

**Technical Proposal Evaluation Criteria**  
**03020201 Rating Form**

<b>Offeror:</b>	
<b>Site Name:</b>	
<b>River Basin / Catalog Unit:</b>	Neuse 03020201, Outside Falls Lake
<b>RFP Number:</b>	16-1160575169
<b>Date of Site Evaluation:</b>	
<b>Type/Amt of Mitigation Offered:</b>	
<b>Proposal Review Committee:</b>	
<b>Alternate Attendees:</b>	

## Section 1. Minimum Requirements

	Yes/No or N/A
1- Does DMS agree with the overall mitigation approach presented? [The Technical Proposal must: A) clearly identify the extent of the proposed easement, B) and buffer zones and C) show all stream channels and concentrated flow paths with stream identifications or ditch designations and subject/non-subject designation].	
2- Does DMS agree with the proposed credit structure(s) described in the proposal? [Are all ROWs or utilities/areas not subject to buffer credit due to infrastructure or property rights clearly identified].	
3- Does DMS agree that there is a high likelihood of success for the proposed work given existing onsite conditions? [Is the soil condition appropriate for proposed plantings, what is the existing hydrology, is the existing vegetation likely to present competition for proposed plantings]?	
4- Does the proposal document compliance with all current NC state buffer rule eligibility requirements?	
An answer of No in this section means the Technical Proposal is rejected. <b>Continue or Reject?</b>	

## Section 2. Functional Uplift Evaluation

Function	Functional Stressor	Functional Uplift Potential					Planning Identified Stressor		
	Check boxes below to identify stressors addressed by proposal.	Complete this section for identified functional stressors <u>ONLY</u> . Select the option that best describes the uplift potential for the majority of the project area.					Check box below if stressor is identified through watershed planning		
							TRA	RWP	LWP
<b>Water Quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Sediment	Low	Moderate	High	Very High				
	<input type="checkbox"/> Nutrients	Low	Moderate	High	Very High				
	<input type="checkbox"/> Fecal Coliform	Low	Moderate	High	Very High				
	<input type="checkbox"/> Other	Low	Moderate	High	Very High				
<b>Hydrology</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Peak Flows	Low	Moderate	High	Very High				
	<input type="checkbox"/> Non-Diffuse Flow	Low	Moderate	High	Very High				
	<input type="checkbox"/> Other	Low	Moderate	High	Very High				
<b>Habitat</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Lack of Riparian Canopy	Low	Moderate	High	Very High				
	<input type="checkbox"/> Other	Low	Moderate	High	Very High				
<b>Function and Planning Subtotal</b>	Total Count					Total Count			
	Multiplier	x 1	x 3	x 6	X10	Multiplier	x 2	X 4	X 6
	Count x Function Multiplier					Count x Planning Multiplier			
	Sum of Function	A				Sum of Planning	B		
	Total Function <sup>A</sup> and Planning <sup>B</sup> =					C			

### Section 3. General

	1 point	3 points	6 points	10 points	
Physical constraints or barriers	>5%	2-5%	<2%	None	
Project Density	>10	>8-10	>4-8	</= 4	
Connectivity to another permanently protected area	NO	N/A	1 area	2+ areas	
Resource drains to 303(d) waters	NO	YES	N/A	N/A	
Invasive/Nuisance Species Treatment Necessary	YES	NO	NO and no seed source	N/A	
Total General					D

### Section 4. Final Score and Proposal Rating

Total Function and Planning	C
Total General	D
Final Score (C + D)	
Proposal Rating (Final Score x 0.01)	