

State Water Infrastructure Authority
North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality
January 18, 2017
Meeting Minutes

State Water Infrastructure Authority Members Attending Meeting

- Kim Colson, Chair; Director, Division of Water Infrastructure
- Melody Adams, Director, Rural Grants/Programs, Rural Development Division, NC Dept. of Commerce
- Johnnie Carswell, Burke County Commissioner
- Greg Gaskins, Deputy Treasurer, State & Local Finance Division; Secretary, Local Government Commission
- Leila Goodwin, Water Resources Engineer
- Maria Hunnicutt, Manager, Broad River Water Authority
- JD Solomon, Vice President, CH2M
- Cal Stiles, Cherokee County Commissioner
- Charles Vines, Mayor of Bakersville

Division of Water Infrastructure Staff Attending Meeting

- Julie Haigler Cubeta, Community Development Block Grant – Infrastructure Unit Supervisor
- Francine Durso, Special/Technical Issues Unit Senior Program Manager
- Jennifer Haynie, Environmental and Special Projects Unit Supervisor
- Anita Reed, SRF Wastewater Unit Supervisor
- Seth Robertson, State Revolving Funds Section Chief
- Vince Tomaino, SRF Drinking Water Unit Supervisor
- Amy Simes, Senior Program Manager
- Cathy Akroyd, Public Information Officer

Department of Justice Staff Attending Meeting

- Phillip Reynolds, North Carolina Department of Justice; Assistant Attorney General, Environmental Division

Item A. Call to Order

Mr. Colson opened the meeting and reminded the members of the State Water Infrastructure Authority (SWIA) of General Statute 138A-15, which states that any member who is aware of a known conflict of interest or an appearance of a conflict of interest with respect to matters before the Authority today is required to identify the conflict or appearance of a conflict at the time the conflict becomes apparent. Members stated potential conflicts of interest as follows:

- Ms. Hunnicutt: Projects applied for by the Broad River Water Authority and two projects applied for by Rutherford County (CDBG-I No. 8 and MRF No. 1).
- Mr. Solomon: Projects applied for by the Town of Carolina Beach, Town of Clayton, the City of Henderson, and the Orange Water and Sewer Authority.

Item B. Authority Members' Evaluation of Statement of Economic Interest

The following evaluations were read for the purpose of recording them in the minutes of this meeting.

- “This is an excerpt from a letter dated November 18, 2016 addressed to the Department of Commerce Secretary John Skvarla regarding the evaluation of the Statement of Economic Interest filed by Melody Adams.

Dear Secretary Skvarla:

Our office is in receipt of Melody Adams' 2016 Statement of Economic Interest as a designee to the State Water Infrastructure Authority. We have reviewed it for actual and potential conflicts of interest pursuant to Chapter 138A of the North Carolina General Statutes, also known as the State Government Ethics Act. We did not find an actual conflict of interest or the potential for a conflict of interest. Ms. Adams will fill the role of the Secretary of Commerce's designee and an ex-officio member of the Authority.”

- “This is an excerpt from a letter dated January 6, 2017 addressed to the Department of State Treasurer Local Government Commission Secretary Gregory Gaskins regarding the evaluation of the Statement of Economic Interest filed by Gregory Gaskins.

Dear Mr. Gaskins:

Our office is in receipt of your 2017 Statement of Economic Interest as a member of the State Water Infrastructure Authority. We have reviewed it for actual and potential conflicts of interest pursuant to Chapter 138A of the North Carolina General Statute, also known as the State Government Ethics Act. We did not find an actual conflict of interest or the potential for a conflict of interest. As Deputy Treasurer and Secretary of the Local Government Commission, you fill the role of an Ex-Officio member on the Authority.”

Item C. Oath of Office for New Authority Members

The Oath of Office was administered to Ms. Adams and Mr. Gaskins by Teresa G. Murray, Notary Public, Wake County. Ms. Murray is with the Clean Water Management Trust Fund within the Department of Natural and Cultural Resources.

Item D. Approval of Meeting Minutes

Mr. Colson presented the draft meeting minutes from the December 2016 Authority meeting for approval.

Action Item D:

- Ms. Goodwin made a motion to approve the December 14, 2016 Authority meeting minutes. Mr. Vines seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

Item E. Attorney General's Office Report

Mr. Reynolds stated that the Authority members who noted potential conflicts of interest with projects are not required to leave the room during the discussion of the projects.

Item F. Chair's Remarks

As contained within the Authority's Internal Operating Procedures, the Chair may appoint special or standing committees, as deemed necessary. The Chair will appoint a Master Plan Outreach Committee to assist Division staff in developing the work plan to promote the Master Plan. Any member interested in serving on the committee should let the Chair know of their interest.

The Authority members' meeting information packet contains a letter dated Jan. 13, 2017, from the NC Division of Water Resources to Duke Energy regarding the approval of its proposal to provide permanent

water supplies to households in proximity to coal combustion residuals impoundments owned by Duke Energy. Provision of waterline extensions are contingent on a specified number of connections being made. A portion of a project that will be considered by the Authority at today's meeting for Rowan County (Drinking Water Project No. 31) to serve residents near the Buck Steam Station Power Plant coal ash pond) could be funded by Duke Energy under its proposal.

The Chair noted that just prior to the meeting, a letter addressed to the Authority had been received from Moore County. A copy of the letter was provided to each Authority member at this point in the meeting.

The Division's deadline to receive funding applications for the spring 2017 funding round is April 28, 2017. The Division will accept applications for Connect NC Bonds, the State Reserve Program, and the Clean Water State Revolving Fund. Application Training sessions will be held at locations throughout the state in late February and early March.

Item G. Communications Update

Ms. Cathy Akroyd, the Division's Public Information Officer, presented an update about the Division's communications activities. She highlighted Chair Colson's selection as the 2016 Water Finance & Management Award winner and provided copies of the Dec. 2016 article in the Water Finance & Management Journal featuring an interview with Chair Colson. The article highlights the work of the Authority, the Master Plan, Asset Inventory and Assessment grants, and the integration of the funding programs so that funds can be used most effectively.

Mr. Solomon stated that The Utility Management Conference sponsored by the American Water Works Association and the Water Environment Federation will be held in February in Tampa. He also noted that the Authority's Master Plan was presented at the December 2016 seminar sponsored by the Professional Engineers of North Carolina.

Mr. Solomon requested an update about the recent EPA Water Infrastructure and Resiliency Finance Center's listening session about financial leadership for communities in need. Chair Colson described the session held in early January 2017 in Washington DC. North Carolina was one of only 16 invited attendees. The Master Plan concepts were discussed in detail during the session and received favorable feedback. As a result, North Carolina is becoming recognized as a national leader through its view of the complete picture of organizational and financial management in addition to infrastructure management.

Item H. Ethics Education and Statement of Economic Interest Filing Reminder

The Division reminded the Authority of their requirements for Ethics Education, which must be completed every two years and the Statement of Economic Interest (SEI) filing which is due every year by April 15.

Item I. Introduction to Funding Decisions for Sept. 30, 2016 Application Round

The applications received in Sept. 2016, which will be considered for funding at this meeting, constitute the largest funding round to date, both in the number of applications received and in the dollars available. Approximately 350 applications were received, and approximately \$308.6 million in funding is available.

On the application form, each applicant indicated two conditions: (1) whether or not it would accept federal funding conditions and (2) the minimum acceptable grant percentage. Applications were considered only for the type of funding that met the two conditions specified by the applicant. Staff referenced the methodology provided in the Authority's meeting packet regarding the determination of the best available funding and noted the specific caps on the funding available under each program. Division staff answered questions posed by the Authority as follows:

- Question 1: why are some applications shown as incomplete? Answer: many of the incomplete applications are in the CDBG-I program which, as a program funded by the US Dept. of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), requires rigorous documentation that the population to be served by the project cover a minimum of 51% of households categorized as low- to-medium income (LMI). The Division holds several trainings per year around the state to explain how the LMI must be documented and the surveys and forms that are required. Also, while some CDBG-I applicants indicated that they would like to be considered for the SRF or state funding programs, they did not provide the form that documents fund transfers that is required for those programs; the requirements for the fund transfers are clearly explained in the application guidance documents and at the application training sessions.
- Question 2: are applicants that are not funded aware of the reason(s) they are not funded? Answer: prior to the Authority meetings, the funding spreadsheets are posted on the Division's website. In order to be transparent, the spreadsheets list the reasons that the points awarded differ from the points claimed by the applicant. Many applicants call staff to discuss this prior to or following the Authority meeting.
- Question 3: what type of projects are considered green projects? Answer: projects that are for energy efficiency or power generation at wastewater treatment plants or pump stations, for wastewater reuse, for stormwater quality, and for stream restoration.

Item J. Funding Recommendations for Community Development Block Grant-Infrastructure (CDBG-I)

At the request of the Chair that Authority members identify any conflicts of interest or potential conflicts of interest at the meeting's outset, Ms. Hunnicutt identified a conflict of interest with a project included within Agenda Item J, specifically the funding applied for by Rutherford County (CDBG-I Project No. 8).

The sum of funds requested in complete, eligible applications is \$57.96 million. There is a total of \$26.97 million available in this round. The Division presented recommendations for funding. The Authority discussed details of the following projects:

- Project No. 11: Town of Parmele – This project is not recommended for funding because the system does not have capacity to accept additional flow. The Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) will not issue a permit for this project because there is no available flow capacity. If the Authority funded the project today, use of the grant funds would be delayed for an undetermined amount of time until a DEQ permit is obtained. One member commented that the timing of using CDBG-I funds is critical.
- Project No. 23: Graham County – In the project application, the LMI% in the project area was not calculated correctly (see Item I, Question 1 for description of the federal requirements). If changes are made to the survey, the change must be properly corrected according to the program guidance; in the case of this application, the correction was not made correctly. Both the resident affected and the person conducting the survey must initial any changes that are made.
- Project No. 49: Town of Robbins – This project includes installation of new waterline to create a loop in the system as well as to rehabilitate lines. The amount of new line that can be installed using grant funding cannot exceed 10% of the total length of line in the project. The amount proposed for looping is just over 10%. One member commented that at this preliminary stage of the project, the exact line lengths may not be known. One member commented that the project will serve a school that has 480 children.

Action Item J.1:

- Mr. Solomon made a motion to approve as eligible for funding CDBG-I Projects No. 1 through 7. Mr. Carswell seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

Action Item J.2:

- Mr. Solomon made a motion to approve as eligible for funding CDBG-I Project No. 8. Mr. Carswell seconded the motion. Ms. Hunnicutt recused herself from the vote due to a conflict of interest. The motion passed.

Action Item J.3:

- Mr. Stiles made a motion to approve as eligible for funding CDBG-I Projects No. 9, 10, 12 through 18 and 49. Mr. Gaskins seconded the motion. The vote was taken by show of hands and passed with six voting for the motion and two voting against the motion. The motion passed.

Action Item J.4:

- Mr. Vines made a motion to approve as eligible for funding CDBG-I Project No. 19, noting that the project would be only partially funded using the amount of available funds remaining. Mr. Stiles seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

Item K. Example Funding Scenario for Drinking Water Projects

At the request of the Chair that Authority members identify conflicts of interest or potential conflicts of interest at the meeting's outset, Mr. Solomon identified a conflict of interest with a project included within Agenda Item K, specifically the funding applied for by the City of Henderson for which CH2M has been retained to provide engineering services. When Item K came before the Authority, but prior to any presentation or consideration of the item, Mr. Solomon again identified his conflict of interest related to the project for the City of Henderson and left the meeting for the duration of the consideration of and subsequent action on Item K. Mr. Solomon returned to the meeting at the conclusion of the Authority's action on Item K.

Projects eligible for funding under the Drinking Water State Reserve (DWSR) program which provides both loan and grant funds, and under the Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (DWSRF) program which provides loan funds, were reviewed.

The Authority discussed details of Project No. 31 for Rowan County. As mentioned by the Chair in Item F, a portion of this project would serve residents near Duke Energy's Buck Steam Station Power Plant and could be funded by Duke Energy under its proposal to provide permanent water supplies to households in proximity to its coal combustion residuals impoundments. Provision of waterline extensions are contingent on a specified number of connections being made. Staff noted that the application is for waterlines that are larger in diameter than that needed to serve the residents, and that the project will create a new system owned by Rowan County and operated through an interlocal agreement by Salisbury-Rowan Utilities. The applicant requests \$2,963,700 for the project, but the total project cost is \$4,705,900. The applicant did not indicate the source of the additional funds; staff noted that during the engineering report phase, the sources of all needed funding must be reported. One member asked if the system will be viable, since the project creates a new utility. Mr. Gaskins, Secretary of the Local Government Commission (LGC) and Authority member, stated that the LGC would have to determine viability.

Action Item K:

- Mr. Stiles made a motion to approve as eligible for funding the following projects:
 - Drinking Water State Reserve (DWSR) Project Nos. 2, 3, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16, 18, 19, 20, 21, 23, 24, 25, 26, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32 and 33, and
 - Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (DWSRF) Project Nos. 2, 5, 7, 8, 10, 14, 15, 17, 18, 23, 28, and 29.

Mr. Vines seconded the motion. Mr. Solomon had previously recused himself and was not present during the discussion and action on this entire agenda item. The motion passed.

Item L. Example Funding Scenario for Wastewater Projects, Asset Inventory and Assessment (AIA) Grants, and Merger/Regionalization (MRF) Grants

Projects eligible for funding under the Wastewater State Reserve (WWSR) program, which provides both loan and grant funds, and under the Clean Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF) program, which provides loan funds were reviewed.

Authority members noted conflicts of interest as follows:

- Ms. Hunnicutt: MRF Project No. 1 applied for by Rutherford County
- Mr. Solomon:
 - WWSR Project No. 31 applied for by Carolina Beach, and WWSR Project Nos. 32 and 35, applied for by the Orange Water and Sewer Authority, and
 - AIA Project No. 25 applied for by Carolina Beach, and AIA Project Nos. 33 and 34, applied for by Clayton.

WWSR Project No. 1 for Eden, and Project Nos. 2, 3, and 4 for the Cape Fear Public Utility Authority meet the criteria to be funded using Connect NC Bond grant funds because these projects will address EPA Administrative Orders (AO).

Action Item L.1:

- Mr. Solomon made a motion to approve as eligible for funding the following projects:
 - Wastewater State Reserve (WWSR) Project Nos. 1 through 9, 11 through 15, 18, 24, 25, 28, 29, 30, and 34, and
 - Clean Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF) Project Nos. 2, 4, 5, 6, 16, 22, 23, and 24, and
 - AIA Project Nos. 1 through 24, 26 through 32, and 35 through 65, and
 - MRF Project Nos 2 and 3.

Mr. Stiles seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

Action Item L.2:

- Mr. Carswell made a motion to approve as eligible for funding the following projects:
 - Wastewater State Reserve (WWSR) Project Nos. 31, 32, and 35, and
 - AIA Project Nos. 1 through 24, 26 through 32, and 35 through 65, and
 - MRF Project No. 1.

Mr. Vines seconded the motion. Mr. Solomon recused himself. The motion passed.

Action Item L.3:

- Mr. Vines made a motion to approve as eligible for funding MRF Project No. 1. Mr. Stiles seconded the motion. Ms. Hunnicutt recused herself. The motion passed.

Tables 1 through 6 list the projects, by program, determined by the Authority as eligible to receive funding.

Table 1.

Community Development Block Grant-Infrastructure (CDBG-I) Project Funding Approved on Jan. 18, 2017

Project No.	Applicant Name	Project Name	Funding Amount
1	Ayden	2016 Sanitary Sewer Improvements	\$968,000
2	Greenevers	Hargroves Rd Sewer Extension	\$1,638,800
3	Troy	Phase II Water and Sewer Replacement	\$695,617
4	Fountain	2016 Sanitary Sewer Improvements	\$1,915,000
5	Brunswick	Bish Ford and Davis Dr Sewer Extension	\$1,276,000
6	Seaboard	2016 CDBG-I Town Wide Sewer	\$1,344,152
7	Burnsville	Peterson Trailer Park Sewer Rehab.	\$900,000
8	Rutherford County	Elm Acres Waterline	\$190,000
9	Roper	Wastewater System Improvements	\$1,092,000
10	High Shoals	High Shoals Sewer CDBG-I Project	\$1,594,905
12	Stanley	WW Collection System Rehabilitation	\$2,000,000
13	Siler City	Wastewater Collection System Improvements	\$2,000,000
14	Yanceyville	Wastewater Treatment Plant Update	\$2,000,000
15	Saratoga	Gardner School Rd/Pitt Rd Sewer Improvements	\$856,055
16	Greene County	2016 Sanitary Sewer Improvements	\$2,000,000
17	Burke County	Hwy 18S and Rhoney Rd Water Project	\$2,000,000
18	Murphy	Regal Street Water and Sewer Improvements	\$2,000,000
19	Faison	2016 Sanitary Sewer Improvements	\$498,485
49	Robbins	Water System Improvements	\$2,000,000
		Total CDBG-I Funding Approved:	\$26,969,014

**Table 2.
Drinking Water State Reserve (DWSR) Project Funding Approved on Jan. 18, 2017**

Proj. No.	Applicant Name	Project Name	Funding Amount – Bond Grant	Funding Amount – Loan
2	Edenton	Freemason Water Plant Upgrade	\$0	\$2,000,000
3	Edenton	Beaver Hill Water Plant Upgrade	\$0	\$1,658,700
6	Parkton	Water Tank Rehabilitation Project	\$89,650	\$89,650
7	Martin County	Water & Sewer District No. 1 Water System Improvements	\$1,476,275	\$0
8	Oxford	2017 Water Line Replacement	\$2,916,000	\$2,916,000
9	Jonesville	Water System Improvements	\$540,343	\$540,343
11	Bailey	Water Filter Replacement	\$234,000	\$234,000
12	SE Wayne Sanitary District	2016 Water System Improvements - Water Line Replacement	\$1,250,000	\$1,250,000
13	Fountain	2016 Water System Improvements	\$843,750	\$281,250
14	Beaufort Co. Water District V - Pantego Twp.	2016 Water System Improvements	\$1,453,500	\$484,500
16	Cove City	2015 Water System Improvements	\$527,000	\$1,581,000
18	Orange W & S Authority	Brandywine Road Water Main Rehab.	\$0	\$1,056,000
19	Sparta	Crestview Booster PS/Water System Improvements	\$150,000	\$450,000
20	Sampson County	Iron/Manganese Treatment Systems	\$1,013,250	\$337,750
21	Eden	Water Line Expansion-Regional Mega Park	\$1,886,700	\$5,660,100
23	Carolina Beach	2016 Drinking Water Project	\$0	\$1,273,216
24	Gibsonville	Springwood/Cedar Waterline Replace.	\$0	\$590,500
25	Boonville	Water Treatment Plant Improvements	\$0	\$796,000
26	Beaufort Co. Water Dist. I - Washington Twp.	2016 Water System Improvements	\$2,250,000	\$750,000
28	Canton	Spruce Street Area Water System Impr.	\$0	\$2,000,000
29	Orange W & S Authority	Dobbins Drive Water Main Rehab.	\$0	\$1,525,000
30	Buffalo Water District	2017-18 Hydraulic Improvements	\$0	\$2,300,000
31	Rowan County	Dukeville Water Line Project	\$0	\$2,963,700
32	Elevation Water District	2017-18 Hydraulic Improvements	\$0	\$1,500,000
33	Wilson Mills Water Dist.	2017-18 Hydraulic Improvements	\$0	\$2,270,000
Subtotals for Drinking Water State Reserve:			\$14,630,468	\$34,507,709
Total Drinking Water State Reserve Funding Approved:			\$49,138,177	

Table 3.

Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (DWSRF) Project Funding Approved on Jan. 18, 2017

Proj. No.	Applicant Name	Project Name	Funding Amount – Principal Forgiveness	Funding Amount – Loan
2	Tuckaseegee Water and Sewer Authority	Water System Consolidation-Valhalla System	\$499,985	\$0
5	Henderson	Kerr Lake Regional Water Plant Upgrades	\$0	\$19,893,000
7	Louisburg	Water Improvements	\$193,000	\$193,000
8	Saratoga	Route 222/Church St. Waterline Replace.	\$108,924	\$108,924
10	Dublin	FY16 HUC Water System-Line Impr.	\$238,000	\$238,000
14	Valdese	St. Germain Ave. Water System Impr.	\$156,828	\$156,828
15	Shelby	WTP Rehabilitation and Upgrades	\$0	\$10,285,000
17	Pamlico County	Reelsboro Elevated Storage Tank Replace.	\$500,000	\$765,000
18	Eden	Water Line Expansion - In Town	\$0	\$9,342,800
23	Orange W & S Authority	Water Plant Sedimentation Rehab.	\$0	\$3,390,000
28	Cleveland County Water	Lattimore Area Water Improvements	\$0	\$3,833,600
29	Orange W & S Authority	Advanced Metering Infrastructure System	\$0	\$6,132,000
Subtotals for Drinking Water SRF:			\$1,696,737	\$54,338,152
Total Drinking Water SRF Funding Approved:			\$56,034,889	

Table 4.

Wastewater State Reserve (WWSR) Project Funding Approved on Jan. 18, 2017 (*)

Proj. No.	Applicant Name	Project Name	Funding Amount – Bond Grant	Funding Amount – Appropriation Grant	Funding Amount – Loan
1	Eden (AO)	Wastewater Collection and Transmission System Rehab. (AO)	\$15,150,788	\$0	\$15,000,000
2	Cape Fear Public Util. Auth. (AO)	PS Nos. 5, 6, 13, 16, 21, & 20 Replacement & FM Rehab. (AO)	\$4,427,894	\$0	\$0
3	Cape Fear Public Util. Auth. (AO)	Find-it, Fix-it Gravity Sewer Rehabilitation (AO)	\$6,134,853	\$0	\$0
4	Cape Fear Public Util. Auth. (AO)	Pump Station No. 10 Replacement (AO)	\$5,737,250	\$0	\$0
5	Elm City	Wastewater Irrigation Impr.	\$3,000,000	\$0	\$0
6	Pikeville	2016 Sanitary Sewer Impr.	\$2,249,215	\$522,785	\$0
7	Fremont	Fremont Sanitary Sewer Rehab.	\$0	\$1,200,000	\$0
8	Franklin County	Youngsville Sewer Collection System Improvements	\$0	\$0	\$1,910,000
9	Yadkin Valley Sewer Authority	2017 Collection System Rehab.	\$0	\$1,984,125	\$661,375
11	Oxford	2017 Sewer Line Replacement	\$0	\$2,940,750	\$980,250
12	Stantonsburg	Sanitary Sewer Replacement	\$0	\$915,000	\$0

Table 4. Wastewater State Reserve (WWSR) Project Funding Approved on Jan. 18, 2017 (*) – continued

Proj. No.	Applicant Name	Project Name	Funding Amount – Bond Grant	Funding Amount – Appropriation Grant	Funding Amount – Loan
13	Fair Bluff	Wastewater Pump Station Impr.	\$0	\$69,959	\$23,320
14	Warrenton	Warrenton WWTP Improvements	\$0	\$1,600,000	\$0
15	Dublin	FY16 Sewer System Impr.	\$0	\$226,500	\$75,500
18	Clyde	Pigeon River North Sewer Rehab.	\$0	\$412,500	\$137,500
24	Kinston	Brier Run Phase V Sewer Rehab.	\$0	\$0	\$1,332,700
25	Kinston	Lawrence Heights Sewer Repl.	\$0	\$0	\$3,299,600
28	Old Fort	WWTP Improvements	\$0	\$0	\$2,066,000
29	Lenoir	Biosolids Facility Improvements	\$0	\$0	\$6,600,000
30	Mocksville	Dutchman's Creek WWTP Impr.	\$0	\$0	\$3,000,000
31	Carolina Beach	2016 Wastewater Project	\$0	\$0	\$1,657,654
32	Orange W&S Auth.	Wastewater Treatment Plant Intermediate PS Rehab	\$0	\$0	\$1,071,000
34	McAdenville	Phase II - South Fork Sewer	\$0	\$0	\$2,999,839
35	Orange W&S Auth.	Dobbins Dr. Sewer Interceptor Rehab.	\$0	\$0	\$1,658,000
Subtotals:			\$36,700,000	\$9,871,619	
Subtotals for Wastewater State Reserve:			\$46,571,619		\$42,472,738
Total Wastewater State Reserve Funding Approved:			\$89,044,357		

(*) AO – EPA Administrative Order

**Table 5.
Clean Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF) Project Funding Approved on Jan. 18, 2017**

Proj. No.	Applicant Name	Project Name	Funding Amount – Principal Forgiveness	Funding Amount – Loan
2	Ayden	2016 Sanitary Sewer Replacement	\$500,000	\$805,130
4	Mount Gilead	2017 Wastewater Improvements	\$500,000	\$2,353,000
5	Yadkin Valley Sewer Authority	2017 Wastewater Plant Improvements	\$483,000	\$483,000
6	La Grange	Inflow and Infiltration Abatement	\$101,225	\$101,225
16	Davie County	East Davie WW Collection System	\$0	\$18,199,000
22	Southport	Wastewater Treatment Expansion	\$0	\$19,850,608
23	Johnston County	WWTP 4 MGD Expansion	\$0	\$30,000,000
24	Jacksonville	Wardola-Thompson Sch. Creek Restor.	\$0	\$480,200
Subtotals for Clean Water SRF:			\$1,584,225	\$72,272,163
Total Clean Water SRF Funding Approved:			\$73,856,388	

Table 6.

Asset Inventory and Assessment (AIA) Grant Funding Approved on Jan. 18, 2017

Proj. No.	Applicant Name	Project Name	Funding Amount
1	Cape Fear Public Util. Authority	AIA Gravity Sewer-Historic Downtown Wilmington	\$150,000
2	Shelby	Sewer Asset Inventory and Assessment	\$150,000
3	Granite Falls	Sewer System Asset Management	\$150,000
4	Spindale	Sanitary Sewer Collection System Flow Monitoring	\$150,000
5	Tabor City	Wastewater Asset Inventory and Assessment	\$85,450
6	Whiteville	Sewer System Asset Management Plan	\$150,000
7	Washington	Wastewater System Asset Inventory Assessment	\$150,000
8	Bladenboro	Drinking Water Asset Inventory and Assessment	\$78,250
9	Monroe	Wastewater System Asset Management Integration	\$150,000
10	Mocksville	Water System Asset Inventory and Assessment	\$150,000
11	Rowland	Wastewater Asset Inventory and Assessment	\$125,950
12	St. Pauls	Sewer Asset Inventory and Assessment	\$150,000
13	Lexington	Wastewater Asset Management Plan	\$150,000
14	Southern Pines	Critical Sewer Condition Assessment	\$150,000
15	Davie County	Water System Inventory Assessment and Mapping	\$150,000
16	Sanford	Water Asset Inventory, Condition Assess. & Asset Mgmt. Planning	\$150,000
17	Old Fort	Water System Asset Inventory & Assessment	\$150,000
18	Fair Bluff	Wastewater System Asset Invent. & Condition Assess.	\$150,000
19	Ellerbe	Wastewater Asset Inventory and Assessment	\$77,900
20	Bertie County Wtr. Distr. II	Water Asset Management Plan Revision	\$84,200
21	North Wilkesboro	Water Asset Inventory & Assessment	\$100,000
22	North Wilkesboro	Sewer Asset Inventory & Assessment	\$100,000
23	Elm City	Sewer System Asset Inventory and Assessment	\$150,000
24	Bladenboro	Wastewater Asset Inventory and Assessment	\$81,550
25	Carolina Beach	2016 AIA Drinking Water Project	\$150,000
26	Canton	Water System Asset Inventory and Assessment	\$85,000
27	Landis	Water System Asset Inventory & Assessment	\$150,000
28	Mocksville	Sewer System Asset Inventory and Assessment	\$150,000
29	Wallace	Sewer System Asset Inventory and Assessment	\$150,000
30	Maxton	Wastewater Asset Inventory, Assess. & Mgmt. Plan	\$112,000
31	Maxton	Water Asset Inventory, Assessment & Mgmt. Plan	\$96,000
32	Oxford	Sewer Asset Inventory & Assessment	\$150,000
33	Clayton	Wastewater Asset Mgmt. Assessment & Inventory	\$150,000
34	Clayton	Drinking Water Asset Mgmt. Assess. & Inventory	\$150,000
35	Drexel	Wastewater Asset Inventory & Assessment	\$150,000
36	Landis	Sewer System Asset Inventory & Assessment	\$150,000
37	Claremont	Sewer Asset Inventory & Assessment	\$150,000
38	Rose Hill	Wastewater Asset Inventory & Condition Assess.	\$150,000
39	Old Fort	Sewer System Asset Inventory and Assessment	\$150,000

Table 6. Asset Inventory and Assessment (AIA) Grant Funding Approved on Jan. 18, 2017 – continued

Proj. No.	Applicant Name	Project Name	Funding Amount
40	Winterville	Water Distribution System Asset and Inventory	\$150,000
41	Aulander	Water Asset Management Plan	\$78,304
42	Elm City	Water System Asset Inventory and Assessment	\$150,000
43	Andrews	Sewer System Asset Inventory and Assessment	\$150,000
44	Oxford	Water Asset Inventory and Assessment	\$105,000
45	Beaufort	Water System Asset Inventory Assessment	\$150,000
46	Mount Olive	Water Asset Inventory & Assessment	\$104,000
47	Woodland	Wastewater System Asset Inventory Assessment	\$76,000
48	Southport	Wastewater Asset Management Plan	\$45,000
49	Robbinsville	Water Asset Inventory and Assessment	\$50,000
50	Pink Hill	Water Asset Inventory & Assessment	\$40,000
51	Pink Hill	Sewer Asset Inventory & Assessment	\$80,000
52	Rose Hill	Water System Asset Inventory & Condition Assess.	\$150,000
53	Laurinburg	Wastewater Asset Inventory and Assessment	\$133,700
54	Cleveland County Water	Water Asset Inventory and Assessment	\$150,000
55	Dunn	Water System Asset and Inventory Assessment	\$150,000
56	Laurinburg	Drinking Water Asset Inventory and Assessment	\$80,925
57	Middlesex	Wastewater Asset Inventory and Assessment	\$150,000
58	Bailey	Sewer Asset Inventory and Assessment	\$150,000
59	Wilson County SW Wtr. Distr.	Water Asset Inventory and Assessment	\$95,000
60	Hyde County	Water System Asset Inventory & Assessment	\$120,000
61	Maysville	Sewer Asset Inventory & Assessment	\$140,000
62	Warrenton	Water Asset Inventory & Assessment	\$100,000
63	Benson	Wastewater Asset Inventory & Assessment	\$150,000
64	Monroe	Drinking Water System Asset Mgmt. Integration	\$150,000
65	Murphy	Water System Asset Inventory & Assessment	\$150,000
Totals for AIA Grants			\$8,274,229

Table 7.**Merger/Regionalization Feasibility (MRF) Grant Funding Approved on Jan. 18, 2017**

Proj. No.	Applicant Name	Project Name	Funding Amount
1	Rutherford County	Cliffside Sanitary District Merger/ Consolidation PER	\$50,000
2	Bethel	Sewer Merger Feasibility	\$50,000
3	Wayne County	Sewer System Merger/ Regionalization Grant	\$50,000
Totals for MRF Grants			\$150,000

Item M. 2017 Intended Use Plans (IUPs) for CWSRF and DWSRF Programs

The application priority ranking methods used for the evaluation of applications to the CWSRF and DWSRF are proposed to the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) each year, in North Carolina's Intended Use Plan (IUP) for each of the SRF programs. The IUP for each program includes the Priority Rating System, which contains the points that are applied by Division staff when an application is evaluated. The IUPs are submitted to the US EPA as part of the capitalization grant applications. The Division proposed no changes to the CWSRF and DWSRF Priority Rating Systems.

The Division will hold a public meeting to receive public comment on each Draft IUP before it is submitted to the EPA. The public meeting will be scheduled as soon as possible.

Action Item M:

- Ms. Goodwin made a motion to approve the draft CWSRF and draft DWSRF priority rating systems for public review. Mr. Gaskins seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

Item N. Informal Comments from the Public

Mr. Colson stated that public comments could be made at this time with the reminder that in accordance with the Authority's Internal Operating Procedures, comments must be limited to the subject of business falling within the jurisdiction of the Authority and should not be project specific.

Mr. David Lambert, Town Manager for the Town of Robbins, addressed the Authority. Mayor Lonnie English and Mayor-Pro-Tem Nikki Green were also in attendance. Mr. Lambert stated that the town was very appreciative of the Authority's decision to fund its CDBG-I project.

Item O. Concluding Remarks by Authority Members, Chair, and Counsel

Authority members noted that some of the projects funded today had received zero points for project benefit and some received zero points for project purpose. They expressed concern about the quality of projects that may be applied for in the future and discussed the possibility of requiring a minimum number of points in each category to be considered for funding. The Chair mentioned possibly refining the lower end of the priority systems to address these concerns.

Authority members appreciated the level of detailed information provided in the agenda packets and having the complex information presented clearly.

Mr. Gaskins noted that Treasurer Folwell supports developing the troubled system protocol and has authorized a new staff position to analyze the data collected by the LGC. He looks forward to working with the Division to use the data to better understand the issues of local government units that apply for funding.

The Chair noted that the challenge this year is implementing the Statewide Infrastructure Master Plan and working with the many partner agencies and organizations to get the master plan messages out to the local government units and other utility providers.

The next Authority meeting date is April 19, 2017.

Item P. Adjourn – The meeting was adjourned.