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## Wildlife Violator Compact Presentation Outline

- What is it and where did it start?
-Fundamentals
- Rulemaking considerations
- Operation and examples of ratification of a suspension
- Compact Administrator
- Division recommendation and next steps



## What is the Interstate Wildlife Violator Compact?

- Interstate agreement that:
(1) promotes compliance with wildlife regulations in respective states; and
(2) provides for fair treatment of wildlife violators and recognizes:
- Individual's right of due process; and
- Sovereign status of a party state
- Enacted into N.C. law, so must be implemented and enforced by rules
-"Wildlife" includes marine and estuarine resources



## Origination of the Compact

- Nationwide effort to apply consequences for violators of wildlife laws
- Hunting, trapping, and fishing
- Concept was first advanced in the early 1980s
- N.C. General Assembly enacted Compact into law 2008-2009
-49 participating member states



## Compact Fundamentals

- Conviction of a violation can lead to license and permit suspension.
- Failure to appear or comply can lead to license and permit suspension.
- Suspension of licenses and permits can be ratified in the Compact.
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## Compact Fundamentals

- Documentation is needed to show the terms of violation are met.
- Suspension can then be removed from the Compact.
- Compact increases accountability on wildlife violators regardless of residency.


## Rulemaking Considerations

- Two North Carolina management agencies
- Recreational and commercial fishing activity and licenses:
- Are both considered?
- Does one affect the other?
-Communication between states regarding suspensions via the Compact database
- Differences between how suspensions affect MFC licenses vs. WRC licenses



## Operation under the Compact

- MFC rules needed for North Carolina to fully participate.
- Adoption of MFC rules gives Marine Patrol knowledge of violators’ license and permit suspensions.
- Flexibility in decision to ratify suspensions:
- Similarity of offense and license type related to North Carolina laws and rules.
- Length of suspension is set by the out-of-state jurisdiction.



## Issue Paper - Table 2 Examples

| State Where Conviction | Is Person with Conviction | Violation Type | Was a Wildlife Resource License/Permit Suspended in | Does Person Have N.C. Fishing License/Permit? | Suspend N.C. Fishing License/Permit? | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Occurred | a N.C. Resident? |  | Other Jurisdiction? |  |  |  |
| South Carolina | No | Over the limit flounder; multiple violations | Yes: all saltwater products for one year | No | Prevent purchase of N.C. licenses/permits for coastal fishing waters | Use duration of South Carolina's suspension; include recreational and commercial products |
| North Carolina | No | Harvest mullet during closed season | N/A | Yes: non-resident annual CRFL | No | First conviction in three-year period |
| Montana | Yes | Obtain license by fraud | Yes: all hunting and fishing products for one year | Yes: SCFL | Yes | Nature of violation and license type suspended considered |
| New Hampshire | Yes | Attempt to take game without valid tag | Yes: all hunting products for two years | Yes: RCGL | No | Nature of violation and license type suspended considered |
| Colorado | Yes | Illegal harvest of a deer | Yes: all products | Yes: Dealer's License | No | Nature of violation and license type suspended considered |
| California | No | Illegal harvest of a shark | Yes: all fishing | Yes: Land or Sell License | Yes | Nature of violation and license type suspended considered |
| Idaho | Yes | Assault on wildlife officer | Yes: all products | Yes: dealers license | Yes | Conviction handled the same by DMF and WRC for egregious offense |
| Florida | No | Endangered species (taking of alligator) | DMF/MFC does not regulate this, but DMF would ratify due to egregiousness of offense. Yes: all products | Yes: CRFL | Yes | Would be for same duration as the member state entered |
| Georgia | Yes | Failure to Appear | Yes: all fishing | Yes: RCGL and Shellfish | Yes | Would remain suspended until the violation was addressed with the court |

## Compact Administrator

- North Carolina law sets out how the Compact Administrator is appointed.
- Discussions are underway on continued administration of the Compact requirements.
- Goal: consistent and efficient administration of the Compact in North Carolina.


## Division Recommendation

Approve the management option and associated proposed language for rulemaking presented in the "Interstate Wildlife Violator Compact" issue paper so the rulemaking development process can continue.

## Next Steps

MFC Action:
Vote to endorse the management option and associated proposed language for rulemaking in the "Interstate Wildlife Violator Compact" issue paper for development of the fiscal analysis of proposed rules.

## Additional Rulemaking Steps:

- Summer 2024: DMF staff develop fiscal analysis of proposed rules.
- August 2024: MFC vote to begin formal rulemaking process.
- Fall 2024: public comment period held.
- February 2025: MFC receive public comment and vote on final rule approval.
- May 1, 2025: earliest effective date of rules.



Questions?

## MFC Action

Vote to endorse the management option and associated proposed language for rulemaking in the "Interstate Wildlife Violator Compact" issue paper for development of the fiscal analysis of proposed rules.

