#### FISHERY MANAGEMENT PLAN UPDATE KING MACKEREL AUGUST 2024

### STATUS OF THE FISHERY MANAGEMENT PLAN

#### **Fishery Management Plan History**

FMP Documentation:	February 1983	
	Amendment 1	September 1985
	Amendment 3	August 1989
	Amendment 5	August 1990
	Amendment 6	December 1992
	Amendment 7	November 1994
	Amendment 8	March 1998
	Amendment 9	April 2000
	Amendment 10	July 2000
	Amendment 11	December 1999
	Amendment 12	October 2000
	Amendment 14	July 2002
	Amendment 15	August 2005
	Amendment 17	June 2006
	Amendment 18	January 2012
	Amendment 19	July 2010
	Amendment 20A	August 2014
	Amendment 20B	March 2015
	Amendment 22	January 2014
	Amendment 23	August 2014
	Amendment 26	July 2016
	Amendment 34	March 2023

Comprehensive Review: 2020

The original Gulf and South Atlantic Fishery Management Councils' fishery management plan (FMP) for Coastal Migratory Pelagic Resources (mackerels and cobia) was approved in 1983 (SAFMC 1983). This plan treated king mackerel as one U.S. stock. Allocations were established for recreational and commercial fisheries, and the commercial allocation was divided between net and hook and line fishermen. The plan also established procedures for the Secretary of Commerce to act by regulatory amendment to resolve possible future conflicts in the fishery, such as establishing fishing zones and local quotas for each gear or user group. Numerous amendments have been implemented since the first FMP.

Amendment 1 provided a framework for pre-season adjustment of total allowable catch (TAC), revised king mackerel maximum sustainable yield (MSY) downward, recognized separate Atlantic and Gulf migratory groups of king mackerel, and established fishing permits and bag limits for king mackerel (SAFMC 1985). Commercial allocations among gear users were eliminated.

Amendment 3 prohibited drift gill nets for coastal pelagics and purse seines and run-around gill nets for the overfished groups of mackerels (SAFMC 1989). The habitat section of the FMP was

updated and vessel safety considerations were included in the plan. A new objective to minimize waste and bycatch in the fishery was added to the plan.

Amendment 5 extended the management area for the Atlantic groups of mackerels through Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council (MAFMC) jurisdiction (SAFMC 1990). The amendment revised problems in the fishery and plan objectives, revised the definition of "overfishing", and provided that the SAFMC will be responsible for pre-season adjustments of TACs and bag limits for the Atlantic migratory groups of mackerels. It redefined recreational bag limits as daily limits; created a provision specifying the bag limit catch of mackerel may be sold, provided guidelines for corporate commercial vessel permits, established a minimum size of 12 inches fork length (FL) or 14 inches total length (TL) for king mackerel and included a definition of "conflict".

Amendment 6 identified additional problems and an objective in the fishery, provided for rebuilding overfished stocks of mackerels within specific periods, provided for biennial assessments and adjustments, provided for more seasonal adjustment actions, including size limits, vessel trip limits, closed seasons or areas, and gear restrictions (SAFMC 1992). It also changed commercial permit requirements to allow qualification in one of three preceding years, discontinued the reversion of the bag limit to zero when the recreational quota is filled, modified the recreational fishing year to the calendar year and changed the minimum size limit for king mackerel to 20 inches FL.

Amendment 7 equally divided the Gulf commercial allocation in the Eastern Zone at the Dade-Monroe County line in Florida (SAFMC 1994). The sub-allocation for the area from Monroe County through Western Florida was equally divided between commercial hook and line and net gear users.

Amendment 8 identified additional problems in the fishery, specified allowable gear, established a moratorium on new commercial king mackerel permits and provided for transferability of permits during the moratorium, and allowed retention of up to five damaged king mackerel on vessels with commercial trip limits (these fish cannot be sold, but do not count against the trip limit) (SAMFC 1998). It also revised the seasonal framework procedures to: (a) delete a procedure for subdividing the Gulf migratory group of king mackerel, (b) request the stock assessment panel provide additional information on spawning potential ratios and mixing of king mackerel migratory groups, (c) provide for consideration of public comment, (d) redefine overfishing and allow for adjustment by framework procedure, (e) allow setting zero bag limits, and (f) allow gear regulation including prohibition.

Amendment 9 changed the percentage of the commercial allocation of TAC for the Florida east coast (North Area) and Florida west coast (South/West Area) of the Eastern Zone to 46.15% North and 53.85% South/West (previously, this allocation was split 50% to each zone) (SAMFC 2000). Amendment 9 further allowed possession of cut-off (damaged) king mackerel that comply with the minimum size limits and the trip limits in the Gulf, Mid-Atlantic, or South Atlantic exclusive economic zone (EEZ) (sale of such cut-off fish is allowed and is in addition to the existing allowance for possession and retention of a maximum of five cut-off (damaged) king mackerel that are not subject to the size limits or trip limits, but that cannot be sold or purchased, nor counted against the trip limit).

Amendment 10 designated Essential Fish Habitat (EFH) and EFH-Habitat Areas of Particular Concern for coastal migratory pelagics (SAFMC 1998a).

Amendment 11 amended the FMP as required to make definitions of MSY, optimal yield (OY), overfishing and overfished consistent with National Standard Guidelines; identified and defined fishing communities and addressed bycatch management measures (SAFMC 1998b).

Amendment 12 extended the commercial king mackerel permit moratorium from October 15, 2000, to October 15, 2005, or until replaced with a license limitation, limited access, and/or individual fishing quota or individual transferable quota system (ITQ), whichever occurs earlier (SAFMC 1999).

Amendment 13 established two marine reserves in the EEZ of the Gulf of Mexico near the Dry Tortugas, Florida known as Tortugas North and Tortugas South, in which fishing for coastal migratory pelagic species is prohibited (SAFMC 2002a). This action complements previous actions taken under the National Marine Sanctuaries Act.

Amendment 14 established a three-year moratorium on the issuance of for-hire (charter vessel and head boat) permits for coastal migratory pelagic species in the Gulf of Mexico unless sooner replaced by a comprehensive effort limitation system (SAFMC 2002b). This resulted in separate for-hire permits for the Gulf and South Atlantic. The control date for eligibility was established as March 29, 2001. The amendment also includes other provisions for eligibility, application, appeals, and transferability of permits.

Amendment 15 established an indefinite commercial limited access program for king mackerel in the EEZ under the jurisdiction of the Gulf of Mexico, South Atlantic, and Mid-Atlantic fishery management councils (SAMFC 2004). This amendment also changed the fishing year to March 1 through February 28 (29 on leap year) for Atlantic group king and Spanish mackerels.

Amendment 17 (SAFMC 2006) established a permanent limited entry system for Gulf of Mexico coastal migratory pelagics for-hire (charter and head boat) permits, building on the moratorium established under Amendment 14 (SAFMC 2002b).

Amendment 18 established annual catch limits (ACLs), annual catch targets (ACTs) and accountability measures (AMs) for king mackerel (SAFMC 2011) as required under the 2006 Magnuson-Stevens Reauthorization Act (SAFMC 2011).

Amendment 19 updated existing EFH and HAPC designations for South Atlantic species and prohibited the use of certain gear types within Deepwater Coral Habitat Areas of Particular Concern (SAMFC 2010).

Amendment 20A prohibited the sale of king mackerel caught under the bag limit unless the fish are caught as part of a state-permitted tournament and the proceeds from the sale are donated to charity (SAFMC 2013a). In addition, the rule removes the income qualification requirement for king mackerel commercial vessel permits.

Amendment 20B eliminated the 500-pound trip limit that is effective when 75% of the respective quotas are landed for king mackerel in the Florida west coast Northern and Southern Subzones; allows transit of commercial vessels with king mackerel through areas closed to king mackerel fishing, if gear is appropriately stowed; and creates Northern and Southern Zones for Atlantic migratory group king mackerel, each with separate quotas (SAFMC 2014a). Each zone will close when the respective quota is met or expected to be met. The dividing line between the zones is at the North Carolina and South Carolina state line.

Amendment 22 modified head boat reporting regulations to require weekly electronic reporting of all South Atlantic Council managed species (SAFMC 2013b).

Amendment 23 (SAFMC 2013c) required dealers to possess a federal Gulf and South Atlantic universal dealer permit to purchase king and Spanish mackerel and required weekly electronic dealer reporting. It also required federally permitted king and Spanish mackerel fishermen to sell only to a federally permitted dealer.

The 2013 Framework Action (effective 2014) modified commercial king mackerel trip limits in the Florida East Coast subzone to optimize utilization of the resource (SAFMAC 2014b).

Amendment 26 updates the Atlantic king mackerel annual catch limits and adjusts the mixing zone based on the results of the 2014 stock assessment (SAFMC 2016). The amendment allows limited retention and sale of Atlantic migratory group king mackerel incidentally caught in the small coastal shark gill net fishery.

Framework Amendment 6 (effective 2018) modifies the commercial trip limit for Atlantic migratory group king mackerel in the exclusive economic zone from the North Carolina/South Carolina line to the Miami-Dade/Monroe County line (Atlantic Southern Zone) (SAFMC 2018).

Amendment 34 (effective June 2023) updates catch limits for the Atlantic migratory group king mackerel and revises management measures for Atlantic migratory group king mackerel and Atlantic migratory group Spanish mackerel (SAFMC 2023). The amendment also increases the recreational bag and possession limit for Atlantic king mackerel in federal waters off the east coast of Florida from two to three fish per person and allows the recreational sector to keep cut-off (damaged) Atlantic king mackerel and Atlantic Spanish mackerel caught under the recreational bag limit that comply with the minimum size limits.

To ensure compliance with interstate requirements, North Carolina also manages this species under the North Carolina Fishery Management Plan for Interjurisdictional Fisheries (IJ FMP). The goal of the IJ FMP is to adopt fishery management plans, consistent with N.C. law, approved by the MAFMC, SAFMC, or the Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission by reference and implement corresponding fishery regulations in North Carolina to provide compliance or compatibility with approved fishery management plans and amendments, now and in the future. The goal of these plans, established under the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (federal council plans) and the Atlantic Coastal Fisheries Cooperative Management Act (Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission plans) are like the goals of the Fisheries Reform Act of 1997 to "ensure long-term viability" of these fisheries (NCDMF 2022).

## **Management Unit**

The management unit is defined as king mackerel within U.S. waters of the South Atlantic, Mid-Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico. Current management defines two migratory units: Gulf Migratory Group and Atlantic Migratory Group.

## **Goal and Objectives**

The goal of the FMP for Coastal Migratory Pelagics resources was to institute management measures necessary to prevent exceeding maximum sustainable yield (MSY), establish a mandatory statistical reporting system for monitoring catch, and to minimize gear and user conflicts (SAMFC 1983). Amendment 12 to the Gulf and South Atlantic fishery management councils' FMP for Coastal Migratory Pelagics lists eight plan objectives:

• The primary objective of the FMP is to stabilize yield at MSY, allow recovery of overfished populations, and maintain population levels sufficient to ensure adequate recruitment.

- To provide a flexible management system for the resource which minimizes regulatory delay while retaining substantial Council and public input in management decisions and which can rapidly adapt to changes in resource abundance, new scientific information, and changes in fishing patterns among user groups or by areas.
- To provide necessary information for effective management and establish a mandatory reporting system.
- To minimize gear and user group conflicts.
- To distribute the TAC of Atlantic migratory group Spanish mackerel between recreational and commercial user groups based on the catches that occurred during the early to mid-1970s, which is prior to the development of the deep-water run-around gill net fishery and when the resource was not overfished.
- To minimize waste and bycatch in the fishery.
- To provide appropriate management to address specific migratory groups of king mackerel.
- To optimize the social and economic benefits of the coastal migratory pelagic fisheries.

# **DESCRIPTION OF THE STOCK**

# **Biological Profile**

King mackerel (*Scomberomorus cavalla*) are considered coastal pelagic, meaning they live in open ocean waters near the coast. They are found from North Carolina to southeast Florida, making inshore and offshore migrations that are triggered by water temperature and food supply. King mackerel prefer warm waters and seldom enter waters below 68 degrees Fahrenheit. In the winter, they gather just inside the Gulf Stream along the edge of the continental shelf. In the summer and fall, they move inshore along the beaches and near the mouths of inlets and rivers. King mackerel spawn from April to November, with males maturing between age 2 and 3 and females between age 3 and 4. King mackerel in North Carolina grow as large as 60 inches FL, but most recreational catches are between 35- and 45-inches FL. They feed on menhaden, mullet, thread herring, sardines and squid and may be seen leaping out of the water in pursuit of prey (Manooch 1984).

# **Stock Status**

In 2020, the Atlantic king mackerel stock was assessed and peer reviewed through the Southeast Data, Assessment and Review (SEDAR 2020). The results of the assessment indicated the stock size, and the rate of removals are sustainable and predicts Atlantic king mackerel are not overfished and overfishing is not occurring.

## Stock Assessment

An integrated stock assessment approach, Stock Synthesis 3, was used to assess the stock (SEDAR 2014) in a benchmark assessment (SEDAR 2014). The SEDAR 38 assessment was updated in 2020 (SEDAR 2020). The assessment model was constructed using fishery-independent data from the Southeast Area Monitoring and Assessment Program Trawl Survey for the Atlantic, and fishery-dependent information collected from National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Fisheries Service Marine Recreational Fisheries Statistics Survey, head boat and logbook surveys, as well as North Carolina Division of Marine Fisheries (DMF) Trip Ticket landings information. The Stock Synthesis approach was used, which integrated fishery and life history indices into a statistical catch-at-age model to produce observed catch, size and age composition,

and Catch Per Unit Effort (CPUE) indices. Total biomass and spawning stock biomass estimates increased steadily since 2013. All fishery indicators (fleet CPUEs and scientific survey) showed positive trends since SEDAR 38. Stock Synthesis estimated an above average age-0 recruitments from 2013 to 2016, contrasting the below average recruitments from 2008 to 2012 that were first detected during SEDAR 38. Two particularly high recruitment years were estimated for 2015 and 2016, supported by the juvenile survey observations in 2016 (SEAMAP trawl survey), as well as fleet length compositions. Observations by stakeholders may help validate the model predictions, given the distinct change in signal from five years of low recruitment up to SEDAR 38 to four years of high recruitment. The fish would have entered the fisheries beginning in the 2015 fishing year, with relatively high abundance beginning in 2017 fishing year, particularly of fish between 24- and 36-inches FL.

# **DESCRIPTION OF THE FISHERY**

# **Current Regulations**

The DMF complements the management measures of the Coastal Migratory Pelagic FMP through rule (NCMFC Rule 15A NCAC 03M .0512) and proclamation authority (NCMFC Rule 15A NCAC 03M .0512). Current regulations include a recreational bag limit of fish per person per day and 24-inch FL minimum size limit (commercial and recreational). Commercial regulations limit trips to 3,500 pounds and require a federal vessel permit for commercial, charter, and head boats. Sale of king mackerel caught under the recreational bag limit are prohibited unless the fish are caught as part of a state-permitted tournament and the proceeds from the sale are donated to charity.

# **Commercial Fishery**

In 2023, commercial landings were 559,520 pounds (Table 1; Figure 1) and 90% of the king mackerel harvest was taken by hook and line while the remaining 10% was harvested in gill nets (Table 2; Figure 2). The commercial fishery has declined since 2008; however, the 2023 landings were higher than the 520,929 pound 10-year average (2014-2023).





Figure 1. Annual commercial landings in pounds for king mackerel in North Carolina, 1994–2023.

Table 1.	Recreational harvest (number of fish landed and weight in pounds) and releases (number of
	fish) and commercial harvest (weight in pounds) of king mackerel from North Carolina, 1994–
	2023.

		Recreation	al	Commercial	
Year	Number	Number	Weight	Weight	Total Weight
	Harvested	Released	Landed (lb)	Landed (lb)	Landed (lb)
1994	177,608	5,792	1,709,740	849,909	2,559,649
1995	135,796	7,544	1,240,901	1,013,319	2,254,220
1996	119,418	15,465	1,097,226	793,467	1,890,693
1997	206,601	57,739	1,797,936	1,558,439	3,356,375
1998	112,383	9,155	1,163,739	1,143,342	2,307,081
1999	104,483	120,296	1,034,465	1,082,693	2,117,158
2000	196,979	26,009	2,250,512	1,045,554	3,296,066
2001	145,290	12,381	2,046,022	839,107	2,885,129
2002	104,631	20,811	1,242,058	778,427	2,020,485
2003	153,339	33,774	1,388,145	764,831	2,152,976
2004	191,584	184,384	2,276,035	955,002	3,231,037
2005	175,070	101,507	1,349,536	1,246,088	2,595,624
2006	177,369	45,568	1,805,814	1,185,534	2,991,348
2007	339,278	53,549	3,099,801	1,059,107	4,158,908
2008	164,719	41,283	1,379,450	1,036,852	2,416,302
2009	168,558	23,639	1,822,673	777,585	2,600,258
2010	58,311	9,734	580,505	328,806	909,311
2011	31,589	851	367,896	408,162	776,058
2012	55,529	6,385	613,903	297,423	911,326
2013	48,000	8,868	521,153	345,177	866,330
2014	72,288	35,075	1,213,096	549,981	1,763,077
2015	95,705	16,877	1,168,255	391,315	1,559,570
2016	108,151	43,909	963,139	420,869	1,384,008
2017	110,339	94,655	1,261,775	629,703	1,891,478
2018	102,675	75,614	1,018,459	506,933	1,525,392
2019	184,962	115,350	1,446,939	698,252	2,145,191
2020	146,423	70,879	1,376,229	610,718	1,986,947
2021	58,174	24,069	563,082	430,868	993,950
2022	38,512	12,996	375,164	409,941	785,105
2023	79,987	74,061	1,130,711	559,520	1,690,231
Mean	128,792	44,941	1,310,145	757,271	2,067,416





- Figure 2. Commercial harvest of king mackerel by gear type, 2023.
- Table 2.North Carolina commercial harvest of king mackerel with landings in pounds by gear type, 1994–<br/>2023.

	Gear Type						
Year	Hook and Line	Gill Net	Other	Total			
1994	782,796	61,648	5,465	849,909			
1995	954,958	58,104	257	1,013,319			
1996	738,562	53,211	1,761	793,534			
1997	1,388,933	167,973	1,533	1,558,439			
1998	1,076,494	65,460	1,388	1,143,342			
1999	1,042,517	40,148	28	1,082,693			
2000	939,435	105,504	616	1,045,554			
2001	790,925	47,517	665	839,107			
2002	696,160	81,933	334	778,427			
2003	738,129	26,168	534	764,831			
2004	829,056	125,826	120	955,002			
2005	1,012,598	232,681	810	1,246,089			
2006	1,010,909	174,573	52	1,185,534			
2007	883,514	175,570	24	1,059,107			
2008	821,059	215,793	0	1,036,852			
2009	668,150	109,347	88	777,585			
2010	235,965	92,739	102	328,806			
2011	357,375	50,748	38	408,162			
2012	248,979	48,444	0	297,423			
2013	311,321	33,856	0	345,177			
2014	461,424	88,557	0	549,981			
2015	323,686	67,629	0	391,315			
2016	337,016	83,794	59	420,869			
2017	557,374	72,284	38	629,696			
2018	444,047	62,814	72	506,933			
2019	616,273	81,944	13	698,229			
2020	518,010	92,509	199	610,718			
2021	368,767	61,987	113	430,868			
2022	344,501	64,344	1,096	409,941			
2023	502,082	57,150	288	559,520			

## **Recreational Fishery**

Recreational landings of king mackerel are estimated from the Marine Recreational Information Program (MRIP). Recreational estimates across all years have been updated and are now based on the MRIP new Fishing Effort Survey-based calibrated estimates. For more information on MRIP see: <u>https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/topic/recreational-fishing-data</u>. Recreational anglers target king mackerel by trolling spoons and live baits both inshore and offshore. Anglers catch most king mackerel between August and October once the water temperature has begun to cool from the summer heat. Anglers harvested 1,130,711 pounds of king mackerel in 2023, which is 201% higher than 2022 harvest and 24% higher than the 10-year average of 1,051,685 pounds (Table 1 and Figure 3).





The DMF offers award citations for exceptional catches of king mackerel. King mackerel greater than 30 pounds or 45 inches FL are eligible for an award citation. In 2023, 264 citations were awarded, 8 of which were released alive (Figure 4).




Figure 4. North Carolina Saltwater Fishing Tournament citations awarded for king mackerel, 1994–2023. Citations are awarded for king mackerel greater than 30 pounds or 45 inches fork length.

## **MONITORING PROGRAM DATA**

### **Fishery-Dependent Monitoring**

Length-frequency information for the commercial king mackerel fishery in North Carolina is collected through the division's Program 434 (Ocean Gill Net Fishery), Program 437 (Long Haul Seine Fishery), Program 438 (Offshore Live Bottom Fishery), Program 439 (Coastal Pelagic), and Program 461 (Estuarine Gill Net and Seine). Through these programs, 249 king mackerel were measured with a mean length of 33.6 inches FL (Table 3; Figures 5 and 6). Ageing structures (otoliths) are collected from the commercial and recreational fishery as well as king mackerel fishing tournaments statewide and sent to the Southeast Fisheries Science Center in Panama City, Florida for processing and ageing (Table 4). Length and weight information for the recreational fishery are collected through the MRIP dockside sampling (Table 5; Figures 6 and 7).

Year   Mean   Minimum   Maximum   Total Number     1997   30.3   21.9   42.3   240     1999   30.1   16.3   50.4   722     2000   30.4   16.7   48.8   872     2001   31.8   20.3   51.2   729     2002   33.0   24.0   46.5   217     2003   29.2   21.3   44.1   204     2004   31.5   22.0   45.3   448     2005   29.5   19.7   47.2   397     2006   31.0   21.5   49.4   277     2007   29.3   13.6   48.0   331     2008   27.6   22.2   49.8   1.676     2009   28.4   15.1   5.1   1.005     2011   33.1   23.4   48.8   643     2012   32.4   48.8   643   2014     2016   29.4   20.3   54.3   <	2023.						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Year					
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$							
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$							
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$							
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$							
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					48.8		
2003 29.2 21.3 44.1 204   2004 31.5 22.0 45.3 448   2005 29.5 19.7 47.2 397   2006 31.0 21.5 49.4 277   2007 29.3 13.6 48.0 331   2008 27.6 22.2 49.8 1,676   2010 33.8 23.2 52.6 193   2011 33.1 23.4 48.8 643   2012 32.4 23.1 53.0 313   2013 34.1 24.1 45.5 89   2014 29.8 18.1 47.6 420   2015 32.8 14.7 46.9 229   2016 29.4 20.3 54.3 360   2017 28.4 13.6 53.3 994   2018 28.8 22.6 43.3 459   2019 29.5 16.0 49.8 1,136   2022 32.9 25.0 60.2 550   2023 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>31.8</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			31.8				
2004 31.5 22.0 45.3 448 2005 29.5 19.7 47.2 397 2006 31.0 21.5 49.4 277 2007 29.3 13.6 48.0 331 2008 27.6 22.2 49.8 1,676 2009 28.4 15.1 55.1 1,005 2010 33.8 23.2 52.6 193 2011 33.1 23.4 48.8 643 2012 32.4 23.1 53.0 313 2013 34.1 24.1 45.5 89 2014 29.8 18.1 47.6 420 2015 32.8 14.7 46.9 229 2016 29.4 20.3 54.3 360 2017 28.4 13.6 53.3 994 2018 28.8 22.6 43.3 459 2019 29.5 16.0 49.8 1,136 2020 30.2 15.7 46.9 439 2021 29.1 17.2 47.2 917 2022 32.9 25.0 60.2 550 2023 33.6 13.4 51.7 249		2002	33.0	24.0	46.5		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$							
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		2004	31.5				
2007 29.3 13.6 48.0 331   2008 27.6 22.2 49.8 1,676   2009 28.4 15.1 55.1 1,005   2010 33.8 23.2 52.6 193   2011 33.1 23.4 48.8 643   2012 32.4 23.1 53.0 313   2013 34.1 24.1 45.5 89   2014 29.8 18.1 47.6 420   2015 32.8 14.7 46.9 229   2016 29.4 20.3 54.3 360   2017 28.4 13.6 53.3 994   2018 28.8 22.6 43.3 459   2019 29.5 16.0 49.8 1,136   2020 30.2 15.7 46.9 439   2021 29.1 17.2 47.2 917   2022 32.9 25.0 60.2 550   2023 33.6 13.4 51.7 249 <t< td=""><td></td><td>2005</td><td>29.5</td><td>19.7</td><td>47.2</td><td>397</td><td></td></t<>		2005	29.5	19.7	47.2	397	
2008 27.6 22.2 49.8 1,676 2009 28.4 15.1 55.1 1,005 2010 33.8 23.2 52.6 193 2011 33.1 23.4 48.8 643 2012 32.4 23.1 53.0 313 2013 34.1 24.1 45.5 89 2014 29.8 18.1 47.6 420 2015 32.8 14.7 46.9 229 2016 29.4 20.3 54.3 360 2017 28.4 13.6 53.3 994 2018 28.8 22.6 43.3 459 2019 29.5 16.0 49.8 1,136 2020 30.2 15.7 46.9 439 2021 29.1 17.2 47.2 917 2022 32.9 25.0 60.2 550 2023 33.6 13.4 51.7 249		2006	31.0	21.5	49.4	277	
2008 27.6 22.2 49.8 1,676   2009 28.4 15.1 55.1 1,005   2010 33.8 23.2 52.6 193   2011 33.1 23.4 48.8 643   2012 32.4 23.1 53.0 313   2013 34.1 24.1 45.5 89   2014 29.8 18.1 47.6 420   2015 32.8 14.7 46.9 229   2016 29.4 20.3 54.3 360   2017 28.4 13.6 53.3 994   2018 28.8 22.6 43.3 459   2019 29.5 16.0 49.8 1,136   2020 30.2 15.7 46.9 439   2021 29.1 17.2 47.2 917   2022 32.9 25.0 60.2 550   2023 33.6 13.4 51.7 249		2007	29.3	13.6	48.0	331	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		2008			49.8		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		2009		15.1			
2011 33.1 23.4 48.8 643 2012 32.4 23.1 53.0 313 2013 34.1 24.1 45.5 89 2014 29.8 18.1 47.6 420 2015 32.8 14.7 46.9 229 2016 29.4 20.3 54.3 360 2017 28.4 13.6 53.3 994 2018 28.8 22.6 43.3 459 2019 29.5 16.0 49.8 1,136 2020 30.2 15.7 46.9 439 2021 29.1 17.2 47.2 917 2022 32.9 25.0 60.2 550 2023 33.6 13.4 51.7 249		2010					
2012 32.4 23.1 53.0 313 2013 34.1 24.1 45.5 89 2014 29.8 18.1 47.6 420 2015 32.8 14.7 46.9 229 2016 29.4 20.3 54.3 360 2017 28.4 13.6 53.3 994 2018 28.8 22.6 43.3 459 2019 29.5 16.0 49.8 1,136 2020 30.2 15.7 46.9 439 2021 29.1 17.2 47.2 917 2022 32.9 25.0 60.2 550 2023 33.6 13.4 51.7 249							
2013 34.1 24.1 45.5 89   2014 29.8 18.1 47.6 420   2015 32.8 14.7 46.9 229   2016 29.4 20.3 54.3 360   2017 28.4 13.6 53.3 994   2018 28.8 22.6 43.3 459   2019 29.5 16.0 49.8 1,136   2020 30.2 15.7 46.9 439   2021 29.1 17.2 47.2 917   2022 32.9 25.0 60.2 550   2023 33.6 13.4 51.7 249							
2014 29.8 18.1 47.6 420   2015 32.8 14.7 46.9 229   2016 29.4 20.3 54.3 360   2017 28.4 13.6 53.3 994   2018 28.8 22.6 43.3 459   2019 29.5 16.0 49.8 1,136   2020 30.2 15.7 46.9 439   2021 29.1 17.2 47.2 917   2022 32.9 25.0 60.2 550   2023 33.6 13.4 51.7 249							
2015 32.8 14.7 46.9 229 2016 29.4 20.3 54.3 360 2017 28.4 13.6 53.3 994 2018 28.8 22.6 43.3 459 2019 29.5 16.0 49.8 1,136 2020 30.2 15.7 46.9 439 2021 29.1 17.2 47.2 917 2022 32.9 25.0 60.2 550 2023 33.6 13.4 51.7 249							
2016 29.4 20.3 54.3 360 2017 28.4 13.6 53.3 994 2018 28.8 22.6 43.3 459 2019 29.5 16.0 49.8 1,136 2020 30.2 15.7 46.9 439 2021 29.1 17.2 47.2 917 2022 32.9 25.0 60.2 550 2023 33.6 13.4 51.7 249 							
2017 28.4 13.6 53.3 994 2018 28.8 22.6 43.3 459 2019 29.5 16.0 49.8 1,136 2020 30.2 15.7 46.9 439 2021 29.1 17.2 47.2 917 2022 32.9 25.0 60.2 550 2023 33.6 13.4 51.7 249 							
2018 28.8 22.6 43.3 459 2019 29.5 16.0 49.8 1,136 2020 30.2 15.7 46.9 439 2021 29.1 17.2 47.2 917 2022 32.9 25.0 60.2 550 2023 33.6 13.4 51.7 249							
$\begin{bmatrix} 2019 & 29.5 & 16.0 & 49.8 & 1,136 \\ 2020 & 30.2 & 15.7 & 46.9 & 439 \\ 2021 & 29.1 & 17.2 & 47.2 & 917 \\ 2022 & 32.9 & 25.0 & 60.2 & 550 \\ 2023 & 33.6 & 13.4 & 51.7 & 249 \end{bmatrix}$							
2020 30.2 15.7 46.9 439 2021 29.1 17.2 47.2 917 2022 32.9 25.0 60.2 550 2023 33.6 13.4 51.7 249							
2021 29.1 17.2 47.2 917 2022 32.9 25.0 60.2 550 2023 33.6 13.4 51.7 249							
2022 32.9 25.0 60.2 550 2023 33.6 13.4 51.7 249							

Table 3. King mackerel length (fork length, inches) data from commercial fish house samples, 1997–2023.

Figure 5. Commercial length frequency (fork length, inches) of king mackerel, 1994–2023. Bubbles represent fish at length and the bubble size is proportional to the number of fish at that length.




Figure 6. Commercial and recreational length frequency distribution from king mackerel harvested in 2023.

Table 4.King mackerel length (fork length, inches) fishery-dependent data collected by DMF for ageing<br/>by the NOAA Southeast Fisheries Science Center, 1997–2023.

Year	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Total Number
real				
1007	Length	Length	Length	Measured
1997	35.4	12.6	54.1	363
1998	37.6	21.7	60.2	458
1999	37.4	14.8	57.1	477
2000	38.7	24.3	56.1	541
2001	38.0	25.8	55.7	547
2002	38.2	23.8	54.9	477
2003	37.0	23.3	57.3	488
2004	38.0	13.5	56.7	467
2005	37.3	19.6	55.1	444
2006	37.7	17.0	54.1	435
2007	37.9	19.2	54.7	507
2008	34.3	23.4	53.7	450
2009	36.0	24.2	55.1	415
2010	37.9	23.2	57.2	386
2011	37.4	23.4	57.0	429
2012	37.6	23.1	55.9	597
2013	40.2	24.1	56.3	413
2014	40.0	4.6	59.1	388
2015	39.1	4.4	54.4	446
2016	35.2	13.3	54.3	482
2017	35.8	15.4	56.3	663
2018	36.3	11.0	54.3	568
2019	35.5	17.5	56.3	695
2020	36.2	19.5	56.5	520
2021	36.9	15.9	57.1	549
2022	39.1	21.7	57.3	483
2023	40.3	13.4	55.2	259

Year	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Total Number
	Length	Length	Length	Measured
1981	38.5	25.0	46.0	47
1982	33.9	15.7	44.1	90
1983	30.1	5.7	36.0	33
1984	31.1	12.2	44.3	71
1985	32.9	22.0	42.5	67
1986	33.1	19.7	48.9	257
1987	31.4	12.6	55.9	1,041
1988	13.5	14.2	58.5	646
1989	33.8	12.2	53.9	765
1990	31.3	12.2	59.5	1,169
1991	31.8	10.1	57.9	1,057
1992	31.1	14.6	57.9	1,037
1993	32.3	12.8	58.3	772
1994	32.2	20.1	65.4	829
1995	31.2	14.6	53.5	959
1996	31.3	20.1	56.0	670
1997	30.5	12.6	54.6	1,814
1998	32.4	13.9	57.8	1,062
1999	32.9	18.3	50.2	452
2000	33.7	19.3	69.6	831
2001	37.0	22.4	59.1	800
2002	34.6	22.7	54.2	218
2003	32.8	20.2	55.0	268
2004	32.2	13.2	55.5	247
2005	29.6	21.7	53.3	277
2006	32.0	19.2	59.2	269
2007	31.1	21.3	49.3	320
2008	30.1	20.6	47.9	317
2009	32.7	21.0	46.9	168
2010	32.5	25.0	50.0	83
2011	34.1	28.0	51.0	36
2012	32.9	23.5	51.0	74
2013	32.6	23.5	54.8	38
2014	38.7	23.9	53.1	106
2015	33.3	22.2	52.9	93
2016	30.4	12.2	60.0	213
2017	31.9	13.4	48.9	278
2018	30.3	14.6	60.4	365
2019	29.7	10.2	49.8	369
2020	31.6	10.4	54.4	363
2021	31.7	17.8	48.4	306
2022	31.8	17.1	50.6	128
2023	35.6	17.1	59.5	144

Table 5.Total number measured, mean, minimum, and maximum length (inches) of king mackerel<br/>measured by MRIP sampling in North Carolina, 1981–2023.




Figure 7. Recreational length frequency (fork length, inches) of king mackerel, 1994–2023. Bubbles represent fish at length and the bubble size is proportional to the number of fish at that length.

# **Fishery-Independent Monitoring**

Currently, the division does not have any fishery-independent sampling programs that target or catch king mackerel in great numbers.

## **RESEARCH NEEDS**

From SEDAR 38 (2014) and SEDAR 38 Update (2020):

- Develop a survey to obtain reliable age and size composition data and relative abundance of adult fish. This could be done using gill nets or handlines. The review panel recommends that the design of a scientific survey be peer reviewed.
- Determine most appropriate methods to deal with changing selectivity in fisheries over time, particularly changing selectivity related to management actions or targeting of specific cohorts. The review panel suggests that historical mark-recapture data be used to compare size composition of recaptures for different fishing gears to evaluate selectivity for historic periods.
- Determine stock mixing rates using otolith microchemistry and/or otolith shape analysis on a routine basis that would allow future stock assessments to capture the dynamic spatial and temporal nature of mixing of the Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico stocks and consider evaluating stock mixing within integrated modeling approaches.
- More accurately characterize juvenile growth by increasing samples of age-0 and age-1 fish. Further investigate two-phase growth models including different breakpoints and different growth models to better model size and age. Consider if there is temporal (annual and seasonal) variability in growth rates. Results of this analysis in terms of the best model will need to be implementable in Stock Synthesis to continue with the integrated modeling approach.
- Determine if female spawning periodicity varies by size or age.

- Expand the trawl survey below the Cape Canaveral area and potentially into deeper continental shelf waters.
- Consider conducting an extensive tagging program to: a) better understand migration patterns; b) provide additional and individual growth rate information; c) better understand fishery selectivity; d) provide fishery exploitation rates; and e) provide information about natural mortality rates.
- Research aimed at improving the documentation of data series formatting, including index standardization, for Stock Synthesis 3 would improve modeling efficiency. This includes statistical coding for consistent database querying and data processing.
- Evaluation of alternative age references, or age-specific time series, for the Southeast Area Monitoring and Assessment Program (SEAMAP) fishery-independent survey was recommended by the data providers and noted by the analyst for future assessments. An analysis of the effect of excluding sublegal fish size observations on the assessment should be undertaken. Information on the age-composition of discarded fish from all fleets is needed to validate the assumption of exclusively age-0 discards. The conditional age-at-length data had a significant influence on recent recruitment estimates.

### MANAGEMENT

King mackerel is included in the North Carolina IJ FMP, which defers to SAFMC's management plan compliance requirements. Current management measures were established under recent Amendments 20A (SAMFC 2013a), 20B (SMAFC 2014b), and 26 (SAMFC 2016) to the Coastal Migratory Pelagics FMP. Amendment 20A prohibits the sale of all recreational bag-limit-caught king mackerel, except those harvested during a state-permitted tournament. Amendment 20B establishes separate commercial quotas of Atlantic king mackerel for a Northern Zone (north of North Carolina and South Carolina state line) and Southern Zone (south of North Carolina and South Carolina state line). The SAFMC completed Amendment 26 (SAFMC 2016) to update the Atlantic king mackerel annual catch limits and adjust the mixing zone based on the results of the 2014 stock assessment, and to provide an incidental catch allowance of Atlantic king mackerel in South Atlantic waters are summarized in Table 6.

Table 6.	Summary of N.C.	<b>Marine Fisheries</b>	Commission man	nagement strategie	es for king mackerel.
----------	-----------------	-------------------------	----------------	--------------------	-----------------------

Management Strategy	Implementation Status
Prohibits Purse Gill Nets when taking king or Spanish mackerel	Rule 15A NCAC 03M .0512
24-inch fork length minimum size limit. Three fish recreational creel	Proclamation FF-238-2022
limit. Commercial Vessel Permit requirements. Commercial trip limit of	
3,500 pounds of king, Spanish, or aggregate. Charter vessels or head	
boats with Commercial Vessel Permit must comply with possession	
limits when fishing with more than three persons Unlawful for vessels	
with both a valid Federal Commercial Directed Shark Permit and a valid	
Federal King Mackerel Permit, when engaged in directed shark fishing	
with gill nets south of Cape Lookout, to possess and sell more than	
three king mackerel per crew member.	

#### LITERATURE CITED

Manooch, C. S. 1984. Fisherman's guide to fishes of the Southeastern United States. North Carolina Museum of Natural History. Raleigh, North Carolina. 362 pp.

- NCDMF (North Carolina Division of Marine Fisheries). 2022. Fishery Management Plan for Interjurisdictional Fisheries: Information Update. North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality. North Carolina Division of Marine Fisheries. Morehead City, North Carolina. 19 pp.
- SAFMC (South Atlantic Fishery Management Council). 1983. Fishery management plan for the coastal migratory pelagic resources of the Gulf of Mexico and the South Atlantic. South Atlantic Fishery Management Council. Charleston, South Carolina.
- SAFMC. 1985. Amendment 1 to the fishery management plan for the coastal migratory pelagic resources of the Gulf of Mexico and the South Atlantic. South Atlantic Fishery Management Council. Charleston, South Carolina.
- SAFMC. 1989. Amendment 3 to the fishery management plan for the coastal migratory pelagic resources of the Gulf of Mexico and the South Atlantic. South Atlantic Fishery Management Council. Charleston, South Carolina.
- SAFMC. 1990. Amendment 5 to the fishery management plan for the coastal migratory pelagic resources of the Gulf of Mexico and the South Atlantic. South Atlantic Fishery Management Council. Charleston, South Carolina.
- SAFMC. 1992. Amendment 6 to the fishery management plan for the coastal migratory pelagic resources of the Gulf of Mexico and the South Atlantic. South Atlantic Fishery Management Council. Charleston, South Carolina.
- SAFMC. 1994. Amendment 7 to the fishery management plan for the coastal migratory pelagic resources of the Gulf of Mexico and the South Atlantic. South Atlantic Fishery Management Council. Charleston, South Carolina.
- SAFMC. 1998. Amendment 8 to the fishery management plan for the coastal migratory pelagic resources of the Gulf of Mexico and the South Atlantic. South Atlantic Fishery Management Council. Charleston, South Carolina.
- SAFMC. 2000. Amendment 9 to the fishery management plan for the coastal migratory pelagic resources of the Gulf of Mexico and the South Atlantic. South Atlantic Fishery Management Council. Charleston, South Carolina.
- SAFMC. 1998a. Amendment 10 to the fishery management plan for the coastal migratory pelagic resources of the Gulf of Mexico and the South Atlantic. South Atlantic Fishery Management Council. Charleston, South Carolina.
- SAFMC. 1998b. Amendment 11 to the fishery management plan for the coastal migratory pelagic resources of the Gulf of Mexico and the South Atlantic. South Atlantic Fishery Management Council. Charleston, South Carolina.
- SAFMC. 1999. Amendment 12 to the fishery management plan for the coastal migratory pelagic resources of the Gulf of Mexico and the South Atlantic. South Atlantic Fishery Management Council. Charleston, South Carolina.
- SAFMC. 2002a. Amendment 13 to the fishery management plan for the coastal migratory pelagic resources of the Gulf of Mexico and the South Atlantic. South Atlantic Fishery Management Council. Charleston, South Carolina.
- SAFMC. 2002b. Amendment 14 to the fishery management plan for the coastal migratory pelagic resources of the Gulf of Mexico and the South Atlantic. South Atlantic Fishery Management Council. Charleston, South Carolina.
- SAFMC. 2004. Amendment 15 to the fishery management plan for the coastal migratory pelagic resources of the Gulf of Mexico and the South Atlantic. South Atlantic Fishery Management Council. Charleston, South Carolina.

- SAFMC. 2006. Amendment 17 to the fishery management plan for the coastal migratory pelagic resources of the Gulf of Mexico and the South Atlantic. South Atlantic Fishery Management Council. Charleston, South Carolina.
- SAFMC. 2010. Amendment 19 to the fishery management plan for the coastal migratory pelagic resources of the Gulf of Mexico and the South Atlantic. South Atlantic Fishery Management Council. Charleston, South Carolina
- SAFMC. 2011. Amendment 18 to the fishery management plan for the coastal migratory pelagic resources of the Gulf of Mexico and the South Atlantic. South Atlantic Fishery Management Council. Charleston, South Carolina.
- SAFMC. 2013a. Amendment 20A to the fishery management plan for the coastal migratory pelagic resources of the Gulf of Mexico and the South Atlantic. South Atlantic Fishery Management Council. Charleston, South Carolina.
- SAFMC. 2013b. Amendment 22 to the fishery management plan for the coastal migratory pelagic resources of the Gulf of Mexico and the South Atlantic. South Atlantic Fishery Management Council. Charleston, South Carolina.
- SAFMC. 2013c. Amendment 23 to the fishery management plan for the coastal migratory pelagic resources of the Gulf of Mexico and the South Atlantic. South Atlantic Fishery Management Council. Charleston, South Carolina.
- SAFMC. 2014a. Amendment 20B to the fishery management plan for the coastal migratory pelagic resources of the Gulf of Mexico and the South Atlantic. South Atlantic Fishery Management Council. Charleston, South Carolina.
- SAFMC. 2014b. South Atlantic Coastal Migratory Pelagics Framework Action 2013. South Atlantic Fishery Management Council. Charleston, South Carolina.
- SAFMC. 2016. Amendment 26 to the fishery management plan for the coastal migratory pelagic resources of the Gulf of Mexico and the South Atlantic. South Atlantic Fishery Management Council. Charleston, South Carolina.
- SAFMC. 2018. Framework Amendment 6 to the fishery management plan for the coastal migratory pelagic resources of the Gulf of Mexico and the South Atlantic. South Atlantic Fishery Management Council. Charleston, South Carolina.
- SEDAR (Southeast Data, Assessment and Review). 2014. SEDAR 38: Stock assessment report for Gulf of Mexico king mackerel. Southeast Data, Assessment and Review. North Charleston, South Carolina.
- SEDAR. 2020. SEDAR 38 Update: Stock assessment report for Gulf of Mexico king mackerel. Southeast Data, Assessment and Review. North Charleston, South Carolina.
- SAFMC. 2023. Amendment 34 to the fishery management plan for the coastal migratory pelagic resources of the Gulf of Mexico and the South Atlantic. South Atlantic Fishery Management Council. Charleston, South Carolina.