

Cat Creek
Stream and Wetland Restoration
NCEEP Project Number: 71
Monitoring Contract Number: 004490
Monitoring Year 3
2012 Final Report




Submitted to
North Carolina Ecosystem Enhancement Program
North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources
November 2012



1652 Mail Service Center
Raleigh, NC 27699

Monitoring Firm



**37 Haywood Street, Suite 100
Asheville, North Carolina 28801
Phone: 828-253-6856**

**Project Contact: Kevin Mitchell
Email: kevin@equinoxenvironmental.com**

Cat Creek Stream and Wetland Restoration 2012 Monitoring Report (MY 3)

Table of Contents

1.0	Executive Summary / Project Abstract	Page 1
2.0	Methodology	Page 3
3.0	References	Page 4

Appendices

Appendix A. Project Vicinity Map and Background Tables

- Figure 1. Vicinity Map and Directions
- Table 1a. Project Components
- Table 1b. Component Summations
- Table 2. Project Activity and Reporting History
- Table 3. Project Contacts
- Table 4. Project Attributes

Appendix B. Visual Assessment Data

- Figure 2. Integrated Current Condition Plan View
- Table 5. Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment
- Table 6. Vegetation Condition Assessment
- Photo Station Photos

Appendix C. Vegetation Plot Data

- Table 7. Vegetation Plot Criteria Attainment
- Vegetation Monitoring Plot Photos
- Table 8. CVS Vegetation Plot Metadata
- Table 9. Planted and Total Stem Counts (Species by Plot with Annual Means)

Appendix D. Stream Survey Data

- Cross-Sections with Annual Overlays and Photos
- Longitudinal Profiles with Annual Overlays
- Pebble Count Plots with Annual Overlays
- Table 10. Baseline Stream Data Summary
- Table 11a. Monitoring Data – Dimensional Morphology Summary (Dimensional Parameters – Cross-Sections)
- Table 11b. Monitoring Data – Stream Reach Data Summary

Appendix E. Hydrologic Data

- Table 12. Verification of Bankfull Events
- Monthly Precipitation Data Compared to 30th and 70th Percentiles for Macon County, NC
- Precipitation and Water Level Plots
- Table 13. Wetland Gauge Attainment Data

1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY / PROJECT ABSTRACT

The goals and objectives stated in the Cat Creek Restoration Plan (NCEEP 2007) are as follows:

Project Goals:

- Provide a stable stream channel for the main channel and the unnamed tributaries to Cat Creek that neither aggrades nor degrades while maintaining their dimension, pattern, and profile with the capacity to transport their watershed's water and sediment load.
- Improve water quality and reduce erosion by stabilizing the stream banks for all streams by improving riparian vegetation.
- Improve aquatic habitat of the main channel and tributaries with the use of natural material stabilization structures such as root wads, rock vanes, woody debris, and a riparian buffer.
- Provide aesthetic value, wildlife habitat, and bank stability through the creation or enhancement of a riparian zone.
- Create contiguous wildlife corridor and provide diverse amphibian habitat with added topographic and wetland features.
- Provide shading and biomass input to the stream and mast for wildlife when vegetation is mature.
- Enhance wetland biochemical and geo-chemical processes over an extended area.

Project Objectives:

- Restore or enhance over 8,881 feet of Cat Creek and its tributaries.
- Restore a natural riparian buffer.
- Restore or enhance 7.97 acres of swamp forest bog complex wetlands.
- Plant native trees and shrubs throughout the site.

The monitoring year three (MY3) vegetation plot data indicate that the project meets the established criterion for planted stem density, which is a minimum survival of 320 planted stems per acre at the end of the year three monitoring period. While the average living stem densities for planted stems in MY3 is approximately 399 stems per acre, several plots (~29%) did not meet the year three interim success criteria numbers per acre. These include VP 2, 7, 10, and 12, which had 202, 202, 121, and 283, stems per acre, respectively.

Of the planted stems recorded within the monitoring plots, nearly 10% were reported as dead or missing. Due to dead or missing stems there was an approximately 2% decrease in total stem densities between MY2 and MY3. However, when planted and natural stems are combined, the average stem density is 1,084 stems per acre, which is well above the minimum established criterion. All plots met the established criterion when planted and natural stems are combined; however, the additional stems were predominately alder (*Alnus serrulata*) and silky dogwood (*Cornus amomum*). The site includes a diverse assemblage of 23 planted species of native trees and shrubs. Planted species range from 2 to 7 per plot with 3 to 11 species observed when volunteers are included. While exotic invasive vegetation was treated in 2010, vegetation problem areas noted in MY3 consist of 43 currently isolated patches of high threat invasive plant species that span the project area. Dominant invasive non-native plants include multiflora rose

(*Rosa multiflora*), Japanese honeysuckle (*Lonicera japonica*), privet (*Ligustrum sp.*), and kudzu (*Pueraria montana var. lobata*).

Stream longitudinal profiles have remained stable among monitoring years. Stream issues observed during MY3 were minimal and consisted of six bank erosion areas and one area of bed degradation, with all but one of these areas occurring within the Preserve project reaches. Additionally, beaver activity was noted in the lower end of the Preserve project reach. EEP was informed and a beaver removal request form was submitted to the Animal and Plant Health Inspections Service's (APHIS). APHIS removed two beaver dams and two beavers from the Preserve reach on October 29, 2012.

Data from the groundwater monitoring stations resulted in 15 of the 18 stations exceeding saturation of the upper soil surfaces for eight percent of the growing season. Of those that did not meet the hydrology success criteria, monitoring wells three and seven were not within the proposed wetland restoration or enhancement boundaries while monitoring well 18 was within the Preserve wetland restoration boundary. The groundwater monitoring stations were not affected by the presence of beaver dams. During normal rainfall years all groundwater gauges are expected to meet criteria. The monitoring protocol for the site specified that the automated gauges be downloaded and checked for malfunctions on a monthly basis. The Cat Creek rain gauge malfunctioned twice resulting in data gaps during the growing season. A replacement gauge was installed on August 16, 2012 and again on December 9, 2012. Since project completion one bankfull event has occurred within the project site. This event occurred during the Year 3 monitoring period based on the presence of wrack lines and crest gauge monitoring.

Summary information/data related to the occurrence of items such as beaver or easement encroachment and statistics related to performance of various project and monitoring elements can be found in the tables and figures in the report appendices. Narrative background and supporting information formerly found in these reports can be found in the mitigation and restoration plan documents available on EEP's website. All raw data supporting tables and figures in the appendices is available from EEP upon request.

Additionally, due to inconsistencies with previous thalweg stationing, the baseline thalweg data and 2010 aerial imagery were utilized to apply the corrected stationing for the project site.

2.0 Methodology

The stream monitoring methodologies utilized in MY3 replicate those employed during the previous monitoring year and are based on standard guidance and procedures documents (Rosgen 1996 and USACE 2003). Vegetation monitoring data were collected following the standard CVS-EEP Protocol for Recording Vegetation, Level II (Lee et al. 2008). Wetland hydrology was considered established if groundwater monitoring data indicated saturated soils within 12 inches of the soil surface for 8% of the growing season. The growing season for the site was based on the Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) data set for Macon County (NRCS 2011).

3.0 References

Lee, M.T., R.K. Peet, S.D. Roberts, and T.R. Wentworth. 2008. CVS-EEP Protocol for Recording Vegetation. Version 4.2. The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Department of Biology.

NCEEP (North Carolina Ecosystem Enhancement Program). 2007. Cat Creek Stream and Wetland Restoration. Macon County, North Carolina. Restoration Plan. Raleigh.

NRCS (Natural Resources Conservation Service). Accessed June 2012. Climate Analysis for Wetlands by County. <http://www.wcc.nrcs.usda.gov/climate/wetlands.html>

Rosgen, D.L. 1996. Applied River Morphology. Wildland Hydrology Books, Pagosa Springs, Colorado.

USACE (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers). 2003. Stream Mitigation Guidelines. USACOE, USEPA, NCWRC, NCDENR-DWQ. Wilmington District.

Appendix A
Project Vicinity Map and Background Tables




Figure 1 - Vicinity Map

Cat Creek Stream & Wetland Restoration Site

Project No. 71

Macon County, North Carolina



0 0.25 0.5 Miles

7.5 Minute Series Corbin Knob Quadrangle

Directions: From Raleigh, proceed west on I-40 towards Knoxville, TN. Merge onto US-74 (Exit 27) toward Waynesville. Follow US-74 to exit 81 US-23/US-441. Proceed south on US-441 for 17 miles to Cat Creek Road. Turn left onto Cat Creek Rd. and follow ~1 mile to Ferguson Road. Turn left on Ferguson and continue ~0.5 mile to the bridge crossing Cat Creek. The project site is upstream and downstream of the bridge.

Table 1a. Project Components Cat Creek Stream & Wetland / Project No. 71							
Project Component or Reach ID	Existing Feet/Acres	Restoration Level	Approach	Footage or Acreage	*Stationing	BMP Elements	Comment
Cat Creek - Upper Swartwout	900 lf	E2		900 lf	00+00 - 09+00		Livestock exclusion, buffer plantings, bank stabilization in 3 locations
Cat Creek - Lower Swartwout	770 lf	R	P1	818 lf	09+00 - 17+18		
Cat Creek - Upper Waldroup	1,438 lf	E2		1,439 lf	**17+49 - 32+13	Equipment crossing and watering stations	Livestock exclusion, buffer plantings
Cat Creek - Lower Waldroup	482 lf	E1		482 lf	34+37 - 39+19	Cattle crossing and watering stations	Livestock exclusion, buffer plantings, and structure to provide enhanced profile
Cat Creek - Parker	1,750 lf	R	P1	1,871 lf	39+19 - 57+90		
Cat Creek Preserve	1,765 lf	E1		1,879 lf	59+24 - 78+03		Grade control, turbulent riffles to add habitat, buffer plantings, and invasive
UT1	100 lf	E2		115 lf	100+00 - 101+15		Livestock exclusion, buffer plantings
UT1	363 lf	R	P1	458 lf	101+15 - 105+73		
UT2	210 lf	R	P1	381 lf	200+00 - 203+81		
UT3	165 lf	R	P1	294 lf	300+00 - 302+94		
UT4	110 lf	R	P1	244 lf	400+00 - 402+44		
Swartwout Wetlands		R		1.11 ac			
		E		0.51 ac			Livestock exclusion, removal of drain pipe, plantings
Parker Wetlands		R		4.73 ac			
		E		0.25 ac			
Preserve Wetlands		R		0.71 ac			
		E		0.66 ac			

=Non-Applicable

* See Appendix B Fig. 2. Stationing was Realigned in MY2 to Accurately Depict the Stream Reaches (See Executive Summary, Page 2)

** Stationing Includes a 25 Foot Crossing

Table 1b. Component Summations Cat Creek Stream & Wetland / Project No. 71							
Restoration Level	Stream (lf)	Riparian Wetland (Ac)		Non-Riparian (Ac)	Upland (Ac)	Buffer (Ac)	BMP
		Riverine	Non-Riverine				
Restoration	4,066		6.55				
Enhancement			1.42				
Enhancement I	2,361						1
Enhancement II	2,454						1
Creation							
Preservation							
HQ Preservation							
Totals	8,881		7.97	0	0	0	2

=Non-Applicable

Table 2. Project Activity & Reporting History Cat Creek Stream and Wetland / Project No. 71 Elapsed Time Since Grading Complete: 2 Year 6 Months Number of Reporting Years: 3		
Activity or Report	Data Collection Complete	Actual Completion or Delivery
Restoration Plan	-	Jul-07
Final Design - Construction Plans	Jul-08	Jul-08
Construction	N/A	May-10
Temporary S&E mix applied	N/A	Jan-10
Permanent seed mix applied	N/A	Feb-10
Planting	N/A	Feb-10
Initial Wetland Monitoring Gauges & Rain Gauge Installed	N/A	Apr-10
Mitigation Plan / As-built (Year 0 Monitoring - Baseline)	Jun-10	Mar-11
Year 1 Monitoring	Dec-10	Mar-11
Year 2 Monitoring	Nov-11	Dec-11
Year 3 Monitoring	Nov-12	Dec-12
Year 4 Monitoring		
Year 5 Monitoring		

N/A - Item does not apply.

- Information unavailable.

Table 3. Project Contacts Cat Creek Stream and Wetland / Project No. 71	
Designer	AECOM 701 Corporate Center Dr., Suite 475 Raleigh, NC 27607
Primary Project Design POC	Ron Johnson (919) 854-6210
Construction Contractor	Fluvial Solutions P.O. Box 28749 Raleigh, NC 27611
Construction Contractor POC	Peter Jelenevsky (919) 605-6134
Planting Contractor	Bruton Natural Systems, Inc P.O. Box 1197 Fremont, NC 27830
Planting Contractor POC	Charlie Bruton (919) 242-6555
Seeding Contractor	Fluvial Solutions P.O. Box 28749 Raleigh, NC 27611
Seeding Contractor POC	Peter Jelenevsky (919) 605-6134
Seed Mix Sources	Mellow Marsh Farm, Inc 1312 Woody Store Road Siler City, NC 27344 (919) 742-1200
Monitoring Performers (Y0) - 2010	AECOM 701 Corporate Center Dr., Suite 475 Raleigh, NC 27607
Stream Monitoring POC	Ron Johnson (919) 854-6210
Monitoring Performers (Y1) - 2010	AECOM 701 Corporate Center Dr., Suite 475 Raleigh, NC 27607
Stream Monitoring POC	Ron Johnson (919) 854-6210
Monitoring Performers (Y2) - 2011	Equinox Environmental Consultation & Design, Inc. 37 Haywood Street, Suite 100 Asheville, North Carolina 28801
Stream Monitoring POC	Steve Melton (828) 253-6856
Vegetation Monitoring POC	Kevin Mitchell (828) 253-6856
Wetland Monitoring POC	Win Taylor (828) 253-6856
Monitoring Performers (Y3)- 2012	Equinox Environmental Consultation & Design, Inc. 37 Haywood Street, Suite 100 Asheville, North Carolina 28801
Stream Monitoring POC	Steve Melton (828) 253-6856
Vegetation Monitoring POC	Kevin Mitchell (828) 253-6856
Wetland Monitoring POC	Kevin Mitchell (828) 253-6856
Monitoring Performers (Y4)- 2013	
Stream Monitoring POC	
Vegetation Monitoring POC	
Wetland Monitoring POC	
Monitoring Performers (Y5)- 2014	
Stream Monitoring POC	
Vegetation Monitoring POC	
Wetland Monitoring POC	

Table 4. Project Attributes					
Cat Creek Stream and Wetland / Project No. 71					
Project County	Macon				
Physiographic Region	Blue Ridge				
Ecoregion	Blue Ridge Mountains - Broad Basins				
River Basin	Little Tennessee River				
USGS HUC	06010202040010				
NCDWQ Sub-Basin	04-04-01				
Within Extent of EEP Watershed Plan	Franklin to Fontana Planning Area				
WRC Class	Cold				
% of Project Easement Fenced or Demarcated	100%				
Beaver Activity Observed During Design Phase	Yes				
Restoration Component Attributes					
	Cat Creek	UT1	UT2	UT3	UT4
Drainage Area (sq.mi.)	3.6	0.9	0.5	0.2	0.2
Stream Order	Third	Second	Second	First	First
Restored Length (feet)	*7,389	573	381	294	244
Perennial or Intermittent	Perennial				
Watershed Type	Rural				
Watershed LULC Distribution					
Forest	70%	70%	50%	90%	20%
Pasture/Managed Herbaceous	30%	30%	50%	10%	80%
Other	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Watershed Impervious Cover	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
NCDWQ AU/Index Number	2-23-4	2-23-4	2-23-4	2-23-4	2-23-4
NCDWQ Classification	C				
303d Listed	No				
Upstream of 303d Listed Segment	No				
Reasons for 303d Listing or Stressor	N/A				
Total Acreage of Easement	38.9				
Total Vegetated Acreage within Easement	38.9				
Total Planted Acreage as Part of Restoration	20				
Rosgen Classification of Pre-Existing	G4	Cb4	-	-	-
Rosgen Classification of As-Built	C4	C4	C	C	Cb
Valley Type	VII	VII	VII	VII	VII
Valley Slope	0.0062-0.015	0.023	0.013	0.013	0.048
Valley Side Slope Range	15-30%	15-30%	15-30%	15-30%	15-30%
Valley Toe Slope Range	2-3%	2-3%	2-3%	2-3%	2-3%
Cowardin Classification	-	-	-	-	-
Trout Waters Designation	No				
Species of Concern, Endangered, Etc.	No				
Dominant Soil Series and Characteristics					
Series	Nikwasi	Reddies	Nikwasi	Nikwasi	Udorthents
Depth	> 60 inches	> 60 inches	> 60 inches	> 60 inches	> 60 inches
Clay%	5-18%	1-18%	5-18%	5-18%	N/A
K	.05-.20	.05-.20	.05-.20	.05-.20	N/A
T	3	3	3	3.000	N/A

- Information unavailable.
 N/A - Item does not apply.
 * Stationing Includes a 25 Foot Crossing.

Appendix B

Visual Assessment Data

Figure 2. Integrated Current Condition Plan View Final





Prepared for	Project: Cat Creek Stream and Wetland Restoration	Notes: 1) 2010 Aerial Photo 2) Base Map Data Provided by AECOM.	Prepared by
	Year 3 Monitoring Macon County, North Carolina		
	Sheet 1 of 3	Project Number	
	Date November 2012	NCEEP # 71	

Figure 2. Integrated Current Condition Plan View Final






Prepared for	Project: Cat Creek Stream and Wetland Restoration Year 3 Monitoring Macon County, North Carolina	Notes: 1) 2010 Aerial Photo 2) Base Map Data Provided by AECOM.	Prepared by
	Sheet 2 of 3 Date November 2012	Project Number NCEEP # 71	

Figure 2. Integrated Current Condition Plan View Final





Prepared for	Project: Cat Creek Stream and Wetland Restoration	Notes: 1) 2010 Aerial Photo 2) Base Map Data Provided by AECOM.	Prepared by
	Year 3 Monitoring Macon County, North Carolina		
Sheet 3 of 3	Date	Project Number	
November 1, 2012		NCEEP # 71	

Table 5. Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment Cat Creek Stream & Wetland / Project No. 71 - Cat Creek Assessed Length 7,389 feet										
Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number Stable, Performing as Intended	Total Number in As-built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Adjusted % for Stabilizing Woody Vegetation
1. Bed	1. Vertical Stability (Riffle and Run Units)	1. <u>Agradation</u> - Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly deflect flow laterally (not to include point bars).			0	0	100%			
		2. <u>Degradation</u> - Evidence of downcutting.			0	0	100%			
	2. Riffle Condition	1. <u>Texture/Substrate</u> - Riffle maintains coarser substrate.	43	43			100%			
		3. Meander Pool Condition	1. <u>Depth</u> Sufficient (Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth \geq 1.6).	42			42			
	2. <u>Length</u> appropriate (>30% of centerline distance between tail of up stream riffle and head of downstream riffle).		42	42			100%			
	4. Thalweg Position	1. Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run).	42	42			100%			
		2. Thalweg centering at downstream of meander bend (Glide).	42	42			100%			
	2. Bank	1. <u>Scoured / Eroding</u>	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion.							
2. <u>Undercut</u>		Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does <u>NOT</u> include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat.			0	0	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
3. <u>Mass Wasting</u>		Bank slumping, calving, or collapse.			0	0	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Totals					6	178	99%	6	80	99%
3. Engineered Structures	1. <u>Overall Integrity</u>	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs.	21	21			100%			
	2. <u>Grade Control</u>	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill.	13	13			100%			
	2a. <u>Piping</u>	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	18	18			100%			
	3. <u>Bank Protection</u>	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does <u>NOT</u> exceed 15%.	17	17			100%			
	4. <u>Habitat</u>	Pool forming structures maintaining ~ Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth Ratio \geq 1.6. Rootwads/logs providing some cover at base-flow.	14	14			100%			

N/A - Item does not apply.

Table 5. Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment Cat Creek Stream & Wetland / Project No. 71 - UT1 Assessed Length 573 feet										
Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number Stable, Performing as Intended	Total Number in As-built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Adjusted % for Stabilizing Woody Vegetation
1. Bed	1. Vertical Stability (Riffle and Run Units)	1. <u>Aggradation</u> - Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly deflect flow laterally (not to include point bars).			0	0	100%			
		2. <u>Degradation</u> - Evidence of downcutting.			0	0	100%			
	2. Riffle Condition	1. <u>Texture/Substrate</u> - Riffle maintains coarser substrate.	7	7			100%			
		3. Meander Pool Condition	1. <u>Depth</u> Sufficient (Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth \geq 1.6).	6	6					
	4. Thalweg Position	2. <u>Length</u> appropriate (>30% of centerline distance between tail of upstream riffle and head of downstream riffle).	6	6			100%			
		1. Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run).	6	6			100%			
	2. Thalweg centering at downstream of meander bend (Glide).	7	7			100%				
2. Bank	1. Scoured / Eroding	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion.			0	0	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does NOT include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat.			0	0	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, calving, or collapse.			0	0	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Totals					0	0	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
3. Engineered Structures	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs.	3	3			100%			
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill.	2	2			100%			
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	2	2			100%			
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does NOT exceed 15%.	3	3			100%			
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ~ Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth Ratio \geq 1.6. Rootwads/logs providing some cover at base-flow.	3	3			100%			

N/A - Item does not apply.

Table 5. Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment Cat Creek Stream & Wetland / Project No. 71 - UT2 Assessed Length 381 feet										
Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number Stable, Performing as Intended	Total Number in As-built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Adjusted % for Stabilizing Woody Vegetation
1. Bed	1. Vertical Stability (Riffle and Run Units)	1. <u>Aggradation</u> - Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly deflect flow laterally (not to include point bars).			0	0	100%			
		2. <u>Degradation</u> - Evidence of downcutting.			0	0	100%			
	2. Riffle Condition	1. <u>Texture/Substrate</u> - Riffle maintains coarser substrate.	5	5			100%			
		3. Meander Pool Condition	1. <u>Depth</u> Sufficient (Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth \geq 1.6).	4	4					
	4. Thalweg Position	2. <u>Length</u> appropriate (>30% of centerline distance between tail of upstream riffle and head of downstream riffle).	4	4			100%			
		1. Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run).	4	4			100%			
		2. Thalweg centering at downstream of meander bend (Glide).	4	4			100%			
	2. Bank	1. Scoured / Eroding	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion.			0	0			
2. Undercut		Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does NOT include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat.			0	0	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
3. Mass Wasting		Bank slumping, calving, or collapse.			0	0	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Totals					0	0	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
3. Engineered Structures	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs.	2	2			100%			
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill.	2	2			100%			
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	2	2			100%			
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does NOT exceed 15%.	N/A	N/A			N/A			
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ~ Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth Ratio \geq 1.6. Rootwads/logs providing some cover at base-flow.	N/A	N/A			N/A			

N/A - Item does not apply.

Table 5. Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment Cat Creek Stream & Wetland / Project No. 71 - UT3 Assessed Length 294 feet										
Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number Stable, Performing as Intended	Total Number in As-built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Adjusted % for Stabilizing Woody Vegetation
1. Bed	1. Vertical Stability (Riffle and Run Units)	1. <u>Aggradation</u> - Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly deflect flow laterally (not to include point bars).			0	0	100%			
		2. <u>Degradation</u> - Evidence of downcutting.			0	0	100%			
	2. Riffle Condition	1. <u>Texture/Substrate</u> - Riffle maintains coarser substrate.	4	4			100%			
		3. Meander Pool Condition	1. <u>Depth</u> Sufficient (Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth \geq 1.6).	3	3					
	2. <u>Length</u> appropriate (>30% of centerline distance between tail of upstream riffle and head of downstream riffle).		3	3			100%			
	4. Thalweg Position	1. Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run).	3	3			100%			
		2. Thalweg centering at downstream of meander bend (Glide).	3	3			100%			
2. Bank	1. Scoured / Eroding	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion.			0	0	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does <u>NOT</u> include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat.			0	0	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, calving, or collapse.			0	0	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Totals					0	0	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
3. Engineered Structures	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs.	2	2			100%			
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill	2	2			100%			
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	2	2			100%			
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does <u>NOT</u> exceed 15%.	N/A	N/A			N/A			
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ~ Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth Ratio \geq 1.6. Rootwads/logs providing some cover at base-flow.	N/A	N/A			N/A			

N/A - Item does not apply.

Table 5. Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment Cat Creek Stream & Wetland / Project No. 71 - UT4 Assessed Length 244 feet										
Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number Stable, Performing as Intended	Total Number in As-built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Adjusted % for Stabilizing Woody Vegetation
1. Bed	1. Vertical Stability (Riffle and Run Units)	1. <u>Aggradation</u> - Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly deflect flow laterally (not to include point bars).			0	0	100%			
		2. <u>Degradation</u> - Evidence of downcutting.			1	50	80%			
	2. Riffle Condition	1. <u>Texture/Substrate</u> - Riffle maintains coarser substrate.	5	5			100%			
		3. Meander Pool Condition	1. <u>Depth</u> Sufficient (Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth \geq 1.6).	4	4					
	4. Thalweg Position	2. <u>Length</u> appropriate (>30% of centerline distance between tail of upstream riffle and head of downstream riffle).	4	4			100%			
		1. Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run).	4	4			100%			
	2. Thalweg centering at downstream of meander bend (Glide).	4	4			100%				
2. Bank	1. Scoured / Eroding	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion.			0	0	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does NOT include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat.			0	0	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, calving, or collapse.			0	0	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Totals					0	0	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
3. Engineered Structures	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs.	2	2			100%			
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill	2	2			100%			
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	2	2			100%			
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does NOT exceed 15%.	2	2			100%			
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ~ Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth Ratio \geq 1.6. Rootwads/logs providing some cover at base-flow.	2	2			100%			

N/A - Item does not apply.

Table 6. Vegetation Condition Assessment Cat Creek Stream & Wetland / Project No. 71 Planted Acreage 20					
Vegetation Category	Definitions	CCPV Depiction	Number of Polygons	Combined Acreage	% of Planted Acreage
1. Bare Areas	Very limited cover of both woody and herbaceous material.	N/A	0	0.00	0%
2. Low Stem Density Areas	Woody stem densities clearly below target levels based on MY3, 4, or 5 stem count criteria.	Stipple Orange Dots White Background	6	0.10	<1%
Totals			6	0.10	<1%
3. Areas of Poor Growth Rates or Vigor	Areas with woody stems of a size class that are obviously small given the monitoring year.	N/A	0	0.00	0%
Cumulative Totals			6	0.10	<1%
Easement Acreage 38.9					
Vegetation Category	Definitions	CCPV Depiction	Number of Polygons	Combined Acreage	% of Easement Acreage
4. Invasive Areas of Concern	Areas or points (if too small to render as polygons at map scale).	Cross Hatch (Red - Dense/Yellow - Present)	43	6.76	17%
5. Easement Encroachment Areas	Areas or points (if too small to render as polygons at map scale).	Stipple Purple Dots White Background	0	0.00	0%

N/A - Item does not apply.



Cat Creek – Permanent Photo Station 1
Station 3+65 - Downstream



Cat Creek – Permanent Photo Station 2
Station 6+30 - Downstream



Cat Creek – Permanent Photo Station 3
Station 15+98 - Downstream



Cat Creek – Permanent Photo Station 4
Station 34+70 - Downstream



Cat Creek – Permanent Photo Station 5
Station 50+20 - Upstream



Cat Creek – Permanent Photo Station 6
Station 57+36 - Downstream



Cat Creek – Permanent Photo Station 7
Station 61+43 - Downstream



UT4 – Permanent Photo Station 8
Station 402+08 - Upstream



Cat Creek – Permanent Photo Station 9
Station 65+80 - Downstream



Cat Creek – Permanent Photo Station 10
Station 67+88 - Downstream

Appendix C

Vegetation Plot Data

Table 7. Vegetation Plot Criteria Attainment Cat Creek / Project No. 71		
Vegetation Plot ID	Vegetation Survival Threshold Met?	Tract Mean
1	Yes	71%
2	No	
3	Yes	
4	Yes	
5	Yes	
6	Yes	
7	No	
8	Yes	
9	Yes	
10	No	
11	Yes	
12	No	
13	Yes	
14	Yes	



Vegetation Monitoring Plot 1
Monitoring Year 3 – June 21, 2012



Vegetation Monitoring Plot 2
Monitoring Year 3 – June 21, 2012



Vegetation Monitoring Plot 3
Monitoring Year 3 – June 21, 2012



Vegetation Monitoring Plot 4
Monitoring Year 3 – June 21, 2012



Vegetation Monitoring Plot 5
Monitoring Year 3 – June 21, 2012



Vegetation Monitoring Plot 6
Monitoring Year 3 – June 21, 2012



Vegetation Monitoring Plot 7
Monitoring Year 3 – June 21, 2012



Vegetation Monitoring Plot 8
Monitoring Year 3 – June 21, 2012



Vegetation Monitoring Plot 9
Monitoring Year 3 – June 21, 2012



Vegetation Monitoring Plot 10
Monitoring Year 3 – June 21, 2012



Vegetation Monitoring Plot 11
Monitoring Year 3 – June 21, 2012



Vegetation Monitoring Plot 12
Monitoring Year 3 – June 21, 2012



Vegetation Monitoring Plot 13
Monitoring Year 2 – June 21, 2012




Vegetation Monitoring Plot 14
Monitoring Year 3 – June 21, 2012

Table 8. CVS Vegetation Plot Metadata Cat Creek / Project No. 71	
Report Prepared By	Kevin Mitchell
Date Prepared	6/27/2012 15:33
Database Name	Equinox-2012-A-CatCreek-MY3.mdb
Database Location	Z:\ES\NRI&M\EEP Monitoring\Cat Creek\CC-MY3-2012\Data\Veg
Computer Name	D16TNK71
File Size	55304192
DESCRIPTION OF WORKSHEETS IN THIS DOCUMENT-----	
Metadata	Description of database file, the report worksheets, and a summary of project(s) and project data.
Proj, Planted	Each project is listed with its PLANTED stems per acre, for each year. This excludes live stakes.
Proj, Total Stems	Each project is listed with its TOTAL stems per acre, for each year. This includes live stakes, all planted stems, and all natural/volunteer stems.
Plots	List of plots surveyed with location and summary data (live stems, dead stems, missing, etc.).
Vigor	Frequency distribution of vigor classes for stems for all plots.
Vigor by Spp	Frequency distribution of vigor classes listed by species.
Damage	List of most frequent damage classes with number of occurrences and percent of total stems impacted by each.
Damage by Spp	Damage values tallied by type for each species.
Damage by Plot	Damage values tallied by type for each plot.
Planted Stems by Plot and Spp	A matrix of the count of PLANTED living stems of each species for each plot; dead and missing stems are excluded.
ALL Stems by Plot and spp	A matrix of the count of total living stems of each species (planted and natural volunteers combined) for each plot; dead and missing stems are excluded.
PROJECT SUMMARY-----	
Project Code	71
project Name	Cat Creek
Description	
River Basin	Little Tennessee
Length(ft)	
Stream-to-Edge Width (ft)	
Area (sq m)	
Required Plots (calculated)	
Sampled Plots	14

Appendix D

Stream Survey Data

**Cat Creek - Swartwout
Cross-Section 1 - Riffle
Station 11 + 16**





Cross-Section 1 – Riffle
Left Bank Descending
Monitoring Year 3 – April 11, 2012



Cross-Section 1 – Riffle
Right Bank Descending
Monitoring Year 3 – April 11, 2012




Cross-Section 1 – Riffle
Downstream
Monitoring Year 3 – April 11, 2012



Cross-Section 1 – Riffle
Upstream
Monitoring Year 3 – April 11, 2012

**Cat Creek - Swartwout
Cross-Section 2 - Pool
Station 12 + 86**





Cross-Section 2 – Pool
Left Bank Descending
Monitoring Year 3 – April 11, 2012



Cross-Section 2 – Pool
Right Bank Descending
Monitoring Year 3 – April 11, 2012




Cross-Section 2 – Pool
Downstream
Monitoring Year 3 – April 11, 2012



Cross-Section 2 – Pool
Upstream
Monitoring Year 3 – April 11, 2012

**Cat Creek - Swartwout
Cross-Section 3 - Riffle
Station 13 + 50**





Cross-Section 3 – Riffle
Left Bank Descending
Monitoring Year 3 – April 11, 2012



Cross-Section 3 – Riffle
Right Bank Descending
Monitoring Year 3 – April 11, 2012




Cross-Section 3 – Riffle
Downstream
Monitoring Year 3 – April 11, 2012



Cross-Section 3 – Riffle
Upstream
Monitoring Year 3 – April 11, 2012

**Cat Creek - Parker
Cross-Section 4 - Pool
Station 40 + 96**





Cross-Section 4 – Pool
Left Bank Descending
Monitoring Year 3 – April 11, 2012



Cross-Section 4 – Pool
Right Bank Descending
Monitoring Year 3 – April 11, 2012




Cross-Section 4 – Pool
Downstream
Monitoring Year 3 – April 11, 2012



Cross-Section 4 – Pool
Upstream
Monitoring Year 3 – April 11, 2012

**Cat Creek - Parker
Cross-Section 5 - Riffle
Station 44 + 04**





Cross-Section 5 – Riffle
Left Bank Descending
Monitoring Year 3 – April 11, 2012



Cross-Section 5 – Riffle
Right Bank Descending
Monitoring Year 3 – April 11, 2012




Cross-Section 5 – Riffle
Downstream
Monitoring Year 3 – April 11, 2012



Cross-Section 5 – Riffle
Upstream
Monitoring Year 3 – April 11, 2012

**Cat Creek - Parker
Cross-Section 6 - Pool
Station 45 + 06**





Cross-Section 6 – Pool
Left Bank Descending
Monitoring Year 3 – April 11, 2012



Cross-Section 6 – Pool
Right Bank Descending
Monitoring Year 3 – April 11, 2012




Cross-Section 6 – Pool
Downstream
Monitoring Year 3 – April 11, 2012



Cross-Section 6 – Pool
Upstream
Monitoring Year 3 – April 11, 2012

**Cat Creek - Parker
Cross-Section 7 - Riffle
Station 48 + 31**





Cross-Section 7 – Riffle
Left Bank Descending
Monitoring Year 3 – April 12, 2012



Cross-Section 7 – Riffle
Right Bank Descending
Monitoring Year 3 – April 12, 2012




Cross-Section 7 – Riffle
Downstream
Monitoring Year 3 – April 12, 2012



Cross-Section 7 – Riffle
Upstream
Monitoring Year 3 – April 12, 2012

**Cat Creek - Parker
Cross-Section 8 - Riffle
Station 51 + 17**





Cross-Section 8 – Riffle
Left Bank Descending
Monitoring Year 3 – April 12, 2012



Cross-Section 8 – Riffle
Right Bank Descending
Monitoring Year 3 – April 12, 2012




Cross-Section 8 – Riffle
Downstream
Monitoring Year 3 – April 12, 2012



Cross-Section 8 – Riffle
Upstream
Monitoring Year 3 – April 12, 2012

**Cat Creek - Parker
Cross-Section 9 - Pool
Station 54 + 10**





Cross-Section 9 – Pool
Left Bank Descending
Monitoring Year 3 – April 12, 2012



Cross-Section 9 – Pool
Right Bank Descending
Monitoring Year 3 – April 12, 2012




Cross-Section 9 – Pool
Downstream
Monitoring Year 3 – April 12, 2012



Cross-Section 9 – Pool
Upstream
Monitoring Year 3 – April 12, 2012

**Cat Creek - Parker
Cross-Section 10 - Riffle
Station 55 + 48**





Cross-Section 10 – Riffle
Left Bank Descending
Monitoring Year 3 – April 12, 2012



Cross-Section 10 – Riffle
Right Bank Descending
Monitoring Year 3 – April 12, 2012




Cross-Section 10 – Riffle
Downstream
Monitoring Year 3 – April 12, 2012



Cross-Section 10 – Riffle
Upstream
Monitoring Year 3 – April 12, 2012

**Cat Creek - Parker
Cross-Section 11 - Pool
Station 56 + 22**





Cross-Section 11 – Pool
Left Bank Descending
Monitoring Year 3 – April 12, 2012



Cross-Section 11 – Pool
Right Bank Descending
Monitoring Year 3 – April 12, 2012




Cross-Section 11 – Pool
Downstream
Monitoring Year 3 – April 12, 2012



Cross-Section 11 – Pool
Upstream
Monitoring Year 3 – April 12, 2012

**UT 1
Cross-Section 1 - Riffle
Station 102 + 68**





UT 1 Cross-Section 1 – Riffle
Left Bank Descending
Monitoring Year 3 – April 11, 2012



UT1 Cross-Section 1 – Riffle
Right Bank Descending
Monitoring Year 3 – April 11, 2012




UT1 Cross-Section 1 – Riffle
Downstream
Monitoring Year 3 – April 11, 2012



UT1 Cross-Section 1 – Riffle
Upstream
Monitoring Year 3 – April 11, 2012

**UT 1
Cross-Section 2 - Pool
Station 104 + 09**





UT1 Cross-Section 2 – Pool
Left Bank Descending
Monitoring Year 3 – April 11, 2012



UT1 Cross-Section 2 – Pool
Right Bank Descending
Monitoring Year 3 – April 11, 2012





UT1 Cross-Section 2 – Pool
Downstream
Monitoring Year 3 – April 11, 2012




UT1 Cross-Section 2 – Pool
Upstream
Monitoring Year 3 – April 11, 2012



**Cat Creek - Swartwout
Longitudinal Profile
Stationing 09+04 - 17+23**



**Cat Creek - Parker
Longitudinal Profile
Stationing 40+35 - 57+07**





**UT1
Longitudinal Profile
Stationing 101+59 - 105+53**





Cat Creek Stream & Wetland / Project No. 71					
Cat Creek - Swartwout - Cross-Section 1 - Riffle					
Pebble Count Summary					
			Monitoring Year 3		
Description	Material	Size (mm)	Total #	Item %	Cum %
Silt/Clay	silt/clay	0.062	3	3%	3%
Sand	very fine sand	0.125	3	3%	6%
	fine sand	0.25	0	0%	6%
	medium sand	0.50	6	6%	12%
	coarse sand	1.00	1	1%	13%
	very coarse sand	2.00	12	12%	25%
Gravel	very fine gravel	4.0	9	9%	34%
	fine gravel	5.7	13	13%	47%
	fine gravel	8.0	11	11%	58%
	medium gravel	11.3	13	13%	71%
	medium gravel	16.0	4	4%	75%
	coarse gravel	22.3	3	3%	78%
	coarse gravel	32	2	2%	80%
	very coarse gravel	45	3	3%	83%
	very coarse gravel	64	2	2%	85%
Cobble	small cobble	90	4	4%	89%
	medium cobble	128	5	5%	94%
	large cobble	180	5	5%	99%
	very large cobble	256	0	0%	99%
Boulder	small boulder	362	1	1%	100%
	small boulder	512	0	0%	100%
	medium boulder	1024	0	0%	100%
	large boulder	2048	0	0%	100%
	very large boulder	4096	0	0%	100%
Bedrock	bedrock	>4096	0	0%	100%
TOTALS			100	100%	100%

Summary Data	
D50	6.5
D84	54
D95	140





Cat Creek Stream & Wetland / Project No. 71					
Cat Creek - Swartwout - Cross-Section 2 - Pool					
Pebble Count Summary					
			Monitoring Year 3		
Description	Material	Size (mm)	Total #	Item %	Cum %
Silt/Clay	silt/clay	0.062	3	3%	3%
Sand	very fine sand	0.125	10	10%	13%
	fine sand	0.25	4	4%	17%
	medium sand	0.50	15	15%	32%
	coarse sand	1.00	9	9%	41%
	very coarse sand	2.00	9	9%	50%
Gravel	very fine gravel	4.0	1	1%	51%
	fine gravel	5.7	4	4%	55%
	fine gravel	8.0	2	2%	57%
	medium gravel	11.3	4	4%	61%
	medium gravel	16.0	6	6%	67%
	coarse gravel	22.3	7	7%	74%
	coarse gravel	32	9	9%	83%
	very coarse gravel	45	7	7%	90%
	very coarse gravel	64	5	5%	95%
Cobble	small cobble	90	4	4%	99%
	medium cobble	128	1	1%	100%
	large cobble	180	0	0%	100%
	very large cobble	256	0	0%	100%
Boulder	small boulder	362	0	0%	100%
	small boulder	512	0	0%	100%
	medium boulder	1024	0	0%	100%
	large boulder	2048	0	0%	100%
	very large boulder	4096	0	0%	100%
Bedrock	bedrock	>4096	0	0%	100%
TOTALS			100	100%	100%

Summary Data	
D50	2
D84	34
D95	64





Cat Creek Stream & Wetland / Project No. 71					
Cat Creek - Swartwout - Cross-Section 3 - Riffle					
Pebble Count Summary					
			Monitoring Year 3		
Description	Material	Size (mm)	Total #	Item %	Cum %
Silt/Clay	silt/clay	0.062	0	0%	0%
Sand	very fine sand	0.125	5	5%	5%
	fine sand	0.25	6	6%	11%
	medium sand	0.50	6	6%	17%
	coarse sand	1.00	3	3%	20%
	very coarse sand	2.00	16	16%	36%
Gravel	very fine gravel	4.0	3	3%	39%
	fine gravel	5.7	3	3%	42%
	fine gravel	8.0	3	3%	45%
	medium gravel	11.3	7	7%	52%
	medium gravel	16.0	9	9%	61%
	coarse gravel	22.3	8	8%	69%
	coarse gravel	32	8	8%	77%
	very coarse gravel	45	6	6%	83%
	very coarse gravel	64	5	5%	88%
Cobble	small cobble	90	4	4%	92%
	medium cobble	128	4	4%	96%
	large cobble	180	3	3%	99%
	very large cobble	256	1	1%	100%
Boulder	small boulder	362	0	0%	100%
	small boulder	512	0	0%	100%
	medium boulder	1024	0	0%	100%
	large boulder	2048	0	0%	100%
	very large boulder	4096	0	0%	100%
Bedrock	bedrock	>4096	0	0%	100%
TOTALS			100	100%	100%

Summary Data	
D50	7.4
D84	34
D95	83





Cat Creek Stream & Wetland / Project No. 71					
Cat Creek - Parker - Cross-Section 4 - Pool					
Pebble Count Summary					
			Monitoring Year 3		
Description	Material	Size (mm)	Total #	Item %	Cum %
Silt/Clay	silt/clay	0.062	0	0%	0%
Sand	very fine sand	0.125	3	3%	3%
	fine sand	0.25	9	9%	12%
	medium sand	0.50	7	7%	19%
	coarse sand	1.00	15	15%	34%
	very coarse sand	2.00	20	20%	54%
Gravel	very fine gravel	4.0	6	6%	60%
	fine gravel	5.7	6	6%	66%
	fine gravel	8.0	3	3%	69%
	medium gravel	11.3	7	7%	76%
	medium gravel	16.0	10	10%	86%
	coarse gravel	22.3	6	6%	92%
	coarse gravel	32	3	3%	95%
	very coarse gravel	45	5	5%	100%
	very coarse gravel	64	0	0%	100%
Cobble	small cobble	90	0	0%	100%
	medium cobble	128	0	0%	100%
	large cobble	180	0	0%	100%
	very large cobble	256	0	0%	100%
Boulder	small boulder	362	0	0%	100%
	small boulder	512	0	0%	100%
	medium boulder	1024	0	0%	100%
	large boulder	2048	0	0%	100%
	very large boulder	4096	0	0%	100%
Bedrock	bedrock	>4096	0	0%	100%
TOTALS			100	100%	100%

Summary Data	
D50	1.7
D84	15
D95	32





Cat Creek Stream & Wetland / Project No. 71					
Cat Creek - Parker - Cross-Section 5 - Riffle					
Pebble Count Summary					
			Monitoring Year 3		
Description	Material	Size (mm)	Total #	Item %	Cum %
Silt/Clay	silt/clay	0.062	0	0%	0%
Sand	very fine sand	0.125	9	9%	9%
	fine sand	0.25	2	2%	11%
	medium sand	0.50	0	0%	11%
	coarse sand	1.00	0	0%	11%
	very coarse sand	2.00	2	2%	13%
Gravel	very fine gravel	4.0	8	8%	21%
	fine gravel	5.7	10	10%	31%
	fine gravel	8.0	9	9%	40%
	medium gravel	11.3	22	22%	62%
	medium gravel	16.0	23	23%	85%
	coarse gravel	22.3	7	7%	92%
	coarse gravel	32	1	1%	93%
	very coarse gravel	45	0	0%	93%
	very coarse gravel	64	0	0%	93%
Cobble	small cobble	90	0	0%	93%
	medium cobble	128	0	0%	93%
	large cobble	180	3	3%	96%
	very large cobble	256	1	1%	97%
Boulder	small boulder	362	3	3%	100%
	small boulder	512	0	0%	100%
	medium boulder	1024	0	0%	100%
	large boulder	2048	0	0%	100%
	very large boulder	4096	0	0%	100%
Bedrock	bedrock	>4096	0	0%	100%
TOTALS			100	100%	100%

Summary Data	
D50	9.2
D84	16
D95	160





Cat Creek Stream & Wetland / Project No. 71					
Cat Creek - Parker - Cross-Section 6 - Pool					
Pebble Count Summary					
			Monitoring Year 3		
Description	Material	Size (mm)	Total #	Item %	Cum %
Silt/Clay	silt/clay	0.062	1	1%	1%
Sand	very fine sand	0.125	9	9%	10%
	fine sand	0.25	9	9%	19%
	medium sand	0.50	0	0%	19%
	coarse sand	1.00	1	1%	20%
	very coarse sand	2.00	33	33%	53%
Gravel	very fine gravel	4.0	3	3%	56%
	fine gravel	5.7	5	5%	61%
	fine gravel	8.0	2	2%	63%
	medium gravel	11.3	10	10%	73%
	medium gravel	16.0	8	8%	81%
	coarse gravel	22.3	3	3%	84%
	coarse gravel	32	5	5%	89%
	very coarse gravel	45	9	9%	98%
	very coarse gravel	64	2	2%	100%
Cobble	small cobble	90	0	0%	100%
	medium cobble	128	0	0%	100%
	large cobble	180	0	0%	100%
	very large cobble	256	0	0%	100%
Boulder	small boulder	362	0	0%	100%
	small boulder	512	0	0%	100%
	medium boulder	1024	0	0%	100%
	large boulder	2048	0	0%	100%
	very large boulder	4096	0	0%	100%
Bedrock	bedrock	>4096	0	0%	100%
TOTALS			100	100%	100%

Summary Data	
D50	1.9
D84	22
D95	40





Cat Creek Stream & Wetland / Project No. 71					
Cat Creek - Parker - Cross-Section 7 - Riffle					
Pebble Count Summary					
			Monitoring Year 3		
Description	Material	Size (mm)	Total #	Item %	Cum %
Silt/Clay	silt/clay	0.062	12	12%	12%
Sand	very fine sand	0.125	6	6%	18%
	fine sand	0.25	6	6%	24%
	medium sand	0.50	3	3%	27%
	coarse sand	1.00	4	4%	31%
	very coarse sand	2.00	7	7%	38%
Gravel	very fine gravel	4.0	6	6%	44%
	fine gravel	5.7	5	5%	49%
	fine gravel	8.0	3	3%	52%
	medium gravel	11.3	9	9%	61%
	medium gravel	16.0	8	8%	69%
	coarse gravel	22.3	4	4%	73%
	coarse gravel	32	1	1%	74%
	very coarse gravel	45	3	3%	77%
	very coarse gravel	64	0	0%	77%
Cobble	small cobble	90	1	1%	78%
	medium cobble	128	1	1%	79%
	large cobble	180	5	5%	84%
	very large cobble	256	13	13%	97%
Boulder	small boulder	362	2	2%	99%
	small boulder	512	1	1%	100%
	medium boulder	1024	0	0%	100%
	large boulder	2048	0	0%	100%
	very large boulder	4096	0	0%	100%
Bedrock	bedrock	>4096	0	0%	100%
TOTALS			100	100%	100%

Summary Data	
D50	6.6
D84	180
D95	240





Cat Creek Stream & Wetland / Project No. 71					
Cat Creek - Parker - Cross-Section 8 - Riffle					
Pebble Count Summary					
			Monitoring Year 3		
Description	Material	Size (mm)	Total #	Item %	Cum %
Silt/Clay	silt/clay	0.062	4	4%	4%
Sand	very fine sand	0.125	4	4%	8%
	fine sand	0.25	3	3%	11%
	medium sand	0.50	7	7%	18%
	coarse sand	1.00	5	5%	23%
	very coarse sand	2.00	18	18%	41%
Gravel	very fine gravel	4.0	3	3%	44%
	fine gravel	5.7	6	6%	50%
	fine gravel	8.0	6	6%	56%
	medium gravel	11.3	9	9%	65%
	medium gravel	16.0	9	9%	74%
	coarse gravel	22.3	3	3%	77%
	coarse gravel	32	2	2%	79%
	very coarse gravel	45	0	0%	79%
	very coarse gravel	64	0	0%	79%
Cobble	small cobble	90	1	1%	80%
	medium cobble	128	7	7%	87%
	large cobble	180	7	7%	94%
	very large cobble	256	6	6%	100%
Boulder	small boulder	362	0	0%	100%
	small boulder	512	0	0%	100%
	medium boulder	1024	0	0%	100%
	large boulder	2048	0	0%	100%
	very large boulder	4096	0	0%	100%
Bedrock	bedrock	>4096	0	0%	100%
TOTALS			100	100%	100%

Summary Data	
D50	6
D84	110
D95	190





Cat Creek Stream & Wetland / Project No. 71					
Cat Creek - Parker - Cross-Section 9 - Pool					
Pebble Count Summary					
			Monitoring Year 3		
Description	Material	Size (mm)	Total #	Item %	Cum %
Silt/Clay	silt/clay	0.062	1	1%	1%
Sand	very fine sand	0.125	7	7%	8%
	fine sand	0.25	4	4%	12%
	medium sand	0.50	13	13%	25%
	coarse sand	1.00	74	74%	99%
	very coarse sand	2.00	1	1%	100%
Gravel	very fine gravel	4.0	0	0%	100%
	fine gravel	5.7	0	0%	100%
	fine gravel	8.0	0	0%	100%
	medium gravel	11.3	0	0%	100%
	medium gravel	16.0	0	0%	100%
	coarse gravel	22.3	0	0%	100%
	coarse gravel	32	0	0%	100%
	very coarse gravel	45	0	0%	100%
	very coarse gravel	64	0	0%	100%
Cobble	small cobble	90	0	0%	100%
	medium cobble	128	0	0%	100%
	large cobble	180	0	0%	100%
	very large cobble	256	0	0%	100%
Boulder	small boulder	362	0	0%	100%
	small boulder	512	0	0%	100%
	medium boulder	1024	0	0%	100%
	large boulder	2048	0	0%	100%
	very large boulder	4096	0	0%	100%
Bedrock	bedrock	>4096	0	0%	100%
TOTALS			100	100%	100%

Summary Data	
D50	0.63
D84	0.87
D95	0.96





Cat Creek Stream & Wetland / Project No. 71					
Cat Creek - Parker - Cross-Section 10 - Riffle					
Pebble Count Summary					
			Monitoring Year 3		
Description	Material	Size (mm)	Total #	Item %	Cum %
Silt/Clay	silt/clay	0.062	6	6%	6%
Sand	very fine sand	0.125	4	4%	10%
	fine sand	0.25	3	3%	13%
	medium sand	0.50	2	2%	15%
	coarse sand	1.00	4	4%	19%
	very coarse sand	2.00	11	11%	30%
Gravel	very fine gravel	4.0	2	2%	32%
	fine gravel	5.7	5	5%	37%
	fine gravel	8.0	1	1%	38%
	medium gravel	11.3	4	4%	42%
	medium gravel	16.0	6	6%	48%
	coarse gravel	22.3	2	2%	50%
	coarse gravel	32	3	3%	53%
	very coarse gravel	45	7	7%	60%
	very coarse gravel	64	15	15%	75%
Cobble	small cobble	90	8	8%	83%
	medium cobble	128	7	7%	90%
	large cobble	180	5	5%	95%
	very large cobble	256	5	5%	100%
Boulder	small boulder	362	0	0%	100%
	small boulder	512	0	0%	100%
	medium boulder	1024	0	0%	100%
	large boulder	2048	0	0%	100%
	very large boulder	4096	0	0%	100%
Bedrock	bedrock	>4096	0	0%	100%
TOTALS			100	100%	100%

Summary Data	
D50	22
D84	95
D95	180





Cat Creek Stream & Wetland / Project No. 71					
Cat Creek - Parker - Cross-Section 11 - Pool					
Pebble Count Summary					
			Monitoring Year 3		
Description	Material	Size (mm)	Total #	Item %	Cum %
Silt/Clay	silt/clay	0.062	11	11%	11%
Sand	very fine sand	0.125	6	6%	17%
	fine sand	0.25	0	0%	17%
	medium sand	0.50	12	12%	29%
	coarse sand	1.00	6	6%	35%
	very coarse sand	2.00	37	37%	72%
Gravel	very fine gravel	4.0	0	0%	72%
	fine gravel	5.7	0	0%	72%
	fine gravel	8.0	0	0%	72%
	medium gravel	11.3	1	1%	73%
	medium gravel	16.0	1	1%	74%
	coarse gravel	22.3	2	2%	76%
	coarse gravel	32	9	9%	85%
	very coarse gravel	45	9	9%	94%
	very coarse gravel	64	4	4%	98%
Cobble	small cobble	90	2	2%	100%
	medium cobble	128	0	0%	100%
	large cobble	180	0	0%	100%
	very large cobble	256	0	0%	100%
Boulder	small boulder	362	0	0%	100%
	small boulder	512	0	0%	100%
	medium boulder	1024	0	0%	100%
	large boulder	2048	0	0%	100%
	very large boulder	4096	0	0%	100%
Bedrock	bedrock	>4096	0	0%	100%
TOTALS			100	100%	100%

Summary Data	
D50	1.3
D84	31
D95	49



Cat Creek Stream & Wetland / Project No. 71					
UT 1 - Cross-Section 1 - Riffle					
Pebble Count Summary					
			Monitoring Year 3		
Description	Material	Size (mm)	Total #	Item %	Cum %
Silt/Clay	silt/clay	0.062	1	1%	1%
Sand	very fine sand	0.125	5	5%	6%
	fine sand	0.25	1	1%	7%
	medium sand	0.50	5	5%	12%
	coarse sand	1.00	0	0%	12%
	very coarse sand	2.00	3	3%	15%
Gravel	very fine gravel	4.0	3	3%	18%
	fine gravel	5.7	10	10%	28%
	fine gravel	8.0	5	5%	33%
	medium gravel	11.3	10	10%	43%
	medium gravel	16.0	9	9%	52%
	coarse gravel	22.3	5	5%	57%
	coarse gravel	32	5	5%	62%
	very coarse gravel	45	10	10%	72%
	very coarse gravel	64	7	7%	79%
Cobble	small cobble	90	9	9%	88%
	medium cobble	128	8	8%	96%
	large cobble	180	4	4%	100%
	very large cobble	256	0	0%	100%
Boulder	small boulder	362	0	0%	100%
	small boulder	512	0	0%	100%
	medium boulder	1024	0	0%	100%
	large boulder	2048	0	0%	100%
	very large boulder	4096	0	0%	100%
Bedrock	bedrock	>4096	0	0%	100%
TOTALS			100	100%	100%

Summary Data	
D50	15
D84	77
D95	120



Cat Creek Stream & Wetland / Project No. 71					
UT 1 - Cross-Section 2 - Pool					
Pebble Count Summary					
			Monitoring Year 3		
Description	Material	Size (mm)	Total #	Item %	Cum %
Silt/Clay	silt/clay	0.062	4	4%	4%
Sand	very fine sand	0.125	11	11%	15%
	fine sand	0.25	9	9%	24%
	medium sand	0.50	32	32%	56%
	coarse sand	1.00	28	28%	84%
	very coarse sand	2.00	15	15%	99%
Gravel	very fine gravel	4.0	0	0%	99%
	fine gravel	5.7	0	0%	99%
	fine gravel	8.0	0	0%	99%
	medium gravel	11.3	0	0%	99%
	medium gravel	16.0	0	0%	99%
	coarse gravel	22.3	0	0%	99%
	coarse gravel	32	0	0%	99%
	very coarse gravel	45	1	1%	100%
	very coarse gravel	64	0	0%	100%
Cobble	small cobble	90	0	0%	100%
	medium cobble	128	0	0%	100%
	large cobble	180	0	0%	100%
	very large cobble	256	0	0%	100%
Boulder	small boulder	362	0	0%	100%
	small boulder	512	0	0%	100%
	medium boulder	1024	0	0%	100%
	large boulder	2048	0	0%	100%
	very large boulder	4096	0	0%	100%
Bedrock	bedrock	>4096	0	0%	100%
TOTALS			100	100%	100%

Summary Data	
D50	0.44
D84	1
D95	1.7





Table 10. Baseline Stream Data Summary Cat Creek Stream & Wetland / Project No. 71 - Cat Creek Swartwout (926 feet)																										
Parameter	Regional Curve			Pre-Existing Condition						Reference Reach Data						Design			Monitoring Baseline							
Dimension & Substrate - Riffle	LL	UL	Eq.	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	N	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	N	Min	Mean	Max	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	N		
Bankfull Width (ft)	-	-	-	17.5	19.7	-	22.9	-	-	-	11.8	-	-	-	-	-	16.2	-	10.8	11.7	-	12.6	-	-		
Floodprone Width (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	332.0	-	-	-	-	-	>36.0	-	45.0	46.0	-	47.0	-	-		
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	-	-	-	0.8	1.4	-	2.2	-	-	-	1.3	-	-	-	-	-	1.4	-	0.7	0.8	-	0.9	-	-		
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)				2.0	2.8	-	3.8	-	-	-	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	2.0	-	1.2	1.3	-	1.4	-	-		
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)				16.7	28.3	-	40.3	-	-	-	15.3	-	-	-	-	-	22.4	-	7.9	9.9	-	11.8	-	-		
Width/Depth Ratio				8.4	15.9	-	23.7	-	-	-	9.1	-	-	-	-	-	11.8	-	13.4	14.1	-	14.7	-	-		
Entrenchment Ratio				1.6	4.3	-	6.9	-	-	-	28.1	-	-	-	-	-	>2.2	-	-	3.9	-	-	-	-		
Bank Height Ratio				1.3	1.4	-	1.5	-	-	1.0	1.0	-	1.1	-	-	-	1.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Profile																										
Riffle Length (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	61.0	74.2	-	94.9	-	-		
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)				0.006	0.016	-	0.030	-	-	0.011	0.017	-	0.021	-	-	0.011	0.017	0.020	0.013	0.019	-	0.024	-	-		
Pool Length (ft)				5.7	23.7	-	46.7	-	-	13.0	18.0	-	20.9	-	-	29.7	43.3	50.2	26.7	39.8	-	57.1	-	-		
Pool Max Depth (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.1	-	2.1	2.5	-	3.0	-	-		
Pool Spacing (ft)				25.4	59.5	-	108.9	-	-	79.5	88.2	-	97.0	-	-	110.0	126.0	134.0	76.4	106.9	-	141.1	-	-		
Pattern																										
Channel Belt Width (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	22.0	37.2	-	57.1	-	-	30.0	51.0	78.0	60.0	75.0	-	100.0	-	-		
Radius of Curvature (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	18.0	25.0	-	42.8	-	-	24.0	34.0	58.0	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Rc: Bankfull Width (ft/ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	1.5	2.1	-	3.6	-	-	-	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Meander Wavelength (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	78.6	107.1	-	149.9	-	-	107.0	145.0	205.0	200.0	254.0	-	340.0	-	-		
Meander Width Ratio				-	-	-	-	-	-	1.9	3.2	-	4.8	-	-	1.9	3.2	4.8	5.6	6.4	-	7.9	-	-		
Transport Parameters																										
Reach Shear Stress (Competency) lb/ft ²							-						-				-							-		
Max Part Size (mm) Mobilized at Bankfull							-						-				-							-		
Stream Power (Transport Capacity) W/m ²							-						-				-							-		
Additional Reach Parameters																										
Rosgen Classification							C4 - G4						E4				C4				C					
Bankfull Velocity (fps)				-			-						-				-				-					
Bankfull Discharge (cfs)				-			-						-				-				-					
Valley Length (ft)							-						200				690				682					
Channel Thalweg Length (ft)							-						288				832				926					
Sinuosity							1.01 - 1.06						1.44				1.20				1.36					
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft)							0.006 - 0.015						0.012				0.012				0.014					
Bankfull Slope (ft/ft)							-						-				-				0.013					
Bankfull Floodplain Area (acres)																										
% of Reach with Eroding Banks																										
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric																										
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric																										
Biological or Other																										

- Information unavailable.
Non-Applicable.

Table 10. Baseline Stream Data Summary Cat Creek Stream & Wetland / Project No. 71 - Cat Creek Parker (1,820 feet)																								
Parameter	Regional Curve			Pre-Existing Condition						Reference Reach Data						Design			Monitoring Baseline					
Dimension & Substrate - Riffle	LL	UL	Eq.	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	N	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	N	Min	Mean	Max	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	N
Bankfull Width (ft)	-	-	-	-	18.5	-	-	-	-	-	26.0	-	-	-	-	-	21.5	-	18.0	21.4	-	24.4	-	-
Floodprone Width (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	140.0	200.0	-	280.0	-	-
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	-	-	-	-	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	1.8	-	1.2	1.3	-	1.5	-	-
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)				-	3.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.6	-	1.9	2.2	-	2.6	-	-
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)				-	40.3	-	-	-	-	-	65.0	-	-	-	-	-	39.0	-	22.3	28.5	-	33.0	-	-
Width/Depth Ratio				-	8.5	-	-	-	-	-	10.4	-	-	-	-	-	11.9	-	13.9	16.3	-	21.3	-	-
Entrenchment Ratio				-	5.7	-	-	-	-	-	5.0	-	-	-	-	-	>2.2	-	6.8	9.4	-	10.7	-	-
Bank Height Ratio				-	1.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Profile																								
Riffle Length (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	31.8	62.9	-	116.8	-	-
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)				0.009	0.007	-	0.016	-	-	0.009	0.010	-	0.010	-	-	0.005	0.007	0.009	0.011	0.017	-	0.035	-	-
Pool Length (ft)				17.7	29.2	-	40.7	-	-	53.9	90.5	-	158.1	-	-	39.4	57.4	66.7	44.8	82.1	-	112.1	-	-
Pool Max Depth (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.0	-	2.6	3.6	-	4.7	-	-	-
Pool Spacing (ft)				54.3	72.3	-	90.2	-	-	-	158.1	-	-	-	-	147.0	167.0	178.0	99.0	168.0	-	230.0	-	-
Pattern																								
Channel Belt Width (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	71.0	91.3	-	118.0	-	-	40.0	68.0	104.0	53.0	88.0	-	125.0	-	-
Radius of Curvature (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	23.6	48.3	-	73.0	-	-	32.7	45.6	77.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rc: Bankfull Width (ft/ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	0.9	1.9	-	2.9	-	-	-	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Meander Wavelength (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	82.0	205.0	-	484.0	-	-	143.0	194.0	273.0	185.0	259.0	-	345.0	-	-
Meander Width Ratio				-	-	-	-	-	-	2.7	3.5	-	4.5	-	-	6.7	9.0	12.7	2.9	4.1	-	5.1	-	-
Transport Parameters																								
Reach Shear Stress (Competency) lb/ft ²																								
Max Part Size (mm) Mobilized at Bankfull																								
Stream Power (Transport Capacity) W/m ²																								
Additional Reach Parameters																								
Rosgen Classification							G4																	
Bankfull Velocity (fps)							-																	
Bankfull Discharge (cfs)							-																	
Valley Length (ft)							2,150																	
Channel Thalweg Length (ft)							2,280																	
Sinuosity							1.06																	
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft)							0.006																	
Bankfull Slope (ft/ft)							-																	
Bankfull Floodplain Area (acres)																								
% of Reach with Eroding Banks																								
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric																								
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric																								
Biological or Other																								

- Information unavailable.
Non-Applicable.

Table 10. Baseline Stream Data Summary																								
Cat Creek Stream & Wetland / Project No. 71 - Cat Creek UT1 (457 feet)																								
Parameter	Regional Curve			Pre-Existing Condition						Reference Reach Data						Design			Monitoring Baseline					
Dimension & Substrate - Riffle	LL	UL	Eq.	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	N	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	N	Min	Mean	Max	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	N
Bankfull Width (ft)	-	-	-	-	16.0	-	-	-	-	-	11.8	-	-	-	-	-	15.0	-	-	16.6	-	-	-	-
Floodprone Width (ft)				-	54.0	-	-	-	-	-	332.0	-	-	-	-	-	>33.0	-	-	85.0	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	-	-	-	-	1.3	-	-	-	-	-	1.3	-	-	-	-	-	1.3	-	-	0.8	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)				-	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	1.8	-	-	1.6	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)				-	20.2	-	-	-	-	-	15.3	-	-	-	-	-	18.9	-	-	13.1	-	-	-	-
Width/Depth Ratio				-	12.7	-	-	-	-	-	9.1	-	-	-	-	-	11.9	-	-	21.0	-	-	-	-
Entrenchment Ratio				-	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	28.1	-	-	-	-	-	>2.2	-	-	5.1	-	-	-	-
Bank Height Ratio				-	1.4	-	-	-	-	1.0	1.0	-	1.1	-	-	-	1.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Profile																								
Riffle Length (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	19.0	29.0	-	45.1	-	-
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)				0.009	0.040	-	0.100	-	-	0.011	0.017	-	0.021	-	-	0.011	0.018	0.021	0.017	0.029	-	0.048	-	-
Pool Length (ft)				9.9	13.0	-	16.2	-	-	13.0	18.0	-	20.9	-	-	27.5	40.1	46.5	19.3	33.0	-	49.1	-	-
Pool Max Depth (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.8	-	2.1	2.3	-	2.7	-	-
Pool Spacing (ft)				43.4	68.8	-	91.7	-	-	79.5	88.2	-	97.0	-	-	102.0	117.0	124.0	45.1	65.3	-	95.6	-	-
Pattern																								
Channel Belt Width (ft)										22.0	37.2	-	57.1	-	-	28.0	47.0	72.0	35.0	49.0	-	55.0	-	-
Radius of Curvature (ft)										18.0	25.0	-	42.8	-	-	22.8	135.2	54.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rc: Bankfull Width (ft/ft)										1.5	2.1	-	3.6	-	-	-	9.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Meander Wavelength (ft)										78.6	107.1	-	149.9	-	-	99.0	131.0	190.0	129.0	155.0	-	180.0	-	-
Meander Width Ratio										1.9	3.2	-	4.8	-	-	1.9	3.2	4.8	-	3.0	-	-	-	-
Transport Parameters																								
Reach Shear Stress (Competency) lb/ft ²																								
Max Part Size (mm) Mobilized at Bankfull																								
Stream Power (Transport Capacity) W/m ²																								
Additional Reach Parameters																								
Rosgen Classification																								
Bankfull Velocity (fps)																								
Bankfull Discharge (cfs)																								
Valley Length (ft)																								
Channel Thalweg Length (ft)																								
Sinuosity																								
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft)																								
Bankfull Slope (ft/ft)																								
Bankfull Floodplain Area (acres)																								
% of Reach with Eroding Banks																								
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric																								
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric																								
Biological or Other																								

- Information unavailable.

Non-Applicable.

Table 11a. Monitoring Data - Dimensional Morphology Summary																		
(Dimensional Parameters - Cross-Sections)																		
Cat Creek Stream & Wetland / Project No. 71 - Cat Creek Swartwout (810 feet)																		
	*Cross-Section 1 Riffle						*Cross-Section 2 Pool						*Cross-Section 3 Riffle					
Dimension	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5
Record Elevation (datum) Used	2109.5	2109.5	2109.8	2109.8			2106.8	2106.8	2107.0	2107.0			2107.6	2107.6	2106.5	2106.5		
Bankfull Width (ft)	10.8	12.0	12.7	12.9			18.3	22.0	18.6	18.4			12.6	13.3	12.7	14.9		
Floodprone Width (ft)	45.0	45.0	>100.0	>100.0			60.0	60.0	>100.0	>100.0			45.0	45.0	>100.0	>100.0		
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.5			0.9	0.8	0.8	0.7			0.9	0.9	0.8	0.6		
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.2	1.2	1.1	1.0			2.2	2.7	2.1	2.2			1.4	1.5	1.4	1.4		
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	7.9	7.6	7.0	5.9			17.0	16.9	14.2	12.8			11.8	12.0	10.4	9.1		
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	14.7	18.7	23.1	28.3			19.7	28.6	24.3	26.6			13.4	14.8	15.6	24.3		
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	4.2	3.8	>7.9	>7.7			3.3	2.7	>5.4	>5.4			3.6	3.4	>7.8	>6.7		
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	-	-	1.0	1.1			-	-	1.0	1.0			-	-	1.0	1.0		
Cross Sectional Area between End Pins (ft ²)	-	-	7.2	6.0			-	-	14.2	12.8			-	-	10.4	9.2		
d50 (mm)	0.50	19.30	1.50	6.50			0.21	0.06	0.47	2.00			0.30	0.19	4.00	7.40		

- Information unavailable.

*Elevation data was offset to match MY2 data

Table 11a. Monitoring Data - Dimensional Morphology Summary (Dimensional Parameters - Cross-Sections) Cat Creek Stream & Wetland / Project No. 71 - Cat Creek Parker (1,672 feet)																								
Dimension	Cross-Section 4 Pool						Cross-Section 5 Riffle						Cross-Section 6 Pool						Cross-Section 7 Riffle					
	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5
Record Elevation (datum) Used	2075.0	2075.0	2075.5	2075.5			2073.1	2073.1	2073.1	2073.1			2073.1	2073.1	2073.1	2073.1			2071.1	2071.2	2071.2	2071.2		
Bankfull Width (ft)	24.9	26.0	31.3	32.2			24.4	24.1	26.0	25.5			28.4	28.6	27.9	28.2			22.5	24.0	23.0	23.1		
Floodprone Width (ft)	80.0	80.0	>200	>200			180.0	180.0	>200.0	>200.0			160.0	160.0	>200.0	>200.0			240.0	270.0	>200.0	>200.0		
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.2	1.1	1.2	1.2			1.2	1.1	1.1	1.0			1.7	1.7	1.6	1.6			1.5	1.5	1.4	1.4		
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.5	2.5	3.0	3.1			1.9	1.9	2.0	2.0			3.3	3.3	3.3	3.4			2.6	2.7	2.6	2.9		
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	28.9	28.2	38.2	37.6			28.2	26.6	27.8	25.8			47.9	48.0	45.5	44.5			33.0	34.8	33.3	33.5		
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	21.5	23.8	25.6	27.6			21.3	21.7	24.3	25.3			16.8	17.0	17.1	17.9			15.3	16.5	16.0	16.0		
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	3.2	3.1	>6.4	>6.2			7.4	7.5	>7.7	>7.8			5.6	5.6	>7.2	>7.1			10.7	11.3	>8.7	>8.7		
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	-	-	1.0	1.0			-	-	1.0	1.0			-	-	1.0	1.0			-	-	1.0	1.0		
Cross Sectional Area between End Pins (ft ²)	-	-	38.2	37.6			-	-	27.8	25.8			-	-	45.5	44.5			-	-	36.4	36.5		
d50 (mm)	0.36	0.14	0.44	1.70			0.46	0.24	8.90	9.20			0.29	0.14	0.56	1.90			1.80	0.11	0.06	6.60		

N/A - Item does not apply.
- Information unavailable.

Table 11a. Monitoring Data - Dimensional Morphology Summary (Dimensional Parameters - Cross-Sections) Cat Creek Stream & Wetland / Project No. 71 - Cat Creek Parker (1,672 feet)																								
Dimension	Cross-Section 8 Riffle						Cross-Section 9 Pool						Cross-Section 10 Riffle						*Cross-Section 11 Pool					
	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5
Record Elevation (datum) Used	2068.4	2068.7	2069.2	2069.2			2066.5	2066.5	2067.2	2067.2			2066.1	2066.2	2066.4	2066.4			2065.2	2065.2	2065.7	2065.7		
Bankfull Width (ft)	18.0	20.7	32.6	32.4			15.7	18.5	30.6	29.7			20.6	23.6	25.9	26.7			23.6	23.7	37.3	35.9		
Floodprone Width (ft)	170.0	170.0	>200.0	>200.0			260.0	260.0	>200.0	>200.0			140.0	140.0	>200.0	>200.0			140.0	140.0	>200.0	>200.0		
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.2	1.2	1.1	1.1			1.6	1.6	1.3	1.2			1.5	1.2	1.3	1.2			1.4	1.4	1.2	1.2		
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.0	2.3	2.6	2.6			2.9	3.1	3.7	3.6			2.4	2.2	2.5	2.4			2.8	2.7	3.1	3.1		
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	22.3	23.8	35.5	34.7			25.7	29.7	40.8	36.9			30.4	28.8	33.2	31.5			33.0	32.4	45.0	42.7		
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	14.5	18.0	29.9	30.3			9.7	11.5	23.0	23.9			13.9	19.4	20.3	22.6			16.9	17.3	31.0	30.2		
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	9.4	8.2	>6.1	>6.2			16.6	14.1	>6.5	>6.7			6.8	5.9	>7.7	>7.5			5.9	5.9	>5.4	>5.6		
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	-	-	1.0	1.0			-	-	1.0	1.0			-	-	1.0	1.0			-	-	1.0	1.0		
Cross Sectional Area between End Pins (ft ²)	-	-	35.5	34.7			-	-	40.8	36.9			-	-	35.4	33.1			-	-	45.0	42.7		
d50 (mm)	1.33	2.00	2.00	6.00			0.34	0.26	0.41	0.63			0.45	32.45	7.30	22.00			0.18	0.05	0.36	1.30		

- Information unavailable.
*Elevation data was offset to match MY2 data

Table 11a. Monitoring Data - Dimensional Morphology Summary												
(Dimensional Parameters - Cross-Sections)												
Cat Creek Stream & Wetland / Project No. 71 - Cat Creek UT1 (396 feet)												
	*Cross-Section 1						*Cross-Section 2					
	Riffle						Pool					
Dimension	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5
Record Elevation (datum) Used	2107.9	2107.9	2108.6	2108.6			2105.8	2105.8	2106.2	2106.2		
Bankfull Width (ft)	16.6	20.9	19.5	18.9			16.6	17.9	16.3	16.8		
Floodprone Width (ft)	85.0	85.0	>100.0	>100.0			200.0	200.0	>100.0	>100.0		
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8			0.8	0.6	0.7	0.7		
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.6	1.8	1.9	1.6			2.2	1.7	2.1	2.1		
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	13.1	15.8	16.3	15.4			12.1	11.1	12.0	11.5		
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	21.0	27.5	23.3	23.2			21.8	28.9	22.2	24.4		
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	5.1	4.1	>5.1	>5.3			12.1	11.2	>6.1	>6.0		
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	-	-	1.0	1.0			-	-	1.0	1.0		
Cross Sectional Area between End Pins (ft ²)	-	-	16.3	15.4			-	-	14.5	12.7		
d50 (mm)	0.19	24.95	4.90	15.00			0.11	0.06	0.33	0.44		

- Information unavailable.

*Elevation data was offset to match MY2 data

Table 11b. Monitoring Data - Stream Reach Data Summary																																				
Cat Creek Stream & Wetland / Project No.71 - Cat Creek Swartwout (810 feet)																																				
Parameter	Baseline						MY - 1						MY - 2						MY - 3						MY - 4						MY - 5					
Dimension & Substrate - Riffle	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n
Bankfull Width (ft)	10.8	11.7	-	12.6	-	-	12.0	17.0	-	22.0	-	-	12.7	12.7	12.7	12.7	N/A	2	12.9	13.9	13.9	14.9	N/A	2												
Floodprone Width (ft)	45.0	46.0	-	47.0	-	-	45.0	45.0	-	45.0	-	-	>100.0	>100.0	>100.0	>100.0	N/A	2	>100.0	>100.0	>100.0	>100.0	N/A	2												
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.7	0.8	-	0.9	-	-	0.6	0.8	-	0.9	-	-	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.8	N/A	2	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.6	N/A	2												
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.2	1.3	-	1.4	-	-	1.2	1.3	-	1.5	-	-	1.1	1.3	1.3	1.4	N/A	2	1.0	1.2	1.2	1.4	N/A	2												
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	7.9	9.9	-	11.8	-	-	7.6	9.8	-	12.0	-	-	7.0	8.7	8.7	10.4	N/A	2	5.9	7.5	7.5	9.1	N/A	2												
Width/Depth Ratio	13.4	14.1	-	14.7	-	-	14.8	16.7	-	18.7	-	-	15.6	19.4	19.4	23.1	N/A	2	24.3	26.3	26.3	28.3	N/A	2												
Entrenchment Ratio	-	3.9	-	-	-	-	3.4	3.6	-	3.8	-	-	>7.8	>7.9	>7.9	>7.9	N/A	2	>6.7	>7.2	>7.2	>7.7	N/A	2												
Bank Height Ratio	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	N/A	2	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	N/A	2												
Profile																																				
Riffle Length (ft)	61.0	74.2	-	94.9	-	-	27.5	85.7	-	150.2	-	-	16.2	48.4	53.4	81.1	20.9	9	13.9	47.1	50.8	78.1	21.8	9												
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	0.013	0.019	-	0.024	-	-	0.010	0.017	-	0.025	-	-	0.008	0.021	0.021	0.033	0.009	9	0.010	0.023	0.020	0.040	0.011	9												
Pool Length (ft)	26.7	39.8	-	57.1	-	-	27.5	46.5	-	83.8	-	-	12.6	18.8	18.0	27.5	5.1	8	12.0	19.4	18.8	28.1	5.2	8												
Pool Max Depth (ft)	2.1	2.5	-	3.0	-	-	1.9	2.3	-	2.6	-	-	1.5	2.2	2.2	2.9	0.5	8	1.8	2.1	2.0	2.8	0.4	8												
Pool Spacing (ft)	76.4	106.9	-	141.1	-	-	105.5	133.0	-	186.0	-	-	46.4	100.6	109.3	118.8	25.4	7	39.4	100.4	107.1	129.5	28.9	7												
Pattern																																				
Channel Belt Width (ft)	60.0	75.0	-	100.0	-	-							50.0	76.3	83.5	88.0	17.9	4																		
Radius of Curvature (ft)	-	-	-	-	-	-							45.0	49.5	50.5	52.0	3.3	4																		
Rc: Bankfull Width (ft/ft)	-	-	-	-	-	-							3.6	3.9	4.0	3.9	0.1	4																		
Meander Wavelength (ft)	200.0	254.0	-	340.0	-	-							198.0	261.8	244.5	360.0	69.3	4																		
Meander Width Ratio	-	6.4	-	-	-	-							3.7	5.7	6.6	6.5	1.3	4																		
Additional Reach Parameters																																				
Rosgen Classification	C												C5						C4																	
Channel Thalweg Length (ft)	926												810						806																	
Sinuosity (ft)	1.36												1.15						1.14																	
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft)	0.0138												0.0145						0.0147																	
Bankfull Slope (ft/ft)	0.0129												0.0147						0.0147																	
Ri% / Ru% / P% / G% / S%													57%	13%	20%	10%	0%		55%	10%	20%	15%	0%													
SC% / SA% / G% / C% / B% / Be%*													2%	58%	28%	11%	0%	0%	2%	35%	52%	10%	0%	0%												
d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 (mm)																																				
% of Reach with Eroding Banks													0%						0%																	
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric													N/A						NA																	
Biological or Other													N/A						NA																	

N/A - Information does not apply.
 Ri = Riffle / Ru = Run / P = Pool / G = Glide / S = Step
 SC = Silt-Clay / SA = Sand / G = Gravel / C = Cobble / B = Boulder / Be = Bedrock
 *Percentages based on riffle and pool pebble counts.
 - Information unavailable

Table 11b. Monitoring Data - Stream Reach Data Summary																																				
Cat Creek Stream & Wetland / Project No. 71 - Cat Creek Parker (1,672 feet)																																				
Parameter	Baseline						MY - 1						MY - 2						MY - 3						MY - 4						MY - 5					
Dimension & Substrate - Riffle	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n
Bankfull Width (ft)	18.0	21.4	-	24.4	-	-	20.7	23.1	-	24.1	-	-	23.0	26.9	26.0	32.6	4.1	4	23.1	26.9	26.1	32.4	3.9	4												
Floodprone Width (ft)	140.0	200.0	-	280.0	-	-	140.0	200.0	-	280.0	-	-	>200.0	>200.0	>200.0	>200.0	0.0	4	>200.0	>200.0	>200.0	>200.0	0.0	4												
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.2	1.3	-	1.5	-	-	1.1	1.2	-	1.5	-	-	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.4	0.2	4	1.0	1.2	1.2	1.4	0.2	4												
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.9	2.2	-	2.6	-	-	1.9	2.3	-	2.7	-	-	2.0	2.4	2.6	2.6	0.3	4	2.0	2.5	2.5	2.9	0.4	4												
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	22.3	28.5	-	33.0	-	-	23.8	28.5	-	34.8	-	-	27.8	32.5	33.3	35.5	3.3	4	25.8	31.4	32.5	34.7	3.9	4												
Width/Depth Ratio	13.9	16.3	-	21.3	-	-	16.5	18.9	-	21.7	-	-	16.0	22.6	22.3	29.9	5.9	4	16.0	23.6	24.0	30.3	6.0	4												
Entrenchment Ratio	6.8	9.4	-	10.7	-	-	5.9	8.2	-	11.3	-	-	>6.1	>7.5	>7.7	>8.7	1.1	4	>6.2	>7.6	>7.7	>8.7	1.0	4												
Bank Height Ratio	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	4	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	4												
Profile																																				
Riffle Length (ft)	31.8	62.9	-	116.8	-	-	38.1	76.6	-	135.4	-	-	16.3	55.3	52.2	104.4	30.4	12	15.7	53.3	44.5	104.7	30.1	13												
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	0.011	0.017	-	0.035	-	-	0.007	0.014	-	0.032	-	-	0.004	0.014	0.013	0.030	0.007	13	0.006	0.014	0.013	0.031	0.007	13												
Pool Length (ft)	44.8	82.1	-	112.1	-	-	38.1	71.3	-	112.4	-	-	33.1	51.2	46.6	109.9	22.3	10	34.3	51.7	47.4	101.7	19.8	10												
Pool Max Depth (ft)	2.6	3.6	-	4.7	-	-	2.8	3.5	-	4.5	-	-	2.9	3.6	3.4	4.7	0.6	9	2.8	3.6	3.6	4.7	0.6	9												
Pool Spacing (ft)	99.0	168.0	-	230.0	-	-	106.0	168.0	-	232.0	-	-	104.0	168.6	174.1	227.7	38.3	9	104.6	168.5	181.6	229.0	39.5	9												
Pattern																																				
Channel Belt Width (ft)	53.0	88.0	-	125.0	-	-							53.0	101.4	108.5	114.0	20.2	8																		
Radius of Curvature (ft)	-	-	-	-	-	-							50.0	74.1	74.0	122.0	24.0	8																		
Rc: Bankfull Width (ft/ft)	-	-	-	-	-	-							1.9	2.8	2.8	4.5	0.9	8																		
Meander Wavelength (ft)	185.0	259.0	-	345.0	-	-							255.0	308.7	314.0	357.0	46.8	7																		
Meander Width Ratio	-	4.1	-	-	-	-							2.0	3.8	4.0	4.2	0.8	8																		
Additional Reach Parameters																																				
Rosgen Classification	C						C						C5						C5																	
Channel Thalweg Length (ft)	1,820						1,820						1,672						1,669																	
Sinuosity (ft)	1.63						1.63						1.16						1.15																	
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft)	0.0062						0.0062						0.0064						0.0063																	
Bankfull Slope (ft/ft)	0.0066						0.0066						0.0066						0.0066																	
Ri% / Ru% / P% / G% / S%													40%	13%	31%	17%	0%		42%	12%	31%	14%	0%													
SC% / SA% / G% / C% / B% / Be%*													8%	61%	20%	9%	1%	0%	4%	46%	40%	9%	1%	0%												
d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 (mm)																																				
% of Reach with Eroding Banks	0%																																			
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric	N/A																																			
Biological or Other	N/A																																			

N/A - Information does not apply.
 Ri = Riffle / Ru = Run / P = Pool / G = Glide / S = Step
 SC = Silt-Clay / SA = Sand / G = Gravel / C = Cobble / B = Boulder / Be = Bedrock
 *Percentages based on riffle and pool pebble counts.
 - Information unavailable

Table 11b. Monitoring Data - Stream Reach Data Summary Cat Creek Stream & Wetland / Project No. 71 - Cat Creek - UT1 (396 feet)																																				
Parameter	Baseline						MY - 1						MY - 2						MY - 3						MY - 4						MY - 5					
	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n
Dimension & Substrate - Riffle	16.6	16.6	16.6	16.6	N/A	1	20.9	20.9	20.9	20.9	N/A	1	19.5	19.5	19.5	19.5	N/A	1	18.9	18.9	18.9	18.9	N/A	1	18.9	18.9	18.9	18.9	N/A	1	18.9	18.9	18.9	18.9	N/A	1
Bankfull Width (ft)	16.6	16.6	16.6	16.6	N/A	1	20.9	20.9	20.9	20.9	N/A	1	19.5	19.5	19.5	19.5	N/A	1	18.9	18.9	18.9	18.9	N/A	1	18.9	18.9	18.9	18.9	N/A	1	18.9	18.9	18.9	18.9	N/A	1
Floodprone Width (ft)	85.0	85.0	85.0	85.0	N/A	1	85.0	85.0	85.0	85.0	N/A	1	>100.0	>100.0	>100.0	>100.0	N/A	1	>100.0	>100.0	>100.0	>100.0	N/A	1	>100.0	>100.0	>100.0	>100.0	N/A	1	>100.0	>100.0	>100.0	>100.0	N/A	1
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	N/A	1	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	N/A	1	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	N/A	1	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	N/A	1	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	N/A	1	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	N/A	1
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	N/A	1	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	N/A	1	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.9	N/A	1	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	N/A	1	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	N/A	1	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	N/A	1
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft²)	13.1	13.1	13.1	13.1	N/A	1	15.8	15.8	15.8	15.8	N/A	1	16.3	16.3	16.3	16.3	N/A	1	15.4	15.4	15.4	15.4	N/A	1	15.4	15.4	15.4	15.4	N/A	1	15.4	15.4	15.4	15.4	N/A	1
Width/Depth Ratio	21.0	21.0	21.0	21.0	N/A	1	27.5	27.5	27.5	27.5	N/A	1	23.3	23.3	23.3	23.3	N/A	1	23.2	23.2	23.2	23.2	N/A	1	23.2	23.2	23.2	23.2	N/A	1	23.2	23.2	23.2	23.2	N/A	1
Entrenchment Ratio	5.1	5.1	5.1	5.1	N/A	1	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	N/A	1	>5.1	>5.1	>5.1	>5.1	N/A	1	>5.3	>5.3	>5.3	>5.3	N/A	1	>5.3	>5.3	>5.3	>5.3	N/A	1	>5.3	>5.3	>5.3	>5.3	N/A	1
Bank Height Ratio	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	N/A	1	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	N/A	1	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	N/A	1	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	N/A	1
Profile																																				
Riffle Length (ft)	19.0	29.0	-	45.1	-	-	13.8	28.4	-	48.0	-	-	9.2	24.1	21.3	45.6	13.6	6	8.9	23.7	19.2	47.4	14.5	6												
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	0.0170	0.0290	-	0.0480	-	-	0.0090	0.0210	-	0.0460	-	-	0.018	0.025	0.025	0.032	0.006	6	0.017	0.029	0.024	0.045	0.011	6												
Pool Length (ft)	19.3	33.0	-	49.1	-	-	26.9	35.1	-	42.9	-	-	14.9	21.5	21.2	32.0	5.9	6	15.5	23.1	22.4	33.7	6.0	6												
Pool Max Depth (ft)	2.06	2.3	-	2.7	-	-	1.6	2.1	-	2.6	-	-	1.6	2.4	2.4	3.0	0.6	6	1.7	2.1	2.1	2.4	0.3	6												
Pool Spacing (ft)	45.1	65.3	-	95.6	-	-	40.0	63.9	-	97.0	-	-	40.5	64.3	65.0	96.3	22.2	5	37.4	65.0	62.1	99.0	23.5	5												
Pattern																																				
Channel Belt Width (ft)	35.0	49.0	-	55.0	-	-							43.1	47.2	47.3	51.3	4.6	4																		
Radius of Curvature (ft)	-	-	-	-	-	-							26.0	30.4	30.8	34.0	3.8	4																		
Re: Bankfull Width (ft/ft)	-	-	-	-	-	-							1.3	1.6	1.6	1.7	0.2	4																		
Meander Wavelength (ft)	129.0	155.0	-	180.0	-	-							124.0	157.7	166.0	183.0	30.4	3																		
Meander Width Ratio	-	3.0	-	-	-	-							2.2	2.4	2.4	2.6	0.2	4																		
Additional Reach Parameters																																				
Rosgen Classification	C						C						C5						C5																	
Channel Thalweg Length (ft)	457						457						396						393																	
Sinuosity (ft)	1.14						1.14						1.07						1.07																	
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft)	-						-						0.0136						0.0138																	
Bankfull Slope (ft/ft)	0.0145						0.0145						0.0139						0.0138																	
Ri% / Ru% / P% / G% / S%													37%	10%	33%	19%	2%		36%	8%	35%	19%	1%													
SC% / SA% / G% / C% / B% / Be%*													4%	67%	24%	6%	0%	0%	3%	55%	33%	11%	0%	0%												
d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 (mm)																																				
% of Reach with Eroding Banks													0%						0%																	
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric													N/A						N/A																	
Biological or Other													N/A						N/A																	


N/A - Information does not apply.
 Ri = Riffle / Ru = Run / P = Pool / G = Glide / S = Step
 SC = Silt-Clay / SA = Sand / G = Gravel / C = Cobble / B = Boulder / Be = Bedrock
 *Percentages based on riffle and pool pebble counts.
 - Information unavailable

Appendix E


Hydrologic Data

Table 12. Verification of Bankfull Events Cat Creek Stream & Wetland / Project No.71			
Date of Data Collection	Date of Occurrence	Method	Photo # (if available)
No Events in 2010			
No Events in 2011			
3/29/2012	11/28/2011	Crest gauge & wrack lines	


Monthly Precipitation Data Compared to 30th and 70th Percentiles for Macon County, NC




CC-1 Precipitation and Water Level Plot




CC-2 Precipitation and Water Level Plot




CC-3 Precipitation and Water Level Plot




CC-4 Precipitation and Water Level Plot




CC-5 Precipitation and Water Level Plot




CC-6 Precipitation and Water Level Plot




CC-7 Precipitation and Water Level Plot




CC-8 Precipitation and Water Level Plot




CC-9 Precipitation and Water Level Plot




CC-10 Precipitation and Water Level Plot




CC-11 Precipitation and Water Level Plot




CC-12 Precipitation and Water Level Plot




CC-13 Precipitation and Water Level Plot




CC-14 Precipitation and Water Level Plot




CC-15 Precipitation and Water Level Plot



CC-16 Precipitation and Water Level Plot



CC-17 Precipitation and Water Level Plot



CC-18 Precipitation and Water Level Plot




Table 13. Wetland Gauge Attainment Data					
Summary of Groundwater Gauge Results					
Cat Creek Stream & Wetland / Project No. 71					
Gauge ID	Success Criteria Achieved/Max Consecutive Days During Growing Season				
	(Percentage)				
	Year 1 (2010)	Year 2 (2011)	Year 3 (2012)	Year 4 (2013)	Year 5 (2014)
CC-1	Yes/ 35 Percent	Yes/31 16.8 Percent	Yes/42 22.6 Percent		
CC-2	Yes/ 16 Percent	Yes/37 20.0 Percent	Yes/26 14.0 Percent		
CC-3	Yes/ 8 Percent	Yes/24 13.0 Percent	No/13 7.0 Percent		
CC-4	Yes/ 35 Percent	Yes/88 47.6 Percent	Yes/64 34.4 Percent		
CC-5	Yes/ 32 Percent	Yes/50 27.0 Percent	Yes/52 28.0 Percent		
CC-6	No/ 2 Percent	Yes/25 13.5 Percent	Yes/18 9.7 Percent		
CC-7	No/ 0 Percent	No/12 6.5 Percent	No/12 6.5 Percent		
CC-8	Yes/ 33 Percent	Yes/39 21.1 Percent	Yes/65 34.9 Percent		
CC-9	Yes/ 22 Percent	Yes/186 100.0 Percent	Yes/186 100.0 Percent		
CC-10	Yes/ 9 Percent	Yes/97 52.4 Percent	Yes/72 38.7 Percent		
CC-11	Yes/ 11 Percent	Yes/27 14.6 Percent	Yes/40 21.5 Percent		
CC-12	Yes/ 41 Percent	Yes/50 27.0 Percent	Yes/46 24.7 Percent		
CC-13	N/A	Yes/118 63.8 Percent	Yes/186 100.0 Percent		
CC-14	Yes/ 30 Percent	Yes/26 14.1 Percent	Yes/65 34.9 Percent		
CC-15	Yes/ 33 Percent	Yes/88 47.6 Percent	Yes/73 39.2 Percent		
CC-16	Yes/ 100 Percent	Yes/139 75.1 Percent	Yes/186 100.0 Percent		
CC-17	N/A	Yes/117 63.2 Percent	Yes/186 100.0 Percent		
CC-18	No/ 3 Percent	Yes/23 12.4 Percent	No/4 2.2 Percent		

N/A - Information does not apply.

Hydrology Success Criteria = 8%