Instructions:

1. Immediately save this with your new, desired filename.

2. Fill out all areas highlighted in yellow.

3. Place the letter "x" in appropriate box for multiple choice questions.

Technical Proposal Evaluation Criteria					
03030001 CU Rating Form					
Offeror:					
Site Name:					
River Basin / Catalog Unit:					
RFP Number:	16-707088504				
Date of Site Evaluation:					
Type/Amt of Mitigation Offered:					
Proposal Review Committee:					
Alternate Attendees:					

Section 1. Minimum Requirements

	Yes/No or N/A
1- For stream mitigation projects, does the Technical Proposal adequately document the historical presence of stream(s) on the project site, provide the drainage areas (acres) and provide accurate, process-based descriptionsof all project stream reaches and tributaries?	
2- For proposals that include wetland mitigation, does the technical proposal adequately document the presence of hydric soil indicators (including soil boring logs prepared by a Licensed Soil Scientist and a map showing soil boring locations and mapped soil series)?	
3- For proposals that include wetland mitigation, does the proposed success hydroperiod follow the IRT Guidance for the project site and soil series? If the proposed hydroperiod differs from the IRT guidance, justification must beprovided in the RFP.	
4- Does the proposal adequately document the physical, chemical and/or biological impairments that currently exist on the project site?	
5- Does DMS agree with the overall mitigation approach (proposed levels of intervention) presented? [The Technical Proposal must demonstrate that the proposed mitigation activities are appropriate for existing site conditions and watershed characteristics (e.g., adjacent land use/land cover), and are optimized to yield maximum functional gains.]	
6- Does DMS agree with the proposed credit structure(s) described in the proposal?	
7- Does the proposed project avoid significant adverse impacts to existing wetlands and/or streams?	
8- Does the proposal adequately describe how the project will advance DMS watershed planning goals?	
9- For any proposed Priority 2 restoration, is P2 justified and/or limited to "tie-ins"?	
An answer of No in this section means the Technical Proposal is rejected. Continue or Reject?	REJECT

Functional **Functional Stressor Functional Uplift Potential Planning Identified Stressor** Category Complete this section for identified Place an X below if stressor is Check boxes below to functional stressors ONLY. Place an X identified through watershed identify stressors addressed under the option that best describes the planning - only count the MOST by proposal. uplift potential for the majority of the LOCAL plan. project area. Mod Very High TRA RWP LWP Low High Non-functioning riparian buffer / Water Quality wetland vegetation Sediment Nutrients **Fecal Coliform** Other Peak Flows Hydrology **Artificial Barriers** Ditching/Draining Other Habitat Fragmentation Limited Bedform Habitat Diversity Absence of Large Woody Debris Other **Total Count** Total 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Count Planning Subtotal Functional and Multiplier x 1 х3 x 6 x 10 x 2 x 4 x 6 Count x Function Count x Planning Multiplier 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Multiplier В **Sum of Function** Sum of Planning

Section 2. Functional Uplift Evaluation

Adjusted R	lisk Factor	🗌 Only A	Applicable if this Box is Checked			
Total Restoration and Enhancement Feet	Restoration and Enhancement I Feet	Enhancement II Feet	$\left(\frac{\text{Total Restoration} + \text{Total Enhancement Fee}}{\text{Restoration} + \text{EI Feet} + \left(\frac{\text{EII Feet}}{2}\right)}\right)$	$\left(\frac{t}{t}\right)$	Risk Adjusted Score (Sum of Function ^A X Factor ^C)	
			с			D
Risk Adjusted	Score ^D + Planni	ng ^B = Tota	al Function and Planning			E

Section 3. General (place an X in the appropriate box)

	1pt	3 pts	6 pts	10 pts
Physical constraints or barriers	>5%	2-5%	<2%	None
Project Density	>10	>8 - 10	>4 - 8	=4</td
Total General	0	0	0	0

Section 4. Final Score and Proposal Rating

Total Function and	E
Total General	F
Final Score (E + F)	
Proposal Rating (Final	
Score x 0.01)	