### Monitoring Year 2 Report FINAL 2021

Rough Horn Swamp Restoration Site

Monitoring Year – MY02

RFP #16-006310

DMS Site ID Number 97005, DMS Contract 6596
SAW-2015-00952 and NCDEQ DWR 2015-0903

Rough Horn Swamp II Restoration Site RFP #16-007337 DMS Site ID Number 100053, DMS Contract 7514 SAW-2016-02026 and NCDEQ DWR 2015-0903

Columbus County, North Carolina



Prepared for:
NC Department of Environmental Quality
Division of Mitigation Services
1652 Mail Service Center
Raleigh, NC 27699

Monitoring Data Collected: 2021 Date Submitted: February 2022

### **Monitoring and Design Firm**





KCI Associates of North Carolina 4505 Falls of Neuse Road, Suite 400 Raleigh, NC 27609 (919) 783-9214

Project Contact: Tim Morris Email: <a href="mailto:tim.morris@kci.com">tim.morris@kci.com</a>



#### ENGINEERS • SCIENTISTS • SURVEYORS • CONSTRUCTION MANAGERS

4505 Falls of Neuse Road Suite 400 Raleigh, NC 27609 (919) 783-9214 (919) 783-9266 Fax

#### **MEMORANDUM**

Date: February 3, 2022

To: Kelly Phillips, DMS Project Manager

Tim Morris, Project Manager From:

KCI Associates of North Carolina, PA

MY-02 Monitoring Report Comments Subject:

Rough Horn Swamp DMS #97005, Contract 6596 Rough Horn Swamp II DMS #100053, Contract 7514

Please find below our responses in italics to the MY-02 Monitoring Report comments from NCDMS received on January 25, 2022, for the Rough Horn Swamp and Rough Horn Swamp II Restoration Sites.

- 1. Project Summary: Please update 4,564 SMUs to three decimal places 4,564.200. KCI Response: This change has been made.
- 2. Project Summary: This section identifies that any volunteer trees must be a species from the approved planting list. In reference to the digital deliverable comment below, please note that x,y,z coordinate data must also be included for volunteer stems.
  - KCI Response: The x,y coordinates of volunteer species were not recorded during MY02. Since the majority of the volunteer stems are small saplings or species that are not on the approved planting plan. Because all of the plots with appropriate volunteer species are already well over the success criteria, it does not seem efficient to track the x,y of every volunteer species that may or may not survive to future monitoring years. As the site progresses, KCI will document the coordinates of volunteers that display enough vigor to be likely to survive into future monitoring years.
- 3. Monitoring Results: Provide summary of the visual assessment results. KCI Response: A summary of the visual assessment results was added to the report.
- 4. Digital Deliverable Review: For potential future use please note: volunteers in fixed plots to be eligible to count towards performance criteria, there needs to be x,y, coordinates and associated height data. Your template does not contain this information.

KCI Response: See the response to comment #2 above.

Please contact me if you have any questions or would like clarification concerning these responses.

Sincerely,

Tim Morris Project Manager

& g. Mmis

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Project Summary	1
Monitoring Results	2
References	4
Project Vicinity Map	5
Appendix A – Background Tables	
Table 1. Project Mitigation Components	7
Table 2. Project Activity and Reporting History	9
Table 3. Project Contacts	10
Table 4. Project Attributes	11
Appendix B – Visual Assessment Data	
Current Conditions Planview	14
Photo Reference Points	19
Vegetation Plot Photos	23
Appendix C – Vegetation Data	
Table 5. Stem Count by Plot and Species	31
Appendix D – Stream Cross-section Data	
Cross-section Plots	38
Appendix E – Hydrologic Data	
30-70 Percentile Graph	53
Table 6. Verification of Stream Flow	
Table 7. Stream Flow Criteria Attainment	54
Stream Flow Verification and Precipitation Plots	55
Table 8. Wetland Hydrology Criteria Attainment	59
Groundwater and Precipitation Plots	60

#### PROJECT SUMMARY

The Rough Horn Swap Restoration Site (RHS) was completed in January 2020 and restored 20.267 acres of riparian wetlands and 11.873 of non-riparian wetlands. Additionally, 2,132 linear feet of stream (non-credited) was restored at RHS as part of restoring the hydrology of the riparian wetlands. The site is generating 20.267 riparian wetland mitigation credits, and 11.873 non-riparian wetland mitigation credits. The Rough Horn II Wetland Restoration Site (RHSII) is located immediately upstream of RHS (to the north and east) and was also completed in January 2020. RHSII restored 17.079 acres, enhanced 5.956 acres, and preserved 15.319 acres of riparian wetlands. The site also restored 1.619 acres of non-riparian wetlands (non-credited). Additionally, RHSII restored 4,446 linear feet, enhanced 164 linear feet, and preserved 516 linear feet of stream. The site is generating 20.993 riparian wetland mitigation credits and 4,564.200 stream mitigation credits.

RHS and RHSII are warm, riparian and non-riparian systems in the Lumber River Basin (03040203 8-digit HUC) in Columbus County, North Carolina, that were historically modified to maximize agricultural production. The completed project aims to restore an integrated stream/wetland ecosystem that will buffer and support the Long Bay Creek/Lumber River corridor.

The RHS is protected by a 34.5-acre permanent conservation easement, while RHSII is protected by a 62.3-acre permanent conservation easement, both held by the North Carolina Division of Mitigation Services (DMS). Both sites are located near the Town of Evergreen in the west-central portion of Columbus County, NC. Specifically, the site is located just southwest of the intersection of Old Boardman Road and CCC Road.

The Lumber River Basin Restoration Priorities state the goals for the RHS and RHSII's 14-digit HUC are to protect and improve water quality throughout the Basin by reducing sediment and nutrient inputs into streams and rivers and to support efforts to restore local watersheds (NCDENR EEP, 2008). The project goals for RHS and RHSII are in line with the basin priorities and include the following:

- Replace buffer
- Repairing channelized streams
- Preserving existing resources

Additional goals for the project include:

- Restore an integrated wetland/stream system
- Reduce nutrient impacts to the Lumber River and its tributaries from existing and adjacent agricultural practices

The project goals will be addressed through the following objectives:

- Plant the site with native trees and shrubs that support the development of wetland communities
- Fill field ditches to slow the flow of surface and subsurface drainage
- Relocate channelized streams to their historic landscape position
- Convert existing agricultural land to wetland and stream buffer

Project planting and construction were completed in March 2020 and the monitoring components were installed at the same time.

To determine the success of the planted mitigation areas, 41 ten meter by ten meter vegetation monitoring plots were established. Of these, 25 are permanent plots, with 16 in RHS (Plots 1-16) and 9 in RHSII (Plots 17-25), and an additional 16 temporary plots were randomly placed and measured throughout RHS (R1-R16). These plots will be repeated throughout the course of monitoring, but at different locations each year.

All permanent plots were installed with flagged metal conduit at each corner and a PVC pipe was installed at the origin corner. In each of the permanent plots, the plant's height, species, location, and origin (planted versus volunteer) will be noted. In the random plots, species and height will be recorded. In all plots, invasive stems will also be recorded to determine the percentage of invasive stems present. Additionally, a photograph will be taken of each plot. The site's vegetation will be monitored in years 1, 2, 3, 5, and 7.

Vegetative success criteria for wetland/stream mitigation is a woody stem density of 260 stems/acre after five years and 210 stems/acre after seven years. Trees in each plot must average 7 feet in height at Year 5 and 10 feet at Year 7. A single species may not account for more than 50% of the required number of stems within any plot. Volunteers must be present for a minimum of two growing seasons before being included in performance standards in Year 5 and Year 7. For any volunteer tree stem to count toward vegetative success, it must be a species from the approved planting list. Visual assessments will also be used to identify problem areas.

Wetland hydrology is monitored with a series of 21 automatic gauges that record water table depth. The growing season for the project monitoring period will be March 1st through November 20th (265 days) based on correspondence with the USACE, as described in the approved Mitigation Plan. To meet the success criterion, the upper 12 inches of the soil profile must have continuously saturated or inundated conditions for at least 12.0% (32 days) of the growing season in the wetland mitigation areas during normal weather conditions. A "normal" year will be based on NRCS climatological data for Columbus County, and using the 30th to 70th percentile thresholds as the range of normal, as documented in the USACE Technical Report "Accessing and Using Meteorological Data to Evaluate Wetland Hydrology, April 2000."

In the headwater stream area, five pressure transducer gauges and five cameras, set to record a short video once a day, will document the presence of surface water flow. These gauges/cameras are located on Long Bay Creek, UT1, UT2-2, UT3-2, and UT4 (one gauge and camera, per reach). The project streams must meet the requirements for headwater stream hydrologic monitoring per the NCIRT 2016 guidelines. Each stream must have continuous surface water flow within a flowpath for a minimum of 30 continuous days within a calendar year (assuming normal precipitation) and for every year of monitoring. The stream must show signs of supporting flowpaths in all monitoring years. These indicators will be documented with pictures and may include evidence of: scour, sediment deposition and sorting, multiple flow events, wrack lines and flow over vegetation, leaf litter, matted vegetation, or water staining.

The site's geomorphology is monitored per the NCIRT's 2016 guidance for headwater streams. Adjustment and lateral movement following construction are anticipated for these headwater stream systems. In monitoring years one through four the streams will be monitored for specific signs of concentrated flow. This could include linear scour, areas of flow that are deeper than adjacent flow, preferential paths through the wetland that are developing, and signs of continuous flow as documented by a field camera. As the site progresses to years five through seven, there should be signs of developing bed and banks throughout the site. These may not always be continuous, but evidence of an ordinary high water mark should be developing. Three cross-sections were installed during MY-01 to monitor the sites' geomorphology and the development of areas of concentrated flow. All three of these cross-sections are located along Long Bay Creek, with XS1 located in RHSII and XS2 and XS3 located in RHS

#### **MONITORING RESULTS**

#### **Vegetation Monitoring**

Monitoring Year 2 vegetation data was collected between June 15 and June 24, 2021. All 41 vegetation monitoring plots had greater than 364 stems/acre. Overall the site had an average of 752 planted stems/acre and 1,457 total stems/acre (including volunteers). Overall the site is well vegetated with extensive herbaceous coverage and many diverse volunteer woody species.

#### **Stream Monitoring**

The Monitoring Year 2 cross-section survey found the stream stable and functioning as designed. Because the project streams are part of a headwater system with multiple flow paths, traditional cross-sections measurements such as cross-sectional area, bank height ratio, and entrenchment ratio cannot be calculated. These cross-sections were set to span the entire 100 foot width of the stream valley to monitor where and how the water is flowing through this valley. All three cross-sections showed evidence of the development of multiple flow paths. XS3, because of its proximity to the culvert under CCC Rd. showed the most evidence of having a single flow path, but even this cross-section demonstrated multiple flow paths.

All five stream flow monitoring gauges recorded greater than 30 days of continuous flow during 2021. The gauge on LBC recorded a maximum of 152 consecutive days of flow, while the gauges on T1, T2-2, T3-2, and T4 recorded 139, 112, 98, and 108 days, respectively. This was further backed up by the data recorded by the cameras. The camera on LBC malfunctioned due to a low battery from March 5 to June 14, 2021. Despite this, it recorded flow for 64 consecutive days. The other cameras all recorded for the entire year and showed continuous flow for 136, 152, 93, and 107 days (T1, T2-2, T3-2, and T4, respectively). Differences between the maximum consecutive days recorded by the cameras and the gauges are mainly due to times when vegetation obscured the cameras.

#### **Hydrology Monitoring**

During 2021, the months of January, February and June experienced above average rainfall. The months of March, April, May, July, and November experienced below average rainfall and the months of August, September, and October experienced average rainfall. Overall the site experienced average rainfall during the 2021 growing season.

All thirteen gauges at Rough Horn Swamp, and seven of the eight gauges at Rough Horn Swamp II achieved the success criteria of having continuously saturated or inundated conditions for at least 12.0% (32 days) of the growing season. RHSII-7 was the only gauge to not meet the success criteria this year, although it did achieve the success criteria in MY01. It is believed that the low rainfall totals at the beginning of the growing season were responsible for this gauge not meeting. Generally the water table is at its peak in the first three months of the growing season, before evapotranspiration rates have reached their peak later in the summer. All three of these months (March, April, and May) recorded below average rainfall in 2021.


#### **Visual Monitoring**

During the site visit on November 15, 2021, a visual assessment of the site was completed. Scattered clumps of Chinese privet were identified in the wooded portion of the site, particularly in the area to the northeast of UT3-2. These areas were small and scattered however, and will be treated as necessary in future monitoring years. Overall the site is in excellent condition and is trending towards success.

#### **REFERENCES**

- NCDEQ, Division of Mitigation Services. June 2017. "As-built Baseline Monitoring Report Format, Data and Content Requirement."
  - $\frac{https://files.nc.gov/ncdeq/Mitigation\%20Services/Document\%20Management\%20Library/Guidance\%20and\%20Template\%20Documents/6\_AB\_Baseline\_Rep\_Templ\_June\%202017.pdf$
- NCDENR, Ecosystem Enhancement Program. 2008. "Lumber River Restoration Priorities 2008."

  <a href="https://files.nc.gov/ncdeq/Mitigation%20Services/Watershed\_Planning/Lumber\_River\_Basin/Lumber\_RBRP\_2008\_FINAL.pdf">https://files.nc.gov/ncdeq/Mitigation%20Services/Watershed\_Planning/Lumber\_River\_Basin/Lumber\_RBRP\_2008\_FINAL.pdf</a>
- NCIRT. October 24, 2016. "Wilmington District Stream and Wetland Compensatory Mitigation Update." <a href="https://saw-reg.usace.army.mil/PN/2016/Wilmington-District-Mitigation-Update.pdf">https://saw-reg.usace.army.mil/PN/2016/Wilmington-District-Mitigation-Update.pdf</a>
- USACE, Sprecher, S. W.; Warne, A. G. 2000. "Accessing and Using Meteorological Data to Evaluate Wetland Hydrology." https://ntrl.ntis.gov/NTRL/dashboard/searchResults/titleDetail/ADA378910.xhtml



# **APPENDIX A**

**Background Tables** 

Table 1. Mitigation Assets and Components
Rough Horn Swamp Restoration Site
DMC Project #07005

Project Segment	Foo	xisting otage or creage	Mitigation Plan Footage or Acreage	Mitigation Category	Restoration Level	Priority Level	Mitigation Ratio (X:1)	As-built Footage or Acreage	Comments
Long Bay Creek	3	3,470	1,959	Warm	Restoration	Low Energy Stream	0	1,959	60' ROW over CCC Rd.; completed for no stream credit
UT1		4	233	Warm	Restoration	Low Energy Stream	0	233	Completed for no stream credit
Riparian Wetland		None (drained wetland) 20.267		Riverine Riparian	Restoration (Re-establishment)		1	20.267	
Non-Riparian Wetland		0.16	11.873 Riverine Non-riparian		Restoration (Re-establishment)		1	11.873	
					<b>Project Credits</b>				
Restoration Leve	.1	Steam			Riparian Wetland			Non-riparian	Coastal Marsh
Restoration Leve	Warm Cool		Cold	Riverine	Non-riverine		Wetland	Coastai Maisii	
Restoration		2,132 (no credited							
Re-establishment					20.267			11.873	
Rehabilitation									
Enhancement									
Enhancement I									
Enhancement II									
Creation									
Preservation									
Total					20.267			11.873	

Table 1. Mitigation Assets and Components
Rough Horn Swamp II Restoration Site
DMS Project #100053

Project Segment	Ex Foo	xisting otage or creage	Mitigation Plan Footage or Acreage	Mitigation Category	Restoration Level	Priority Level	Mitigation Ratio (X:1		Comments
Long Bay Creek	2	2,077	2,049	Warm	Restoration	Low Energy Stream	1	2,049	30' crossing exception STA 14+66 to 14+96; 153'non- credited stream
UT1		815	917	Warm	Restoration	Headwater Stream	1	917	
UT2-1		516	516	Warm	Preservation	Headwater Stream	10	516	
UT2-2		120	120	Warm	Restoration	Headwater Stream	1	120	
UT3-1		168	164	Warm	Enhancement II	Headwater Stream	2.5	164	31' crossing exception
UT3-2		571	914	Warm	Restoration	Headwater Stream	1	914	STA 301+64 to 301+95
UT4		447	629	Warm	Restoration	Headwater Stream	1	629	
Riparian Wetland Restoration		e (drained etland)	17.079	Riverine Riparian	Restoration (Re-establishment)		1	17.079	
Riparian Wetland Enhancement	7	7.900	5.956	Riverine Riparian	Enhancement		2.5	5.956	
Riparian Wetland Preservation		6.700	15.319	Riverine Riparian	Preservation		10	15.319	
Non-riparian Wetland Restoration		e (drained etland)	1.619	Riverine Non-riparian	Restoration (Re-establishment)		0	1.619	Completed for no wetland credit
					Project Credits				
Restoration Leve	.1		Steam			arian Wetland		Non-riparian	Coastal Marsh
Restoration Deve	.1	Warm		Cold	Riverine	Non-r	iverine	Wetland	Coastai Warsh
Restoration		4,446.00	00						
Re-establishment	:				17.079			1.619 (not credited)	
Rehabilitation									
Enhancement	Enhancement			2.382					
Enhancement I									
Enhancement II		65.600	)						
Creation									
Preservation		51.600			1.532				
Total		4,563.20	00		20.993				

Table 2 Duaiset Activity & Departing History		
Table 2. Project Activity & Reporting History Rough Horn Swamp and Rough Horn Swamp II Re	estaration Sites	
DMS Project #97005 and 100053	estoration Sites	
	Data Collection	Actual Completion or
Activity or Report	Complete	Delivery
Mitigation Plan		April 2, 2019
Final Design - Construction Plans		April 16, 2019
Construction		January 24, 2020
Planting		March 13, 2020
Baseline Monitoring/Report	April 2020	April 2020
Vegetation Monitoring	March 25, 2020	
Photo Points	April 8, 2020	
Year 1 Monitoring	Dec 2020	Jan 2021
Cross-section Survey	Aug 12, 2020	
Vegetation Monitoring	Nov 19, 2020	
Photo Points	Dec 3, 2020	
Year 2 Monitoring	Nov 2021	Dec 2021
Cross-section Survey	June 23, 2021	
Vegetation Monitoring	June 23, 2021	
Photo Points	Sept 15, 2021	


Table 3. Project Contacts								
Rough Horn Swamp and Rough Horn II Swamp Restoration Sites								
DMS Project #97005 and 1000	T							
Design Firm	KCI Associates of North Carolina, PA							
	4505 Falls of Neuse Rd.							
	Suite 400							
	Raleigh, NC 27609							
	Contact: Mr. Tim Morris							
	Phone: (919) 783-9214							
	Fax: (919) 783-9266							
Construction Contractor	KCI Environmental Technologies and Construction							
	4505 Falls of Neuse Rd. Suite 400							
	Suite 400							
	Raleigh, NC 27609							
	Contact: Mr. Tim Morris							
Planting Contractor	Shenandoah Habitats							
	1983 Jefferson Highway							
	Waynesboro, VA 22980							
	Contact: Mr. David Coleman							
	Phone: (540) 941-0067							
Monitoring Performers								
	KCI Associates of North Carolina, PC							
	4505 Falls of Neuse Rd.							
	Suite 400							
	Raleigh, NC 27609							
	Contact: Mr. Tim Morris							
	Phone: (919) 783-9214							
	Fax: (919) 783-9266							


Table 4. Project Attributes										
Rough Horn Swamp Restoration Site	DMS Project #9									
Project Name			mp Restoration Site	e						
County	Columbus County									
Project Area (acres)	34.5 acres									
Project Coordinates (lat. and long.)			°, -78.9390°							
	oject Watershed Su	ımmary Informatio								
Physiographic Province	Coastal Plain									
River Basin	Lumber									
USGS Hydrologic Unit 8-digit	03040203	USGS Hydrolog		03040203190010						
DWQ Sub-basin	03-07-53									
Project Drainage Area (acres)		1,80	00 acres							
Project Drainage Area Percentage of Impervious Area			1%							
CGIA Land Use Classification		Agricultural l	Land, Forestland							
	Reach Summer	<u> </u>	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,							
Parameters		•	Bay Creek							
Length of reach (linear feet)			,702							
Valley classification			/pe X							
Drainage area (acres)		•	00 acres							
NCDWQ Water Quality Classification	C (Aqua	tic Life, Secondary F		yamn Waters)						
Morphological Description (stream type)	C (riqua		hed Channel)	amp waters)						
Evolutionary trend			zed, Stage III							
Mapped Soil Series			nnston							
Drainage class	Very poorly drained									
Soil Hydric status			ric A/D							
Slope		<b>.</b>	0%							
FEMA classification			one X							
Existing vegetation community			v crops							
	d Summary Inform	nation (Post Restora	•							
Parameters										
Size of Wetland (acres)		0.10	6 (W3)							
Wetland Type			ater Forest							
Mapped Soil Series			rhunta							
Drainage class			orly drained							
Soil Hydric Status			ric A/D							
Source of Hydrology		•	ndwater							
Hydrologic Impairment			tching							
Existing vegetation community			v crops							
	Regulatory Co									
Regulation	Applicable?	Resolved?	Sur	porting						
Waters of the United States – Section 404	Yes	Yes		al Determination						
Waters of the United States – Section 401	Yes	Yes		al Determination						
Endangered Species Act**	No N/A N/A									
Historic Preservation Act**	No N/A N/A									
Coastal Zone Management Act **										
(CZMA)/ Coastal Area Management Act (CAMA)	No	N/A		N/A						
FEMA Floodplain Compliance	Yes	Yes	FEMA Floo	dplain Checklist						
Essential Fisheries Habitat**	No	N/A		N/A						

Table 4. Project Attrib	outes									
Rough Horn Swamp I		DMS	S Project	#10005	53					
Project Name	,				Swamp I	I Restora	tion Site	e		
County				C	Columbus (	County				
Project Area (acres)					62.3 ac	res				
Project Coordinates (lat.				34.44	5253°, -8	1.93700	)°			
	Pro	ject V	Vatershed	Summa	ry Inforn	nation				
Physiographic Province						Co	astal Pla	ain		
River Basin							Lumber			
USGS Hydrologic Unit 8-	digit		03040	0203	USGS	Hydrolo	gic Unit	t 14-digit	030	040203190010
DWQ Sub-basin					•	(	)3-07-53	3		
Project Drainage Area (acr	res)			1,68	34 acres (1	,638 ac I	Long Ba	g Creek + 4	6 ac U	T 1)
Project Drainage Area Per	centage of Impervious	Area					1%			
CGIA Land Use Classifica					As	ricultura	al Land.	Forestland		
CONT Zamo Car Chassinica		Re	ach Sumn	nerv Inf		5110 411411	zano,	1 0100111110		
Parameters	Long Bay Creek		UT1		JT2	UT	73	UT4		UT5
Length of reach (lf)	2,077 (RHSII)	811	(RHSII)		536	73		447		597
Valley classification	Type X		Type X	+	pe X	Тур		Туре У	ζ	Type X
Drainage area (acres)	1,638 acres		6 acres	-	2 acres	142 a		84 acre		120 acres
NCDWQ Water Quality Classification	C; SW	(	C; SW	С	; SW	C; S		C; SW		C; SW
Morphological	N/A (Ditched	N/A (Ditched		N/A	Ditched	N/	A	N/A (Dito	hed	N/A (Ditched
Description (stream type)	channel)	cl	hannel)	cha	annel)	(Ditc	hed	channe		channel)
Evolutionary trend	Channelized	Cha	annelized	Char	Channelized		elized	Channelized		Channelized
Mapped Soil Series	Johnston	To	orhunta	Joh	Johnston		ston	Stallings		Johnston
Drainage class	Very poorly	Vei	ry poorly	Very	poorly	Very p		Somewhat		Very poorly
<u> </u>	drained	drained			drained		ned	poorly drained		drained
Soil Hydric status	Hydric A/D	Hydric A/D			ric A/D	Hydrid		Hydric A/D		Hydric A/D
Slope	0%	0%			0%		6	0%		0%
FEMA classification	None	None		None		No		None		None
Existing vegetation community	Headwater Forest	Row crops		Headwater Forest		Headwater Forest		Headwa Forest		Headwater Forest
		Wet	land Sum	mary In	formatio	n				
Parameters	W1, W2, V	WA		WC, WD WB, W					, WE	
Size of Wetland (acres)	4.85 acre	es		3.05 acres			18.92 acres			2 acres
Wetland Type	Bottomland hardy	wood f	forest		Non-tidal narsh/head		Riverine swamp forest			wamp forest
Mapped Soil Series	Johnsto	n			John	iston	John			nston
Drainage class	Very poorly o	Iraine	d		Very poor	ly draine	ed			
Soil Hydric Status	Non-hyd:	ric			Hy	dric			Ну	dric
Source of Hydrology	Surface wa	ater			Stream f	loodplain	1	St	ream f	floodplain
Hydrologic Impairment	Ditchin	g			Ditc	hing			Dite	ching
Existing vegetation	Headwater f	forest			Headwa	ter forest		Н	leadwa	nter forest
		R	egulatory	Consid	erations					
Regulation	Applica		Resol	ved?		Sup	portir	ng		
Waters of the United State	Ye	S	Υe	es		Juris	diction	nal		
Waters of the United States – Section 401			Ye	S	Ye	es		Juris	diction	nal
Endangered Species Act**				)	N/	A			N/A	
Historic Preservation Act*	**		No	)	N/	Α			N/A	
Coastal Zone Managemen (CZMA)/ Coastal Area Ma		A)	No	)	N/A				N/A	
FEMA Floodplain Compli			Yes		Yes		]	FEMA Floo	dplain	Checklist
Essential Fisheries Habitat			No		N/				N/A	
	110		1 - 1/	14/21		IN/A				

# **APPENDIX B**

Visual Assessment Data







**ROUGH HORN SWAMP II RESTORATION SITE** 

**COLUMBUS COUNTY, NC** 

Feet

Orthoimagery, 2016 and 2017





**ROUGH HORN SWAMP RESTORATION SITE &** 

**ROUGH HORN SWAMP II RESTORATION SITE** 

**COLUMBUS COUNTY, NC** 


Source: NC Statewide Orthoimagery, 2016 and 2017


300

Feet

150

0







**ROUGH HORN SWAMP II RESTORATION SITE** 

**COLUMBUS COUNTY, NC** 

Feet

Source: NC Statewide Orthoimagery, 2016 and 2017







**ROUGH HORN SWAMP II RESTORATION SITE** 

**COLUMBUS COUNTY, NC** 

Feet

Orthoimagery, 2016 and 2017





**ROUGH HORN SWAMP RESTORATION SITE &** 

**ROUGH HORN SWAMP II RESTORATION SITE** 

**COLUMBUS COUNTY, NC** 

Source: NC Statewide

Orthoimagery, 2016 and 2017

300

Feet

150

### **Photo Reference Points**



PP1 – MY-00 – 4/8/20



PP2 - MY-00 - 4/8/20



PP3 - MY-00 - 4/8/20



PP1 – MY-02 – 9/15/21



PP2 - MY - 02 - 9/15/21



PP3 - MY - 02 - 9/15/21



PP4 - MY-00 - 4/8/20



PP5 - MY-00 - 4/8/20



PP6 - MY-00 - 4/8/20



PP4 - MY-02 - 9/15/21



PP5 - MY-02 - 9/15/21



PP6 - MY-02 - 9/15/21



PP7 - MY-00 - 4/8/20



PP8 - MY-00 - 4/8/20



PP9 - MY-00 - 4/8/20



PP7 - MY-02 - 9/15/21



PP8 - MY-02 - 9/15/21



PP9 – MY-02 – 9/15/21



PP10 - MY-00 - 4/8/20



 $PP11 - \overline{MY-00 - 4/8/20}$ 



PP12 - MY-00 - 4/8/20



PP10 - MY-02 - 9/15/21



PP11 - MY-02 - 9/15/21



PP12 - MY-02 - 9/15/21

## **Vegetation Plot Photos**



Vegetation Plot 1 - MY-02 - 6/15/2021



Vegetation Plot 3 - MY-02 - 6/15/2021



Vegetation Plot  $5 - MY - \overline{02 - 6/15/2021}$ 



Vegetation Plot 2 - MY-02 - 6/15/2021



Vegetation Plot  $4 - MY-02 - \overline{6/16/2021}$ 



Vegetation Plot 6 – MY-02 – 6/15/2021



Vegetation Plot 7 - MY-02 - 6/16/2021



Vegetation Plot 9 - MY-02 - 6/15/2021



Vegetation Plot 11 – MY-02 – 6/15/2021



Vegetation Plot 8 - MY-02 - 6/16/2021



Vegetation Plot 10 – MY-02 – 6/15/2021



Vegetation Plot 12 – MY-02 – 6/18/2021



Vegetation Plot 13- MY-02 - 6/16/2021



Vegetation Plot 15 – MY-02 – 6/16/2021



Vegetation Plot 17 – MY-02 – 6/18/2021



Vegetation Plot 14 – MY-02 – 6/16/2021



Vegetation Plot 16 - MY-02 - 6/16/2021



Vegetation Plot 18 – MY-02 – 6/18/2021



Vegetation Plot 19 – MY-02 – 6/18/2021



Vegetation Plot 21 - MY-02 - 6/18/2021



Vegetation Plot 23 – MY-02 – 6/18/2021



Vegetation Plot 20 - MY-02 - 6/18/2021



Vegetation Plot 22 - MY-02 - 6/23/2021



Vegetation Plot 24 – MY-02 – 6/23/2021



Vegetation Plot 25 - MY-02 - 6/23/2021



Vegetation Plot R2 - MY-02 - 6/23/2021



Vegetation Plot R4 – MY-02 – 6/23/2021



Vegetation Plot R1 - MY-02 - 6/23/2021



 $Vegetation\ Plot\ R3-MY-02-6/23/2021$ 



Vegetation Plot R5 – MY-02 – 6/23/2021



Vegetation Plot R6 - MY-02 - 6/23/2021



Vegetation Plot R8 – MY-02 – 6/23/2021



Vegetation Plot R10 – MY-02 – 6/23/2021



Vegetation Plot R7 - MY-02 - 6/23/2021



Vegetation Plot  $\overline{R9 - MY - 02 - 6/23/2021}$ 



Vegetation Plot R11 – MY-02 – 6/23/2021



Vegetation Plot R12 - MY-02 - 6/23/2021



Vegetation Plot R14 - MY-02 - 6/23/2021



Vegetation Plot R16 – MY-02 – 6/23/2021



Vegetation Plot R13 - MY-02 - 6/23/2021



 $Vegetation\ Plot\ R15-MY-02-6/23/2021$ 

# **APPENDIX C**

Vegetation Plot Data

Table 5. Stem Count by Plot and Specie	S
Rough Horn Swamp and Rough Horn Sw	amp II, DMS Project #97005 and 100053

Rough Horn Swarip and Rough Horn Sw	Current Plot Data (MY02 2021)															
Species	Plot 01		Plot 02		Plo	t 03		t 04		t 05	Plo	t 06	Plo	ot 07	Plo	t 08
	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted	Total
American Sy camore (Platanus occidentalis)			1	. 1	L 2	2										
Bald Cypress (Taxodium distichum)	12	12			3	3	3		7	7	8	8	13	13	19	19
Beautyberry (Callicarpa americana)																
Black Walnut (Juglans nigra)																
Black Willow (Salix nigra)						83	3	1								4
Boxelder (Acer negundo )																
Buttonbush (Cephalanthus occidentalis)	3	3							4	4			2	. 2	1	1
Eastern Baccharis (Baccharis halimifolia)																
Eastern Cottonwood (Populus deltoides)																11
Laurel Oak (Quercus laurifolia)			1	. 1	L											
Loblolly Pine (Pinus taeda)																
Oak (Quercus sp.)																
Overcup Oak (Quercus lyrata)			1	. 1	1	1	. 2	2	1	1			1	. 1		
Red Chokeberry (Aronia arbutifolia)									1	1			1	. 1		
Red Maple (Acer rubrum)		3										3				4
River Birch (Betula nigra)	1	1	2	. 2	2 3	3	5	5	3	3	7	7	'			
Silky Dogwood (Cornus amomum)																
Southern Red Oak (Quercus falcata)		1														
Swamp Bay (Persea palustris)	4	4							2	2	2	2			1	1
Swamp Chestnut Oak (Quercus michauxii)			6	6	5		6	6							1	1
Sweet gum (Liquidambar styraciflua)		2								1		1				9
Water Oak (Quercus nigra)																
Water Tupelo (Nyssa aquatica)					1	1					3	3	5	5		
Wax Mrytle (Myrica cerifera)																
Willow Oak (Quercus phellos)																
Unknown																
Stem count	20	26	11	11	10	93	13	14	18	19	20	24	22	22	22	50
size (ares)		1		1	:	1		1		1	:	1		1		1
size (ACRES)	0.0	)25	0.0	025	0.0	)25	0.0	)25	0.0	025	0.0	)25	0.0	025	0.0	025
Species count	4	7	5	5	5	6	3	4	6	7	4	6	5	5	4	8
Stems per ACRE	809	1,052	445	445	405	3,764	526	567	728	769	809	971	890	890	890	2,023

Rough Horn Swamp and Rough Horn Sv	T .	Current Plot Data (MY02 2021)														
 I	Plot	: 09	Plot	10	Plot	11	Plot 12 Plot 13			Plot	14	Plo	t 15 Plot 1		16	
Species	Planted		Planted		Planted				Planted		Planted		1	Total	Planted	
American Sy camore ( <i>Platanus occidentalis</i> )	1	1									2	2	3	3		
Bald Cypress (Taxodium distichum)	1	1	12	12	12	12	9	9	9	9			1	1	17	17
Beauty berry (Callicarpa americana)																
Black Walnut (Juglans nigra)																
Black Willow (Salix nigra)									2	2		4				
Boxelder (Acer negundo)																
Buttonbush (Cephalanthus occidentalis)							2	2	1	1						
Eastern Baccharis (Baccharis halimifolia)																
Eastern Cottonwood (Populus deltoides)																
Laurel Oak (Quercus laurifolia)	1	1	1	1	2	2							2	2		
Loblolly Pine (Pinus taeda)																
Oak (Quercus sp.)																
Overcup Oak (Quercus lyrata)	5	5											3	3		
Red Chokeberry (Aronia arbutifolia)							1	1			<u> </u>					<u> </u>
Red Maple (Acer rubrum)								1		4		1				12
River Birch (Betula nigra)	7	7	3	3	2	2	2	2	8	8	8	8	9	9	1	
Silky Dogwood (Cornus amomum)			<u> </u>													
Southern Red Oak (Quercus falcata)																
Swamp Bay (Persea palustris)			1	1											1	
Swamp Chestnut Oak (Quercus michauxii)	2	2	2	2							3	3				
Sweetgum (Liquidambar styraciflua)		2										1		3		2
Water Oak (Quercus nigra)																
Water Tupelo (Nyssa aquatica)							1	1			1	1	1	1	1	
Wax Mrytle (Myrica cerifera)																
Willow Oak (Quercus phellos)																
Unknown																
Stem count	t 17	19	19	19	16	16	15	16	20	24	14	20	19	22	20	54
size (ares)	) 1		1		1		1		1		1		:	Ĺ	1	

size (ACRES)

Species count

Stems per ACRE

0.025

0.025

0.025

2,185

0.025

0.025

0.025

0.025

0.025

	Τ	wamp II, DMS Project #97005 and 100053  Current Plot Data (MY02 2021)														
	Plo	t 17	Plo	t 18	Plo	t 19	1	t 20	<del>_ `</del>	t 21	Plo	t 22	Plo	t 23	Plo	ot 24
Species	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted		Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted		Planted	Total	Planted	Total
American Sy camore (Platanus occidentalis)			3	3												
Bald Cypress (Taxodium distichum)					16	17	6	6	11	11	. 8	8	11	11	13	13
Beautyberry (Callicarpa americana)																1
Black Walnut (Juglans nigra)																
Black Willow (Salix nigra)				15				7	7							
Boxelder (Acer negundo )																
Buttonbush (Cephalanthus occidentalis)					1	. 1							1	1	. 1	. 1
Eastern Baccharis (Baccharis halimifolia)								2	2							
Eastern Cottonwood (Populus deltoides)																
Laurel Oak (Quercus laurifolia)			3	3							2	2	. 1	1		
Loblolly Pine (Pinus taeda)																
Oak (Quercus sp.)													1	1		
Overcup Oak (Quercus lyrata)			4	4									1	1		
Red Chokeberry (Aronia arbutifolia)																
Red Maple (Acer rubrum )										16	i	25		11		13
River Birch (Betula nigra)	11	11	1 5	5	1	. 1	4	4	2	2			4	4	. 3	3
Silky Dogwood (Cornus amomum)																
Southern Red Oak (Quercus falcata)																1
Swamp Bay (Persea palustris)											1	1	. 1	1	1	
Swamp Chestnut Oak (Quercus michauxii)			2	2			1	1	1	1			2	2		

0.025

0.025

1,497

Stem count

size (ares)

size (ACRES)

Species count

Stems per ACRE

0.025

Sweetgum (Liquidambar styraciflua)
Water Oak (Quercus nigra)
Water Tupelo (Nyssa aquatica)
Wax Mrytle (Myrica cerifera)
Willow Oak (Quercus phellos)

Unknown

2,347

0.025

2,711

2,550

0.025

8,579

0.025

1,093

0.025

0.025

Table 5. Stem	Count by Plot and Species	
---------------	---------------------------	--

Rough Horn Swamp and Rough Horn Swamp II, DMS Project #97005 and 100053

Rough Horn Swamp and Rough Horn Sw							Curre	nt Plot Da	ta (MY02	2 2021)						
	Plo	t 25	Plot	t R01	Plot	R02	Plot	: R03	Plot	t R04	Plot	t R05	Plot R06		Plot	t R07
Species	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted	Total
American Sycamore (Platanus occidentalis)			1	. 1	. 3	3	3		4	4	1	1				
Bald Cypress (Taxodium distichum)	14	14	5	5	1	1	. 16	16	2	2	2	2	. 8	8	13	13
Beauty berry (Callicarpa americana)																
Black Walnut (Juglans nigra)														1		
Black Willow (Salix nigra)			9	9	3	3	2	2			5	5				
Boxelder (Acer negundo )																
Buttonbush (Cephalanthus occidentalis)			1	. 1			5	5					1	. 1		
Eastern Baccharis (Baccharis halimifolia)																
Eastern Cottonwood (Populus deltoides)																
Laurel Oak (Quercus laurifolia)					1	1			4	4	1	1				
Loblolly Pine (Pinus taeda)																
Oak (Quercus sp.)																
Overcup Oak (Quercus lyrata)																
Red Chokeberry (Aronia arbutifolia)																
Red Maple (Acer rubrum)		2		1		6	6	2		2				1		
River Birch (Betula nigra)					8	8	3		7	7	11	11			4	4
Silky Dogwood (Cornus amomum)																
Southern Red Oak (Quercus falcata)																
Swamp Bay (Persea palustris)		4	1	. 1			1	1							2	2
Swamp Chestnut Oak (Quercus michauxii)	1	1	1	. 1			1	1			2	2	3	3	3	3
Sweetgum (Liquidambar styraciflua)		2		1		1		52				2		1		
Water Oak (Quercus nigra)									2	2	1	1				
Water Tupelo (Nyssa aquatica)		1			1	1									5	5
Wax Mrytle (Myrica cerifera)																
Willow Oak (Quercus phellos)																
Unknown																
Stem count	15	24	18	20	17	24	25	79	19	21	23	25	12	15	27	27
size (ares)	:	1		1		1		1	:	1		1		1		1
size (ACRES)	0.0	)25	0.0	025	0.0	)25	0.0	)25	0.0	025	0.0	025	0.	025	0.0	025
Species count	2	6	6	8	6	8	5	7	5	6	7	8	3	6	5	5
Stems per ACRE	607	971	728	809	688	971	1,012	3,197	769	850	931	1,012	486	607	1,093	1,093

Table 5. Stem Count by Plot and Species

Rough Horn Swamp and Rough Horn Swamp II, DMS Project #97005 and 100053

		Current Plot Data (MY02 2021)														
	Plot	: R08	Plot	: R09	Plot	R10	Plot	: R11	Plot	: R12	Plot	: R13	Plo	t R14	Plot	t R15
Species	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted	Total	Planted	Total
American Sy camore (Platanus occidentalis)			7	7	1	1			3	3	4	4	1			
Bald Cypress (Taxodium distichum)	13	13	1	1	1	1	2	2			2	2	2 3	3	11	11
Beautyberry (Callicarpa americana)																
Black Walnut (Juglans nigra)																
Black Willow (Salix nigra)					27	27			1	1						
Boxelder (Acer negundo)																
Buttonbush (Cephalanthus occidentalis)	6	6											2	. 2	. 2	2
Eastern Baccharis (Baccharis halimifolia)																
Eastern Cottonwood (Populus deltoides)																
Laurel Oak (Quercus laurifolia)									2	2	2	2	2			
Loblolly Pine (Pinus taeda)																
Oak (Quercus sp.)																
Overcup Oak (Quercus lyrata)									1	1	3	3	3			
Red Chokeberry (Aronia arbutifolia)																
Red Maple (Acer rubrum)		2		15				1		3		7	7	28		1
River Birch (Betula nigra)	1	1	5	5	9	9	2	2	5	5	7	7	7 2	. 2	6	6
Silky Dogwood (Cornus amomum)																
Southern Red Oak (Quercus falcata)																
Swamp Bay (Persea palustris)	1	1											1	. 1	. 1	1
Swamp Chestnut Oak (Quercus michauxii)					1	1	2	2			1	1	լ 1	. 1	. 1	1
Sweetgum (Liquidambar styraciflua)				15				8		4		(3)	3	9		5
Water Oak (Quercus nigra)																
Water Tupelo (Nyssa aquatica)			3	3	5	5	3	3	3	3	1	1	լ 2	. 2	. 5	5
Wax Mrytle (Myrica cerifera)																
Willow Oak (Quercus phellos)			4	4												
Unknown																
Stem count	21	23	20	50	44	44	9	18	15	22	20	30	11	48	26	32
size (ares)		1		1		1		1		1	:	1		1		1
size (ACRES)	0.0	025	0.0	)25	0.0	)25	0.0	)25	0.0	025	0.0	)25	0.0	025	0.0	025
Species count	4	5	5	7	6	6	4	6	6	8	7	9	6	8	6	8
Stems per ACRE	850	931	809	2,023	1,781	1,781	364	728	607	890	809	1,214	445	1,942	1,052	1,295

Table 5. Stem Count by Plot and Species										
Rough Horn Swamp and Rough Horn Sw	vamp II, E	OMS Pro	oject #970	005 and						
		D4.6	2000	2024)	Annual I		2 42400 /	2020)		
	Plot		•	MY02 (2021)		2020)	MY00 (	T		
Species	Planted	Total	Planted		Planted		Planted	Total		
American Sy camore (Platanus occidentalis)			36		36					
Bald Cypress (Taxodium distichum)	10	10	292	293	287	287	254	254		
Beauty berry (Callicarpa americana)				1		1				
Black Walnut (Juglans nigra)				1						
Black Willow (Salix nigra)			49	163	82	222		1		
Boxelder (Acer negundo )						1				
Buttonbush (Cephalanthus occidentalis)	4	4	37	37	33	33	2	2		
Eastern Baccharis (Baccharis halimifolia)				2		1				
Eastern Cottonwood (Populus deltoides)				11		18				
Laurel Oak (Quercus laurifolia)			23	23	32	32	47	47		
Loblolly Pine (Pinus taeda)								3		
Oak (Quercus sp.)			1	1			221	221		
Overcup Oak (Quercus lyrata)			23	23	42	42				
Red Chokeberry (Aronia arbutifolia)			3	3	3	3				
Red Maple (Acer rubrum)		7		171		242		21		
River Birch (Betula nigra)	3	3	161	161	165	165	156	156		
Silky Dogwood (Cornus amomum)					1	1	7	7		
Southern Red Oak (Quercus falcata)				2		1				
Swamp Bay (Persea palustris)	3	3	24	32	31	37	33	33		
Swamp Chestnut Oak (Quercus michauxii)			43	43	76	76	9	9		
Sweet gum (Liquidambar styraciflua)		2		401		670		3		
Water Oak (Quercus nigra)			3	3	8	8				
Water Tupelo (Nyssa aquatica)	2	2	63	65	54	54				
Wax Mrytle (Myrica cerifera)						3				
Willow Oak (Quercus phellos)			4	4			166	166		
Unknown							166	166		
Stem count	22	31	762	1476	850	1933	1061	1089		
size (ares)	1		41	Ĺ	41		41	41		
size (ACRES)	0.02	25	1.01		1.01		1.01			
Species count	5	7	14	21	13	21	10	14		
Stems per ACRE	890	1,255	752	1,457	839	1,908	1,047	1,075		


# **APPENDIX D**

**Stream Cross-section Data** 

River Basin:	Lumber River
Site:	Rough Horn Swamp II
XS ID	XS1
Drainage Area (sq mi):	1.50
Date:	6/23/2021
Field Crew:	T. Seelinger, C. Pristupa

Station	Elevation
0.0	83.4
3.7	82.9
9.3	82.8
12.8	82.7
18.5	82.5
21.6	82.4
24.9	82.8
27.3	82.4
31.3	82.5
35.1	82.3
38.1	82.3
42.6	82.1
46.4	82.3
49.9	82.1
53.2	82.0
54.9	82.2
57.7	82.7
59.4	83.0
62.5	82.9
65.7	83.2
69.3	83.1
73.1	82.9
77.5	82.5
81.5	82.8
86.5	82.7
91.9	82.5
96.6	82.6
99.8	82.7
99.8	83.4
49.8	83.0







River Basin:	Lumber River
Site:	Rough Horn Swamp
XS ID	XS2
Drainage Area (sq mi):	1.60
Date:	6/23/2021
Field Crew:	T. Seelinger, C. Pristupa

Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation
0.0	81.6	86.4	81.1
0.0	80.7	88.6	81.0
4.7	80.9	89.7	81.4
7.6	81.1	91.8	81.4
10.3	81.2	92.7	81.2
13.3	80.9	96.5	81.6
16.6	81.3	99.7	81.2
20.5	81.3	99.6	81.7
21.2	81.0		









River Basin:	Lumber River
Site:	Rough Horn Swamp
XS ID	XS3
Drainage Area (sq mi):	2.80
Date:	6/23/2021
Field Crew:	T. Seelinger, C. Pristupa

Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation
0.0	80.9	86.4	80.3
0.1	80.4	91.8	80.4
5.0	80.5	94.7	80.3
7.6	80.4	97.2	80.3
13.2	80.6	99.1	80.2
16.8	80.4	100.4	80.4
21.2	80.6	100.5	80.9
24.9	80.6		








# **APPENDIX E**

**Hydrologic Data** 

Rough Horn Swamp Restoration Site 30-70 Percentile Graph WETS Station Name: Whiteville 7




#### Table 6. Stream Flow Verification Rough Horn Swamp and Rough Horn Swamp II Restoration Site, DMS Project #97005/100053

	Gauge		Camera	
Reach	Dates Achieving	Maximum Consecutive Days	Dates Achieving	Maximum Consecutive Days
LBC	January 1 – May 21; June 3 – October 31	152	January 1 – March 5	64
UT1	January 1 – May 19; May 30 – September 7; September 21 – October 27	139	January 1 – May 16; June 4 – July 23	136
UT2-2	January 1 – April 22; June 2 – July 5; July 8 – September 7	112	January 1 – April 25; June 4 – November 2	152
UT3-2	January 1 – April 8	98	January 1 – April 3	93
UT4	January 1 – April 18	108	January 1 – April 17	107


#### Table 7. Stream Flow Criteria Attainment Rough Horn Swamp and Rough Horn Swamp II Restoration Site, DMS Project #97005/100053

	Greater than 30 Days of Flow/Max Consecutive Days							
Reach	MY-01 2020	MY-02 2021	MY-03 2022	MY-04 2023	MY-05 2024	MY-06 2025	MY-07 2026	
LBC (Gauge)	Yes/277	Yes/152						
LBC (Camera)	Yes/179	Yes/64						
UT1 (Gauge)	Yes/71	Yes/139						
UT1 (Camera)	Yes/71	Yes/136						
UT2-2 (Gauge)	Yes/71	Yes/112						
UT2-2 (Camera)	Yes/71	Yes/152						
UT3-2 (Gauge)	Yes/71	Yes/98						
UT3-2 (Camera)	Yes/78	Yes/93						
UT4 (Gauge)	Yes/71	Yes/108						
UT4 (Camera)	Yes/71	Yes/107						


# Rough Horn Swamp Restoration Site Hydrograph LBC Stream Flow Gauge




#### Rough Horn Swamp Restoration Site Hydrograph T1 Stream Flow Gauge




#### Rough Horn Swamp Restoration Site Hydrograph T2 Stream Flow Gauge




#### Rough Horn Swamp Restoration Site Hydrograph T3 Stream Flow Gauge





#### Rough Horn Swamp Restoration Site Hydrograph T4 Stream Flow Gauge





tough fiorn 5 wantp and K	ough Horn Swamp II I	kestoration Site, Pro	Ject # 9 / 003/10003	3				
	Success Criteria Achieved / Max Consecutive Days During Growing Season (Percentage)							
Success Criteria	MY-01	MY-02	MY-03	MY-04	MY-05	MY-06	MY-07	
32 Days) (12.0%)	2020	2021	1111 00	1111 0-1	1,11 00	1111 00	1111 07	
Gauge RHS-1	Yes/73	Yes/40						
Gauge RHS-1	(27.5%)	(15.1%)						
Gauge RHS-2	Yes/114	Yes/53						
Gauge KIB-2	(43.0%)	(20.0%)						
Gauge RHS-3	Yes/65	Yes/37						
Gauge Kris-5	(24.5%)	(14.0%)						
Gauge RHS-4	Yes/73	Yes/50						
Gauge Kn5-4	(27.5%)	(18.9%)						
C DUG 5	Yes/73	Yes/49						
Gauge RHS-5	(27.5%)	(18.5%)						
C PHG 6	Yes/115	Yes/50						
Gauge RHS-6	(43.4%)	(18.9%)						
	Yes/83	Yes/52						
Gauge RHS-7	(31.3%)	(19.6%)						
	Yes/73	Yes/36						
Gauge RHS-8	(27.5%)	(13.6%)						
	Yes/65	Yes/37						
Gauge RHS-9	(24.5%)	(14.0%)						
	Yes/73	Yes/49						
Gauge RHS-10	(27.5%)	(18.5%)						
	Yes/41	Yes/37						
Gauge RHS-11	(15.5%)	(14.0%)						
	No/21	Yes/36						
Gauge RHS-12	(7.9%)	(13.6%)						
	Yes/65	Yes/35						
Gauge RHS-13								
	(24.5%)	(13.2%)						
Gauge RHSII-1	Yes/73	Yes/50						
_	(27.5%)	(18.9%)						
Gauge RHSII-2	Yes/73	Yes/51						
-	(27.5%)	(19.2%)						
Gauge RHSII-3	Yes/65	Yes/37						
	(24.5%)	(14.0%)						
Gauge RHSII-4	Yes/264	Yes/63						
Ü	(99.6%)	(23.8%)						
Gauge RHSII-5	Yes/264	Yes/61						
Ç	(99.6%)	(23.0%)						
Gauge RHSII-6	Yes/37	Yes/36						
Jan 20 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2	(14.0%)	(13.6%)						
Gauge RHSII-7	Yes/33	No/7						
Sauge Ribit-/	(12.5%)	(2.6%)						
Gauge RHSII-8	Yes/73	Yes/50						
Jauge Mion-o	(27.5%)	(18.9%)						
Gauge Ref	Yes/53	Yes/44						
Gauge Rei	(20.0%)	(16.6%)			I			











Rainfall (in)

