



Permit Application Pitfalls

Listed are some of the top permitting pitfalls that DEQ customers make when applying for a permit(s). These deficiencies have been compiled over the years to offer you the best checklist to go over before submitting your application.

- 1) Failure to submit the required deed(s) and/or deed restrictions, if needed.
- 2) Failure to delineate the streams/wetlands or note that none exist and/or failure to provide a wetlands delineation map as approved by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, if required.
- 3) Showing inconsistencies between the numbers on the application/supplement, the numbers used in the calculations and what is drawn or detailed on the plans.
- 4) Failure to present data as needed for the reviewing agency (e.g., proper scale, incomplete or absent plan legend, incomplete or absent vicinity map, proper expressions of data, etc.). Make sure you know the requirements of the governing rules and see if there is a plan or submittal checklist for designers or consultants. If you have questions, please ask the reviewer.
- 5) Failure to provide adequate details (e.g., construction details) to the reviewer so that they understand the mechanics of the system and how the systems are expected to function.
- 6) Failure to obtain the most current or correct version of the required forms for the application submittal (e.g., permit application, Financial Responsibility/Ownership form, Express forms instead of standard review forms, etc.). Check the web site or with the permit reviewer to get the most current required forms. Old versions may be returned and updated applications may be required before the review.
- 7) Submittal of incorrect fees with the applications. The permit applications are not reviewed until correct fees are submitted.
- 8) Failure to provide digital/electronic or original signature(s) on the application and supplements.
- 9) Include a detailed project narrative. Boilerplate narratives or simple generic narratives do not provide the reviewer with enough information. Since the reviewer does not generally see the design or site prior to permitting and may not be familiar with the proposed project, a narrative helps the reviewer visualize what is being requested.
- 10) Not having the best contact information listed within the application for the people involved in the project. Often times if something arises onsite that requires immediate attention, the engineer, consultant and/or regulator does not have the quickest and most helpful contact information.
- 11) Submitting incomplete applications may prevent the application from being accepted into the review process or, if accepted, can stop the clock on your review
- 12) Be sure to review our "[Permitting Considerations](#)" document which contains some basic, front-end due diligence items for development-related activities in North Carolina. This document, while not comprehensive, addresses many important compliance-related items for DEQ **and** non-DEQ related requirements and can serve as a general checklist to use before commencing a project.

DEQ has free permit assistance services. If interested, please complete our [online form](#) and a regional environmental coordinator will contact you.