

- Past Benchmark Assessments: 2005, 2009, 2014, and 2018
- All concluded stock was overfished with overfishing occurring
-Update Assessment in 2019 used the 2018 model
- Current ongoing update uses 2018 model
- Years in models:
- 2018 Benchmark: 1989-2015
- 2019 Update: 1989-2017
- 2024 Update: 1989-2022


## 2018 Stock Assessment Model Conclusions

- Low recruitment that continued to decrease since 2006
- The probability that the 2015 stock is overfished is 100\%
- The probability that the 2015 stock is experiencing overfishing is $53 \%$




## 2019 Stock Assessment Model Conclusions

- Large proportion of immature fish comprise landings
- No evidence of recent high recruitment
- The probability that the 2017 stock is overfished is 100 percent
- The probability that the 2017 stock is experiencing overfishing is 96.4 percent

Department of Environmental Quality



## South Atlantic Southern Flounder Stock Assessment Model

- Age Structured Assessment Program (ASAP)
- Pooled across Unit Stock: North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, \& Florida (east coast)
- 1989-2017 (*1989-2022*)
- Birth date: Jan. 1
- Sex combined
- Age 1-4 plus group
- Age-specific natural mortality (Lorenzen 1996)
- Maturity based on previous study (Midway and Scharf 2012)— 100\% mature by age 4


## Three Fleets

Commercial, Recreational, Shrimp Bycatch
Catch and Discards combined
Commercial

- Commercial Landings (Trip Ticket Programs)
- Gill-Net Discards (NC Onboard Observer Monitoring Program)

Recreational

- MRIP FES
- NC Gig Survey
- Length data from MRIP intercept survey and SCDNR Volunteer Angler Tagging Program

Shrimp Trawl Bycatch (treated separate from Commercial fleet)

- Shrimp Trawl Bycatch (voluntary shrimp trawl bycatch observer program)
- Lengths from NC Commercial Shrimp Trawl Characterization Study (ran 2007-2009 and 2012-2017)

Commercial, Recreational, Shrimp Bycatch Catch and Discards combined


- Three Recruitment Surveys
- North Carolina 120
- South Carolina Electrofishing
- Florida Young-of-year Trawl Survey
- Four State Adult Surveys
- North Carolina (915) Gill-net Survey
- South Carolina Trammel Net Survey
- Georgia Trawl Survey
- Florida Adult Trawl Survey
- One Ocean Survey (SEAMAP)
- COVID-19 restrictions and budgetary restrictions have impacts since 2019 Assessment Update


## Three Age 0 Surveys

- NC120 Trawl Survey (2003-2022)
- SC Electrofishing Survey (2001-2022)
- FL Trawl Survey (2001-2022)

All bumped 1 year and 1 age




## Four State Adult Surveys

- NC915 Gill-net Survey (2003-2022)
- SC Trammel Net Survey (1994-2022)
- GA Trawl Survey (1996-2022)
- FL Trawl Survey (2002-2022)





## Adult Coastwide Survey SEAMAP



|  | STATE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Florida |  |  |  |  | Georgia |  |  |  |  | South Carolina |  |  |  |  |  |  | North Carolina |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year | STRATUM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 21 | 23 | 25 | 27 | 29 | 31 | 33 | 35 | 37 | 39 | 41 | 43 | 45 | 47 | 49 | 51 | 53 | 55 | 57 | 59 | 61 | 63 | 65 | 67 |
| 1989 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 4.8 | 4.2 | 4.5 | 7.5 | 12.5 | 8.8 | 12.7 | 7.8 | 11.1 | 8.7 | 3.7 | 11.1 | 20.7 | 25.3 | 12.2 | 12.0 | 10.8 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 7.8 | 8.0 | 3.5 |
| 1990 |  | 8.1 | 7.8 | 8.6 | 8.0 | 10.9 | 14.0 | 10.8 | 15.8 | 7.9 | 20.2 | 15.8 | 8.4 | 16.2 | 29.0 | 32.7 | 16.4 | 15.1 | 17.0 | 6.4 | 4.2 | 8.3 | 7.2 | 7.9 |
| 1991 | 7.4 | 7.4 | 7.0 | 7.8 | 8.2 | 11.9 | 15.9 | 12.5 | 16.9 | 9.0 | 20.3 | 17.5 | 7.7 | 14.7 | 25.5 | 32.0 | 15.4 | 16.8 | 16.9 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 7.8 | 9.4 | 8.5 |
| 1992 | 8.5 | 8.3 | 8.1 | 8.2 | 8.2 | 12.3 | 15.7 | 11.2 | 15.3 | 7.5 | 20.1 | 15.8 | 6.2 | 14.6 | 25.3 | 30.6 | 15.3 | 17.3 | 14.3 | 7.4 | 7.6 | 7.2 | 6.9 | 7.2 |
| 2014 | 14.6 | 15.4 | 15.1 | 19.7 | 15.2 | 19.3 | 20.2 | 23.1 | 15.5 | 19.4 | 15.1 | 7.2 | 14.4 | 14.1 | 12.0 | 15.3 | 15.0 | 14.1 | 17.0 | 18.9 | 14.6 | 15.9 | 20.1 | 15.1 |
| 2015 | 15.0 | 15.1 | 17.5 | 21.3 | 18.4 | 21.4 | 18.1 | 22.2 | 13.8 | 20.5 | 17.7 | 7.5 | 15.7 | 14.6 | 10.6 | 13.7 | 15.1 | 18.4 | 17.6 | 22.0 | 15.1 | 18.9 | 7.4 | 15.0 |
| 2016 | 14.3 | 14.4 | 18.2 | 22.1 | 10.6 | 21.4 | 14.2 | 22.2 | 17.8 | 15.0 | 20.3 | 8.7 | 13.9 | 14.3 | 10.5 | 14.6 | 14.7 | 17.5 | 18.6 | 22.0 | 15.1 | 18.3 | 17.4 | 14.2 |
| 2017 | 11.8 | 14.5 | 19.6 | 16.9 | 17.6 | 10.5 | 18.1 | 6.2 | 14.4 | 17.6 | 18.4 | 6.9 | 12.9 | 15.6 | 10.8 | 14.4 | 14.3 | 17.5 | 13.3 | 14.8 | 10.9 | 14.7 | 10.2 | 3.2 |
| 2018 | 11.2 | 15.1 | 18.6 | 10.6 |  | 15.0 | 14.9 | 18.5 | 14.5 | 17.8 | 11.7 | 10.4 | 14.7 | 15.5 | 11.9 | 15.3 | 14.8 | 18.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2019 |  |  |  |  | 13.1 | 13.7 | 16.5 | 16.7 | 12.2 | 13.5 | 13.8 | 9.3 | 13.4 | 14.0 | 10.4 | 14.3 | 14.5 | 18.1 | 13.4 | 18.1 | 6.8 |  |  |  |
| 2021 | 1.8 | 3.9 | 4.2 |  | 7.2 | 12.2 | 9.4 | 7.9 | 7.2 | 3.7 | 9.0 | 5.8 | 7.1 | 7.7 | 5.8 | 7.7 | 7.6 | 9.9 | 7.5 | 9.6 | 5.2 |  |  |  |
| 2022 |  |  |  | 9.3 | 8.9 | 11.2 | 7.3 | 5.5 | 3.8 | 5.7 | 5.4 | 5.8 | 7.3 | 7.0 | 5.3 | 7.3 | 7.2 | 8.9 | 7.5 | 9.0 | 7.3 | 9.1 |  |  |




## Recruitment (Age-1)



Female Spawning Stock Biomass


## Numbers at age

- Stock is mostly age 1 and age 2 fish
- Males live up to 6 years
- < 6\% have made it to 3 years old
- < $1 \%$ have been more than 3 years old
- Females live up to 9 years
- $<15 \%$ have made it to 3 years old
- $<4 \%$ have been more than 3 years old



## Numbers at age

- Samples 1999-2010:
- 13\% Age 0
- 32\% Age 1
- 38\% Age 2
- 12\% Age 3
- $5 \%$ Age 4 or older
- Samples 2011-2022:
- 13\% Age 0
- 44\% Age 1
- 35\% Age 2
- 6\% Age 3
- $2 \%$ Age 4 or older



## Research Recommendations

- Indices:
- Examine use and analysis of indices with appropriate combinations and weighting
- Add ocean component of stock
- Selectivity
- Explore time blocks to capture changes in selectivity
- Examine appropriate selectivities to use for each fleet
- Explore fleets-as-areas approach to take differing management strategies into account

Sensitivity Analysis: Indices from each state alone


Sensitivity Analysis: Indices modeled by trend


## Fleet Selectivities



Estimated by Double Logistic

Fleet 2 (Recreational)


Fleet 3 (ShrimpBycatch)


Estimated Selectivity at Age

Sensitivity Analysis: Changing Selectivity Estimates


Scenario - All Age Based - Base

## Selectivity Through Time

## North Carolina Specific Commercial Management



## Selectivity Through Space

Differences between states:

- Effort
- Bag limits
- Size limits
- Gear restrictions

Fleets as areas Approach

- Can reduce bias caused by spatial structure
- High computational and data needs
- Need information on recruitment, movement and dispersal, and rich data sources on abundance



## Conclusions

A stock assessment is a process of compiling and analyzing information for determining the effects of fishing on fished populations

Research should include impacts of management in the stock assessment process

- Continuous examination of indices and fit to the model
- Further exploration how to capture management of the species in the assessment, as well as how these impact the model
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