

ANNUAL MONITORING REPORT YEAR 1 (2008) ANNUAL MONITORING

BROWN MARSH SWAMP STREAM AND WETLAND RESTORATION SITE

Robeson County, North Carolina

Hydrologic Unit 03040204037010 of the Lumber River Basin

Contract No. 16-D06038



Prepared for:



NCDENR-Ecosystem Enhancement Program

2728 Capital Boulevard, Suite 1H 103

Raleigh, North Carolina 27604

November 2008

Prepared by:



Ko & Associates, P.C.
1011 Schaub Drive, Suite 202
Raleigh, North Carolina 27606
919.851.6066
919.851.6846 (fax)

For:



Restoration Systems
1101 Haynes Street, Suite 211
Raleigh, North Carolina 27604

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Brown Marsh Swamp Restoration Site (Site) is located one mile east of the North Carolina and South Carolina state line, and is approximately 15 miles southwest of the Town of Lumberton, in Robeson County. The Site is situated due east of the intersection of Cotton Valley Road and McCormick Road, approximately one mile south of Interstate 95. The Site is located within United States Geological Survey (USGS) Hydrologic Unit and Targeted Local Watershed 03040204037010 (North Carolina Division of Water Quality [NCDWQ] Subbasin 03-07-55) of the Lumber River Basin and will service the USGS 8-digit Cataloging Unit 03040204. The Site was identified to assist the North Carolina Ecosystem Enhancement Program (EEP) in meeting its stream and wetland restoration goals.

Primary activities at the Site included 1) stream restoration, 2) wetland restoration, 3) soil scarification, and 4) plant community restoration. Project restoration efforts provided 5004 Stream Mitigation Units and 5.0 Nonriverine Wetland Mitigation Units.

Seventeen vegetation plots (10-10 meters by 10 meters and 7-20 meters by 5 meters in size) were established and permanently monumented. These plots were surveyed in early September 2008 for the Year 1 (2008) monitoring season. Based on the number of stems counted, average densities were measured at 476 stems per acre of Character Tree Species surviving in Year 1 (2008). The dominant species identified at the Site were planted stems of silky dogwood (*Cornus amomum*), elm (*Ulmus* sp.), and swamp chestnut oak (*Quercus michauxii*), and natural recruits of red maple (*Acer rubrum*) and sweetgum (*Liquidambar styraciflua*).

Although vegetation sampling across the Site was above the required average density with 476 stems per acre of Character Tree Species surviving, five of the seventeen individual plots had low densities (plots 12 and 14-17). To rectify this issue, 5 acres of within the wetland area of the Site will be replanted at a density of approximately 680 stems per acre in late 2008 or early 2009. These areas should be watched over the monitoring period; the establishment of natural recruits is expected over the next few years as well. No other vegetation problem areas were noted during the Year 1 (2008) monitoring season.

Twenty cross-sections and longitudinal profiles within five 600-foot reaches were measured for the Year 1 (2008) monitoring. As a whole, monitoring measurements indicate minimal changes in both the longitudinal profile and cross-sections as compared to as-built data. The channel geometry compares favorably with the emulated, stable E/C type stream reach as set forth in the detailed mitigation plan and as constructed. Current monitoring has demonstrated dimension, pattern, and profile were stable over the course of the monitoring period. No stream problem areas were noted during Year 1 (2008) monitoring.

Two onsite groundwater gauges and one reference groundwater gauge were maintained for the Year 1 (2008) monitoring season. All monitored gauges within restoration areas were inundated/saturated within 12 inches of the surface for greater than 12.5 percent of the growing season. No wetland problem areas were noted during Year 1 (2008) monitoring.

In summary, the restoration site achieved success criteria for vegetation, stream, and hydrology attributes in the First Monitoring Year (2008).

Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	i
1.0 PROJECT BACKGROUND	1
1.1 Location and Setting	1
1.2 Project Objectives	1
1.3 Project Structure, Restoration Type, and Approach.....	1
1.4 Project History and Background	3
1.5 Monitoring Plan View.....	5
2.0 PROJECT CONDITION AND MONITORING RESULTS	5
2.1 Vegetation Assessment	5
2.1.1 Vegetation Success Criteria	5
2.1.2 Vegetative Problem Areas.....	6
2.2 Stream Assessment	6
2.2.1 Stream Success Criteria.....	6
2.2.2 Bankfull Events.....	7
2.2.3 Stream Problem Areas.....	7
2.2.4 Categorical Stream Feature Visual Stability Assessment	7
2.2.5 Quantitative Stream Measurements.....	10
2.3 Wetland Assessment	10
2.3.1 Wetland Success Criteria	10
2.3.2 Wetland Problem Areas	10
2.3.3 Wetland Criteria Attainment	10
3.0 CONCLUSIONS.....	18
4.0 REFERENCES	20

List of Figures

Figure 1. Vicinity Map.....	2
-----------------------------	---

List of Tables

Table 1. Site Restoration Structures and Objectives.....	3
Table 2. Project Activity and Reporting History	4
Table 3. Project Contacts Table	4
Table 4. Project Background Table.....	5
Table 5. Planted and Reference Forest Ecosystem	6
Table 6. Verification of Bankfull Events	7
Table 7A-E. Categorical Stream Feature Visual Stability Assessment	8-9
Table 8A-B. Baseline Morphology and Hydraulic Summary.....	11-12
Table 9A-E. Morphology and Hydraulic Monitoring Summary	13-17
Table 10. Wetland Criteria Attainment for Year 1 (2008).....	18
Table 11. Summary of Groundwater Gauge Results	18
Table 12. Summary of Planted Vegetation Plot Results	19

Appendices

APPENDIX A. VEGETATION DATA

1. Vegetation Survey Data Tables
2. Vegetation Monitoring Plot Photos

APPENDIX B. GEOMORPHOLOGIC DATA

1. Tables B1-B5. Visual Morphological Stability Assessment
2. Cross-section Plots and Tables
3. Longitudinal Profile Plots

APPENDIX C. HYDROLOGY DATA

2008 Groundwater Gauge Data

APPENDIX D. MONITORING PLAN VIEWS

1.0 PROJECT BACKGROUND

1.1 Location and Setting

The Site is located one mile east of the North Carolina and South Carolina state line, and approximately 3.2 miles southeast of the town of Rowland (Figure 1). The center of the Site has a latitude and longitude of 034° 29' 31.85" N and 079° 16' 26.87" W. The Site is situated due east of the intersection of Cotton Valley Road (SR 2492) and McCormick Road (SR 2491), approximately one mile south of Interstate 95. The Site is located within United States Geological Survey (USGS) Hydrologic Unit and Targeted Local Watershed 03040204037010 (North Carolina Division of Water Quality [NCDWQ] Subbasin 03-07-55) of the Lumber River Basin and will service the USGS 8-digit Cataloging Unit 03040204 (USGS 1974, NCWRP 2003). The Site was identified to assist the North Carolina Ecosystem Enhancement Program (EEP) in meeting its stream and wetland restoration goals.

Directions to the Site from Raleigh, North Carolina, are as follows:

- Take Interstate 40 East for approximately 18 miles to Interstate Highway 95 (I-95) South
- Take I-95 South for approximately 80 miles to Exit 2, North Carolina Highway 130 (NC-130)
- Take a left/travel south on NC-130 for approximately 0.1 mile to Cotton Valley Road (SR 2492) and turn right
- Follow Cotton Valley Road for approximately 2 miles.
- The project is south of Cotton Valley Road and east of McCormick Road (SR 2491)

1.2 Project Objectives


The primary components of the restoration project included 1) construction of a stable, riffle-pool stream channel; 2) enhancement of water quality functions within, upstream, and downstream of the Site; 3) creation of a natural vegetated buffer along restored stream channels; 4) restoration of jurisdictional nonriverine wetlands in the Site; 5) improvement of aquatic habitat and species diversity by enhancing stream bed variability; and 6) restoration of wildlife functions associated with a riparian corridor/stable stream.

1.3 Project Structure, Restoration Type, and Approach



A 20.25-acre conservation easement has been placed on the Site to incorporate all restoration activities. The Site contains 5.0 acres of hydric soils, two first-order unnamed tributaries (UTs) to Contrary Swamp (Northern UT and Southern UT), associated floodplain, and upland slopes. The purpose of this project was to restore stable pattern, dimension, and profile to the UTs; restore hydrology to drained nonriverine wetlands; and revegetate streams, floodplains, wetlands, and upland slopes within the Site. The contributing watershed is characterized primarily by agricultural row crop production and pine plantation/forest land. Preproject Site conditions consisted of agricultural row crop production. Land use modifications including the removal of riparian vegetation, straightening and dredging of stream channels, and ditching of floodplain wetlands resulted in degraded water quality and unstable channel characteristics (stream entrenchment, erosion, and bank collapse).

The primary goals of this stream and wetland restoration project focused on improving water quality, decreasing floodwater levels, and restoring aquatic and riparian habitat. These goals were accomplished by:

Robeson County North Carolina



PROJECT AREA



Vicinity Map

Brown Marsh Swamp
Robeson County, North Carolina



KO & ASSOCIATES, P.C.

Consulting Engineers

5121 KINGDOM WAY, SUITE 100 RALEIGH, N.C. 27607
(919) 851-6066

Date: 11/07/08

Figure: 1

- Reducing nonpoint sources of pollution associated with agricultural land uses by providing a forested buffer adjacent to streams to treat surface runoff.
- Reestablishing stream stability and the capacity to transport watershed flows and sediment loads by restoring stable dimension, pattern, and profile.
- Promoting floodwater attenuation by;
 - excavating a floodplain at a new bankfull elevation;
 - restoring a secondary, entrenched tributary thereby reducing floodwater velocities within smaller catchment basins;
 - increasing storage capacity for floodwaters within the Site limits; and
 - revegetating floodplains to increase frictional resistance on floodwaters.
- Improving aquatic habitat by enhancing stream bed variability, restoring a riffle-pool complex, and by incorporating grade control/habitat structures.
- Providing wildlife habitat including a forested riparian corridor within an area highly dissected by agricultural land uses.

Primary activities at the Site included 1) stream restoration, 2) wetland restoration, 3) soil scarification, and 4) plant community restoration. Table 1 describes the Site restoration structures and objectives, which have provided 5004 Stream Mitigation Units (SMUs) and 5.0 Nonriverine Wetland Mitigation Units (WMUs).

- Restored 5004 linear feet of two unnamed tributaries to Contrary Swamp (Northern UT and Southern UT) by constructing moderately sinuous, E-type channels on new location.
- Restored 5.0 acres of nonriverine wetland within the interstream flat filling ditches, removing elevated spoil, thereby reestablishing historic water table elevations.
- Reforested approximately 20.05 acres of floodplain, stream bank, upland slopes, and nonriverine wetlands with native forest species.

Table 1. Site Restoration Structures and Objectives

Restoration Segment/ Reach ID	Station Range	Restoration Type/Approach*	Existing Linear Footage/ Acreage	Designed Linear Footage/Acreage	SMU/WMUs
Northern UT	10+00 – 54+65	Restoration/PII	2700	4,465	4465
Southern UT	10+00 – 15+39	Restoration/PII	442	539	539
Nonriverine Wetlands	--	Restoration	5.0	5.0	5.0
Mitigation Unit Summations					
Stream	Nonriverine Wetland				
5004 SMUs	5.0 WMUs				

*PII=Priority 2

1.4 Project History and Background

Completed project activities, reporting history, completion dates, project contacts, and background information are summarized in Tables 2-4.

Table 2. Project Activity and Reporting History

Activity or Report	Data Collection Completion	Actual Completion or Delivery
Restoration Plan	November 2006	December 2006
Final Design (~90%)	NA	July 2007
Construction Completion	NA	November 2007
Site Planting	NA	January 2008
Mitigation Plan/As-builts	February 2008	April 2008
Year 1 Monitoring (2008)	September 2008	November 2008

Table 3. Project Contacts Table

Full Delivery Provider	Restoration Systems 1101 Haynes Street, Suite 211 Raleigh, North Carolina 27604 George Howard and John Preyer (919) 755-9490
Designer	Ko & Associates, P.C. 1011 Schaub Drive, Suite 202 Raleigh, North Carolina 27606 Kevin Williams (919) 851-6066
Construction Contractor	Land Mechanics Designs, Inc. Lloyd Glover 126 Circle G Lane Willow Springs, North Carolina 27592 (919) 639-6132
Planting Contractor	Carolina Silvics 908 Indian Trail Road Edenton, North Carolina 27932 Dwight McKinney (919) 523-4375
Monitoring Performer	Axiom Environmental, Inc. 2126 Rowland Pond Dr. Willow Spring, NC 27592 Grant Lewis (919) 215-1693

Table 4. Project Background Table

Project County	Robeson County, North Carolina
Drainage Area	Northern UT - 1.13 square miles Southern UT - 0.18 square mile
Drainage impervious cover estimate (%)	< 1
Stream Order	Second
Physiographic Region	Coastal Plain
Ecoregion	Southeastern Plains, Atlantic Southern Loam Plains
Rosgen Classification of As-built	E-/C-type
Dominant Soil Types	Trebloc, Nahunta, Exum, Faceville
Reference Site ID	Mill Creek, UT to Wildcat Branch, UT to Hog Swamp
USGS HUC	03040204
NCDWQ Subbasin	03-07-55
NCDWQ Classification	C Sw (Stream Index # 14-35-2)
Any portion of any project segment 303d listed?	No
Any portion of any project segment upstream of a 303d listed segment?	No
Reasons for 303d listing or stressor	Not Applicable
% of project easement fenced	0%

1.5 Monitoring Plan View

Monitoring activities for the Site, including relevant structures and utilities, project features, specific project structures, and monitoring features are detailed in the monitoring plan view in Appendix D. Site features including vegetation, stream dimension (cross-sections), stream profile and pattern, wetland hydrology, and photographic documentation were monitored in Year 1 (2008).

2.0 PROJECT CONDITION AND MONITORING RESULTS

2.1 Vegetation Assessment

Following Site construction, seventeen plots (10-10 meters by 10 meters and 7-20 meters by 5 meters in size) were established and monumented with metal fence posts at all plot corners and PVC at each plot origin. Sampling was conducted as outlined in the *CVS-EEP Protocol for Recording Vegetation, Version 4.0* (Lee et al. 2006) (<http://cvs.bio.unc.edu/methods.htm>); results are included in Appendix A. The taxonomic standard for vegetation used for this document was *Flora of the Carolinas, Virginia, Georgia, and Surrounding Areas* (Weakley 2007). The locations of vegetation monitoring plots were placed to accurately represent the entire Site and are depicted on the monitoring plan view in Appendix D.

2.1.1 Vegetation Success Criteria

Success criteria have been established to verify that the vegetation component supports community elements necessary for forest development. Success criteria are dependent upon the density and growth of characteristic forest species. Additional success criteria are dependent upon density and growth of "Character Tree Species." Character Tree Species include planted species, species identified through visual inventory of an approved reference (relatively undisturbed) forest community used to orient the Site design, and appropriate community descriptions from *Classification of the Natural Communities of North Carolina* (Schafale and Weakley 1990) including Coastal Plain Small Stream Swamp and

Nonriverine Wet Hardwood Forest. All canopy tree species planted and identified in the reference forest will be utilized to define “Character Tree Species” as termed in the success criteria. Table 5 below outlines planted and reference forest species.

Table 5. Planted and Reference Forest Ecosystem

Planted and Reference Forest Ecosystem Character Tree Species
Red maple (<i>Acer rubrum</i>)
Ironwood (<i>Carpinus caroliniana</i>)
Green ash (<i>Fraxinus pennsylvanica</i>)
American holly (<i>Ilex opaca</i>)
Sweetgum (<i>Liquidambar styraciflua</i>)
Tulip poplar (<i>Liriodendron tulipifera</i>)
Water tupelo (<i>Nyssa biflora</i>)
Laurel oak (<i>Quercus laurifolia</i>)
Swamp chestnut oak (<i>Quercus michauxii</i>)
Water oak (<i>Quercus nigra</i>)
American elm (<i>Ulmus americana</i>)

Success criteria dictate that an average density of 320 stems per acre of Character Tree Species must be surviving in the first three monitoring years. Subsequently, 290 Character Tree Species per acre must be surviving in year 4 and 260 Character Tree Species per acre in year 5.

2.1.2 Vegetative Problem Areas

Vegetation sampling across the Site was above the required average density with 476 stems per acre of Character Tree Species surviving; however, five of the seventeen plots had low densities (plots 12 and 14-17). To rectify this issue, 5 acres of within the wetland area of the Site will be replanted at a density of approximately 680 stems per acre in late 2008 or early 2009. These areas should be watched over the monitoring period; the establishment of natural recruits is expected over the next few years as well. No other vegetation problem areas were noted during the Year 1 (2008) monitoring season.

2.2 Stream Assessment

Twenty permanent cross-sections within five 600-foot reaches were established after construction was completed. Measurements of each cross-section include points at all breaks in slope including top of bank, bankfull, and thalweg. Riffle cross-sections are classified using the Rosgen stream classification system. Longitudinal profile measurements of five 600-foot reaches include thalweg, water surface, and bankfull; with each measurement taken at the head of facets (i.e. riffle, run, pool, and glide) in addition to the maximum pool depth.

2.2.1 Stream Success Criteria

Success criteria for stream restoration will include 1) successful classification of the reach as a functioning stream system (Rosgen 1996) and 2) channel variables indicative of a stable stream system.

The channel configuration will be measured on an annual basis in order to track changes in channel geometry and profile. These data will be utilized to determine the success in restoring stream channel

stability. Specifically, the width-to-depth ratio should characterize an E-type or borderline E-/C-type channel, bank-height ratios indicative of a stable or moderately unstable channel, and minimal changes in cross-sectional area, channel width, and/or bank erosion along the monitoring reach. In addition, channel abandonment and/or shoot cutoffs must not occur and sinuosity values must remain relatively constant. The field indicator of bankfull will be described in each monitoring year and indicated on a representative channel cross-section figure. If the stream channel is down-cutting or the channel width is enlarging due to bank erosion, additional bank or slope stabilization methods will be employed.

Stream substrate is not expected to coarsen over time; therefore, pebble counts are not proposed as part of the stream success criteria.

Visual assessment of in-stream structures will be conducted to determine if failure has occurred. Failure of a structure may be indicated by collapse of the structure, undermining of the structure, abandonment of the channel around the structure, and/or stream flow beneath the structure.

2.2.2 Bankfull Events

Documented bankfull events are included in the table below. Two bankfull events were documented during the Year 1 (2008) monitoring period.

Table 6. Verification of Bankfull Events

Date of Data Collection	Date of Occurrence	Method	Photo (if available)
April 5, 2008	April 5, 2008	A total of 3.73 inches of rain fell on April 5, 2008 as recorded by a nearby rain station in Lumberton*	--
September 6, 2008	September 6, 2008	A total of 4.6 inches of rain fell on September 5-6, 2008 as recorded by a nearby rain station in Lumberton*	See below

*Weather Underground 2008



2.2.3 Stream Problem Areas

No stream problem areas were documented within the Site during the Year 1 (2008) monitoring year.

2.2.4 Categorical Stream Feature Visual Stability Assessment

Each stream reach was visually inspected during the Year 1 (2008) monitoring period using eight feature categories and various metrics within each category. Assessment features included riffles, pools, thalweg, meanders, channel bed, structures, and root wads/boulders. Tables for semi-quantitative assessments of

each reach are included in Appendix B (Tables B1-B5). The mean percentage of performance for features within each reach are summarized in the tables below.

Table 7A. Categorical Stream Feature Visual Stability Assessment

Brown Marsh (Reach 1)

Feature	Year 1 (2008)	Year 2 (2009)	Year 3 (2010)	Year 4 (2011)	Year 5 (2012)
A. Riffles	100%				
B. Pools	100%				
C. Thalweg	100%				
D. Meanders	100%				
E. Bed General	100%				
F. Banks	100%				
G. Vanes / J. Hooks, Etc.	NA				
H. Wads and Boulders	NA				

Table 7B. Categorical Stream Feature Visual Stability Assessment

Brown Marsh (Reach 2)

Feature	Year 1 (2008)	Year 2 (2009)	Year 3 (2010)	Year 4 (2011)	Year 5 (2012)
A. Riffles	100%				
B. Pools	100%				
C. Thalweg	100%				
D. Meanders	100%				
E. Bed General	100%				
F. Banks	100%				
G. Vanes / J. Hooks, Etc.	NA				
H. Wads and Boulders	NA				

Table 7C. Categorical Stream Feature Visual Stability Assessment

Brown Marsh (Reach 3)

Feature	Year 1 (2008)	Year 2 (2009)	Year 3 (2010)	Year 4 (2011)	Year 5 (2012)
A. Riffles	100%				
B. Pools	100%				
C. Thalweg	100%				
D. Meanders	100%				
E. Bed General	100%				
F. Banks	100%				
G. Vanes / J. Hooks, Etc.	NA				
H. Wads and Boulders	100%				

Table 7D. Categorical Stream Feature Visual Stability Assessment

Brown Marsh (Reach 4)

Feature	Year 1 (2008)	Year 2 (2009)	Year 3 (2010)	Year 4 (2011)	Year 5 (2012)
A. Riffles	100%				
B. Pools	100%				
C. Thalweg	100%				
D. Meanders	100%				
E. Bed General	100%				
F. Banks	100%				
G. Vanes / J. Hooks, Etc.	NA				
H. Wads and Boulders	100%				

Table 7E. Categorical Stream Feature Visual Stability Assessment

Brown Marsh (Reach 5)

Feature	Year 1 (2008)	Year 2 (2009)	Year 3 (2010)	Year 4 (2011)	Year 5 (2012)
A. Riffles	100%				
B. Pools	100%				
C. Thalweg	100%				
D. Meanders	100%				
E. Bed General	100%				
F. Banks	100%				
G. Vanes / J. Hooks, Etc.	NA				
H. Wads and Boulders	100%				

2.2.5 Quantitative Stream Measurements

During the Year 1 (2008) monitoring period 20 cross-sections and longitudinal profiles within five 600-foot reaches were measured. Permanent cross-sections and longitudinal profiles are included in Appendix B; each is graphically depicted for as-built through Year 1 (2008) for analysis. As a whole, monitoring measurements indicate minimal changes in both the longitudinal profile and cross-sections as compared to as-built data. The channel geometry compares favorably with the emulated, stable E/C type stream reach as set forth in the detailed mitigation plan and as constructed. Current monitoring has demonstrated dimension, pattern, and profile were stable over the course of the monitoring period. Tables for quantitative assessments are included below; these tables include data from previous years.

2.3 Wetland Assessment

Two groundwater monitoring gauges and one reference groundwater gauge were maintained and monitored throughout the Year 1 (2008) growing season. Graphs of groundwater hydrology and precipitation from a nearby rain station (Weather Underground 2008) are included in Appendix C.

2.3.1 Wetland Success Criteria

Target hydrological characteristics include saturation or inundation for at least 12.5 percent within Trebloc soils (nonriverine wetlands) of the growing season, during average climatic conditions. This value is based on DRAINMOD simulations for 62 years of rainfall data in an old field stage. These areas are expected to support hydrophytic vegetation. If wetland parameters are marginal as indicated a jurisdictional determination will be performed for vegetation and soils in these areas (Environmental Laboratory 1987).

2.3.2 Wetland Problem Areas

No wetland problem areas were identified within the Site during Year 1 (2008) monitoring.

2.3.3 Wetland Criteria Attainment

All monitored gauges within restoration areas were inundated/saturated within 12 inches of the surface for greater than 12.5 percent of the growing season (Table 10). Hydrographs containing groundwater and precipitation data for each gauge can be found in Appendix C. Data has been collected through September 22, 2008 and will continue to be collected for the remainder of the growing season (until November 14, 2008).

**Table 8B. Baseline Morphology and Hydraulic Summary
Brown Marsh Swamp (Reaches 2, 3, 4, and 5)**

Parameter	USGS Gage Data			Pre-Existing Condition			Project Reference Stream (Mill Cr)			Project Reference Stream (Wild Cat Br)			Design			As-built									
	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med							
BF Width (ft)						11.3									8.2			11.5			10	15	12		
Floodprone Width (ft)						300									130			35			35	50	39	14	
BF Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)						21									8.5			17.6			12	19	19	14	
BF Mean Depth (ft)						1.9									1			1.5			1.2	1.3	1.2	1.2	
BF Max Depth (ft)						2.9									1.6			1.5			2	2.3	2.2	2.2	
Width/Depth Ratio						6									8			7.5			8.3	12.5	10.0	10.0	
Entrenchment Ratio						2									16			3			2.9	4.2	3.3	3.3	
Bank Height Ratio						===									===			1						1	
Wetted Perimeter (ft)						===									===			===						===	===
Hydraulic radius (ft)						===									===			===						===	===
Pattern																									
Channel Beltwidth (ft)						600	15	27																	
Radius of Curvature (ft)	150	400				10	30																		
Meander Wavelength (ft)						1500	38	73																	
Meander Width ratio						56	1.3	2.4																	
Profile																									
Riffle length (ft)						===									===			===							
Riffle slope (ft/ft)						===									===			===							
Pool length (ft)						===									===			===							
Pool spacing (ft)	60	140				11.4	61								14	17									
Substrate																									
d50 (mm)						===									===			===							
d84 (mm)						===									===			===							
Additional Reach Parameters																									
Valley Length (ft)						===									===			===							
Channel Length (ft)						===									===			===							
Sinuosity						1									1.2			1.4							
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)						0.01%									0.03%			0.01%							
BF slope (ft/ft)						===									===			===							
Rosgen Classification						G5									E5			E5							

**Table 9A. Morphology and Hydraulic Monitoring Summary
Brown Marsh Swamp
Reach 1 (Sta. 10+10 to 15+67)**

Parameter	Cross Section 17 Station 13+60 Riffle					Cross Section 18 Station 12+45 Pool					Cross Section 19 Station 10+72 Riffle					Cross Section 20 Station 10+52 Pool									
	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5
Dimension	8.8					7.0					6.7					6.2					6.2				
Floodprone Width (ft) (approx)	35.0																								
BF Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	4.7					7.7					4.3					6.2					6.2				
BF Mean Depth (ft)	0.5					1.1					0.6					1.0					1.0				
BF Max Depth (ft)	1.3					2.1					1.1					1.9					1.9				
Width/Depth Ratio	16.2					NA					10.4					NA					NA				
Entrenchment Ratio	3.4					NA					4.5					NA					NA				
Bank Height Ratio	1.0					NA					1.0					NA					NA				
Wetted Perimeter(ft)	9.3					8.3					7.1					7.4					7.4				
Hydraulic radius (ft)	0.5					0.9					0.6					0.8					0.8				
Substrate	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5
d50 (mm)																									
d84 (mm)																									
Parameter	MY-01 (2008)	MY-02 (2009)	MY-03 (2010)	MY-04 (2011)	MY-05 (2012)	MY-01 (2008)	MY-02 (2009)	MY-03 (2010)	MY-04 (2011)	MY-05 (2012)	MY-01 (2008)	MY-02 (2009)	MY-03 (2010)	MY-04 (2011)	MY-05 (2012)	MY-01 (2008)	MY-02 (2009)	MY-03 (2010)	MY-04 (2011)	MY-05 (2012)	MY-01 (2008)	MY-02 (2009)	MY-03 (2010)	MY-04 (2011)	MY-05 (2012)
Pattern	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	16	36	27																						
Radius of Curvature (ft)	0	0	18																						
Meander Wavelength (ft)	61	74	89																						
Meander Width ratio	1.7	2.1	2.5																						
Profile	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max
Rifle length (ft)	7	36.7	19.7																						
Rifle slope (ft/ft)	0.1%	2.4%	0.4%																						
Pool length (ft)	3	17.3	4.1																						
Pool spacing (ft)	26	55	40																						
Additional Reach Parameters	MY-01 (2008)	MY-02 (2009)	MY-03 (2010)	MY-04 (2011)	MY-05 (2012)	MY-01 (2008)	MY-02 (2009)	MY-03 (2010)	MY-04 (2011)	MY-05 (2012)	MY-01 (2008)	MY-02 (2009)	MY-03 (2010)	MY-04 (2011)	MY-05 (2012)	MY-01 (2008)	MY-02 (2009)	MY-03 (2010)	MY-04 (2011)	MY-05 (2012)	MY-01 (2008)	MY-02 (2009)	MY-03 (2010)	MY-04 (2011)	MY-05 (2012)
Valley Length (ft)	499																								
Channel Length (ft)	599																								
Sinuosity	1.2																								
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)	0.18%																								
BF slope (ft/ft)	---																								
Rosgen Classification	C/E type																								
Number of Bankfull Events	1																								

Table 9B. Morphology and Hydraulic Monitoring Summary
Brown Marsh Swamp
Reach 2 (Sta. 46+10 to 52+78)

Parameter	Cross Section 13 Station 47+45 Pool					Cross Section 14 Station 47+48 Riffle					Cross Section 15 Station 50+75 Pool					Cross Section 16 Station 52+02 Riffle									
	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5
Dimension	12.9					10.9					10.9					10.8									
BF Width (ft)																									
BF Mean Depth (ft)	21.3					14.1					20.0					14.4									
BF Max Depth (ft)	1.7					1.3					1.8					1.3									
Width/Depth Ratio	NA					8.4					NA					8.1									
Entrenchment Ratio	NA					4.1					NA					4.2									
Bank Height Ratio	NA					1.0					NA					1.0									
Wetted Perimeter (ft)	14.8					12.0					13.1					12.0									
Hydraulic radius (ft)	1.4					1.2					1.5					1.2									
Substrate																									
d50 (mm)																									
d84 (mm)																									
Parameter																									
Pattern																									
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	23	87	62																						
Radius of Curvature (ft)	0	0	35																						
Meander Wavelength (ft)	95	180	142																						
Meander Width ratio	2.1	4.0	3.2																						
Riffle length (ft)	53.6	85.8	68.3																						
Riffle slope (ft/ft)	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%																						
Pool length (ft)	2.6	5.4	3.4																						
Pool spacing (ft)	62	105	81																						
Additional Reach Parameters																									
Valley Length (ft)																									
Channel Length (ft)																									
Sinuosity																									
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)																									
BF slope (ft/ft)																									
Rosgen Classification																									
Number of Bankfull Events																									

**Table 9C. Morphology and Hydraulic Monitoring Summary
Brown Marsh Swamp
Reach 3 (Sta. 37+30 to 43+69)**

Parameter	Cross Section 9 Station 41+25 Riffle					Cross Section 10 Station 42+30 Pool					Cross Section 11 Station 43+75 Riffle					Cross Section 12 Station 45+05 Pool									
	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5
Dimension	12.3					14.6					12.6					12.0									
BF Width (ft)																									
Floodprone Width (ft) (approx)					45.0					45.0					45.0					45.0					
BF Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	14.8					20.3					16.4					18.6									
BF Mean Depth (ft)	1.2					1.4					1.3					1.6									
BF Max Depth (ft)	2.3					3.6					2.5					2.9									
Width/Depth Ratio	10.2					NA					9.7					NA									
Entrenchment Ratio	3.7					NA					3.6					NA									
Bank Height Ratio	1.0					NA					1.0					NA									
Wetted Perimeter (ft)	13.2					16.6					13.7					13.6									
Hydraulic radius (ft)	1.1					1.2					1.2					1.4									
Substrate	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5
d50 (mm)																									
d84 (mm)																									
Parameter																									
Pattern	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	23	87	62																						
Radius of Curvature (ft)	0	0	35																						
Meander Wavelength (ft)	95	180	142																						
Meander Width ratio	2.1	4.0	3.2																						
Profile	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max
Riffle length (ft)	55.3	98.7	70.8																						
Riffle slope (ft/ft)	0.03%	0.08%	0.03%																						
Pool length (ft)	0.7	4.6	3.4																						
Pool spacing (ft)	62	105	81																						
Additional Reach Parameters	MY-01 (2008)	MY-02 (2009)	MY-03 (2010)	MY-04 (2011)	MY-05 (2012)	MY-01 (2008)	MY-02 (2009)	MY-03 (2010)	MY-04 (2011)	MY-05 (2012)	MY-01 (2008)	MY-02 (2009)	MY-03 (2010)	MY-04 (2011)	MY-05 (2012)	MY-01 (2008)	MY-02 (2009)	MY-03 (2010)	MY-04 (2011)	MY-05 (2012)	MY-01 (2008)	MY-02 (2009)	MY-03 (2010)	MY-04 (2011)	MY-05 (2012)
Valley Length (ft)																									
Channel Length (ft)		456																							
Sinuosity		639																							
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)		1.4																							
BF slope (ft/ft)		0.14%																							
Rosgen Classification		---																							
E type		E																							
Number of Bankfull Events		1																							

Table 9E. Morphology and Hydraulic Monitoring Summary
Brown Marsh Swamp
Reach 5 (Sta. 14+25 to 20+27)

Parameter	Cross Section 1 Station 11+60 Rifle					Cross Section 2 Station 13+70 Pool					Cross Section 3 Station 14+90 Rifle					Cross Section 4 Station 17+40 Pool									
	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5
Dimension	17.2					16.2					12.0					13.6					13.6				
BF Width (ft)																									
Floodprone Width (ft) (approx)	45.0					45.0					45.0					45.0									
BF Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	21.0					22.2					13.6					19.1									
BF Mean Depth (ft)	1.2					1.4					1.1					1.4									
BF Max Depth (ft)	2.4					2.8					2.2					3.0									
Width/Depth Ratio	14.2					NA					10.6					NA									
Entrenchment Ratio	2.6					NA					3.7					NA									
Bank Height Ratio	1.0					NA					1.0					NA									
Wetted Perimeter (ft)	18.1					17.4					12.9					15.1									
Hydraulic radius (ft)	1.2					1.3					1.1					1.3									
Substrate	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5
d50 (mm)																									
d84 (mm)																									
Parameter	MY-01 (2008)					MY-02 (2009)					MY-03 (2010)					MY-04 (2011)					MY-05 (2012)				
Pattern	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	23	87	62																						
Radius of Curvature (ft)	0	0	35																						
Meander Wavelength (ft)	95	180	142																						
Meander Width ratio	2.1	4.0	3.2																						
Profile	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max
Riffle length (ft)	47	81.5	70.5																						
Riffle slope (ft/ft)	0.06%	0.09%	0.07%																						
Pool length (ft)	1.4	15.8	4.5																						
Pool spacing (ft)	62	105	81																						
Additional Reach Parameters	MY-01 (2008)					MY-02 (2009)					MY-03 (2010)					MY-04 (2011)					MY-05 (2012)				
Valley Length (ft)	429																								
Channel Length (ft)	601																								
Sinuosity	1.4																								
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)	0.07%																								
BF slope (ft/ft)	-- --																								
Rosgen Classification	C/E type																								
Number of Bankfull Events	1																								

Table 10. Wetland Criteria Attainment for Year 1 (2008)

Gauge ID	Hydrology Threshold Met?	Hydrophytic Vegetation Criteria Met?	Site Mean	Vegetation Plot ID	Vegetation Survival Threshold Met?	Site Mean
1	Yes	Yes	100 %	1	Yes	71 %
2	Yes	Yes		2	Yes	
				3	Yes	
				4	Yes	
				5	Yes	
				6	Yes	
				7	Yes	
				8	Yes	
				9	Yes	
				10	Yes	
				11	Yes	
				12	No	
				13	Yes	
				14	No	
				15	No	
				16	No	
				17	No	

3.0 CONCLUSIONS

The Site achieved the defined (or targeted) success criteria, with saturation (free water) within one foot of the soil surface for a minimum of 12.5 percent (30 consecutive days) of the growing season, for all Site groundwater gauges in the First Monitoring Year (Year 2008). A summary of groundwater gauge data for the Year 1 (2008) is included in Table 11. Also, most vegetation plots across the Site were above the required 320 stems per acre with an average of 476 tree stems per acre in the First Monitoring Year (Year 2008) (Table 12).

Table 11. Summary of Groundwater Gauge Results

Gauge	Success Criteria Achieved/Max Consecutive Days During Growing Season (Percentage)				
	Year 1 (2008)*	Year 2 (2009)	Year 3 (2010)	Year 4 (2011)	Year 5 (2012)
1	Yes/68 days (28 percent)				
2	Yes/35 days (23 percent)				
Ref 1	Yes/34 days (14 percent)				

*Data was collected through September 22, 2008; data will continue to be collected for the remainder of the Year 1 (2008) growing season (through November 14, 2008).

Table 12. Summary of Planted Vegetation Plot Results

Plot	Planted Stems/Acre Counting Towards Success Criteria				
	Year 1 (2008)	Year 2 (2009)	Year 3 (2010)	Year 4 (2011)	Year 5 (2012)
1	526				
2	486				
3	445				
4	243				
5	971				
6	445				
7	405				
8	809				
9	931				
10	1093				
11	405				
12	40				
13	567				
14	162				
15	40				
16	202				
17	81				
Average of All Plots (1-17)	476				

4.0 REFERENCES

- Environmental Laboratory. 1987. Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual. Technical Report Y-87-1. United States Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, Mississippi.
- Lee, Michael T., R.K. Peet, S.D. Roberts, and T.R. Wentworth. 2006. CVS-EEP Protocol for Recording Vegetation, Version 4.0. (online). Available: <http://cvs.bio.unc.edu/methods.htm>
- Rosgen, D. 1996. Applied River Morphology. Wildland Hydrology (Publisher). Pagosa Springs, Colorado.
- Weakley, Alan S. 2007. Flora of the Carolinas, Virginia, Georgia, and Surrounding Areas (online). Available: <http://www.herbarium.unc.edu/WeakleysFlora.pdf> [February 1, 2008]. University of North Carolina Herbarium, North Carolina Botanical Garden, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina.
- Weather Underground. 2008. Station in Lumberton, North Carolina. (online). Available: <http://www.wunderground.com/cgi-bin/findweather/hdfForecast?query=lumberton%2C+nc&searchType=WEATHER> [September 22, 2008]. Weather Underground.

APPENDIX A
VEGETATION DATA

1. Vegetation Survey Data Tables
2. Vegetation Monitoring Plot Photos

Report Prepared By Corri Faquin
Date Prepared 10/22/2008 9:56
database name RestorationSystems-2008-AI-v2.2.5.mdb
database location C:\Business\CVS database
computer name AXIOM-0A9116A70

DESCRIPTION OF WORKSHEETS IN THIS DOCUMENT-----

Metadata Description of database file, the report worksheets, and a summary of project(s) and project data.
Proj, planted Each project is listed with its PLANTED stems per acre, for each year. This excludes live stakes.
Proj, total stems Each project is listed with its TOTAL stems per acre, for each year. This includes live stakes, all planted stems, and all natural/volunteer stems.
Plots List of plots surveyed with location and summary data (live stems, dead stems, missing, etc.).
Vigor Frequency distribution of vigor classes for stems for all plots.
Vigor by Spp Frequency distribution of vigor classes listed by species.
Damage List of most frequent damage classes with number of occurrences and percent of total stems impacted by each.
Damage by Spp Damage values tallied by type for each species.
Damage by Plot Damage values tallied by type for each plot.
ALL Stems by Plot and spp A matrix of the count of total living stems of each species (planted and natural volunteers combined) for each plot; dead and missing stems are excluded.

PROJECT SUMMARY-----

Project Code BrownMarsh
project Name Brown Marsh Restoration Site
Description Stream and Wetland Restoration Site in Robeson County
River Basin
length(ft)
stream-to-edge width (ft)
area (sq m)
Required Plots (calculated)
Sampled Plots

Living planted stems, excluding live stakes, per acre: Negative (red) numbers indicate the project failed to reach requirements in a particular year.

Project Code	Project Name	River Basin	Year 0 (baseline)
BrownMarsh	Brown Marsh Restoration Site		462

Total stems, including planted stems of all kinds (including live stakes) and natural/volunteer stems:

Project Code	Project Name	River Basin	Year 0 (baseline)
BrownMarsh	Brown Marsh Restoration Site		476

Vigor

vigor	Count	Percent
2	39	19.5
3	110	55
4	51	25.5

Damage

Damage	Count	Percent Of Stems
(no damage)	155	77.5
Unknown	23	11.5
Insects	22	11

Damage by Species

Species	All Damage Categories	(no damage)	Insects	Unknown
Cephalanthus	1	1		
Cephalanthus occidentalis	2	2		
Cornus amomum	73	63		10
Fraxinus	10	10		
Fraxinus pennsylvanica	10	9	1	
Quercus laurifolia	3	3		
Quercus michauxii	24	15	5	4
Quercus pagoda	12	11	1	
Salix nigra	3	3		
Sambucus canadensis	1	1		
Ulmus	59	35	15	9
Ulmus americana	2	2		
TOT: 12	200	155	22	23

Vigor by Species

Species	4	3	2	1	0	Missing	Unknown
Cephalanthus occidentalis	2						
Cornus amomum	20	43	10				
Fraxinus pennsylvanica	3	4	3				
Quercus laurifolia		2	1				
Quercus michauxii	5	12	7				
Quercus pagoda		9	3				
Salix nigra	3						
Sambucus canadensis	1						
Fraxinus	8	2					
Cephalanthus		1					
Ulmus	9	37	13				
Ulmus americana			2				
TOT: 12	51	110	39				

Plot Information

Veg Plot #	CVS Plot Descriptor	Plot Level	Year	Latitude/ Northing	Longitude/ Easting	Datum	Planted Living Stems	Planted Living Stems EXCLUDING Live Stakes	Dead/ Missing Stems	Planted Living Stems per ACRE	Planted Living Stems EXCLUDING Live Stakes PER ACRE	# species
1	BrownMarsh-AXE-0021	2	0	N34.493516	W79.269323	NAD83/WGS84	13	13	0	526	526	3
2	BrownMarsh-AXE-0020	2	0	N34.493209	W79.269637	NAD83/WGS84	12	12	0	486	486	1
3	BrownMarsh-AXE-0019	2	0	N34.492886	W79.269802	NAD83/WGS84	11	11	0	445	445	1
4	BrownMarsh-AXE-0024	2	0	N34.492323	W79.270545	NAD83/WGS84	10	6	0	405	243	4
5	BrownMarsh-AXE-0018	2	0	N34.492522	W79.271120	NAD83/WGS84	24	24	0	971	971	4
6	BrownMarsh-AXE-0023	2	0	N34.492902	W79.271358	NAD83/WGS84	13	11	0	526	445	4
7	BrownMarsh-AXE-0017	2	0	N34.493629	W79.272617	NAD83/WGS84	10	10	0	405	405	3
8	BrownMarsh-AXE-0016	2	0	N34.493345	W79.274188	NAD83/WGS84	20	20	0	809	809	4
9	BrownMarsh-AXE-0022	2	0	N34.492971	W79.274687	NAD83/WGS84	23	23	0	931	931	3
10	BrownMarsh-AXE-0015	2	0	N34.492341	W79.274797	NAD83/WGS84	27	27	0	1093	1093	2
11	BrownMarsh-AXE-0014	2	0	n34.491726	w79.275158	NAD83/WGS84	10	10	0	405	405	2
12	BrownMarsh-AXE-0013	2	0	N34.491131	W79.275573	NAD83/WGS84	1	1	0	40	40	1
13	BrownMarsh-AXE-0012	2	0	N34.490815	W79.275280	NAD83/WGS84	14	14	0	567	567	2
14	BrownMarsh-AXE-0004	2	0	n34.494181	w79.276083	NAD83/WGS84	4	4	0	162	162	1
15	BrownMarsh-AXE-0007	2	0	N34.493646	W79.275998	NAD83/WGS84	1	1	0	40	40	1
16	BrownMarsh-AXE-0009	2	0	N34.492625	W79.275522	NAD83/WGS84	5	5	0	202	202	2
17	BrownMarsh-AXE-0006	2	0	N34.492863	W79.276145	NAD83/WGS84	2	2	0	81	81	1

Damage by Plot

Veg Plot #	CVS Plot Descriptor	All Damage Categories	(no damage)	Insects	Unknown
1	BrownMarsh-AXE-0021	13	11	2	
2	BrownMarsh-AXE-0020	12	8		4
3	BrownMarsh-AXE-0019	11	11		
4	BrownMarsh-AXE-0024	10	9	1	
5	BrownMarsh-AXE-0018	24	18	3	3
6	BrownMarsh-AXE-0023	13	13		
7	BrownMarsh-AXE-0017	10	7	3	
8	BrownMarsh-AXE-0016	20	11	9	
9	BrownMarsh-AXE-0022	23	16	3	4
10	BrownMarsh-AXE-0015	27	15		12
11	BrownMarsh-AXE-0014	10	10		
12	BrownMarsh-AXE-0013	1	1		
13	BrownMarsh-AXE-0012	14	14		
14	BrownMarsh-AXE-0004	4	3	1	
15	BrownMarsh-AXE-0007	1	1		
16	BrownMarsh-AXE-0009	5	5		
17	BrownMarsh-AXE-0006	2	2		
TOT:	17	200	155	22	23

Planted Stems by Plot and Species

Species	Total Planted Stems	# plots	avg# stems	Veg plot 1 (BrownMarsh-AXE-0021)	Veg plot 2 (BrownMarsh-AXE-0020)	Veg plot 3 (BrownMarsh-AXE-0019)	Veg plot 4 (BrownMarsh-AXE-0024)	Veg plot 5 (BrownMarsh-AXE-0018)	Veg plot 6 (BrownMarsh-AXE-0023)	Veg plot 7 (BrownMarsh-AXE-0017)	Veg plot 8 (BrownMarsh-AXE-0016)	Veg plot 9 (BrownMarsh-AXE-0022)	Veg plot 10 (BrownMarsh-AXE-0015)	Veg plot 11 (BrownMarsh-AXE-0014)	Veg plot 12 (BrownMarsh-AXE-0013)	Veg plot 13 (BrownMarsh-AXE-0012)	Veg plot 14 (BrownMarsh-AXE-0004)	Veg plot 15 (BrownMarsh-AXE-0007)	Veg plot 16 (BrownMarsh-AXE-0009)	Veg plot 17 (BrownMarsh-AXE-0006)
Cephalanthus	1	1	1				1													
Cephalanthus occidentalis	2	2	1						1					1						
Cornus amomum	73	7	10.43		12	11	3		9				18	9		11				
Fraxinus	10	2	5	8				2												
Fraxinus pennsylvanica	10	4	2.5	2													4	1	3	
Quercus laurifolia	3	3	1							1	1	1								
Quercus michauxii	24	5	4.8					2	1	7	2	12								
Quercus pagoda	12	5	2.4				5	2	2						1					2
Salix nigra	3	1	3													3				
Sambucus canadensis	1	1	1								1									
Ulmus	59	7	8.43	3			1	18		2	16	10	9							
Ulmus americana	2	1	2																2	
TOT: 12	200	12		13	12	11	10	24	13	10	20	23	27	10	1	14	4	1	5	2

All Planted and Natural Stems by Plot and Species

Species	Total Planted Stems	# plots	avg# stems	Veg plot 1 (BrownMarsh-AXE-0021)	Veg plot 2 (BrownMarsh-AXE-0020)	Veg plot 3 (BrownMarsh-AXE-0019)	Veg plot 4 (BrownMarsh-AXE-0024)	Veg plot 5 (BrownMarsh-AXE-0018)	Veg plot 6 (BrownMarsh-AXE-0023)	Veg plot 7 (BrownMarsh-AXE-0017)	Veg plot 8 (BrownMarsh-AXE-0016)	Veg plot 9 (BrownMarsh-AXE-0022)	Veg plot 10 (BrownMarsh-AXE-0015)	Veg plot 11 (BrownMarsh-AXE-0014)	Veg plot 12 (BrownMarsh-AXE-0013)	Veg plot 13 (BrownMarsh-AXE-0012)	Veg plot 14 (BrownMarsh-AXE-0004)	Veg plot 15 (BrownMarsh-AXE-0007)	Veg plot 16 (BrownMarsh-AXE-0009)	Veg plot 17 (BrownMarsh-AXE-0006)	
Cephalanthus occidentalis	2	2	1						1					1							
Cornus amomum	73	7	10.43		12	11	3		9				18	9		11					
Diospyros virginiana	21	1	21																		21
Fraxinus pennsylvanica	10	4	2.5	2																	
Liquidambar styraciflua	45	1	45													45					
Quercus laurifolia	3	3	1							1	1										
Quercus michauxii	24	5	4.8					2	1	7	2	12									
Quercus pagoda	12	5	2.4				5	2	2						1						2
Salix nigra	3	1	3													3					
Sambucus canadensis	1	1	1								1										
Clethra	1	1	1													1					
Fraxinus	10	2	5	8				2													
Pinus	1	1	1									1									
Prunus serotina	5	1	5																		5
Cephalanthus	1	1	1				1														
Acer rubrum	120	1	120													120					
Ulmus	59	7	8.43	3			1	18		2	16	10	9								
Ulmus americana	2	1	2																		2
TOT: 18	393	18		13	12	11	10	24	13	10	20	24	27	10	1	180	4	1	5	28	

Brown Marsh Swamp Restoration Site
Year 1 (2008) Annual Monitoring
Vegetation Plot Photos
Taken September 2008



Brown Marsh Swamp Restoration Site
Year 1 (2008) Annual Monitoring
Vegetation Plot Photos
Taken September 2008
(continued)



Brown Marsh Swamp Restoration Site
Year 1 (2008) Annual Monitoring
Vegetation Plot Photos
Taken September 2008
(continued)



APPENDIX B

GEOMORPHOLOGIC DATA

1. Tables B1-B5. Qualitative Visual Stability Assessment
2. Cross-section Plots and Tables
3. Longitudinal Profile Plots

**Table B1. Visual Morphological Stability Assessment
Brown Marsh Reach 1 (557 linear feet)**

Feature Category	Metric (per As-built and reference baselines)	(# Stable Number Performing as Intended)	Total number	Number / feet in unstable state	% Perform in Stable Condition	Feature Perform. Mean or Total
A. Riffles	1. Present	12	12	NA	100%	
	2. Armor stable (e.g. no displacement)?	12	12	NA	100%	
	3. Facet grade appears stable?	12	12	NA	100%	
	4. Minimal evidence of embedding / fining?	12	12	NA	100%	
	5. Length appropriate?	12	12	NA	100%	100%
B. Pools	1. Present? (e.g. not subject to severe aggrad. Or migrat.?)	12	12	NA	100%	
	2. Sufficiently deep (Max Pool D:Mean Bkt > 1.6:?)	12	12	NA	100%	
	3. Length appropriate?	12	12	NA	100%	100%
C. Thalweg	1. Upstream of meander bend (run/inflection) centering?	12	12	NA	100%	
	2. Downstream of meander (glide/inflection) centering?	12	12	NA	100%	100%
	1. Outer bend in state of limited/controlled erosion?	12	12	NA	100%	
D. Meanders	2. Of those eroding, # w/concomitant point bar formation?	NA	NA	0	100%	
	3. Apparent Rc within spec?	12	12	NA	100%	
	4. Sufficient floodplain access and relief?	12	12	NA	100%	100%
	1. General channel bed aggradation areas (bar formation)	NA	NA	0	100%	
E. Bed General	2. Channel bed degradation – areas of increasing down-cutting or head cutting?	NA	NA	0	100%	100%
	1. Actively eroding, wasting, or slumping bank	NA	NA	0	100%	100%
F. Bank	1. Free of back or arm scour?	NA	NA	NA	NA	
	2. Height appropriate?	NA	NA	NA	NA	
G. Vanes	3. Angle and geometry appear appropriate?	NA	NA	NA	NA	
	4. Free of piping or other structural failures?	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	1. Free of scour?	NA	NA	NA	NA	
	2. Footing stable?	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
H. Wads / Boulders		NA	NA	NA	NA	
		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

**Table B2. Visual Morphological Stability Assessment
Brown Marsh Reach 2 (668 linear feet)**

Feature Category	Metric (per As-built and reference baselines)	(# Stable Number Performing as Intended)	Total number	Number / feet in unstable state	% Perform in Stable Condition	Feature Perform. Mean or Total
A. Riffles	1. Present	10	10	NA	100%	
	2. Armor stable (e.g. no displacement)?	10	10	NA	100%	
	3. Facet grade appears stable?	10	10	NA	100%	
	4. Minimal evidence of embedding / fining?	10	10	NA	100%	
	5. Length appropriate?	10	10	NA	100%	100%
B. Pools	1. Present? (e.g. not subject to severe aggrad. Or migrat.?)	10	10	NA	100%	
	2. Sufficiently deep (Max Pool D:Mean Bkt> 1.6:?)	10	10	NA	100%	
	3. Length appropriate?	10	10	NA	100%	100%
C. Thalweg	1. Upstream of meander bend (run/inflexion) centering?	10	10	NA	100%	
	2. Downstream of meander (glide/inflexion) centering?	10	10	NA	100%	100%
	1. Outer bend in state of limited/controlled erosion?	10	10	NA	100%	
D. Meanders	2. Of those eroding, # w/concomitant point bar formation?	NA	NA	0	100%	
	3. Apparent Rc within spec?	10	10	NA	100%	
	4. Sufficient floodplain access and relief?	10	10	NA	100%	100%
	1. General channel bed aggradation areas (bar formation)	NA	NA	0	100%	
E. Bed General	2. Channel bed degradation – areas of increasing down-cutting or head cutting?	NA	NA	0	100%	100%
	1. Actively eroding, wasting, or slumping bank	NA	NA	0	100%	100%
F. Bank	1. Free of back or arm scour?	NA	NA	NA	NA	
	2. Height appropriate?	NA	NA	NA	NA	
	3. Angle and geometry appear appropriate?	NA	NA	NA	NA	
	4. Free of piping or other structural failures?	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
H. Wads / Boulders	1. Free of scour?	NA	NA	NA	NA	
	2. Footing stable?	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

**Table B3. Visual Morphological Stability Assessment
Brown Marsh Reach 3 (639 linear feet)**

Feature Category	Metric (per As-built and reference baselines)	(# Stable Number Performing as Intended)	Total number	Number / feet in unstable state	% Perform in Stable Condition	Feature Perform. Mean or Total
A. Riffles	1. Present	8	8	NA	100%	
	2. Armor stable (e.g. no displacement)?	8	8	NA	100%	
	3. Facet grade appears stable?	8	8	NA	100%	
	4. Minimal evidence of embedding / fining?	8	8	NA	100%	
	5. Length appropriate?	8	8	NA	100%	100%
B. Pools	1. Present? (e.g. not subject to severe aggrad. Or migrat.?)	8	8	NA	100%	
	2. Sufficiently deep (Max Pool D:Mean Bkt> 1.6:?)	8	8	NA	100%	
	3. Length appropriate?	8	8	NA	100%	100%
C. Thalweg	1. Upstream of meander bend (run/inflexion) centering?	8	8	NA	100%	
	2. Downstream of meander (glide/inflexion) centering?	8	8	NA	100%	100%
	1. Outer bend in state of limited/controlled erosion?	8	8	NA	100%	
D. Meanders	2. Of those eroding, # w/concomitant point bar formation?	NA	NA	0	100%	
	3. Apparent Rc within spec?	8	8	NA	100%	
	4. Sufficient floodplain access and relief?	8	8	NA	100%	100%
	1. General channel bed aggradation areas (bar formation)	NA	NA	0	100%	
E. Bed General	2. Channel bed degradation – areas of increasing down-cutting or head cutting?	NA	NA	0	100%	100%
	1. Actively eroding, wasting, or slumping bank	NA	NA	0	100%	100%
F. Bank	1. Free of back or arm scour?	NA	NA	NA	NA	
	2. Height appropriate?	NA	NA	NA	NA	
	3. Angle and geometry appear appropriate?	NA	NA	NA	NA	
	4. Free of piping or other structural failures?	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
G. Vanes	1. Free of scour?	2	2	NA	100%	
	2. Footing stable?	2	2	NA	100%	100%

**Table B4. Visual Morphological Stability Assessment
Brown Marsh Reach 4 (606 linear feet)**

Feature Category	Metric (per As-built and reference baselines)	(# Stable Number Performing as Intended)	Total number	Number / feet in unstable state	% Perform in Stable Condition	Feature Perform. Mean or Total
A. Riffles	1. Present	7	7	NA	100%	
	2. Armor stable (e.g. no displacement)?	7	7	NA	100%	
	3. Facet grade appears stable?	7	7	NA	100%	
	4. Minimal evidence of embedding / fining?	7	7	NA	100%	
	5. Length appropriate?	7	7	NA	100%	100%
B. Pools	1. Present? (e.g. not subject to severe aggrad. Or migrat.?)	7	7	NA	100%	
	2. Sufficiently deep (Max Pool D:Mean Bkt> 1.6:?)	7	7	NA	100%	
	3. Length appropriate?	7	7	NA	100%	100%
C. Thalweg	1. Upstream of meander bend (run/inflexion) centering?	7	7	NA	100%	
	2. Downstream of meander (glide/inflexion) centering?	7	7	NA	100%	100%
	1. Outer bend in state of limited/controlled erosion?	7	7	NA	100%	
D. Meanders	2. Of those eroding, # w/concomitant point bar formation?	NA	NA	0	100%	
	3. Apparent Rc within spec?	7	7	NA	100%	
	4. Sufficient floodplain access and relief?	7	7	NA	100%	100%
	1. General channel bed aggradation areas (bar formation)	NA	NA	0	100%	
E. Bed General	2. Channel bed degradation – areas of increasing down-cutting or head cutting?	NA	NA	0	100%	100%
	1. Actively eroding, wasting, or slumping bank	NA	NA	0	100%	100%
F. Bank	1. Free of back or arm scour?	NA	NA	NA	NA	
	2. Height appropriate?	NA	NA	NA	NA	
	3. Angle and geometry appear appropriate?	NA	NA	NA	NA	
	4. Free of piping or other structural failures?	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
G. Vanes	1. Free of scour?	1	1	NA	100%	
	2. Footing stable?	1	1	NA	100%	100%

**Table B5. Visual Morphological Stability Assessment
Brown Marsh Reach 5 (602 linear feet)**

Feature Category	Metric (per As-built and reference baselines)	(# Stable Number Performing as Intended)	Total number	Number / feet in unstable state	% Perform in Stable Condition	Feature Perform. Mean or Total
A. Riffles	1. Present	12	12	NA	100%	
	2. Armor stable (e.g. no displacement)?	12	12	NA	100%	
	3. Facet grade appears stable?	12	12	NA	100%	
	4. Minimal evidence of embedding / fining?	12	12	NA	100%	
	5. Length appropriate?	12	12	NA	100%	100%
B. Pools	1. Present? (e.g. not subject to severe aggrad. Or migrat.?)	12	12	NA	100%	
	2. Sufficiently deep (Max Pool D:Mean Bkt> 1.6:?)	12	12	NA	100%	
	3. Length appropriate?	12	12	NA	100%	100%
C. Thalweg	1. Upstream of meander bend (run/inflexion) centering?	12	12	NA	100%	
	2. Downstream of meander (glide/inflexion) centering?	12	12	NA	100%	100%
	1. Outer bend in state of limited/controlled erosion?	12	12	NA	100%	
D. Meanders	2. Of those eroding, # w/concomitant point bar formation?	NA	NA	0	100%	
	3. Apparent Rc within spec?	12	12	NA	100%	
	4. Sufficient floodplain access and relief?	12	12	NA	100%	100%
	1. General channel bed aggradation areas (bar formation)	NA	NA	0	100%	
E. Bed General	2. Channel bed degradation – areas of increasing down-cutting or head cutting?	NA	NA	0	100%	100%
	1. Actively eroding, wasting, or slumping bank	NA	NA	0	100%	100%
F. Bank	1. Free of back or arm scour?	NA	NA	NA	NA	
	2. Height appropriate?	NA	NA	NA	NA	
	3. Angle and geometry appear appropriate?	NA	NA	NA	NA	
G. Vanes	4. Free of piping or other structural failures?	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	1. Free of scour?	1	1	NA	100%	
H. Wads / Boulders	2. Footing stable?	1	1	NA	100%	100%


Project Name Brown Marsh
Cross Section Reach 1 - XS 17
Feature Riffle
Date 9/3/08
Crew Adasme, St.Clair

2008 As-built Survey		2008 YR 1 Survey		2009 YR 2 Survey		2010 YR 3 Survey	
Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation
-6.9	138.2	26.1	135.6				
-1.2	136.1	17.9	135.6				
8.4	135.7	15.5	135.4				
11.3	134.4	14.2	135.2				
12.3	134.3	12.9	134.5				
15.3	135.4	12.4	134.3				
15.5	135.4	11.4	134.4				
31.9	135.4	10.7	134.8				
40.5	137.2	9.5	135.4				
		8.4	135.7				
		2.7	135.7				
		0.0	136.1				



Photo of Cross-Section R1-17 - Looking Downstream @ STA 13+60

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Area	4.7				
Width	8.8				
Mean Depth	0.5				
Max Depth	1.3				
W/D	16.2				




Project Name	Brown Marsh
Cross Section	Reach 1 - XS 18
Feature	Pool
Date	9/3/08
Crew	Adasme, St.Clair

2008 As-built Survey		2008 YR 1 Survey		2009 YR 2 Survey		2010 YR 3 Survey	
Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation
-6.7	135.9	0.0	135.9				
14.2	135.9	9.2	135.8				
17.0	134.2	12.4	136.0				
18.7	133.9	14.2	135.8				
18.8	133.9	15.7	135.1				
28.4	135.9	16.5	134.6				
34.3	138.4	17.3	133.9				
		18.4	133.7				
		18.8	134.0				
		19.3	134.4				
		20.8	135.6				
		21.6	135.9				
		26.1	135.8				
		28.5	136.1				



Photo of Cross-Section R1-18 - Looking Downstream @ STA 12+45

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Area	7.7				
Width	7.0				
Mean Depth	1.1				
Max Depth	2.1				
W/D	NA				




Project Name	Brown Marsh		
Cross Section	Reach 1 - XS 19		
Feature	Riffle		
Date	9/3/08		
Crew	Adasme, St.Clair		

2008 As-built Survey		2008 YR 1 Survey		2009 YR 2 Survey		2010 YR 3 Survey	
Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation
-4.6	137.9	0.0	136.6				
-0.5	136.2	2.6	136.1				
7.7	136.0	7.4	136.2				
10.0	134.9	8.6	135.7				
11.4	134.9	9.5	135.6				
14.6	136.3	10.3	135.0				
20.5	136.3	11.4	134.9				
29.8	139.6	12.8	135.1				
		13.5	135.4				
		14.7	136.0				
		15.9	136.0				
		19.9	136.0				
		22.3	136.1				



Photo of Cross-Section R1-19 - Looking Downstream @ STA 10+72

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Area	4.3				
Width	6.7				
Mean Depth	0.6				
Max Depth	1.1				
W/D	10.4				




Project Name Brown Marsh
Cross Section Reach 1 - XS 20
Feature Pool
Date 9/3/08
Crew Adasme, St.Clair

2008 As-built Survey		2008 YR 1 Survey		2009 YR 2 Survey		2010 YR 3 Survey	
Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation
-8.5	139.2	0.0	136.2				
-0.7	136.5	2.7	136.2				
8.8	136.4	8.2	136.5				
11.4	134.9	9.3	136.3				
13.6	134.9	10.0	135.9				
15.9	136.3	11.4	135.2				
23.6	136.5	12.4	134.4				
28.5	138.2	13.6	134.2				
		14.6	135.3				
		15.9	136.2				
		16.7	136.2				
		22.3	136.3				
		23.7	136.5				



Photo of Cross-Section R1-20 - Looking Downstream @ STA 10+52

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Area	6.2				
Width	6.2				
Mean Depth	1.0				
Max Depth	1.9				
W/D	NA				




Project Name		Brown Marsh					
Cross Section		Reach 2 - XS 13					
Feature		Pool					
Date		9/8/08					
Crew		Adasme, St.Clair					
2008 As-built Survey		2008 YR 1 Survey		2009 YR 2 Survey		2010 YR 3 Survey	
Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation
-10.5	138.7	0.0	135.6				
-0.7	135.7	6.7	135.6				
6.7	135.5	9.8	133.7				
10.9	133.1	11.8	132.7				
12.9	132.4	12.2	132.2				
14.7	132.9	13.4	132.2				
18.1	135.3	14.2	132.2				
25.4	135.7	15.6	132.9				
33.2	138.5	16.3	133.7				
		18.4	135.0				
		20.4	135.4				
		26.1	135.6				



Photo of Cross-Section R2-13 - Looking Downstream @ STA 47+45

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Area	21.3				
Width	12.9				
Mean Depth	1.7				
Max Depth	3.1				
W/D	NA				




Project Name Brown Marsh
Cross Section Reach 2 - XS 14
Feature Riffle
Date 9/8/08
Crew Adasme, St.Clair

2008 As-built Survey		2008 YR 1 Survey		2009 YR 2 Survey		2010 YR 3 Survey	
Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation
-9.2	138.5	0.0	135.6				
-0.8	135.5	5.7	135.3				
6.7	135.2	7.6	134.6				
10.9	133.0	10.0	133.2				
12.7	133.1	10.7	133.0				
17.0	135.4	12.2	133.0				
22.9	135.6	14.6	134.3				
34.8	139.0	17.0	135.5				
		23.7	135.5				
		23.7	135.4				



Photo of Cross-Section R2-14 - Looking Downstream @ STA 47+48

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Area	14.1				
Width	10.9				
Mean Depth	1.3				
Max Depth	2.1				
W/D	8.4				




Project Name		Brown Marsh					
Cross Section		Reach 2 - XS 15					
Feature		Pool					
Date		9/8/08					
Crew		Adasme, St.Clair					
2008 As-built Survey		2008 YR 1 Survey		2009 YR 2 Survey		2010 YR 3 Survey	
Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation
-13.6	139.2	0.0	135.3				
-1.4	135.2	4.8	135.3				
5.4	135.1	6.5	134.9				
8.1	132.7	7.6	133.7				
10.3	131.7	8.7	132.8				
12.1	132.6	9.6	132.3				
16.7	135.0	10.2	131.7				
25.4	135.4	11.2	131.9				
33.7	138.8	12.8	132.3				
		14.5	133.6				
		16.7	135.1				
		25.9	135.6				



Photo of Cross-Section R2-15 - Looking Downstream @ STA 50+75

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Area	20.0				
Width	10.9				
Mean Depth	1.8				
Max Depth	3.3				
W/D	NA				




Project Name Brown Marsh
Cross Section Reach 2 - XS 16
Feature Riffle
Date 9/8/08
Crew Adasme, St.Clair

2008 As-built Survey		2008 YR 1 Survey		2009 YR 2 Survey		2010 YR 3 Survey	
Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation
-12.3	138.4	0.0	135.3				
-1.1	135.1	6.9	135.1				
7.0	135.0	8.1	134.8				
11.6	132.8	9.9	133.9				
13.4	132.7	11.1	133.1				
18.1	135.1	11.9	132.8				
25.4	135.3	12.5	132.7				
34.6	139.0	14.2	132.7				
		14.8	133.2				
		16.1	133.8				
		18.2	135.0				
		19.9	135.1				
		26.8	135.1				



Photo of Cross-Section R2-16 - Looking Downstream @ STA 52+02

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Area	14.4				
Width	10.8				
Mean Depth	1.3				
Max Depth	2.3				
W/D	8.1				




Project Name Brown Marsh
Cross Section Reach 3 - XS 9
Feature Riffle
Date 9/8/08
Crew Adasme, St.Clair

2008 As-built Survey		2008 YR 1 Survey		2009 YR 2 Survey		2010 YR 3 Survey	
Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation
-9.1	139.3	0.0	136.0				
-0.2	136.4	5.6	136.0				
7.2	136.0	8.7	135.0				
11.9	133.7	10.6	134.5				
13.7	133.8	12.1	133.5				
18.6	135.9	14.0	133.7				
25.1	136.1	15.4	134.1				
36.5	139.7	18.6	135.8				
		22.3	135.8				
		25.0	135.9				



Photo of Cross-Section R3-9 - Looking Downstream @ STA 41+25

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Area	14.8				
Width	12.3				
Mean Depth	1.2				
Max Depth	2.3				
W/D	10.2				




Project Name Brown Marsh
Cross Section Reach 3 - XS 10
Feature Pool
Date 9/8/08
Crew Adasme, St.Clair

2008 As-built Survey		2008 YR 1 Survey		2009 YR 2 Survey		2010 YR 3 Survey	
Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation
-4.0	139.3	0.0	136.1				
2.4	136.5	4.5	135.9				
9.6	136.0	8.5	135.4				
13.6	133.3	10.6	134.4				
15.2	132.4	11.6	134.0				
16.9	133.5	12.6	133.6				
21.6	135.8	14.0	132.9				
29.5	136.3	14.4	132.5				
37.7	139.0	14.8	132.1				
		16.2	132.9				
		17.3	134.2				
		19.3	135.2				
		21.8	135.9				
		25.1	135.9				
		26.7	136.4				



Photo of Cross-Section R3-10 - Looking Downstream @ STA 42+30

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Area	20.3				
Width	14.6				
Mean Depth	1.4				
Max Depth	3.6				
W/D	NA				




Project Name Brown Marsh
Cross Section Reach 3 - XS 11
Feature Riffle
Date 9/8/08
Crew Adasme, St.Clair

2008 As-built Survey		2008 YR 1 Survey		2009 YR 2 Survey		2010 YR 3 Survey	
Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation
-8.7	139.0	0.0	135.8				
-0.3	136.1	6.5	135.4				
8.5	135.5	9.6	134.5				
13.0	133.4	11.8	133.2				
14.5	133.5	12.6	132.9				
18.9	135.5	13.1	132.9				
27.1	136.4	14.0	132.9				
33.7	138.8	17.7	134.8				
		19.5	135.6				
		27.1	136.2				



Photo of Cross-Section R3-11 - Looking Downstream @ STA 43+75

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Area	16.4				
Width	12.6				
Mean Depth	1.3				
Max Depth	2.5				
W/D	9.7				




Project Name Brown Marsh
Cross Section Reach 3 - XS 12
Feature Pool
Date 9/8/08
Crew Adasme, St.Clair

2008 As-built Survey		2008 YR 1 Survey		2009 YR 2 Survey		2010 YR 3 Survey	
Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation
-8.8	138.1	0.0	136.0				
-8.7	138.1	4.4	135.7				
-2.0	135.9	7.9	135.5				
8.2	135.6	11.8	133.8				
12.3	133.1	13.1	133.3				
14.7	133.2	13.7	132.6				
19.9	135.6	15.0	132.6				
28.1	136.0	15.9	132.8				
35.5	138.6	16.7	133.5				
		19.9	135.5				
		25.6	135.6				
		30.0	135.7				



Photo of Cross-Section R3-12 - Looking Downstream @ STA 45+05

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Area	18.6				
Width	12.0				
Mean Depth	1.6				
Max Depth	2.9				
W/D	NA				




Project Name Brown Marsh
Cross Section Reach 4 - XS 5
Feature Pool
Date 9/9/08
Crew Adasme, St.Clair

2008 As-built Survey		2008 YR 1 Survey		2009 YR 2 Survey		2010 YR 3 Survey	
Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation
-7.5	140.4	0.0	138.4				
-0.6	138.5	6.6	138.0				
5.6	138.0	8.4	137.1				
10.7	135.6	10.4	136.2				
14.0	135.5	11.8	135.5				
18.1	138.4	12.6	134.9				
23.8	138.4	13.4	134.8				
31.3	140.9	14.5	135.1				
		15.8	136.2				
		16.8	137.4				
		18.1	138.3				
		25.0	138.4				



Photo of Cross-Section R4-X5 - Looking Downstream @ STA 20+55

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Area	19.0				
Width	11.1				
Mean Depth	1.7				
Max Depth	3.2				
W/D	NA				




Project Name Brown Marsh
Cross Section Reach 4 - XS 6
Feature Riffle
Date 9/9/08
Crew Adasme, St.Clair

2008 As-built Survey		2008 YR 1 Survey		2009 YR 2 Survey		2010 YR 3 Survey	
Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation
-8.5	140.8	0.0	138.1				
0.5	138.3	6.6	137.8				
7.0	137.9	9.0	136.9				
11.5	135.7	10.9	136.1				
13.5	135.7	11.8	135.4				
18.2	137.7	13.4	135.4				
23.8	138.0	14.8	136.3				
33.9	140.5	16.5	137.2				
		18.2	137.9				
		21.7	138.0				
		24.7	138.2				



Photo of Cross-Section R4-6 - Looking Downstream @ STA 21+80

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Area	13.9				
Width	11.3				
Mean Depth	1.2				
Max Depth	2.4				
W/D	9.1				




Project Name Brown Marsh
Cross Section Reach 4 - XS 7
Feature Pool
Date 9/9/08
Crew Adasme, St.Clair

2008 As-built Survey		2008 YR 1 Survey		2009 YR 2 Survey		2010 YR 3 Survey	
Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation
-9.5	140.7	0.0	138.0				
0.4	138.1	3.9	137.7				
7.7	137.7	7.1	137.7				
12.1	134.9	9.2	136.6				
14.9	134.7	11.4	135.6				
20.9	137.5	11.9	135.2				
28.7	137.7	12.2	134.6				
38.8	140.4	13.0	134.5				
		14.5	134.4				
		15.1	135.1				
		15.7	135.6				
		18.3	136.6				
		20.9	137.6				
		28.2	137.6				



Photo of Cross-Section R4-7 - Looking Downstream @ STA 22+95

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Area	21.8				
Width	13.6				
Mean Depth	1.6				
Max Depth	3.2				
W/D	NA				




Project Name		Brown Marsh					
Cross Section		Reach 4 - XS 8					
Feature		Riffle					
Date		9/9/08					
Crew		Adasme, St.Clair					
2008 As-built Survey		2008 YR 1 Survey		2009 YR 2 Survey		2010 YR 3 Survey	
Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation
-11.0	140.3	0.0	137.4				
-2.1	137.6	4.6	137.4				
5.2	137.5	7.8	136.6				
9.6	135.4	8.8	135.7				
11.3	135.4	9.8	135.2				
16.3	137.6	10.9	135.2				
22.1	137.6	11.7	135.9				
30.9	140.5	14.5	137.1				
		16.7	137.6				
		22.6	137.8				



Photo of Cross-Section R4-8 - Looking Downstream @ STA 25+80

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Area	11.2				
Width	11.2				
Mean Depth	1.0				
Max Depth	2.2				
W/D	11.3				




Project Name Brown Marsh
Cross Section Reach 5 - XS 1
Feature Riffle
Date 9/4/08
Crew Adasme, St.Clair

2008 As-built Survey		2008 YR 1 Survey		2009 YR 2 Survey		2010 YR 3 Survey	
Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation
-7.7	141.1	0.0	139.5				
-0.4	139.3	6.5	139.1				
9.0	139.0	9.3	138.7				
14.5	137.0	11.4	137.8				
17.3	136.7	13.4	137.2				
24.3	139.2	15.0	136.7				
31.8	139.2	16.0	136.7				
38.9	141.7	17.0	136.7				
		19.6	137.7				
		21.3	138.5				
		24.3	139.2				
		32.0	139.2				



Photo of Cross-Section R5-1 - Looking Downstream @ STA 11+60

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Area	21.0				
Width	17.2				
Mean Depth	1.2				
Max Depth	2.4				
W/D	14.2				




Project Name Brown Marsh
Cross Section Reach 5 - XS 2
Feature Pool
Date 9/4/08
Crew Adamsme, St.Clair

2008 As-built Survey		2008 YR 1 Survey		2009 YR 2 Survey		2010 YR 3 Survey	
Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation
-5.8	140.8	0.0	139.1				
-0.5	139.1	7.1	138.7				
12.1	138.6	12.1	138.5				
17.3	136.3	14.3	137.7				
21.2	136.2	16.2	136.9				
26.3	138.2	17.6	136.0				
37.5	138.9	19.0	135.7				
44.8	140.7	19.9	135.7				
		22.9	136.8				
		24.6	137.9				
		27.2	138.3				
		29.8	138.7				
		37.3	138.9				



Photo of Cross-Section R5-2 - Looking Downstream @ STA 13+70

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Area	22.2				
Width	16.2				
Mean Depth	1.4				
Max Depth	2.8				
W/D	NA				




Project Name Brown Marsh
Cross Section Reach 5 - XS 3
Feature Riffle
Date 9/4/08
Crew Adamsme, St.Clair

2008 As-built Survey		2008 YR 1 Survey		2009 YR 2 Survey		2010 YR 3 Survey	
Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation
-7.3	140.8	0.0	139.0				
-1.0	138.9	3.6	138.7				
11.5	138.7	11.6	138.6				
16.5	136.6	14.9	137.6				
18.6	136.5	16.6	136.8				
24.2	138.7	17.7	136.3				
35.8	138.7	18.6	136.3				
42.9	140.8	20.4	137.0				
		22.1	137.7				
		24.2	138.6				
		31.1	138.7				
		35.5	138.7				



Photo of Cross-Section R5-3 - Looking Downstream @ STA 14+90

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Area	13.6				
Width	12.0				
Mean Depth	1.1				
Max Depth	2.2				
W/D	10.6				




Project Name Brown Marsh
Cross Section Reach 5 - XS 4
Feature Pool
Date 9/4/08
Crew Adasme, St.Clair

2008 As-built Survey		2008 YR 1 Survey		2009 YR 2 Survey		2010 YR 3 Survey	
Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation
-7.4	140.2	0.0	138.4				
-0.3	138.5	5.9	138.4				
7.1	138.2	7.4	138.3				
12.5	136.0	9.6	137.4				
14.7	135.6	11.2	136.7				
19.8	138.6	12.8	136.0				
27.2	138.5	13.3	135.5				
33.7	140.4	14.2	135.4				
		15.6	135.9				
		17.2	137.2				
		19.8	138.4				
		27.4	138.5				




Photo of Cross-Section R5-4 - Looking Downstream @ STA 17+40

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Area	19.1				
Width	13.6				
Mean Depth	1.4				
Max Depth	3.0				
W/D	NA				



Project Name		Brown Marsh						
Cross Section		Reach 1						
Feature		Profile						
Date	9/3/08							
Crew	Lewis, St.Clair							
2008		2008		2009		2010		
As-built Survey	Station	Elevation	Station	Bed Elevation	H2O Elevation	Station	Bed Elevation	H2O Elevation
				Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station
	1000.0	134.79	1567.25	132.75	132.90			
	1002.0	134.81	1556.23	132.27	132.82			
	1004.0	134.84	1551.45	132.14	132.94			
	1006.0	134.86	1549.89	133.31	133.35			
	1008.0	134.88	1541.01	133.25	133.40			
	1010.0	134.90	1537.42	132.58	133.38			
	1012.0	134.79	1533.68	132.72	133.42			
	1014.0	134.66	1532.29	133.95	133.94			
	1016.0	134.53	1525.26	133.50	134.02			
	1018.0	134.55	1520.08	132.61	133.98			
	1020.0	134.60	1516.65	133.46	134.02			
	1022.0	134.65	1502.43	133.68	134.09			
	1024.0	134.67	1495.74	133.75	134.10			
	1026.0	134.69	1491.44	133.16	134.11			
	1028.0	134.70	1488.05	133.01	134.07			
	1030.0	134.72	1481.29	133.78	133.96			
	1032.0	134.73	1460.66	133.94	134.46			
	1034.0	134.74	1452.49	133.01	134.46			
	1036.0	134.76	1447.98	133.27	134.46			
	1038.0	134.77	1443.91	133.85	134.47			
	1040.0	134.78	1430.44	134.02	134.50			
	1042.0	134.80	1418.46	133.52	134.52			
	1044.0	134.81	1414.34	133.58	134.63			
	1046.0	134.75	1409.14	134.13	134.65			
	1048.0	134.55	1389.03	134.29	134.69			
	1050.0	134.35	1382.61	134.21	134.72			
	1052.0	134.15	1377.87	133.60	134.71			
	1054.0	134.25	1374.68	133.73	134.71			
	1056.0	134.44	1367.58	134.23	134.74			
	1058.0	134.55	1339.52	134.37	134.75			
	1060.0	134.61	1330.87	134.23	134.79			
	1062.0	134.67	1324.77	133.57	134.78			
	1064.0	134.73	1321.72	133.75	134.80			
	1066.0	134.79	1314.63	134.31	134.80			
	1068.0	134.85	1288.13	134.22	134.85			
	1070.0	134.90	1282.62	133.69	134.81			
	1072.0	134.88	1278.80	133.67	134.83			
	1074.0	134.87	1274.34	134.25	134.85			
	1076.0	134.86	1255.35	134.46	134.90			
	1078.0	134.84	1248.22	133.76	134.82			
	1080.0	134.83	1241.94	134.46	134.89			
	1082.0	134.82	1227.08	134.52	134.93			
	1084.0	134.80	1221.72	133.85	134.90			
	1086.0	134.79	1215.47	134.20	134.87			
	1088.0	134.66	1210.23	134.64	134.98			
	1090.0	134.38	1198.46	134.68	135.19			
	1092.0	134.11	1191.11	134.66	135.14			
	1094.0	133.84	1182.19	133.86	135.19			
	1096.0	133.99	1178.30	134.01	135.14			
	1098.0	134.19	1167.33	134.56	135.22			
	1100.0	134.39	1143.68	134.80	135.40			
	1102.0	134.59	1138.25	135.02	135.37			
	1104.0	134.73	1130.74	133.81	135.47			
	1106.0	134.71	1120.92	134.55	135.45			
	1108.0	134.69	1098.22	134.79	135.55			
	1110.0	134.67	1091.97	134.10	135.49			
	1112.0	134.64	1088.78	134.31	135.49			
	1114.0	134.62	1082.11	134.89	135.60			
	1116.0	134.60	1062.40	134.98	135.62			
	1118.0	134.58	1051.44	134.62	135.68			
	1120.0	134.55	1047.35	134.18	135.80			
	1122.0	134.53	1038.62	135.01	135.66			
	1124.0	134.51	1022.27	134.96	135.74			
	1126.0	134.50	1013.91	134.48	135.68			
	1128.0	134.48	1010.00	135.05	135.66			
	1130.0	134.40						
	1132.0	134.15						
	1134.0	133.90						
	1136.0	133.76						
	1138.0	134.05						
	1140.0	134.34						

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Ave Slope	0.0018				
Riffle Length	19.7				
Riffle Slope	0.0039				
Pool Length	4.1				
Pool Slope	0.0000				




Project Name Brown Marsh
Cross Section Reach 2
Profile
Feature
Date 9/4/08
Crew A,dasme, S,L,Clair

2008 As-built Survey Station Elevation	2008		2009		2010	
	Station	Bed Elevation	Station	Bed Elevation	Station	H2O Elevation
4602.0	133.17	5278.2	131.40	132.84		
4604.0	133.18	5276.4	131.63	132.92		
4606.0	133.19	5273.3	132.29	132.94		
4608.0	133.00	5259.4	132.47	132.88		
4610.0	132.74	5249.1	132.43	132.84		
4614.0	132.21	5230.4	132.26	132.90		
4616.0	131.94	5202.9	132.34	133.04		
4618.0	131.89	5187.5	132.38	133.02		
4620.0	132.13	5181.2	131.92	133.05		
4624.0	132.61	5175.9	131.67	133.03		
4626.0	132.85	5172.1	132.27	133.07		
4628.0	132.96	5158.8	132.44	133.10		
4630.0	132.95	5144.0	132.67	133.13		
4634.0	132.94	5102.1	132.54	133.18		
4636.0	132.94	5091.2	132.25	133.13		
4638.0	132.93	5088.8	131.75	133.16		
4640.0	132.92	5085.4	131.62	133.17		
4642.0	132.92	5080.0	132.37	133.12		
4646.0	132.91	5062.7	132.48	133.12		
4648.0	132.90	5027.8	132.62	133.10		
4650.0	132.91	5014.3	132.42	133.20		
4652.0	132.93	5008.1	131.72	133.01		
4654.0	132.95	5005.0	131.52	133.08		
4658.0	133.00	5001.3	132.32	133.09		
4660.0	133.02	4970.6	132.55	133.17		
4662.0	133.04	4948.3	132.74	133.18		
4664.0	133.07	4934.4	132.45	133.17		
4666.0	133.09	4928.8	131.86	133.20		
4668.0	133.11	4924.4	131.66	133.15		
4672.0	132.86	4920.0	132.42	133.16		
4674.0	132.71	4901.9	132.78	133.31		
4676.0	132.56	4870.2	132.97	133.31		
4678.0	132.41	4858.8	132.79	133.14		
4680.0	132.26	4850.5	132.82	133.32		
4682.0	132.16	4841.3	131.79	133.26		
4686.0	132.47	4838.7	131.93	133.27		
4688.0	132.62	4832.6	132.71	133.24		
4690.0	132.77	4818.9	132.74	133.30		
4692.0	132.92	4807.7	132.84	133.36		
4694.0	132.98	4773.7	132.84	133.31		
4698.0	133.01	4764.8	132.82	133.41		
4700.0	133.02	4757.6	132.22	133.35		
4702.0	133.03	4754.9	131.92	133.40		
4704.0	133.05	4751.1	132.88	133.40		
4706.0	133.06	4736.9	132.98	133.43		
4708.0	133.08	4708.2	132.91	133.39		
4710.0	133.09	4693.8	132.88	133.42		
4712.0	133.08	4682.4	132.94	133.49		
4714.0	133.07	4678.2	132.24	133.43		
4716.0	133.06	4674.0	132.07	133.29		
4718.0	133.05	4670.0	132.85	133.39		
4720.0	133.05	4657.9	132.93	133.30		
4722.0	133.04	4646.9	132.81	133.43		
4724.0	133.03	4616.5	133.13	133.45		
4726.0	133.02	4611.9	132.18	133.50		
4728.0	133.01	4609.4	131.72	133.29		
4730.0	133.00					
4732.0	132.99					
4734.0	132.98					
4736.0	132.97					
4738.0	132.96					
4740.0	132.95					
4742.0	132.93					
4744.0	132.92					
4748.0	132.90					
4750.0	132.89					
4752.0	132.77					
4754.0	132.64					
4756.0	132.51					

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Ave Slope	0.0010				
Riffle Length	68.3				
Riffle Slope	0.0012				
Pool Length	3.7				
Pool Slope	0.0000				

Brown Marsh Reach 2 - Profile

Note: Year 1 (2008) stream profile measurements on Reach 2 were collected on September 4, 2008 prior to the bankfull event.




— As built Survey — 2008 Survey — 2008 Water Surface
 Distance (feet)

Project Name Brown Marsh
 Cross Section Reach 3
 Feature Profile
 Date 9/8/08
 Crew Adams, St. Clair

2008	2008			2009			2010			
	As-built Survey	YR 1 Survey	H2O	YR 2 Survey	H2O	YR 3 Survey	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation
3700.0	Station	4368.8	Station	4368.8	Station	4368.8	Station	4368.8	Station	4368.8
3702.0	Elevation	133.83	Elevation	132.34	Elevation	132.34	Elevation	132.34	Elevation	132.34
3704.0		133.84		132.63		132.63		132.63		132.63
3706.0		133.85		133.24		133.24		133.24		133.24
3708.0		133.86		133.25		133.25		133.25		133.25
3710.0		133.87		133.17		133.17		133.17		133.17
3712.0		133.88		133.14		133.14		133.14		133.14
3714.0		133.89		132.45		132.45		132.45		132.45
3716.0		133.90		132.69		132.69		132.69		132.69
3718.0		133.91		132.91		132.91		132.91		132.91
3720.0		133.92		133.25		133.25		133.25		133.25
3722.0		133.93		133.40		133.40		133.40		133.40
3724.0		133.94		133.32		133.32		133.32		133.32
3726.0		133.94		133.33		133.33		133.33		133.33
3728.0		133.96		132.29		132.29		132.29		132.29
3730.0		133.97		132.29		132.29		132.29		132.29
3732.0		133.97		132.29		132.29		132.29		132.29
3734.0		133.70		133.31		133.31		133.31		133.31
3736.0		133.29		133.29		133.29		133.29		133.29
3738.0		132.89		133.46		133.46		133.46		133.46
3740.0		132.49		133.14		133.14		133.14		133.14
3742.0		132.09		132.47		132.47		132.47		132.47
3744.0		131.82		132.53		132.53		132.53		132.53
3746.0		132.22		136.03		136.03		136.03		136.03
3748.0		132.61		133.39		133.39		133.39		133.39
3750.0		132.99		133.29		133.29		133.29		133.29
3752.0		133.21		133.40		133.40		133.40		133.40
3754.0		133.44		136.06		136.06		136.06		136.06
3756.0		133.44		132.59		132.59		132.59		132.59
3758.0		133.67		133.50		133.50		133.50		133.50
3760.0		133.90		133.34		133.34		133.34		133.34
3762.0		133.90		133.35		133.35		133.35		133.35
3764.0		134.08		133.43		133.43		133.43		133.43
3766.0		134.06		133.43		133.43		133.43		133.43
3768.0		134.03		132.47		132.47		132.47		132.47
3770.0		134.01		133.34		133.34		133.34		133.34
3772.0		134.01		132.65		132.65		132.65		132.65
3774.0		134.00		133.40		133.40		133.40		133.40
3776.0		133.98		134.95		134.95		134.95		134.95
3778.0		133.97		133.83		133.83		133.83		133.83
3780.0		133.97		134.49		134.49		134.49		134.49
3782.0		133.95		133.56		133.56		133.56		133.56
3784.0		133.93		132.91		132.91		132.91		132.91
3786.0		133.92		132.48		132.48		132.48		132.48
3788.0		133.91		136.41		136.41		136.41		136.41
3790.0		133.91		132.48		132.48		132.48		132.48
3792.0		133.90		136.25		136.25		136.25		136.25
3794.0		133.89		133.65		133.65		133.65		133.65
3796.0		133.89		133.65		133.65		133.65		133.65
3798.0		133.88		133.59		133.59		133.59		133.59
3800.0		133.87		133.69		133.69		133.69		133.69
3802.0		133.87		133.55		133.55		133.55		133.55
3804.0		133.86		133.55		133.55		133.55		133.55
3806.0		133.85		132.81		132.81		132.81		132.81
3808.0		133.85		132.75		132.75		132.75		132.75
3810.0		133.84		132.75		132.75		132.75		132.75
3812.0		133.82		132.75		132.75		132.75		132.75
3814.0		133.82		132.75		132.75		132.75		132.75

	2008			
	2008	2009	2010	2011
Ave Slope	0.0014			
Riffle Length	70.8			
Riffle Slope	0.0003			
Pool Length	3.4			
Pool Slope	0.0038			




Project Name Brown Marsh
Cross Section Reach 4
Feature Profile
Date 9/8/08
Crew Adams, St. Clair

2008 As-built Survey Station	2008 Survey Elevation	2008		2009		2010	
		YR 1 Survey Station	H2O Elevation	YR 2 Survey Bed Station	H2O Elevation	YR 3 Survey Station	Elevation
2010.0	135.96	2622.3	134.84				
2012.0	135.96	2603.8	134.65				
2014.0	135.97	2596.5	134.42				
2018.0	135.93	2592.9	134.07				
2020.0	135.88	2584.5	135.04				
2022.0	135.83	2564.7	134.90				
2024.0	135.78	2520.6	135.18				
2028.0	135.68	2512.6	134.94				
2030.0	135.63	2510.0	134.61				
2032.0	135.58	2506.2	133.90				
2034.0	135.58	2502.0	135.01				
2038.0	135.60	2463.0	135.21				
2040.0	135.60	2443.6	135.21				
2042.0	135.61	2423.6	135.14				
2044.0	135.62	2419.6	134.62				
2048.0	135.64	2409.5	135.08				
2050.0	135.65	2402.9	134.75				
2052.0	135.66	2395.1	135.13				
2054.0	135.66	2378.2	135.13				
2058.0	135.68	2336.7	134.84				
2062.0	135.69	2299.5	135.25				
2064.0	135.68	2277.9	135.24				
2066.0	135.68	2264.4	135.25				
2068.0	135.67	2260.5	134.79				
2070.0	135.66	2254.5	134.30				
2072.0	135.66	2242.8	135.38				
2074.0	135.65	2227.2	135.35				
2078.0	135.64	2199.1	135.27				
2080.0	135.64	2188.2	135.15				
2082.0	135.63	2181.3	134.63				
2084.0	135.62	2178.7	134.27				
2088.0	135.61	2169.9	135.41				
2090.0	135.61	2143.2	135.30				
2092.0	135.60	2124.9	135.50				
2094.0	135.60	2111.7	135.32				
2098.0	135.59	2105.9	134.75				
2100.0	135.58	2103.9	135.13				
2102.0	135.57	2098.4	135.40				
2104.0	135.57	2044.5	135.54				
2108.0	135.29	2032.4	135.72				
2110.0	135.14	2023.4	135.44				
2112.0	134.98	2019.2	134.89				
2114.0	134.87	2016.3	134.97				
2118.0	135.13						
2120.0	135.26						
2122.0	135.40						
2124.0	135.53						
2128.0	135.60						
2130.0	135.60						
2132.0	135.61						
2134.0	135.62						
2138.0	135.64	2584.5	137.43				
2140.0	135.64	2512.6	137.43				
2142.0	135.65	2510.0	137.45				
2144.0	135.66	2506.2	137.42				
2148.0	135.68	2502.0	137.41				
2150.0	135.69	2423.64	137.62072				
2152.0	135.69	2419.59	137.68435				
2154.0	135.69	2402.93	137.63764				
2168.0	135.53	2395.09	137.68645				
2174.0	135.46	2242.84	137.703				
2176.0	135.44	2188.17	137.73383				
2178.0	135.42	2181.33	137.73682				
2180.0	135.40	2178.74	137.77014				
2188.0	135.36	2169.90	137.70014				
2190.0	135.35	2098.3991	137.79483				
2194.0	135.32	2016.2876	137.73881				
2198.0	135.31						

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Ave Slope	0.00005				
Riffle Length	73.5				
Riffle Slope	0.00006				
Pool Length	3.7				
Pool Slope	0.00064				

Brown Marsh Reach 4 - Profile



Note: Year 1 (2008) stream profile measurements on Reach 4 were collected on September 8, 2008 following a bankfull event, which occurred after a total of 4.6 inches of rain fell on September 5-6, 2008.


— As built Survey — 2008 Survey — 2008 Water Surface

Project Name Brown Marsh
 Cross Section Reach 5
 Feature Profile
 Date 9/9/08
 Crew Adamsme, St. Clair

2008 As-built Survey Station	2008 Elevation	2008		2009		2010	
		YR 1 Survey Station	YR 1 Survey Bed Elevation	YR 2 Survey Station	YR 2 Survey Bed Elevation	YR 3 Survey Station	YR 3 Survey Bed Elevation
1100.0	135.83	2027.0	134.65				
1102.0	135.88	2024.7	135.05				
1104.0	136.06	2020.5	135.76				
1108.0	136.41	2005.3	135.80				
1110.0	136.49	1993.6	135.80				
1112.0	136.52	1955.3	135.62				
1114.0	136.55	1950.0	135.28				
1118.0	136.61	1947.5	135.12				
1120.0	136.65	1943.5	134.74				
1122.0	136.68	1940.3	135.46				
1124.0	136.68	1897.7	135.75				
1128.0	136.68	1889.0	135.95				
1130.0	136.69	1878.6	135.93				
1132.0	136.69	1869.2	135.77				
1134.0	136.69	1864.2	134.96				
1138.0	136.70	1860.6	134.94				
1140.0	136.70	1856.8	135.91				137.64
1142.0	136.70	1846.7	135.92				
1144.0	136.71	1831.3	135.91				
1148.0	136.72	1791.0	136.03				
1150.0	136.72	1783.6	135.82				137.70
1152.0	136.72	1777.9	135.01				137.69
1154.0	136.73	1776.6	135.19				137.66
1158.0	136.74	1771.0	135.49				137.69
1160.0	136.74	1763.5	135.82				
1162.0	136.74	1742.6	135.98				
1164.0	136.75	1727.4	136.13				
1166.0	136.75	1724.0	136.13				
1168.0	136.76	1717.2	135.64				
1170.0	136.77	1712.3	135.33				
1172.0	136.78	1704.5	136.10				
1174.0	136.80	1693.3	136.08				
1178.0	136.83	1659.6	136.09				
1180.0	136.84	1642.6	136.08				
1182.0	136.85	1629.1	136.26				
1184.0	136.86	1623.0	136.20				
1188.0	136.84	1617.5	136.05				
1190.0	136.83	1605.6	135.32				
1192.0	136.82	1601.7	135.52				
1194.0	136.81	1596.2	136.03				137.86
1198.0	136.79	1586.8	136.13				
1200.0	136.78	1570.7	136.12				
1202.0	136.77	1560.2	136.13				
1204.0	136.76	1537.4	136.15				
1208.0	136.74	1523.9	136.26				
1210.0	136.73	1520.8	136.26				137.91
1212.0	136.72	1512.5	135.70				137.91
1214.0	136.71	1508.2	135.86				137.83
1218.0	136.69	1503.9	136.12				137.83
1220.0	136.68	1489.7	136.26				
1222.0	136.68	1456.3	136.38				
1224.0	136.67	1443.5	136.39				
1228.0	136.65	1439.2	136.05				
1230.0	136.64	1432.9	135.65				
1232.0	136.63	1425.9	136.35				
1234.0	136.62	1410.7	136.36				
1238.0	136.60	1380.9	136.39				
1240.0	136.59	1365.0	136.40				
1242.0	136.55	1354.2	136.42				
1244.0	136.42	1347.0	135.80				
1248.0	136.18	1340.1	135.72				
1250.0	136.07	1329.1	136.41				
1252.0	136.12	1314.5	136.42				
1254.0	136.16	1274.5	136.43				
1258.0	136.25	1254.0	136.28				
1262.0	136.34	1248.2	135.97				
1264.0	136.37	1243.4	135.98				
1266.0	136.39	1233.2	136.49				
1268.0	136.40	1216.9	136.62				
1270.0	136.41	1177.2	136.67				
1272.0	136.43	1171.5	136.00				
1274.0	136.44	1168.0	135.81				
1278.0	136.47	1162.0	136.62				
1280.0	136.48	1115.5	136.66				
1282.0	136.49	1105.5	136.37				
1284.0	136.51	1100.3	136.02				
1288.0	136.53	1096.4	135.58				


	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Ave Slope	0.0007				
Riffle Length	70.5				
Pool Length	0.0007				
Pool Slope	4.5				

Brown Marsh Reach 5 - Profile




APPENDIX C
HYDROLOGY DATA
2008 Groundwater Gauge Graphs


Brown Marsh Swamp Ground Water Gauge 1 Year 1 (2008 Data)



Brown Marsh Swamp Ground Water Gauge 2 Year 1 (2008 Data)




Brown Marsh Swamp Ground Water Reference Gauge Year 1 (2008 Data)






APPENDIX D
MONITORING PLAN VIEWS

NOT TO SCALE

OVERVIEW MAP



YEAR 1 MONITORING BROWN MARSH SWAMP	
CONTRACT: 16-D06038	COUNTY: ROBESON
DESIGNED BY: RVS	DATE: 1008
CHECKED BY: RKW	SHEET 1 OF 8



YEAR 1 MONITORING

BEGIN REACH 2 PROFILE
 STA 46+09

BEGIN REACH 3 PROFILE
 STA 37+30



END REACH 3 PROFILE
 STA 43+69

LEGEND

	FLOW DIRECTION
	BANKFULL
	BENCH
	TERRACE
	EASEMENT BOUNDARY
	ROOTWAD
	5m x 20m VEG PLOT
	10m x 10m VEG PLOT
	MONITORING PROFILE

YEAR 1 MONITORING	
BROWN MARSH SWAMP	
CONTRACT: 16-D06038	COUNTY: ROBESON
DESIGNED BY: RVS	DATE: 1008
CHECKED BY: RKW	SHEET 2 OF 8

YEAR 1 MONITORING



LEGEND



- FLOW DIRECTION
- BANKFULL
- - - BENCH
- - - TERRACE
- EASEMENT BOUNDARY
- ROOTWAD
- 5m x 20m VEG PLOT
- 10m x 10m VEG PLOT
- PERMANENT PHOTO LOCATION
- MONITORING PROFILE

YEAR 1 MONITORING	
BROWN MARSH SWAMP	
CONTRACT: 16-D06038	COUNTY: ROBESON
DESIGNED BY: RVS	DATE: 1008
CHECKED BY: RKW	SHEET 3 OF 8

YEAR 1 MONITORING

AGRICULTURAL FIELDS

AGRICULTURAL FIELDS



LEGEND	
	FLOW DIRECTION
	BANKFULL
	BENCH
	TERRACE
	EASEMENT BOUNDARY
	ROOTWAD
	5m x 20m VEG PLOT
	10m x 10m VEG PLOT
	PERMANENT PHOTO LOCATION
	MONITORING PROFILE


YEAR 1 MONITORING	
BROWN MARSH SWAMP	
CONTRACT: 16-D06038	COUNTY: ROBESON
DESIGNED BY: RVS	DATE: 1008
CHECKED BY: RKW	SHEET 4 OF 8

YEAR 1 MONITORING

YEAR 1 MONITORING	
BROWN MARSH SWAMP	
CONTRACT: 16-D06038	COUNTY: ROBESON
DESIGNED BY: RVS	DATE: 1008
CHECKED BY: RKW	SHEET 5 OF 8

LEGEND


- FLOW DIRECTION
- BANKFULL
- BENCH
- TERRACE
- EASEMENT BOUNDARY
- ROOTWAD
- 5m x 20m VEG PLOT
- 10m x 10m VEG PLOT
- PERMANENT PHOTO LOCATION
- MONITORING PROFILE



YEAR 1 MONITORING

KO & ASSOCIATES, P.C.
 Consulting Engineers
 100 W. MAIN ST. SUITE 100
 WILMINGTON, N.C. 27407
 (910) 852-0005

25 0 50
 SCALE



LEGEND

- FLOW DIRECTION: Arrow symbol
- BANKFULL: Dashed line symbol
- BENCH: Dashed line symbol
- TERRACE: Dashed line symbol
- EASEMENT BOUNDARY: Line with 'E' symbol
- ROOTWAD: Tree symbol
- 5m x 20m VEG PLOT: Rectangle symbol
- 10m x 10m VEG PLOT: Square symbol
- PERMANENT PHOTO LOCATION: Black dot symbol
- MONITORING PROFILE: Red line symbol

YEAR 1 MONITORING	
BROWN MARSH SWAMP	
CONTRACT: 16-D06038	COUNTY: ROBESON
DESIGNED BY: RVS	DATE: 1008
CHECKED BY: RKW	SHEET 6 OF 8

NORTHERN UT

AGRICULTURAL FIELDS

AGRICULTURAL FIELDS

STORMWATER WETLAND

AGRICULTURAL DITCH


YEAR 1 MONITORING

KO & ASSOCIATES, P.C.
 Consulting Engineers
 100 W. HARRIS ST. SUITE 100
 WILMINGTON, N.C. 27407
 (910) 881-0006

25 0 50
 SCALE

LEGEND

- FLOW DIRECTION
- BANKFULL
- BENCH
- TERRACE
- EASEMENT BOUNDARY
- ROOTWAD
- LOG SILL
- 5m x 20m VEG PLOT
- 10m x 10m VEG PLOT
- PERMANENT PHOTO LOCATION
- MONITORING PROFILE




FOR SOUTHERN UT
 SEE SHEET NO. 8 OF 8

YEAR 1 MONITORING BROWN MARSH SWAMP	
CONTRACT: 16-D06038	COUNTY: ROBESON
DESIGNED BY: RYS	DATE: 1008
CHECKED BY: RKW	SHEET 7 OF 8

YEAR 1 MONITORING

KO & ASSOCIATES, P.C.
 Consulting Engineers
 100 W. MAIN ST. SUITE 100
 WILMINGTON, N.C. 27407
 (910) 852-0005

25 0 50
 SCALE



FOR NORTHERN UT
 SEE SHEET NO. 7 OF 8

YEAR 1 MONITORING BROWN MARSH SWAMP	
CONTRACT: 16-D06038	COUNTY: ROBESON
DESIGNED BY: RVS	DATE: 1008
CHECKED BY: RKW	SHEET 8 OF 8

LEGEND

- FLOW DIRECTION
- ~ BANKFULL
- - - BENCH
- - - TERRACE
- - - EASEMENT BOUNDARY
- ⊥ ROOTWAD
- ▬ LOG SILL
- 5m x 20m VEG PLOT
- 10m x 10m VEG PLOT
- PERMANENT PHOTO LOCATION
- MONITORING PROFILE