ANNUAL MONITORING REPORT
YEAR 4 (2011) ANNUAL MONITORING

BROWN MARSH SWAMP STREAM AND WETLAND RESTORATION SITE

Robeson County, North Carolina
Hydrologic Unit 03040204037010 of the Lumber River Basin
Contract No. 16-D06038

Prepared for:

NCDENR-Ecosystem Enhancement Program
2728 Capital Boulevard, Suite 1H 103
Raleigh, North Carolina 27604

November 2011

Prepared by:

Florence & Hutcheson

CONSULTING ENGINEERS

Florence & Hutcheson, Inc.
5121 Kingdom Way, Suite 100
Raleigh, North Carolina 27607
919.851.6066
919.851.6846 (fax)

For:

RESTORATION SYSTEMS | LLC

Restoration Systems
1101 Haynes Street, Suite 211
Raleigh, North Carolina 27604

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Brown Marsh Swamp Restoration Site (Site) is located one mile east of the North Carolina and South Carolina state line, and is approximately 15 miles southwest of the Town of Lumberton, in Robeson County. The Site is situated due east of the intersection of Cotton Valley Road and McCormick Road, approximately one mile south of Interstate 95. The Site is located within United States Geological Survey (USGS) Hydrologic Unit and Targeted Local Watershed 03040204037010 (North Carolina Division of Water Quality Subbasin 03-07-55) of the Lumber River Basin and will service the USGS 8-digit Cataloging Unit 03040204. The Site was identified to assist the North Carolina Ecosystem Enhancement Program in meeting its stream and wetland restoration goals.

Primary activities at the Site included 1) stream restoration, 2) wetland restoration, 3) soil scarification, and 4) plant community restoration. Project restoration efforts provided 5004 Stream Mitigation Units and 5.0 Nonriverine Wetland Mitigation Units.

Seventeen vegetation plots (10-10 meters by 10 meters and 7-20 meters by 5 meters in size) were established and permanently monumented. These plots were surveyed in August 2011 for the Year 4 (2011) monitoring season. Based on the number of stems counted, average densities were measured at 788 planted stems per acre surviving in Year 4 (2011). In addition, each individual plot met success criteria. The dominant species identified at the Site were planted stems of silky dogwood (*Cornus amomum*), elm (*Ulmus* sp.), green ash (*Fraxinus pennsylvanica*), overcup oak (*Quercus lyrata*), cherrybark oak (*Quercus pagoda*), and swamp chestnut oak (*Quercus michauxii*), and natural recruits of red maple (*Acer rubrum*). No vegetation problem areas were noted during the Year 4 (2011) monitoring season.

Twenty cross-sections and longitudinal profiles within five 600-foot reaches were measured for the Year 4 (2011) monitoring season. As a whole, monitoring measurements indicate minimal changes in both the longitudinal profile and cross-sections as compared to as-built data. The channel geometry compares favorably with the emulated, stable E/C type stream reach as set forth in the detailed mitigation plan and as constructed. Current monitoring has demonstrated dimension, pattern, and profile were stable over the course of the monitoring period. No stream problem areas were noted during Year 4 (2011) monitoring.

Two onsite groundwater gauges and one reference groundwater gauge were maintained for the Year 4 (2011) monitoring season. Monitoring Gauge 2 was inundated/saturated within 12 inches of the surface for greater than 12.5 percent of the growing season while Gauge 1 was shy of success criteria with inundation/saturation within 12 inches of the surface for 10.2 percent of the growing season. In addition, the reference gauge did not meet success criteria with inundation/saturation within 12 inches of the surface for 3.7 percent of the Year 4 (2011) growing season. No wetland problem areas were noted.

In summary, the Site achieved success criteria for vegetation, stream, and hydrology attributes in the Fourth Monitoring Year (2011).

Table of Contents

List of Figures

List of Tables

Appendices

1.0 PROJECT BACKGROUND

1.1 Location and Setting

The Site is located one mile east of the North Carolina and South Carolina state line, and approximately 3.2 miles southeast of the town of Rowland (Figure 1). The center of the Site has a latitude and longitude of 034º 29' 31.85" N and 079º 16' 26.87'' W. The Site is situated due east of the intersection of Cotton Valley Road (SR 2492) and McCormick Road (SR 2491), approximately one mile south of Interstate 95. The Site is located within United States Geological Survey (USGS) Hydrologic Unit and Targeted Local Watershed 03040204037010 (North Carolina Division of Water Quality [NCDWQ] Subbasin 03-07-55) of the Lumber River Basin and will service the USGS 8-digit Cataloging Unit 03040204 (USGS 1974, NCWRP 2003). The Site was identified to assist the North Carolina Ecosystem Enhancement Program (EEP) in meeting its stream and wetland restoration goals.

Directions to the Site from Raleigh, North Carolina, are as follows:

- Take Interstate 40 East for approximately 18 miles to Interstate Highway 95 (I-95) South
- Take I-95 South for approximately 80 miles to Exit 2, North Carolina Highway 130 (NC-130)
- Take a left/travel south on NC-130 for approximately 0.1 mile to Cotton Valley Road (SR 2492) and turn right
- Follow Cotton Valley Road for approximately 2 miles
- The project is south of Cotton Valley Road and east of McCormick Road (SR 2491)

1.2 Project Objectives

The primary components of the restoration project included 1) construction of a stable, riffle-pool stream channel; 2) enhancement of water quality functions within, upstream, and downstream of the Site; 3) creation of a natural vegetated buffer along restored stream channels; 4) restoration of jurisdictional nonriverine wetlands in the Site; 5) improvement of aquatic habitat and species diversity by enhancing stream bed variability; and 6) restoration of wildlife functions associated with a riparian corridor/stable stream.

1.3 Project Structure, Restoration Type, and Approach

A 20.25-acre conservation easement has been placed on the Site to incorporate all restoration activities. The Site contains 5.0 acres of hydric soils, two first-order unnamed tributaries (UTs) to Contrary Swamp (Northern UT and Southern UT), associated floodplain, and upland slopes. The purpose of this project was to restore stable pattern, dimension, and profile to the UTs; restore hydrology to drained nonriverine wetlands; and revegetate streams, floodplains, wetlands, and upland slopes within the Site. The contributing watershed is characterized primarily by agricultural row crop production and pine plantation/forest land. Preproject Site conditions consisted of agricultural row crop production. Land use modifications including the removal of riparian vegetation, straightening and dredging of stream channels, and ditching of floodplain wetlands resulted in degraded water quality and unstable channel characteristics (stream entrenchment, erosion, and bank collapse).

The primary goals of this stream and wetland restoration project focused on improving water quality, decreasing floodwater levels, and restoring aquatic and riparian habitat. These goals were accomplished by:

Robeson County
North Carolina



PROJECT AREA

0 2000 4000
FEET



RESTORATION SYSTEMS, LLC

Florence & Hutcheson
CONSULTING ENGINEERS

Vicinity Map

Brown Marsh Swamp
Robeson County, North Carolina

Date: 11/07/08	Figure: 1

- Reducing nonpoint sources of pollution associated with agricultural land uses by providing a forested buffer adjacent to streams to treat surface runoff.
- Reestablishing stream stability and the capacity to transport watershed flows and sediment loads by restoring stable dimension, pattern, and profile.
- Promoting floodwater attenuation by;
    - excavating a floodplain at a new bankfull elevation;
    - restoring a secondary, entrenched tributary thereby reducing floodwater velocities within smaller catchment basins;
    - increasing storage capacity for floodwaters within the Site limits; and
    - revegetating floodplains to increase frictional resistance on floodwaters.
- Improving aquatic habitat by enhancing stream bed variability, restoring a riffle-pool complex, and by incorporating grade control/habitat structures.
- Providing wildlife habitat including a forested riparian corridor within an area highly dissected by agricultural land uses.

Primary activities at the Site included 1) stream restoration, 2) wetland restoration, 3) soil scarification, and 4) plant community restoration. Table 1 describes the Site restoration structures and objectives, which have provided 5004 Stream Mitigation Units (SMUs) and 5.0 Nonriverine Wetland Mitigation Units (WMUs).

- Restored 5004 linear feet of two unnamed tributaries to Contrary Swamp (Northern UT and Southern UT) by constructing moderately sinuous, E-type channels on new location.
- Restored 5.0 acres of nonriverine wetland within the interstream flat filling ditches, removing elevated spoil, thereby reestablishing historic water table elevations.
- Reforested approximately 20.05 acres of floodplain, stream bank, upland slopes, and nonriverine wetlands with native forest species.

Table 1. Site Restoration Structures and Objectives

Restoration Segment/ Reach ID	Station Range	Restoration Type/Approach*	Existing Linear Footage/ Acreage	Designed Linear Footage/Acreage	SMU/WMUs
Northern UT	10+00 – 54+65	Restoration/PII	2700	4,465	4465
Southern UT	10+00 – 15+39	Restoration/PII	442	539	539
Nonriverine Wetlands	--	Restoration	5.0	5.0	5.0
Mitigation Unit Summations					
Stream	**Nonriverine Wetland**				
5004 SMUs	5.0 WMUs				

*PII=Priority 2

1.4 Project History and Background

Completed project activities, reporting history, completion dates, project contacts, and background information are summarized in Tables 2-4.

Table 2. Project Activity and Reporting History

Activity or Report	Data Collection Completion	Actual Completion or Delivery
Restoration Plan	November 2006	December 2006
Final Design (~90%)	NA	July 2007
Construction Completion	NA	November 2007
Site Planting	NA	January 2008
Mitigation Plan/As-builts	February 2008	April 2008
Year 1 Monitoring (2008)	November 2008	November 2008
Supplemental Planting	NA	Early 2009
Year 2 Monitoring (2009)	November 2009	November 2009
Year 3 Monitoring (2010)	November 2010	November 2010
Year 4 Monitoring (2011)	November 2011	November 2011

Table 3. Project Contacts Table

Full Delivery Provider	Restoration Systems 1101 Haynes Street, Suite 211 Raleigh, North Carolina 27604 George Howard and John Preyer (919) 755-9490
Designer and Monitoring Performer (Streams and Groundwater Hydrology)	Florence & Hutcheson 5121 Kingdom Way, Suite 100 Raleigh, North Carolina 27607 Kevin Williams (919) 851-6066
Construction Contractor	Land Mechanics Designs, Inc. Lloyd Glover 126 Circle G Lane Willow Springs, North Carolina 27592 (919) 639-6132
Planting Contractor	Carolina Silvics 908 Indian Trail Road Edenton, North Carolina 27932 Dwight McKinney (252) 482-8491
Monitoring Performer (Vegetation)	Axiom Environmental, Inc. 218 Snow Avenue Raleigh, North Carolin 27603 Grant Lewis (919) 215-1693

Table 4. Project Background Table

Project County	Robeson County, North Carolina
Drainage Area	Northern UT - 1.13 square miles Southern UT - 0.18 square mile
Drainage impervious cover estimate (%)	< 1
Stream Order	Second
Physiographic Region	Coastal Plain
Ecoregion	Southeastern Plains, Atlantic Southern Loam Plains
Rosgen Classification of As-built	E-/C-type
Dominant Soil Types	Trebloc, Nahunta, Exum, Faceville
Reference Site ID	Mill Creek, UT to Wildcat Branch, UT to Hog Swamp
USGS HUC	03040204
NCDWQ Subbasin	03-07-55
NCDWQ Classification	C Sw (Stream Index # 14-35-2)
Any portion of any project segment 303d listed?	No
Any portion of any project segment upstream of a 303d listed segment?	No
Reasons for 303d listing or stressor	Not Applicable
% of project easement fenced	0%

1.5 Monitoring Plan View

Monitoring activities for the Site, including relevant structures and utilities, project features, specific project structures, and monitoring features are detailed in the monitoring plan view in Appendix D. Site features including vegetation, stream dimension (cross-sections), stream profile and pattern, wetland hydrology, and photographic documentation were monitored in Year 4 (2011).

2.0 PROJECT CONDITION AND MONITORING RESULTS

2.1 Vegetation Assessment

Following Site construction, seventeen plots (10-10 meters by 10 meters and 7-20 meters by 5 meters in size) were established and monumented with metal fence posts at all plot corners and PVC at each plot origin. Sampling was conducted as outlined in the *CVS-EEP Protocol for Recording Vegetation, Version 4.0* (Lee et al. 2006) (http://cvs.bio.unc.edu/methods.htm); results are included in Appendix A. The taxonomic standard for vegetation used for this document was *Flora of the Carolinas, Virginia, Georgia, and Surrounding Areas* (Weakley 2007). The locations of vegetation monitoring plots were placed to accurately represent the entire Site and are depicted on the monitoring plan view in Appendix D.

2.1.1 Vegetation Success Criteria

Success criteria have been established to verify that the vegetation component supports community elements necessary for forest development. Success criteria are dependent upon the density and growth of characteristic forest species. Additional success criteria are dependent upon density and growth of "Character Tree Species." Character Tree Species include planted species, species identified through visual inventory of an approved reference (relatively undisturbed) forest community used to orient the Site design, and appropriate community descriptions from *Classification of the Natural Communities of North Carolina* (Schafale and Weakley 1990) including Coastal Plain Small Stream Swamp and

Nonriverine Wet Hardwood Forest. All canopy tree species planted and identified in the reference forest will be utilized to define "Character Tree Species" as termed in the success criteria. Table 5 below outlines planted and reference forest species.

Table 5. Planted and Reference Forest Ecosystem

Planted and Reference Forest Ecosystem Character Tree Species
Red maple (*Acer rubrum*)
Ironwood (*Carpinus caroliniana*)
Green ash (*Fraxinus pennsylvanica*)
American holly (*Ilex opaca*)
Sweetgum (*Liquidambar styraciflua*)
Tulip poplar (*Liriodendron tulipifera*)
Water tupelo (*Nyssa biflora*)
Laurel oak (*Quercus laurifolia*)
Overcup oak (*Quercus lyrata*)
Swamp chestnut oak (*Quercus michauxii*)
Water oak (*Quercus nigra*)
Cherrybark oak (*Quercus pagoda*)
American elm (*Ulmus americana*)

Success criteria dictate that an average density of 320 stems per acre of Character Tree Species must be surviving in the first three monitoring years. Subsequently, 290 Character Tree Species per acre must be surviving in year 4 and 260 Character Tree Species per acre in year 5.

2.1.2 Vegetative Problem Areas

During Year 1 (2008) monitoring, vegetation sampling across the Site was above the required average density with 476 stems per acre of Character Tree Species surviving; however, five of the seventeen plots had low densities (plots 12 and 14-17). Approximately 5 acres of the Site with low densities of stem survival were replanted at a density of 680 stems per acre in early 2009 prior to Year 2 (2009) monitoring. These areas appear to be recovering well. No vegetation problem areas were noted during the Year 4 (2011) monitoring season.

2.2 Stream Assessment

Twenty permanent cross-sections within five 600-foot reaches were established after construction was completed. Measurements of each cross-section include points at all breaks in slope including top of bank, bankfull, and thalweg. Riffle cross-sections are classified using the Rosgen stream classification system. Longitudinal profile measurements of five 600-foot reaches include thalweg, water surface, and bankfull; with each measurement taken at the head of facets (i.e. riffle, run, pool, and glide) in addition to the maximum pool depth.

2.2.1 Stream Success Criteria

Success criteria for stream restoration will include 1) successful classification of the reach as a functioning stream system (Rosgen 1996) and 2) channel variables indicative of a stable stream system.

The channel configuration will be measured on an annual basis in order to track changes in channel geometry and profile. These data will be utilized to determine the success in restoring stream channel stability. Specifically, the width-to-depth ratio should characterize an E-type or borderline E-/C-type channel, bank-height ratios indicative of a stable or moderately unstable channel, and minimal changes in cross-sectional area, channel width, and/or bank erosion along the monitoring reach. In addition, channel abandonment and/or shoot cutoffs must not occur and sinuosity values must remain relatively constant. The field indicator of bankfull will be described in each monitoring year and indicated on a representative channel cross-section figure. If the stream channel is down-cutting or the channel width is enlarging due to bank erosion, additional bank or slope stabilization methods will be employed. Stream substrate is not expected to coarsen over time; therefore, pebble counts are not proposed as part of the stream success criteria.

Visual assessment of in-stream structures will be conducted to determine if failure has occurred. Failure of a structure may be indicated by collapse of the structure, undermining of the structure, abandonment of the channel around the structure, and/or stream flow beneath the structure.

2.2.2 Bankfull Events

Documented bankfull events are included in the table below. At least five bankfull events were documented to date during the Year 4 (2011) monitoring period for a total of twelve bankfull events.

Table 6. Verification of Bankfull Events

Date of Occurrence	Method	Photo (if available)
April 5, 2008	A total of 3.73 inches of rain fell on April 5, 2008*.	--
September 6, 2008	A total of 4.6 inches of rain fell on September 5-6, 2008*	Photos 1-2
March 1, 2009	A total of 2.0 inches of rain fell on February 28-March 1, 2009 *. In addition wrack was documented within the floodplain during a Site visit.	--
November 11, 2009	A total of 3.3 inches of rain fell on November 10-12, 2009* resulting from Tropical Storm Ida	--
May 23, 2010	A total of 2.7 inches of rain fell on May 22-24, 2010*.	--
July 27, 2010	A total of 2.9 inches of rain fell on July 27, 2010*.	--
September 27, 2010	A 7.7-inch* rainfall event occurring between September 26-30, 201 *.	--
February 5, 2011	A 2.5-inch* rainfall event occurring between February 2-5, 2011*.	--
July 5, 2011	A 3.1-inch* rainfall event occurring between July 4-6, 2011*.	--
August 12, 2011	A 3.5-inch* rainfall event occurring between August 12-14, 2011*.	--
August 19, 2011	A total of 4.4 inches* of rainfall occurring between August 19-22, 2011* one week after a total of 3.5 inches of rain.	--
August 26, 2011	A total of 2.0 inches* of rainfall occurring between August 26-27, 2011* after a total of 7.9 inches of rain the previous two weeks.	--

*as recorded at a nearby station in Lumberton (Weather Underground 2011)

Photos 1-2: Wrack and remnant flooding

2.2.3 Stream Problem Areas

No stream problem areas were documented within the Site during the Year 4 (2011) monitoring period.

2.2.4 Categorical Stream Feature Visual Stability Assessment

Each stream reach was visually inspected during the Year 4 (2011) monitoring period using eight feature categories and various metrics within each category. Assessment features included riffles, pools, thalweg, meanders, channel bed, structures, and root wads/boulders. Tables for semi-quantitative assessements of each reach are included in Appendix B (Tables B1-B5). The mean percentage of performance for features within each reach are summarized in the tables below.

Table 7A. Categorical Stream Feature Visual Stability Assessment: Brown Marsh (Reach 1)

Feature	Year 1 (2008)	Year 2 (2009)	Year 3 (2010)	Year 4 (2011)	Year 5 (2012)
A. Riffles	100%	100%	100%	100%	
B. Pools	100%	100%	100%	100%	
C. Thalweg	100%	100%	100%	100%	
D. Meanders	100%	100%	100%	100%	
E. Bed General	100%	100%	100%	100%	
F. Banks	100%	100%	100%	100%	
G. Vanes / J. Hooks, Etc.	NA	NA	NA	NA	
H. Wads and Boulders	NA	NA	NA	NA	

Table 7B. Categorical Stream Feature Visual Stability Assessment: Brown Marsh (Reach 2)

Feature	Year 1 (2008)	Year 2 (2009)	Year 3 (2010)	Year 4 (2011)	Year 5 (2012)
A. Riffles	100%	100%	100%	100%	
B. Pools	100%	100%	100%	100%	
C. Thalweg	100%	100%	100%	100%	
D. Meanders	100%	100%	100%	100%	
E. Bed General	100%	100%	100%	100%	
F. Banks	100%	100%	100%	100%	
G. Vanes / J. Hooks, Etc.	NA	NA	NA	NA	
H. Wads and Boulders	NA	NA	NA	NA	

Table 7C. Categorical Stream Feature Visual Stability Assessment

Brown Marsh (Reach 3)

Feature	Year 1 (2008)	Year 2 (2009)	Year 3 (2010)	Year 4 (2011)	Year 5 (2012)
A. Riffles	100%	100%	100%	100%	
B. Pools	100%	100%	100%	100%	
C. Thalweg	100%	100%	100%	100%	
D. Meanders	100%	100%	100%	100%	
E. Bed General	100%	100%	100%	100%	
F. Banks	100%	100%	100%	100%	
G. Vanes / J. Hooks, Etc.	NA	NA	NA	NA	
H. Wads and Boulders	100%	100%	100%	100%	

Table 7D. Categorical Stream Feature Visual Stability Assessment

Brown Marsh (Reach 4)

Feature	Year 1 (2008)	Year 2 (2009)	Year 3 (2010)	Year 4 (2011)	Year 5 (2012)
A. Riffles	100%	100%	100%	100%	
B. Pools	100%	100%	100%	100%	
C. Thalweg	100%	100%	100%	100%	
D. Meanders	100%	100%	100%	100%	
E. Bed General	100%	100%	100%	100%	
F. Banks	100%	100%	100%	100%	
G. Vanes / J. Hooks, Etc.	NA	NA	NA	NA	
H. Wads and Boulders	100%	100%	100%	100%	

Table 7E. Categorical Stream Feature Visual Stability Assessment

Brown Marsh (Reach 5)

Feature	Year 1 (2008)	Year 2 (2009)	Year 3 (2010)	Year 4 (2011)	Year 5 (2012)
A. Riffles	100%	100%	100%	100%	
B. Pools	100%	100%	100%	100%	
C. Thalweg	100%	100%	100%	100%	
D. Meanders	100%	100%	100%	100%	
E. Bed General	100%	100%	100%	100%	
F. Banks	100%	100%	100%	100%	
G. Vanes / J. Hooks, Etc.	NA	NA	NA	NA	
H. Wads and Boulders	100%	100%	100%	100%	

2.2.5 Quantitative Stream Measurements

During the Year 4 (2011) monitoring period 20 cross-sections and longitudinal profiles within five 600-foot reaches were measured. Permanent cross-sections and longitudinal profiles are included in Appendix B; each is graphically depicted for as-built through Year 4 (2011) for analysis. Reach 1 did not have water during Year 4 (2011) stream measurements; therefore, water surface slopes could not be calculated. As a whole, monitoring measurements indicate minimal changes in both the longitudinal profile and cross-sections as compared to as-built data. The channel geometry compares favorably with the emulated, stable E/C type stream reach as set forth in the detailed mitigation plan and as constructed. Current monitoring has demonstrated dimension, pattern, and profile were stable over the course of the monitoring period. Tables for quantitative assessments are included below; these tables include data from previous years.

2.3 Wetland Assessment

Two groundwater monitoring gauges and one reference groundwater gauge were maintained and monitored throughout the Year 4 (2011) growing season. Graphs of groundwater hydrology and precipitation from a nearby rain station (Weather Underground 2011) are included in Appendix C.

2.3.1 Wetland Success Criteria

Target hydrological characteristics include saturation or inundation for at least 12.5 percent within Trebloc soils (nonriverine wetlands) of the growing season, during average climatic conditions. This value is based on DRAINMOD simulations for 62 years of rainfall data in an old field stage. These areas are expected to support hydrophytic vegetation. If wetland parameters are marginal a jurisdictional determination will be performed for vegetation and soils in these areas (Environmental Laboratory 1987).

2.3.2 Wetland Problem Areas

No wetland problem areas were identified within the Site during Year 4 (2011) monitoring.

Table 8A. Baseline Morphology and Hydraulic Summary
Brown Marsh Swamp (Reach 1)

Parameter	USGS Gage Data			Pre-Existing Condition			Project Reference Stream (Mill Cr)			Project Reference Stream (Wild Cat Br)			Design			As-built		
Dimension	**Min**	**Max**	**Med**	**Min**	**Max**	**Med**	**Min**	**Max**	**Med**	**Min**	**Max**	**Med**	**Min**	**Max**	**Med**	**Min**	**Max**	**Med**
BF Width (ft)	USGS gage data is unavailable for this project					5.6			11.3			8.2			7.5	6.2	6.3	6.3
Floodprone Width (ft)						7.3			300			130			15	25	39	32
BF Cross Sectional Area (ft2)						3			21			8.5			5.9	3.8	4.2	4
BF Mean Depth (ft)						0.9			1.9			1			0.8	0.6	0.7	0.6
BF Max Depth (ft)						1.2			2.6			1.6			1.6			1.1
Width/Depth Ratio						6			6			8			9.5	10	11	11
Entrenchment Ratio						1.3			26			16			2	4	6	5
Bank Height Ratio						===			===			===			1			1
Wetted Perimeter(ft)						===			===			===			===			===
Hydraulic radius (ft)						===			===			===			===			===
Pattern																		
Channel Beltwidth (ft)						100	15	27		14	19		15	45		16	36	27
Radius of Curvature (ft)						11.7	10	30		11	15		15	22.5				18
Meander Wavelength (ft)						800	38	73		23	29		22.5	75		61	89	74
Meander Width ratio						18	1.3	2.4		1.7	2.4		2	6		10	14	12
Profile																		
Riffle length (ft)						===			===			===			===	13	33	23
Riffle slope (ft/ft)						===			===			===			===	0.02%	2.36%	0.88%
Pool length (ft)						===			===			===			===	12	22	16
Pool spacing (ft)				4.7	54.1		11.4	61		14	17		18	46		26	55	40
Substrate																		
d50 (mm)						===			===			===			===			===
d84 (mm)						===			===			===			===			===
Additional Reach Parameters																		
Valley Length (ft)						===			===			===			===			===
Channel Length (ft)						===			===			===			===			599
Sinuosity						1			1.2			1.2			1.2			1.2
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)						0.03%			0.03%			0.02%			0.03%			0.23%
BF slope (ft/ft)						===			===			===			===			===
Rosgen Classification						G5			E5			E5			E5			E5

Table 8B. Baseline Morphology and Hydraulic Summary
Brown Marsh Swamp (Reaches 2, 3, 4, and 5)

Parameter	USGS Gage Data			Pre-Existing Condition			Project Reference Stream (Mill Cr)			Project Reference Stream (Wild Cat Br)			Design			As-built		
Dimension	**Min**	**Max**	**Med**	**Min**	**Max**	**Med**	**Min**	**Max**	**Med**	**Min**	**Max**	**Med**	**Min**	**Max**	**Med**	**Min**	**Max**	**Med**
BF Width (ft)	USGS gage data is unavailable for this project					10.7			11.3			8.2			11.5	10	15	12
Floodprone Width (ft)						21.7			300			130			35	35	50	39
BF Cross Sectional Area (ft2)						19.7			21			8.5			17.6	12	19	14
BF Mean Depth (ft)						1.9			1.9			1			1.5	1.2	1.3	1.2
BF Max Depth (ft)						2.9			2.6			1.6			1.5	2	2.3	2.2
Width/Depth Ratio						6			6			8			7.5	8.3	12.5	10.0
Entrenchment Ratio						2			26			16			3	2.9	4.2	3.3
Bank Height Ratio						===			===			===			1			1
Wetted Perimeter(ft)						===			===			===			===			===
Hydraulic radius (ft)						===			===			===			===			===
Pattern																		
Channel Beltwidth (ft)						600	15	27		14	19		23	69		23	87	62
Radius of Curvature (ft)				150	400		10	30		11	15		23	35				35
Meander Wavelength (ft)						1500	38	73		23	29		34	115		95	180	142
Meander Width ratio						56	1.3	2.4		1.7	2.4		2	6		7.9	15.0	11.8
Profile																		
Riffle length (ft)						===			===			===			===	45	75	59
Riffle slope (ft/ft)						===			===			===			===	0.03%	0.31%	0.21%
Pool length (ft)						===			===			===			===	19	37	28
Pool spacing (ft)				60	140		11.4	61		14	17		27	70		62	105	81
Substrate																		
d50 (mm)						===			===			===			===			===
d84 (mm)						===			===			===			===			===
Additional Reach Parameters																		
Valley Length (ft)						===			===			===			===			===
Channel Length (ft)						===			===			===			===			4465
Sinuosity						1			1.2			1.2			1.4			1.4
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)						0.01%			0.03%			0.02%			0.01%			0.11%
BF slope (ft/ft)						===			===			===			===			===
Rosgen Classification						G5			E5			E5			E5			E5

Table 9A. Morphology and Hydraulic Monitoring Summary
Brown Marsh Swamp
Reach 1 (Sta. 10+10 to 15+67)

Parameter	Cross Section 17 Station 13+60 Riffle						Cross Section 18 Station 12+45 Pool						Cross Section 19 Station 10+72 Riffle						Cross Section 20 Station 10+52 Pool					
Dimension	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
BF Width (ft)	8.8	7.8	9.8	8.5			7.0	8.2	8.8	8.0			6.7	7.2	7.2	7.7			6.2	6.9	7.8	7.0		
Floodprone Width (ft) (approx)	35.0						35.0						35.0						35.0					
BF Cross Sectional Area (ft^2)	4.7	4.9	6.2	4.5			7.7	6.9	7.8	7.0			4.3	3.8	3.8	3.6			6.2	5.4	6.4	5.8		
BF Mean Depth (ft)	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.5			1.1	0.8	0.9	0.9			0.6	0.5	0.5	0.5			1.0	0.8	0.8	0.8		
BF Max Depth (ft)	1.3	1.3	1.2	1.2			2.1	1.6	1.6	1.6			1.1	1.0	0.9	0.9			1.9	1.5	1.5	1.4		
Width/Depth Ratio	16.2	12.6	15.5	15.9			NA	NA	NA	NA			10.4	13.6	13.7	16.3			NA	NA	NA	NA		
Entrenchment Ratio	3.4	4.5	3.6	4.1			NA	NA	NA	NA			4.5	4.9	4.8	4.5			NA	NA	NA	NA		
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			NA	NA	NA	NA			1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			NA	NA	NA	NA		
Wetted Perimeter(ft)	9.3	8.3	10.2	8.9			8.3	8.9	9.4	8.7			7.1	7.6	7.5	7.9			7.4	7.6	8.4	7.6		
Hydraulic radius (ft)	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.5			0.9	0.8	0.8	0.8			0.6	0.5	0.5	0.5			0.8	0.7	0.8	0.8		
Substrate	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
d50 (mm)																								
d84 (mm)																								

Parameter	MY-01 (2008)			MY-02 (2009)			MY-03 (2010)			MY-04 (2011)			MY-05 (2012)			MY+		
Pattern	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	16	36	27	16	36	27	16	36	27	16	36	27						
Radius of Curvature (ft)	0	0	18	0	0	18	0	0	18	0	0	18						
Meander Wavelength (ft)	61	74	89	61	74	89	61	74	89	61	74	89						
Meander Width ratio	1.7	2.1	2.5	1.7	2.1	2.5	1.7	2.1	2.5	1.7	2.1	2.5						
Profile	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med
Riffle length (ft)	7	36.7	19.7	5	35	20	9	23	14	11	70	27						
Riffle slope (ft/ft)	0.1%	2.4%	0.4%	NA*	NA*	NA*	NA*	NA*	NA*	NA*	NA*	NA*						
Pool length (ft)	3	17.3	4.1	7	27	20	17	44	26	13	20	18						
Pool spacing (ft)	26	55	40	26	55	40	26	55	40	26	55	40						

Additional Reach Parameters	MY-01 (2008)	MY-02 (2009)	MY-03 (2010)	MY-04 (2011)	MY-05 (2012)	MY+
Valley Length (ft)	499	493	458	488		
Channel Length (ft)	599	591	550	585		
Sinuosity	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2		
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)	0.18%	NA*	NA*	NA*		
BF slope (ft/ft)	– – –	– – –	– – –	– – –		
Rosgen Classification	C/E type	C/E type	C/E type	C/E type		
Number of Bankfull Events	1	1	3	5		

NA* No water in channel; therefore, slope calculations could not be evaluated.

Table 9B. Morphology and Hydraulic Monitoring Summary
Brown Marsh Swamp
Reach 2 (Sta. 46+10 to 52+78)

Parameter	Cross Section 13 Station 47+45 Pool						Cross Section 14 Station 47+48 Riffle						Cross Section 15 Station 50+75 Pool						Cross Section 16 Station 52+02 Riffle					
Dimension	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
BF Width (ft)	12.9	12.5	13.0	11.9			10.9	10.9	10.9	10.0			10.9	11.4	11.0	10.9			10.8	12.0	11.9	10.3		
Floodprone Width (ft) (approx)	45.0						45.0						45.0						45.0					
BF Cross Sectional Area (ft^2)	21.3	20.1	21.6	19.8			14.1	12.8	13.3	11.6			20.0	20.1	19.0	18.9			14.4	13.9	14.5	13.1		
BF Mean Depth (ft)	1.7	1.6	1.7	1.7			1.3	1.2	1.2	1.2			1.8	1.8	1.7	1.7			1.3	1.2	1.2	1.3		
BF Max Depth (ft)	3.1	3.0	3.0	3.2			2.1	2.3	2.2	2.3			3.3	3.4	2.7	2.9			2.3	2.4	2.3	2.4		
Width/Depth Ratio	NA	NA	NA	NA			8.4	9.2	8.9	8.7			NA	NA	NA	NA			8.1	10.4	9.7	8.1		
Entrenchment Ratio	NA	NA	NA	NA			4.1	4.1	4.1	4.5			NA	NA	NA	NA			4.2	3.8	3.8	4.4		
Bank Height Ratio	NA	NA	NA	NA			1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			NA	NA	NA	NA			1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		
Wetted Perimeter(ft)	14.8	14.1	14.4	14.0			12.0	11.9	11.8	11.2			13.1	13.4	12.6	12.6			12.0	13.2	12.8	11.5		
Hydraulic radius (ft)	1.4	1.4	1.5	1.4			1.2	1.1	1.1	1.0			1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5			1.2	1.1	1.1	1.1		
Substrate	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
d50 (mm)																								
d84 (mm)																								

Parameter	MY-01 (2008)			MY-02 (2009)			MY-03 (2010)			MY-04 (2011)			MY-05 (2012)			MY+		
Pattern	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	23	87	62	23	87	62	23	87	62	23	87	62						
Radius of Curvature (ft)	0	0	35	0	0	35	0	0	35	0	0	35						
Meander Wavelength (ft)	95	180	142	95	180	142	95	180	142	95	180	142						
Meander Width ratio	2.1	4.0	3.2	2.1	4.0	3.2	2.1	4.0	3.2	2.1	4.0	3.2						
Profile	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med
Riffle length (ft)	53.6	85.8	68.3	26	68	57	25	41	29	46	133	101						
Riffle slope (ft/ft)	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%	NA*	NA*	NA*	NA*	NA*	NA*	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%						
Pool length (ft)	2.6	5.4	3.4	13	51	21	36	79	47	29	46	31						
Pool spacing (ft)	62	105	81	62	105	81	62	105	81	62	105	81						

Additional Reach Parameters	MY-01 (2008)	MY-02 (2009)	MY-03 (2010)	MY-04 (2011)	MY-05 (2012)	MY+
Valley Length (ft)	478	429	486	4779		
Channel Length (ft)	669	600	680	670		
Sinuosity	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4		
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)	0.10%	NA*	NA*	0.00%		
BF slope (ft/ft)	– – –	– – –	– – –	– – –		
Rosgen Classification	E type	E type	E type	E type		
Number of Bankfull Events	1	1	3	5		

NA* No water in channel; therefore, slope calculations could not be evaluated.

Table 9C. Morphology and Hydraulic Monitoring Summary
Brown Marsh Swamp
Reach 3 (Sta. 37+30 to 43+69)

Parameter	Cross Section 9						Cross Section 10						Cross Section 11						Cross Section 12					
	Station 41+25 Riffle						Station 42+30 Pool						Station 43+75 Riffle						Station 45+05 Pool					
Dimension	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
BF Width (ft)	12.3	11.6	11.7	10.7			14.6	11.7	11.8	11.8			12.6	10.4	11.6	10.0			12.0	9.3	10.8	10.0		
Floodprone Width (ft) (approx)	45.0						45.0						45.0						45.0					
BF Cross Sectional Area (ft^2)	14.8	13.1	13.3	12.5			20.3	17.4	18.2	15.4			16.4	11.1	12.7	9.5			18.6	10.5	13.7	12.8		
BF Mean Depth (ft)	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.2			1.4	1.5	1.5	1.3			1.3	1.1	1.1	1.0			1.6	1.1	1.3	1.3		
BF Max Depth (ft)	2.3	2.1	2.1	2.2			3.6	2.8	2.7	2.7			2.5	2.1	2.1	2.0			2.9	2.1	2.2	2.2		
Width/Depth Ratio	10.2	10.2	10.4	9.1			NA	NA	NA	NA			9.7	9.8	10.6	10.5			NA	NA	NA	NA		
Entrenchment Ratio	3.7	3.9	3.8	4.2			NA	NA	NA	NA			3.6	4.3	3.9	4.5			NA	NA	NA	NA		
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			NA	NA	NA	NA			1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			NA	NA	NA	NA		
Wetted Perimeter(ft)	13.2	12.4	12.5	11.7			16.6	13.1	13.1	13.6			13.7	11.2	12.4	11.0			13.6	10.3	11.8	11.1		
Hydraulic radius (ft)	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1			1.2	1.3	1.4	1.1			1.2	1.0	1.0	0.9			1.4	1.0	1.2	1.1		
Substrate	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
d50 (mm)																								
d84 (mm)																								

Parameter	MY-01 (2008)			MY-02 (2009)			MY-03 (2010)			MY-04 (2011)			MY-05 (2012)			MY+		
Pattern	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	23	87	62	23	87	62	23	87	62	23	87	62						
Radius of Curvature (ft)	0	0	35	0	0	35	0	0	35	0	0	35						
Meander Wavelength (ft)	95	180	142	95	180	142	95	180	142	95	180	142						
Meander Width ratio	2.1	4.0	3.2	2.1	4.0	3.2	2.1	4.0	3.2	2.1	4.0	3.2						
Profile	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med
Riffle length (ft)	55.3	98.7	70.8	6	62	38	35	54	41	40	76	56						
Riffle slope (ft/ft)	0.03%	0.08%	0.03%	NA*	NA*	NA*	NA*	NA*	NA*	0.14%	0.28%	0.15%						
Pool length (ft)	0.7	4.6	3.4	19	47	39	46	55	50	22	37	30						
Pool spacing (ft)	62	105	81	62	105	81	62	105	81	62	105	81						

Additional Reach Parameters	MY-01 (2008)	MY-02 (2009)	MY-03 (2010)	MY-04 (2011)	MY-05 (2012)	MY+
Valley Length (ft)	456	429	457	444		
Channel Length (ft)	639	600	640	621		
Sinuosity	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4		
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)	0.14%	NA*	NA*	0.12%		
BF slope (ft/ft)	– – –	– – –	– – –	– – –		
Rosgen Classification	E type	E type	E type	E type		
Number of Bankfull Events	1	1	3	5		

NA* No water in channel; therefore, slope calculations could not be evaluated.

Table 9D. Morphology and Hydraulic Monitoring Summary
Brown Marsh Swamp
Reach 4 (Sta. 20+16 to 26+22)

Parameter	Cross Section 5 Station 20+55 Pool						Cross Section 6 Station 21+80 Riffle						Cross Section 7 Station 22+95 Pool						Cross Section 8 Station 25+80 Riffle					
Dimension	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
BF Width (ft)	11.1	11.2	11.3	9.5			11.3	11.5	10.2	11.4			13.6	12.9	13.2	12.1			11.2	12.0	10.5	10.0		
Floodprone Width (ft) (approx)	45.0						45.0						45.0						45.0					
BF Cross Sectional Area (ft^2)	19.0	15.1	15.7	15.0			13.9	13.2	12.2	15.0			21.8	18.9	20.2	17.3			11.2	12.3	12.2	11.0		
BF Mean Depth (ft)	1.7	1.4	1.4	1.6			1.2	1.1	1.2	1.3			1.6	1.5	1.5	1.4			1.0	1.0	1.2	1.1		
BF Max Depth (ft)	3.2	2.6	2.6	2.6			2.4	2.3	2.2	2.4			3.2	2.7	2.7	2.5			2.2	2.2	2.2	2.2		
Width/Depth Ratio	NA	NA	NA	NA			9.1	10.1	8.5	8.6			NA	NA	NA	NA			11.3	11.7	9.0	9.0		
Entrenchment Ratio	NA	NA	NA	NA			4.0	3.9	4.4	3.9			NA	NA	NA	NA			4.0	3.8	4.3	4.5		
Bank Height Ratio	NA	NA	NA	NA			1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			NA	NA	NA	NA			1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		
Wetted Perimeter(ft)	13.0	12.6	12.7	11.3			12.4	12.5	11.2	12.4			15.5	14.2	14.4	13.2			12.3	12.9	11.4	11.0		
Hydraulic radius (ft)	1.5	1.2	1.2	1.3			1.1	1.1	1.1	1.2			1.4	1.3	1.4	1.3			0.9	1.0	1.1	1.0		
Substrate	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
d50 (mm)																								
d84 (mm)																								

Parameter	MY-01 (2008)			MY-02 (2009)			MY-03 (2010)			MY-04 (2011)			MY-05 (2012)			MY+		
Pattern	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	23	87	62	23	87	62	23	87	62	23	87	62						
Radius of Curvature (ft)	0	0	35	0	0	35	0	0	35	0	0	35						
Meander Wavelength (ft)	95	180	142	95	180	142	95	180	142	95	180	142						
Meander Width ratio	2.1	4.0	3.2	2.1	4.0	3.2	2.1	4.0	3.2	2.1	4.0	3.2						
Profile	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med
Riffle length (ft)	54.7	130.6	73.5	11	59	30	24	55	36	46	139	63						
Riffle slope (ft/ft)	0.00%	0.27%	0.06%	NA*	NA*	NA*	NA*	NA*	NA*	0.00%	0.09%	0.00%						
Pool length (ft)	2	16.7	3.7	14	63	33	24	69	42	27	61	35						
Pool spacing (ft)	62	105	81	62	105	81	62	105	81	62	105	81						

Additional Reach Parameters	MY-01 (2008)	MY-02 (2009)	MY-03 (2010)	MY-04 (2011)	MY-05 (2012)	MY+
Valley Length (ft)	433	429	433	461		
Channel Length (ft)	606	600	606	646		
Sinuosity	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4		
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)	0.05%	NA*	NA*	0.12%		
BF slope (ft/ft)	– – –	– – –	– – –	– – –		
Rosgen Classification	E type	E type	E type	E type		
Number of Bankfull Events	1	1	3	5		

NA* No water in channel; therefore, slope calculations could not be evaluated.

Table 9E. Morphology and Hydraulic Monitoring Summary
Brown Marsh Swamp
Reach 5 (Sta. 14+25 to 20+27)

Parameter	Cross Section 1						Cross Section 2						Cross Section 3						Cross Section 4					
	Station 11+60 Riffle						Station 13+70 Pool						Station 14+90 Riffle						Station 17+40 Pool					
Dimension	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
BF Width (ft)	17.2	13.7	11.2	16.6			16.2	17.5	11.3	16.3			12.0	16.4	12.0	11.6			13.6	11.9	12.3	12.3		
Floodprone Width (ft) (approx)	45.0						45.0						45.0						45.0					
BF Cross Sectional Area (ft^2)	21.0	13.5	11.1	16.1			22.2	20.9	13.3	20.0			13.6	15.4	13.9	12.1			19.1	15.4	17.5	15.9		
BF Mean Depth (ft)	1.2	1.0	1.0	1.0			1.4	1.2	1.2	1.2			1.1	0.9	1.2	1.0			1.4	1.3	1.4	1.3		
BF Max Depth (ft)	2.4	2.0	1.8	2.3			2.8	2.5	1.9	2.5			2.2	2.2	2.1	2.1			3.0	2.5	2.6	2.5		
Width/Depth Ratio	14.2	13.9	11.2	17.1			NA	NA	NA	NA			10.6	17.6	10.3	11.2			NA	NA	NA	NA		
Entrenchment Ratio	2.6	3.3	4.0	2.7			NA	NA	NA	NA			3.7	2.7	3.8	3.9			NA	NA	NA	NA		
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			NA	NA	NA	NA			1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			NA	NA	NA	NA		
Wetted Perimeter(ft)	18.1	14.3	11.8	17.3			17.4	18.4	12.1	17.3			12.9	17.1	12.8	12.5			15.1	13.0	13.5	13.5		
Hydraulic radius (ft)	1.2	0.9	0.9	0.9			1.3	1.1	1.1	1.2			1.1	0.9	1.1	1.0			1.3	1.2	1.3	1.2		
Substrate	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
d50 (mm)																								
d84 (mm)																								

Parameter	MY-01 (2008)			MY-02 (2009)			MY-03 (2010)			MY-04 (2011)			MY-05 (2012)			MY+		
Pattern	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	23	87	62	23	87	62	23	87	62	23	87	62						
Radius of Curvature (ft)	0	0	35	0	0	35	0	0	35	0	0	35						
Meander Wavelength (ft)	95	180	142	95	180	142	95	180	142	95	180	142						
Meander Width ratio	2.1	4.0	3.2	2.1	4.0	3.2	2.1	4.0	3.2	2.1	4.0	3.2						
Profile	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med
Riffle length (ft)	47	81.5	70.5	29	63	41	21	60	32	26	148	57						
Riffle slope (ft/ft)	0.06%	0.09%	0.07%	NA*	NA*	NA*	NA*	NA*	NA*	0.00%	0.32%	0.05%						
Pool length (ft)	1.4	15.8	4.5	22	61	52	32	76	48	21	44	29						
Pool spacing (ft)	62	105	81	62	105	81	62	105	81	62	105	81						

Additional Reach Parameters	MY-01 (2008)	MY-02 (2009)	MY-03 (2010)	MY-04 (2011)	MY-05 (2012)	MY+
Valley Length (ft)	429	435	654	678		
Channel Length (ft)	601	609	916	949		
Sinuosity	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4		
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)	0.07%	NA*	NA*	0.10%		
BF slope (ft/ft)	– – –	– – –	– – –	– – –		
Rosgen Classification	C/E type	C/E type	E type	C/E type		
Number of Bankfull Events	1	1	3	5		

2.3.3 Wetland Criteria Attainment

Monitoring Gauge 2 was inundated/saturated within 12 inches of the surface for greater than 12.5 percent of the growing season while Gauge 1 was just shy of success criteria with inundation/saturation within 12 inches of the surface for 10.2 percent of the growing season. In addition, the reference gauge did not meet success criteria for the Year 4 (2011) monitoring season (Table 11). Hydrographs containing groundwater and precipitation data for each gauge can be found in Appendix C in addition to a graph depicting annual rainfall at the Lumberton Airport versus 30-year historic rainfall data collected in Lumberton (Weatherunderground 2011, NOAA 2004). Groundwater data has been collected through August 2011 and will continue to be collected for the remainder of the growing season (until November 14, 2011).

Table 10. Wetland Criteria Attainment for Year 4 (2011)

Gauge ID	Hydrology Threshold Met?	Hydrophytic Vegetation Criteria Met?	Site Mean	Vegetation Plot ID	Vegetation Survival Threshold Met?	Site Mean
1	No	Yes	50 %	1	Yes	100 %
2	Yes	Yes		2	Yes	
				3	Yes	
				4	Yes	
				5	Yes	
				6	Yes	
				7	Yes	
				8	Yes	
				9	Yes	
				10	Yes	
				11	Yes	
				12	Yes	
				13	Yes	
				14	Yes	
				15	Yes	
				16	Yes	
				17	Yes	

3.0 CONCLUSIONS

The Site achieved the defined (or targeted) success criteria, with saturation (free water) within one foot of the soil surface for a minimum of 12.5 percent (30 consecutive days) of the growing season at monitoring Gauge 2 while Gauge 1 was just shy of success criteria with inundation/saturation within 12 inches of the surface for 10.2 percent of the growing season. In addition, the reference gauge did not meet success criteria for the Year 4 (2011) monitoring season. A summary of groundwater gauge data is included in Table 11. Based on the number of stems counted, average densities were measured at 788 planted stems per acre surviving in Year 4 (2011) (Table 12). In addition, each individual plot met success criteria.

Table 11. Summary of Groundwater Gauge Results

Gauge	Success Criteria Achieved/Max Consecutive Days During Growing Season (Percentage)				
	Year 1 (2008)	Year 2 (2009)	Year 3 (2010)	Year 4 (2011)*	Year 5 (2012)
1	Yes/68 days (28 percent)	Yes/53 days (21.5 percent)	No/29 days (11.8 percent)	No/25 days (10.2 percent)	
2	Yes/35 days (23 percent)	Yes/55 days (22.4 percent)	Yes/35 days (14.2 percent)	Yes/53 days (21.5 percent)	
Ref 1	34 days (14 percent)	42 days (17.1 percent)	13 days (5.3 percent)	9 days (3.7 percent)	

*Data was collected through August 29, 2011; data will continue to be collected for the remainder of the Year 4 (2011) growing season (through November 14, 2011).

Table 12. Summary of Planted Vegetation Plot Results

Plot	Planted Stems/Acre Counting Towards Success Criteria				
	Year 1 (2008)	Year 2 (2009)	Year 3 (2010)	Year 4 (2011)	Year 5 (2012)
1	526	809	850	890	
2	486	567	607	607	
3	445	526	526	1012	
4	243	850	728	688	
5	971	1214	1214	647	
6	445	607	607	324	
7	405	850	1012	486	
8	809	1214	1335	850	
9	931	1052	1012	1335	
10	1093	1012	971	1012	
11	405	486	486	1174	
12	40	162	202	526	
13	567	607	647	607	
14	162	647	890	850	
15	40	526	971	1052	
16	202	445	526	607	
17	81	647	890	728	
Average of All Plots (1-17)	**476**	**705**	**793**	**788**	

4.0 REFERENCES

Environmental Laboratory. 1987. Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual. Technical Report Y-87-1. United States Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, Mississippi.

Lee, Michael T., R.K. Peet, S.D. Roberts, and T.R. Wentworth. 2006. CVS-EEP Protocol for Recording Vegetation, Version 4.0. (online). Available: http://cvs.bio.unc.edu/methods.htm

North Carolina Wetlands Restoration Program (NCWRP). 2003. Lumber River Basin Watershed Restoration Plan (online). Available:
http://www.nceep.net/services/restplans/Lumber_2003.pdf [November 21, 2006]. North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources, Raleigh, North Carolina.

Rosgen, D. 1996. Applied River Morphology. Wildland Hydrology (Publisher). Pagosa Springs, Colorado.

Schafale, M.P. and A.S. Weakley. 1990. Classification of the Natural Communities of North Carolina: Third Approximation. North Carolina Natural Heritage Program, Division of Parks and Recreation, North Carolina Department of Environment, Health, and Natural Resources. Raleigh, North Carolina.

United States Geological Survey (USGS). 1974. Hydrologic Unit Map - 1974. State of North Carolina.

Weakley, Alan S. 2007. Flora of the Carolinas, Virginia, Georgia, and Surrounding Areas (online). Available: http://www.herbarium.unc.edu/WeakleysFlora.pdf [February 1, 2008]. University of North Carolina Herbarium, North Carolina Botanical Garden, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina.

Weather Underground. 2011. Station in Lumberton, North Carolina. (online). Available: http://www.wunderground.com/history/airport/KLBT/2010/11/9/CustomHistory. [October 13, 2011]. Weather Underground.

APPENDIX A

VEGETATION DATA

1. Vegetation Survey Data Tables
2. Vegetation Monitoring Plot Photos

Report Prepared By	Corri Faquin
Date Prepared	10/4/2011 13:11

database name	RestorationSystems-2011-A_Sept20.mdb
database location	C:\Axiom\Business\CVS
computer name	CORRI-PC
file size	70189056

DESCRIPTION OF WORKSHEETS IN THIS DOCUMENT----------

Metadata	Description of database file, the report worksheets, and a summary of project(s) and project data.
Proj, planted	Each project is listed with its PLANTED stems per acre, for each year. This excludes live stakes.
Proj, total stems	Each project is listed with its TOTAL stems per acre, for each year. This includes live stakes, all planted stems, and all natural/volunteer stems.
Plots	List of plots surveyed with location and summary data (live stems, dead stems, missing, etc.).
Vigor	Frequency distribution of vigor classes for stems for all plots.
Vigor by Spp	Frequency distribution of vigor classes listed by species.
Damage	List of most frequent damage classes with number of occurrences and percent of total stems impacted by each.
Damage by Spp	Damage values tallied by type for each species.
Damage by Plot	Damage values tallied by type for each plot.
Planted Stems by Plot and Spp	A matrix of the count of PLANTED living stems of each species for each plot; dead and missing stems are excluded.
ALL Stems by Plot and spp	A matrix of the count of total living stems of each species (planted and natural volunteers combined) for each plot; dead and missing stems are excluded.

PROJECT SUMMARY----------

Project Code	BrownMarsh
project Name	Brown Marsh Restoration Site
Description	Stream and Wetland Restoration Site in Robeson County
Sampled Plots	17

Living planted stems, excluding live stakes, per acre

Project Code	Project Name	River Basin	Year 4
BrownMarsh	Brown Marsh Restoration Site	Lumber	787.95

Total stems, including planted stems of all kinds (including live stakes) and natural/volunteer stems:

Project Code	Project Name	River Basin	Year 4
BrownMarsh	Brown Marsh Restoration Site	Lumber	1480.673353

Plot Info

plot	Planted Living Stems	Planted Living Stems EXCLUDING Live Stakes	Dead/Missing Stems	Natural (Volunteer) Stems	Total Living Stems	Total Living Stems EXCLUDING Live Stakes	Planted Living Stems per ACRE	Planted Living Stems EXCLUDING Live Stakes PER ACRE	Natural (Volunteer) Stems PER ACRE	Total Living Stems PER ACRE	Total Living Stems EXCLUDING Live Stakes PER ACRE	# species
1	22	1	23	23	890	890	40	931	931	5	22	1
2	15	21	36	36	607	607	850	1457	1457	7	15	21
3	25	0	25	25	1012	1012	0	1012	1012	4	25	0
4	17	21	38	38	688	688	850	1538	1538	5	17	21
5	16	130	146	146	647	647	5261	5908	5908	3	16	130
6	8	20	28	28	324	324	809	1133	1133	3	8	20
7	12	5	17	17	486	486	202	688	688	3	12	5
8	21	0	21	21	850	850	0	850	850	2	21	0
9	33	1	34	34	1335	1335	40	1376	1376	10	33	1
10	25	11	36	36	1012	1012	445	1457	1457	5	25	11
11	29	24	53	53	1174	1174	971	2145	2145	5	29	24
12	13	4	17	17	526	526	162	688	688	1	13	4
13	15	4	19	19	607	607	162	769	769	1	15	4
14	21	2	23	23	850	850	81	931	931	4	21	2
15	26	15	41	41	1052	1052	607	1659	1659	6	26	15
16	15	0	15	15	607	607	0	607	607	4	15	0
17	22	28	50	46	890	728	1133	2023	1862	4	22	28

Vigor

vigor	Count	Percent
0	2	0.6
1	2	0.6
2	6	1.7
3	123	35.2
4	204	58.5
Missing	12	3.4

Vigor by Species

Species	CommonName	4	3	2	1	0	Missing	Unknown
Cephalanthus occidentalis	common buttonbush		1					
Cornus amomum	silky dogwood	28	62	3	2		2	
Diospyros virginiana	common persimmon	1						
Fraxinus pennsylvanica	green ash	41	1				4	
Nyssa aquatica	water tupelo	6	3				2	
Quercus falcata	southern red oak	1						
Quercus laurifolia	laurel oak		1					
Quercus lyrata	overcup oak	27	6				1	
Quercus michauxii	swamp chestnut oak	16	11				1	
Quercus nigra	water oak	6	6					
Quercus pagoda	cherrybark oak	12	13				1	
Quercus phellos	willow oak	5	2					
Salix nigra	black willow	3						
Sambucus canadensis	Common Elderberry		1					
Quercus	oak	1	1					
Magnolia virginiana	sweetbay		1					
Fraxinus	ash	2						
Cephalanthus	buttonbush	1	1					
Ulmus	elm	51	13	3		2	1	
Ulmus americana	American elm	3						
20	**20**	**204**	**123**	**6**	**2**	**2**	**12**	

Damage

Damage	Count	Percent Of Stems
(no damage)	323	92.6
Deer	10	2.9
Unknown	8	2.3
Insects	8	2.3

Damage by Species

Species	CommonName	Count of Damage Categories	(no damage)	Deer	Insects	Unknown
Cephalanthus	buttonbush	0	2			
Cephalanthus occidentalis	common buttonbush	0	1			
Cornus amomum	silky dogwood	13	84	8	1	4
Diospyros virginiana	common persimmon	0	1			
Fraxinus	ash	0	2			
Fraxinus pennsylvanica	green ash	0	46			
Magnolia virginiana	sweetbay	0	1			
Nyssa aquatica	water tupelo	1	10	1		
Quercus	oak	0	2			
Quercus falcata	southern red oak	0	1			
Quercus laurifolia	laurel oak	0	1			
Quercus lyrata	overcup oak	0	34			
Quercus michauxii	swamp chestnut oak	1	27		1	
Quercus nigra	water oak	3	9		2	1
Quercus pagoda	cherrybark oak	2	24		2	
Quercus phellos	willow oak	0	7			
Salix nigra	black willow	0	3			
Sambucus canadensis	Common Elderberry	0	1			
Ulmus	elm	6	64	1	2	3
Ulmus americana	American elm	0	3			
20	**20**	**26**	**323**	**10**	**8**	**8**

Damage by Plot

plot	Count of Damage Categories	(no damage)	Deer	Insects	Unknown
1	1	21	1		
2	2	14	1	1	
3	0	25			
4	1	22	1		
5	3	13	3		
6	2	6		1	1
7	2	10			2
8	7	18	1	2	4
9	0	33			
10	1	24	1		
11	0	31			
12	0	13			
13	1	14		1	
14	0	21			
15	2	24	1	1	
16	1	15		1	
17	3	19	1	1	1
17	**26**	**323**	**10**	**8**	**8**

Planted Stems by Plot and Species

Species	CommonName	Total Planted Stems	# plots	avg# stems	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
Cephalanthus	buttonbush	2	2	1																1	1
Cephalanthus occidentalis	common buttonbush	1	1	1							1										
Cornus amomum	silky dogwood	95	11	9		1		2	12		9	13	5	4		13	15			9	12
Diospyros virginiana	common persimmon	1	1	1					1												
Fraxinus	ash	2	1	2											2						
Fraxinus pennsylvanica	green ash	42	5	8	13	2	6	7										14			
Magnolia virginiana	sweetbay	1	1	1															1		
Nyssa aquatica	water tupelo	9	4	2	2	1	5								1						
Quercus	oak	2	2	1						1			1								
Quercus falcata	southern red oak	1	1	1									1								
Quercus laurifolia	laurel oak	1	1	1									1								
Quercus lyrata	overcup oak	33	7	5	3	5	13	3					1	7					1		
Quercus michauxii	swamp chestnut oak	27	7	4							2		2	9	3			1	9	1	
Quercus nigra	water oak	12	5	2		3				3			2	3					1		
Quercus pagoda	cherrybark oak	25	9	3	3	1	1			4					2			2	1	4	7
Quercus phellos	willow oak	7	4	2	1	2		2					2								
Salix nigra	black willow	3	1	3					3												
Sambucus canadensis	Common Elderberry	1	1	1									1								
Ulmus	elm	67	7	10								8	17	2	21			4	13		2
Ulmus americana	American elm	3	1	3				3													
20	**20**	**335**	**20**		**22**	**15**	**25**	**17**	**16**	**8**	**12**	**21**	**33**	**25**	**29**	**13**	**15**	**21**	**26**	**15**	**22**

Brown Marsh Swamp Restoration Site
Year 4 (2011) Annual Monitoring
Vegetation Plot Photos
Taken August 2011



Plot 3

No photo available

Brown Marsh Swamp Restoration Site
Year 4 (2011) Annual Monitoring
Vegetation Plot Photos
Taken August 2011
(continued)





Brown Marsh Swamp Restoration Site
Year 4 (2011) Annual Monitoring
Vegetation Plot Photos
Taken August 2011
(continued)



APPENDIX B

GEOMORPHOLOGIC DATA

1. Tables B1-B5. Qualitative Visual Stability Assessment
2. Cross-section Plots and Tables
3. Longitudinal Profile Plots

Table B1. Visual Morphological Stability Assessment
Brown Marsh Reach 1 (557 linear feet)

Feature Category	Metric (per As-built and reference baselines)	(# Stable) Number Performing as Intended	Total number	Number / feet in unstable state	% Perform in Stable Condition	Feature Perform. Mean or Total
	1. Present	12	12	NA	100%	
	2. Armor stable (e.g. no displacement)?	12	12	NA	100%	
	3. Facet grade appears stable?	12	12	NA	100%	
	4. Minimal evidence of embedding / fining?	12	12	NA	100%	
A. Riffles	5. Length appropriate?	12	12	NA	100%	100%
	1. Present? (e.g. not subject to severe aggrad. Or migrat.?)	12	12	NA	100%	
	2. Sufficiently deep (Max Pool D:Mean Bkf>1.6?)	12	12	NA	100%	
B. Pools	3. Length appropriate?	12	12	NA	100%	100%
	1. Upstream of meander bend (run/inflection) centering?	12	12	NA	100%	
C. Thalweg	2. Downstream of meander (glide/inflection) centering?	12	12	NA	100%	100%
	1. Outer bend in state of limited/controlled erosion?	12	12	NA	100%	
	2. Of those eroding, # w/concomitant point bar formation?	NA	NA	0	100%	
	3. Apparent Rc within spec?	12	12	NA	100%	
D. Meanders	4. Sufficient floodplain access and relief?	12	12	NA	100%	100%
	1. General channel bed aggradation areas (bar formation)	NA	NA	0	100%	
E. Bed General	2. Channel bed degradation – areas of increasing down-cutting or head cutting?	NA	NA	0	100%	100%
F. Bank	1. Actively eroding, wasting, or slumping bank	NA	NA	0	100%	100%
	1. Free of back or arm scour?	NA	NA	NA	NA	
	2. Height appropriate?	NA	NA	NA	NA	
	3. Angle and geometry appear appropriate?	NA	NA	NA	NA	
G. Vanes	4. Free of piping or other structural failures?	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	1. Free of scour?	NA	NA	NA	NA	
H. Wads / Boulders	2. Footing stable?	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

Table B2. Visual Morphological Stability Assessment
Brown Marsh Reach 2 (668 linear feet)

Feature Category	Metric (per As-built and reference baselines)	(# Stable) Number Performing as Intended	Total number	Number / feet in unstable state	% Perform in Stable Condition	Feature Perform. Mean or Total
	1. Present	10	10	NA	100%	
	2. Armor stable (e.g. no displacement)?	10	10	NA	100%	
	3. Facet grade appears stable?	10	10	NA	100%	
	4. Minimal evidence of embedding / fining?	10	10	NA	100%	
A. Riffles	5. Length appropriate?	10	10	NA	100%	100%
	1. Present? (e.g. not subject to severe aggrad. Or migrat.?)	10	10	NA	100%	
	2. Sufficiently deep (Max Pool D:Mean Bkf>1.6?)	10	10	NA	100%	
B. Pools	3. Length appropriate?	10	10	NA	100%	100%
	1. Upstream of meander bend (run/inflection) centering?	10	10	NA	100%	
C. Thalweg	2. Downstream of meander (glide/inflection) centering?	10	10	NA	100%	100%
	1. Outer bend in state of limited/controlled erosion?	10	10	NA	100%	
	2. Of those eroding, # w/concomitant point bar formation?	NA	NA	0	100%	
	3. Apparent Rc within spec?	10	10	NA	100%	
D. Meanders	4. Sufficient floodplain access and relief?	10	10	NA	100%	100%
	1. General channel bed aggradation areas (bar formation)	NA	NA	0	100%	
E. Bed General	2. Channel bed degradation – areas of increasing down-cutting or head cutting?	NA	NA	0	100%	100%
F. Bank	1. Actively eroding, wasting, or slumping bank	NA	NA	0	100%	100%
	1. Free of back or arm scour?	NA	NA	NA	NA	
	2. Height appropriate?	NA	NA	NA	NA	
	3. Angle and geometry appear appropriate?	NA	NA	NA	NA	
G. Vanes	4. Free of piping or other structural failures?	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	1. Free of scour?	NA	NA	NA	NA	
H. Wads / Boulders	2. Footing stable?	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

Table B3. Visual Morphological Stability Assessment
Brown Marsh Reach 3 (639 linear feet)

Feature Category	Metric (per As-built and reference baselines)	(# Stable) Number Performing as Intended	Total number	Number / feet in unstable state	% Perform in Stable Condition	Feature Perform. Mean or Total
	1. Present	8	8	NA	100%	
	2. Armor stable (e.g. no displacement)?	8	8	NA	100%	
	3. Facet grade appears stable?	8	8	NA	100%	
	4. Minimal evidence of embedding / fining?	8	8	NA	100%	
A. Riffles	5. Length appropriate?	8	8	NA	100%	100%
	1. Present? (e.g. not subject to severe aggrad. Or migrat.?)	8	8	NA	100%	
	2. Sufficiently deep (Max Pool D:Mean Bkf>1.6?)	8	8	NA	100%	
B. Pools	3. Length appropriate?	8	8	NA	100%	100%
	1. Upstream of meander bend (run/inflection) centering?	8	8	NA	100%	
C. Thalweg	2. Downstream of meander (glide/inflection) centering?	8	8	NA	100%	100%
	1. Outer bend in state of limited/controlled erosion?	8	8	NA	100%	
	2. Of those eroding, # w/concomitant point bar formation?	NA	NA	0	100%	
	3. Apparent Rc within spec?	8	8	NA	100%	
D. Meanders	4. Sufficient floodplain access and relief?	8	8	NA	100%	100%
	1. General channel bed aggradation areas (bar formation)	NA	NA	0	100%	
E. Bed General	2. Channel bed degradation – areas of increasing down-cutting or head cutting?	NA	NA	0	100%	100%
F. Bank	1. Actively eroding, wasting, or slumping bank	NA	NA	0	100%	100%
	1. Free of back or arm scour?	NA	NA	NA	NA	
	2. Height appropriate?	NA	NA	NA	NA	
	3. Angle and geometry appear appropriate?	NA	NA	NA	NA	
G. Vanes	4. Free of piping or other structural failures?	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	1. Free of scour?	2	2	NA	100%	
H. Wads / Boulders	2. Footing stable?	2	2	NA	100%	100%

Table B4. Visual Morphological Stability Assessment
Brown Marsh Reach 4 (606 linear feet)

Feature Category	Metric (per As-built and reference baselines)	(# Stable) Number Performing as Intended	Total number	Number / feet in unstable state	% Perform in Stable Condition	Feature Perform. Mean or Total
	1. Present	7	7	NA	100%	
	2. Armor stable (e.g. no displacement)?	7	7	NA	100%	
	3. Facet grade appears stable?	7	7	NA	100%	
	4. Minimal evidence of embedding / fining?	7	7	NA	100%	
A. Riffles	5. Length appropriate?	7	7	NA	100%	100%
	1. Present? (e.g. not subject to severe aggrad. Or migrat.?)	7	7	NA	100%	
	2. Sufficiently deep (Max Pool D:Mean Bkf>1.6?)	7	7	NA	100%	
B. Pools	3. Length appropriate?	7	7	NA	100%	100%
	1. Upstream of meander bend (run/inflection) centering?	7	7	NA	100%	
C. Thalweg	2. Downstream of meander (glide/inflection) centering?	7	7	NA	100%	100%
	1. Outer bend in state of limited/controlled erosion?	7	7	NA	100%	
	2. Of those eroding, # w/concomitant point bar formation?	NA	NA	0	100%	
	3. Apparent Rc within spec?	7	7	NA	100%	
D. Meanders	4. Sufficient floodplain access and relief?	7	7	NA	100%	100%
	1. General channel bed aggradation areas (bar formation)	NA	NA	0	100%	
E. Bed General	2. Channel bed degradation – areas of increasing down-cutting or head cutting?	NA	NA	0	100%	100%
F. Bank	1. Actively eroding, wasting, or slumping bank	NA	NA	0	100%	100%
	1. Free of back or arm scour?	NA	NA	NA	NA	
	2. Height appropriate?	NA	NA	NA	NA	
	3. Angle and geometry appear appropriate?	NA	NA	NA	NA	
G. Vanes	4. Free of piping or other structural failures?	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	1. Free of scour?	1	1	NA	100%	
H. Wads / Boulders	2. Footing stable?	1	1	NA	100%	100%

Table B5. Visual Morphological Stability Assessment
Brown Marsh Reach 5 (602 linear feet)

Feature Category	Metric (per As-built and reference baselines)	(# Stable) Number Performing as Intended	Total number	Number / feet in unstable state	% Perform in Stable Condition	Feature Perform. Mean or Total
	1. Present	12	12	NA	100%	
	2. Armor stable (e.g. no displacement)?	12	12	NA	100%	
	3. Facet grade appears stable?	12	12	NA	100%	
	4. Minimal evidence of embedding / fining?	12	12	NA	100%	
A. Riffles	5. Length appropriate?	12	12	NA	100%	100%
	1. Present? (e.g. not subject to severe aggrad. Or migrat.?)	12	12	NA	100%	
	2. Sufficiently deep (Max Pool D:Mean Bkf>1.6?)	12	12	NA	100%	
B. Pools	3. Length appropriate?	12	12	NA	100%	100%
	1. Upstream of meander bend (run/inflection) centering?	12	12	NA	100%	
C. Thalweg	2. Downstream of meander (glide/inflection) centering?	12	12	NA	100%	100%
	1. Outer bend in state of limited/controlled erosion?	12	12	NA	100%	
	2. Of those eroding, # w/concomitant point bar formation?	NA	NA	0	100%	
	3. Apparent Rc within spec?	12	12	NA	100%	
D. Meanders	4. Sufficient floodplain access and relief?	12	12	NA	100%	100%
	1. General channel bed aggradation areas (bar formation)	NA	NA	0	100%	
E. Bed General	2. Channel bed degradation – areas of increasing down-cutting or head cutting?	NA	NA	0	100%	100%
F. Bank	1. Actively eroding, wasting, or slumping bank	NA	NA	0	100%	100%
	1. Free of back or arm scour?	NA	NA	NA	NA	
	2. Height appropriate?	NA	NA	NA	NA	
	3. Angle and geometry appear appropriate?	NA	NA	NA	NA	
G. Vanes	4. Free of piping or other structural failures?	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	1. Free of scour?	1	1	NA	100%	
H. Wads / Boulders	2. Footing stable?	1	1	NA	100%	100%

Project Name	Brown Marsh
Cross Section	Reach 1 - XS 17
Feature	Riffle
Date	10/1/11
Crew	Corbin, Smith

2008 As-built Survey		2008 YR 1 Survey		2009 YR 2 Survey		2010 YR 3 Survey		2011 YR 4 Survey	
Station	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**
-6.9	138.2	26.1	135.6	26.5	135.7	26.0	135.6	25.8	135.7
-1.2	136.1	17.9	135.6	21.5	135.7	18.0	135.7	20.4	135.7
8.4	135.7	15.5	135.4	16.5	135.7	12.5	134.6	16.9	135.7
11.3	134.4	14.2	135.2	14.8	135.4	11.5	134.4	14.8	135.4
12.3	134.3	12.9	134.5	13.5	134.8	8.0	135.7	13.1	134.9
15.3	135.4	12.4	134.3	12.4	134.5	0.0	136.1	12.6	134.6
15.5	135.4	11.4	134.4	11.7	134.4			11.9	134.5
31.9	135.4	10.7	134.8	10.7	134.9			11.4	134.6
40.5	137.2	9.5	135.4	9.5	135.4			10.2	135.2
		8.4	135.7	8.6	135.7			8.2	135.8
		2.7	135.7	4.5	135.7			3.9	135.7
		0.0	136.1	0.0	136.1			2.1	135.8
								0.0	136.1

Photo of Cross-Section R1-17 - Looking Downstream @ STA 13+60

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Area	4.7	4.9	6.2	4.5	
Width	8.8	7.8	9.8	8.5	
Mean Depth	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.5	
Max Depth	1.3	1.3	1.2	1.2	
W/D	16.2	12.6	15.5	15.9	



Project Name	Brown Marsh
Cross Section	Reach 1 - XS 18
Feature	Pool
Date	10/1/11
Crew	Corbin, Smith

2008 As-built Survey		2008 YR 1 Survey		2009 YR 2 Survey		2010 YR 3 Survey		2011 YR 4 Survey	
Station	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**
-6.7	135.9	0.0	135.9	0.0	135.9	0.0	136.0	0.0	135.9
14.2	135.9	9.2	135.8	6.0	135.8	13.0	136.0	6.8	135.8
17.0	134.2	12.4	136.0	13.8	135.9	17.0	134.5	13.8	136.0
18.7	133.9	14.2	135.8	15.0	135.4	18.0	134.4	16.0	135.1
18.8	133.9	15.7	135.1	15.9	135.0	19.0	134.6	17.1	134.4
28.4	135.9	16.5	134.6	17.1	134.5	22.0	136.0	18.2	134.4
34.3	138.4	17.3	133.9	17.9	134.3	28.3	136.2	19.0	134.5
		18.4	133.7	19.0	134.5			19.9	135.1
		18.8	134.0	20.8	135.6			21.9	135.9
		19.3	134.4	22.0	135.9			25.3	135.9
		20.8	135.6	26.0	135.8			28.4	136.1
		21.6	135.9	28.9	136.1				
		26.1	135.8						
		28.5	136.1						

Photo of Cross-Section R1-18 - Looking Downstream @ STA 12+45

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Area	7.7	6.9	7.8	7.0	
Width	7.0	8.2	8.8	8.0	
Mean Depth	1.1	0.8	0.9	0.9	
Max Depth	2.1	1.6	1.6	1.6	
W/D	NA	NA	NA	NA	



Project Name	Brown Marsh
Cross Section	Reach 1 - XS 19
Feature	Riffle
Date	10/1/11
Crew	Corbin, Smith

2008 As-built Survey		2008 YR 1 Survey		2009 YR 2 Survey		2010 YR 3 Survey		2010 YR 4 Survey	
Station	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**
-4.6	137.9	0.0	136.6	0.0	136.6	0.0	136.5	0.0	136.5
-0.5	136.2	2.6	136.1	6.0	136.2	7.0	136.3	2.2	136.1
7.7	136.0	7.4	136.2	7.6	136.2	10.0	135.3	4.1	135.9
10.0	134.9	8.6	135.7	8.4	136.0	12.0	135.1	7.5	136.2
11.4	134.9	9.5	135.6	9.2	135.8	15.0	136.0	8.9	135.8
14.6	136.3	10.3	135.0	10.0	135.4	23.0	136.1	10.7	135.2
20.5	136.3	11.4	134.9	10.7	135.1			11.6	135.0
29.8	139.6	12.8	135.1	11.4	135.1			12.7	135.2
		13.5	135.4	12.5	135.2			14.1	135.8
		14.7	136.0	13.3	135.5			15.8	136.0
		15.9	136.0	14.0	135.9			20.3	135.9
		19.9	136.0	15.3	136.1			22.6	136.1
		22.3	136.1	18.0	136.1				
				22.4	136.1				

Photo of Cross-Section R1-19 - Looking Downstream @ STA 10+72

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Area	4.3	3.8	3.8	3.6	
Width	6.7	7.2	7.2	7.7	
Mean Depth	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.5	
Max Depth	1.1	1.0	0.9	0.9	
W/D	10.4	13.6	13.7	16.3	



Project Name	Brown Marsh
Cross Section	Reach 1 - XS 20
Feature	Pool
Date	10/1/11
Crew	Corbin, Smith

2008 As-built Survey		2008 YR 1 Survey		2009 YR 2 Survey		2010 YR 3 Survey		2011 YR 4 Survey	
Station	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**
-8.5	139.2	0.0	136.2	0.0	136.2	0.0	136.3	0.0	136.3
-0.7	136.5	2.7	136.2	5.0	136.1	9.0	136.2	5.0	136.1
8.8	136.4	8.2	136.5	8.4	136.2	12.5	134.8	7.4	136.3
11.4	134.9	9.3	136.3	9.5	136.1	13.5	134.6	8.8	136.2
13.6	134.9	10.0	135.9	11.0	135.5	14.0	134.8	10.7	135.6
15.9	136.3	11.4	135.2	12.2	134.9	17.0	136.1	11.8	134.9
23.6	136.5	12.4	134.4	12.9	134.7	24.0	136.4	12.3	134.7
28.5	138.2	13.6	134.2	13.6	134.5			14.0	134.8
		14.6	135.3	14.4	134.7			14.5	135.0
		15.9	136.2	15.5	135.7			16.2	136.1
		16.7	136.2	16.6	136.0			20.2	136.1
		22.3	136.3	17.0	136.0			22.7	136.2
		23.7	136.5	20.0	136.0			23.8	136.4
				25.4	136.4				

Photo of Cross-Section R1-20 - Looking Downstream @ STA 10+52

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Area	6.2	5.4	6.4	5.8	
Width	6.2	6.9	7.8	7.0	
Mean Depth	1.0	0.8	0.8	0.8	
Max Depth	1.9	1.5	1.5	1.4	
W/D	NA	NA	9.5	8.4	



Project Name	Brown Marsh
Cross Section	Reach 2 - XS 13
Feature	Pool
Date	10/1/11
Crew	Corbin, Smith

2008 As-built Survey		2008 YR 1 Survey		2009 YR 2 Survey		2010 YR 3 Survey		2011 YR 4 Survey	
Station	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**
-10.5	138.7	0.0	135.6	0.0	135.5	0.0	135.5	0.0	135.5
-0.7	135.7	6.7	135.6	5.0	135.2	7.0	135.3	3.6	135.2
6.7	135.5	9.8	133.7	6.7	135.2	13.0	132.3	7.1	135.3
10.9	133.1	11.8	132.7	8.0	134.7	14.5	132.2	9.3	134.2
12.9	132.4	12.2	132.2	9.2	133.9	20.0	135.3	11.3	133.1
14.7	132.9	13.4	132.2	10.3	133.3	26.0	135.6	12.4	132.4
18.1	135.3	14.2	132.2	11.7	132.7			15.0	132.1
25.4	135.7	15.6	132.9	12.4	132.4			15.7	132.9
33.2	138.5	16.3	133.7	13.6	132.2			16.0	133.7
		18.4	135.0	14.7	132.3			17.6	134.6
		20.4	135.4	16.3	133.7			19.1	135.3
		26.1	135.6	18.0	134.7			22.7	135.2
				19.3	135.2			25.7	135.5
				22.0	135.1				
				26.0	135.6				

Photo of Cross-Section R2-13 - Looking Downstream @ STA 47+45

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Area	21.3	20.1	21.6	19.8	
Width	12.9	12.5	13.0	11.9	
Mean Depth	1.7	1.6	1.7	1.7	
Max Depth	3.1	3.0	3.0	3.2	
W/D	NA	NA	NA	NA	



Project Name	Brown Marsh
Cross Section	Reach 2 - XS 14
Feature	Riffle
Date	10/1/11
Crew	Corbin, Smith

2008 As-built Survey		2008 YR 1 Survey		2009 YR 2 Survey		2010 YR 3 Survey		2011 YR 4 Survey	
Station	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**
-9.2	138.5	0.0	135.6	0.0	135.6	0.0	135.7	0.1	135.6
-0.8	135.5	5.7	135.3	5.0	135.4	6.0	135.3	3.7	135.5
6.7	135.2	7.6	134.6	6.2	135.3	10.5	133.2	5.9	135.4
10.9	133.0	10.0	133.2	7.5	134.7	12.0	133.1	8.0	134.4
12.7	133.1	10.7	133.0	8.8	133.9	17.0	135.3	9.6	133.7
17.0	135.4	12.2	133.0	10.0	133.5	23.5	135.7	9.8	133.2
22.9	135.6	14.6	134.3	10.6	133.0			10.6	133.0
34.8	139.0	17.0	135.5	11.2	133.0			11.6	133.2
		23.7	135.5	12.2	133.1			12.1	133.5
		23.7	135.4	12.6	133.3			14.2	134.5
				13.5	133.8			16.0	135.3
				15.0	134.6			20.1	135.4
				17.1	135.3			22.9	135.7
				20.0	135.3				
				24.0	135.8				

Photo of Cross-Section R2-14 - Looking Downstream @ STA 47+48

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Area	14.1	12.8	13.3	11.6	
Width	10.9	10.9	10.9	10.0	
Mean Depth	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.2	
Max Depth	2.1	2.3	2.2	2.3	
W/D	8.4	9.2	8.9	8.7	



Project Name	Brown Marsh
Cross Section	Reach 2 - XS 15
Feature	Pool
Date	10/1/11
Crew	Corbin, Smith

2008 As-built Survey		2008 YR 1 Survey		2009 YR 2 Survey		2010 YR 3 Survey		2011 YR 4 Survey	
Station	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**
-13.6	139.2	0.0	135.3	-0.8	135.5	0.0	135.3	0.0	135.3
-1.4	135.2	4.8	135.3	4.2	135.3	7.0	135.0	4.6	135.1
5.4	135.1	6.5	134.9	6.0	135.1	10.0	132.4	6.8	134.9
8.1	132.7	7.6	133.7	7.7	134.0	12.5	132.3	8.1	133.7
10.3	131.7	8.7	132.8	8.4	133.3	14.0	132.6	8.7	133.0
12.1	132.6	9.6	132.3	9.2	132.4	18.0	135.0	9.9	132.3
16.7	135.0	10.2	131.7	11.0	131.8	26.5	135.4	11.3	132.1
25.4	135.4	11.2	131.9	13.0	132.5			12.2	132.0
33.7	138.8	12.8	132.3	13.8	133.4			13.2	132.5
		14.5	133.6	15.2	134.2			14.5	133.2
		16.7	135.1	16.2	134.6			15.9	134.2
		25.9	135.6	17.4	135.1			17.7	135.0
				21.2	135.1			21.7	135.0
				25.9	135.6			25.9	135.4

Photo of Cross-Section R2-15 - Looking Downstream @ STA 50+75

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Area	20.0	20.1	19.0	18.9	
Width	10.9	11.4	11.0	10.9	
Mean Depth	1.8	1.8	1.7	1.7	
Max Depth	3.3	3.4	2.7	2.9	
W/D	NA	NA	NA	NA	



Project Name	Brown Marsh
Cross Section	Reach 2 - XS 16
Feature	Riffle
Date	10/1/11
Crew	Corbin, Smith

2008 As-built Survey		2008 YR 1 Survey		2009 YR 2 Survey		2010 YR 3 Survey		2011 YR 4 Survey	
Station	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**
-12.3	138.4	0.0	135.3	0.0	135.2	0.0	135.2	0.3	135.2
-1.1	135.1	6.9	135.1	5.0	135.0	7.0	135.0	5.0	135.0
7.0	135.0	8.1	134.8	7.3	135.0	12.0	132.7	8.0	134.9
11.6	132.8	9.9	133.9	8.7	134.3	13.0	132.7	9.9	134.1
13.4	132.7	11.1	133.1	10.5	133.5	19.0	134.9	11.4	133.4
18.1	135.1	11.9	132.8	11.7	132.6	26.0	135.1	12.1	132.8
25.4	135.3	12.5	132.7	12.7	132.5			12.9	132.5
34.6	139.0	14.2	132.7	13.5	132.8			13.8	132.6
		14.8	133.2	15.7	133.8			14.9	133.2
		16.1	133.8	17.0	134.6			16.8	134.1
		18.2	135.0	19.5	134.9			18.4	134.9
		19.9	135.1	26.3	135.1			22.8	134.9
		26.8	135.1					26.2	135.1

Photo of Cross-Section R2-16 - Looking Downstream @ STA 52+02

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Area	14.4	13.9	14.5	13.1	
Width	10.8	12.0	11.9	10.3	
Mean Depth	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.3	
Max Depth	2.3	2.4	2.3	2.4	
W/D	8.1	10.4	9.7	8.1	



Project Name	Brown Marsh
Cross Section	Reach 3 - XS 9
Feature	Riffle
Date	10/1/11
Crew	Corbin, Smith

2008 As-built Survey		2008 YR 1 Survey		2009 YR 2 Survey		2010 YR 3 Survey		2011 YR 4 Survey	
Station	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**
-9.1	139.3	0.0	136.0	5.0	135.7	0.0	136.1	0.4	136.1
-0.2	136.4	5.6	136.0	7.4	135.6	7.0	135.7	4.8	135.8
7.2	136.0	8.7	135.0	9.5	134.8	11.5	133.7	6.9	135.7
11.9	133.7	10.6	134.5	11.0	134.1	13.0	133.4	8.0	135.1
13.7	133.8	12.1	133.5	11.8	133.7	15.0	134.1	9.8	134.4
18.6	135.9	14.0	133.7	12.6	133.5	19.0	135.5	10.9	133.6
25.1	136.1	15.4	134.1	14.0	133.5	25.5	135.8	11.5	133.4
36.5	139.7	18.6	135.8	15.5	134.2			12.8	133.6
		22.3	135.8	17.2	135.0			14.1	134.2
		25.0	135.9	19.0	135.6			16.2	134.6
				22.5	135.6			17.3	135.3
				25.7	135.9			17.9	135.5
								21.9	135.6
								25.3	135.8

Photo of Cross-Section R3-9 - Looking Downstream @ STA 41+25

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Area	14.8	13.1	13.3	12.5	
Width	12.3	11.6	11.7	10.7	
Mean Depth	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.2	
Max Depth	2.3	2.1	2.1	2.2	
W/D	10.2	10.2	10.4	9.1	



Project Name	Brown Marsh
Cross Section	Reach 3 - XS 10
Feature	Pool
Date	10/1/11
Crew	Corbin, Smith

2008 As-built Survey		2008 YR 1 Survey		2009 YR 2 Survey		2010 YR 3 Survey		2011 YR 4 Survey	
Station	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**
-4.0	139.3	0.0	136.1	5.0	135.5	0.0	135.8	0.1	135.9
2.4	136.5	4.5	135.9	8.2	135.3	8.0	135.4	4.7	135.5
9.6	136.0	8.5	135.4	9.3	134.7	13.0	133.0	8.4	135.4
13.6	133.3	10.6	134.4	11.4	133.7	14.5	132.7	10.7	134.2
15.2	132.4	11.6	134.0	13.4	132.7	15.5	132.8	12.5	133.7
16.9	133.5	12.6	133.6	14.3	132.5	20.0	135.5	12.8	133.1
21.6	135.8	14.0	132.9	15.7	133.0	27.0	135.8	13.0	132.7
29.5	136.3	14.4	132.5	17.9	134.3			14.3	132.7
37.7	139.0	14.8	132.1	19.3	135.2			15.4	133.2
		16.2	132.9	20.4	135.4			16.1	134.3
		17.3	134.2	24.0	135.6			18.0	134.4
		19.3	135.2	26.9	136.1			20.7	135.6
		21.8	135.9					24.4	135.7
		25.1	135.9					27.1	136.2
		26.7	136.4						

Photo of Cross-Section R3-10 - Looking Downstream @ STA 42+30

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Area	20.3	17.4	18.2	15.4	
Width	14.6	11.7	11.8	11.8	
Mean Depth	1.4	1.5	1.5	1.3	
Max Depth	3.6	2.8	2.7	2.7	
W/D	NA	NA	NA	NA	



Project Name	Brown Marsh
Cross Section	Reach 3 - XS 11
Feature	Riffle
Date	10/1/11
Crew	Corbin, Smith

2008 As-built Survey		2008 YR 1 Survey		2009 YR 2 Survey		2010 YR 3 Survey		2011 YR 4 Survey	
Station	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**
-8.7	139.0	0.0	135.8	0.0	135.9	0.0	135.8	0.0	135.8
-0.3	136.1	6.5	135.4	5.0	135.5	8.0	135.4	4.7	135.4
8.5	135.5	9.6	134.5	7.8	135.3	12.5	133.6	7.8	135.2
13.0	133.4	11.8	133.2	9.0	134.9	13.5	133.3	9.5	134.6
14.5	133.5	12.6	132.9	10.3	134.3	14.5	133.6	12.0	133.7
18.9	135.5	13.1	132.9	11.6	133.8	20.0	135.6	12.3	133.3
27.1	136.4	14.0	132.9	12.5	133.5	27.0	136.2	12.9	133.2
33.7	138.8	17.7	134.8	13.0	133.3			13.6	133.3
		19.5	135.6	14.1	133.6			14.6	134.1
		27.1	136.2	15.9	134.3			16.1	134.8
				17.0	134.8			18.5	135.4
				18.2	135.3			22.6	135.6
				19.5	135.6			26.6	136.1
				23.0	135.7				
				27.0	136.2				

Photo of Cross-Section R3-11 - Looking Downstream @ STA 43+75

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Area	16.4	11.1	12.7	9.5	
Width	12.6	10.4	11.6	10.0	
Mean Depth	1.3	1.1	1.1	1.0	
Max Depth	2.5	2.1	2.1	2.0	
W/D	9.7	9.8	10.6	10.5	



Project Name	Brown Marsh
Cross Section	Reach 3 - XS 12
Feature	Pool
Date	10/1/11
Crew	Corbin, Smith

2008 As-built Survey		2008 YR 1 Survey		2009 YR 2 Survey		2010 YR 3 Survey		2011 YR 4 Survey	
Station	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**
-8.8	138.1	0.0	136.0	0.0	136.0	0.0	135.9	0.0	136.0
-8.7	138.1	4.4	135.7	6.0	135.6	9.0	135.4	5.9	135.5
-2.0	135.9	7.9	135.5	8.7	135.5	12.0	134.3	9.1	135.3
8.2	135.6	11.8	133.8	9.9	135.1	14.5	133.2	11.6	134.3
12.3	133.1	13.1	133.3	11.6	134.5	16.0	133.1	12.3	133.9
14.7	133.2	13.7	132.6	13.0	134.0	16.5	133.2	13.2	133.2
19.9	135.6	15.0	132.6	14.5	133.2	20.0	135.4	14.3	133.0
28.1	136.0	15.9	132.8	15.6	133.0	30.5	136.0	16.9	133.4
35.5	138.6	16.7	133.5	16.4	133.3			17.7	134.2
		19.9	135.5	17.9	134.2			19.2	135.2
		25.6	135.6	19.3	135.2			25.1	135.4
		30.0	135.7	21.0	135.5			29.5	135.9
				24.0	135.5				
				30.4	135.9				

Photo of Cross-Section R3-12 - Looking Downstream @ STA 45+05

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Area	18.6	10.5	13.7	12.8	
Width	12.0	9.3	10.8	10.0	
Mean Depth	1.6	1.1	1.3	1.3	
Max Depth	2.9	2.1	2.2	2.2	
W/D	NA	NA	NA	NA	



Project Name	Brown Marsh
Cross Section	Reach 4 - XS 5
Feature	Pool
Date	10/1/11
Crew	Corbin, Smith

2008 As-built Survey		2008 YR 1 Survey		2009 YR 2 Survey		2010 YR 3 Survey		2011 YR 4 Survey	
Station	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**
-7.5	140.4	0.0	138.4	25.4	138.4	0.0	138.3	25.7	138.4
-0.6	138.5	6.6	138.0	21.4	138.3	6.0	137.8	19.6	138.2
5.6	138.0	8.4	137.1	18.5	138.2	9.5	136.6	16.8	138.1
10.7	135.6	10.4	136.2	17.2	137.6	12.0	135.4	15.8	136.8
14.0	135.5	11.8	135.5	16.0	136.5	13.0	135.2	15.0	135.6
18.1	138.4	12.6	134.9	15.1	135.6	14.0	135.2	13.9	135.2
23.8	138.4	13.4	134.8	14.6	135.3	15.5	136.7	12.0	135.4
31.3	140.9	14.5	135.1	13.9	135.3	17.8	138.1	10.1	136.3
		15.8	136.2	12.3	135.8	25.0	138.4	7.0	137.8
		16.8	137.4	10.4	136.6			0.0	138.3
		18.1	138.3	8.4	137.2				
		25.0	138.4	6.6	137.9				
				3.8	138.0				
				0.0	138.4				

Photo of Cross-Section R4-X5 - Looking Downstream @ STA 20+55

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Area	19.0	15.1	15.7	15.0	
Width	11.1	11.2	11.3	9.5	
Mean Depth	1.7	1.4	1.4	1.6	
Max Depth	3.2	2.6	2.6	2.6	
W/D	NA	NA	NA	NA	



Project Name	Brown Marsh
Cross Section	Reach 4 - XS 6
Feature	Riffle
Date	10/1/11
Crew	Corbin, Smith

2008 As-built Survey		2008 YR 1 Survey		2009 YR 2 Survey		2010 YR 3 Survey		2011 YR 4 Survey	
Station	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**
-8.5	140.8	0.0	138.1	0.0	138.2	0.0	138.2	0.0	138.2
0.5	138.3	6.6	137.8	5.0	137.8	7.0	137.7	4.3	137.8
7.0	137.9	9.0	136.9	6.8	137.8	9.5	136.5	6.8	137.8
11.5	135.7	10.9	136.1	8.1	137.2	11.5	135.5	9.3	136.3
13.5	135.7	11.8	135.4	9.5	136.5	12.0	135.4	10.8	135.6
18.2	137.7	13.4	135.4	10.9	135.8	13.0	135.5	12.3	135.3
23.8	138.0	14.8	136.3	11.5	135.4	16.0	136.9	13.3	135.6
33.9	140.5	16.5	137.2	12.9	135.5	17.5	137.6	15.7	136.7
		18.2	137.9	14.2	136.2	25.0	138.2	18.2	137.7
		21.7	138.0	16.5	137.2			20.9	137.8
		24.7	138.2	18.5	137.7			24.7	138.3
				22.0	137.8				
				24.8	138.3				

Photo of Cross-Section R4-6 - Looking Downstream @ STA 21+80

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Area	13.9	13.2	12.2	15.0	
Width	11.3	11.5	10.2	11.4	
Mean Depth	1.2	1.1	1.2	1.3	
Max Depth	2.4	2.3	2.2	2.4	
W/D	9.1	10.1	8.5	8.6	



Project Name	Brown Marsh
Cross Section	Reach 4 - XS 7
Feature	Pool
Date	10/1/11
Crew	Corbin, Smith

2008 As-built Survey		2008 YR 1 Survey		2009 YR 2 Survey		2010 YR 3 Survey		2011 YR 4 Survey	
Station	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**
-9.5	140.7	0.0	138.0	28.8	137.9	0.0	137.9	27.6	137.7
0.4	138.1	3.9	137.7	23.8	137.6	7.5	137.5	22.7	137.5
7.7	137.7	7.1	137.7	20.4	137.6	12.5	134.8	19.8	137.4
12.1	134.9	9.2	136.6	18.5	136.9	13.5	134.7	17.8	136.2
14.9	134.7	11.4	135.6	17.3	136.2	15.0	134.9	15.0	135.4
20.9	137.5	11.9	135.2	15.8	135.5	18.0	136.4	13.8	134.8
28.7	137.7	12.2	134.6	15.0	135.1	20.8	137.4	11.6	135.3
38.8	140.4	13.0	134.5	13.7	134.9	29.0	137.7	9.4	136.5
		14.5	134.4	12.3	135.0			7.5	137.5
		15.1	135.1	11.1	135.7			2.9	137.6
		15.7	135.6	9.3	136.9			0.0	137.9
		18.3	136.6	7.2	137.7				
		20.9	137.6	3.8	137.8				
		28.2	137.6	0.0	138.0				

Photo of Cross-Section R4-7 - Looking Downstream @ STA 22+95

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Area	21.8	18.9	20.2	17.3	
Width	13.6	12.9	13.2	12.1	
Mean Depth	1.6	1.5	1.5	1.4	
Max Depth	3.2	2.7	2.7	2.5	
W/D	NA	NA	NA	NA	



Project Name	Brown Marsh
Cross Section	Reach 4 - XS 8
Feature	Riffle
Date	10/1/11
Crew	Corbin, Smith

2008 As-built Survey		2008 YR 1 Survey		2009 YR 2 Survey		2010 YR 3 Survey		2011 YR 4 Survey	
Station	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**
-11.0	140.3	0.0	137.4	-2.2	137.6	0.0	137.7	-2.5	137.8
-2.1	137.6	4.6	137.4	2.8	137.3	5.0	137.3	2.0	137.3
5.2	137.5	7.8	136.6	4.4	137.3	6.0	137.0	5.2	137.3
9.6	135.4	8.8	135.7	5.9	137.0	8.0	136.0	8.0	135.9
11.3	135.4	9.8	135.2	7.8	136.2	10.0	135.2	9.6	135.2
16.3	137.6	10.9	135.2	9.2	135.5	10.5	135.1	9.9	135.1
22.1	137.6	11.7	135.9	9.9	135.1	11.0	135.1	10.7	135.1
30.9	140.5	14.5	137.1	11.0	135.1	15.5	137.3	12.8	136.5
		16.7	137.6	12.2	135.8	22.5	137.9	15.7	137.4
		22.6	137.8	13.5	136.4			19.1	137.7
				14.8	137.0			22.0	137.9
				16.7	137.4				
				20.8	137.5				
				22.6	137.8				

Photo of Cross-Section R4-8 - Looking Downstream @ STA 25+80

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Area	11.2	12.3	12.2	11.0	
Width	11.2	12.0	10.5	10.0	
Mean Depth	1.0	1.0	1.2	1.1	
Max Depth	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.2	
W/D	11.3	11.7	9.0	9.0	



Project Name	Brown Marsh
Cross Section	Reach 5 - XS 1
Feature	Riffle
Date	10/1/11
Crew	Corbin, Smith

2008 As-built Survey		2008 YR 1 Survey		2009 YR 2 Survey		2010 YR 3 Survey		2011 YR 4 Survey	
Station	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**
-7.7	141.1	0.0	139.5	0.0	139.3	0.0	139.2	0.0	139.1
-0.4	139.3	6.5	139.1	4.5	139.1	6.0	139.0	7.7	138.9
9.0	139.0	9.3	138.7	8.0	138.9	10.5	138.6	10.3	138.6
14.5	137.0	11.4	137.8	9.9	138.6	13.0	137.7	13.6	137.5
17.3	136.7	13.4	137.2	11.5	138.1	16.0	136.7	15.5	136.8
24.3	139.2	15.0	136.7	13.6	137.5	17.5	136.7	16.1	136.6
31.8	139.2	16.0	136.7	15.3	136.9	22.0	138.5	17.2	136.8
38.9	141.7	17.0	136.7	16.5	136.6	32.5	139.1	19.3	137.7
		19.6	137.7	18.1	137.0			21.6	138.5
		21.3	138.5	19.3	137.5			24.4	138.9
		24.3	139.2	20.6	137.9			28.8	139.0
		32.0	139.2	21.5	138.3			32.2	139.1
				22.9	138.5				
				25.0	138.9				
				29.0	139.0				
				32.5	139.2				

Photo of Cross-Section R5-1 - Looking Downstream @ STA 11+60

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Area	21.0	13.5	11.1	16.1	
Width	17.2	13.7	11.2	16.6	
Mean Depth	1.2	1.0	1.0	1.0	
Max Depth	2.4	2.0	1.8	2.3	
W/D	14.2	13.9	11.2	17.1	



Project Name	Brown Marsh
Cross Section	Reach 5 - XS 2
Feature	Pool
Date	10/1/11
Crew	Corbin, Smith

2008 As-built Survey		2008 YR 1 Survey		2009 YR 2 Survey		2010 YR 3 Survey		2011 YR 4 Survey	
Station	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**
-5.8	140.8	0.0	139.1	0.0	138.9	0.0	139.0	0.0	139.0
-0.5	139.1	7.1	138.7	7.0	138.6	9.5	138.6	6.5	138.6
12.1	138.6	12.1	138.5	11.3	138.5	12.5	138.6	12.4	138.4
17.3	136.3	14.3	137.7	12.5	138.5	14.8	137.5	15.2	137.2
21.2	136.2	16.2	136.9	14.0	138.0	18.0	136.1	17.6	136.2
26.3	138.2	17.6	136.0	16.0	137.0	21.0	136.2	19.5	135.9
37.5	138.9	19.0	135.7	17.9	136.3	25.0	138.0	21.1	136.2
44.8	140.7	19.9	135.7	19.3	136.1	33.0	138.5	23.6	137.4
		22.9	136.8	20.4	136.0	38.0	138.8	24.5	137.9
		24.6	137.9	22.2	136.5			28.9	138.5
		27.2	138.3	23.0	137.0			33.4	138.6
		29.8	138.7	25.4	137.9			37.3	138.8
		37.3	138.9	27.0	138.2				
				30.0	138.5				
				38.1	138.8				

Photo of Cross-Section R5-2 - Looking Downstream @ STA 13+70

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Area	22.2	20.9	13.3	20.0	
Width	16.2	17.5	11.3	16.3	
Mean Depth	1.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	
Max Depth	2.8	2.5	1.9	2.5	
W/D	NA	NA	NA	NA	



Project Name	Brown Marsh
Cross Section	Reach 5 - XS 3
Feature	Riffle
Date	10/1/11
Crew	Corbin, Smith

2008 As-built Survey		2008 YR 1 Survey		2009 YR 2 Survey		2010 YR 3 Survey		2011 YR 4 Survey	
Station	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**
-7.3	140.8	0.0	139.0	0.0	139.0	0.0	139.0	0.0	139.0
-1.0	138.9	3.6	138.7	5.0	138.6	7.5	138.6	6.9	138.6
11.5	138.7	11.6	138.6	10.0	138.6	12.5	138.5	12.0	138.5
16.5	136.6	14.9	137.6	12.4	138.5	15.6	137.3	16.2	137.0
18.6	136.5	16.6	136.8	14.2	138.0	17.7	136.4	17.6	136.2
24.2	138.7	17.7	136.3	15.9	137.5	18.5	136.4	17.7	136.2
35.8	138.7	18.6	136.3	17.5	136.5	20.0	136.6	19.1	136.9
42.9	140.8	20.4	137.0	18.4	136.3	22.0	137.4	21.0	137.2
		22.1	137.7	20.4	136.9	24.5	138.5	22.4	137.7
		24.2	138.6	25.3	138.1	36.5	138.7	24.0	138.4
		31.1	138.7	29.0	138.5			29.9	138.5
		35.5	138.7	36.1	138.6			35.8	138.6

Photo of Cross-Section R5-3 - Looking Downstream @ STA 14+90

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Area	13.6	15.4	13.9	12.1	
Width	12.0	16.4	12.0	11.6	
Mean Depth	1.1	0.9	1.2	1.0	
Max Depth	2.2	2.2	2.1	2.1	
W/D	10.6	17.6	10.3	11.2	



Project Name	Brown Marsh
Cross Section	Reach 5 - XS 4
Feature	Pool
Date	10/1/11
Crew	Corbin, Smith

2008 As-built Survey		2008 YR 1 Survey		2009 YR 2 Survey		2010 YR 3 Survey		2011 YR 4 Survey	
Station	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**	**Station**	**Elevation**
-7.4	140.2	0.0	138.4	0.0	138.4	0.0	138.4	0.0	138.4
-0.3	138.5	5.9	138.4	5.0	138.2	5.0	138.2	4.5	138.2
7.1	138.2	7.4	138.3	7.5	138.1	7.5	138.1	7.0	138.1
12.5	136.0	9.6	137.4	10.3	137.0	10.0	137.1	9.9	137.1
14.7	135.6	11.2	136.7	12.4	136.3	12.5	136.3	12.5	136.1
19.8	138.6	12.8	136.0	13.1	135.8	13.0	136.0	13.4	135.7
27.2	138.5	13.3	135.5	14.5	135.6	14.5	135.6	14.2	135.6
33.7	140.4	14.2	135.4	16.0	136.3	15.8	135.7	15.2	135.7
		15.6	135.9	17.3	137.3	20.0	138.3	17.0	137.1
		17.2	137.2	19.4	138.1	27.5	138.5	17.8	137.7
		19.8	138.4	20.6	138.3			19.9	138.3
		27.4	138.5	24.0	138.4			23.9	138.4
				27.5	138.5			27.2	138.5

Photo of Cross-Section R5-4 - Looking Downstream @ STA 17+40

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Area	19.1	15.4	17.5	15.9	
Width	13.6	11.9	12.3	12.3	
Mean Depth	1.4	1.3	1.4	1.3	
Max Depth	3.0	2.5	2.6	2.5	
W/D	NA	NA	NA	NA	



Project N	Brown Marsh
Cross Se	Reach 1
Feature	Profile
Date	10/13/11
Crew	Corbin, Smith

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Ave Slope	0.0018	NA*	NA*	NA*	
Riffle Length	19.7	20	14	27	
Riffle Slope	0.0039	NA*	NA*	NA*	
Pool Length	4.1	20	26	18	
Pool Slope	0.0000	NA*	NA*	NA*	

* No water in channel.



Project N	Brown Marsh
Cross Sec	Reach 2
Feature	Profile
Date	10/13/11
Crew	Corbin, Smith

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Ave Slope	0.0010	NA*	NA*	0.0000	
Riffle Length	68.3	57	29	101	
Riffle Slope	0.0012	NA*	NA*	0.0000	
Pool Length	3.7	21	47	31	
Pool Slope	0.0000	NA*	NA*	0.0000	

* No water in channel.



Project N	Brown Marsh
Cross Se	Reach 3
Feature	Profile
Date	10/13/11
Crew	Corbin, Smith

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Ave Slope	0.0014	NA*	NA*	0.0012	
Riffle Length	70.8	37.5	41	56	
Riffle Slope	0.0003	NA*	NA*	0.0015	
Pool Length	3.4	39.0	50.0	30.0	
Pool Slope	0.0038	NA*	NA*	0.0000	

* No water in channel.



Project N	Brown Marsh
Cross Se	Reach 4
Feature	Profile
Date	10/13/11
Crew	Corbin, Smith

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Ave Slope	0.0005	NA*	NA*	0.0012	
Riffle Length	73.5	30	36	63	
Riffle Slope	0.0006	NA*	NA*	0.0003	
Pool Length	3.7	33	42	35	
Pool Slope	0.0064	NA*	NA*	0.0000	



Project N	Brown Marsh
Cross Se	Reach 5
Feature	Profile
Date	10/13/11
Crew	Corbin, Smith

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Ave Slope	0.0007	NA*	NA*	0.0010	
Riffle Length	70.5	41	32	57	
Riffle Slope	0.0007	NA*	NA*	0.0005	
Pool Length	45	52	48	29	
Pool Slope	0.0000	NA*	NA*	0.0000	

* No water in channel.



APPENDIX C

HYDROLOGY DATA

2011 Groundwater Gauge Graphs

Figure C1. Annual Climactic Data vs. 30-year Historic Data


Brown Marsh Swamp Ground Water Gauge 1
Year 4 (2011 Data)
March 14
Start of Growing Season
November 14
End of Growing Season
25
days
6
days
11
days
Water Level (inches)
Precipitation (inches)
Date
20
18
16
14
12
10
8
6
4
2
0
-2
-4
-6
-8
-10
-12
-14
-16
-18
-20
-22
-24
-26
-28
-30
-32
-34
-36
-38
-40
3.5
3
2.5
2
1.5
1
0.5
0
3/10/2011
3/17/2011
3/24/2011
3/31/2011
4/7/2011
4/14/2011
4/21/2011
4/28/2011
5/5/2011
5/12/2011
5/19/2011
5/26/2011
6/2/2011
6/9/2011
6/16/2011
6/23/2011
6/30/2011
7/7/2011
7/14/2011
7/21/2011
7/28/2011
8/4/2011
8/11/2011
8/18/2011
8/25/2011
9/1/2011
9/8/2011
9/15/2011
9/22/2011
9/29/2011
10/6/2011
10/13/2011
10/20/2011
10/27/2011
11/3/2011
11/10/2011


Brown Marsh Swamp Ground Water Gauge 2
Year 4 (2011 Data)
March 14
Start of Growing Season
November 14
End of Growing Season
53
days
8
days
Water Level (inches)
Precipitation (inches)
Date
20
18
16
14
12
10
8
6
4
2
0
-2
-4
-6
-8
-10
-12
-14
-16
-18
-20
-22
-24
-26
-28
-30
-32
-34
-36
-38
-40
3.5
3
2.5
2
1.5
1
0.5
0
3/10/2011
3/17/2011
3/24/2011
3/31/2011
4/7/2011
4/14/2011
4/21/2011
4/28/2011
5/5/2011
5/12/2011
5/19/2011
5/26/2011
6/2/2011
6/9/2011
6/16/2011
6/23/2011
6/30/2011
7/7/2011
7/14/2011
7/21/2011
7/28/2011
8/4/2011
8/11/2011
8/18/2011
8/25/2011
9/1/2011
9/8/2011
9/15/2011
9/22/2011
9/29/2011
10/6/2011
10/13/2011
10/20/2011
10/27/2011
11/3/2011
11/10/2011

Brown Marsh Swamp Ground Water Reference Gauge
Year 4 (2011 Data)



Figure C1. Annual Climatic Data vs. 30-year Historic Data

Month	30th %*	70th %*	2008**	2009**	2010**	2011**
Jan	3.06	5.08	1.34	0.73	1.84	1.14
Feb	2.16	4.06	4.54	1.25	2.3	3.52
Mar	2.8	5.18	1.52	2.43	3.08	3.87
Apr	1.54	3.45	4.54	1.92	0.21	1.96
May	2.8	4.71	1.83	5.36	5.11	3.04
June	3.27	5.39	1.46	1.35	3.46	2.52
July	3.97	6.66	5.38	4.11	7.14	4.61
Aug	3.51	6.15	7.03	4.75	0.72	10.44
Sept	2.37	5.63	8.07	1.8	8.83	2.94
Oct	1.69	4.15	0.44	0.7	0.48	
Nov	1.7	3.24	4.05	4.45	0.98	
Dec	1.87	3.91	1.24	3.98	1.35	

*Lumberton, NC 30-year historic data (NOAA 2004)
**Lumberton Airport (KLBT) rainfall data (Weatherunderground 2011)



APPENDIX D

MONITORING PLAN VIEWS

8/17/99

OVERVIEW MAP



Florence & Hutcheson
CONSULTING ENGINEERS
5121 Kingsdown Way, Suite 100 Raleigh, NC 27607
NC License No: P-0258

NOT TO SCALE

YEAR 4 MONITORING
BROWN MARSH SWAMP

CONTRACT:	16-D06038	COUNTY:	ROBESON
DESIGNED BY:	RVS	DATE:	11/11
CHECKED BY:	RKW		SHEET 1 OF 8

11/2/2011
R:\Monitoring\Year 4 2011\BMS_monitoring_psh_1.dgn
Florence & Hutcheson, Inc.

YEAR 4 MONITORING



Florence & Hutcheson
CONSULTING ENGINEERS
3121 Kingdom Way, Suite 100 Raleigh, NC 27607
NC License No: F-0258

50 0 100
SCALE

LEGEND

- FLOW DIRECTION
- BANKFULL
- BENCH
- TERRACE
- EASEMENT BOUNDARY
- ROOTWAD
- 5m x 20m VEG PLOT
- 10m x 10m VEG PLOT
- MONITORING PROFILE

YEAR 4 MONITORING BROWN MARSH SWAMP			
CONTRACT:	16-D06038	COUNTY:	ROBESON
DESIGNED BY:	RVS	DATE:	11/11
CHECKED BY:	RKW	SHEET 2 OF 8	

11/2/2011
R:\Monitoring\Year 4 2011\BMS_monitoring_psh_2.dgn
Florence & Hutcheson, Inc.

8/17/99

YEAR 4 MONITORING

Florence & Hutcheson
CONSULTING ENGINEERS
3121 Kingdom Way, Suite 100 Raleigh, NC 27607
NC License No: P-0238

25 0 50
SCALE

CLEARCUT

BEGIN NORTHERN UT
Sta. 10+00.00

BEGIN REACH 5 PROFILE
STA 11+00

CROSS SECTION 3

CROSS SECTION 4

DRAIN TILE

~MATCHLINE- STATION 19+00
SEE SHEET NO. 4

VEG PLOT 1

VEG PLOT 2

VEG PLOT 3

Sta.10+00
Sta.11+00
Sta.12+00
Sta.13+00
Sta.14+00
Sta.15+00
Sta.16+00
Sta.17+00
Sta.18+00

NORTHERN UT

AGRICULTURAL FIELDS

CROSS SECTION 1

CROSS SECTION 2

E

LEGEND

- FLOW DIRECTION
- BANKFULL
- BENCH
- TERRACE
- E — EASEMENT BOUNDARY
- ROOTWAD
- 5m x 20m VEG PLOT
- 10m x 10m VEG PLOT
- PERMANENT PHOTO LOCATION
- MONITORING PROFILE

YEAR 4 MONITORING BROWN MARSH SWAMP	
CONTRACT: 16-D06038	COUNTY: ROBESON
DESIGNED BY: RVS	DATE: 11/11
CHECKED BY: RKW	SHEET 3 OF 8

11/2/2011
E:\Monitoring\Year 4 2011\BMS_monitoring-psh_3.dgn
Florence & Hutcheson, PGC

YEAR 4 MONITORING

Florence & Hutcheson
CONSULTING ENGINEERS
3121 Kingdom Way, Suite 100 Raleigh, NC 27607
NC License No: P-0258

25 0 50
SCALE

BEGIN REACH 4 PROFILE
STA 20+16

END REACH 5 PROFILE
STA 20+27

AGRICUTURAL FIELDS

Sta. 20+00

Sta. 21+00

VEG PLOT 5

NORTHERN UT

Sta. 24+00

Sta. 25+00

-MATCHLINE- STATION 19+00
SEE SHEET NO. 3

VEG PLOT 4

VEG PLOT 6

CROSS SECTION 5

CROSS SECTION 6

CROSS SECTION 7

CROSS SECTION 8

Sta. 22+00

Sta. 23+00

Sta. 26+00

Sta. 27+00

Sta. 28+00

Sta. 29+00

-MATCHLINE- STATION 29+50
SEE SHEET NO. 5

END REACH 4 PROFILE
STA 26+22

AGRICUTURAL FIELDS

LEGEND

- FLOW DIRECTION
- BANKFULL
- BENCH
- TERRACE
- EASEMENT BOUNDARY
- ROOTWAD
- 5m x 20m VEG PLOT
- 10m x 10m VEG PLOT
- PERMANENT PHOTO LOCATION
- MONITORING PROFILE

YEAR 4 MONITORING BROWN MARSH SWAMP			
CONTRACT:	16-D06038	COUNTY:	ROBESON
DESIGNED BY:	RVS	DATE:	11/11
CHECKED BY:	RKW		SHEET 4 OF 8

11/2/2011
R:\Monitoring\Year 4 2011\BMS_monitoring-psh_4.dgn
Florence & Hutcheson, Inc.

8/17/99

YEAR 4 MONITORING

LEGEND

- FLOW DIRECTION
- BANKFULL
- BENCH
- TERRACE
- E — EASEMENT BOUNDARY
- ROOTWAD
- 5m x 20m VEG PLOT
- 10m x 10m VEG PLOT
- PERMANENT PHOTO LOCATION
- MONITORING PROFILE

Florence & Hutcheson
CONSULTING ENGINEERS
3121 Kingsdown Way, Suite 100 Raleigh, NC 27607
NC License No: P-0208

25 0 50
SCALE

AGRICULTURAL FIELDS

NORTHERN UT

VEG PLOT 7

BEGIN REACH 3 PROFILE
STA 37+30

Sta. 30+00
Sta. 31+00
Sta. 32+00
Sta. 33+00
Sta. 34+00
Sta. 35+00
Sta. 36+00
Sta. 37+00
Sta. 38+00

-MATCHLINE- STATION 29+50
SEE SHEET NO. 4

-MATCHLINE- STATION 38+50
SEE SHEET NO. 6

CULVERT CROSSING

AGRICULTURAL FIELDS

YEAR 4 MONITORING BROWN MARSH SWAMP			
CONTRACT:	16-D06038	COUNTY:	ROBESON
DESIGNED BY:	RVS	DATE:	1/11
CHECKED BY:	RKW	SHEET 5 OF 8	

11/2/2011
F:\Monitoring\Year 4 2011\BMS_monitoring_psh_5.dgn
Florence & Hutcheson, fpc

YEAR 4 MONITORING

Florence & Hutcheson
CONSULTING ENGINEERS
3121 Kingdom Way, Suite 100 Raleigh, NC 27607
NC License No: P-0238

25 0 50
SCALE



11/2/2011
R:\Monitoring\Year 4 2011\BMS_monitoring-psh_6.dgn
Florence & Hutcheson, Inc.

YEAR 4 MONITORING BROWN MARSH SWAMP	
CONTRACT: 16-D06038	COUNTY: ROBESON
DESIGNED BY: RVS	DATE: 1111
CHECKED BY: RKW	SHEET 6 OF 8

8/17/99

YEAR 4 MONITORING

Florence & Hutcheson
CONSULTING ENGINEERS
3121 Kingdom Way, Suite 100 Raleigh, NC 27607
NC License No: P-0238

25 0 50
SCALE

LEGEND

- FLOW DIRECTION
- BANKFULL
- BENCH
- TERRACE
- EASEMENT BOUNDARY
- ROOTWAD
- LOG SILL
- 5m x 20m VEG PLOT
- 10m x 10m VEG PLOT
- PERMANENT PHOTO LOCATION
- MONITORING PROFILE

EXISTING SOIL ROAD

AGRICULTURAL FIELDS

NORTHERN UT

VEG PLOT 11

END REACH 2 PROFILE
STA 52+78

FOR SOUTHERN UT
SEE SHEET NO. 8 OF 8

Sta. 48+00
Sta. 49+00
Sta. 50+00
Sta. 51+00
Sta. 52+00
Sta. 53+00
Sta. 54+00
Sta. 16+00

MATCHLINE- STATION 47+50
SEE SHEET NO. 6

CROSS-SECTION 14
CROSS-SECTION 15
CROSS-SECTION 16

WOODS

END NORTHERN UT
Sta. 54+65.34

AGRICULTURAL FIELDS

YEAR 4 MONITORING BROWN MARSH SWAMP	
CONTRACT: 16-D06038	COUNTY: ROBESON
DESIGNED BY: RVS	DATE: 11/11
CHECKED BY: RKW	SHEET 7 OF 8

11/2/2011
R:\Monitoring\Year 4 2011\BMS_monitoring_psh_7.dgn
Florence & Hutcheson, Inc.

8/17/99

YEAR 4 MONITORING

Florence & Hutcheson
CONSULTING ENGINEERS
3121 Kingdom Way, Suite 100 Raleigh, NC 27607
NC License No: P-0238

25 0 50
SCALE

BEGIN SOUTHERN UT
Sta. 10+00.00

END SOUTHERN UT
Sta. 15+98.83

AGRICULTURAL FIELDS

BEGIN REACH 1 PROFILE
STA 10+10

END REACH 1 PROFILE
STA 15+67

WOODS

CROSS-SECTION 19

CROSS-SECTION 17

Sta.10+00

Sta.12+00

Sta.16+00

Sta.15+00

SOUTHERN UT

VEG
PLOT 13

CROSS-SECTION 20

CROSS-SECTION 18

Sta.11+00

Sta.13+00

Sta.14+00

VEG
PLOT 12

FOR NORTHERN UT
SEE SHEET NO. 7 OF 8

AGRICULTURAL FIELDS

LEGEND

- FLOW DIRECTION
- BANKFULL
- BENCH
- TERRACE
- EASEMENT BOUNDARY
- ROOTWAD
- LOG SILL
- 5m x 20m VEG PLOT
- 10m x 10m VEG PLOT
- PERMANENT PHOTO LOCATION
- MONITORING PROFILE

YEAR 4 MONITORING BROWN MARSH SWAMP	
CONTRACT: 16-D06038	COUNTY: ROBESON
DESIGNED BY: RVS	DATE: 11/11
CHECKED BY: RKW	SHEET 8 OF 8

11/2/2011
R:\Monitoring\Year 4 2011\BMS_monitoring_psh_8.dgn
Florence & Hutcheson, Inc.