### **COOR ISLAND MITIGATION SITE**

### As-built & Baseline Monitoring Report Wayne County, North Carolina Neuse River Basin - 03020201

DMS Project ID No. 100183 Full Delivery Contract No. 0402-03 DWR Project No. 2021 0021 v3 RFP No. 16-20200402





NORTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY DIVISION OF MITIGATION SERVICES 1652 MAIL SERVICE CENTER RALEIGH, NORTH CAROLINA 27699-1652

> Baseline Data Collected: February 2023 Date Submitted: April 2023



#### **Response to DMS Comments**

Coor Island Mitigation Site, Project ID #100183, DMS Contract #0402-03 DWR Project No. 2021-0021 v3 Neuse River Basin 03020201, Wayne County DMS Reviewers: Emily Dunnigan and Kelly Phillips

### Comments Received (Black Text) & Responses (Blue Text):

- 1. Please update the List of Tables to correct the error for Table 1 and Table 2. Completed.
- The Mitigation Plan stated soil ripping would be completed, and soil testing may be completed before planting. Please include a discussion of these activities in the narrative. Discussion has been added to Section 3.1 Riparian Area Restoration Activities regarding these activities.
- **3.** It would be helpful to include the phase 2 conservation easement on the CCPV. The adjacent second phase conservation easement has been added to the CCPV.
- Suggest changing the title of the table on the first page of the credit determination plan to "Total Riparian Buffer Mitigation". The title of the table has been updated.
- 5. The table on the first page of the credit determination plan has total square feet for all the assets which do not match the assets in the credit table (Table 4). Shouldn't they match? Also, in the table, any areas that are not creditable should be labeled as such. After rechecking the GIS files and the CAD files the table on the first page of the credit determination plan now matches the credit table (Table 4). Non-credible areas have been removed from the table.
- Table 12: Your planting list only includes Sambucus nigra, two species of elderberry are in the table, please revise.
   Table 12 has been updated to reflect the appropriate species of elderberry that was planted.
- 7. At as-built, RS is below contract by 836.48 credits. The Task 4 payment should be \$51,473.48 (15% of the total contract value). However, the 836.48 buffer shortfall below the contracted amount reduces the contract value by \$752.83 (at \$0.90/buffer credit). To reconcile the difference resulting from the 836.48 buffer credit shortfall, please adjust the Task 4 payment downward to a revised amount of \$50,720.65. After reconciling the credit differences noted in comment 5, the preservation (101-200) credit total decreased by 1 square foot. As a result, the as-built credits are short by 836.51. As a result, Restoration Systems was overpaid on Task 1-3 by \$414.07. The Task 4 payment will be reduced by \$414.07 (at \$0.90/buffer credit) to account for the overpayment of Tasks 1-3; the Task 4 revised payment amount is \$50,946.48. For Taks 5-9, invoice amounts will be based on the as-built credits.

#### Boundary Inspection Action Items:

- Upgrade sign fasteners to a material that is likely to meet the longevity specifications in the marking requirements. Roofing nails of this type have failed repeatedly across DMS sites. Noted, sign fasteners will be upgraded and documented in the MY1 report.
- Remove the scattered debris located within the conservation easement. Noted, the scattered debris will be removed from the conservation easement and documented in the MY1 report.
- **10.** Blazing the trees in densely wooded areas would facilitate easier identification of the site boundary. Noted, trees in densely wooded areas will be blazed and documented in the MY1 report.

### COOR ISLAND MITIGATION SITE

As-built & Baseline Monitoring Report Wayne County, North Carolina Neuse River Basin - 03020201

Prepared by:



Restoration Systems, LLC 1101 Haynes Street, Suite 211 Raleigh, North Carolina 27604

> Contact: Raymond Holz 919-755-9490 (phone) 919-755-9492 (fax)

### **Table of Contents**

Mitigation Project Summary	. 3
1.1 Project Goals and Objectives	. 3
1.2 Pre-construction Site Conditions	.4
Determination of Credits	. 5
Baseline Restoration Activities Summary	. 5
3.1 Riparian Area Restoration Activities	. 5
Monitoring Protocol & Success Criteria	.7
4.1 Monitoring Protocol	.7
4.2 Success Criteria	.7
4.3 Maintenance and Contingency Plans	. 8
References	.9
	<ul> <li>Mitigation Project Summary</li></ul>

### List of Tables

Table 1. Ecological and Water Quality Goals	. 4
Table 2. Restoration Plan Activities	. 5
Table 3. Planting List	. 6
Table 4. Permanent Seed	. 6
Table 5. Monitoring Schedule	.7
Table 6. Monitoring Summary	.7
Table 7. Success Criteria	.7
Table 8. Project Components and Mitigation Credits - Appendix A	
Table 9. Project Activity and Reporting History - Appendix A	
Table 10. Project Contact - Appendix A	
Table 11. Project Baseline Information and Attributes - Appendix A	

Table 12. Baseline Vegetation - Appendix B

### Appendices

Appendix A: General Figures and Tables
Figure 1 - Parcel Location / Service Area
Figure 2 - Current Conditions Plan View
As-built Survey – Sheet 1-2
Table 8 - Project Components and Mitigation Credits
Table 9 - Project Activity and Reporting History
Table 10 - Project Contact
Table 11 - Project Baseline Information and Attributes
Appendix B: Project Photos and Baseline Vegetation Data
Construction and Planting Photos
Table 12 - Baseline Vegetation
Vegetation Plot Photos 1 – 8
Appendix C: Agency Letters/Correspondence
DWR Stream Determination Letter, March 4, 2021
DWR Site Viability Letter, April 16, 2021
FEMA Floodplain Checklist

### 1.0 Mitigation Project Summary

Restoration Systems (RS) is pleased to provide the North Carolina Division of Mitigation Services (NC DMS) this **As-built & Baseline Monitoring Report** for the **Coor Island Mitigation Site (hereafter referred to as the "Project" or "Site")**. The Project has been implemented in accordance with State Rules 15A NCAC 02B .0295 (Consolidated Buffer Mitigation Rule – CMB Rule) to provide Neuse River Riparian Buffer Credits (RBC) and 15A NCAC 02B .0703 (Nutrient Offset Credit Trading Rule) to provide Neuse River Nutrient Offset Credits (NOC) for impacts within the Neuse River Basin USGS 8-digit HUC 03020201, excluding the Falls Lake Watershed. The Site is located within the warm waters of the United States Geological Society (USGS) Hydrologic Unit 03020201-170030 and NC DWR subbasin 03-04-12. The permanent conservation easement encompasses 11.52 acres and provides 380,448.524 RBCs (Available RBC). Additionally, 364,095.993 RBCs can potentially be converted to 19,320.776 lbs nitrogen NOCs at the request of NCDMS. The Project provides the State with the Available RBC while permanently protecting the restored riparian area and preserving the forested floodplain, a mapped FEMA Floodway (Map 3720256800K, Panel 2568, effective June 20, 2018).

Located in Wayne County, North Carolina, the Project encompasses 11.52 acres, of which 1.904 acres are forested, and the remainder was utilized for row crop production. The Project restored riparian buffer areas along an unnamed tributary to Half Mile Creek and preserved the established riparian buffer where it exists. Detailed project mapping is provided in Appendix A, along with site-specific data in Appendix B.

The parcels were acquired by RS through a fee-simple purchase agreement with the former landowners (E & S Wayne Farms LLC) effective July 1, 2021. Following the purchase, RS assigned a conservation easement to the State Property Office recorded November 14, 2022.

A DWR representative conducted an on-site stream determination on January 21, 2021. A Stream Determination letter was provided on March 4, 2021. Further, A DWR representative conducted a Site Viability visit on March 24, 2021, and provided an approval letter on April 16, 2021. Both the Stream Determination and Site Viability letters are attached in Appendix C.

RS began preparation for restoration of the riparian buffer by planting the Site in February 2023. Riparian buffer restoration activities included planting a cover crop in advance of tree planting, bare-root planting, and broadcast application of a permanent seed mix. On February 15, 2023, Axiom Environmental installed eight (8) Carolina Vegetation Survey (CVS) monitoring plots and collected as-built data (Appendix B).

### 1.1 Project Goals and Objectives

The primary goals of the proposed nutrient offset project are to provide ecological and water quality enhancements to the Neuse River Basin by restoring the riparian area to create a functional riparian corridor. The Site is not located within a watershed planning unit but addresses watershed goals outlined by the Neuse River Basin Restoration Priorities (RBRP) report (NCEEP 2010 amended 2018). Table 1 summarizes the RBRP goals and provides site-specific objectives to address the RBRP goals. Specific enhancements to water quality and ecological processes are outlined in Table 1.

Goal	Objective
Decrease nutrient levels	Nutrient input will be decreased by filtering runoff from the agricultural fields through restored riparian buffer zones. The off-site nutrient input will also be absorbed on-site by filtering flood flows through restored floodplain areas, where flood flows can disperse through native vegetation.
Decrease sediment input	Sediment from off-site sources will be captured by deposition on restored floodplain areas where native vegetation will slow overland flow velocities.
Decrease water temperature and increase dissolved oxygen concentrations	Planted riparian trees will shade the streams as they mature, reducing thermal pollution.
Create appropriate terrestrial habitat	Buffer areas will be restored by planting native vegetation.
Permanently protect the project Parcel from harmful uses	A permanent conservation easement will be recorded, protecting the Parcel's assets in perpetuity.

Ecological and water quality goals will be achieved by restoring 8.747 acres of forested riparian buffer and preserving 1.904 acres of existing riparian forest.

### 1.2 Pre-construction Site Conditions

The Project encompasses 11.52 acres, of which 8.747 acres were in crop production, and the remaining area includes existing bottomland hardwood forest and water features. The Project has preserved and restored riparian buffer areas along an unnamed tributary to Half Mile Creek. The downstream site boundary is an existing mitigation site, Half Mile Branch Bank Site. Detailed project mapping is provided in Appendix A, along with site-specific data in Appendix B.

Intensive agriculture practices existed across all proposed restoration areas. Agricultural fields within and adjacent to the Site were subject to routine fertilizer and herbicide applications. Site streams and ditches exhibited bank erosion due to long-term plowing and removal of native vegetation throughout the proposed restoration areas. Historic imagery dating back to 1959 indicates that land management practices were consistent with the Site's conditions prior to restoration (Restoration Systems, 2022).

Site tributaries ("features") A, B, and C originate to the south less than 300 feet from the Project. Site feature 1 (UT to Half Mile Branch) originates to the east less than 300 feet from the Project. All tributaries drain to Half Mile Branch.

### 2.0 Determination of Credits

Within the 11.52 acre Site, 8.747 acres of agricultural fields historically used for row crops are proposed for riparian buffer restoration. The primary goals associated with restoring riparian areas within the Site will improve water quality, enhance flood attenuation, and restore wildlife habitat. These goals will be achieved by restoring 8.747 acres of forested riparian buffer and preserving 1.904 acres of existing forest and State waters. Mitigation credits are presented in Table 8 and Figure 2 in Appendix A and are based upon the as-built survey in Appendix A.

### 3.0 Baseline Restoration Activities Summary

Riparian area restoration involved planting appropriate native tree species along the 200-foot-wide riparian corridor of streams and hydrologically connected ditches at a density of 680 stems per acre on 8ft x 8ft spacing. Vegetation management and herbicide applications may be needed over the first few years of tree establishment in the riparian restoration areas to prevent encroachment of undesirable species that may out-compete the planted native vegetation. Tree species planted across the riparian areas of the Project included those listed in Table 3. Stems were mixed prior to planting to ensure diversity of bare roots across the planted area. A seed mix including the species listed in Table 4 were applied to provide temporary and permanent ground cover for soil stabilization and reduction of sediment loss during rain events in areas without existing herbaceous cover. Planting took place on February 6, 2023.

Restoration Plan Activity	Phase Specific Actions
Riparian Restoration	<ol> <li>Parcel-wide soil preparation herbaceous vegetation treatment ahead of planting</li> <li>Establishment of a native herbaceous community via site-specific seed mix (Table 4)</li> <li>Establishment of 8.747 acres of native hardwood forest via the planting of bare-root saplings from the top of the bank to the conservation easement boundary (Table 3)</li> </ol>

### Table 2 – Restoration Plan Activities

### 3.1 Riparian Area Restoration Activities

Restoration of the riparian area allows for recolonization and expansion of characteristic species across the landscape. The riparian areas were restored according to the Consolidated Buffer Mitigation Rule 15A NCAC 02B.0295. Prior to planting a cover crop was planted to improve soil health, and by doing so it was determined that soil ripping and testing was not needed to facilitate restoration of the native hardwood forest. The planting plan for the riparian restoration area included planting 6,000 native bare-root hardwood saplings across 8.747 acres at a density of +/- 686 stems per acre. The planted species composition is intentionally diverse and while based on these communities, also accounted for local observations and nursery availability.

All species were selected based on their ability for: sediment stabilization, rapid growth rate, withstanding hydraulic forces associated with flood events, suitability to specific soil types, and Project conditions. Tree species were mixed thoroughly before planting to provide a diverse and random plant across the Site. Planting density was set to ensure sufficient diversity and density of planted stems outlined in Rule 15A NCAC 02B.0295 of 260 trees per acre at the end of five years. No one tree species was greater than 50% of the established stems.

The bare root planting list is provided in Table 3 followed by the permanent seed mix in Table 4. As-built baseline vegetation data is provided in Appendix B. Baseline data was collected in February 2023 by Axiom Environmental and derived an average planted stem density of 597 stems per acre.

Vegetation Association		Coastal Plair Bottomland Hardwood	1
Species	Indicator Status	# planted	% of total
River birch ( <i>Betula nigra</i> )	FACW	600	10.0%
Black gum (Nyssa Sylvatica)	FAC	600	10.0%
Bitternut hickory (Carya cordiformis)	FAC	300	5.0%
American elm (Ulmus americana)	FAC	300	5.0%
Persimmon (Diospyros virginiana)	FAC	500	8.3%
Green ash (Fraxinus pennsylvanica)	FACW	300	5.0%
Sycamore (Platanus occidentalis)	FAC	600	10.0%
Tulip poplar (Liriodendron tulipifera)	FACU	600	10.0%
Water oak (Quercus nigra)	FACW	600	10.0%
Swamp Chestnut oak ( <i>Quercus michauxii</i> )	FACW	600	8.3%
Willow oak (Quercus phellos)	FACW	500	10.0%
Elderberry (Sambucus nigra)	FACW	500	8.3%
	TOTAL	6,000	100.0%

### Table 3 – Planting List

### Table 4 – Permanent Seed

Permanent Seed- Sitewide	@ 2 I	bs /acre			
Species	%	Species	%	Species	%
Agrostis hyemalis	5	Desmodium canadense	2	Lespedeza capitata	2
Agrostis perennans	5	Echinacea purpurea	7	Liatris spicata	0.5
Bidens aristosa	3	Elymus virginicus	5	Monarda fistulosa	0.5
Carex albolutescens	2	Eupatorium coelestinum	0.5	Panicum anceps	2
Carex lupulina	1	Eupatorium perfoliatum	1	Panicum clandestinum	2
Carex vulpinoidea	2	Helianthus angustifolius	4	Rudbeckia hirta	7
Chamaecrista fasciculata	5	Heliopsis helianthoides	4	Senna hebecarpa	5
Chamaecrista nictitans	2	Hibiscus moscheutos	0.5	Tridens flavus	20
Coreopsis lanceolata	3	Juncus effusus	2	Verbena hastata	2
Coreopsis tinctoria	3	Juncus tenuis	2		

### 4.0 Monitoring Protocol & Success Criteria

### 4.1 Monitoring Protocol

Restoration monitoring procedures for vegetation will monitor plant survival and species diversity. Eight permanent 10 x 10-meter vegetation plots were installed for quantitative sampling as outlined in the *CVS Level 1-2 Protocol for Recording Vegetation, Version 4.2* (Lee et al. 2008) (Figures 2A-B, Appendix A). Vegetation monitoring will occur no earlier than Fall of each year. A reference photo will be taken from the origin point of each plot. All planted stems in the plots will be marked with flagging tape and recorded. Data collected will include species, height, planting type (planted stem and/or volunteer) and vigor. Monitoring of the restoration efforts will be performed for five years or until success criteria are fulfilled. Monitoring will be conducted by Axiom Environmental, Inc based on the schedule in Table 5. A summary of monitoring is outlined in Table 6. Annual monitoring reports will be submitted to the NCDMS by Restoration Systems no later than December 1 of each monitoring year data. Appendix B includes the baseline (MY0) vegetation plot photographs along with the planted and total stem counts.

### Table 5. Monitoring Schedule

Resource	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5
Vegetation (2% of planted area)	х	x	х	х	х
Visual Assessment (100% of Site)	х	х	х	х	х
Report Submittal	x	x	x	x	x

### Table 6. Monitoring Summary

Vegetation P	Parameters			
Parameter	Method	Schedule/ Frequency	Number/ Extent	Data Collected/Reported
Vegetation	8 Permanent vegetation plots 0.0247 acre (100 square meters) in size; CVS-EEP Protocol for Recording Vegetation, Version 4.2 (Lee et al. 2008).	As-built (MY 0), MY 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5	8 plots across the restoration portion of the Site	Species, height, vigor, planted vs. volunteer, stems/acre. Reference photo at each monitoring plot.

### 4.2 Success Criteria

Success criteria will be based on the survival of planted species at a density of 260 stems per acre after five years of monitoring. The first annual monitoring activities will commence at the end of the first growing season, at least five months after planting has been completed.

### Table 7. Success Criteria

Þ	egetation
•	<ul> <li>Within planted portions of the Site, in accordance with Rule 15A NCAC 02B .0295:</li> <li>a minimum of 260 stems per acre must be present at year 5, and</li> <li>a minimum of four native hardwood and native shrub species in each vegetation monitoring plot, where no one species is greater than 50 % of stems.</li> </ul>
•	Planted and volunteer stems are counted, provided they are included in the approved planting list for the Site; natural recruits not on the planting list may be considered by the DWR on a case-by-case basis.

### 4.3 Maintenance and Contingency Plans

An adaptive management plan will be developed and implemented with the approval of DMS and DWR in the event the Site or a specific component of the Site fails to achieve success criteria as outlined above. Other vegetation maintenance and repair activities may include pruning, mulching, and fertilizing. If exotic invasive plant species require treatment, such species will be controlled by mechanical (physical removal with the use of a chainsaw) and/or herbicide application in accordance with North Carolina Department of Agriculture (NCDA) rules and regulations.

### 5.0 References

Consolidated Buffer Mitigation Rule - 15A NCAC 02B .0295 (Published November 17, 2014)

- Lee, M.T., R.K. Peet, S.D. Roberts, and T.R. Wentworth. 2008. CVS-EEP Protocol for Recording Vegetation. Version 4.2. North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources, Ecosystem Enhancement Program. Raleigh, North Carolina.
- North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality, Division of Mitigation Services (NCDMS), 2017. Riparian Buffer and Nutrient Offset Buffer Baseline and Annual Monitoring Report Template version 2.0
- North Carolina Division of Mitigation Services (NCDMS). 2010 amended 2018. Neuse River Basin Restoration Priorities (online). Available: https://files.nc.gov/ncdeq/Mitigation%20Services/Watershed\_Planning/Neuse\_River\_Basin/RB RP-Neuse-201807-.pdf (September 11, 2020).
- Restoration Systems, LLC, 2022. Coor Island Mitigation Site Mitigation Plan. North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality, Division of Mitigation Services, Raleigh, NC.
- Schafale, M. P. and Weakley, 2012. A Classification of the Natural Communities of North Carolina, Fourth Approximation. North Carolina Natural Heritage Program, North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources. Raleigh, North Carolina.
- United States Department of Agriculture (USDA). 2019. Web Soil Survey (online). Available: http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/WebSoilSurvey.aspx [September 2, 2020].
- US Fish and Wildlife Service, 2020. Endangered Species, Threatened Species, Federal Species of Concern, and Candidate Species, Wayne County, North Carolina (online, updated July 17, 2020). Available: https://www.fws.gov/raleigh/species/cntylist/wayne.html [September 2, 2020].

### Appendix A: General Figures and Tables

Figure 1 - Parcel Location / Service Area Figure 2 - Current Conditions Plan View As-built Survey – Sheet 1-2 Table 8 - Project Components and Mitigation Credits Table 9 - Project Activity and Reporting History Table 10 - Project Contact Table 11 - Project Baseline Information and Attributes





TOTAL RIPARIAN BUFFER MITIGATION			
MITIGATION TOTALS	SQ. FT	ACRES	
PRESERVATION (101 - 200FT)	26,006.00	0.60	
PRESERVATION (TOB - 100FTFT)	56,943.00	1.31	
RIPARIAN RESTORATION (101 - 200FT)	9,203.00	0.21	
RIPARIAN RESTORATION (TOB - 100FT)	361,059.00	8.29	
AREAS LESS THAN 50FT FROM TOB	9800.00	0.22	
TOTAL RIPARIAN BUFFER MITIGATION AREA	463,011.00	10.63	





#### SURVEYORS CERTIFICATION(S)

Surveyors disclaimer: No attempt was made to locate any cemet hazardous material sites, underground or above ground utilities features above, or below ground other than those shown.

I certify that the survey is of another category (credit determinat such as the recombination of existing parcels, a court-ordered su other exception to the definition of subdivision.

I certify that this plat does not meet G.S. 47-30 as amended.

I, John A. Rudolph, certify that this project was completed under charge from an actual survey made under my supervision; that t to meet the requirements for an LIS/GIS survey to the accuracy accuracy; method of measurement GNSS; date(s) of survey July survey NAD83 (2011); and all coordinates are based on NAD83

PRELIMINARY PLAT, NOT PRELIMINARY PLAT, NOT CONVEYANCES, FOR RECORDATION, CONVEYANCES, FOR SALES,

T) NO CREDIT TS (8 TOTAL)
TS (8 TOTAL)
ies, wetlands any other
m plan), yey, or my direct and responsible s survey was performed f Class C and no vertical f 2022; datum used for 011)

774 S. Beston Road La Grange, NC 28551 252.582.3097 www.k2designgroup.com Firm License no. C-2111 design group 2 RESTORATION SYSTEMS, LLC 1101 HAVNES STREET SUTTE 211 RALEIGH, NC 27604 CREDIT DETERMINATION PLAN COOR ISLAND MITIGATION SITE FORK TOWNSHIP, WAYNE COUNTY NORTH CAROI INA THE PURPOSE MONITORING OFI Itle: JTR DRAWN BY: DATE: 06/07/23 SURVEYED BY: JAR RSS524AB23 DWG. NO. SHEET: 1 OF 2

L-4194 License Number



# Table 8. Project Components and Mitigation CreditsCoor Island Mitigation Site, Project Credits (Asbuilt)

N	leuse 03020201 -	Outside Falls Lak	e	Project Area												
	19.16	5394		N Credit Conversio	n Ratio (ft²/pou	nd)										
	N/	Ά		P Credit Conversio	n Ratio (ft <sup>2</sup> /pour	nd)										
Credit Type	Location	Subject? (enter NO if ephemeral or ditch <sup>1</sup> )	Feature Type	Mitigation Activity	Min-Max Buffer Width (ft)	Feature Name	Total Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	Total (Creditable) Area of Buffer Mitigation (ft <sup>2</sup> )	Initial Credit Ratio (x:1)	% Full Credit	Final Credit Ratio (x:1)	Convertible to Riparian Buffer?	Riparian Buffer Credits	Convertible to Nutrient Offset?	Delivered Nutrient Offset: N (lbs)	Delivered Nutrient Offset: P (lbs)
Buffer	Rural	Yes	I / P	Restoration	0-50	1	9,800	9,800	1	100%	1.00000	Yes	9,800.000	No	—	_
Buffer	Rural	Yes	I / P	Restoration	0-100	1	361,059	361,059	1	100%	1.00000	Yes	361,059.000	Yes	18,840.541	_
Buffer	Rural	Yes	I/P	Restoration	101-200	1	9,203	9,203	1	33%	3.03030	Yes	3,036.993	Yes	480.225	_
Buffer	Rural	Yes	I / P	Restoration	0-100	Non-Credit Areas (Previously Forested) Feature 1	812	0	1	100%		No	_	No	_	_
Buffer	Rural	Yes	I / P	Restoration	101-200	Non-Credit Areas (Previously Forested) Feature 1	9	0	1	33%		No	_	No	_	_
													_		_	_
													_		—	_
													—		_	
													_		_	_
													_		_	_
													_		_	
													—		_	
						Totals (ft2):	380,883	380,062					373,895.993		19,320.766	0.000
						Total Buffer (ft2):	380,883	380,062						•		
					Tota	l Nutrient Offset (ft2):	0	N/A	]							
					Total Ephemer	al Area (ft <sup>2</sup> ) for Credit:	0	0								
					Total Eligible	Ephemeral Area (ft <sup>2</sup> ):	115,958	0.0%	Ephemeral R	eaches as % T	ABM					
Enter Preservatio	on Credits Belov	N			Total Eligible	for Preservation (ft <sup>2</sup> ):	126,961	16.3%	Preservation	as % TABM						
Credit Type	Location	Subject?	Feature Type	Mitigation Activity	Min-Max Buffer Width (ft)	Feature Name	Total Area (sf)	Total (Creditable) Area for Buffer Mitigation (ft <sup>2</sup> )	Initial Credit Ratio (x:1)	% Full Credit	Final Credit Ratio (x:1)	Riparian Buffer Credits				
	Rural	Yes	I / P		0-100	1	56,943	56,943	10	100%	10.00000	5,694.300				
	Rural	Yes	I / P		101-200	1	26,006	26,006	10	33%	30.30303	858.198				
				-								—				
						2						—				

Preservation Area Subtotals (ft<sup>2</sup>): 82,949 82,949

TOTAL	AREA OF BUFFEF	R MITIGATION	(TABM)
Mitigatio	on Totals	Square Feet	Credits
Restor	ation:	380,062	373,895.993
Enhance	ement:	0	0.000
Preserv	vation:	82,949	6,552.498
Total Ripar	ian Buffer:	463,011	380,448.491
тот	AL NUTRIENT OI	FFSET MITIGAT	ION
Mitigatio	on Totals	Square Feet	Credits
Nutriont Offcot	Nitrogen:	0	0.000
Nuthent Onset.	Phosphorus:	0	0.000

1. The Randleman Lake buffer rules allow some ditches to be classified as subject according to 15A NCAC 02B .0250 (5)(a).

Table 9. Project Activity and Reporting History

Activity / Milestone	Mitigation Plan Proposed Date	Actual Date
Mitigation Plan Approved	NA	November 28, 2022
Parcel Protection	NA	Recorded November 11, 2022
Planting	Q1 2023	February 6, 2023
As-built Data Collection	Q1 2023	February 15, 2023
Construction Completion Walkthrough	NA	February 6, 2023
As-built Report Submittal	NA	March 2023
Year 1-5 Monitoring	Q4 2023 - 2027	On schedule

### Table 10: Project Contact

	Firm	POC & Address
Full Delivery Provider	Restoration Systems, LLC	1101 Haynes Street, Suite 211 Raleigh, North Carolina 27604 John Preyer 919.755.9490
Designer/Permitting:	Restoration Systems, LLC	Raymond Holz: 919.755.9490 1101 Haynes Street, Suite 211 Raleigh, North Carolina 27604
Planting Contractor:	Restoration Systems, LLC	Josh Merritt: 919.755.9490 1101 Haynes Street, Suite 211 Raleigh, North Carolina 27604
Seeding Contractor:	Restoration Systems, LLC	Matthew Harrell: 919.755.9490 1101 Haynes Street, Suite 211 Raleigh, North Carolina 27604
Nursery Stock Suppliers:	Superior Trees, Inc. & Native Forest Nursery	1.888.888.7158
Baseline Data Collection	Axiom Environmental, Inc.	Grant Lewis; 919.215.1693 218 Snow Ave. Raleigh, NC 27603
Vegetation Monitoring:	Axiom Environmental, Inc.	Grant Lewis; 919.215.1693 218 Snow Ave. Raleigh, NC 27603

### Table 11: Project Baseline Information & Attributes

Project InformationProject NameCoor IslandCountyWayneProject Area (acres)11.52Project Coordinates (latitude and longitude)35.3858°, -78.1197° (NAD83/WGS84)Project Watershed Summary InformationPhysiographic ProvinceSoutheastern PlainRiver BasinNeuse									
Project Name		Coor Island							
County		Wayne							
Project Area (acres)		11.52							
Project Coordinates (latitude an	d longitude)	35.3858º, -78.1197º (NAD83/	/WGS84)						
	ed Summary Information								
Physiographic Province		Southeastern Plain							
River Basin		Neuse							
USGS Hydrologic Unit 8-digit	03020201	USGS Hydrologic Unit 14-digit	03020201200030						
DWR Sub-basin		03-04-12							
Project Drainage Area, Total Out	tfall (acres)	0.4 square miles							
Project Drainage Area Percentag Area	ge of Impervious	<5%							

### Appendix B: Project Photos and Baseline Vegetation Data

Construction and Planting Photos Table 12 - Baseline Vegetation Vegetation Plot Photos 1-8



Photo 1: Mixing Bareroots Prior to Planting. February 6, 2023

Photo 2: Planting Bareroots. February 6, 2023





Photo 4: Planting Bareroots. February 6, 2023



Photo 3: Planting Bareroots. February 6, 2023



Photo 5: Planting Bareroots. February 6, 2023

### EEP Project Code 23008. Project Name: Coor Island

												(	Curren	t Plot D	ata (M)	/0 2023	3)										Anr	ual Me	ans
			230	08-01-0	0001	230	08-01-0	0002	230	08-01-0	0003	230	08-01-0	0004	230	08-01-0	0005	230	08-01-0	006	230	08-01-0	0007	230	008-01-0	800	M	YO (202	.3)
Scientific Name	Common Name	Species Type	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	Т	PnoLS	P-all	т
Betula nigra	river birch	Tree										3	3	3	2	2	2 2	1	1	1							6	6	6
Carya cordiformis	bitternut hickory	Tree	1	1	1							1	1	1										1	. 1	1	. 3	3	3
Diospyros virginiana	common persimmon	Tree	4	4	4							1	1	1							6	6	6	5 1	. 1	1	. 12	12	12
Fraxinus pennsylvanica	green ash	Tree				2	2	2													2	2	2	2			4	4	4
Liriodendron tulipifera	tuliptree	Tree	1	1	1	2	2	2				3	3	3													6	6	6
Nyssa sylvatica	blackgum	Tree	4	4	4	5	5	5	1	1	1	2	2	2	7	7	' 7				2	2	2	2			21	21	21
Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	Tree	1	1	1				1	1	1										1	1	1	L 2	2 2	2	. 5	5	5
Quercus	oak	Tree							1	1	1				3	3	3 3	10	10	10	2	2	2	2 12	12	12	. 28	28	28
Quercus michauxii	swamp chestnut oak	Tree	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1																4	4	4
Quercus nigra	water oak	Tree				3	3	3	5	5	5							3	3	3							11	11	11
Quercus phellos	willow oak	Tree	1	1	1				3	3	3							4	4	4				1	. 1	1	. 9	9	9
Sambucus nigra	European black elderb	Shrub				1	1	1													2	2	2	2			3	3	3
Ulmus americana	American elm	Tree	2	2	2	1	1	1										1	1	1	2	2	2	2			6	6	6
		Stem count	16	16	16	15	15	15	12	12	12	10	10	10	12	12	2 12	19	19	19	17	17	17	7 17	17	17	118	118	118
		size (ares)	-	1	-		1	-		1	-		1	-		1	-		1			1	-		1	-	_	8	
		size (ACRES)		0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.20	
		Species count	8	8	8	7	7	7	6	6	6	5	5	5	3	3	3 3	5	5	5	7	7	7	7 5	5 5	5	13	13	13
	S	Stems per ACRE	647.5	647.5	647.5	607	607	607	485.6	485.6	485.6	404.7	404.7	404.7	485.6	485.6	485.6	768.9	768.9	768.9	688	688	688	688	688	688	596.9	596.9	596.9

Coor Island MY0 (2023) Vegetation Monitoring Photographs (February 2023)

















### Appendix C: Agency Letters/Correspondence

DWR Stream Determination Letter, March 4, 2021 DWR Site Viability Letter, April 16, 2021 FEMA Floodplain Checklist



March 4, 2021

E and S Wayne Farms, LLC 4216 White Kestrel Drive Raleigh, NC 27616

2021 0021 v1 Wayne County

Wayne County

Subject: On-Site Determination for Applicability to Neuse Riparian Buffer Rules (15A NCAC 02B .0714)

Subject Property/ Project Name: Coor Island Mitigation Site

Address/Location: (No Number) Neuse Island Lane, Goldsboro

Stream(s) Evaluated: (1) - UT to Half Mile Branch

Determination Date: January 21, 2021

Staff: Allen Stewart

Determination Type:	
Buffer:	Stream:
X - Neuse (15A NCAC 02B .0714)	X - Intermittent/Perennial Determination
- Tar-Pamlico (15A NCAC 02B .0734	
<ul> <li>Jordan (15A NCAC 02B .0014)</li> <li>Jordan (15A NCAC 02B .0267) (governmental and/or interjurisdictional projects)</li> </ul>	
- Randleman (15A NCAC 02B .0724)	
- Goose Creek (15A NCAC 02B .06050608)	

Stream	E/I/P*	Not Subject	Subject	Start@	Stop@	Soil Survey	USGS Topo
UT to Half Mile Branch	Р		х	35.385270, -78.123396	35.384778, -78.114888	Х	Х

\*Ephemeral / Intermittent / Perennial

To: E and S Wayne Farms LLC,

The Division of Water Resources has determined that the stream listed above and included on the attached map has been located on the most recent published (1974) NRCS Soil Survey of Wayne County, North Carolina and/or the most recent copy of the 2019 Princeton USGS Topographic map at a 1:24,000 scale and evaluated for applicability to the Neuse Riparian Buffer Rule. For Each stream that is checked "Not Subject" it has been determined to not be at least intermittent or not present on the property. Streams that are checked "Subject" have been mapped on (1974) NRCS Soil Survey and/or USGS Topographic map 1:24,000, located on the property and possess characteristics that qualify them to be at least intermittent streams. There may be other streams or features located on the property that do not appear on the maps referenced above but may be considered jurisdictional according to the US Army Corps of Engineers and subject to the Clean Water Act.

This on-site determination shall expire five (5) years from the date of this letter. Landowners or affected parties that dispute a determination made by the DWR may request a determination by the Director. An appeal request must be made within sixty (60) calendar days of date of this letter to the Director in writing.

If sending via US Postal Service: c/o Paul Wojoski DWR – 401 & Buffer Permitting Unit 1617 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-1617 If sending via delivery service (UPS, FedEx, etc.): c/o Paul Wojoski DWR – 401 & Buffer Permitting Unit 512 N. Salisbury Street Raleigh, NC 27604



North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality | Division of Water Resources Washington Regional Office | 943 Washington Square Mall | Washington, North Carolina 27889 252.946.6481 This determination is final and binding as detailed above unless an appeal is requested within sixty (60) days.

This determination only addresses the applicability to the buffer rules and does not approve any activity within the buffers or waters. The project may require a Section 404/401 Permit for the proposed activity. Any inquiries regarding applicability to the Clean Water Act should be directed to the US Army Corps of Engineers Raleigh Regulatory Field Office at (919)-554-4884 Ext. 22.

If you have questions regarding this determination, please feel free to contact Allen Stewart at (252) 946-6481.

Sincerely,

### Robert Tankard

Robert Tankard, Assistant Regional Supervisor Water Quality Regional Operations Section Division of Water Resources, NCDEQ

cc: WaRO DWR File Copy/LASERFICHE

Raymond Holz, Restoration Systems LLC, rholz@restorationsystems.com Katie Merritt, NCDWR 401 & Buffer Permitting Branch, Katie.Merritt@ncdenr.gov Samantha Dailey, US Army Corps of Engineers Raleigh Regulatory Field Office, samantha.j.dailey@usace.army.mil



ROY COOPER Governor DIONNE DELLI-GATTI Secretary S. DANIEL SMITH Director



April 16, 2021

Raymond Holz Restoration Systems, LLC (via electronic mail: rholz@restorationsystems.com )

Re: Site Viability for Buffer Mitigation & Nutrient Offset – Coor Island Site Off Neuse Island Lane, Goldsboro (near 35.386634, -78.116390) Neuse 03020201 Wayne County

Dear Mr. Holz,

On December 11, 2020, Katie Merritt, with the Division of Water Resources (DWR), received a request from you on behalf of Restoration Systems, LLC (RS) for a site visit near the above-referenced site in the Neuse River Basin within the 8-digit Hydrologic Unit Code 03020201. The site visit was to determine the potential for riparian buffer mitigation and nutrient offset within a proposed conservation easement boundary, which is more accurately depicted in the attached map labeled "Figure 1-Existing Conditions" (Figure 1) prepared by RS. The proposed easement boundary in Figure 1, includes all riparian areas intended to be proposed as part of a full-delivery project for the Division of Mitigation Services (RFP #16-20200402) as well as a private mitigation bank project by RS. On March 24, 2021, Ms. Merritt performed a site assessment of the subject site. Staff with RS were also present.

Ms. Merritt's evaluation of the features onsite and their associated mitigation determination for the riparian areas are provided in the table below. This evaluation was made from Top of Bank (TOB) and landward 200' from each feature for buffer mitigation pursuant to 15A NCAC 02B .0295 (effective November 1, 2015) and for nutrient offset credits pursuant to 15A NCAC 02B .0703.



North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality | Division of Water Resources 512 North Salisbury Street | 1617 Mail Service Center | Raleigh, North Carolina 27699-1617 919.707.9000

<u>Feature</u>	<u>Classification</u> <u>onsite</u>	<u><sup>1</sup>Subject</u> <u>to</u> <u>Buffer</u> <u>Rule</u>	<u>Riparian Land uses</u> adjacent to Feature <u>(0-200')</u>	<u>Buffer</u> <u>Credit</u> <u>Viable</u>	<u><sup>3</sup>Nutrient</u> <u>Offset</u> <u>Viable</u>	4.5 Mitigation Type Determination w/in riparian areas
UT to Half M ile Branch	Stream	Yes	Combination of non- forested agricultural fields with mature forest Agricultural land uses not present along entire reach during baseline period 1991-1995 (see map) Neuse buffers (Zone 1 & Zone 2) timbered & cleared between 1999-2004 (see map) post buffer-protection rules cannot be used for crediting (area not shown within the proposed project boundary but was assessed)	<sup>2</sup> Yes (except within certain areas)	Yes (non- forested ag fields also not forested during baseline)	Non-forested fields - <b>Restoration Site</b> per 15A NCAC 02B .0295 (n) Timbered & Cleared Neuse Buffer (Zone 1 & Zone 2)- no credit Timbered & Cleared areas (beyond the Neuse Buffer) – <b>Restoration Site</b> per 15ANCAC 02B .0295 (n) for buffer credit only Forested Areas – <b>Preservation Site</b> per 15A NCAC 02B .0295 (o)(5)
A	Ditch >3'	No	Non-forested agricultural fields & partially located within a DOT Right Of Way (ROW)	No	Yes	Restoration Site per 15A NCAC 02B .0295 (n) <u>Note:</u> No credits are allowed within the DOT R.O.W
В	Ditch <3' depth	No	Non-forested agricultural fields and partially located within a DOT Right Of Way (ROW)	*see note	Yes	Restoration Site per 15A NCAC 02B .0295 (o)(8) *Buffer Mitigation Note - Assessment concludes the ditch meets 15A NCAC 02B.0295 (o)(8) (A, B, C, D & E). More information is required to be provided in a mitigation plan for complete assessment. See rule. <u>Note:</u> No credits are allowed within the DOT R.O.W
С	Ditch >3' depth	No	Right Bank – non-forested agricultural fields and partially located within a DOT Right Of Way (ROW) Left bank - Agricultural land uses not present adjacent to entire reach during baseline period 1991-1995 (see map)	No	Yes (on right side only)	Restoration Site per 15A NCAC 02B .0295 (n) Fields forested during baseline – no credit <u>Note:</u> No credits are allowed within the DOT R.O.W

<u>Feature</u>	<u>Classification</u> <u>onsite</u>	<sup>1</sup> Subject <u>to</u> <u>Buffer</u> <u>Rule</u>	<u>Riparian Land uses</u> <u>adjacent to Feature</u> <u>(0-200')</u>	<u>Buffer</u> <u>Credit</u> <u>Viable</u>	<u><sup>3</sup>Nutrient</u> <u>Offset</u> <u>Viable</u>	<sup>4,5</sup> Mitigation Type Determination w/in riparian areas
D	Ditch >3' depth	No	Left Bank - non-forested agricultural fields and partially located within a DOT Right Of Way (ROW) Right Bank - Agricultural land uses not present adjacent to entire reach during baseline period 1991-1995 (see map)	No	Yes (on left side only)	Restoration Site per 15A NCAC 02B .0295 (n) Fields forested during baseline – no credit <u>Note:</u> No credits are allowed within the DOT R.O.W
Е	Ditch	No	Not assessed	N/A	N/A	Not assessed

Subjectivity calls for the features were determined by DWR in correspondence dated M arch 4, 2021 (ID# 2021-0021) using the 1:24,000 scale quadrangle topographic map prepared by USGS and the most recent printed version of the soil survey map prepared by the NRCS.

<sup>2</sup>The area of preservation credit within a buffer mitigation site shall comprise of no more than 25 percent (25%) of the total area of buffer mitigation per 15A NCAC 0295 (o)(5) and 15A NCAC 0295 (o)(4). Site cannot be a Preservation Only site to comply with this rule.

<sup>3</sup>NC Division of Water Resources - Methodology and Calculations for determining Nutrient Reductions associated with Riparian Buffer Establishment

<sup>4</sup> Determinations made for this Site are determined based on the proposal provided in maps and figures submitted with the request.

<sup>5</sup> All features proposed for buffer mitigation or nutrient offset, must have a planted conservation easement established that includes the tops of channel banks when being measured perpendicular and landward from the banks, even if no credit is viable within that riparian area.

<sup>6</sup>The area of the mitigation site on ephemeral channels shall comprise no more than 25 percent (25%) of the total area of buffer mitigation per 15A NCAC 02B .0295 (o)(7).

Determinations provided in the table above were based on the proposed conservation easement boundaries depicted in Figure 1 for the full-delivery mitigation site and the private mitigation bank site. The two easement boundaries are contiguous, and thus, the approval of the private mitigation bank site will be dependent on the approval and implementation of the full-delivery mitigation site. The map representing the proposal for the site is attached to this letter and is initialed by Ms. Merritt on April 13, 2021. Substantial changes to the proposed easement boundaries could affect the site's potential to generate buffer mitigation and nutrient offset credits.

This letter does not constitute an approval of this Site to generate buffer and nutrient offset credits. Pursuant to 15A NCAC 02B .0295, a mitigation proposal <u>and</u> a mitigation plan shall be submitted to DWR for written approval **prior** to conducting any mitigation activities in riparian areas and/or surface waters for buffer mitigation credit. Pursuant to 15A NCAC 02B .0703, a proposal regarding a proposed nutrient load-reducing measure for nutrient offset credit shall be submitted to DWR for approval prior to any mitigation activities in riparian areas and/or surface waters.

All vegetative plantings, performance criteria and other mitigation requirements for riparian restoration, enhancement and preservation must follow the requirements in 15A NCAC 02B .0295 to be eligible for buffer and/or nutrient offset mitigation credits. For any areas depicted as not being viable for nutrient offset credit above, one could propose a different measure, along with supporting calculations and sufficient detail to support estimates of load reduction, for review by the DWR to determine viability for nutrient offset in accordance with 15A NCAC 02B .0703.

This viability assessment will expire on April 16, 2023 or upon approval of a mitigation plan by the DWR, whichever comes first. This letter should be provided in any nutrient offset, buffer, stream or wetland mitigation plan for this Site.

Please contact Katie Merritt at (919) 707-3637 if you have any questions regarding this correspondence.

Sincerely,

DocuSigned by: Paul Wojoski -949D91BA53EF4E0...

Paul Wojoski, Supervisor 401 and Buffer Permitting Branch

*PW/kym* Attachments: "Figure 1 – Existing Conditions"

cc: File Copy (Katie Merritt)





# **DMS Floodplain Requirements Checklist**

This form was developed by the National Flood Insurance program, NC Floodplain Mapping program and the Division of Mitigation Services (DMS) to be filled for all DMS projects. The form is intended to summarize the floodplain requirements during the design phase of the projects. The form should be submitted to the Local Floodplain Administrator with three copies submitted to NFIP (attn. State NFIP Engineer), NC Floodplain Mapping Unit (attn. State NFIP Coordinator) and NC Ecosystem Enhancement Program.

Name of project:	Coor Island Site	
Name if stream or feature:	UT To Half Mile Branch	  
County:	Wayne	
Name of river basin:	Neuse	
Is project urban or rural?	Rural	 
Name of Jurisdictional municipality/county:	Wayne County	 
DFIRM panel number for entire site:	Panel 3720256800K (eff. 06/20/2018)	 
Consultant name:	Matthew Harrell Restoration Systems, LLC	
Phone number:	252-299-1655	
Address:	1101 Haynes St. Suite 211 Raleigh, NC 27607	-

### **Project Location**

## **Design Information**

Provide a general description of project (one paragraph). Include project limits on a reference orthophotograph at a scale of 1" = 500". – Project Figures Attached

No work is proposed within the FEMA regulated floodplain. A project description is included in the cover letter.

Floodplain Information				• • •	
Is project located in a Special Flood Hazard Area (SFHA)?					
← Yes	© No	The lower reaches			
If project is lo	cated in a SFHA, check how	w it was determined:			
Redelineatio	n				
□ Detailed Stu	dy				
Limited Det	ail Study				
☐ Approximat	e Study				
□ Don't know					
List flood zon	e designation:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•	
Check if appli	es:				
AE Zone	· · · ·				
🖲 Floo	odway				
C Nor	-Encroachment				
C Nor	e	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
A Zone					
C Loc	al Setbacks Required				
C No	Local Setbacks Required				
If local setbacks are required, list how many feet:					
Does proposed encroachment	l channel boundary encroac /setbacks?	h outside floodway/non-		·	
C Yes	6 No				
Land Acquisit	ion (Check)				
State owner	(fee simple)				

### Conservation easment (Design Bid Build)

### I ⊂ Conservation Easement (Full Delivery Project)

Note: if the project property is state-owned, then all requirements should be addressed to the Department of Administration, State Construction Office (attn: Herbert Neily, (919) 807-4101)

Is community/county participating in the NFIP program?

C No

• Yes

Note: if community is not participating, then all requirements should be addressed to NFIP (attn: State NFIP Engineer, (919) 715-8000

Name of Local Floodplain Administrator: Berry Gray Phone Number: 919-731-1650

### **Floodplain Requirements**

This section to be filled by designer/applicant following verification with the LFPA

☐ No Rise

☐ Letter of Map Revision

Conditional Letter of Map Revision

☐ Other Requirements

List other requirements:

Comments:

Name:	Berry Gray
Title:	Planning Directur

Signature: 34Date: 8/9/21



#### **T**A

#### SEE FIS REPORT FOR ZONE DESCRIPTIONS AND INDEX MAP THE INFORMATION DEPICTED ON THIS MAP AND SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION ARE ALSO AVAILABLE IN DIGITAL FORMAT AT HTTP://FRIS.NC.GOV/FRIS

Without Base Flood Elevation (BFE) SPECIAL FLOOD Regulatory Floodway HAZARD AREAS REAL AL

With BFE or Depth Zone AE, AO, AH, VE, AR

0.2% Annual Chance Flood Hazard, Areas of 1% Annual Chance Flood with Average Depth Less Than One Foot or With Drainage Areas of Less Than One Square Mile Zone X Future Conditions 1% Annual Chance Flood Hazard Zone X Area with Reduced Flood Risk due to Levee See Notes Zone X

OTHER AREAS OF FLOOD HAZARD

OTHER AREAS Areas Determined to be Outside the 0.2% Annual Chance Floodplain Zone X Channel, Culvert, or Storm Sewer

GENERAL STRUCTURES

#### Accredited or Provisionally Accredited Levee, Dike, or Floodwall Non-accredited Levee, Dike, or Floodwall $\rm BM5510_{\,\times}$ $\,$ North Carolina Geodetic Survey bench mark BM5510 & National Geodetic Survey bench mark BM5510 Contractor Est. NCFMP Survey bench mark (012)-18-2- Cross Sections with 1% Annual Chance Water Surface Elevation (BFE)

(8) ---- Coastal Transect ---- Coastal Transect Baseline

# **Profile Baseline**

Hydrographic Feature OTHER FEATURES Limit of Study Jurisdiction Boundary

#### NOTES TO USERS

For information and questions about this map, available products associated with this FIRM including historic versions of this FIRM, how to order products or the National Flood Insurance Program in general, pieze call the FEAM Map Information eXchange at 1-37-FEAM.MAP (1-677-336-2827) or sist the FEAM Map Service Center website at http://msc.fema.gov. An accompanying Flood Insurance Study report, Letter of Map Revision (LOMR) or Letter of Map Arendmert (LOMA) revising portions or this panel, and flagita versions of the FIRM may be available. Visit the North Carolina Floodplain Mapping Program website at http://www.nctloodma or contact the FEAM Map Service Center.

Communities annexing land on adjacent FIRM panels must obtain a current copy of the adjacent panel as well as the current FIRM Index. These may be ordered directly from the Map Service Center at the number listed above.

unity and countywide map dates refer to the Flood Insurance Study report for this jurisdic

To determine if flood insurance is available in the community, contact your insurance agent or call the National Flood insurance Program at 1-800-638-6820.

Piood Insurance Program at 1400-03486820. Flood Insurance Study (FIS) means an examination, evaluation, and determination of flood hazards, corresponding water surface elevations, flood hazard risk zones, and other flood data in a community issued by the North Carolina Floodpian Mapping Program (NCPHR). The Flood Insurance Study (FIS) is comprised of the following products used together: the Digital Flood Hazard Database, the Water Surface Elevation Rasters, the digitally derived, autogenerated Flood Insurance Rastwork Plood Insurance Study (FIS) accommunity. This report contains detailed flood elevation data, data bases and FIRM Indices. When a flood study is to its FIRM a provided in digital format by the NCFMP. Base map information shown on this FIRM was provided in digital Format by the NCFMP. The surface Mortenator, carbo details and a subjective and the surface available in the digital FLOOD detabase and in the Technical Support Data Notebook (TSDN).

ACCREDITED LEVEE NOTES TO USERS if an accredited levee note appears on this panel check with your community to obtain more information, such as the estimated level of protection provided (which may exceed the topercent-annu-level) and Emergency Action Pline, on the levee system(s) shows as providing protect To mitigate food risk in residual risk areas, property owners and residents are encouraged to consider flood insurance and floodprofing or other protective messures. For more information on flood insurance, interested parties should visk the FEMA Website at http://www.fema.gov/businessinfp/index.shtm.

permissionous vais the FEMA Vecelate at http://www.fema.gov/fbuilness/info/index.shim. PROVISIONALLY ACCREDITED LEVER NOTES TO USERS: If a Provisionally Accredited Levee (PAL) note appears on this pain, check with you to aca community to obtain more information, such as the estimated level of were system(s) about as providing protection. To maintain accreditation, the twee owner or community is required to submit the data and documentation more information. Such as the restinated level (PAL) provided indicates the level system does not comply with Section 55.10 of the APIP equilations. If the community or owner does not provide the necessary data and documentation or if the data and documentation residual init areas, property context and restines are encouraged to consider flood insurance, and floodproring or other protective measures. For more information on tood insurance, interested parties should visit the FEMA Website at http://www.fema.gov/business/nfo/index.shim.

LIMIT OF MODERATE WAVE ACTION NOTES TO USERS: For some cosstal flooding zones the AE Zone category has been divided by a Limit of Moderate Wave Action (LIMWA). The LAWNA ingresents the approximate individual that of the 15-bob treation wave. The effects of wave hazards between the VE Zone and the LIMWA (or between the shortline and the LIMWA for areas where VE Zones are not identified) will be similar to, but less server than those in the VE Zone.

#### - Limit of Moderate Wave Action (LiMWA)

#### COASTAL BARRIER RESOURCES SYSTEM (CBRS) NOTE

CBRS Area

This map may include approximate boundaries of the CBRS for informational purposes only. Flood insurance is not available within CBRS areas for structures that are newly built or substantially improved on or after the date(s) indicated on the map. For more information see http://www.les.gov/cbra.the FIS Report, or call the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Customer 3 area consolid and an URL and URL and

Otherwise Protected Area



#### PANEL LOCATOR





VERSION NUMBER 2.3.3.2 MAP NUMBER 3720256800K MAP REVISED June 20, 2018

к