FISHERY MANAGEMENT PLAN UPDATE KINGFISHES AUGUST 2018

STATUS OF THE FISHERY MANAGEMENT PLAN

Fishery Management Plan History

Original FMP Adoption:	November 2007
Amendments:	None
Revisions:	None
Supplements:	None
Information Updates:	November 2015
Schedule Changes:	None
Next Benchmark Review:	July 2020

The original 2007 Kingfish Fishery Management Plan (FMP) developed management strategies that ensure a long-term sustainable harvest for recreational and commercial fisheries of North Carolina. The plan established the use of trend analysis and management triggers to monitor the viability of the stock. The N.C. Marine Fisheries Commission (NCMFC) also approved a rule which included proclamation authority for the North Carolina Division of Marine Fisheries (NCDMF) director the flexibility to impose restrictions on season, areas, quantity, means and methods, or size of kingfish (NCMFC Rule 15A NCAC 03M .0518), if needed. An Information Update was completed for the Kingfish FMP in November of 2015. The best available data and techniques used for the trend analysis and management triggers were refined and modified to better assess population trends as part of this FMP Information Update.

Management Unit

The North Carolina Kingfish FMP includes the three species of kingfishes (southern *Menticirrhus americanus*, Gulf *M. littoralis*, and northern *M. saxiatlis*) in all coastal fishing waters of North Carolina. Southern kingfish is designated as the indicator species for this assemblage. The management unit identified in this plan does not encompass the entire unit stock range for any of the three species of kingfishes inhabiting North Carolina. This is the primary reason that a quantified state-specific stock assessment could not be conducted and further, why a regional stock assessment approach is recommended as the most appropriate mechanism for determining the stock status and the long-term viability of this stock (NCDMF 2007).

Goal and Objectives

The goal of the 2007 Kingfish Fishery Management Plan is to determine the health of the stock and ensure the long-term sustainability for the kingfishes stock in North Carolina (NCDMF 2007). To achieve this goal, it is recommended that the following objectives be met:

- 1. Develop an objective management program that provides conservation of the resource and sustainable harvest in the fishery.
- 2. Ensure that the spawning stock is of sufficient capacity to prevent recruitment overfishing.
- 3. Address socio-economic concerns of all user groups.
- 4. Restore, improve, and protect critical habitats that affect growth, survival, and reproduction of the North Carolina stock of kingfishes.
- 5. Evaluate, enhance, and initiate studies to increase our understanding of kingfishes' biology and population dynamics in North Carolina.
- 6. Promote public awareness regarding the status and management of the North Carolina kingfishes stock.

STATUS OF THE STOCK

Life History

Three species of kingfishes occur in North Carolina: southern (*Menticirrhus americanus*), Gulf (*M. littoralis*), and northern kingfishes (*M. saxatilis*). Kingfish refers to a single species while kingfishes refers to multiple species. Kingfishes are demersal (live near and feed on the bottom) members of the drum family. Southern kingfish is the most abundant kingfish species from North Carolina to the east coast of Florida and Gulf of Mexico with a range extending as far as Cape May, New Jersey southward to Buenos Aires, Argentina. Northern kingfish is the most abundant kingfish species from Massachusetts to North Carolina, with a range extending from the Gulf of Maine into the Gulf of Mexico. Gulf kingfish is the most abundant kingfish species in the surf zone south of Cape Hatteras, North Carolina, and has a range extending from Virginia to Rio Grande, Brazil. The northern and southern kingfishes prefer mud or sand-mud bottom types while Gulf kingfish prefer the sandy bottoms of the surf zone. Kingfishes move from estuarine and nearshore ocean waters to deeper offshore waters as water temperature cools. Spawning takes place in the ocean from April to October. The kingfishes have several regional names including sea mullet, king whiting, king croaker, sea mink, roundhead, hard head, whiting, hake, Carolina whiting, and Virginia mullet.

Stock Status

The stock of kingfish is unassessed, thus overfishing/overfished status cannot be determined. However, results from the trend analysis suggests there are no concerns with the stock and no need for management at this time. A coast-wide stock assessment is a high research priority that needs to be addressed before biological reference points relative to overfished and overfishing can be determined.

Stock Assessment

The 2007 Kingfish FMP selected the use of trend analysis with management triggers as the management strategy to monitor the viability of the kingfish stock in North Carolina (NCDMF 2007). During the review of the 2007 Kingfish FMP as part of the 2015 FMP Information Update, best available data and techniques used for the trend analysis and management triggers were refined and modified to better assess population trends. The trend analysis incorporates management triggers to alert NCDMF and NCMFC to the potential need for management action based on stock conditions. The activation of any two management triggers (regardless of trigger category) two years in a row warrants further evaluation of the data and potential management action. The analysis is updated each year and all trends relative to management triggers are provided as part of this annual update. Current management triggers are based on fishery independent indices of abundance for Young-of-Year (YOY), adult fish, the proportion of catch greater than size at 50% maturity (L₅₀) and a relative fishing mortality index. YOY fish includes new fish that enter the population that year. L₅₀ is the length at which 50% of the adult population is sexually mature and ready to spawn.

A formal quantitative stock assessment is not available for kingfish in North Carolina; therefore, no determination can be made relative to an overfishing or overfished status. Prior attempts at a stock assessment during the 2007 FMP development were not successful, primarily due to limited data. From these prior attempts, all reviewers noted a lack of migration (mixing) data to determine the movement patterns of kingfishes along North Carolina and the entire Atlantic coast. A regional (multi-state) stock assessment approach is likely needed to best determine the stock status for kingfish along the Atlantic coast including North Carolina. In 2008 and 2014, Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission (ASMFC) South Atlantic Board met to consider regional management by reviewing data on kingfishes. However, due to no major concerns with kingfish stocks, it was decided no further action was necessary. As a result, kingfish management in North Carolina continues to fall solely within the framework of the state FMP process.

STATUS OF THE FISHERY

Current Regulations

For shrimp or crab trawls, there is a three-hundred-pound trip limit for kingfishes south of Bogue Inlet from December 1 through March 31 (NCMFC Rule 15A NCAC 03J .0202 (5)). No other harvest limits are in place specific to kingfish in any other fisheries.

Commercial Landings

Commercial landings for kingfishes include southern, northern, and Gulf kingfishes combined. Landings have fluctuated historically, but have been on an increasing trend since 2011. The 2017 landings increased 12 percent from 2016 (Figure 1). The vast majority of kingfishes landed are from the ocean gill net fishery. The average landings from 2008 to 2017 were 555,941 pounds. Harvest of kingfishes is seasonal with peak landings in April and November. Peaks in landings coincide with seasonal movements of kingfishes along the Atlantic coast.

Recreational Landings

Recreational landings for kingfish include southern, northern, and Gulf kingfishes. Total recreational landings had been on an increasing trend from 1983 – 2015. In 2016 and 2017, recreational landings declined, with 2017 having the lowest landings since 1999 (Figure 2). Most kingfishes are landed from the ocean and the majority of the fish are caught from man-made structures, such as piers, jetties, or bridges, or from beaches. A smaller portion of kingfishes are caught in estuarine waters of the state and the majority of those fish are harvested by anglers fishing from private vessels. Recreational harvest of kingfishes is also seasonal with the majority of fish harvested during the spring and the fall, and lowest during the summer.

MONITORING PROGRAM DATA

Fishery-Dependent Monitoring

Kingfishes are sampled from a variety of commercial fishery surveys, including the estuarine long haul, ocean trawl, pound net, ocean gill net, estuarine gill net and ocean beach seine fisheries in N.C. A total of 50,984 kingfishes were measured from 2008 to 2017 (45,250 southern, 2,896 northern and 2,838 Gulf; Table 1). Mean length for southern kingfish ranged from 286 to 306 mm, with a minimum of 160 mm and a maximum of 558 mm. Mean length for northern kingfish ranged from 311 to 340 mm, with a minimum of 110 mm and a maximum of 445 mm. Mean length for Gulf kingfish ranged from 305 to 337 mm with a minimum of 199 mm and a maximum of 464 mm.

Recreational lengths are collected as part of Marine Recreational Informational Program (MRIP) by recreational port agents. A total of 7,976 kingfishes were measured from 2008 to 2017 (5,558 southern, 249 northern and 2,169 Gulf; Table 2). Mean length for southern kingfish ranged from 267 to 293 mm, with a minimum of 134 mm and a maximum of 505 mm. Mean length for northern kingfish ranged from 255 to 333 mm, with a minimum of 157 mm and a maximum of 406 mm. Mean length for Gulf kingfish ranged from 255 to 301 mm, with a minimum of 150 mm and a maximum of 463 mm.

Fishery-Independent Monitoring

Fishery-independent data are collected through the NCDMF Pamlico Sound Survey (Program 195), the Southeast Area Monitoring and Assessment Program – South Atlantic (SEAMAP-SA) Coastal Survey and the NCDMF Independent Gill Net Survey (Program 915). The Pamlico Sound Survey catches the most kingfishes of any of the NCDMF fishery independent sampling programs, and the majority of those are southern kingfishes. This survey has been running uninterrupted since 1987. From 1991 to present, the Pamlico Sound Survey has been conducted during the middle two weeks in June and September. The stations sampled are randomly selected from strata based upon depth and geographic location. Tow duration is 20 minutes at 2.5 knots

using the R/V Carolina Coast pulling double rigged demersal mongoose trawls. The sample area covers all of Pamlico Sound and its bays, as well as Croatan Sound up to the Highway 64 Bridge, the Pamlico River up to Blounts Bay, the Pungo River up to Smith Creek, and the Neuse River up to Upper Broad Creek. However, most kingfish are caught in Pamlico Sound proper, and very few from the Neuse, Pamlico, and Pungo rivers. The September portion of the Pamlico Sound Survey is used to calculate a YOY index of relative abundance because there are more southern kingfish collected in the fall, and more YOY are present in the catch at this time. The relative index derived from Programs 195 survey was calculated using a stratified generalized linear model (GLM) approach. The Program 195 YOY relative abundance index peaked in 2009, but has been on a decreasing trend since 2013, and remained low in 2017 (Figure 3 Table 3).

The Southeast Area Monitoring and Assessment Program-South Atlantic (SEAMAP-SA) Coastal Survey is conducted by the South Carolina Department of Natural Resources-Marine Resources Division, and provides long-term fishery independent data on the distribution and relative abundance of coastal species (Cowen and Zimney 2016). Stations are randomly selected from a pool of stations in each stratum, and sampled using paired mongoose-type Falcon trawls with tow times of 20 minutes. SEAMAP-SA Coastal Survey cruises are conducted each year in spring (mid-April to the end of May), summer (mid-July to mid-August), and fall (the first of October to mid-November). The summer portion of SEAMAP-SA Coastal Survey is used to calculate an adult index of abundance and the fall portion of SEAMAP-SA Coastal Survey is used as a young of year index of abundance. The indices derived from the SEAMAP-SA Coastal Survey were computed using standard (non-stratified) GLMs. After a peak in 2012, the SEAMAP-SA Coastal Survey adult index of relative abundance has been on a declining trend, which continued in 2017 (Figure 4; Table 3). The YOY index of relative abundance increased to well above the average in 2015, and has since returned to approximately the average in 2016 and 2017 (Figure 5; Table 3).

The Independent Gill Net Survey is designed to characterize the size and age distribution for key estuarine species in Pamlico Sound and its major river tributaries. Sampling began in Pamlico Sound in 2001 and was expanded to the current sampling area (including tributaries) in 2003. Each array of nets consists of floating gill nets in 30-yard segments of 3.0, 3.5, 4.0, 4.5, 5.0, 5.5, 6.0, and 6.5-inch stretched mesh, for a total of 240 yards of nets. Catches from an array of gill nets comprise a single sample; two samples (one shallow, one deep) totaling 480 yards of gill net are completed each trip. Gill nets are typically deployed within an hour of sunset and fished the following morning. Efforts are made to keep all soak times within 12 hours. Gill net sets are determined using a random stratified survey design, based on area and water depth. The L₅₀ management trigger is based on a conservative proportion of adults in the population. This is the length at which 50 percent of the population is mature. For southern kingfish, this is 8.25 inches (210 mm) in length. One of the data sources for this management trigger comes from the Pamlico Sound Survey and has been stable over the time series (Figure 6).

Table 2 summarizes the age data for kingfishes (southern, northern, and Gulf), collected from 2008 through 2017. The majority of kingfish age samples came from Independent Gill Net Survey (Program 915), followed by the commercial ocean gill net fishery. Southern kingfish ages ranged from 0 to 9 years old. Northern kingfish ages ranges from 0 to 5 years old. Gulf

kingfish ages ranged from 0 to 7 years old. The modal ages ranged from 1 to 3 years for southern and Gulf kingfishes, and 0 to 2 for northern kingfish.

MANAGEMENT STRATEGY

The 2007 Kingfish FMP selected the use of trend analysis and management triggers as the management strategy to monitor the viability of the kingfish stock in North Carolina (NCDMF 2007). A second management strategy promotes work to enhance public information and education. The trend analysis and management triggers are updated annually and results are presented to the NCMFC as part of the annual FMP Update. The trend analysis incorporates triggers to alert managers to the potential need for management action based on stock conditions. The activation of any two management triggers two years in a row (regardless of category) warrants further data evaluation and potential management action. The NCMFC will be notified should this criterion be met. The Pamlico Sound Survey, the Independent Gill Net Survey and the SEAMAP-SA Coastal Survey data are currently used for management triggers for kingfishes in North Carolina.

The L_{50} management trigger is based on a conservative proportion of adults in the population. This is the length at which 50 percent of the population is mature. For southern kingfish, this is 8.25 inches (210 mm) in length. Data sources for this management trigger come from two fisheries-independent surveys; the summer component of the SEAMAP-SA Coastal Survey, and the June component of the Pamlico Sound Survey.

Relative F is a simple method for estimating trends in F (Sinclair 1998). It is estimated as catch (commercial landings plus recreational harvest) divided by a fisheries-independent index of relative abundance. Here, catch (commercial landings plus recreational harvest) was divided by the SEAMAP-SA Coastal Survey spring index (Onslow, Raleigh, and Long bays, inner—shallow—strata) of relative abundance, given that the majority of catch occurs in the spring.

The kingfish management triggers are summarized as follows:

Biological Monitoring

Proportion of adults \geq length at 50 percent maturity (L₅₀) for NCDMF Program 195 June (Figure 7)

Proportion of adults $\geq L_{50}$ for NCDMF Program 915 (Figure 6)

Proportion of adults $\geq L_{50}$ for SEAMAP-SA Coastal Survey summer (Figure 8)

→ If the proportion of adults $\ge L_{50}$ falls below 2/3 of the average proportion of adults $\ge L_{50}$ for the time series, then the trigger will be considered tripped.

Fisheries-Independent Surveys—Juvenile and Adult

NCDMF Program 195 September index of YOY relative abundance (Figure 3)

SEAMAP-SA Coastal Survey summer index of adult relative abundance (Figure 4)

SEAMAP-SA Coastal Survey fall index of YOY relative abundance (Figure 5)

→ If a fisheries-independent survey falls below 2/3 of the average abundance for the time series (through 2017), then the trigger will be considered tripped.

<u>Other</u>

Relative fishing mortality rate (*F*) (Figure 9)

→ If relative F rises above the average +1/3 of relative F for the time series (through 2017), the trigger will be considered tripped.

A summary of the various management triggers by year is provided in Table 3. Bold values indicate years when a particular management trigger was activated. In 2017, one management trigger was activated and only one trigger (the YOY index from the September portion of Pamlico Sound Survey) was slightly below the management trigger threshold. No action is required at this time.

RESEARCH NEEDS

The division reviewed and prioritized the research recommendations during the 2015 FMP Information Update (NCDMF 2015). The prioritization of each research recommendation is designated as a high, medium, or low priority. A low ranking does not infer a lack of importance but is either already being addressed by others or provides limited information for aiding in management decisions. A high ranking indicates there is a substantial need, which may be time sensitive in nature, to provide information to help with management decisions. Proper management of the kingfishes resource cannot occur until some of these research needs are met. The research recommendations include:

- Conduct a coast-wide stock assessment of southern kingfish along the Atlantic Coast including estimation of biological reference points for sustainable harvest HIGH (No action)
- Validate YOY and adult indices used in trend analysis HIGH (UNCW has conducted seine surveys in the ocean to determine trends for all three species)
- Develop a fisheries-independent survey in the ocean for juvenile and adult kingfishes HIGH (No action)
- Collect observer data from commercial fishing operations to estimate at-sea species composition of the catch, discard rates, and lengths HIGH (NCDMF has observers collecting data at sea for the shrimp fishery, flounder gill net fishery and other fisheries)
- Improve recreational data collection, particularly the species composition of discards, discard rates and associated biological data HIGH (Steps have been taken to improve sampling in recreational fisheries, including a carcass collection program)
- Improve dependent commercial data collection of more sample sizes for life history information MEDIUM (NCDMF ageing study collects kingfish for life history data)
- Evaluate and potentially expand the NCDMF fishery-independent gill net survey to provide data on species composition, abundance trends, and population age structure by including additional areas of North Carolina's estuarine and nearshore ocean waters MEDIUM (No action)
- Continue bycatch reduction device studies in the shrimp trawl fishery to decrease bycatch MEDIUM (Ongoing research through NCDMF and various federal agencies)
- Determine stock structure using genetics of kingfishes along North Carolina and the Atlantic Coast LOW (Grant approved for UNCW and NCDMF to use genetic markers to delineate the population structure)

- Develop tagging study to estimate natural and fishing mortality, to investigate stock structure, and to understand movement patterns HIGH (No action)
- Collect histological data to develop maturity schedule with priority to southern kingfish HIGH (NCDMF currently collecting histology samples in order to validate and update maturity schedules)
- Conduct an age validation study with priority to southern kingfish HIGH (No action)
- Conduct study to estimate fecundity with priority to southern kingfish MEDIUM (No action)
- Conduct study to identify spawning areas with priority for southern kingfish MEDIUM (No action)
- Sample inlets and river plumes to determine the importance of these areas for kingfishes and other estuarine-dependent species LOW (Sampling in the nearshore ocean through N.C. Adult Fishery Independent Survey was initiated in 2008 but discontinued in 2015. Gill net sampling in Cape Fear, New, Neuse, Pamlico, and Pungo rivers continues)
- Determine the effects of beach re-nourishment on kingfishes and their prey LOW (Grant approved for UNCW to investigate effects of beach renourishment)
- Conduct a study to investigate how tidal stages and time of day influence feeding in kingfishes LOW (No action)
- Increase the sample size of surveyed participants in the commercial kingfish fishery to better determine specific business characteristics and the economics of working in the fishery LOW (NCDMF conducted a study of CRFL holders in 2009/2010)
- Update information on the participants in the recreational kingfish fishery LOW (Socioeconomic study was conducted by NCDMF on piers)

FISHERY MANAGEMENT PLAN RECOMMENDATION

The NCDMF recommends maintaining the current review schedule.

LITERATURE CITED

- Cowen, J. and A.B. Zimney. 2016. Results of Trawling Efforts in the Coastal Habitat of the South Atlantic Bight, 2015. South Carolina Department of Natural Resources. Marine Resources Division. Charleston, South Carolina. 104 pp.
- NCDMF (North Carolina Division of Marine Fisheries). 2007. North Carolina Fishery Management Plan, Kingfishes. North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources. North Carolina Division of Marine Fisheries. Morehead City, North Carolina. 235 pp.
- NCDMF. 2015. North Carolina Fishery Management Plan Information Update, Kingfishes. North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources. North Carolina Division of Marine Fisheries. Morehead City, North Carolina. 196 pp.
- Sinclair, A.F. 1998. Estimating trends in fishing mortality at age and length directly from research survey and commercial catch data. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences. 55(5):1248–1263.

TABLES

Southern Kingfish				
Year	Mean Length	Minimum Length	Maximum Length	Total Number Measured
2008	290	160	446	9,956
2009	289	176	418	6,131
2010	293	170	558	3,927
2011	295	206	461	3,250
2012	290	203	433	4,646
2013	306	164	409	1,593
2014	300	211	532	3,732
2015	297	195	402	4,560
2016	303	181	437	3,353
2017	290	200	410	4,102
		Northern K	ingfish	
Vear	Mean Length	Minimum Length	Maximum Length	Total Number Measured
2008	319	110	423	335
2009	317	174	401	301
2010	321	228	406	186
2011	317	219	431	208
2012	322	197	445	318
2013	335	218	406	930
2014	339	277	423	156
2015	324	253	422	84
2016	315	224	432	213
2017	340	255	442	165
		Gulf Kin	gfish	
Vear	Mean Length	Minimum Length	Maximum Length	Total Number Measured
2008	306	100	Maximum Length	10tal 14tilloci 14teasuleu /187
2008	313	251	406	407
2009	313	251	400	135
2010	318	200	412	366
2011	321	219	406	163
2012	321	255	400	545
2013	300	240	30/	187
2014	309	254	594 /12	162
2015		208	тт5 Л6Л	101
2010		200	404	192
2017	313	238	423	230

Table 1. Summary of length data sampled from the kingfish commercial fishery, 2008 - 2017.

Southern Kingfish					
Year	Mean Length	Minimum Length	Maximum Length	Total Number Measured	
2008	278	134	410	517	
2009	277	160	459	689	
2010	283	161	413	968	
2011	277	185	418	583	
2012	281	154	410	828	
2013	267	156	402	370	
2014	293	197	505	383	
2015	273	162	475	258	
2016	283	197	419	490	
2017	274	199	392	472	
		Northern K	ingfish		
Year	Mean Length	Minimum Length	Maximum Length	Total Number Measured	
2008	257	216	365	20	
2009	278	223	383	19	
2010	284	220	390	20	
2011	321	181	406	70	
2012	298	211	383	58	
2013	283	157	375	26	
2014	280	225	342	2	
2015	308	259	359	7	
2016	255	200	299	3	
2017	333	248	367	24	
Gulf Kingfish					
Year	Mean Length	Minimum Length	Maximum Length	Total Number Measured	
2008	262	167	402	321	
2009	255	183	428	203	
2010	274	150	463	363	
2011	296	191	427	223	
2012	266	162	415	406	
2013	265	152	438	180	
2014	289	165	411	203	
2015	301	215	406	63	
2016	266	192	358	81	
2017	286	191	401	126	

Table 2. Summary of length data sampled from the kingfish recreational fishery, 2008 - 2017.

Southern Kingfish					
Vaar	Modal	Total Number Agad			
rear	Age	Age	Age	Total Number Aged	
2008	2	0	9	324	
2009	2	2	5	15	
2010	2	1	5	163	
2011	2	0	6	243	
2012	1	1	6	228	
2013	2	1	5	298	
2014	3	0	5	269	
2015	2	0	5	353	
2016	1	0	7	530	
2017	2	0	6	413	
Northern Kingfish					

 Table 3. Kingfish age data collected from all sources (commercial and recreational fisheries and fishery independent sampling programs) combined, 2008 - 2017.

	Modal	Minimum	Maximum	
Year	Age	Age	Age	Total Number Aged
2008	0	0	5	50
2009	1	1	3	14
2010	2	1	3	4
2011	2	0	4	115
2012	1	0	3	17
2013	2	1	3	26
2014	2	2	2	1
2015	2	0	2	40
2016	1	1	4	49
2017	2	1	3	13
Gulf Kingfish				

	Modal	Minimum	Maximum	
Year	Age	Age	Age	Total Number Aged
2008	1	0	7	47
2009	-	-	-	0
2010	3	3	3	1
2011	2	1	6	28
2012	1	0	4	98
2013	1	1	4	44
2014	2	1	4	38
2015	2	0	4	78
2016	1	0	5	116
2017	2	0	5	167

	BIOLOGICAL MONITORING		FISHERIES-INDEPENDENT SURVEYS			OTHER	
	Proportion of Adults >= L50			YOY	Indices	Adult Index	Relative F
Year	Program 195 June	Program 915 July-September	SEAMAP Summer	Program 195 September	SEAMAP Fall	SEAMAP Summer	Relative F
1987	0.611			1.1			
1988	0.450			1.3			
1989	0.300		0.585	1.7	6.4	4.1	47,084
1990	0.563		0.463	3.2	6.4	15	135,272
1991	0.667		0.894	5.4	4.7	20	40,705
1992	0.429		0.622	3.8	1.8	8.0	44,678
1993	0.543		0.456	0.1	2.0	7.7	158,612
1994	0.794		0.917	5.5	5.7	1.3	189,532
1995	0.440		0.486	10	1.5	5.0	93,382
1996	0.872		0.780	0.4	5.6	2.7	89,697
1997	0.589		0.373	0.5	1.1	5.6	46,676
1998	1.000		0.769	0.3	6.1	2.7	27,432
1999	0.920		0.608	5.9	7.1	14	60,475
2000	0.733		0.929	8.9	3.5	5.5	123,301
2001	0.660	0.983	0.303	5.9	2.8	12	51,543
2002	0.704	0.978	0.882	8.2	6.0	5.6	50,484
2003	0.872	0.978	0.645	7.0	2.5	9.3	16,509
2004	0.513	0.963	0.284	5.4	6.2	22	16,902
2005	0.594	0.970	0.666	2.9	3.7	9.2	24,747
2006	0.541	0.979	0.423	39	3.1	12	31,103
2007	0.343	1.000	0.521	10	4.4	3.6	84,264
2008	0.488	0.987	0.520	17	4.6	1.9	77,346
2009	0.586	1.000	0.389	39	1.5	8.3	74,052
2010	0.529	0.981	0.786	2.3	4.2	5.5	37,126
2011	0.432	1.000	0.507	28	16	9.3	45,170
2012	0.511	1.000	0.368	6.8	4.0	30	12,822
2013	0.659	0.941	0.558	29	5.5	19	13,797
2014	0.422	0.981	0.548	8.2	4.9	19	44,156
2015	0.534	0.980	0.550	10	93	16	21,375
2016	0.358	0.963	0.345	3.0	9.4	14	7,067
2017	0.503	0.953	0.684	5.1	6.9	7.2	5,464
Threshold	< 0.392	< 0.652	< 0.385	<5.9	<5.3	<6.8	>76,817
Total Years	31	17	29	31	29	29	29
Years Trigger Activated	3	0	5	16	16	11	8

Table 4. Summary of management trigger organized by category. Bold indicates values that activate a trigger.

 Table 5.
 Summary of the N.C. Marine Fisheries Commission management strategies and their implementation status for the 2007 Kingfish Fishery Management Plan.

Monogoment Strategy	Implementation Status
Fishering Monogement	
Fisheries Management	A
Compline is to 1) maintain a sustainable hormost of him fishes comp	Accomplished
Carolina is to 1) maintain a sustainable narvest of kinglishes over	
the long-term and 2) promote public education. The first strategy	
the biology of kingfiches, londings of kingfiches, independent	
the biology of kinglishes, fandings of kinglishes, independent	
sonducted by Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission	
(ASMEC) The second strategy will be accomplished by the	
(ASMFC). The second strategy will be accomplished by the	
NCDWF working to enhance public information and education.	A SMEC determined a starl correspondent for the him fights
Recommend ASMFC conduct a coastwide stock assessment on sea	ASMIFC determined a stock assessment for the kinglishes
munet.	southern kin of the CDUE
Enderer additional margaret to undere brooted in the abaimar torond	
Endorse additional research to reduce bycatch in the shrimp trawi	Ongoing
Itsnery, primarily shrimp trawi characterization studies involving	
at-sea observers and investigations into fish excluder devices with a	
lingfich in shrime troub	
Kinglish in shrinp trawis.	Accounties a Dela 15 A NCAC 2M 0518 in offerst since
mplement rule giving NCDWF director proclamation authority to	Accomplished. Rule ISA NCAC SIVI .0518 in effect since
Habitat and Water Orality	October 1, 2008
Habitat and water Quanty	Endersed through the Constal Unkitet Destantion Dise
The NCDCM should continue promoting the use of shoreline	(CLIDD)
stabilization alternatives that maintain or enhance fish habitat. That	(CHPP)
includes using oyster culter or limestone mari in constructing the	
To any metastion of kingfish pursons areas fish friendly.	Endersed through the CUDD
It of ensure protection of kinghts in fursery areas, fish-infendity	Endorsed unrough the CHPP
nimery and secondary pursary areas	
The location and designation of purgary habitate should be	Endersed through the CUDD
continued and expanded by the NCDME	
No travel arrays and machanical horizont prohibited arrays should be	Endersed through the CUDD
avpended to include recovery/rectoration group for subtidel overer	
bade and SAV	
Expansion and accordination of habitat manitaring afforts is needed	Endersed through the CUDD
Expansion and coordination of native information of notantial	Endorsed unrough the CHPP
recovery/restoration sites for overers and SAV	
Any proposed stabilization project threatening the passage of	Endersed through the CUDD
kingfish larvae through coastal inlats should be avoided	
All coastal draining river basing should be considered for NSW	Endorsed through the CHDD
classification because they all deliver excess putrients to coastal	
waters regardless of flushing rate	
Efforts to implement phase II stormwater rules must be continued	Endorsed through the CHPD
The FED process should be extended to other development projects	Endorsed through the CHDP
The EEP process should be extended to other development projects.	Endorsed through the CHPP
sources including:	
sources, including.	
Improvement and continuation of urban and agricultural DMD ₂	
DIVIT'S,	
• more stringent sediment controls on construction projects,	
Implementation of additional buffers along coastal waters.	

FIGURES



Figure 1. Commercial landings (pounds) of kingfishes (southern, northern, and Gulf combined) from 1972 to 2017.



Figure 2. Recreational landings of kingfishes (southern, northern, and Gulf combined) from 1981 to 2017.



Figure 3. Annual index of relative YOY abundance for southern kingfish derived from the September component of the NCDMF Program 195 survey (excluding strata from the Neuse, Pamlico, and Pungo rivers), 1987–2017. Dotted line represents 2/3 of the average of the time series.



Figure 4. Annual index of relative adult abundance for southern kingfish derived from the summer component of the SEAMAP-SA Coastal Survey (Onslow, Raleigh, and Long bays, inner—shallow—strata), 1989–2017. Dotted line represents 2/3 of the average of the time series.



Figure 5. Annual index of relative YOY abundance for southern kingfish derived from the fall component of the SEAMAP-SA Coastal Survey (Onslow, Raleigh, and Long bays, inner—shallow—strata), 1989–2017. Dotted line represents 2/3 of the average of the time series.



Figure 6. Annual proportions of adults (southern kingfish) greater than or equal to the length at 50% maturity occurring in the July through September component of the NCDMF Program 915 survey (Pamlico Sound, deep strata only), 2001–2017. Dotted line represents 2/3 of the average of the time series.



Figure 7. Annual proportions of adults (southern kingfish) greater than or equal to the length at 50% maturity occurring in the June component of the NCDMF Program 195 survey (excluding strata from the Neuse, Pamlico, and Pungo rivers), 1987–2017. Dotted line represents 2/3 of the average of the time series.



Figure 8. Annual proportions of adults (southern kingfish) greater than or equal to the length at 50% maturity occurring in the summer component of the SEAMAP-SA Coastal Survey (Onslow, Raleigh, and Long bays, inner—shallow—strata), 1989–2017. Dotted line represents 2/3 of the average of the time series.



Figure 9. Relative *F*, as estimated as catch (commercial and recreational) divided by the SEAMAP-SA Coastal Survey spring index (Onslow, Raleigh, and Long bays, inner—shallow—strata) of relative abundance, 1989-2017. Dotted line represents 2/3 of the average of the time series.