


ANNUAL MONITORING REPORT FOR 2007 CLAYHILL FARMS




**CLAYHILL FARMS MITIGATION SITE
JONES COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA
TIP No. R-2105 WM
(EEP Project Number .00018)
2007 Annual Monitoring Report (Year 2 of 5)**

Submitted to:
North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources
Ecosystem Enhancement Program
Raleigh, North Carolina

Design Firm:
Office of Natural Environment & Roadside Environmental Unit
North Carolina Department of Transportation
Raleigh, North Carolina

February 2008

ANNUAL MONITORING REPORT FOR 2007 CLAYHILL FARMS



CLAYHILL FARMS MITIGATION SITE
JONES COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA
TIP No. R-2105 WM
(EEP Project Number .00018)
2007 Annual Monitoring Report (Year 2 of 5)



Axiom Environmental, Inc.



Submitted to:
North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources
Ecosystem Enhancement Program
Raleigh, North Carolina

Prepared by:
Axiom Environmental, Inc.
2126 Rowland Pond Drive
Willow Spring, North Carolina 27592

Design Firm:
Office of Natural Environment & Roadside Environmental Unit
North Carolina Department of Transportation
Raleigh, North Carolina

February 2008

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Clayhill Farms Stream and Wetland Restoration Site (Site) is located in southern Jones County, approximately 1 mile north of the Town of Kuhns and 0.75 mile north of the Carteret County/Jones County line. The Site is located east of Highway 58 and is bordered by the Croatan National Forest to the north, east, and west and by various forested and residential parcels to the south. Site streams, Billy's Branch and other unnamed tributaries to Hunters Creek, bisect the Site. The project is located within the White Oak River Basin in United States Geological Survey (USGS) 14-digit Hydrologic Unit 03020106010060 (North Carolina Division of Water Quality [NCDWQ] subbasin 03-05-01). This document serves as the 2007 Year Two Annual Monitoring Report.

Twenty groundwater gauges were maintained and monitored for the year 2 (2007) growing season. Groundwater hydrology within 12 inches of the soil surface is occurring for greater than 12.5 percent of the growing season for the year 2 (2007) growing season at Gauges GW1-GW5 and GW7-GW8. Gauges GW6, GW9, GW14-GW15, and GW20 fell between 5 and 12.5 percent of the growing season and the remainder of the gauges were saturated or inundated for less than 5 percent of the growing season (Gauges GW10-GW13 and GW16-GW19). Gauges currently below 5 percent of the success criteria are located within the lower half of the Site near the restored stream channel. Gauges will continue to be monitored closely; a jurisdictional wetland delineation may be necessary at the end of the five-year monitoring period to accurately quantify successful wetlands within the Site. In addition, rainfall for the year 2 (2007) growing season was below normal with 25.8 inches of rain during the months of April to October compared to the mean 30-year historic total for rainfall of approximately 34.6 inches (NOAA 2004).

Ten 10-meter square vegetation plots were monitored for the year 2 (2007) season. Based on stem counts, the average plot density monitored at this Site is greater than the required 320 stems per acre and is considered successful. The average plot density has been measured at 628 stems per acre, or approximately 16 stems per plot for 2007 (year 2) monitoring. The dominant species identified at the Site were overcup oak (*Quercus lyrata*), tupelo species (*Nyssa biflora* and *Nyssa* sp.), and green ash (*Fraxinus pennsylvanica*). Nine out of the ten individual vegetation plots were above the success criteria with 364 to 1377 planted stems per acre. Vegetation plot 9 was low with 202 planted stems per acre, which is 40 planted stems per acre higher than measured in 2006 (year 1). However, when including additional pine (*Pinus* sp.) natural recruits, the stem count increases to 364 stems per acre.

Vegetation problem areas noted during year 2 (2007) monitoring consisted of a large area of poor planted stem survival adjacent to the restored stream (near Reach 1). Poor survival may have resulted from soil infertility and/or drought. Planted seedlings exhibited various degrees of vigor at the Site. Overall, vigor was noted as good. In addition, herbaceous vegetation on the lower half of the Site adjacent to the restored stream is not establishing well most likely due to soil infertility. Willow stakes on the lower half of the Site have been slow to sprout with many of the stakes just starting to put off shoots as of March 2007. Shoot output indicates the stakes are alive and establishing a root system to aid in stabilization of the stream banks. However as of July 2007, many of the stakes at the lower end of the site are exhibiting poor vigor and should continue to be monitored to ensure that root systems will continue to grow and further stabilize the banks.

Twenty permanent cross-sections and five 600-foot reaches were measured in year 2 (2007). The as-built channel geometry compares favorably with the emulated, stable E/C stream type as set forth in the detailed mitigation plan. Facet slopes have increased from year 1 to year 2 (2006 to 2007) monitoring; however, this is due to low flow conditions resulting from low rainfall. The current monitoring has

demonstrated that dimension, pattern, and profile were stable over the course of the year 2 (2007) monitoring.

Two stream problem areas were noted during year 2 (2007) monitoring. Both problem areas are stressed cross-vanes with failing right bank arms resulting from a lack of footers. Additional inspections and monitoring of bed and banks up and downstream of compromised structures is recommended prior to initiation of proactive maintenance measures.

In summary, the restoration site achieved success criteria for vegetation and stream attributes in the Second Monitoring Year (2007). The upper half of the restoration site achieved hydrology success criteria for the Second Monitoring Year (2007).

Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	i
1.0 PROJECT BACKGROUND	1
1.1 Project Description.....	1
1.2 Purpose.....	1
1.3 Project History	1
1.4 Mitigation Structure and Objectives	1
2.0 HYDROLOGY	5
2.1 Success Criteria.....	5
2.2 Hydrologic Description.....	5
2.3 Results of Hydrologic Monitoring	5
2.3.1 Site Data.....	5
2.3.2 Climatic Data	7
2.4 Hydrologic Conclusions.....	7
3.0 VEGETATION	7
3.1 Success Criteria.....	7
3.2 Description of Planted Areas	7
3.3 Results of Vegetation Monitoring.....	7
3.4 Vegetation Conclusions	12
4.0 STREAM ASSESSMENT.....	12
4.1 Success Criteria.....	12
4.2 Stream Description.....	13
4.3 Stream Assessment Results.....	13
4.3.1 Quantitative Stream Measurements	13
4.3.2 Bankfull Events.....	13
4.4 Stream Assessment Conclusions.....	19
5.0 OVERALL CONCLUSIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS	19
6.0 REFERENCES	20

List of Figures

Figure 1. Site Location.....	3
Figure 2. DRAINMOD Post Construction Conditions	4
Figure 3A. Monitoring Plan.....	8
Figure 3B. Monitoring Plan.....	9
Figure 4. Climatic Data vs. 30-year Historic Data.....	10

List of Tables

Table 1. Project Mitigation Structures and Objectives	2
Table 2. Summary of Groundwater Gauge Results for Years 1 through 5	5
Table 3. Stem Counts for Planted Species Arranged by Plot.....	11
Table 5. Verification of Bankfull Events	13
Table 4A. Morphology and Hydraulic Monitoring Summary (Reach 1).....	14
Table 4B. Morphology and Hydraulic Monitoring Summary (Reach 2).....	15
Table 4C. Morphology and Hydraulic Monitoring Summary (Reach 3).....	16
Table 4D. Morphology and Hydraulic Monitoring Summary (Reach 4).....	17
Table 4E. Morphology and Hydraulic Monitoring Summary (Reach 5).....	18

Appendices

- APPENDIX A. YEAR 2 (2007) GROUNDWATER GAUGE GRAPHS
- APPENDIX B. YEAR 1 (2006) GROUNDWATER GAUGE GRAPHS
- APPENDIX C. VEGETATION MONITORING PHOTOGRAPHS
- APPENDIX D. STREAM MONITORING DATA AND PHOTOGRAPHS

1.0 PROJECT BACKGROUND

1.1 Project Description

The Clayhill Farms Stream and Wetland Restoration Site (Site) is located in southern Jones County, approximately 1 mile north of the Town of Kuhns and 0.75 mile north of the Carteret County/Jones County line. The Site is located east of Highway 58 and is bordered by the Croatan National Forest to the north, east, and west and by various forested and residential parcels to the south. Site streams, Billy's Branch and other unnamed tributaries to Hunters Creek, bisect the Site (Figure 1). The project is located within the White Oak River Basin in United States Geological Survey (USGS) 14-digit Hydrologic Unit 03020106010060 (North Carolina Division of Water Quality [NCDWQ] subbasin 03-05-01).

Directions to the Site:

From Raleigh, North Carolina

- Travel east on US Highway 70 to Kinston
- Turn right and go south on NC 58 to US 17
- Turn right on US 17/NC 58 and continue south approximately 6 miles to Maysville
- From Maysville, continue south on NC 58 approximately 8 miles to left on SR 1100 (Hunters Creek Road)
- Then make an immediate left onto a gravel road with a gate. The gate has a combination lock to access the Site.

1.2 Purpose

In order to demonstrate successful mitigation, hydrologic, vegetative, and stream monitoring must be conducted for five years or until success criteria are achieved. Success criteria are based on federal guidelines for wetland mitigation. These guidelines stipulate criteria for hydrologic conditions, vegetation survival, and stream morphology. The following report details the results of monitoring for the 2007 (year 2) growing season at the Clayhill Farms Stream and Wetland Restoration Site.

1.3 Project History

September 2005	Mitigation Plan
2006	Final Design (90%)
2006	Site Construction
2006	Planting
November 2006	Vegetation Monitoring (Year 1)
March-November 2006	Hydrologic Monitoring (Year 1)
January-March 2007	Stream Monitoring (Year 1)
July 2007	Vegetation Monitoring (Year 2)
March –November 2007	Hydrologic Monitoring (Year 2)
July 2007	Stream Monitoring (Year 2)

1.4 Mitigation Structure and Objectives

In the early 1970s the Site was logged and portions of the Site were converted to agricultural land. At that time, perimeter and interior drainage ditches were excavated and Site streams were channelized in support of land uses.

The primary mitigation activities at the Site included restoration of previously ditched and filled wetlands, vegetative enhancement of previously cleared wetlands within agricultural fields, preservation of the

forested wetlands, restoration of channelized stream channel, and preservation of secondary tributaries within forested wetlands.

Restoration activities at the Site entailed 1) plugging and filling of feeder ditches, 2) removal of crowning within fields, 3) clearing and grading to prepare for creation of the new stream alignment, 4) construction of a stable channel, 5) filling of the abandoned stream channel with onsite materials excavated from the floodplain and other upland areas, 6) installation of a grade control structure at the downstream end of the restoration reach, 7) removal of the bridge crossing of Billy’s Branch within the southeast portion of the Site, and 8) ripping/scarifying soils to prepare for planting.

The primary goals of the project include 1) maximizing the area returned to historic wetland function; 2) establishing stable dimension, pattern, and profile along Billy’s Branch; 3) expanding, enhancing, and preserving 355.6 acres adjacent to the Croatan National Forest; 4) protecting the Site with a conservation easement in perpetuity; 5) providing valuable habitat to a diverse assemblage of terrestrial and aquatic flora and fauna; 6) serving as a wildlife corridor; and 7) providing numerous wetland values including water storage, pollutant removal, aquatic/wildlife habitat, recreation, and education. Project structures and objectives are summarized in Table 1 and are depicted on Figure 2.


Table 1. Project Mitigation Structures and Objectives					
Clayhill Farms (EEP Project Number .00018)					
Project Segment or Reach ID	Mitigation Type*	Approach**	Linear Footage or Acreage	Stationing	Comment
Billy’s Branch	R	P2	7931	0+00 to 79+31	Includes 7931 linear feet of excavation of new channel within a floodplain bench
Secondary Tributaries	R	P1&P2	1667.8	---	Includes 1667.8 linear feet of eight secondary tributaries
Secondary Tributary	P	--	2009.9	---	Preserving forested secondary tributaries
Downstream end of Billy’s Branch	P	--			Preserving forested downstream reach of Billy’s Branch
Riverine Wetland Restoration	R	--	21.6	---	Filling ditches, removing field crowns, and planting agricultural fields.
Riverine Wetland Enhancement	E	--	1.8	---	Planting within agricultural fields.
Riverine Wetland Preservation	P	--	3.9	---	Preserving forested riverine wetlands.
Nonriverine Wetland Restoration	R	--	79.9	---	Filling ditches, removing field crowns, and planting agricultural fields.
Nonriverine Wetland Enhancement	E	--	52.0	---	Planting within agricultural fields.
Nonriverine Wetland Preservation	P	--	110.5	---	Preserving forested nonriverine wetlands within the interstream flat.

* R = Restoration
 E = Enhancement
 P = Preservation

** P1 = Priority I
 P2 = Priority II
 P3 = Priority III



Directions to the Site:
 From Raleigh, North Carolina
 -> Travel east on US 70 to Kinston
 -> Turn right/south on NC 58 to US 17
 -> Turn right on US 17/NC 58 and continue south for ~ 6 miles to Maysville
 -> From Maysville, continue south on NC 58 ~ 8 miles to left on SR 1100 (Hunters Creek Road) and make an immediate left onto a gravel road. There will be a gate, which has a combination lock to access the Site.



Axiom Environmental, Inc.
 2126 Rowland Pond Drive
 Willow Spring, NC 27592
 (919) 215-1693
 (919) 341-3839 fax

SITE LOCATION
CLAYHILL FARMS RESTORATION SITE
 EEP Project Number .00018
 Year 2 (2007) Monitoring Report
 Jones County, North Carolina

CLF
 Date: July 2007
 Project: 07-002

FIGURE
1



NOTES/REVISIONS

Project:

Clayhill Farms Restoration Site

Project No. 18.00018
As-built Mitigation Report
Jones County
North Carolina

Title:

DRAINMOD Post Construction Conditions

Scale:
1 in. = 490 ft.

Date:
FEB 2007


Project No.:
06-021

FIGURE NO.

2

DRAINMOD LEGEND

- - - Site Boundary = 365.6 acres
- Streams Restoration = 9598.8 linear feet
- - - Stream Preservation = 2009.9 linear feet
- Nonhydic Soils = 73.1 acres
- Riverine Wetland Restoration = 21.6 acres
- Riverine Wetland Enhancement = 1.8 acres
- Riverine Wetland Preservation = 3.9 acres
- Nonriverine Wetland Restoration = 79.9 acres
- Nonriverine Wetland Enhancement = 52.0 acres
- Nonriverine Wetland Preservation = 110.5 acres
- Effectively Drained Hydic Soils = 11.3 acres
- Spoil Pile = 1.5 acres



GENERAL LEGEND

- Forest Margins
- - - Major Contour
- Minor Contour



2.0 HYDROLOGY

2.1 Success Criteria

Success criteria for wetland hydrology at Clayhill Farms require inundation or saturation within 12 inches of the ground surface for a consecutive period of 12.5 percent of the growing season, or if the hydroperiod is within 20 percent of an approved reference wetland hydroperiod within drought years. The growing season for Jones County begins March 15 and ends November 11 (242 days). In order to attain hydrologic success, saturation within 12 inches of the ground surface is required for at least 30 consecutive days (12.5 percent of the growing season).

2.2 Hydrologic Description

Twenty groundwater monitoring gauges have been maintained and monitored throughout the year 2 (2007) growing season (Figures 3A-3B). Daily rainfall data recorded from a rain gauge maintained and monitored on the Site was used for seasonal comparison. Graphs of groundwater hydrology and precipitation are included in Appendix A.

2.3 Results of Hydrologic Monitoring

2.3.1 Site Data

Twenty gauges were maintained and monitored for the year 2 (2007) growing season. Groundwater hydrology within 12 inches of the soil surface is occurring for greater than 12.5 percent of the growing season for year 2 (2007) at Gauges GW1-GW5 and GW7-GW8. Gauges GW6, GW9, GW14-GW15, and GW20 fell between 5 and 12.5 percent of the growing season and the remainder of the gauges were saturated or inundated for less than 5 percent of the growing season (Gauges GW10-GW13 and GW16-GW19). Gauges currently below 5 percent of the success criteria are located within the lower half of the Site near the restored stream channel.

The following table summarizes success criteria achievement for Site gauges.

Gauge	Success Criteria Achieved/Max Consecutive Days During Growing Season (Percentage)				
	Year 1 (2006)	Year 2 (2007)	Year 3 (2008)	Year 4 (2009)	Year 5 (2010)
GW1	Yes/34 days (14.0 percent)	Yes/79 days (32.6 percent)			
GW2	Yes/68 days (28.1 percent)	Yes/50 days (20.7 percent)			
GW3	Yes/81 days (33.5 percent)	Yes/78 days (32.3 percent)			
GW4	Yes/81 days (33.5 percent)	Yes/77 days (31.8 percent)			

Table 2. Summary of Groundwater Gauge Results for Years 1 through 5

(continued)

Gauge	Success Criteria Achieved/Max Consecutive Days During Growing Season (Percentage)				
	Year 1 (2006)	Year 2 (2007)	Year 3 (2008)	Year 4 (2009)	Year 5 (2010)
GW5	Yes/66 days (27.3 percent)	Yes/50 days (20.7 percent)			
GW6	Yes/37 days (15.3 percent)	No/23 days (9.5 percent)			
GW7	Yes/69 days (28.5 percent)	Yes/50 days (20.7 percent)			
GW8	Yes/68 days (28.1 percent)	Yes/50 days (20.7 percent)			
GW9	Yes/38 days (15.7 percent)	No/24 days (9.9 percent)			
GW10	No/7 days (2.9 percent)	No/5 days (2.1 percent)			
GW11	No/2 days (0.8 percent)	No/1 day (0.4 percent)			
GW12	No/5 days (2.1 percent)	No/5 days (2.1 percent)			
GW13	No/6 days (2.5 percent)	No/1 day (0.4 percent)			
GW14	No/18 days (7.4 percent)	No/14 days (5.8 percent)			
GW15	No/24 days (9.9 percent)	No/14 days (5.8 percent)			
GW16	No/0 days (0 percent)	No/2 days (0.8 percent)			
GW17	No/7 days (2.9 percent)	No/3 days (1.2 percent)			
GW18	No/5 days (2.1 percent)	No/2 days (0.8 percent)			
GW19	No/6 days (2.5 percent)	No/4 days (1.7 percent)			
GW20	No/11 days (4.5 percent)	No/17 days (7.0 percent)			

2.3.2 Climatic Data

Climatic data for the year 2 (2007) growing season is compared to 2006 growing season data and precipitation probabilities from 30-year historical data at the New Bern Craven County Airport station (Figure 4) (NOAA 2004). The Site experienced below average rainfall for the year 2 (2007) growing season was below normal with 25.8 inches of rain during the months of April to October compared to the mean 30-year historic total for rainfall of approximately 34.6 inches.

2.4 Hydrologic Conclusions

Twenty gauges were maintained and monitored for the year 2 (2007) growing season; gauge results are depicted on Figures 3A-3B and graphs for each gauge can be found in Appendix A. Seven of the twenty monitored gauges met success criteria of inundation/saturation within 12 inches of the surface for at least 12.5 percent of the growing season with a presence of hydrophytic vegetation, compared to nine successful gauges last growing season (year 1). Gauges will continue to be monitored closely; a jurisdictional wetland delineation may be necessary at the end of the five-year monitoring period to accurately quantify successful wetlands within the Site. In addition, rainfall for the growing season was below normal.

3.0 VEGETATION

3.1 Success Criteria

Wetland vegetation success criteria at Clayhill Farms require an average across the Site of 320 stems per acre of approved target species surviving for the first three years of monitoring, 290 stems per acre in year four, and 260 stems per acre in year five.

3.2 Description of Planted Areas

According to the 2006 *Revised Wetland and Stream Mitigation Plan for the Clayhill Farms Property*, planted species were to include the following communities as described in Schafale and Weakley (1990):

1. Coastal Plain Small Stream Swamp
2. Nonriverine Wet Hardwoods Forest
3. Mesic Pine Flatwoods
4. Mixed-Mesic Hardwood Forest (Coastal Plain subtype)
5. Coastal Plain Bottomland Hardwood Forest (Blackwater subtype)

3.3 Results of Vegetation Monitoring

Ten 10-meter square vegetation plots were established as depicted in Figures 3A-3B in November 2006. These plots were surveyed in July 2007 for the year 2 (2007) monitoring season using the *CVS-EEP Protocol for Recording Vegetation, Version 4.0* (Lee et al. 2006) (<http://cvs.bio.unc.edu/methods.htm>); results are included in Table 3 and pictures are included in Appendix C. The taxonomic standard for vegetation used for this document was *Flora of the Carolinas, Virginia, Georgia, and Surrounding Areas* (Weakley 2007). No reference area was studied; therefore, no comparisons could be made to reference conditions. Three plots (Plots 5, 6, and 7) were established in the Headwater Swamp, three plots (Plots 4, 3, and 8) in the Nonriverine Wet Hardwood Forest, two plots (Plots 1 and 2) in the Mesic Pine Flatwoods, and two plots (Plots 9 and 10) in the Mixed-Mesic Hardwood Forest restoration areas.

LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	VEG. PLOT/TYPE
77.12442	34.80796	p1 mesic pine flatwoods
77.12335	34.80683	p2 mesic pine flatwoods
77.12629	34.80637	p3 nonriverine wet hardwood
77.12560	34.80832	p4 nonriverine wet hardwood
77.13168	34.80919	p5 headwater swamp
77.12943	34.81004	p6 headwater swamp
77.13004	34.80805	p7 headwater swamp
77.12384	34.80544	p8 nonriverine wet hardwoods
77.11757	34.80511	p9 mixed mesic hardwood
77.11664	34.80417	p10 mixed mesic hardwood

LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	REACH 4
77.12649	34.80966	benchmark 4
77.12571	34.80948	end reach 4
77.12607	34.80956	xs1 right bank
77.12603	34.80966	xs1 left bank
77.12621	34.80964	xs2 left bank
77.12617	34.80954	xs2 right bank
77.12688	34.80994	xs3 right bank
77.12678	34.81000	xs3 left bank
77.12679	34.81003	xs4 left bank
77.12690	34.81006	xs4 right bank
77.12728	34.81015	end reach 4

LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	REACH 3
77.12285	34.80777	end of reach 3
77.12296	34.80769	xs1 left bank
77.12282	34.80765	xs1 right bank
77.12293	34.80747	xs2 right bank
77.12301	34.80758	xs2 left bank
77.12370	34.80726	xs3 right bank
77.12361	34.80723	xs3 left bank
77.12363	34.80738	xs 4 left bank
77.12367	34.80734	xs4 right bank
77.12337	34.80682	benchmark 3
77.12396	34.80739	start reach 3




LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	REACH 5
77.12825	34.81067	start reach 5
77.12851	34.81077	xs1 right bank
77.12847	34.81086	xs1 left bank
77.12849	34.81091	xs2 left bank
77.12860	34.81086	xs2 right bank
77.12895	34.81117	benchmk 5
77.12918	34.81132	xs3 left bank
77.12924	34.81121	xs3 right bank
77.12958	34.81147	xs4 right bank
77.12946	34.81150	xs4 left bank
77.12955	34.81155	start reach 5

GENERAL LEGEND

- Forest Margins (Green line)
- Major Contour (Dashed grey line)
- Minor Contour (Solid grey line)

DRAINMOD LEGEND

- Site Boundary = 355.6 acres (Red dashed line)
- Streams Restoration = 9598.8 linear feet (Blue line)
- Stream Monitoring Reach (minimum 600 ft. in length) (Black line)
- Permanently Monumented Cross Section (Orange line)
- Vegetation Monitoring Plot (> 320 Stems Per Acre) (Green square)
- Vegetation Monitoring Plot (< 320 Stems Per Acre) (Red square)
- Groundwater Gauge (> 12.5% of Growing Season) (Green circle)
- Groundwater Gauge (5% to 12.5% of Growing Season) (Yellow circle)
- Groundwater Gauge (< 5% of Growing Season) (Red circle)
- Benchmark (Pink X)
- Photograph Location (Blue triangle)
- Cross-vane (Functional) (Green V)
- Cross-vane (Stressed) (Yellow V)



NOTES/REVISIONS

Project:
Clayhill Farms Restoration Site
Project No. .00018
Year 2 (2007) Monitoring Report
Jones County
North Carolina

Title:
Monitoring Plan

Scale: 1 in. = 270 ft.	FIGURE NO. 3A
Date: July 2007	
Project No.: 07-002	

LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	VEG. PLOT/TYPE
77.12442	34.80796	p1 mesic pine flatwoods
77.12335	34.80683	p2 mesic pine flatwoods
77.12629	34.80637	p3 nonriverine wet hardwood
77.12560	34.80832	p4 nonriverine wet hardwood
77.13168	34.80919	p5 headwater swamp
77.12943	34.81004	p6 headwater swamp
77.13004	34.80805	p7 headwater swamp
77.12384	34.80544	p8 nonriverine wet hardwoods
77.11757	34.80511	p9 mixed mesic hardwood
77.11664	34.80417	p10 mixed mesic hardwood




LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	REACH 3
77.12285	34.80777	end of reach 3
77.12296	34.80769	xs1 left bank
77.12282	34.80765	xs1 right bank
77.12293	34.80747	xs2 right bank
77.12301	34.80758	xs2 left bank
77.12370	34.80726	xs3 right bank
77.12361	34.80723	xs3 left bank
77.12363	34.80738	xs 4 left bank
77.12367	34.80734	xs4 right bank
77.12337	34.80682	benchmark 3
77.12396	34.80739	start reach 3

LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	REACH 5
77.12825	34.81067	start reach 5
77.12851	34.81077	xs1 right bank
77.12847	34.81086	xs1 left bank
77.12849	34.81091	xs2 left bank
77.12860	34.81086	xs2 right bank
77.12895	34.81117	benchmk 5
77.12918	34.81132	xs3 left bank
77.12924	34.81121	xs3 right bank
77.12958	34.81147	xs4 right bank
77.12946	34.81150	xs4 left bank
77.12955	34.81155	start reach 5

LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	REACH 4
77.12649	34.80966	benchmark 4
77.12571	34.80948	end reach 4
77.12607	34.80956	xs1 right bank
77.12603	34.80966	xs1 left bank
77.12621	34.80964	xs2 left bank
77.12617	34.80954	xs2 right bank
77.12688	34.80994	xs3 right bank
77.12678	34.81000	xs3 left bank
77.12679	34.81003	xs4 left bank
77.12690	34.81006	xs4 right bank
77.12728	34.81015	end reach 4

DRAINMOD LEGEND	
	Site Boundary = 355.6 acres
	Streams Restoration = 9598.8 linear feet
	Stream Monitoring Reach (minimum 600 ft. in length)
	Permanently Monumented Cross Section
	Vegetation Monitoring Plot (> 320 Stems Per Acre)
	Vegetation Monitoring Plot (< 320 Stems Per Acre)
	Groundwater Gauge (> 12.5% of Growing Season)
	Groundwater Gauge (5% to 12.5 % of Growing Season)
	Groundwater Gauge (< 5% of Growing Season)
	Benchmark
	Photograph Location
	Cross-vane (Functional)
	Cross-vane (Stressed)
	Vegetation Problem Area (Bare)

GENERAL LEGEND	
	Forest Margins
	Major Contour
	Minor Contour



NOTES/REVISIONS

Project:
Clayhill Farms Restoration Site
 Project No. .00018
 Year 2 (2007) Monitoring Report
 Jones County
 North Carolina

Title:
Monitoring Plan

Scale: 1 in. = 270 ft.	FIGURE NO. 3B
Date: July 2007	
Project No.: 07-002	

Figure 4. Climatic Data vs. 30-year Historic Data




Table 3. Stem Counts for Planted Species Arranged by Plot

Clayhill Farms (EEP Project Number .00018)

Species	Year 2 (2007) Individual Plots (0.0247 acre each)										Year 2 (2007) Totals	Year 1 (2006) Totals
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
<i>Betula nigra</i>	-	-	1	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	6	3
<i>Fraxinus pennsylvanica</i>	-	-	1	6	6	5	-	-	-	2	20	7
<i>Fraxinus</i> sp.	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	4	1
<i>Nyssa biflora</i>	-	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	9
<i>Nyssa</i> sp.	-	-	17	11	-	-	4	-	-	-	32	16
<i>Pinus palustris</i>	10	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13	13
<i>Pinus</i> sp.	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	9	9
<i>Quercus lyrata</i>	-	-	9	3	8	4	-	8	-	7	39	41
<i>Quercus nigra</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	2	6	5
<i>Quercus pagoda</i>	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	3	6	5
<i>Quercus phellos</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	1
<i>Taxodium distichum</i>	-	-	-	-	-	7	3	-	-	-	10	6
Total Stems Per Plot	10	9	28	34	14	16	11	11	5	17	155	116
Stems Per Acre	405	364	1134	1377	567	648	445	445	202	688	628	470

* - Percent survival can not be determined this year since it was the first year of measurements.

Based on stem counts, the average plot density monitored at this Site is greater than 320 stems per acre and is considered successful. The average plot density has been measured at 628 stems per acre, or approximately 16 stems per plot for 2007 (year 2) monitoring. The dominant species identified at the Site were overcup oak (*Quercus lyrata*), tupelo species (*Nyssa biflora* and *Nyssa* sp.), and green ash (*Fraxinus pennsylvanica*). Nine out of the ten individual vegetation plots were above the success criteria with 364 to 1377 planted stems per acre. Planted stems were not documented during planting making it difficult to determine planted trees from naturally recruited trees. Therefore, the number of “planted” species was based on the experience and judgment of the monitoring team, and counts for planted species may be influenced by naturally recruited stems. In addition, the range of variation for survival between individual plots may be influenced by varied planting densities throughout the Site. Vegetation plot 9 was low with 202 planted stems per acre, which is 40 planted stems per acre higher than measured in 2006 (year 1). However, when including additional pine (*Pinus* sp.) natural recruits, the stem count increases to 364 stems per acre.

Herbaceous vegetation is vigorous throughout the Site with the exception of the lower half of the Site adjacent to the restored stream.

3.4 Vegetation Conclusions

Based on the number of stems counted, the average plot density monitored at this Site is greater than 320 stems per acre and is considered successful for 2007 (year 2) monitoring. The average plot density has been measured at 628 stems per acre, or approximately 16 stems per plot.

The vegetation problem area within the Site is depicted on Figure 3B. A large area of dead stems was observed adjacent to the restored stream (near Reach 1) during year 2 (2007) monitoring. Poor survival may have resulted from soil infertility and/or drought.

Planted seedlings exhibited various degrees of vigor at the Site. Overall, vigor was noted as good.

Herbaceous vegetation on the lower half of the Site adjacent to the restored stream is not establishing well most likely due to soil infertility. In addition, willow stakes on the lower half of the Site have been slow to sprout with many of the stakes just starting to put off shoots as of March 2007. Shoot output indicates stakes are alive and establishing a root system to aid in stream bank stabilization. However as of July 2007, many of the stakes at the lower end of the site are exhibiting poor vigor and should continue to be monitored to ensure that root systems will continue to grow and further stabilize the banks.

4.0 STREAM ASSESSMENT

4.1 Success Criteria

Success criteria dictate that there should be little or no change in the as-built cross-sections. If a change takes place it should be determined if the change is to a more unstable condition (downcutting, erosion) or to a more stable condition (settling, increase in riparian vegetation, deposition along the banks, decrease in the width-depth ratio, decrease in cross-sectional area). The as-built longitudinal profile should show that bed features are neither aggrading nor degrading; however, short-term aggradation/degradation may occur depending on the peak annual discharge. Bed features should be consistent with those observed in typical E- and C-type channels. The as-built pattern should not change and the riffle-pool sequence should remain constant. A significant coarsening of bed materials is not expected due to the sand/silt/clay substrate; therefore, bed materials will not be analyzed for stream success.

4.2 Stream Description

Twenty permanent cross-sections were established and permanently monumented during year 1 (2006) surveys. Cross-sections were measured in July 2007 for year 2 (2007) surveys. Measurements of each cross-section include points at all breaks in slope including top of bank, bankfull, and thalweg. Riffle cross-sections have been classified using the Rosgen stream classification system. Longitudinal profiles were measured along five 600-foot reaches. Longitudinal profile measurements include thalweg, water surface, bankfull, and top of low bank; each measurement was taken at the head of facets (i.e. riffle, run, pool, and glide) and the maximum pool depth. The surveys are also used to calculate sinuosity. In addition, channel substrate is not expected to coarsen over time and is not monitored for success at this Site. Monitoring reaches and cross-sections are depicted on Figures 3A-3B.

4.3 Stream Assessment Results

4.3.1 Quantitative Stream Measurements

During the year 2 (2007) monitoring period 20 cross-sections and five 600-foot reaches were measured (Tables 4A-4E). Cross-section plots and longitudinal profile and pattern plots for year 2 (2007) monitoring are included in Appendix D. Stream photographs are also included in Appendix D.

The as-built channel geometry compares favorably with the emulated, stable E/C stream type stream reaches as set forth in the detailed mitigation plan. Facet slopes have increased from year 1 to year 2 (2006 to 2007) monitoring; however, this is due to low flow conditions resulting from low rainfall. The current monitoring has demonstrated that dimension, pattern, and profile were stable over the course of the year 2 (2007) monitoring.

4.3.2 Bankfull Events

Documented bankfull events are included in Table 5 and the following picture.

Table 5. Verification of Bankfull Events			
Clayhill Farms (EEP Project Number .00018)			
Date of Data Collection	Date of Occurrence	Method	Photo (if available)
September 1, 2006	September 1, 2006	Total of 4.74 inches of rain documented by the onsite rain gauge over a two-day period from August 31 (4.06 inches) to September 1, 2006 (0.68 inches).	Photo below

Figure 5. Evidence of September 1, 2006 Bankfull Event

Bankfull event as evidenced by sediment deposition and wrack lines outside of the stream channel.



Table 4A. Morphology and Hydraulic Monitoring Summary

Clayhill Farms (EEP Project Number .00018)

Reach 1 (608 linear feet)

Parameter	Cross Section 1					Cross Section 2					Cross Section 3					Cross Section 4														
	Pool					Rifle					Pool					Rifle														
Dimension	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5					
Floodprone Width (ft) (approx)	150.0																													
BF Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	10.8	8.0				9.8	9.4				9.6	9.8				11.9	9.6													
BF Mean Depth (ft)	0.8	0.9				0.9	0.9				0.9	1.0				0.8	0.9													
BF Max Depth (ft)	2.3	1.9				1.7	1.8				1.7	1.8				2.1	1.9													
Width/Depth Ratio						11.5	11.4									20.0	13.2													
Entrenchment Ratio						14.1	14.5									9.7	13.3													
Weighted Perimeter(ft)	15.0	11.3				11.8	11.3				11.0	11.2				16.3	12.3													
Hydraulic radius (ft)	0.7	0.7				0.8	0.8				0.9	0.9				0.7	0.8													
Substrate	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	14	28.8	21	MY4	MY5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	MY5					
d50 (mm)	N/A	N/A				N/A	N/A				N/A	N/A				N/A	N/A													
d84 (mm)	N/A	N/A				N/A	N/A				N/A	N/A				N/A	N/A													
Parameter	MY-01 (2006)					MY-02 (2007)					MY-03 (2008)					MY-04 (2009)					MY-05 (2010)					MY-06 (2011)				
Pattern	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med				
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	37.3	82.7	76.1	37.3	82.7	76.1	76.1	76.1	37.3	82.7	76.1																			
Radius of Curvature (ft)	18.9	27.5	24.4	18.9	27.5	24.4	24.4	24.4	18.9	27.5	24.4																			
Meander Wavelength (ft)	103.5	141.7	133.0	103.5	141.7	133.0	133.0	133.0	103.5	141.7	133.0																			
Meander Width ratio	3.0	6.6	6.1	3.0	6.6	6.1	6.1	6.1	3.0	6.6	6.1																			
Profile																														
Riffle length (ft)	10.6	56.9	37.1	6.3	131.9	27.9	27.9	27.9	6.3	131.9	27.9																			
Riffle slope (ft/ft)	0.0010	0.0104	0.0031	0.0000	0.0147	0.0016	0.0016	0.0016	0.0000	0.0147	0.0016																			
Pool length (ft)	4.5	17.9	9.2	2.9	25.7	11.5	11.5	11.5	2.9	25.7	11.5																			
Pool spacing (ft)	77.6	108.9	97.1	77.6	108.9	97.1	97.1	97.1	77.6	108.9	97.1																			
Additional Reach Parameters	MY-01 (2006)					MY-02 (2007)					MY-03 (2008)					MY-04 (2009)					MY-05 (2010)					MY-06 (2011)				
Valley Length (ft)	336.59					336.59																								
Channel Length (ft)	608.74					608.74					608.74					608.74					608.74									
Sinuosity	1.8					1.8					1.8					1.8					1.8									
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)	0.0021					0.0021					0.0021					0.0021					0.0021									
BF slope (ft/ft)	0.0021					0.0021					0.0021					0.0021					0.0021									
Rosgen Classification	C/E					C/E					C/E					C/E					C/E									
Number of Bankfull Events	1					1					1					1					1									
Extent of BF floodplain (area)	sed deposits on FP					sed deposits on FP					sed deposits on FP					sed deposits on FP					sed deposits on FP									
	N/A					N/A					N/A					N/A					N/A									

Table 4B. Morphology and Hydraulic Monitoring Summary

Clayhill Farms (EEP Project Number .00018)

Reach 2 (640 linear feet)

Parameter	Cross Section 1					Cross Section 2					Cross Section 3					Cross Section 4														
	Riffle		Pool			Riffle		Pool			Riffle		Pool			Riffle		Pool												
Dimension	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5										
BF Width (ft)	10.1	11.0				13.5	13.7				12.9	16.0				17.2	12.3													
Floodprone Width (ft) (approx)	150.0																													
BF Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	10.0	10.3				18.1	15.4				12.6	14.5				20.5	14.9													
BF Mean Depth (ft)	1.0	0.9				1.3	1.1				1.0	0.9				1.2	1.2													
BF Max Depth (ft)	1.8	1.9				2.8	2.4				2.1	2.2				3.0	2.5													
Width/Depth Ratio	10.2	11.8									13.1	17.8																		
Entrenchment Ratio	14.8	13.6									11.6	9.4																		
Wetted Perimeter(ft)	11.0	11.9				11.8	15.3				14.0	17.2				18.9	13.7													
Hydraulic radius (ft)	0.9	0.9				1.2	1.0				0.9	0.8				1.1	1.1													
Substrate	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	14	28.8	21	MY4	MY5										
d50 (mm)	N/A	N/A				N/A	N/A				N/A	N/A				N/A	N/A													
d84 (mm)	N/A	N/A				N/A	N/A				N/A	N/A				N/A	N/A													
Parameter	MY-01 (2006)					MY-02 (2007)					MY-03 (2008)					MY-04 (2009)					MY-05 (2010)					MY-06 (2011)				
Pattern	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med									
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	27.0	75.6	60.4	27.0	75.6	60.4																								
Radius of Curvature (ft)	18.9	27.5	24.4	18.9	27.5	24.4																								
Meander Wavelength (ft)	113.4	142.4	124.4	113.4	142.4	124.4																								
Meander Width ratio	2.0	5.6	4.5	2.0	5.6	4.5																								
Profile																														
Riffle length (ft)	21.7	97.9	29.1	2.9	112.1	17.9																								
Riffle slope (ft/ft)	0.0000	0.0026	0.0016	0.0010	0.0278	0.0018																								
Pool length (ft)	5.7	27.7	14.1	3.7	25.3	9.1																								
Pool spacing (ft)	75.4	102.3	86.4	75.4	102.3	86.4																								
Additional Reach Parameters	MY-01 (2006)					MY-02 (2007)					MY-03 (2008)					MY-04 (2009)					MY-05 (2010)					MY-06 (2011)				
Valley Length (ft)	404.6					404.6					640.2					640.2					640.2					640.2				
Channel Length (ft)	640.2					640.2					640.2					640.2					640.2					640.2				
Sinuosity	1.6					1.6					1.6					1.6					1.6					1.6				
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)	0.0007					0.0013					0.0013					0.0013					0.0013					0.0013				
BF slope (ft/ft)	0.0007					0.0013					0.0013					0.0013					0.0013					0.0013				
Rosgen Classification	C/E					C/E					C/E					C/E					C/E					C/E				
Number of Bankfull Events	1					0					0					0					0					0				
Extent of BF floodplain (area)	sed deposits on FP					N/A					N/A					N/A					N/A					N/A				

Table 4C. Morphology and Hydraulic Monitoring Summary

Clayhill Farms (EEP Project Number .00018)

Reach 3 (650 linear feet)

Parameter	Cross Section 1					Cross Section 2					Cross Section 3					Cross Section 4				
	Riffle					Pool					Riffle					Pool				
Dimension	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5
BF Width (ft)	9.6	10.9				9.5	11.6				8.5	8.9				12.3	11.5			
Floodprone Width (ft) (approx)	150.0																			
BF Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	6.7	7.1				7.0	7.7				5.5	5.3				11.8	11.5			
BF Mean Depth (ft)	0.7	0.6				0.7	0.7				0.6	0.6				1.0	1.0			
BF Max Depth (ft)	1.6	1.5				1.7	1.7				1.4	1.3				2.2	2.1			
Width/Depth Ratio	13.8	17.0									13.2	15.0								
Entrenchment Ratio	15.6	13.7									17.6	16.8								
Wetted Perimeter(ft)	10.4	11.6				11.8	12.6				9.0	9.4				13.2	12.6			
Hydraulic radius (ft)	0.6	0.6				0.7	0.6				0.9	0.6				0.9	0.9			
Substrate	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	14	28.8	21	MY4	MY5
d50 (mm)	N/A	N/A				N/A	N/A				N/A	N/A				N/A	N/A			
d84 (mm)	N/A	N/A				N/A	N/A				N/A	N/A				N/A	N/A			
Parameter	MY-01 (2006)	MY-02 (2007)	MY-03 (2008)	MY-04 (2009)	MY-05 (2010)	MY-06 (2011)														
Pattern	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	45.0	90.0	55.9	45.0	90.0	55.9														
Radius of Curvature (ft)	18.9	27.5	24.4	18.9	27.5	24.4														
Meander Wavelength (ft)	56.0	142.4	127.1	56.0	142.4	127.1														
Meander Width ratio	4.5	9.0	5.6	4.5	9.0	5.6														
Profile																				
Riffle length (ft)	6.3	86.3	20.2	4.8	86.7	11.2														
Riffle slope (ft/ft)	0.0000	0.0221	0.0037	0.0014	0.0421	0.0046														
Pool length (ft)	2.8	20.3	9.1	3	19.3	12.1														
Pool spacing (ft)	71.4	97.7	90.9	71.4	97.7	90.9														
Additional Reach Parameters	MY-01 (2006)	MY-02 (2007)	MY-03 (2008)	MY-04 (2009)	MY-05 (2010)	MY-06 (2011)														
Valley Length (ft)	351.56				351.56															
Channel Length (ft)	650.39				650.39															
Channel Sinuosity	1.9				1.9															
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)	0.0026				0.0021															
BF slope (ft/ft)	0.0026				0.0021															
Rosgen Classification	C				C															
Number of Bankfull Events	1				0															
Extent of BF floodplain (area)	sed deposits on FP																			
	N/A																			

Table 4D. Morphology and Hydraulic Monitoring Summary

Clayhill Farms (EEP Project Number .00018)

Reach 4 (689 linear feet)

Parameter	Cross Section 1					Cross Section 2					Cross Section 3					Cross Section 4														
	Pool					Riffle					Pool					Riffle														
Dimension	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5					
Floodprone Width (ft) (approx)	150.0																													
BF Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	7.7	7.8				3.4	3.8				5.2	4.6				5.1	3.9													
BF Mean Depth (ft)	0.6	0.6				0.5	0.4				0.6	0.5				0.5	0.5													
BF Max Depth (ft)	1.4	1.3				0.9	1.0				1.3	1.0				1.2	0.9													
Width/Depth Ratio						15.7	19.3									17.7	13.7													
Entrenchment Ratio						20.4	17.5									15.7	20.6													
Wetted Perimeter (ft)	12.6	12.6				11.8	0.8				8.9	8.7				9.9	7.5													
Hydraulic radius (ft)	0.6	0.6				0.5	0.4				0.6	0.5				0.5	0.5													
Substrate	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5					
d50 (mm)	N/A	N/A				N/A	N/A				N/A	N/A				N/A	N/A				N/A	N/A								
d84 (mm)	N/A	N/A				N/A	N/A				N/A	N/A				N/A	N/A				N/A	N/A								
Parameter	MY-01 (2006)					MY-02 (2007)					MY-03 (2008)					MY-04 (2009)					MY-05 (2010)					MY-06 (2011)				
Pattern	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max				
Channel Belwidth (ft)	18.6	54.4	36.0	18.6	54.4	36.0																								
Radius of Curvature (ft)	18.9	27.5	24.4	18.9	27.5	24.4																								
Meander Wavelength (ft)	84.0	118.2	111.0	84.0	118.2	111.0																								
Meander Width ratio	2.0	5.8	3.8	2.0	5.8	3.8																								
Profile																														
Riffle length (ft)	27.8	96.9	35.0	20.7	54.6	30.6																								
Riffle slope (ft/ft)	0.0000	0.0018	0.0006	***	***	***																								
Pool length (ft)	2.1	20.0	11.6	5.1	19.1	14.9																								
Pool spacing (ft)	52.9	74.8	69.1	52.9	74.8	69.1																								
Additional Reach Parameters	MY-01 (2006)					MY-02 (2007)					MY-03 (2008)					MY-04 (2009)					MY-05 (2010)					MY-06 (2011)				
Valley Length (ft)	534.3					534.3																								
Channel Length (ft)	689.31					689.31																								
Sinuosity	1.3					1.3																								
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)	0.0005					***																								
BF slope (ft/ft)	0.0005					***																								
Rosgen Classification	C					C																								
Number of Bankfull Events	1					0																								
Extent of BF floodplain (area)	sed deposits on FP					N/A																								

***NOTE - No surface water present in this reach, therefore these numbers are unavailable.

Table 4E. Morphology and Hydraulic Monitoring Summary

Clayhill Farms (EEP Project Number .00018)

Reach 5 (646 linear feet)

Parameter	Cross Section 1					Cross Section 2					Cross Section 3					Cross Section 4														
	Pool					Riffle					Pool					Riffle														
Dimension	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5					
BF Width (ft)	8.3	7.5				6.4	5.6				7.5	7.4				6.0	6.1				6.0	6.1								
Floodprone Width (ft) (approx)	150.0																													
BF Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	4.1	3.4				3.1	2.8				4.0	5.0				3.0	3.3				3.0	3.3								
BF Mean Depth (ft)	0.5	0.5				0.5	0.5				0.5	0.7				0.5	0.5				0.5	0.5								
BF Max Depth (ft)	1.1	1.0				0.9	0.8				1.0	1.1				0.9	0.9				0.9	0.9								
Width/Depth Ratio						13.0	11.5									11.8	11.1				11.8	11.1								
Entrenchment Ratio						23.6	26.6									25.2	24.8				25.2	24.8								
Wetted Perimeter(ft)	8.7	7.9				11.8	5.9				7.8	7.8				6.4	6.4				6.4	6.4								
Hydraulic radius (ft)	0.5	0.4				0.5	0.5				0.5	0.6				0.5	0.5				0.5	0.5								
Substrate	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5					
d50 (mm)	N/A	N/A				N/A					N/A	N/A				N/A	N/A				N/A	N/A								
d84 (mm)	N/A	N/A				N/A					N/A	N/A				N/A	N/A				N/A	N/A								
Parameter	MY-01 (2006)					MY-02 (2007)					MY-03 (2008)					MY-04 (2009)					MY-05 (2010)					MY-06 (2011)				
Pattern	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med				
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	16.2	44.5	31.3	16.2	44.5	31.3	16.2	44.5	31.3	16.2	44.5	31.3	16.2	44.5	31.3	16.2	44.5	31.3	16.2	44.5	31.3	16.2	44.5	31.3	16.2	44.5	31.3			
Radius of Curvature (ft)	18.9	27.5	24.4	18.9	27.5	24.4	18.9	27.5	24.4	18.9	27.5	24.4	18.9	27.5	24.4	18.9	27.5	24.4	18.9	27.5	24.4	18.9	27.5	24.4	18.9	27.5	24.4			
Meander Wavelength (ft)	82.6	100.1	92.7	82.6	100.1	92.7	82.6	100.1	92.7	82.6	100.1	92.7	82.6	100.1	92.7	82.6	100.1	92.7	82.6	100.1	92.7	82.6	100.1	92.7	82.6	100.1	92.7			
Meander Width ratio	2.3	6.3	4.4	2.3	6.3	4.4	2.3	6.3	4.4	2.3	6.3	4.4	2.3	6.3	4.4	2.3	6.3	4.4	2.3	6.3	4.4	2.3	6.3	4.4	2.3	6.3	4.4			
Profile																														
Riffle length (ft)	17.6	35.9	25.2	17.6	35.9	25.2	17.6	35.9	25.2	17.6	35.9	25.2	17.6	35.9	25.2	17.6	35.9	25.2	17.6	35.9	25.2	17.6	35.9	25.2	17.6	35.9	25.2			
Riffle slope (ft/ft)	0.0000	0.0015	0.0004	0.0000	0.0020	0.0009	0.0000	0.0020	0.0009	0.0000	0.0020	0.0009	0.0000	0.0020	0.0009	0.0000	0.0020	0.0009	0.0000	0.0020	0.0009	0.0000	0.0020	0.0009	0.0000	0.0020	0.0009			
Pool length (ft)	4.1	26.0	17.0	4.1	26.0	17.0	4.1	26.0	17.0	4.1	26.0	17.0	4.1	26.0	17.0	4.1	26.0	17.0	4.1	26.0	17.0	4.1	26.0	17.0	4.1	26.0	17.0			
Pool spacing (ft)	44.5	67.0	55.5	44.5	67.0	55.5	44.5	67.0	55.5	44.5	67.0	55.5	44.5	67.0	55.5	44.5	67.0	55.5	44.5	67.0	55.5	44.5	67.0	55.5	44.5	67.0	55.5			
Additional Reach Parameters	MY-01 (2006)					MY-01 (2007)					MY-03 (2008)					MY-04 (2009)					MY-05 (2010)					MY-06 (2011)				
Valley Length (ft)	503.25					503.25					503.25					503.25					503.25					503.25				
Channel Length (ft)	646.4					646.4					646.4					646.4					646.4					646.4				
Sinuosity	1.3					1.3					1.3					1.3					1.3					1.3				
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)	0.0005					0.0005					0.0005					0.0005					0.0005					0.0005				
BF slope (ft/ft)	0.0005					0.0005					0.0005					0.0005					0.0005					0.0005				
Rosgen Classification	C/E					E					E					E					E					E				
Number of Bankfull Events	1					0					0					0					0					0				
Extent of BF floodplain (area)	sed deposits on FP					N/A					N/A					N/A					N/A					N/A				

4.4 Stream Assessment Conclusions

Based on stream measurements, the channel geometry compares favorably with the emulated, stable E/C stream type stream reaches as set forth in the detailed mitigation plan. Facet slopes have increased from year 1 to year 2 (2006 to 2007) monitoring; however, this is due to low flow conditions resulting from low rainfall. The current monitoring has demonstrated dimension was stable over the course of the year 2 (2007) monitoring.

Stream problem areas within the Site are depicted on Figures 3A through 3B. Two problem areas were noted for the year 2 (2007) monitoring. Both problem areas are stressed cross-vanes with failing right bank arms resulting from a lack of footers; photographs of each are included in Appendix D. Additional inspections and monitoring of bed and banks up and downstream of compromised structures is recommended prior to initiation of proactive maintenance measures.

5.0 OVERALL CONCLUSIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS

Twenty gauges were maintained and monitored for the year 2 (2007) growing season. Seven of the twenty monitored gauges within restoration areas have met success criteria of inundation/saturation within 12 inches of the surface for at least 12.5 percent of the growing season with a presence of hydrophytic vegetation. Gauges will continue to be monitored closely; a jurisdictional wetland delineation may be necessary at the end of the five-year monitoring period to accurately quantify successful wetlands within the Site. Rainfall for the growing season was well-below.

Based on the number of stems counted, the average plot density monitored at this Site is greater than 320 stems per acre and is considered successful for 2007 (year 2) monitoring. The average plot density has been measured at 628 stems per acre, or approximately 16 stems per plot.

The vegetation problem area within the Site is a large area of dead stems observed adjacent to the restored stream (near Reach 1). Poor survival may have resulted from soil infertility or drought. Herbaceous vegetation on the lower half of the Site adjacent to the restored stream is not establishing well most likely due to soil infertility. In addition, willow stakes on the lower half of the Site have been slow to sprout with many of the stakes just starting to put off shoots as of March 2007. Shoot output indicates stakes are alive and establishing a root system to aid in stream bank stabilization.

Based on stream measurements, the channel geometry compares favorably with the emulated, stable E/C stream type stream reaches as set forth in the detailed mitigation plan. The current monitoring has demonstrated dimension was stable and is considered successful for the year 2 (2007) monitoring.

Stream problem areas within the Site included two stressed cross-vanes with failing right bank arms resulting from a lack of footers. Additional inspections and monitoring of bed and banks up and downstream of compromised structures is recommended prior to initiation of proactive maintenance measures.


In summary, the restoration site achieved success criteria for vegetation and stream attributes in the Second Monitoring Year (2007). The upper half of the restoration site achieved hydrology success criteria for the Second Monitoring Year (2007).

6.0. REFERENCES


- Lee, Michael T., R.K. Peet, S.D. Roberts, and T.R. Wentworth. 2006. CVS-EEP Protocol for Recording Vegetation, Version 4.0. (online). Available: <http://cvs.bio.unc.edu/methods.htm>.
- National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). 2004. Climatology of the United States No. 20; Monthly Station Climate Summaries, 1971-2000. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Environmental Satellite, Data, and Information Service, National Climatic Data Center, Asheville, North Carolina.
- North Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT). 2006. Revised Wetland and Stream Mitigation Plan for the Clayhill Farms Property, Jones County, North Carolina, TIP No. R-2105 WM. Office of Natural Environment & Roadside Environmental Unit.
- Schafale, M.P. and A.S. Weakley. 1990. Classification of the Natural Communities of North Carolina: Third Approximation. North Carolina Natural Heritage Program, Division of Parks and Recreation, North Carolina Department of Environment, Health, and Natural Resources. Raleigh, North Carolina.
- Weakley, Alan S. 2007. Flora of the Carolinas, Virginia, Georgia, and Surrounding Areas (online). Available: <http://www.herbarium.unc.edu/WeakleysFlora.pdf> [February 1, 2008]. University of North Carolina Herbarium, North Carolina Botanical Garden, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina.

APPENDIX A
YEAR 2 (2007) GROUNDWATER/SURFACEWATER GAUGE GRAPHS


**GW1
Clayhill Farm Year 2 (2007 Gauge Data)**




GW2 Clayhill Farm Year 2 (2007 Gauge Data)




**GW3
Clayhill Farm Year 2 (2007 Gauge Data)**




GW4 Clayhill Farm Year 2 (2007 Gauge Data)




GW5 Clayhill Farm Year 2 (2007 Gauge Data)




**GW6
Clayhill Farm Year 2 (2007 Gauge Data)**




GW7 Clayhill Farm Year 2 (2007 Gauge Data)




**GW8
Clayhill Farm Year 2 (2007 Gauge Data)**




GW9 Clayhill Farm Year 2 (2007 Gauge Data)




GW10 Clayhill Farm Year 2 (2007 Gauge Data)




GW11 Clayhill Farm Year 2 (2007 Gauge Data)




GW12
Clayhill Farm Year 2 (2007 Gauge Data)




**GW13
Clayhill Farm Year 2 (2007 Gauge Data)**




GW14 Clayhill Farm Year 2 (2007 Gauge Data)




GW15 Clayhill Farm Year 2 (2007 Gauge Data)




**GW16
Clayhill Farm Year 2 (2007 Gauge Data)**




GW17 Clayhill Farm Year 2 (2007 Gauge Data)




GW18 Clayhill Farm Year 2 (2007 Gauge Data)



GW19 Clayhill Farm Year 2 (2007 Gauge Data)




GW20 Clayhill Farm Year 2 (2007 Gauge Data)




APPENDIX B
YEAR 1 (2006) GROUNDWATER/SURFACEWATER GAUGE GRAPHS


**GW1
Clayhill Farm Year 1 (2006 Gauge Data)**




**GW2
Clayhill Farm Year 1 (2006 Gauge Data)**




**GW3
Clayhill Farm Year 1 (2006 Gauge Data)**




GW4
Clayhill Farm Year 1 (2006 Gauge Data)




GW5 Clayhill Farm Year 1 (2006 Gauge Data)




GW6
Clayhill Farm Year 1 (2006 Gauge Data)




**GW7
Clayhill Farm Year 1 (2006 Gauge Data)**




**GW8
Clayhill Farm Year 1 (2006 Gauge Data)**




GW9 Clayhill Farm Year 1 (2006 Gauge Data)




GW10 Clayhill Farm Year 1 (2006 Gauge Data)




GW11 Clayhill Farm Year 1 (2006 Gauge Data)




GW12 Clayhill Farm Year 1 (2006 Gauge Data)




GW13 Clayhill Farm Year 1 (2006 Gauge Data)




**GW14
Clayhill Farm Year 1 (2006 Gauge Data)**




**GW15
Clayhill Farm Year 1 (2006 Gauge Data)**




GW16
Clayhill Farm Year 1 (2006 Gauge Data)




GW17
Clayhill Farm Year 1 (2006 Gauge Data)




GW18 Clayhill Farm Year 1 (2006 Gauge Data)



**GW19
Clayhill Farm Year 1 (2006 Gauge Data)**






GW20
Clayhill Farm Year 1 (2006 Gauge Data)



APPENDIX C
VEGETATION MONITORING PHOTOGRAPHS

Clayhill Farms
Vegetation Monitoring Plot Photographs
Year 2 (2007) Annual Monitoring
Pictures Taken July 2007



Clayhill Farms
Vegetation Monitoring Plot Photographs
Year 2 (2007) Annual Monitoring
Pictures Taken July 2007
(continued)




Vegetation Plot 6




Vegetation Plot 7



Vegetation Plot 8




Vegetation Plot 9



Vegetation Plot 10

Clayhill Farms
Vegetation Problem Area Photographs
Year 2 (2007) Annual Monitoring
Pictures Taken August 2007



Problem Area Photo 1



Problem Area Photo 2



Problem Area Photo 3



Problem Area Photo 4

APPENDIX D
STREAM MONITORING DATA AND PHOTOGRAPHS

Clayhill Farms
Stream Monitoring Fixed-Photo and Problem Area Photographs
Year 2 (2007) Annual Monitoring
Pictures Taken July 2007



Clayhill Farms
Stream Monitoring Fixed-Photo and Problem Area Photographs
Year 2 (2007) Annual Monitoring
Pictures Taken July 2007
(continued)




Clayhill Farms
Stream Monitoring Fixed-Photo and Problem Area Photographs
Year 2 (2007) Annual Monitoring
Pictures Taken July 2007
(continued)

Stream Photo 11



Stream Photo 12 and Stream Problem Area (moderately stressed cross-vane)



Stream Photo 13



Stream Photo 14



Stream Photo 15



Clayhill Farms
Stream Monitoring Fixed-Photo and Problem Area Photographs
Year 2 (2007) Annual Monitoring
Pictures Taken July 2007
(continued)

Stream Photo 16



Stream Photo 17



Stream Photo 18



Stream Photo 19



Stream Photo 20




Project Name	Clayhill Farms	Reach 1	
Cross Section	Cross Section 1		
Feature	Pool		
Date	7/12/07		
Crew	Adasme, Jeffers, Swab		

2006 Year 1 and Baseline		2007 Year 2		2008 Year 3		2009 Year 4	
Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation
0.0	86.7	0.0	86.7				
14.2	86.3	12.4	86.4				
23.0	86.4	15.5	86.2				
32.3	86.4	22.1	86.3				
36.1	86.1	35.0	86.1				
37.9	85.6	37.4	85.9				
38.7	85.8	38.9	85.7				
39.6	85.0	40.1	84.8				
40.2	84.6	40.8	84.3				
40.8	84.1	41.3	84.2				
41.5	84.2	42.0	84.2				
42.3	84.4	42.1	84.3				
42.8	84.6	43.0	84.5				
43.3	85.1	43.6	85.4				
43.8	85.7	44.8	86.0				
44.7	86.0	46.8	86.4				
46.2	86.4	50.4	86.6				
50.4	86.7	56.9	87.0				
56.3	86.9	62.7	87.2				
62.2	87.3						



Photo of Reach 1 Cross-Section 1 - Looking Upstream

	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Area	10.8	8.0			
Width	13.6	10.2			
Mean Depth	0.8	0.8			
Max Depth	2.3	1.9			
W/D	N/A	N/A			




Project Name	Clayhill Farms	Reach 1					
Cross Section	Cross Section 2						
Feature	Riffle						
Date	7/12/07						
Crew	Adasme, Jeffers, Swab						

2006 Year 1 and Baseline		2007 Year 2		2008 Year 3		2009 Year 4	
Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation
0.0	87.0	0.0	87.0				
9.6	86.9	9.2	86.8				
15.8	86.7	17.7	86.6				
19.7	86.6	20.2	86.6				
20.2	86.5	22.1	86.1				
21.4	86.2	22.8	85.9				
22.3	85.9	23.8	85.7				
23.3	85.7	24.8	85.1				
23.6	85.6	25.0	84.9				
24.0	85.2	25.9	84.8				
24.8	84.9	26.5	84.8				
26.3	84.9	27.0	85.0				
27.5	85.1	27.7	85.1				
28.4	85.3	28.5	85.3				
28.8	86.4	29.2	86.4				
31.5	86.7	32.5	86.8				
36.5	87.0	40.9	87.2				
43.9	87.3	48.9	87.4				
50.2	87.4	54.8	87.6				
54.7	87.5						



Photo of Reach 1 Cross-Section 2 - Looking Upstream

	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Area	9.8	9.4			
Width	10.6	10.4			
Mean Depth	0.9	0.9			
Max Depth	1.7	1.8			
W/D	11.5	10.4			




Project Name Clayhill Farms **Reach 1**
Cross Section Cross Section 3
Feature Pool
Date 7/12/07
Crew Adasme, Jeffers, Swab

2006 Year 1 and Baseline		2007 Year 2		2008 Year 3		2009 Year 4	
Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation
0.0	87.3	0.0	87.3				
6.0	87.2	8.9	87.0				
15.3	86.6	17.4	86.4				
20.9	86.4	25.7	86.4				
25.1	86.4	26.5	85.9				
26.5	86.0	28.5	85.6				
28.3	85.5	29.0	85.0				
29.0	85.1	30.6	84.8				
30.5	84.7	31.0	84.7				
32.0	84.7	31.5	84.6				
32.9	85.1	31.8	84.6				
33.3	85.5	32.8	84.9				
34.6	85.9	33.2	85.4				
36.0	86.7	34.5	85.9				
38.7	87.0	35.0	85.9				
43.1	87.2	36.1	86.7				
47.9	87.3	40.0	87.0				
53.6	87.5	48.5	87.3				
57.7	87.3	54.2	87.4				
		57.6	87.2				



Photo of Reach 1 Cross-Section 3 - Looking Upstream

	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Area	9.6	9.8			
Width	10.3	10.2			
Mean Depth	0.9	1.0			
Max Depth	1.7	1.8			
W/D	NA	NA			




Project Name Clayhill Farms **Reach 2**
Cross Section Cross Section 1
Feature Riffle
Date 7/12/07
Crew Adasme, Jeffers, Swab

2006 Year 1 and Baseline		2007 Year 2		2008 Year 3		2009 Year 4	
Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation
0.0	96.6	-0.3	96.6				
8.5	96.0	5.4	96.1				
14.4	95.7	9.9	96.0				
16.4	96.0	14.1	95.7				
18.0	95.6	15.7	95.8				
19.9	95.5	16.4	96.0				
21.8	94.7	17.8	95.6				
22.5	94.4	19.1	95.5				
23.4	93.9	21.3	95.0				
24.4	93.7	22.4	94.6				
26.5	93.9	23.2	94.0				
27.5	94.5	24.4	93.7				
28.4	95.2	24.9	93.7				
30.2	95.7	25.4	93.7				
33.3	96.1	26.0	93.7				
39.2	96.0	26.7	94.0				
44.7	96.5	27.9	95.1				
48.0	96.4	30.3	95.6				
		32.5	95.9				
		33.3	96.1				
		33.8	96.0				
		39.8	95.9				
		43.3	96.3				
		46.0	96.3				
		48.3	96.2				



Photo of Reach 2 Cross-Section 1 - Looking Upstream

	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Area	10.0	10.3			
Width	10.1	11.0			
Mean Depth	1.0	0.9			
Max Depth	1.8	1.9			
W/D	10.2	11.8			




Project Name	Clayhill Farms	Reach 2	
Cross Section	Cross Section 3		
Feature	Riffle		
Date	7/12/07		
Crew	Adasme, Jeffers, Swab		

2006 Year 1 and Baseline		2007 Year 2		2008 Year 3		2009 Year 4	
Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation
0.0	97.0	-0.5	97.0				
15.2	96.4	8.1	96.7				
22.4	96.1	15.5	96.3				
24.0	96.0	20.0	96.2				
26.8	95.5	24.0	95.9				
27.6	94.5	26.4	95.3				
29.3	94.0	26.9	95.2				
30.4	93.9	27.4	94.4				
32.3	94.4	28.6	94.1				
33.1	95.2	29.7	93.9				
34.8	95.6	30.2	93.9				
38.9	96.3	30.6	93.9				
47.9	96.5	31.6	94.2				
54.1	96.9	32.1	94.5				
		32.8	95.1				
		33.4	95.5				
		35.1	95.7				
		37.1	96.1				
		38.7	96.2				
		42.6	96.3				
		49.3	96.5				
		53.9	96.8				



Photo of Reach 2 Cross-Section 3 - Looking Upstream

	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Area	12.6	14.5			
Width	12.9	16.0			
Mean Depth	1.0	0.9			
Max Depth	2.1	2.2			
W/D	13.1	17.8			




Project Name	Clayhill Farms	Reach 2	
Cross Section	Cross Section 4		
Feature	Pool		
Date	7/12/07		
Crew	Adasme, Jeffers, Swab		

2006 Year 1 and Baseline		2007 Year 2		2008 Year 3		2009 Year 4	
Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation
0.0	96.8	0.0	96.8				
11.6	96.4	9.4	96.5				
15.7	96.5	14.6	96.4				
21.0	96.2	21.2	96.1				
23.4	95.9	24.0	95.6				
25.0	94.8	24.7	95.4				
26.3	94.2	25.2	94.9				
27.8	94.1	26.2	94.5				
28.7	93.6	27.7	94.0				
29.3	93.5	28.6	93.7				
30.2	93.4	29.1	93.5				
31.3	94.8	29.5	93.5				
32.8	95.8	30.1	93.6				
34.7	96.4	30.7	94.1				
37.3	96.7	31.3	94.9				
43.3	96.5	32.5	95.6				
48.7	96.8	33.9	96.3				
53.2	97.2	37.1	96.7				
		39.6	96.4				
		46.8	96.9				
		53.3	97.3				



Photo of Reach 2 Cross-Section 4 - Looking Upstream

	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Area	20.5	14.9			
Width	17.2	12.3			
Mean Depth	1.2	1.2			
Max Depth	3.0	2.5			
W/D	N/A	N/A			




Project Name	Clayhill Farms	Reach 3					
Cross Section	Cross Section 3						
Feature	Riffle						
Date	7/12/07						
Crew	Adasme, Jeffers, Swab						

2006 Year 1 and Baseline		2007 Year 2		2008 Year 3		2009 Year 4	
Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation
0.0	98.2	0.0	98.2				
5.1	98.2	4.1	98.1				
8.5	98.3	8.3	98.3				
12.4	98.0	12.1	98.0				
13.4	97.6	14.1	97.5				
14.6	97.3	14.8	97.2				
15.2	96.8	15.3	96.8				
15.9	96.7	15.8	96.7				
16.7	96.9	16.4	96.7				
17.5	97.2	16.9	96.9				
18.6	97.5	17.3	97.1				
20.1	98.1	19.1	97.6				
22.0	98.2	21.1	98.0				
23.2	98.1	22.4	98.1				
25.8	98.1	23.4	97.9				
30.5	98.3	24.5	98.1				
		25.9	98.0				
		28.6	98.3				
		31.0	98.3				



Photo of Reach 3 Cross-Section 3 - Looking Upstream

	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Area	5.5	5.3			
Width	8.5	8.9			
Mean Depth	0.6	0.6			
Max Depth	1.4	1.3			
W/D	13.2	15.0			




Project Name	Clayhill Farms	Reach 4					
Cross Section	Cross Section 1						
Feature	Pool						
Date	7/12/07						
Crew	Adasme, Jeffers, Swab						

2006 Year 1 and Baseline		2007 Year 2		2008 Year 3		2009 Year 4	
Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation
0.0	97.7	0.0	97.7				
6.6	97.5	4.6	97.5				
11.8	97.2	8.3	97.4				
13.7	96.9	11.9	97.2				
14.9	96.5	14.2	96.9				
16.1	96.0	15.5	96.4				
17.0	95.7	16.0	96.1				
18.0	95.7	16.8	95.9				
20.1	96.2	17.1	95.7				
23.0	96.8	18.2	95.7				
25.2	97.0	18.5	95.7				
32.1	97.2	19.4	96.1				
39.6	97.6	21.0	96.3				
		23.2	96.8				
		25.4	97.0				
		26.8	97.0				
		31.5	97.1				
		36.0	97.3				
		39.9	97.5				



Photo of Reach 4 Cross-Section 1 - Looking Upstream

	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Area	7.7	7.8			
Width	12.2	12.2			
Mean Depth	0.6	0.6			
Max Depth	1.4	1.3			
W/D	N/A	N/A			




Project Name Clayhill Farms **Reach 5**
Cross Section Cross Section 3
Feature Pool
Date 7/12/07
Crew Adasme, Jeffers, Swab


2006 Year 1 and Baseline		2007 Year 2		2008 Year 3		2009 Year 4	
Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation
0.0	98.5	-0.3	98.5				
6.3	98.1	2.4	98.4				
11.3	98.1	6.1	98.2				
13.5	97.9	10.4	98.1				
15.1	97.7	12.6	98.0				
16.9	97.6	15.0	97.8				
18.0	97.3	16.8	97.8				
19.2	97.1	17.8	97.4				
19.8	96.8	18.7	97.1				
20.9	96.7	19.4	96.9				
22.0	96.8	20.2	96.8				
23.1	97.2	20.9	96.7				
24.0	97.8	21.7	96.8				
25.4	98.0	22.2	96.9				
29.2	98.2	23.3	97.3				
32.9	98.6	24.4	97.9				
37.1	98.9	25.5	98.0				
		29.7	98.3				
		32.6	98.6				
		35.4	98.8				
		37.2	99.0				



Photo of Reach 5 Cross-Section 3 - Looking Upstream


	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Area	4.0	3.8			
Width	7.5	7.0			
Mean Depth	0.5	0.5			
Max Depth	1.0	0.9			
W/D	N/A	N/A			





Pattern
 Beltwidth = 76.1 (37.3 - 82.7) ft
 Radius of Curvature = 24.4 (18.9 - 27.5) ft
 Meander Wavelength = 133.0 (103.5 - 141.7) ft
 Meander Width Ratio = 6.1 (3.0 - 6.6)
 Pool-to-Pool Spacing = 97.1 (77.6 - 108.9) ft

Pattern Legend	
	Stream Banks
	Thalweg
	Cross Section



NOTES/REVISIONS

Project:


**Clayhill Farms
 Restoration Site**

Project No. .00018
 Year 2 (2007) Monitoring Report
 Jones County
 North Carolina

Title:

**Reach 1: Profile
 and
 Pattern**

Reach 1 (Clayhill Farms - Profile)




Profile
 Save = 0.0020 rise/run
 Svalley = 0.0042 rise/run
 Sriffle = 0.0047 (0 - 0.0147) rise/run
 Spool = 0.0028 (0 - 0.0219) rise/run
 Srun = 0.0058 (0 - 0.0222) rise/run
 Sglide = 0.0034 (0 - 0.0137) rise/run

Profile Legend	
	2007 Bed Elevation
	2006 Bed Elevation
	Water Surface Elevation

Scale:
 No Scale
 Date:
 August 2007
 Project No.:
 07-002


FIGURE NO.

D1



Pattern
 Beltwidth = 60.4 (27.0 - 75.6) ft
 Radius of Curvature = 24.4 (19.4 - 28.8) ft
 Meander Wavelength = 124.4 (113.4 - 142.4) ft
 Meander Width Ratio = 4.5 (2.0 - 5.6)
 Pool-to-Pool Spacing = 86.4 (75.4 - 102.3) ft

Pattern Legend	
	Stream Banks
	Thalweg
	Cross Section



NOTES/REVISIONS

Project:

Clayhill Farms Restoration Site

Project No. .00018
 Year 2 (2007) Monitoring Report
 Jones County
 North Carolina

Title:


Reach 2: Profile and Pattern

Profile

Save = 0.0013 rise/run
 Svalley = 0.0015 rise/run
 Sriffle = 0.0060 (0.001 - 0.0278) rise/run
 Spool = 0.0028 (0 - 0.0138) rise/run
 Srun = 0.0041 (0 - 0.0138) rise/run
 Sglide = 0.0013 (0 - 0.0071) rise/run

Profile Legend	
	2007 Bed Elevation
	2006 Bed Elevation
	Water Surface Elevation

Reach 2 (Clayhill Farms - Profile)




Scale: No Scale

Date: August 2007

Project No.: 07-002


FIGURE NO.

D2



Pattern
 Beltwidth = 55.9 (45.0 - 90.0) ft
 Radius of Curvature = 21.1 (18.4 - 24.7) ft
 Meander Wavelength = 127.1 (56.0 - 142.4) ft
 Meander Width Ratio = 5.6 (4.5 - 9.0)
 Pool-to-Pool Spacing = 90.9 (71.4 - 97.7) ft

Pattern Legend	
	Stream Banks
	Thalweg
	Cross Section



NOTES/REVISIONS

Project:

Clayhill Farms Restoration Site

Project No. .00018
 Year 2 (2007) Monitoring Report
 Jones County
 North Carolina


Title:

Reach 3: Profile and Pattern

Profile
 Save = 0.0021 rise/run
 Svalley = 0.0044 rise/run
 Sriffle = 0.0092 (0.0014 - 0.0421) rise/run
 Spool = 0.0073 (0 - 0.030) rise/run
 Srun = 0.0115 (0 - 0.0419) rise/run
 Sglide = 0.002 (0 - 0.0121) rise/run


Profile Legend	
	2007 Bed Elevation
	2006 Bed Elevation
	Water Surface Elevation

Reach 3 (Clayhill Farms - Profile)



Scale:	No Scale
Date:	August 2007
Project No.:	07-002


FIGURE NO.
D3



Pattern
 Beltwidth = 36.0 (18.6 - 54.4) ft
 Radius of Curvature = 21.9 (17.4 - 26.7) ft
 Meander Wavelength = 111.0 (84.0 - 118.2) ft
 Meander Width Ratio = 3.8 (2.0 - 5.8)
 Pool-to-Pool Spacing = 69.1 (52.9 - 74.8) ft

Pattern Legend

- Stream Banks
- Thalweg
- Cross Section




NOTES/REVISIONS

Project:
Clayhill Farms Restoration Site
 Project No. .00018
 Year 2 (2007) Monitoring Report
 Jones County
 North Carolina

Title:
Reach 4: Profile and Pattern

Reach 4 (Clayhill Farms - Profile)




Profile
 Save = 0.0008 rise/run
 Svalley = 0.0005 rise/run
 Sriffle = N/A
 Spool = N/A
 Srun = N/A
 Sglide = N/A



Profile Legend

- 2007 Bed Elevation
- - - 2006 Bed Elevation

Scale: No Scale	FIGURE NO. D4
Date: August 2007	
Project No.: 07-002	



Pattern
 Beltwidth = 31.3 (16.2 - 44.5) ft
 Radius of Curvature = 20.4 (14.0 - 25.5) ft
 Meander Wavelength = 92.7 (82.6 - 100.1) ft
 Meander Width Ratio = 4.4 (2.3 - 6.3)
 Pool-to-Pool Spacing = 55.5 (44.5 - 67.0) ft



Axiom Environmental, Inc.



NOTES/REVISIONS

Project:

**Clayhill Farms
Restoration Site**

Project No. .00018
 Year 2 (2007) Monitoring Report
 Jones County
 North Carolina

Title:

**Reach 5: Profile
and
Pattern**

Scale:
No Scale


Date:
August 2007

Project No.:
07-002

FIGURE NO.

D5

Reach 5 (Clayhill Farms - Profile)



Profile
 Save = 0.0005 rise/run
 Svalley = 0.0007 rise/run
 Striffle = 0.0009 (0 - 0.0020) rise/run
 Spool = 0.00019 (0 - 0.0066) rise/run
 Srun = 0.0026 (0 - 0.0116) rise/run
 Sglide = 0.0013 (0 - 0.0033) rise/run

