86 | 13.12 |
| Highest Individual <br> HAP (Methanol) | $2,050 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{yr}$ | $5,470 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{yr}$ | $2,050 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{yr}$ |
| Total HAP | $2,180 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{yr}$ | $6,360 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{yr}$ | $2,180 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{yr}$ |

## 4 Geographic Area

The Flowers Timber Company facility is located at 140 Greenfield Cemetery Rd. Seven Springs, NC 28578 (Figure 1). The highest off-site ambient air impacts will occur at the plant fence line. A one-mile radius was used to evaluate the local demographics and socioeconomics to appropriately include the surrounding community and help inform public outreach efforts. The one-mile buffer around the proposed facility is located wholly within Wayne County.

[^0]

Figure 1. Flowers Timber Company location with the one-mile radius.
Wayne County is designated as a Tier 1 county by the NC Department of Commerce 2021 rankings. According to the Department of Commerce, Tier 1 counties encompass the 40 most distressed counties based on average rate, median household income, percentage growth in population, and adjusted property tax per capita. Tier 2 counties encompass the next 40 counties based on this ranking system ${ }^{2}$. Flowers Timber

Company and the one-mile radius is located within Census Tract 6.02 in Wayne County (Figure 2). Census tracts are small, relatively permanent statistical subdivisions of a county with a unique numeric code (US Census Bureau). The census tract does not encompass land within a state-designated tribal statistical area.


Figure 2. Census tract encompassing the facility location and one-mile radius.

## 5 Regional and Local Settings

The following sections on race and ethnicity, age and sex, disability, poverty, household income, and Limited English Proficiency (LEP) populations are based on U.S. Census Bureau data, first at a state and county level (regional setting), and then at a census tractand project-radius level (local setting). The surrounding census tracts included are those that overlap into the one-mile radius. Demographics of the county will be compared to the local level data to identify any disparities surrounding the project area. Using standard environmental justice guidelines from the EPA and NEPA documentation, the following conditions will be flagged as potentially underserved communities:

1. $10 \%$ or more in comparison to the county or state average
2. $50 \%$ or more minority
3. $5 \%$ or more in comparison to the county or state average for poverty

For example, if a census tract has $35 \%$ of the population classified as low income but the county consists of $30 \%$ low income, the census tract would exceed the county average by $16.7 \%$ and thus be flagged as a potential area of concern. For this report, census data from 2010 and census data estimates from 2011-2015 and 2018 were used. 2010 Census Bureau data is real data gathered every ten years, whereas the estimates from the more recent years are modeled based on the real data. For the data gathered from the 2018 and 2011-2015 estimates, the margin of error (MOE) has been included. This value is a measure of the possible variation of the estimate around the population value (U.S. Census Bureau). The Census Bureau standard for the MOE is at the $90 \%$ confidence level and may be any number between 0 and the MOE value in either direction (indicated by $+/$-).

### 5.1 Race and Ethnicity

## Regional Setting

According to the 2010 US Census Data Table 9: Hispanic or Latino, and Not Hispanic or Latino by Race, North Carolina's population totaled $9,535,483$ individuals (Table 2). The three most common racial groups across the state were White (65.3\%), Black or African American (21.2\%), and Hispanic or Latino (of any race) at 8.4\%.

Wayne County had a total population of 122,623 individuals (Table 2). The three most common racial or ethnic groups in Wayne County were White (55.6\%), Black or African American (31.1\%), and Hispanic or Latino (9.9\%). Black or African American and Hispanic or Latino (of any race) were both greater than $10 \%$ different when compared to the state.

Table 2. Regional Setting - Race and Ethnicity

|  | North Carolina |  | Wayne County |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race and Ethnicity | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Total Population | $9,535,483$ | $100.0 \%$ | 122,623 | $100.0 \%$ |
| White | $6,223,995$ | $65.3 \%$ | 68,216 | $55.6 \%$ |
| Black or African American | $2,019,854$ | $21.2 \%$ | 38,107 | $31.1 \%$ |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 108,829 | $1.1 \%$ | 333 | $0.3 \%$ |
| Asian | 206,579 | $2.2 \%$ | 1,405 | $1.1 \%$ |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander | 5,259 | $0.1 \%$ | 47 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Some other Race | 15,088 | $0.2 \%$ | 231 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Two or More Races | 155,759 | $1.6 \%$ | 2,122 | $1.7 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| HISPANIC OR LATINO (of any race) | 800,120 | $8.4 \%$ | 410,162 | $9.9 \%$ |
| Soure US Censu Bun |  |  |  |  |

Source: US Census Bureau, 2010 Census
All bolded and orange highlighted cells indicate a difference that is greater than $10 \%$ different when compared to the State.

## Local Setting

According to the 2010 US Census Data Table 9: Hispanic or Latino, and Not Hispanic or Latino by race or ethnicity, the largest population within Census Tract 6.02 was White at $41.9 \%$, followed by Hispanic or Latino at $40.4 \%$. Within the one-mile radius, White was the largest population (65.0\%), followed by Black or African American (15.0\%). For both Census Tract 6.02 and the one-mile radius, Hispanic or Latino of any race was greater than $10 \%$ different when compared to the county and state (Table 3). Some other race and two or more races were greater than $10 \%$ different in the one-mile radius when compared to the county and state.

Table 3. Local Setting - Race and Ethnicity

| Subject | Project Area - 1 Mile |  | Census Tract 6.02 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race and Ethnicity | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Total Population | 1,311 | $100.0 \%$ | 8,980 | $100.0 \%$ |
| White | 851 | $65.0 \%$ | 3,763 | $41.9 \%$ |
| Black or African American | 200 | $15.0 \%$ | 1,444 | $16.1 \%$ |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 5 | $0.0 \%$ | 22 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Asian | 3 | $0.0 \%$ | 21 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific <br> Islander | 1 | $0.0 \%$ | 1 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Some other Race | 217 | $\mathbf{1 7 . 0 \%}$ | 20 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Two or More Races | 35 | $3.0 \%$ | 83 | $0.9 \%$ |
|  | 434 | $33.0 \%$ | 3,626 | $40.4 \%$ |
| HISPANIC OR LATINO (of any <br> race) |  |  |  |  |
| Sole: US Ces Bue |  |  |  |  |

Source: US Census Bureau, ACS 2018 5-year Estimates
All bolded and orange highlighted cells indicate a difference that is greater than $10 \%$ when compared to the State All bolded and blue highlighted cells indicate a difference that is greater than $10 \%$ when compared to both the County and the State

### 5.2 Age and Sex

## Regional Setting

According to the 2010 US Census Data Table P 12: Sex by Age, and Table P13: Median Age, North Carolina had a total population of 9,535,483 individuals (Table 4). The largest percentage of the total state population (63.1\%) was between the ages of 18 and 64, followed by under 18 years (23.9\%), and 65 years and older (12.9\%).

Wayne County had a total population of 185,290 individuals. The largest percentage of the total county population was between the ages of 18 and 64 ( $62.0 \%$ ), followed by under 18 years (17.7\%). The median age was slightly lower for the county than the state.

Table 4. Regional Setting - Age Groups and Sex

|  | North Carolina |  |  |  |  |  | Wayne County |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Number |  |  | Percent |  |  | Number |  |  | Percent |  |  |
|  | Both sexes | Male | Female | Both sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female |
| Total Population | 9,535,438 | 4,645,492 | 4,889,991 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 122,623 | 59,956 | 62,667 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Under 5 years | 632,040 | 322,871 | 309,169 | 6.6\% | 7.0\% | 6.3\% | 8,765 | 4,489 | 4,276 | 7.1\% | 7.5\% | 6.8\% |
| Under 18 years | 2,281,635 | 1,167,303 | 1,114,332 | 23.9\% | 25.1\% | 22.8\% | 21,719 | 11,119 | 10,600 | 17.7\% | 18.5\% | 16.9\% |
| 18 to 64 years | 6,019,769 | 2,954,233 | 3,056,536 | 63.1\% | 63.6\% | 62.7\% | 76,061 | 37,769 | 38,292 | 62.0\% | 63.0\% | 61.1\% |
| 65 years and over | 1,234,079 | 523,956 | 710,123 | 12.9\% | 11.3\% | 14.5\% | 16,078 | 6,579 | 9,499 | 13.1\% | 11.0\% | 15.2\% |
| Median Age | 37.4 | 36 | 38.7 |  |  |  | 36.6 | 34.6 | 38.5 |  |  |  |

Source: US Census Bureau, 2010 Census
All bolded and orange cells indicate a difference that is greater than $10 \%$ when compared to the State

## Local Setting

According to the 2010 US Census Data Table P 12: Sex by Age, and Table P13: Median Age, Census Tract 6.02 had similar median ages compared to the state and county (Table 5).

Table 5. Local Setting - Age Groups and Sex

|  | Census Tract 6.02 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Number |  |  | Percent |  |  |
|  | Both sexes | Male | Female | Both sexes | Male | Female |
| Total Population | 3,370 | 1,973 | 2,201 | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| Under 5 years | 325 | 159 | 166 | $9.6 \%$ | $8.1 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ |
| Under 18 years | 1,139 | 555 | 584 | $33.8 \%$ | $28.1 \%$ | $26.5 \%$ |
| 18 to 64 years | 2,499 | 1,169 | 1,330 | $74.2 \%$ | $59.2 \%$ | $60.4 \%$ |
| 65 years and | 536 | 249 | 287 | $15.9 \%$ | $12.6 \%$ | $13.0 \%$ |
| over | 37.6 | 37.9 | 37.3 |  |  |  |
| Median Age | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: US Census Bureau, ACS 2018 5-year Estimates
All bolded and orange highlighted cells indicate a difference that is greater than $10 \%$ when compared to the State All bolded and blue highlighted cells indicate a difference that is greater than $10 \%$ when compared to both the County and the State

## Project Radius

EJSCREEN identified a population of 546 individuals within the one-mile radius. The largest population was $18+$ years ( $73.0 \%$ ), followed by under 18 years at $27.0 \%$ (Table 6 ). EJSCREEN does not provide all of the same data to compare to the county and state.

Table 6. Project Radius - Age Groups and Sex

| Age | Project Area - 1 Miles |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number |  |  | Percent |  |  |
|  | Both sexes | Male | Female | Both sexes | Male | Female |
| Total Population | 546 | 273 | 273 | $100.0 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ |
| Under 5 years | 43 |  |  | $8.0 \%$ |  |  |
| Under 18 years | 145 |  |  | $27.0 \%$ |  |  |
| 18+ | 401 |  |  | $73.0 \%$ |  |  |
| 65 years and over | 56 |  |  | $10.0 \%$ |  |  |
| Source: US Census Bureau, 2010 Census. Obtained through EJSCREEN 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 5.3 Disability

## Regional Setting

According to the 2019 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates, Table S1810 Disability Characteristics from the US Census Bureau, the state of North Carolina had an estimated total population of 9,952,031 noninstitutionalized citizens. Of those individuals, an estimated $13.6 \%$ (MOE +/- $0.1 \%$ ) had a disability. The largest population of disabled civilians were 75 years and over ( $50.1 \%$, MOE $+/-0.4 \%$ ). The second largest population was the 65 years to 74 years at $26.6 \%$ (MOE +/- 0.3\%). By race, American Indian and Alaskan Native had the highest estimated disability rate of $18.5 \%$ (MOE +/- $0.8 \%$ ). Black
or African American, White, and Two or More Races had the next three highest population estimates with disabilities in North Carolina, at 14.8\% (MOE +/-0.2\%), 14.6\% (MOE +/$0.1 \%$ ), and $11.4 \%$ (MOE +/- 0.5\%), respectively (Table 7).

Wayne County had an estimated total population of 119,247 noninstitutionalized citizens. Of those, an estimated $16.3 \%$ ( $\mathrm{MOE}+/-0.9 \%$ ) had a disability. The largest population of disabled civilians was the population 75 years and over (53.9\%, MOE +/-4.0\%). By race, only White and Black or African American had estimates greater than 10\% different when compared to the state.

Table 7. Regional Setting - Disability

| Subject | North Carolina |  |  |  |  |  | Wayne County |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  | With a Disability |  | Percent with a Disability |  | Total |  | With a Disability |  | Percent with a Disability |  |
|  | Estimate | Margin of Error +/- | Estimate | Margin of Error +/- | Estimate | Margin of Error +/- | Estimate | Margin of Error +/- | Estimate | Margin of Error +/- | Estimate | Margin of Error +/- |
| Total civilian noninstitutionalized population | 9,952,031 | 1,743 | 1,350,533 | 7,387 | 13.6\% | 0.1\% | 119,247 | 490 | 19,380 | 1,104 | 16.3\% | 0.9\% |
| Population under 5 years | 603,155 | 767 | 4,761 | 613 | 0.8\% | 0.1\% | 8,244 | 31 | 12,233 | 99 | 1.8\% | 1.2\% |
| Population 5 to 17 years | 1,685,827 | 941 | 94,822 | 2,522 | 5.6\% | 0.2\% | 21,152 | 41 | 6,720 | 304 | 6.5\% | 1.4\% |
| Population 18 to 34 years | 2,216,915 | 1,791 | 146,329 | 3,165 | 6.6\% | 0.1\% | 25,683 | 453 | 32 | 301 | 6.7\% | 1.2\% |
| Population 35 to 64 years | 3,915,727 | 1,742 | 556,505 | 5,319 | 14.2\% | 0.1\% | 44,783 | 258 | 61 | 698 | 18.5\% | 1.5\% |
| Population 65 to 74 years | 932,178 | 1,157 | 248,418 | 2,902 | 26.6\% | 0.3\% | 11,461 | 143 | 0 | 374 | 31.0\% | 3.2\% |
| Population 75 years and over | 598,229 | 982 | 299,698 | 2,471 | 50.1\% | 0.4\% | 7,924 | 90 | 60 | 368 | 53.9\% | 4.0\% |
| SEX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 4,786,233 | 2,284 | 647,158 | 4,946 | 13.5\% | 0.1\% | 58,222 | 402 | 9,454 | 758 | 16.6\% | 1.3\% |
| Female | 5,165,798 | 1,829 | 703,375 | 5,616 | 13.6\% | 0.1\% | 62,425 | 226 | 9,926 | 712 | 15.9\% | 1.1\% |
| RACE AND HISPANIC OR LATINO ORIGIN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White (not Hispanic or Latino) | 6,316,065 | 2,254 | 920,269 | 6,535 | 14.6\% | 0.1\% | 76,001 | 387 | 11,769 | 863 | 18.5\% | 1.3\% |
| Black or African American | 2,123,353 | 5,762 | 314,216 | 3,706 | 14.8\% | 0.2\% | 36,749 | 699 | 6,720 | 709 | 18.4\% | 1.9\% |
| American Indian and Alaska Native | 118,231 | 1,604 | 21,874 | 929 | 18.5\% | 0.8\% | 317 | 88 | 32 | 27 | 10.1\% | 8.6\% |
| Asian | 279,615 | 2,022 | 13,450 | 961 | 4.8\% | 0.3\% | 1323 | 239 | 61 | 46 | 4.6\% | 3.5\% |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander | 6,447 | 668 | 635 | 178 | 9.8\% | 2.7\% | 19 | 26 | 0 | 29 | 0.0\% | 70.6\% |
| Some other Race | 303,837 | 7,743 | 16,218 | 1,100 | 5.3\% | 0.4\% | 1,331 | 482 | 60 | 48 | 4.5\% | 3.8\% |
| Two or more races | 255,739 | 6,070 | 29,063 | 1,446 | 11.4\% | 0.5\% |  | 670 | 274 | 102 | 7.3\% | 2.7\% |
| Hispanic or Latino | 916,366 | 863 | 57,239 | 1,970 | 6.2\% | 0.2\% | 14226 | 104 | 589 | 218 | 4.1\% | 1.5\% |

Source: US Census Bureau, ACS 2018 5-year Estimates
All bolded and orange highlighted cells indicate a difference that is greater than $10 \%$ when compared to the State

## Local Setting

According to the 2019 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates, Table S1810 Disability Characteristics from the US Census Bureau, Census Tract 6.02 in Wayne County had an estimated total population of 8,684 noninstitutionalized citizens (Table 8). Of those individuals, an estimated $11.7 \%$ (MOE $+/-0.9$ ) had a disability. The racial group with the largest population of disabled civilians was White alone, not Hispanic or Latino ( $20.6 \%$, MOE $+/-8.3 \%$ ). The population groups of under 5 years, 5 to 17 years, 75 years and over, male, White, and Black or African American had an estimate that was greater than $10 \%$ different when compared to the County and the state or just the state.

Table 8. Local Setting - Disability

| Subject | Census Tract 6.02 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  | With a Disability |  |  |  | Percent with a Disability

Source: US Census Bureau, ACS 2018 5-year Estimates
All bolded and orange highlighted cells indicate a difference that is greater than $10 \%$ when compared to the State
All bolded and blue highlighted cells indicate a difference that is greater than $10 \%$ when compared to both the
County and the State

### 5.4 Poverty

## Regional Setting

According to the Census Table S1701, Poverty Status in the Past 12 Months, 2019 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates, from the US Census Bureau, North Carolina had an estimated population of $9,984,891$, with $14.7 \%$ (MOE +/- $0.2 \%$ ) below the poverty level (Table 9). Across all subjects, Some Other Race had the highest percent living below the poverty level at $27.2 \%$ (MOE +/- 1.2\%). The next three subjects with the highest poverty level were Hispanic or Latino at $26.4 \%$ (MOE +/- 0.6\%), American Indian and Alaska Native at 24.9\% (MOE +/- 1.3\%), and Black or African American at $22.5 \%$ (MOE +/- 0.4\%). The age group with the highest population below poverty was under 18 (21.2\%, MOE +/- $0.4 \%$ ) followed by 18 to 64 years ( $13.8 \%$, MOE +/- 0.2\%).

Wayne County had an estimated population of 120,420 with $20.2 \%$ (MOE +/-1.2\%) living below the poverty level. Across all subjects, Hispanic or Latino origin (of any race) had the highest percent living below the poverty level at $40.5 \%$ (MOE $+/-6.3 \%$ ). The next subjects with the highest poverty level were Some other race at $39.9 \%$ (MOE +/- 15.9\%) and under 18 years at 32.8\% (MOE +/- $3.0 \%$ ). Most subject groups had a greater than $5 \%$ difference when compared to the state.

Table 9. Poverty - Regional Setting

|  | North Carolina |  |  |  |  |  | Wayne County |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  | Below poverty level |  | Percent below poverty level |  | Total |  | Below poverty level |  | Percent below poverty level |  |
|  | Estimate | Margin of Error +/- | Estimate | Margin of Error +/- | Estimate | Margin of Error +/- | Estimate | Margin of Error +/- | Estimate | Margin of Error +/- | Estimate | Margin of Error +/- |
| Population for whom poverty status is determined | 9,984,891 | 1,988 | 1,467,591 | 17,844 | 14.7\% | 0.2 | 120,420 | 494 | 24,383 | 1,484 | 20.2\% | 1.2 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 18 years | 2,261,398 | 2,191 | 478,877 | 9,118 | 21.2\% | 0.4 | 28,808 | 263 | 9,447 | 844 | 32.8\% | 3 |
| 18 to 64 years | 6,135,778 | 1,127 | 853693 | 9,607 | 13.8\% | 0.2 | 72,227 | 370 | 12,819 | 924 | 17.7\% | 1.3 |
| 65 years and over | 1,587,715 | 1107 | 145,021 | 2,963 | 9.1\% | 0.2 | 19,385 | 146 | 2117 | 346 | 10.9\% | 1.8 |
| SEX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 4,828,486 | 2,335 | 642,615 | 8,992 | 13.3\% | 0.2 | 58,030 | 58030 | 10,174 | 895 | 17.5\% | 1.5 |
| Female | 5,156,405 | 2,230 | 824,976 | 10,797 | 16.0\% | 0.2 | 62,390 | 62,390 | 14,209 | 895 | 22.8\% | 1.4 |
| RACE AND HISPANIC OR LATINO ORIGIN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 6,320,337 | 2,990 | 644,440 | 10,085 | 10.2\% | 0.2 | 64,525 | 64,525 | 7,059 | 982 | 10.9\% | 1.5 |
| Black or African American | 2,116,769 | 5,452 | 475,973 | 8,126 | 22.5\% | 0.4 | 36,708 | 36,708 | 10,319 | 1,027 | 28.1\% | 2.7 |
| American Indian and Alaska Native | 120,328 | 1,846 | 29,981 | 1,608 | 24.9\% | 1.3 | 323 | 323 | 34 | 41 | 10.5\% | 11 |
| Asian | 285,786 | 2,021 | 30,707 | 2,034 | 10.7\% | 0.7 | 1,340 | 1,340 | 104 | 82 | 7.8\% | 5.6 |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander | 6,630 | 675 | 1,360 | 332 | 20.5\% | 4.6 | 19 | 19 | 0 | 29 | 0.0\% | 70.6 |
| Some other race | 311,206 | 7,397 | 84,699 | 4,639 | 27.2\% | 1.2 | 1,337 | 1,337 | 534 | 323 | 39.9\% | 15.9 |
| Two or more races | 262,580 | 6,121 | 54,627 | 2,414 | 20.8\% | 0.8 | 3,751 | 3,751 | 1,147 | 507 | 30.6\% | 10.8 |
| Hispanic or Latino origin (of any race) | 940,295 | 1,251 | 248,474 | 6,013 | 26.4\% | 0.6 | 14,205 | 14,205 | 5,755 | 898 | 40.5\% | 6.3 |
| ALL INDIVIDUALS WITH INCOME BELOW THE FOLLOWING POVERTY RATIOS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50 percent of poverty level | 644,054 | 10,566 |  |  |  |  | 11,049 | 11,049 |  |  |  |  |
| 125 percent of poverty level | 1,965,346 | 19,596 |  |  |  |  | 32,390 | 32,390 |  |  |  |  |
| 150 percent of poverty level | 2,447,468 | 21,928 |  |  |  |  | 38,861 | 38,861 |  |  |  |  |
| 185 percent of poverty level | 3,136,964 | 24,561 |  |  |  |  | 47,868 | 47,868 |  |  |  |  |
| 200 percent of poverty level | 3,420,476 | 24,183 |  |  |  |  | 51,395 | 51,395 |  |  |  |  |
| Source: US Census Bureau, ACS 20185 -year Estimates <br> All bolded and orange highlighted cells indicate a difference that is greater than $5 \%$ when compared to the State |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Local Setting

According to the Census Table S1701, Poverty Status in the Past 12 Months, 2018 American Community Survey 5 -Year Estimates, from the US Census Bureau, Census Tract 6.02 had an estimated population of 8,641 with $39.8 \%$ (MOE +/-9.6\%) living below the poverty level (Table 10). Across all subjects, Some other race ( $67.4 \%$, MOE +/- $37.5 \%$ ) had the highest percent living below the poverty level, followed by under 18 at $60.3 \%$ (MOE +/- 14.9\%). All populations with an estimate of above 0.0\% below the poverty level had a greater than 5\% difference when compared to the county and the state.

Table 10. Poverty - Local Setting

| Subject | Census Tract 6.02 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  | Below poverty level |  | Percent below poverty level |  |
|  | Estimate | Margin of Error +/- | Estimate | Margin of Error +/- | Estimate | Margin of Error +/- |
| Population for whom poverty status is determined | 8,641 | 963 | 3,442 | 998 | 39.8\% | 9.6 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 18 years | 2,942 | 577 | 1,774 | 656 | 60.3\% | 14.9 |
| 18 to 64 years | 4,755 | 482 | 1,481 | 437 | 31.1\% | 8.7 |
| 65 years and over | 944 | 204 | 187 | 104 | 19.8\% | 11.5 |
| SEX |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 4,441 | 598 | 1,522 | 573 | 34.3\% | 11.3 |
| Female | 4,200 | 572 | 1,920 | 568 | 45.7\% | 10.5 |
| RACE AND HISPANIC OR LATINO ORIGIN |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 3,496 | 591 | 804 | 678 | 23.0\% | 17.8 |
| Black or African American | 1,284 | 434 | 607 | 390 | 47.3\% | 22.1 |
| American Indian and Alaska Native | 0 | 17 | 0 | 17 | - | * |
| Asian | 0 | 17 | 0 | 17 | - | ** |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander | 0 | 17 | 0 | 17 | - | ** |
| Some other race | 387 | 312 | 261 | 274 | 67.4\% | 37.5 |
| Two or more races | 78 | 17 | 0 | 17 | 0.0\% | 34.3 |
| Hispanic or Latino origin (of any race) | 3,783 | 698 | 2,031 | 691 | 53.7\% | 14.5 |
| ALL INDIVIDUALS WITH INCOME BELOW THE FOLLOWING POVERTY RATIOS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50 percent of poverty level | 1,693 | 900 |  |  |  |  |
| 125 percent of poverty level | 4,168 | 1,013 |  |  |  |  |
| 150 percent of poverty level | 4,233 | 1,023 |  |  |  |  |
| 185 percent of poverty level | 4,668 | 1,055 |  |  |  |  |
| 200 percent of poverty level | 4,847 | 1,052 |  |  |  |  |
| Source: US Census Bureau, ACS 2018 5-year Estimates <br> All bolded and blue highlighted cells indicate a difference that is greater than $5 \%$ when compared to the County and the State |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 5.5 Household Income

Regional Setting
The following table (Table 11) was compiled using data from the Census Table S1901, Income in the Past 12 Months (in 2018 Inflation-Adjusted Dollars) 2018 American Community Survey 5 -Year Estimates for North Carolina. The North Carolina household income range with the highest percent was $\$ 50,000$ to $\$ 74,999$, at $18.1 \%$. The state median household income was $\$ 52,413$ and the mean income was $\$ 73,753$.

The household income range for Wayne County with the highest percent was $\$ 50,000$ to $\$ 74,999$ at $17.2 \%$ (MOE $+/-1.3 \%$ ). The median income was $\$ 44,416$ and the mean income was $\$ 62,307$. All income ranges less than $\$ 35,000$ had percentages that were more than $10 \%$ greater than the state ranges.

Table 11. Regional Setting - Household Income

| Subject | North Carolina |  | Wayne County |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Households |  | Households |  |
|  | Estimate | Margin of <br> Error $+/-$ | Estimate | Margin of <br> Error $+/-$ |
| Total | $3,918,597$ | 8,585 | 48,343 | 649 |
| Less than $\$ 10,000$ | $6.8 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $7.7 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ |
| $\$ 10,000$ to $\$ 14,999$ | $5.4 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $6.9 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ |
| $\$ 15,000$ to $\$ 24,999$ | $10.7 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $12.6 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ |
| $\$ 25,000$ to $\$ 34,999$ | $10.7 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 8 \%}$ | $1.3 \%$ |
| $\$ 35,000$ to $\$ 49,999$ | $14.2 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $15.5 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ |
| $\$ 50,000$ to $\$ 74,999$ | $18.1 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $17.2 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ |
| $\$ 75,000$ to $\$ 99,999$ | $12.0 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $11.8 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ |
| $\$ 100,000$ to $\$ 149,999$ | $12.5 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $10.6 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ |
| $\$ 150,000$ to $\$ 199,999$ | $4.7 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $2.6 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ |
| $\$ 200,000$ or more | $4.9 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Median income (dollars) | 52,413 | 224 | 44,416 | 1,980 |
| Mean income (dollars) | 73,753 | 332 | 62,307 | 2,787 |
| Per Capita Income (dollars) | 29,456 | 143 | 25,777 | 1,115 |

Source: US Census, 2018 ACS 5-Year Estimates.
All orange and bolded highlighted cells indicate a difference that is greater than $10 \%$ when compared to the state

## Local Setting

The household income range for Census Tract 6.02 with the highest percent was $\$ 15,000$ to $\$ 24,999$ at $21.5 \%$ (MOE +/- $8.2 \%$ ). The median income was $\$ 38,558$ and the mean income was $\$ 58,877$ (Table 12). The income ranges from $\$ 10,000$ to $\$ 24,999$ had percentages that were more than $10 \%$ greater than both the state and county.

The household income range for the one-mile radius with the highest percent was $\$ 75,000$ and higher at $43 \%$. EJSCREEN provides data with different income ranges that cannot be compared in the same manner.

Table 12. Household Income - Local Setting

| Subject | Census Tract 6.02 |  | Project Radius |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Households |  | Households |  |
|  | Estimate | Margin of Error (+/-) | Estimate | Margin of Error (+/-) |
| Total | 2,913 | 258 | 214 | 159 |
| Less than \$10,000 | 2.5\% | 2.3\% | 0\% |  |
| \$10,000 to \$14,999 | 11.7\% | 5\% |  |  |
| \$15,000 to \$24,999 | 21.5\% | 8.2\% | 16\% |  |
| \$25,000 to \$34,999 | 9.6\% | 4.4\% | 28\% |  |
| \$35,000 to \$49,999 | 11.9\% | 5.9\% |  |  |
| \$50,000 to \$74,999 | 17.6\% | 6.2\% | 13\% |  |
| \$75,000 to \$99,999 | 7.7\% | 4.3\% | 43\% |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$100,000 to } \\ & \$ 149,999 \end{aligned}$ | 11.4\% | 4.7\% |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 150,000 \text { to } \\ & \$ 199,999 \end{aligned}$ | 3.6\% | 2.6\% |  |  |
| \$200,000 or more | 2.5\% | 2.4\% |  |  |
| Median income (dollars) | 38,558 | 9,909 |  |  |
| Mean income (dollars) | 58,877 | 7,242 |  |  |
| Per Capita Income (dollars) | 20,306 | 2,979 | 22,857 |  |

Source: US Census Bureau, ACS 2018 5-year Estimates
All bolded and blue highlighted cells indicate a difference that is greater than $10 \%$ when compared to the County and the State

Per Capita Income
Per Capita Income data was obtained through the Census Table B19301, Per Capita Income in the Past 12 Months (In 2018 Inflation-Adjusted Dollars), 2018 American Community Survey 5 -Year Estimates. The North Carolina per capita income estimate was $\$ 29,456$. The estimate for Wayne County was $\$ 25,777$. The estimate for Census Tract 6.02 was $\$ 20,306$. Both the County and Census Tract 6.02 had a lower Per Capita Income than that of the state. The EJSCREEN analysis detailed the Per Capita Income estimate for the one-mile buffer surrounding facility site, at $\$ 22,857$, higher than the census tract, but lower than the county and the state.

## 6 Limited English Proficiency (LEP)

Per the Safe Harbor Guidelines, should an LEP Group be identified during the permit application process, written translations of vital documents for each eligible LEP language group that constitutes $5 \%$ or includes 1,000 members (whichever is less) of the population of persons eligible to be served or likely to be affected or encountered. If there are fewer than 50 persons in a language group that reaches the $5 \%$ trigger, then DEQ will not translate vital written materials, but instead will provide written notice in the primary language of the LEP language group of the right to receive competent oral interpretation of those written materials, free of cost. The safe harbor provisions apply to the translation of written documents only. Safe harbor guidelines are based on EPA guidance for LEP persons, and implemented by DEQ when deemed appropriate.

One LEP language group, Spanish or Spanish Creole, was identified as having an estimate for individuals who speak English less than "very well" greater than zero (Table 13). At $26 \%$ of the census tract population, this reaches the $5 \%$ threshold that initiates potential translation services for this project. DEQ will perform the four-factor analysis as laid out in the LEP-Language Access Plan (https://files.nc.gov/ncdeq/EJ/Limited-English-Proficiency-Plan.pdf) to determine appropriate additional outreach steps.

Table 13. Limited English Proficiency (LEP)

| LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME | Census Tract 6.02 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Estimate | Margin of <br> Error +/-- | Percent |
| Total (population 5 years and over): | 8,099 | 796 | $100 \%$ |
| Speak only English | 4,468 | 363 | $55.2 \%$ |
| Spanish or Spanish Creole: | 3,577 | 585 | $44.2 \%$ |
| Speak English "very well" | 1,474 | 54 | $18.2 \%$ |
| Speak English less than "very well" | 2,103 | 17 | $\mathbf{2 6 . 0 \%}$ |
| Source: US Census, ACS 5-Year estimates 2011-2015 |  |  |  |

## 7 County Health

The University of Wisconsin Population Health Institute, in collaboration with the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation, calculated a County Health Rankings system for all the States in the United States (www.countyhealthrankings.org). This ranking is based on health outcomes (such as lifespan and self-reported health status) and health factors (such as environmental, social and economic conditions). According to this 2021 report, out of all 100 counties in North Carolina (with 1 indicating the healthiest), Wayne County ranks $70^{\text {th }}$ in health factors and $64^{\text {th }}$ in health outcomes.


Figure 3. County Health Rankings for Health Factors in North Carolina provided by University of Wisconsin Public Health Institute.

According to the NC DEQ Community Mapping System Environmental Justice Tool, the rates of death in Wayne County are slightly higher than the state averages (Table 14). The hospitalization due to asthma in Wayne County is 180 (per 100,000 individuals), twice the hospitalization average for the state at 90 individuals per 100,000 individuals. The number of primary care physicians in Wayne County ( 6.3 per 10,000 residents) is slightly higher than the state average ( 4.8 per 10,000 residents).

Table 14. Health Outcomes

| Cause of Death | Wayne County | North Carolina |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Cancer | 186.7 | 169.1 |
| Heart Disease | 164.3 | 163.7 |
| Stroke | 55.3 | 43.1 |
| Cardiovascular Disease | 239.9 | 221.9 |
| Diabetes | 30.9 | 22.8 |
| Source: NCDEQ 2020 EJ Tool |  |  |

## 8 Local Sensitive Receptors

The Environmental Protection Agency suggests that sensitive receptors include, but are not limited to, hospitals, schools, daycare facilities, elderly housing and convalescent facilities. These are areas where the occupants are more susceptible to the adverse effects of exposure to toxic chemicals, pesticides, and other pollutants. Extra care must be taken when dealing with contaminants and pollutants in close proximity to areas recognized as sensitive receptors. For instance, children and the elderly may have a higher risk of developing asthma from elevated levels of certain air pollutants than a healthy individual aged between 18 and 64.

No sensitive receptors were identified within the one-mile project radius of the Flowers Timber Company facility.

However, located slightly beyond the one-mile project radius, the following potential sensitive receptors were identified (Figure 4):

- Cliffs of the Neuse State Park
- Spring Creek High School
- Spring Creek Baptist Church
- Best Chapel Freewill Baptist church
- Walker Memorial United Methodist church
- La Pasadita Mexican Store
- Eagles Nest LDS Church Camp
- Indian Springs Volunteer Fire Department
- Grady's BBQ
- House of Prayer
- Brogden Chapel PFWB Church
- Emmaus Baptist Church
- Holly Green Missionary Baptist Church
- Greater St. John Church
- La Palmita Mexican Store
- Best Quality Food Mart
- May’s Chapel
- Family dollar
- Pleasant Acres Mobile Home Park
- Farm Labor Organizing Committee, AFL-CIO


Figure 4. Sensitive Receptors surrounding the Flowers Timber Facility.

9 Local Industrial Sites
Within the one-mile project radius, five facility permits or incident reports were identified using the NCDEQ Community Mapping System (as of June 29, 2021). Those include two air quality permitted facilities (one a Synthetic Minor for the existing Flowers Timber Company Facility and one an inactive permit for a previous facility), one NPDES Stormwater Permit (for the Flowers Timber Company itself), and two Animal Feeding Operations (Figure 5).


Figure 5. Permitted facilities and incidents with the one-mile radius surrounding the Flowers Timber Company facility.

## 10 Conclusion

Environmental justice is the fair treatment and meaningful involvement of all people regardless of race, color, national origin, or income, with respect to the development, implementation, and enforcement of environmental laws, regulations, and policies (US EPA). This report examined the demographic and environmental conditions in North Carolina, Wayne County, Census Tract 6.02, and the one-mile radius around the Flowers Timber Company facility. Potential emissions rates outlined in the permit application and county level health data were also included, as well as data from the NCDEQ Community Mapping System.

It is important to keep in mind that based on the available data, the following limitations of this report: census data is from 2010 and may be outdated; the more recent census data through 2018 are estimates; EJSCREEN does not provide all of the data categories that were used in this analysis so the census tract and county data cannot be compared to the radius used surrounding the facility boundary for all criteria; census tracts can still be large areas and do not allow for exact locations of each population; some of the census tracts slightly overlap with the one-mile radius; and the Department cannot determine which populations are in that small amount of overlap around the facility.

The Department assessed the available demographic and socioeconomic data of the communities surrounding the Flowers Timber Company facility regarding its permit application. The county, project area, and the census tract display generally higher percentages of Hispanic or Latino (of any race) populations than the state. Additionally, Wayne County (but not the local settings) has higher African American populations than state estimates. Overall, the disability estimates are slightly higher for both Wayne County and Census Tract 6.02 than those for the state. The majority of the poverty estimates are flagged for the County and the census tract when compared to both the state and the county. The County, census tract, and the project radius all have a per capita income lower than the state. Wayne Country ranks $70^{\text {th }}$ in health factors and $64^{\text {th }}$ in health outcomes. There were five permitted facilities located within one-mile of the proposed facility.

Based on the data from this report, the following recommendations for enhanced outreach were conducted:

- The list of sensitive receptors identified slightly beyond the one-mile radius were consulted when considering best outreach options for this community.
- Contacted known community leaders or individuals who have previously indicated interest in the methyl bromide rules.
- Translated relevant materials into Spanish.
- Outreach letters, written in English and Spanish, were sent August $5^{\text {th }}$ to the list of sensitive receptors.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Facility Information is subject to change throughout the permitting process. This data is up to date as of 7/29/2021.

