## **Instructions:**

- 1. Immediately save this with your new, desired filename.
- 2. Fill out all areas highlighted in yellow.
- 3. Place the letter "x" in appropriate box for multiple choice questions.

# Technical Proposal Evaluation Criteria 03040203 Rating Form

Offeror:	
Site Name:	
River Basin	
/ Catalog	Lumber 03040203
Unit:	
RFP	
Number:	16-416888198
Date of Site	
Evaluation:	
Type/Amt of	
Mitigation	
Offered:	
Proposal	
Review	
Committee:	
Alternate	
Attendees:	

#### **Section 1. Minimum Requirements**

Section 1: William Requirements	
	Yes/No
	or N/A
1- For stream mitigation projects, does the Technical Proposal adequately document the historical presence of stream(s) on the project site, provide the drainage areas (acres) and provide accurate, process-based descriptionsof all project stream reaches and tributaries?	
2- For proposals that include wetland mitigation, does the technical proposal adequately document the presence of hydric soil indicators (including soil boring logs prepared by a Licensed Soil Scientist and a map showing soil boring locations and mapped soil series)?	
3- For proposals that include wetland mitigation, does the proposed success hydroperiod follow the IRT Guidance for the project site and soil series? If the proposed hydroperiod differs from the IRT guidance, justification must beprovided in the RFP.	
4- Does the proposal adequately document the physical, chemical and/or biological impairments that currently exist on the project site?	
5- Does DMS agree with the overall mitigation approach (proposed levels of intervention) presented? [The Technical Proposal must demonstrate that the proposed mitigation activities are appropriate for existing site conditions and watershed characteristics (e.g., adjacent land use/land cover), and are optimized to yield maximum functional gains.]	
6- Does DMS agree with the proposed credit structure(s) described in the proposal?	
7- Does the proposed project avoid significant adverse impacts to existing wetlands and/or streams?	
8- Does the proposal adequately describe how the project will advance DMS watershed planning goals?	
9- For any proposed Priority 2 restoration, is P2 justified and/or limited to "tie-ins"?	
An answer of No in this section means the Technical Proposal is rejected. Continue or Reject?	

**Section 2. Functional Uplift Evaluation** 

Functional Category	Functional Stressor		Functional Uplift Potential					Planning Identified Stressor		
	Check boxes below to identify stressors addressed by proposal.		Complete this section for identified functional stressors <u>ONLY</u> . Place an X under the option that best describes the uplift potential for the majority of the project area.					Place an X below if stressor is identified through watershed planning - only count the <b>MOST LOCAL</b> plan.		
			Low	Mod	High	Very High		TRA TLW	RWP	LWP
lity		Non-functioning riparian buffer / wetland vegetation								
λua		Sediment								
Water Quality		Nutrients								
Nat		Fecal Coliform								
		Other								
_		Peak Flows								
log		Artificial Barriers								
Hydrology		Ditching/Draining								
		Other								
		Habitat Fragmentation								
itat		Limited Bedform Diversity								
Habitat		Absence of Large Woody Debris								
		Other								
- Figure 1	Tota	l Count	0	0	0	0	Total Count	0	0	0
ıl anc ıbtot	Multiplier		x 1	x 3	x 6	x 10		x 2	x 4	x 6
Functional and Planning Subtotal	Count x Function Multiplier		0	0	0	0	Count x Planning Multiplier	0	0	0
Fu	Sum of Function					Sum of Planning			В	

Adjusted Risk Factor	Only Applicable if this Box is Checked	
		Risk Adjusted Score (Sum of Function <sup>A</sup> X Factor <sup>C</sup> )
		<b>0</b>
Risk Adjusted Score <sup>D</sup> + Plann	0 <sup>E</sup>	

## Section 3. General (place an X in the appropriate box)

	1pt	3 pts	6 pts	10 pts
Physical constraints or barriers	>5%	2-5%	<2%	None
Project Density	>10	>8 - 10	>4 - 8	=4</td
Project Density				
Total General	0	0	0	0

### Section 4. Final Score and Proposal Rating

Total Function and	0
Total General	F
Final Score (E + F)	0
Proposal Rating (Final Score x 0.01)	0