

RTH CAROLINA BRING CONTRACTOR

Southern Flounder Fishery Management Plan

Commercial and Recreational Fishery Allocation

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

Marine Fisheries

MFC Meeting | Michael S. Loeffler and Anne L. Markwith | February 2021



Presentation Overview

- FMP Steps
- Motion
- Background
- Southern Flounder Commercial and Recreational Allocations
- Allocation Considerations



Southern Flounder FMP Amendment 3 Next Steps

- Division holds public scoping period
- Marine Fisheries Commission approve goal and objectives of FMP
- Division draft FMP
- Division hold workshops to further develop draft FMP with plan advisory committee
- Division update draft plan for Marine Fisheries Commission presentation

Next Step

- Marine Fisheries Commission vote to send draft FMP for public and advisory committee review
- Commission advisory committees meet to review draft FMP and receive public comment
- Marine Fisheries Commission select preferred management options
- Department of Environmental Quality secretary and legislature review draft FMP
- Marine Fisheries Commission vote on final adoption of FMP
- Division and Marine Fisheries Commission implement management strategies

Southern Flounder FMP Amendment 3 Next Steps

• Division holds public scoping period

- Marine Fisheries Commission approve goal and objectives of FMP
- Division draft FMP
- Division hold workshops to further develop draft FMP with plan advisory committee
- Division update draft plan for Marine Fisheries Commission presentation

Next Step

- Marine Fisheries Commission vote to send draft FMP for public and advisory committee review
- Commission advisory committees meet to review draft FMP and receive public comment
- Marine Fisheries Commission select preferred management options
- Department of Environmental Quality secretary and legislature review draft FMP
- Marine Fisheries Commission vote on final adoption of FMP
- Division and Marine Fisheries Commission implement management strategies

4

November 2020 MFC Meeting

Motion to consider commercial/recreational allocations in the Southern Flounder FMP Amendment 3 of 70/30, 65/35, 60/30 with 10% allotment for gigging, 60/40, and 50/50.







Allocation Background

- Mid-Atlantic and South Atlantic Councils, ASMFC
 - \circ Development of quota
 - Historical harvest

• MFC/WRC ASMA Striped Bass

- \circ Development of quota
- Historical harvest (62.5/37.5)
- Updated harvest after stock recovered (50/50)



Allocation Background

- Factors to consider
 - Historical landings
 - \circ Economic
 - \circ Social
 - \circ Behavior
- Revisions to allocations can occur
 - \circ Change in quota
 - Stock status changes
 - $_{\rm O}$ Changes among user groups



Southern Flounder Commercial and Recreational Allocation

Options

- 1) 73/27 (Status quo or historical harvest based on 2017)
- 2) 70/30
- 3) 65/35
- 4) 60/30 with 10% allotment for gigging
- 5) 60/40
- 6) 50/50



Commercial and Recreational Allocation

Commercial

Recreational

	% Allocation (Comm./Rec.)	TAL	% Reduction	TAL	% Reduction	Change in TAL
Historical						
Harvest	73/27	390,493	72%	141,859	72%	0
	70/30	372,646	73%	159,706	68%	+/- 17,847
MFC	65/35	346,029	75%	186,323	63%	+/- 44,464
Requested Options	60/30/10*	358,459	74%	173,893	66%	+/- 32,034
	60/40	319,411	77%	212,941	58%	+/- 71,082
	50/50	266,176	81%	266,176	47%	+/- 124,317



* This denotes a 10% allocation for gigs that was further divided out to each sector based on historically based allocation landings (73/27).

Economic Impacts Associated with Commercial Southern Flounder Fishing in North Carolina

				Estimated	Estimated	Estimated	
	Pounds	Ex-vessel		Sales	Income	Employment	Estimated Value
Year	Landed	Value	Participants	Impact	Impacts	Impact	Added Impact
					\$		
2008	2,602,390	\$ 5,650,295	1,235	\$ 25,473,137	10,483,954	1,544	\$ 19,654,727
2009	2,396,240	\$ 4,609,932	1,299	\$ 20,547,716	\$ 8,550,927	1,545	\$ 16,161,407
2010	1,689,557	\$ 3,695,889	1,182	\$ 15,743,327	\$ 6,531,811	1,380	\$ 12,223,365
2011	1,247,450	\$ 2,753,128	1,039	\$ 11,771,643	\$ 4,884,958	1,186	\$ 9,140,235
2012	1,646,137	\$ 4,451,482	1,202	\$ 18,795,084	\$ 7,827,308	1,440	\$ 14,613,360
2013	2,186,391	\$ 5,673,190	1,286	\$ 23,172,478	\$ 9,654,261	1,591	\$ 17,977,144
2014	1,673,511	\$ 4,839,672	1,222	\$ 19,547,618	\$ 8,134,986	1,482	\$ 15,109,459
2015	1,202,885	\$ 3,823,567	1,029	\$ 15,852,258	\$ 6,621,987	1,235	\$ 12,379,619
2016	897,765	\$ 3,610,533	945	\$ 10,724,064	\$ 6,301,409	1,129	\$ 11,716,727
2017	1,394,617	\$ 5,655,751	1,048	\$ 20,489,984	\$ 9,494,322	1,335	\$ 17,676,161
Average	1,693,694	\$ 4,476,342	1,149	\$ 18,211,731	\$ 7,848,592	1,387	\$ 14,665,220



Ex-vessel Value of the Commercial Southern Flounder Fishery by Year and Gear

			Gear	r		
Year		Gigs	Gill Net	Other	Pound Net	Total
2008	\$	173,360.40	\$ 3,798,463.23	\$ 132,612.99	\$ 1,545,858.19	\$ 5,650,294.81
2009	\$	159,031.29	\$ 3,160,714.37	\$ 116,727.33	\$ 1,173,458.93	\$ 4,609,931.91
2010	\$	267,481.76	\$ 2,067,067.19	\$ 66,800.66	\$ 1,294,539.05	\$ 3,695,888.65
2011	\$	256,846.25	\$ 1,397,565.13	\$ 34,239.01	\$ 1,064,477.33	\$ 2,753,127.72
2012	\$	388,313.40	\$ 2,343,199.01	\$ 126,800.50	\$ 1,593,169.23	\$ 4,451,482.14
2013	\$	320,379.72	\$ 2,742,686.75	\$ 114,816.10	\$ 2,495,307.19	\$ 5,673,189.76
2014	\$	414,205.88	\$ 1,884,626.34	\$ 53,262.79	\$ 2,487,576.97	\$ 4,839,671.98
2015	\$	417,188.88	\$ 1,235,835.53	\$ 38,535.39	\$ 2,132,006.71	\$ 3,823,566.52
2016	\$	506,533.39	\$ 1,442,921.16	\$ 42,422.91	\$ 1,618,655.33	\$ 3,610,532.80
2017	\$	547,308.32	\$ 2,220,594.81	\$ 32,975.26	\$ 2,854,872.71	\$ 5,655,751.10
Total	\$.	3,450,649.29	\$ 22,293,673.52	\$ 759,192.93	\$ 18,259,921.64	\$ 44,763,437.39



Economic Impacts Associated with Recreational Southern Flounder Fishing in North Carolina

Voor	Estimated Total Flounder Tring	Trip	Estimated Sales	Estimated	Estimated Employment	Estimated Value-Added
	111ps	Expenditures		Income Impact	Impact	Impact
2008	2,701,930	\$ 403,612,123	\$ 376,417,686	\$ 135,957,566	3,292	\$ 205,722,681
2009	1,482,500	\$ 215,695,683	\$ 200,699,372	\$ 72,448,738	1,770	\$ 109,870,023
2010	1,877,504	\$ 280,546,465	\$ 262,481,379	\$ 95,039,325	2,312	\$ 143,569,612
2011	1,796,204	\$ 283,056,149	\$ 250,861,698	\$ 90,609,485	2,212	\$ 137,255,698
2012	1,744,458	\$ 277,772,559	\$ 244,156,371	\$ 88,393,860	2,159	\$ 133,589,470
2013	1,707,904	\$ 273,226,860	\$ 238,202,597	\$ 86,449,024	2,105	\$ 130,332,132
2014	1,639,593	\$ 269,763,604	\$ 229,373,566	\$ 83,466,334	2,027	\$ 125,444,042
2015	1,708,499	\$ 279,669,886	\$ 228,724,518	\$ 83,228,735	2,037	\$ 125,250,995
2016	1,714,200	\$ 279,905,674	\$ 232,116,853	\$ 84,789,195	2,079	\$ 127,093,283
2017	1,250,216	\$ 210,976,279	\$ 171,358,430	\$ 62,652,077	1,532	\$ 93,793,106
Average	1,762,301	\$ 77,422,528	\$ 243,439,247	\$ 88,303,434	2,153	\$ 133,192,104



What impact would shifting the allocation have?

- Not based on biological need or rebuilding schedule
- Does not change the total amount of fish that can be removed annually
- Commercial fishery
 - $\,\circ\,$ Potentially shorter harvest window
- Recreational fishery
 - $_{\odot}$ Buffer against overages
 - ${\rm \circ}$ Season may not be extended
 - \circ Bag limit concerns

What impact would shifting the allocation have? Recreational Hook and Line Fishery

Allocation Harvested based on 73/27 allocation Recreational Hook and Line fishery, Aug. 16- Sep. 30

Year	4-Fish Bag	3-Fish Bag	2-Fish Bag	1-Fish Bag
2008				
2009				
2010				
2011	\star	\bigstar	\bigstar	
2012				
2013	\star	\bigstar		
2014				
2015				
2016				
2017				

Allocation Harvested based on 60/40 allocation Recreational Hook and Line fishery, Aug. 16- Sep. 30

4-Fish Bag	3-Fish Bag	2-Fish Bag	1-Fish Bag



No change next year

Reduction in TAL next year



 \star Situation where may be multiple years without harvest

What impact would shifting the allocation have? Southern Region Commercial Fishery

Mobile Gears

Allocation

			Alloc	ation		
	Based o	n daily co	ommercia	al landings	beginni	ng Oct. 1
Year	73/27	70/30	65/35	60/30/10	60/40	50/50
2008						
2009						
2010						
2011						
2012						
2013						
2014						
2015						
2016						
2017						

Pound Nets

	Allocation							
	Based o	n daily co	ommercia	al landings	beginnir	ng Oct. 1		
Year	73/27	70/30	65/35	60/30/10	60/40	50/50		
2008								
2009								
2010								
2011								
2012								
2013								
2014								
2015								
2016								
2017								



No change in number of fishing days based on allocation



Less than 25% reduction in fishing days based on allocation

Greater than 25% reduction in fishing days based on allocation

Commercial and Recreational Sub-Allocations

	Commerci	ial (lb)	Recreational (lb)		
NCMFC Option	Mobile Gear	Pound Net	Hook-and-Line	Gig	
Historical Harvest					
73/27	195,105	195,388	126,315	15,544	
70/30	186,188	186,458	142,206	17,500	
65/35	172,889	173,140	165,907	20,416	
60/30/10*	180,228	178,231	159,706	14,187	
60/40	159,590	159,821	189,608	23,333	
50/50	132,992	133,184	237,010	29,166	

*This denotes a 10% allocation for gigs that was further divided out to each sector based on historically based allocation (73/27).



Pros and Cons of Allocation Shift

- + Mitigates some economic impact to the recreational fishery.
- + May buffer against recreational overages.
- +/- Allocation not based on biological need.
- +/- Allocation other than historical harvest not based on historical landings.
- +/- May not impact the season dates, season lengths, or bag limits for the recreational fishery.



Pros and Cons of Allocation Shift

- May exacerbate economic impact of the commercial fishery.
- Provides additional harvest to the sector with the least precise estimates.
- Changes in allocation may alter rebuilding schedule
- May be significant impacts to the commercial seasons.
- May be necessary to adjust allocations within a sector to maintain specific gear-based fisheries.
- May increase the chance of the commercial sector exceeding their allocation.



Final Considerations

- Limited southern flounder TAL.
- Unintended social and economic consequences noticeable at the finer level of specific fisheries within each sector.
- Consider allocating future quota increases towards one sector over the other as SSB expands.
 - This can be achieved in future amendments with methodic increases until the preferred allocation is achieved.





Southern Flounder FMP co-leads:

Michael S. Loeffler <u>michael.loeffler@ncdenr.gov</u> 252-264-3911 Anne L. Markwith anne.markwith@ncdenr.gov 910-762-7292

