

**ELLINGTON BRANCH STREAM RESTORATION SITE
FULL DELIVERY PROJECT
WARREN COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA**

EEP Project No. 16-D06045

Final Monitoring Report MY5 (Year 2012)



Prepared for:



**NC Department of Environment and Natural Resources
Ecosystem Enhancement Program
1652 Mail Service Center
Raleigh, NC 27699-1652**


December 2012

Prepared by:



1151 SE Cary Parkway, Suite 101
Cary, NC 27518

Under Contract With:



Sungate Design Group, P.A.
915 Jones Franklin Road
Raleigh, NC 27606

Contact Information:

G. Lane Sauls Jr. – Principal

(919) 557-0929

lsauls@ecologicaleng.com

Contract No. D06045-A between NCDENR and Sungate Design Group, PA was signed on June 21, 2006. All associated EEP deliverables, including monitoring reports, follow the templates required during that time period.

SECTION III. TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
SECTION I. TITLE PAGE	
SECTION II. SIGNATURE PAGE	
SECTION III. TABLE OF CONTENTS	1
SECTION IV. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	3
Vegetation Monitoring	3
Stream Restoration Monitoring	3
SECTION V. PROJECT BACKGROUND	5
A. Location and Setting	5
B. Mitigation Structure and Objectives.....	5
C. Project History and Background	6
D. Monitoring Plan View Drawings	9
SECTION VI. PROJECT CONDITION AND MONITORING RESULTS	12
A. Vegetation Assessment.....	12
1. Soil Data	12
2. Vegetative Problem Areas	12
3. Vegetative Problems Areas Plan View	13
4. Stem Counts.....	18
B. Stream Assessment.....	20
1. Procedural Items.....	20
2. Hydrologic Criteria	21
3. Bank Stability Assessment	21
4. Stream Problem Areas	22
5. Fixed Station Photographs.....	23
6. Visual Stability Assessment.....	24
7. Stream Qualitative Measures	25
SECTION VII. METHODOLOGY SECTION	35
TABLES	
Exhibit Table I. Project Structure Table	6
Exhibit Table II. Project Activity and Reporting History.....	6
Exhibit Table III. Project Contact Table	7
Exhibit Table IV. Project Background Table	7
Exhibit Table V. Preliminary Soil Data.....	12
Exhibit Table VI. Vegetative Problem Areas.....	13
Exhibit Table VII. Planted Stem Counts for Each Species Arranged by Plot.....	19
Exhibit Table VIII. Verification of Bankfull Events	21
Exhibit Table IX. BEHI and Sediment Export Estimates.....	22
Exhibit Table X. Stream Problem Areas	23
Exhibit Table XI. Categorical Stream Feature Visual Stability Assessment	24

Exhibit Table XII.	Baseline Morphology and Hydraulic Summary.....	26
Exhibit Table XIII.	Morphology and Hydraulic Monitoring Summary	29

FIGURES

Figure 1. Project Site Vicinity Map

APPENDIX A VEGETATION RAW DATA

1. Vegetation Raw Data
2. Vegetation Monitoring Plot Photograph Summary

APPENDIX B. RAINFALL DATA SUMMARY

APPENDIX C. GEOMORPHIC RAW DATA

1. Stream Visual Assessment Table
2. Monitoring Photograph Summary
3. Cross Section Plots and Raw Data Tables
4. Longitudinal Plots and Raw Data Tables
5. Pebble Count Plots and Raw Data Tables

SECTION IV. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Sungate Design Group, PA (Sungate) entered into a design/build (full delivery) contract with the NC Department of Environment and Natural Resources, Ecosystem Enhancement Program (EEP) on June 21, 2006 to provide 5,000 Stream Mitigation Units (SMUs) in the Roanoke River Basin. The Ellington Branch Stream Restoration Site, hereinafter referred to as the "Project Site," was selected to meet these overall obligations (Figure 1). Ecological Engineering, LLP (Ecological Engineering) is under contract with Sungate to perform the remaining monitoring requirements.

The Project Site is situated in Warren County, North Carolina and includes a portion of Ellington Branch and one of its unnamed tributaries. Ellington Branch is a second order, perennial stream originating approximately one-half mile upstream (south) of the project area. The unnamed tributary (UT) is a first order, perennial stream that converges with Ellington Branch from the west. The project was identified by Sungate in 2005 and selected for full delivery restoration by EEP based its location, attributes, existing condition and overall likelihood for success.

Vegetation Monitoring

Vegetation monitoring for Monitoring Year (MY) 5 was performed by determining density and survival of planted species, and individuals resulting from natural regeneration. Thirteen individual plot locations were randomly established during the as-built surveys. Each vegetation plot covers 100m² and is shaped in the form of a 10m x 10m square.

Vegetation success criteria for the stream riparian areas are based on a minimum survival of 260 planted stems per acre at the end of MY 5. Volunteer woody vegetation, although present all plots, was not included in the survivability calculations. Based on the MY 5 surveys, all plots exhibited surviving planted and transplanted species in excess of 324 planted stems per acre. The Project Site has met and exceeded the established success criteria for vegetation based on the survival of the planted species for Year 5 monitoring.

Stream Restoration Monitoring

Stream restoration success criteria for the two restored stream reaches were also met during the MY 5 monitoring assessment. No significant changes to the dimension, pattern, profile or bed material were observed. Location surveys of the constructed features were conducted to verify the performance of both channels. Surveys were performed to compare the six previously determined stream longitudinal profiles and the 23 permanent stream cross-sections with as-built and Year 1 through Year 4 monitoring data. A modified Wolman pebble count and assessment of the constructed features was also undertaken as part of Year 5 monitoring efforts.

Based on the interpreted data, both Ellington Branch and its UT remain stable. All of the structures are functioning as designed and bank erosion is non-existent. Drought conditions present during 2008, 2009 and 2011 continue to be factor effecting sediment transport at the Project Site. Ellington Branch was dry for the first half of 2008 while the UT maintained only a trickle of water. The same scenario occurred during the early summer months of 2009, particularly June and July. Portions of Ellington Branch were dry again during the summer of 2011. As a result, wetland and streamside vegetation has become established throughout portions of the bankfull channel area. This is very beneficial to streambank stabilization although possibly detrimental to sediment transport. Ecological Engineering will continue to closely monitor the effects of vegetation throughout these areas during the fall and winter of 2012.

Based on cross-section surveys, longitudinal profile surveys and visual observations, channel dimensions and profiles have only minimally adjusted as compared with MY 4 data. These adjustments are more obvious through data interpretations rather than visual observations. Morphological features along Ellington Branch and its UT appear intact. It is anticipated that these adjustments will continue as the result of natural channel equilibrium processes.

Bankfull events were recorded in 2008, 2009 and 2010. No additional bankfull events have been recorded since 2010. As per the USACE Draft Stream Mitigation Guidelines (2003), the project has successfully met the hydrology requirement of at least two bankfull events occurring in separate years within the monitoring period. Hydrological monitoring will continue throughout the monitoring period.

Bank stability assessments were conducted as part of the MY 3 and MY 5 monitoring requirements. Based on the existing conditions and the data collected, restoration activities have lowered sediment export rates by approximately 96 percent on Ellington Branch and approximately 99 percent on its unnamed tributary.

SECTION V. PROJECT BACKGROUND

A. Location and Setting

The Project Site is situated approximately four miles south of the Virginia/North Carolina state line in Warren County, North Carolina (Figure 1). SR 1200 (Drewry Road) is approximately 0.3 miles west of the project area, while SR 1221 (Culpepper Road) is approximately 0.2 miles to the east. It can be accessed by using the following directions from Exit 223 along Interstate 85:

- turn left (north) onto SR 1237 (Manson Road), travel approximately 2.5 miles;
- turn right (north) onto Drewry Road, travel approximately 3.0 miles; and
- turn right (east) onto Fleming Farm Road and proceed approximately ¼-mile past homestead and through gate.

Two streams, Ellington Branch and one of its unnamed tributaries, constitute the project. Ellington Branch is oriented in a south to north direction while its UT enters from the west. Both streams meet the NC Division of Water Quality (NCDWQ) perennial stream classification requirements.

B. Mitigation Structure and Objectives

Prior to restoration, Ellington Branch and its UT were severely degraded due to existing land uses and non-restricted cattle access. The existing stream banks on both channels were eroded and overall channel morphology was significantly altered. A total of 4,904 linear feet of existing stream channel was surveyed within the project area, specifically 4,051 linear feet along Ellington Branch and 853 linear feet along its UT.

The goals and objectives of the project were to ultimately create a continuous wooded stream corridor by restoring and vegetating the largest reach of disturbed channel and buffer along Ellington Branch. This in turn, would also improve the overall function and habitat associated with the stream channel and riparian areas. The restoration plan included restoration (dimension, pattern and profile parameters) of Ellington Branch and its UT, as well as the establishment and restoration of an active riparian buffer complex. In addition, the goals and objectives were also to restore the primary stream and buffer functions and values associated with nutrient removal and transformation, sediment reduction and retention, flood-flow attenuation, and wildlife (both aquatic and terrestrial) habitat. The Project Site provided an excellent opportunity to restore and preserve a substantial riparian zone on lands that were currently being utilized for pasture and cattle grazing.

Ellington Branch and its UT were restored with methodology consistent with the C stream type. According to Rosgen (1996), this stream type is a slightly entrenched, meandering, gravel dominated, riffle/pool channel with a well developed floodplain. C stream types have gentle gradients less than two percent, display a high width/depth ratio and exhibit sinuosities greater than 1.2. The riffle/pool sequence averages five to seven bankfull widths in length. Its associated stream banks are generally composed of unconsolidated, heterogeneous, non-cohesive, alluvial materials that are finer than the gravel-dominated bed material. Sediment supplies are generally moderate to high. This stream type is characterized by the presence of point bars and other depositional features (Rosgen, 1996). It was favored versus the E stream type since shear in the near bank region is greatly reduced, especially for newly constructed channels. Once the vegetation becomes established, the width/depth ratio may naturally reduce to the characteristic of an E stream type, which is a hydraulically efficient channel form that maintains a high sediment transport capacity.

According to as-built surveys completed during January 2008, a total of 5,063 linear feet of Ellington Branch and its UT were restored using natural channel design methods consistent with Priority Level II stream restoration protocols. This included 3,735 linear feet along Ellington Branch and 1,328 linear feet along its UT. Exhibit Table I denotes the achievements of the project.

Exhibit Table I. Project Structure Table					
Ellington Branch Stream Restoration (Project No. 16-D06045)					
Project Segment or Reach ID	Mitigation Type	Approach	Linear Footage	Stationing	Comment
Reach I – Ellington Br.	R	P2	1,934	10+00 to 29+34.0	Above Confluence with UT
Reach II – Ellington Br.	R	P2	1,801	29+34.0 to 47+35.0	Below Confluence with UT
Reach III – UT	R	P2	1,328	10+00 to 23+27.8	Entire Reach

R = Restoration
P2 = Priority Level II

Ecological benefits gained with the restoration of Ellington Branch and its UT include reduced nutrient loading, reduced sediment loading, improved habitat diversity (both terrestrial and aquatic) and improved water quality. By restricting cattle access and implementing riparian buffers along Ellington Branch and its UT, the project has reduced the overall amount of pollution (physical and chemical) leaving the Site and concentrating in the waters downstream. Restoration of the stream channels has also increased foraging and spawning habitat for fish, and other species requiring flowing water. The project provides an ecological uplift for the entire basin.

C. Project History and Background





The project is undergoing its fifth formal year of monitoring. Reporting and milestone history for the Project Site is provided in Exhibit Table II. Exhibit Table III provides contact information for all individuals responsible for implementation while relevant background information is provided in Exhibit Table IV.


Exhibit Table II. Project Activity and Reporting History			
Ellington Branch Stream Restoration (Project No. 16-D06045)			
Activity or Report	Scheduled Completion	Data Collection Complete	Actual Completion or Delivery
Restoration Plan	January 2007	November 2006	January 2007
Final Design (90%)	February 2007		February 2007
Construction	June 2007		May 2007
Temporary S&E Mix Applied	June 2007		May 2007
Permanent Seed Mix Applied	June 2007		May 2007
Bare Root Seedling Installation	December 2007		November 2007
Mitigation Plan/ As-Built (Year 0 Monitoring- baseline)	March 2008	January 2008	February 2008
Year 1 Monitoring	November 2008	October 2008	December 2008
Year 2 Monitoring	August 2009	August 2009	August 2009
Year 3 Monitoring	August 2010	July 2010	July 2010
Year 4 Monitoring	August 2011	August 2011	August 2011
Year 5 Monitoring	August 2012	July 2012	August 2012



Exhibit Table III. Project Contact Table Ellington Branch Stream Restoration (Project No. 16-D06045)	
Designer Ecological Engineering, LLP (current) Sungate Design Group, P.A. (previous)	Ms. Jenny S. Fleming, PE 1151 SE Cary Parkway, Suite 101, Cary, NC 27518 (919) 557-0929
Construction Contractor Shamrock Environmental Corporation	Mr. Robert Lucas P.O. Box 14987, Greensboro, NC 27415 (336) 375-1989
Planting Contractor Winstead's Reforestation	Mr. David Winstead 536 Jackson Road, Nashville, NC 27856 (252) 462-0305
Seeding Contractor Shamrock Environmental Corporation	Mr. Robert Lucas P.O. Box 14987, Greensboro, NC 27415 (336) 375-1989
Seed Mix Source	Mellow Marsh Farm, Inc. 1312 Woody Store Road, Siler City, NC 27344 (919) 742-1200
Nursery Stock Suppliers	ArborGen (International Paper) Mellow Marsh Farm, Inc. SC Supertree Nursery 1312 Woody Store Road 5594 Highway 38 South Siler City, NC 27344 Blenheim, SC 29516 (919) 742-1200 (843) 528-3203
Monitoring Performer	Ecological Engineering, LLP 128 Raleigh Street, Holly Springs, NC 27540 (919) 557-0929
Stream Monitoring POC	G. Lane Sauls Jr.
Vegetation Monitoring POC	G. Lane Sauls Jr.

Exhibit Table IV. Project Background Table Ellington Branch Stream Restoration (Project No. 16-D06045)	
Project County	Warren County
Drainage Area	1.1 sq. miles - Ellington Branch 0.1 sq. miles – Unnamed Tributary
Impervious Cover Estimate	Less than 5%
Stream Order	2 - Ellington Branch 1 – Unnamed Tributary
Physiographic Region	Piedmont
Ecoregion (Griffith and Omernik)	Northern Outer Piedmont
Rosgen Classification of As-built	C5 - Ellington Branch C5 – Unnamed Tributary
Cowardin Classification	RSB
Dominant Soil Types	Wedowee Sandy Loam
Reference Site ID	N/A
USGS HUC for Project and Reference	03010106
NCDWQ Sub-basin for Project and Reference	03-02-07
Any portion of any project segment 303d listed?	No
Any portion of any project segment upstream of a 303d listed segment.	Yes
Reason for 303d listing or stressor	Low DO, Sedimentation & Nutrients
Percent of project easement fenced	100%


The following pages depict the Monitoring Plan View drawings for Ellington Branch and its UT.





PROJECT NUMBER	SHEET NUMBER
EEP#16-D06045	2
PROJECT NAME	ELLINGTON BRANCH STREAM RESTORATION
COUNTY	WARREN
DATE	11/2008
 Ecological Engineering 128 Raleigh Street Holly Springs, NC 27540	
SHEET NAME	
MONITORING PLAN VIEW	




AS-BUILT LEGEND	
	BANKFULL THALWEG
	WATERS EDGE
	LIMITS OF BENCHING
	ROOT WAD
	STREAM PLUG
	CROSS SECTION
	ROCK CROSS VANE
	ROCK VANE
	LOG VANE
	CONSTRUCTED RIFFLE
	VEG. PLOT AREA



PROJECT NUMBER	SHEET NUMBER
EEP#16-D06045	3
PROJECT NAME	ELLINGTON BRANCH STREAM RESTORATION
COUNTY	WARREN
DATE	11-20-08
 Ecological Engineering 128 Raleigh Street Holly Springs, NC 27540	
SHEET NAME	
MONITORING PLAN VIEW	




AS-BUILT LEGEND			
	BANKFUL		ROCK CROSS VANE
	THALWEG		ROCK VANE
	WATERS EDGE		LOG VANE
	LIMITS OF BENCHING		CONSTRUCTED RIFFLE
	ROOT WAD		VEGETATION PLOT AREA
	STREAM PLUG		
	CROSS SECTION		





PROJECT NUMBER	SHEET NUMBER
EEP#16-D06045	4
PROJECT NAME	ELLINGTON BRANCH STREAM RESTORATION
COUNTY	WARREN
DATE	11-20-08

Ecological Engineering	
128 Raleigh Street	
Holly Springs, NC 27540	
SHEET NAME	
MONITORING PLAN VIEW	



AS-BUILT LEGEND	
	BANKFUL
	THALWEG
	WATERS EDGE
	LIMITS OF BENCHING
	ROOT WAD
	STREAM PLUG
	CROSS SECTION
	ROCK CROSS VANE
	ROCK VANE
	LOG VANE
	CONSTRUCTED RIFFLE
	VEGETATION PLOT AREA



SECTION VI. PROJECT CONDITION AND MONITORING RESULTS

A. Vegetation Assessment

1. Soil Data

Based on available mapping for Warren County (NRCS, 2006), Wedowee soils underlie the entire easement area associated with the Project Site. These soils range in slope from five to 25 percent, depending on their position in the landscape. The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) is currently in the process of remapping the county and this data was assembled based on mapping provided by the County Soil Scientist. This mapping is not yet available in a published format.

Wedowee soils are classified by the NRCS as clayey, kaolinitic, thermic Typic hapludults. These soils are deep, well drained, moderately permeable soils that formed in residuum from weathered acid crystalline rock of the Piedmont plateau. They occur on narrow sides of ridges with slopes ranging from 8 to 40 percent (Hicks, 1980). The typical pedon, taken approximately eight miles south of the project in Vance County, exhibits an O, Ap, Bt and C horizon. The O horizon varies up to nearly 2 inches in depth and consists primarily of organic material. The Ap horizon is approximately 7 inches in depth and consists of brown, sandy loam. The clayey Bt horizon is 10 to 24 inches in thickness. It is colored yellowish red and is made up of sandy clay. A B3 horizon exists, which is similar in color to the Bt horizon. Its texture is sandy clay loam, clay loam or loam. The C horizon is yellowish red, reddish yellow, pale brown or red saprolite that crushes to sandy loam or sandy clay loam (Hicks, 1980). Exhibit Table V depicts preliminary soil data.

Exhibit Table V. Preliminary Soil Data Ellington Branch Stream Restoration (Project No. 16-D06045)					
Series	Max Depth (in.)	% Clay on Surface	K	T	OM %
Wedowee sandy loam	72	0	0.24	2	0.5-1.5

2. Vegetative Problem Areas

Vegetative problem areas are defined as those areas either lacking vegetation or containing exotic vegetation and are generally categorized within the following categories: Bare Bank, Bare Bench, Bare Floodplain or Invasive Population. Based on the monitoring site assessment, no significant vegetation problem areas currently exist within the Project Site. There are however, isolated occurrences of invasive species. The occurrences consist mainly of scattered individuals, including fescue (*Festuca* sp.), Japanese grass or Nepalese browntop (*Microstegium vimineum*), cattail (*Typha latifolia*) and Chinese privet (*Ligustrum sinense*). These areas are shown on the drawing entitled Problem Areas Plan View. Exhibit Table VI summarizes the observations for 2012. No other features or issues were identified during the surveys.

Fescue was initially observed during the winter months of 2009 and early spring months of 2010 along several fence lines separating the Project Site from the adjacent pasture areas. Its establishment is the likely result of wind and down-slope dispersal from the adjacent pastures. Ecological Engineering conducted spot-treatments with herbicide during March 2010. Although the treatments were successful at the time, recent site investigations denote that fescue is still present in those areas. It is anticipated that the overall growth and establishment of fescue will be diminished once there is ample shade within the easement area.

Japanese grass or Nepalese browntop is present along the upstream portion of the UT, specifically in the vicinity of Cross Section #16 (Station Number 11+00). It has become established within the area either as a result from wind dispersal, bird dispersal or via soil disturbance. Additional shading is minimizing the spread of this species and the area in fact, appears to be decreasing in size. This area will continue to be monitored throughout the remainder of the monitoring period.





Cattails continue to exist in seven separate locales within the Project Site, specifically Station Numbers 16+25, 30+00, 31+75, 35+00 and 36+50 along Ellington Branch and Station Numbers 16+00 and 19+00 along the UT. The occurrences were all scattered and individual counts were minimal. It is apparent that the establishment of cattails is a result of wind and/or bird dispersal. No other cattails were observed. Low water levels and limited floodflows during 2008, 2009 and 2011 have allowed this species to become established. These areas do not appear to be increasing in density. They will be monitored until project closeout.

Chinese privet was observed in limited numbers throughout the project area. A notable increase in individuals was noted between the 2008 and 2009 growing seasons. The majority of the stems were spot treated during late April 2009. This treatment including lopping each stem and painting it with a concentrated systemic herbicide. This species was observed again in 2012. It will be spot treated prior to closeout.


Exhibit Table VI. Vegetative Problem Areas Ellington Branch Stream Restoration (Project No. 16-D06045)			
Feature/Issue	Station #/ Range	Probable Cause	Photo #
Bare Bank	N/A	N/A	N/A
Bare Bench	N/A	N/A	N/A
Bare Floodplain	N/A	N/A	N/A
Invasive/Exotic Populations	See Problem Area Plan View Drawing	Fescue: Surrounding seed sources (2008, 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012)	47, 48 & 49
	See Problem Area Plan View Drawing	Microstegium: upstream and surrounding seed sources (2008, 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012)	32
	See Problem Area Plan View Drawing	Cattails: Surrounding seed sources (2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012)	N/A
	See Problem Area Plan View Drawing	Chinese Privet: Upstream and surrounding seed sources (2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012)	N/A

3. Vegetative Problem Areas Plan View

The following plan view drawings depict the locations of the potential vegetative problem areas at the Project Site.





PROJECT NUMBER	SHEET NUMBER
EEP#16-D06045	2
PROJECT NAME	ELLINGTON BRANCH STREAM RESTORATION
COUNTY	WARREN
DATE	12-19-12




 1151 SE Cary Parkway, Suite 101 • Cary, NC 27518


SHEET NAME
PROBLEM AREAS PLAN VIEW



AS-BUILT LEGEND			
	BANKFULL		ROCK CROSS VANE
	THALWEG		ROCK VANE
	WATERS EDGE		LOG VANE
	LIMITS OF BENCHING		CONSTRUCTED RIFFLE
	ROOT WAD		STREAM PLUG
	CROSS SECTION		VEGETATION PLOT AREA



PROJECT NUMBER	SHEET NUMBER
EEP#16-D06045	3
PROJECT NAME	ELLINGTON BRANCH STREAM RESTORATION
COUNTY	WARREN
DATE	12-19-12




SHEET NAME
PROBLEM AREAS PLAN VIEW

BEAVER DAM
(REMOVED 2012)


BEAVER DAM
(REMOVED 2009)


CHINESE PRIVET
(TREATED 2009
TREATED 2012)

CHINESE PRIVET
(TREATED 2009
TREATED 2012)





AS-BUILT LEGEND			
	BANKFULL		ROCK CROSS VANE
	THALWEG		ROCK VANE
	WATERS EDGE		LOG VANE
	LIMITS OF BENCHING		CONSTRUCTED RIFFLE
	ROOT WAD		VEGETATION PLOT AREA
	STREAM PLUG		
	CROSS SECTION		





AS-BUILT LEGEND	
	BANKFULL
	THALWEG
	WATERS EDGE
	LIMITS OF BENCHING
	ROOT WAD
	STREAM PLUG
	CROSS SECTION
	ROCK CROSS VANE
	ROCK VANE
	LOG VANE
	CONSTRUCTED RIFFLE
	VEGETATION PLOT AREA



4. Stem Counts

Planted stem counts were conducted within 13 strategically placed 10 meter-square plots. The plots were located based on a representative sample of the entire area of disturbance. They are scattered throughout the project area in order to cover the majority of the habitat variations. The stem count procedure only applies to planted and transplanted woody vegetation. This vegetation is denoted by bio-degradable flagging, which is replaced every monitoring year.

According to initial planting counts, stem counts within each of the 13 plots ranged from approximately 1,053 to 1,215 individuals per acre. The high number planted was in anticipation of mortality via the ongoing drought conditions during the construction period. Monitoring counts for each plot are presented in Exhibit Table VII. As expected, mortality rates were heavy in the spring and summer months of 2008. These rates have lowered, as expected, between MYs 2, 3 and 4. The counts for MY 5 only depicted a decrease in four planted stems across all 13 plots.

Based on the results of the 2012 vegetation assessment, survivability counts only minimally decreased as compared with MY 4 counts and volunteer species continued to increase in both size and density. Stem counts ranged from a minimum of approximately 324 planted stems per acre in Vegetation Plot 1 to approximately 1,093 planted stems per acre in Vegetation Plot 8. All of the vegetation plots significantly exceeded the required minimum count number of 260 planted stems per acre. A complete breakdown of this information is provided in Appendix A-1. Photographs of each plot are presented in Appendix A-2.

Volunteer species, although not formally counted as part of these assessments, are numerous throughout the Vegetation Plots and the remainder of the Site. Common woody species observed were red maple (*Acer rubrum*), loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda*), river birch (*Betula nigra*), sycamore (*Platanus occidentalis*), sumac (*Rhus* sp.), tag alder (*Alnus serrulata*), black willow (*Salix nigra*), elderberry (*Sambucus canadensis*), sweetgum (*Liquidambar styraciflua*), tulip poplar (*Liriodendron tulipifera*) and eastern red cedar (*Juniperus virginiana*). During the early spring of 2012, a significant amount of young loblolly pine stems were removed via cutting in order to enhance growth opportunities for the existing hardwood species.

Exhibit Table VII. Planted Stem Counts For Each Species Arranged By Plot

Ellington Branch Stream Restoration (Project No. 16-D06045)

COMMON NAME	SCIENTIFIC NAME	PLANTED STEM COUNTS													Initial (2007) Totals	Year 1 (2008) Totals	Year 2 (2009) Totals	Year 3 (2010) Totals	Year 4 (2011) Totals	Year 5 (2012) Totals	Surviv. %			
		VP #1	VP #2	VP #3	VP #4	VP #5	VP #6	VP #7	VP #8	VP #9	VP #10	VP #11	VP #12	VP #13										
Tag Alder	<i>Alnus serrulata</i>			1											1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	100	
Paw Paw	<i>Asimina triloba</i>															14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
River birch	<i>Betula nigra</i>	6	7	3	1					23	7	20	13		86	84	82	81	81	80	80	93		
Sugarberry	<i>Celtis laevigata</i>														11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Red bud	<i>Cercis canadensis</i>	1													11	7	7	2	2	2	1	9	0	
Flowering dogwood	<i>Cornus florida</i>														1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Persimmon	<i>Diospyros virginiana</i>							2							24	15	9	5	2	2	2	8	0	
Green ash	<i>Fraxinus pennsylvanica</i>	2	3	3				15	23				8	59	56	53	52	52	52	51	51	86		
Blackgum	<i>Nyssa sylvatica</i>			4										13	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0		
Sourwood	<i>Oxydendrum arboretum</i>			4						1				15	13	13	11	11	5	5	5	33		
Sycamore	<i>Platanus occidentalis</i>	1	1	4	1						6	3		36	32	30	27	25	24	24	67			
White oak	<i>Quercus alba</i>						4	1						11	7	7	7	7	6	6	6	55		
Swamp chestnut oa	<i>Quercus michauxii</i>	3	1	7	6	15							2	51	46	41	39	36	36	36	71			
Willow oak	<i>Quercus phellos</i>	2			5			1	1					26	25	22	22	22	22	22	22	85		
Black willow	<i>Salix nigra</i>			1										1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	100		
	Totals														360	288	267	248	233	229	229	229		
Total Number of Individuals Planted		26	26	30	26	26	30	30	30	30	26	28	30	26	360	288	267	248	233	229	229			
Total Number of Individuals Observed (2008)		100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100			
Total Number of Individuals Observed (2009)		13	19	28	13	17	21	29	29	29	26	24	24	14	13	13	13	11	11	11	11			
Total Number of Individuals Observed (2010)		10	18	19	10	16	18	28	28	25	15	24	23	14	11	11	11	11	11	11	11			
Total Number of Individuals Observed (2011)		8	16	17	9	15	16	24	28	24	15	24	23	14	11	11	11	11	11	11	11			
Total Number of Individuals Observed (2012)		8	15	17	9	15	16	24	27	24	15	23	22	14	11	11	11	11	11	11	11			
Total Number of Individuals Observed (2013)		100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100			
Plot Size (square meters)		1053	1053	1215	1053	1053	1215	1215	1215	1215	1053	1134	1215	1053	1053	1053	1053	1053	1053	1053	1053			
Stems/Acre (Initial)		567	891	1215	648	729	891	1174	1174	1174	607	972	1012	607	607	607	607	607	607	607	607			
Stems/Acre (2008)		526	769	1134	526	688	850	1174	1174	1053	607	972	972	567	567	567	567	567	567	567	567			
Stems/Acre (2009)		405	729	769	405	648	729	1134	1134	1012	607	972	931	567	567	567	567	567	567	567	567			
Stems/Acre (2010)		324	648	688	364	607	648	972	1134	972	607	972	931	567	567	567	567	567	567	567	567			
Stems/Acre (2011)		324	607	688	364	607	648	972	1093	972	607	931	891	567	567	567	567	567	567	567	567			
Stems/Acre (2012)		324	607	688	364	607	648	972	1093	972	607	931	891	567	567	567	567	567	567	567	567			

Note: All stem counts are based on planted stems.

Required Minimum Survival per Acre is 320 Stems
 Required Minimum Survival per Acre is 320 Stems
 Required Minimum Survival per Acre is 320 Stems
 Required Minimum Survival per Acre is 288 Stems
 Required Minimum Survival per Acre is 260 Stems

B. Stream Assessment

1. Procedural Items

Morphological criteria, including dimension and profile were assessed using the recommended procedures in the USACE Draft Stream Mitigation Guidelines (2003) document.

Cross sections were established in the vicinity of every 20 bankfull widths along both Ellington Branch and its unnamed tributary. This resulted in a total of 15 cross sections along Ellington Branch and eight cross sections along its unnamed tributary. Average distances between each cross section were approximately 250 linear feet along Ellington Branch and 150 linear feet along the unnamed tributary. Permanent cross sections were established along either existing riffle or pool locations. The chart below serves as a legend for each cross section. More detailed information is provided throughout the remainder of the report.

Ellington Branch			UT to Ellington Branch		
Cross Section Number	Morphologic Parameter	Station Number	Cross Section Number	Morphologic Parameter	Station Number
1	Pool	10+67	16	Pool	10+95
2	Riffle	13+85	17	Riffle	12+35
3	Pool	16+25	18	Pool	13+75
4	Riffle	18+74	19	Riffle	15+39
5	Pool	21+47	20	Pool	16+82
6	Riffle	25+04	21	Riffle	18+64
7	Riffle	28+23	22	Pool	19+73
8	Pool	29+74	23	Riffle	22+36
9	Pool	31+88			
10	Riffle	34+10			
11	Pool	36+55			
12	Riffle	38+49			
13	Pool	40+99			
14	Riffle	44+22			
15	Pool	46+79			

Restoration activities at the Project Site exceeded 3,000 linear feet. According to USACE (2003), profile surveys are to be conducted on only 3,000 linear feet or 30% of the project total, whichever greater. Ecological Engineering established six total profile segments to be annually reviewed as part of this monitoring assessment. Two of the segments are situated along Ellington Branch upstream of its confluence with the UT, two are downstream and two are along the UT. Lengths vary from approximately 300 to 800 feet. A legend is provided for each profile segment in the chart below.

Segment	Length	Location
Profile Reach 1	655 feet	Ellington Branch Stations 10+20 to 16+75 (upstream of confluence with UT)
Profile Reach 2	534 feet	Ellington Branch Stations 18+62 to 23+96 (upstream of confluence with UT)
Profile Reach 3	752 feet	Ellington Branch Stations 29+33 to 36+85 (downstream of confluence with UT)
Profile Reach 4	347 feet	Ellington Branch Stations 43+49 to 46+96 (downstream of confluence with UT)
Profile Reach 5	494 feet	UT to Ellington Branch Stations 12+03 to 16+97
Profile Reach 6	291 feet	UT to Ellington Branch Stations 19+02 to 21+93

2. Hydrologic Criteria

Bankfull events during the monitoring period are being documented via a crest gage. In order to meet hydrologic success criteria, a minimum of two events must occur during the five-year monitoring period. In addition, the events must occur in separate monitoring years. A crest gage was installed along Ellington Branch at Cross Section #6 immediately after construction was completed in June 2007. The gage was visited monthly during the period leading up to the submittal this document. No bankfull events were recorded in 2011 or to-date during the 2012 monitoring period. Specific information regarding this and past events is depicted in Exhibit Table VIII. In addition, precipitation data from two nearby weather stations is presented in Appendix B.

Since bankfull events were recorded during 2008, 2009 and 2010, the hydrologic requirements associated with mitigation have been fulfilled at the Project Site. Ecological Engineering will continue to monitor the hydrology throughout the monitoring year in order to provide a quantitative data comparison, as necessary.

Exhibit Table VIII. Verification of Bankfull Events					
Ellington Branch Stream Restoration (Project No. 16-D06045)					
Date of Data Collection	Date(s) of Occurrence	Method	Calculated Bankfull Elevation	Measured High Water Elevation	Photo # (if available)
9/9/08	9/5/08 – 9/6/08	Crest gage	13 inches	17 inches	Not available
1/8/09	1/6/09 – 1/9/09	Crest gage	13 inches	17 inches	Not available
3/11/09	3/1/09 – 3/2/09	Crest gage	13 inches	20 inches	Not available
9/22/09	9/7/09 - 9/8/09	Crest gage	13 inches	14 inches	Not available
11/20/09	11/11/09 - 11/14/09	Crest gage	13 inches	24 inches	Not available
3/19/10	2/5/10 - 2/6/10	Crest gage	13 inches	16 inches	Not available

3. Bank Stability Assessments

Bank Erosion Hazard Index (BEHI) and Near Bank Shear Stress (NBS) analyses were performed as part of the Year 5 (2012) monitoring assessment. They were also performed during the Year 3 assessments in 2010. The results were compared to pre-construction estimates. Based on this comparison, sediment exports rates at the Project Site have been significantly reduced as a result of restoration activities. These rates and estimates are based on the proportion of bank footage in the various hazard categories contributing or producing sediment export rates in tonnage per annum. The data comparison is provided in Table IX.

Based on the sediment export comparisons in Table IX, restoration of the Ellington Branch channel and its UT are considered a success, when compared with bank stability estimates prior to construction. The full scale stream restoration (dimension, pattern and profile) has resulted in lowering sediment export rates by approximately 96 percent on Ellington Branch and approximately 99 percent on its UT. No stability issues were observed during the fall of 2011 and winter, spring and summer of 2012. The project has met its intended goals to-date, which include restoration of primary stream and buffer functions and values.

Exhibit Table IX. BEHI and Sediment Export Estimates															
Ellington Branch Stream Restoration (Project No. 16-D06045)															
Time Point	Segment/Reach*	LF*	Extreme		Very High		High		Moderate		Low		Very Low		Sediment Export Ton/y
			ft	%	ft	%	ft	%	ft	%	ft	%	ft	%	
Pre-const.	EB – u/s of conf.	1500					1500	37							44.9
Pre-const.	EB – u/s of conf.	2550			2550	63									682.8
Total for Ellington Branch															727.7
Pre-const.	UT of Ellington Branch	853	853	100											217.8
Total for the Unnamed Tributary of Ellington B ranch															217.8
Time Point	Segment/Reach	LF	Extreme		Very High		High		Moderate		Low		Very Low		Sediment Export Ton/y
			ft	%	ft	%	ft	%	ft	%	ft	%	ft	%	
Year 3 (2010)	EB – d/s of conf.	1500					75	2			1425	35			5.27
Year 3 (2010)	EB – d/s of conf.	2550									2550	100			25.14
Total for Ellington Branch															30.41
Year 3 (2010)	UT of Ellington Branch	853									853	100			1.98
Total for the Unnamed Tributary of Ellington Branch															1.98
Time Point	Segment/Reach	LF	Extreme		Very High		High		Moderate		Low		Very Low		Sediment Export Ton/y
			ft	%	ft	%	ft	%	ft	%	Ft	%	ft	%	
Year 5 (2012)	EB – u/s of conf.	1500									1500	100			3.48
Year 5 (2012)	EB – d/s of conf.	2550									2550	100			25.14
Total for Ellington Branch															28.62
Year 5 (2012)	UT of Ellington Branch	853									853	1.98			N/A
Total for the Unnamed Tributary of Ellington Branch															1.98

Key: Segment/Reach EB - u/s of conf. = Ellington Branch upstream of its confluence with the UT
EB - d/s of conf. = Ellington Branch downstream of its confluence with the UT
LF = linear feet

4. Stream Problem Areas

No significant changes to the dimension, pattern, profile or bed material along either channel were observed. Location surveys of the constructed features were conducted to verify the performance of the two stream channels. Both Ellington Branch and its UT are stable. All of the structures are functioning as designed and bank erosion is non-existent. Lack of flow and lack of ongoing scouring events during the summer months of 2008, 2009, 2011 and 2012 have contributed to dense vegetation establishment within both stream channels and their adjacent streambanks. Ecological Engineering will continue to monitor this situation throughout the monitoring period.

Based on the cross-section surveys, longitudinal profile surveys and visual observations, the channel dimensions and profiles have remained stable. Minor adjustments were noted, mainly as a result of thriving vegetation. These adjustments are evident on the cross sections and profiles referenced as part of Appendix C. The overall morphology has remained consistent and features remain easily distinguished. Exhibit Table X is provided for future problem area identification and descriptions, if necessary. No data is currently available for insertion into the table. More overall information regarding issues with either of the stream channels is presented in the following sections. The Table in Appendix C-1 provides information pertaining to the visual assessment. This information is also summarized in Section VI.B.6.

Exhibit Table X. Stream Problem Areas			
Ellington Branch Stream Restoration (Project No. 16-D06045)			
Feature Issue	Station Numbers	Suspected Cause	Photo Number
Impoundment 2009 (removed)	45+00 to 47+30	Beaver	N/A
Impoundment 2012 (removed)	36+00 to 38+50	Beaver	N/A

Evidence of beaver (*Castor canadensis*) was observed from January through March 2012 along Ellington Branch immediately upstream of Cross Section 12. A dam was located in the vicinity of Station 38+30, which impounded water approximately 300 feet upstream. Ecological Engineering and Sungate began coordination efforts in January 2012 with Mr. Anthony Steed, US Department of Agriculture Wildlife Services, to remove the beavers from the project area. Mr. Steed completed the task in March 2012. Recent assessments of this area indicate that channel functions have been restored since the breach of the dam.

The issue with beavers was also observed during June and July 2009 along the extreme lower portion of Ellington Branch. A small dam in the vicinity of Station 47+20 was located, as well as several other dams downstream of the project area. Ecological Engineering and Sungate coordinated with Mr. Steed and he was able to successfully remove the beavers from the project area. No visual damage, other than the ponding of water was noted as a result of the dam. Beaver management will continue until project closeout.

In addition, during the winter months of 2009, the standpipe associated with the irrigation pond immediately upstream of the easement area associated with the UT became clogged. As a result, excess water draining from the pond utilized the auxiliary or emergency spillway situated along the southeastern corner of the dam. In November 2009, the site received between four and five inches of rain during one storm event. The resulting flows were forced into and outside of the auxiliary spillway. The majority of these flows were scattered along and through the adjacent pasture, immediately south of the easement area. A portion of the flows however, did enter the easement area in the vicinity of Cross Section #16. While the side slopes remained stable, a scour hole was created adjacent to the channel in the vicinity of a natural spring. The result was an expanded hole approximately two feet deep. The property owner installed a new pipe into the existing dam during the month of April 2010. This pipe currently facilitates proper drainage from the pond. The expanded scour hole has been closely inspected during the past three monitoring assessments. It is stable as well as its surrounding side slopes. No concerns regarding this area exist at the current time. Photograph #50 in Appendix C-2 identifies this area.

5. Fixed Station Photographs

Photographic documentation was taken at each of the 23 cross sections. This documentation included views across the actual cross section and views facing downstream. The photographs are provided in Appendix C-2 in sequential order. In addition, annual photographic comparisons have been provided.

6. Visual Stability Assessment

Exhibit Table XI provides a semi-qualitative summary of results from the visual inspection conducted over each of the three reaches. It provides a simple performance percentage depicting the state of stability as a proportion of the total amount of the morphological feature category. Based on the overall results and comparison with the as-built surveys, morphological adjustments did occur along all six monitoring segments. These adjustments can be attributed to the “normal” precipitation amounts received over the fall and winter of 2009, as well as the spring and early summer of 2010. Vegetation along the channels was removed and/or displaced as a result of these flows. This resulted in much needed sediment transport and scour along areas of streambed. During 2011 however, the lack of channel forming events has resulted in vegetation establishment throughout the channel reaches. Morphological features remain consistent. The streambed, streambanks and associated bankfull benches appeared stable throughout the entire reach of both streams. Visual evidences of instability were non-existent since the majority of both channels remain well vegetated. Based on the assessment and interpreted data along all three reaches, the project reaches are stable.

Exhibit Table XI. Categorical Stream Feature Visual Stability Assessment						
Ellington Branch Stream Restoration (Project No. 16-D06045)						
Reach 1 – Ellington Branch Upstream of Confluence with Unnamed Tributary (Profile Reaches 1 and 2)						
Feature	Initial	MY-01	MY-02	MY-03	MY-04	MY-05
Riffles	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Pools	100%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%
Thalweg	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Meanders	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Bed General	100%	99%	99%	99%	99%	99%
Vanes	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Rootwads and Boulders	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Reach 2 – Ellington Branch Downstream of Confluence with Unnamed Tributary (Profile Reaches 3 and 4)						
Feature	Initial	MY-01	MY-02	MY-03	MY-04	MY-05
Riffles	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Pools	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Thalweg	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Meanders	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Bed General	100%	96%	96%	95%	95%	94%
Vanes	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Rootwads and Boulders	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Reach 3 – Unnamed Tributary (Profile Reaches 5 and 6)						
Feature	Initial	MY-01	MY-02	MY-03	MY-04	MY-05
Riffles	100%	100%	100%	95%	95%	95%
Pools	100%	90%	85%	90%	90%	90%
Thalweg	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Meanders	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Bed General	100%	97%	97%	98%	98%	98%
Vanes						
Rootwads and Boulders						

7. Stream Qualitative Measures

Qualitative summary data including cross-sectional survey, longitudinal profile survey and pebble count information is provided in Exhibit Tables XII and XIII. The associated raw data and plots are provided in Appendices C-3, C-4 and C-5.

As previously discussed, a record drought during the spring and summer months of 2008 effected this and many surrounding areas. Ellington Branch and its UT did not have much opportunity for adjustment. Lack of normal channel flows allowed for an influx of wetland vegetation throughout both of these channels. The early months of 2009 witnessed more normal rain events and precipitation amounts. As a result, the two channels were able to continue the adjustment process, normally occurring during the first year after construction implementation. The summer of 2009 however, was dry with lower than average precipitation amounts occurring in this area. Nearby irrigation activities further depleted normal channel flows and conditions appeared similar to those observed during 2008. The fall and winter months of 2009 and early months of 2010 exhibited more normal rainfall events. Precipitation amounts have been consistent during 2011 and 2012 although no bankfull events have been recorded. The most recent visual assessment of the cross sections revealed little to no instability or scour, although survey data noted only minor changes with the bankfull widths at several cross sections. These changes can be attributed to differences in vegetation density, survey rod placement, lack of flow and normal channel adjustment processes.

In addition, only minor differences in the longitudinal profiles were noted along the monitored reaches. These differences can be attributed to the parameters similar to the cross section differences. Ecological Engineering will continue to monitor these profiles until project closeout.

Exhibit Table XII. Baseline Morphology and Hydraulic Summary
Ellington Branch Stream Restoration (Project No. 16-D06045)
Reach 1 – Ellington Branch Upstream of Confluence with Unnamed Tributary

Parameter	Pre-Existing Condition			Project Reference Stream – UT Ellington			Project Reference Stream – Hawtree Creek			Design			As-Built		
	Min.	Max.	Med.	Min.	Max.	Med.	Min.	Max.	Med.	Min.	Max.	Med.	Min.	Max.	Med.
Dimension															
BF Width (ft)	7.4	11.5	9.5	4.1	4.1	4.1	7.7	9.3	8.9			14.5	10.1	13.4	11.8
Floodprone Width (ft)	10.5	18.6	14.6	6.5	7.9	7.2	15.8	32.5	24.2			>50.0	33.0	50.0	42.0
BF Cross-Sect. Area (ft ²)	10.2	10.2	10.2	2.5	2.6	2.6	9.7	9.8	9.8			18.3	7.0	12.1	10.0
BF Mean Depth (ft)	0.9	1.4	1.1	0.6	0.6	0.6	1.0	1.3	1.1			1.3	0.6	1.0	0.9
BF Max. Depth (ft)	1.7	1.8	1.7	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.5	1.8	1.7			1.8	1.1	1.6	1.3
Width/Depth Ratio	5.4	12.9	8.6	6.5	6.7	6.6	6.1	10.3	8.1			11.2	11.6	20.2	13.9
Entrenchment Ratio	1.4	1.6	1.5	1.6	1.9	1.8	1.8	3.7	2.7			>3.0	2.8	4.2	3.6
Wetted Perimeter (ft)			12.9			5.3			11.5			17.1	9.3	13.8	11.4
Hydraulic Radius (ft)			1.4			0.5			0.9			1.1	0.7	0.9	0.8
Pattern															
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	19.9	90.5	42.1			19.1	15.5	39.1	28.8	23.7	74.0	41.8	33.5	92.0	62.0
Radius of Curvature. (ft)	8.4	70.0	26.0	1.4	7.2	3.4	4.0	10.6	7.6	24.0	50.0	30.8	18.0	47.0	30.8
Meander Wavelength (ft)	21.3	87.8	41.3	2.5	10.4	5.1	10.2	23.2	15.2	68.7	164.2	104.5	74.0	150.0	102.5
Meander Width Ratio	2.1	9.5	4.4			4.7	1.8	4.4	3.3	1.6	5.1	2.9	2.8	7.8	5.3
Profile															
Riffle Length (ft)	5.3	45.8	25.5	1.6	12.2	6.3	3.1	10.6	6.1			10.0			10.0
Riffle Slope (ft)	0.007	0.049	0.022	0.009	0.088	0.035	0.011	0.018	0.014			0.015	0.012	0.039	0.028
Pool Length (ft)	11.6	85.7	25.4			3.9	4.9	27.9	15.0	13.0	45.0	26.4	13.1	39.1	23.6
Pool Spacing (ft)	33.4	823.7	111.3			22.6	20.9	56.3	34.6	34.0	125.0	60.1	36.8	119.1	81.7
Substrate															
d50 (mm)			1.2			1.8			0.3			1.2			0.2
d84 (mm)			10.2			10.2			10.9			10.2			0.8
Additional Reach Parameters															
Valley Length (ft)			1119			33			156			1586			1586
Channel Length (ft)			1560			50			258			1943			1934
Sinuosity			1.4			1.5			1.7			1.3			1.2
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)			0.004			0.013			0.007			0.006			0.006
BF Slope (ft/ft)			0.004			0.013			0.007			0.006			0.006
Rosgen Classification			G5			B4c			E5			C5			C5

Exhibit Table XII Continued. Baseline Morphology and Hydraulic Summary Continued

Ellington Branch Stream Restoration (Project No. 16-D06045)

Reach 2 – Ellington Branch Downstream of Confluence with Unnamed Tributary

Parameter	Pre-Existing Condition			Project Reference Stream – UT Ellington			Project Reference Stream – Hawtree Creek			Design			As-Built		
	Min.	Max.	Med.	Min.	Max.	Med.	Min.	Max.	Med.	Min.	Max.	Med.	Min.	Max.	Med.
Dimension															
BF Width (ft)	9.2	11.9	10.6	4.1	4.1	4.1	7.7	9.3	8.9			15.5	11.6	16.6	14.9
Floodprone Width (ft)	27.7	193.0	110.3	6.5	7.9	7.2	15.8	32.5	24.2			>50.0	40.0	58.0	47.7
BF Cross-Sect. Area (ft ²)	12.4	13.8	13.1	2.5	2.6	2.6	9.7	9.8	9.8			21.6	11.6	16.6	14.3
BF Mean Depth (ft)	1.0	1.5	1.2	0.6	0.6	0.6	1.0	1.3	1.1			1.4	0.8	1.2	1.0
BF Max. Depth (ft)	2.1	2.2	2.2	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.5	1.8	1.7			2.0	1.6	1.9	1.7
Width/Depth Ratio	6.1	11.4	8.5	6.5	6.7	6.6	6.1	10.3	8.1			11.1	10.6	20.1	15.5
Entrenchment Ratio	2.3	20.8	10.4	1.6	1.9	1.8	1.8	3.7	2.7			>3.2	2.7	3.9	3.2
Wetted Perimeter (ft)			16.64			5.3			11.5			18.3	13.0	15.5	14.6
Hydraulic Radius (ft)			1.3			0.5			0.9			1.2	0.8	1.1	0.93
Pattern															
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	22.5	64.0	37.5			19.1	15.5	39.1	28.8	20.7	71.1	47.3	51.0	122.0	75.8
Radius of Curvature. (ft)	7.7	67.6	23.3	1.4	7.2	3.4	4.0	10.6	7.6	24.0	47.8	30.1	22.0	66.0	33.4
Meander Wavelength (ft)	14.0	90.2	34.9	2.5	10.4	5.1	10.2	23.2	15.2	70.5	151.9	110.0	83.8	168.0	111.4
Meander Width Ratio	2.1	6.0	3.5			4.7	1.8	4.4	3.3	1.3	4.6	3.1	3.4	8.2	5.1
Profile															
Riffle Length (ft)	4.5	47.9	25.5	1.6	12.2	6.3	3.1	10.6	6.1			10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Riffle Slope (ft)	0.007	0.052	0.022	0.009	0.088	0.035	0.011	0.018	0.014			0.015	0.016	0.035	0.024
Pool Length (ft)	11.6	85.7	25.4			3.9	4.9	27.9	15.0	9.0	50.0	23.1	14.3	32.2	24.1
Pool Spacing (ft)	33.4	823.7	111.3			22.6	20.9	56.3	34.6	40.0	103.0	72.9	38.3	147.4	75.6
Substrate															
d50 (mm)			0.41			1.8			0.3			0.4			0.2
d84 (mm)			4.0			10.2			10.9			10.0			4.5
Additional Reach Parameters															
Valley Length (ft)			1846			33			156			1370			1370
Channel Length (ft)			2476			50			258			1810			1801
Sinuosity			1.3			1.5			1.7			1.3			1.3
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)			0.006			0.013			0.007			0.006			0.006
BF Slope (ft/ft)			0.006			0.013			0.007			0.006			0.006
Rosgen Classification			E5			B4c			E5			C5			C5

Exhibit Table XII. Baseline Morphology and Hydraulic Summary Continued
Ellington Branch Stream Restoration (Project No. 16-D06045)
Reach 3 – Unnamed Tributary to Ellington Branch

Parameter	Pre-Existing Condition			Project Reference Stream – UT Ellington			Project Reference Stream – Hawtree Creek			Design			As-Built		
	Min.	Max.	Med.	Min.	Max.	Med.	Min.	Max.	Med.	Min.	Max.	Med.	Min.	Max.	Med.
Dimension															
BF Width (ft)	8.3	14.5	11.4	4.1	4.1	4.1	7.7	9.3	8.9			8.0	6.9	9.3	7.7
Floodprone Width (ft)	15.8	34.0	24.9	6.5	7.9	7.2	15.8	32.5	24.2			>30.0	22.0	29.0	27.0
BF Cross-Sect. Area (ft ²)	4.7	6.4	5.6	2.5	2.6	2.6	9.7	9.8	9.8			4.5	4.1	6.0	4.9
BF Mean Depth (ft)	0.4	0.6	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.6	1.0	1.3	1.1			0.6	0.6	0.7	0.7
BF Max. Depth (ft)	0.7	1.1	0.9	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.5	1.8	1.7			0.8	0.9	1.0	1.0
Width/Depth Ratio	14.7	32.9	23.8	6.5	6.7	6.6	6.1	10.3	8.1			13.3	10.5	14.4	11.8
Entrenchment Ratio	1.4	3.0	2.2	1.6	1.9	1.8	1.8	3.7	2.7			>3.7	2.9	3.8	3.5
Wetted Perimeter (ft)			12.4			5.3			11.5			9.2	6.5	8.4	7.6
Hydraulic Radius (ft)			0.5			0.5			0.9			0.5	0.4	0.6	0.53
Pattern															
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	19.8	67.0	40.0			19.1	15.5	39.1	28.8	11.4	42.5	23.3	36.7	60.0	47.7
Radius of Curvature (ft)	11.1	58.4	33.5	1.4	7.2	3.4	4.0	10.6	7.6	13.0	25.0	17.3	13.3	28.3	18.2
Meander Wavelength (ft)	23.7	87.0	44.1	2.5	10.4	5.1	10.2	23.2	15.2	29.7	97.8	61.7	44.0	95.0	56.0
Meander Width Ratio	1.7	5.9	3.5			4.7	1.8	4.4	3.3	1.4	5.3	2.9	4.8	7.8	6.2
Profile															
Riffle Length (ft)	13.8	58.0	27.4	1.6	12.2	6.3	3.1	10.6	6.1			5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Riffle Slope (ft)	0.005	0.029	0.019	0.009	0.088	0.035	0.011	0.018	0.014			0.02	0.012	0.039	0.025
Pool Length (ft)			17.2			3.9	4.9	27.9	15.0	10.0	21.0	14.0	9.2	36.0	15.7
Pool Spacing (ft)						22.6	20.9	56.3	34.6	27.0	89.0	51.0	19.7	86.3	44.2
Substrate															
d50 (mm)			0.4			1.8			0.3			0.4			0.3
d84 (mm)			11.8			10.2			10.9			11.8			0.6
Additional Reach Parameters															
Valley Length (ft)			702			33			156			1074			1074
Channel Length (ft)			854			50			258			1343			1328
Sinuosity			1.2			1.5			1.7			1.3			1.3
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)			0.008			0.013			0.007			0.009			0.008
BF Slope (ft/ft)			0.008			0.013			0.007			0.009			0.008
Rosgen Classification			C5			B4c			E5			C5			C5

Exhibit Table XIII. Morphology and Hydraulic Monitoring Summary
Ellington Branch Stream Restoration (Project No. 16-D06045)

	Cross Section 1 Pool (Ellington Branch)					Cross Section 2 Riffle (Ellington Branch)					Cross Section 3 Pool (Ellington Branch)					Cross Section 4 Riffle (Ellington Branch)				
Dimension	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5
BF Width (ft)	12.9	12.7	7.5	7.0	7.1	8.9	7.1	8.6	8.8	8.5	15.5	14.1	14.0	14.1	12.7	10.0	11.7	11.8	11.8	10.5
Floodprone Width (ft)						33.0	31.1	37.7	37.7	37.7						50.0	52.1	51	51.0	51.0
BF Cross-Sect. Area (ft ²)	21.6	13.6	18.2	16.2	16.1	6.4	5.3	5.8	6.0	5.9	24.9	22.5	24.8	19.4	19.9	7.7	9.6	9.4	9.5	9.4
BF Mean Depth (ft)	1.7	1.1	2.4	2.3	1.8	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	1.6	1.6	1.8	1.4	1.6	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.9
BF Max. Depth (ft)	3.3	2.4	4.2	3.5	3.2	1.0	1.3	1.8	1.5	1.6	3.2	3.1	3.4	2.7	2.8	1.2	1.5	1.6	1.3	1.5
Width/Depth Ratio						12.7	9.5	12.8	12.9	12.3						12.5	14.6	14.7	14.6	11.7
Entrenchment Ratio						3.7	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.4						5.0	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.9
Wetted Perimeter (ft)	15.8	15.0	12.6	11.0	12.6	9.3	7.5	9.7	9.4	9.4	16.9	15.6	15.9	15.2	14.2	10.4	12.1	12.4	12.1	11.1
Hydraulic Radius (ft)	1.4	0.9	1.4	1.5	1.3	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.6	1.5	1.4	1.6	1.3	1.4	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8
Substrate																				
d50 (mm)	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	2.6	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.3
d84 (mm)	0.3	0.3	0.3	1.2	0.3	3.6	0.8	1.0	7.7	2.3	1.2	3.0	1.0	0.4	1.4	0.7	0.6	0.7	1.0	0.7
	Cross Section 5 Pool (Ellington Branch)					Cross Section 6 Riffle (Ellington Branch)					Cross Section 7 Riffle (Ellington Branch)					Cross Section 8 Pool (Ellington Branch)				
Dimension	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5
BF Width (ft)	22.2	22.1	19.0	18.6	28.7	11.6	11.5	11.8	11.8	101	13.4	13.2	13.9	13.4	15.4	16.6	16.5	16.3	16.0	17.9
Floodprone Width (ft)						38.0	36.2	36.7	36.8	37.2	46.0	48.5	52.5	48.6	51.6					
BF Cross-Sect. Area (ft ²)	18.0	18.7	21.1	19.8	20.3	11.0	11.5	10.8	10.7	11.4	12.6	11.1	12.3	13.4	13.4	19.3	19.0	20.9	17.3	19.3
BF Mean Depth (ft)	0.8	0.8	1.1	0.9	0.7	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	1.1	0.9	0.8	0.9	0.8	0.9	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.1	1.1
BF Max. Depth (ft)	2.3	2.4	3.0	2.8	2.7	1.4	1.3	1.5	1.4	1.8	1.5	1.5	1.8	1.5	1.6	2.5	2.5	2.6	2.7	2.6
Width/Depth Ratio						12.9	12.8	13.0	13.0	9.0	14.9	16.5	15.6	17.5	17.8					
Entrenchment Ratio						3.3	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.7	3.4	3.7	3.8	3.6	3.3					
Wetted Perimeter (ft)	23.6	23.4	20.8	21.7	30.5	12.2	12.0	12.4	12.3	11.7	13.8	13.6	14.6	17.5	15.8	18.1	18.1	17.9	17.7	20.0
Hydraulic Radius (ft)	0.8	0.8	1.0	0.9	0.7	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	1.0	0.9	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.8	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.0	1.0
Substrate																				
d50 (mm)	0.2	0.1	0.1	1.7	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.7	0.1	2.6	3.5	2.6	3.0	2.5	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.2
d84 (mm)	0.6	0.2	0.6	6.4	0.5	0.2	0.3	0.2	2.6	0.2	6.8	7.8	7.0	9.8	6.9	0.3	0.3	0.3	1.4	0.3

Exhibit Table XIII. Morphology and Hydraulic Monitoring Summary Continued
Ellington Branch Stream Restoration (Project No. 16-D06045)

Parameter	Cross Section 9 Pool (Ellington Branch)					Cross Section 10 Riffle (Ellington Branch)					Cross Section 11 Pool (Ellington Branch)					Cross Section 12 Riffle (Ellington Branch)				
	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5
Dimension																				
BF Width (ft)	15.2	13.3	12.9	13.0	13.7	14.9	14.8	15.9	15.5	12.5	25.5	25.6	24.7	26.7	25.3	12.0	11.3	11.9	11.8	11.8
Floodprone Width (ft)						45.0	>50	>50	>50	>50						58.0	>60	>60	>60	>60
BF Cross-Sect. Area (ft ²)	23.1	21.0	20.6	20.9	21.4	12.1	11.3	11.3	12.0	11.2	28.3	28.1	17.3	17.2	17.4	13.9	12.4	13.8	13.7	13.2
BF Mean Depth (ft)	1.5	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.8	0.9	1.1	1.1	0.7	0.6	0.7	1.2	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.1
BF Max. Depth (ft)	2.8	2.6	2.9	2.7	2.8	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.6	2.2	3.2	3.1	2.6	2.0	2.1	2.0	1.8	2.1	2.0	2.0
Width/Depth Ratio						18.2	18.5	22.2	20.0	14.0						10.0	10.3	10.2	10.1	10.4
Entrenchment Ratio						3.0	>3.4	>3.0	>3.0	>3.0						4.8	>4.8	>5.0	>5.0	>5.0
Wetted Perimeter (ft)	16.6	14.6	14.3	14.6	15.3	15.5	15.6	16.4	16.2	13.7	27.8	28.4	26.5	28.3	27.1	13.0	11.9	12.7	12.6	12.6
Hydraulic Radius (ft)	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.8	1.0	1.0	0.7	0.6	0.6	1.1	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.0
Substrate																				
d50 (mm)	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.1	7.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.4	1.6	0.4
d84 (mm)	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.4	2.0	0.4	2.0	11.0	2.0	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.9	0.4	1.5	0.3	1.0	10.0	1.1
Parameter	Cross Section 13 Pool (Ellington Branch)					Cross Section 14 Riffle (Ellington Branch)					Cross Section 15 Pool (Ellington Branch)					Cross Section 16 Pool (Unnamed Tributary)				
	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5
Dimension																				
BF Width (ft)	18.3	18.1	17.7	14.4	16.8	13.9	13.7	13.2	13.4	15.4	18.9	19.1	19.2	19.0	24.5	14.9	17.6	15.3	14.8	17.4
Floodprone Width (ft)						40.0	40.4	39.4	39.3	41.8										
BF Cross-Sect. Area (ft ²)	20.8	21.8	26.7	30.1	28.6	12.9	10.6	10.8	9.7	10.4	27.8	26.5	20.6	17.4	18.8	12.9	12.8	12.6	11.0	11.6
BF Mean Depth (ft)	1.1	1.2	1.5	2.1	1.7	0.9	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.7	1.5	1.4	1.1	0.9	0.8	0.9	0.7	0.8	0.7	0.7
BF Max. Depth (ft)	2.6	3.1	3.4	3.8	3.5	2.2	1.9	1.9	1.8	2.0	3.7	3.2	2.3	2.1	1.7	1.9	1.2	1.6	1.3	1.3
Width/Depth Ratio						15.0	15.7	16.0	18.4	22.9										
Entrenchment Ratio						2.9	3.0	3.0	2.9	2.7										
Wetted Perimeter (ft)	19.3	20.3	20.5	17.8	19.8	15.0	14.7	14.1	14.6	17.1	20.8	20.5	20.2	19.9	25.1	15.9	17.9	16.0	15.2	17.6
Hydraulic Radius (ft)	1.1	1.1	1.3	1.7	1.4	0.9	0.7	0.8	0.7	0.6	1.3	1.3	1.0	0.9	0.7	0.8	0.7	0.8	0.7	0.7
Substrate																				
d50 (mm)	0.4	0.2	0.2	2.5	0.2	0.6	0.5	0.6	0.8	0.6	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.4	0.1	0.6	0.2	0.6	2.5	0.6
d84 (mm)	1.1	0.5	0.4	7.6	0.4	1.9	0.8	2.0	6.3	1.8	0.3	0.2	0.2	1.0	0.2	1.8	0.3	1.8	8.3	1.1

Exhibit Table XIII. Morphology and Hydraulic Monitoring Summary Continued
Ellington Branch Stream Restoration (Project No. 16-D06045)

Parameter	Cross Section 17 Riffle (Unnamed Tributary)					Cross Section 18 Pool (Unnamed Tributary)					Cross Section 19 Riffle (Unnamed Tributary)					Cross Section 20 Pool (Unnamed Tributary)				
	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5
BF Width (ft)	6.2	6.7	6.6	7.4	9.3	9.4	8.2	7.4	7.0	8.9	6.8	7.9	7.2	7.3	8.2	9.2	8.9	9.0	8.8	10.9
Floodprone Width (ft)	22.0	19.9	16.1	17.4	18.5						29.0	27.5	28.3	26.7	33.0					
BF Cross-Sect. Area (ft ²)	2.7	3.2	1.4	2.3	2.8	7.2	6.5	5.3	5.1	5.4	4.0	3.9	3.8	3.7	3.9	7.2	7.1	7.9	7.7	8.0
BF Mean Depth (ft)	0.4	0.5	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.8	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.7
BF Max. Depth (ft)	0.8	0.8	0.5	0.7	0.7	1.8	1.6	1.4	1.6	1.5	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.9	1.2	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.0	1.7
Width/Depth Ratio	14.1	13.4	30.8	23.6	31.1						11.5	15.8	13.7	14.1	17.5					
Entrenchment Ratio	3.6	3.0	2.4	2.4	2.0						4.3	35	3.9	3.7	4.0					
Wetted Perimeter (ft)	6.5	7.3	6.7	7.5	9.5	10.8	9.7	8.5	7.9	9.6	7.1	8.2	7.5	7.5	8.6	10.6	10.5	10.8	10.1	12.0
Hydraulic Radius (ft)	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.7
Substrate																				
d50 (mm)	0.3	0.2	0.3	1.5	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.6	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
d84 (mm)	0.6	0.3	0.6	5.7	0.6	0.5	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.6	0.4	0.3	0.4	1.5	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4
Parameter	Cross Section 21 Riffle (Unnamed Tributary)					Cross Section 22 Pool (Unnamed Tributary)					Cross Section 23 Riffle (Unnamed Tributary)									
	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5
BF Width (ft)	7.9	7.8	7.2	7.0	15.2	14.5	14.3	14.4	13.4	14.7	8.0	9.4	9.3	9.9	8.5					
Floodprone Width (ft)	29.0	26.5	30.2	30.6	33.9						28.0	29.0	40	>40	>40					
BF Cross-Sect. Area (ft ²)	4.1	3.3	4.0	4.4	4.7	10.3	9.4	11.1	9.0	10.3	4.9	6.4	10.2	10.3	9.8					
BF Mean Depth (ft)	0.5	0.4	0.6	0.6	0.3	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.7	1.1	1.0	1.2					
BF Max. Depth (ft)	0.9	0.8	0.9	1.0	1.3	1.5	1.4	1.6	1.5	1.6	1.2	1.2	2.2	2.2	2.0					
Width/Depth Ratio	15.8	18.3	12.8	11.0	49.6						12.9	13.4	8.5	9.5	7.4					
Entrenchment Ratio	3.7	3.4	4.2	4.4	2.2						3.5	3.1	4.3	>4.0	>4.0					
Wetted Perimeter (ft)	8.3	8.1	7.5	7.4	15.9	14.9	14.8	15.0	13.9	15.3	8.4	9.8	10.7	11.1	10.0					
Hydraulic Radius (ft)	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.3	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.6	0.7	1.0	0.9	1.0					
Substrate																				
d50 (mm)	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.3					
d84 (mm)	1.5	0.4	1.5	1.3	1.0	0.6	0.2	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.6	0.4					

Exhibit Table XIII. Morphology and Hydraulic Monitoring Summary Continued

Ellington Branch Stream Restoration (Project No. 16-D06045)

Reach 1 – Ellington Branch Upstream of Confluence with Unnamed Tributary (Profile Reaches 1 and 2)

Parameter	MY 1 (2008)			MY 2 (2009)			MY 3 (2010)			MY 4 (2011)			MY 5 (2012)			MY + (20xx)		
	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med
Pattern																		
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	33.5	92.0	62.0	33.0	91.0	66.3	34.0	91.0	61.0	34.0	91.0	67.0	34.0	91.0	67.0			
Radius of Curvature (ft)	18.0	47.0	30.8	19.0	45.3	29.3	18.0	47.0	31.8	18.0	47.0	29.3	18.0	47.0	29.0			
Meander Wavelength (ft)	74.0	150.0	102.5	76.0	152.0	110.7	75.0	147.0	114.5	75.0	148.0	112.2	75.0	148.0	112.0			
Meander Width Ratio	2.8	7.8	5.3	2.7	7.5	5.5	3.5	9.4	6.3	3.2	8.5	6.3	3.2	8.5	6.3			
Profile																		
Riffle Length (ft)	9.5	20.0	15.8	9.5	21.8	13.5	11.4	20.3	15.2	13.0	20.9	17.1	13.0	21.0	17.0			
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	0.004	0.028	0.01	0.004	0.020	0.009	0.005	0.020	0.013	0.008	0.032	0.014	0.008	0.032	0.014			
Pool Length (ft)	11.0	67.1	23.2	12.8	57.0	24.1	15.0	50.0	29.3	12.3	37.7	23.9	12.0	38.0	24.0			
Pool Slope (ft/ft)	0.000	0.006	0.001	0.000	0.007	0.002	0.000	0.006	0.002	0.000	0.005	0.002	0.000	0.005	0.002			
Additional Reach Parameters																		
Valley Length (ft)		1586			1586			1586			1586			1586				
Channel Length (ft)		1934			1934			1934			1934			1934				
Sinuosity		1.22			1.22			1.22			1.22			1.22				
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)		0.007			0.007			0.007			0.007			0.007				
BF Slope (ft/ft)		0.007			0.007			0.007			0.007			0.007				
Rosgen Classification		C5			C5			C5			C5			C/E 5				

Exhibit Table XIII. Morphology and Hydraulic Monitoring Summary Continued

Ellington Branch Stream Restoration (Project No. 16-D06045)

Reach 2 – Ellington Branch Downstream of Confluence with Unnamed Tributary (Profile Reaches 3 and 4)

Parameter	MY 1 (2008)			MY 2 (2009)			MY 3 (2010)			MY 4 (2011)			MY 5 (2012)			MY + (20xx)		
	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med
Pattern																		
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	51.0	122.0	75.8	51.0	128.0	85.8	52.3	123.5	86.1	51.0	118.0	87.0	51.0	118.0	87.0			
Radius of Curvature (ft)	22.0	66.0	33.4	22.7	66.0	33.0	22.6	66.0	30.7	22.0	66.0	32.5	22.0	66.0	32.0			
Meander Wavelength (ft)	83.8	168.0	111.4	80.0	135.0	100.2	81.9	160.0	101.9	81.0	155.0	106.2	81.0	155.0	106.0			
Meander Width Ratio	3.4	8.2	5.1	3.9	9.9	6.7	3.7	8.8	6.4	3.6	8.3	6.1	3.6	8.3	6.1			
Profile																		
Riffle Length (ft)	9.1	23.6	14.5	11.6	23.0	16.1	10.2	19.6	16.1	7.8	18.7	14.3	8.0	19.0	14.0			
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	0.003	0.028	0.011	0.004	0.018	0.010	0.005	0.037	0.017	0.006	0.034	0.017	0.006	0.034	0.017			
Pool Length (ft)	11.1	53.3	27.3	12.7	53.1	32.1	13.2	45.5	30.3	15.5	53.3	28.9	15.5	53.0	29.0			
Pool Slope (ft/ft)	0.000	0.003	0.001	0.000	0.004	0.001	0.000	0.003	0.001	0.000	0.004	0.002	0.000	0.004	0.002			
Additional Reach Parameters																		
Valley Length (ft)	1370			1370			1370			1370			1370					
Channel Length (ft)	1801			1801			1801			1801			1801					
Sinuosity	1.31			1.31			1.31			1.31			1.31					
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)	0.006			0.006			0.006			0.006			0.006					
BF Slope (ft/ft)	0.006			0.006			0.006			0.006			0.006					
Rosgen Classification	C5			C5			C5			C5			C5					

Exhibit Table XIII. Morphology and Hydraulic Monitoring Summary Continued

Ellington Branch Stream Restoration (Project No. 16-D06045)

Reach 3 – Unnamed Tributary to Ellington Branch (Profile Reaches 5 and 6)

Parameter	MY 1 (2008)			MY 2 (2009)			MY 3 (2010)			MY 4 (2011)			MY 5 (2012)			MY + (20xx)		
	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med
Pattern																		
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	36.7	60.0	47.7	36.0	60.0	48.6	36.0	60.0	48.3	36.0	60.0	48.4	36.0	60.0	48.0			
Radius of Curvature (ft)	13.3	28.3	18.2	12.6	26.5	16.8	13.1	27.2	17.1	13.1	26.7	16.8	13.0	27.0	17.0			
Meander Wavelength (ft)	44.0	95.0	56.0	42.2	90.0	59.6	44.0	90.2	57.8	44.4	90.5	59.3	44.0	91.0	59.0			
Meander Width Ratio	4.8	7.8	6.2	4.5	7.5	6.1	5.9	9.8	7.9	5.0	8.3	6.7	5.0	8.3	6.7			
Profile																		
Riffle Length (ft)	4.4	13.6	10.7	7.4	14.5	10.3	6.8	20.6	12.3	5.4	16.7	12.1	5.4	16.7	12.1			
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	0.005	0.036	0.019	0.005	0.012	0.008	0.005	0.034	0.021	0.005	0.037	0.020	0.005	0.037	0.020			
Pool Length (ft)	7.5	24.9	15.4	13.0	29.5	18.8	12.7	35.1	20.1	12.1	32.4	17.7	12.0	32.0	18.0			
Pool Slope (ft/ft)	0.000	0.004	0.001	0.000	0.006	0.002	0.000	0.006	0.002	0.000	0.004	0.002	0.000	0.004	0.002			
Additional Reach Parameters																		
Valley Length (ft)		1074			1074			1074			1074			1074				
Channel Length (ft)		1328			1328			1328			1328			1328				
Sinuosity		1.24			1.24			1.24			1.24			1.24				
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)		0.008			0.008			0.008			0.008			0.008				
BF Slope (ft/ft)		0.008			0.008			0.008			0.008			0.008				
Rosgen Classification		C5			C5			C5			C5			C/E 5				

SECTION VII. Methodology Section

This document employs methodologies according to the post-construction monitoring plan and standard regulatory guidance and procedures documents, including Stream Mitigation Guidelines (USACE, 2003), Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual (USACE, 1987) and Applied River Morphology (Rosgen, D.L., 1996). No other specifications were utilized in this monitoring assessment. References are provided below.

Environmental Laboratory, 1987. Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual. Technical Report Y-87-1. Department of the Army, Waterways Experiment Station, PO Box 631, Vicksburg, Mississippi 39180.

Hicks, Jesse L., 1980. Soil Survey of Vance County, North Carolina. United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service, in cooperation with the North Carolina Agricultural Research Service and the Vance County Board of Commissioners.

Lee, M.T., R.K. Peet, S.D. Roberts and T.R. Wentworth, 2006. CVS-EEP Protocol for Recording Vegetation. Version 4.0. Available: <http://cvs.bio.unc.edu/methods.htm>.

Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS), 2006. Office Map Review, Warrenton, NC.

Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS), 2000. Official Soil Series Description Query Facility. Available: <http://www.ortho.ftw.nrcs.usda.gov>.

Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS), 1998. Keys to Taxonomy, Eighth Edition. USDA. Available: <http://statlab.iastate.edu/soils/keytax/KeystoSoilTaxonomy1998.pdf>.

North Carolina Division of Land Resources (NCDLR), 1985. Geologic Map of North Carolina. Department of Natural Resources and Community Development.


North Carolina Division of Water Quality (NCDWQ), 2006. Surface Water Classifications. Available at: <http://h2o.enr.state.nc.us>

North Carolina Division of Water Quality (NCDWQ), 2005. Identification Methods for the Origins of Intermittent and Perennial Streams, Version 3.1. North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources, Division of Environmental Management; Raleigh, NC.

North Carolina Geologic Survey (NCGS), 1991. Generalized Geologic Map of North Carolina. Division of Land Resources. Raleigh, NC.

Rosgen, David L., 1996. Applied River Morphology. Wildland Hydrology Books, Inc. Pagosa Springs, CO. 385 pp.

US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), US Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), NC Wildlife Resources Commission (NCWRC) and NC Division of Water Quality (NCDWQ), 2003. Draft Stream Mitigation Guidelines, April 2003.



Prepared By: **SUNGATE DESIGN GROUP, PA**
 915 Jones Franklin Road
 Raleigh, NC 27606
 (919)859-2243



Prepared For: **NCEP**
 2728 Capital Boulevard
 Suite 1H 103
 Raleigh, NC 27604



Ellington Branch Stream Restoration
EEP # 16-D06045
Vicinity Map
Warren County, NC
October 16, 2006
 Source: USGS Quadrangle Maps (John H Kerr Dam and Middleburg)

FIGURE
1


























APPENDIX A-1: VEGETATION RAW DATA

COMMON NAME	SCIENTIFIC NAME	PLANTED STEM COUNTS													Initial (2007) Totals	Year 1 (2008) Totals	Year 2 (2009) Totals	Year 3 (2010) Totals	Year 4 (2011) Totals	Year 5 (2012) Totals	Surviv. %	
		VP #1	VP #2	VP #3	VP #4	VP #5	VP #6	VP #7	VP #8	VP #9	VP #10	VP #11	VP #12	VP #13								
Tag alder	<i>Alnus serrulata</i>			1											1	1	1	1	1	1	1	100
Paw Paw	<i>Asimina triloba</i>														14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
River birch	<i>Betula nigra</i>	6	7	3	1					23	7	20	13		86	84	82	81	81	80	93	
Sugarberry	<i>Celtis laeviagata</i>														11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Red bud	<i>Cercis canadensis</i>	1													11	7	7	2	2	1	9	9
Flowering dogwood	<i>Cornus florida</i>														1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Persimmon	<i>Diospyros virginiana</i>								2						24	15	9	5	2	2	8	8
Green ash	<i>Fraxinus pennsylvanica</i>		2	3					15	23				8	59	56	53	52	52	51	86	
Blackgum	<i>Nyssa sylvatica</i>													13	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	
Sourwood	<i>Oxydendrum arboretum</i>			4						1					15	13	13	11	5	5	33	
Sycamore	<i>Platanus occidentalis</i>	1	1	4	1				6	3		8			36	32	30	27	25	24	67	
White oak	<i>Quercus alba</i>						4	1							11	7	7	7	6	6	55	
Swamp chestnut oak	<i>Quercus michauxii</i>		3	1	7	6	15					2		51	46	41	39	36	36	71		
Willow oak	<i>Quercus phellos</i>		2			5			1	1			1	11	26	25	22	22	22	22	85	
Black willow	<i>Salix nigra</i>			1											1	1	1	1	1	1	100	
														Totals	360	288	267	248	233	229		
Total Number of Individuals Planted		26	26	30	26	26	26	30	30	30	26	28	30	26								
Plot Size (square meters)		100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100								
Total Number of Individuals Observed (2008)		14	22	30	16	18	22	29	29	29	15	24	25	15								
Total Number of Individuals Observed (2009)		13	19	28	13	17	21	29	29	26	15	24	24	14								
Total Number of Individuals Observed (2010)		10	18	19	10	16	18	28	28	25	15	24	23	14								
Total Number of Individuals Observed (2011)		8	16	17	9	15	16	24	28	24	15	24	23	14								
Total Number of Individuals Observed (2012)		8	15	17	9	15	16	24	27	24	15	23	22	14								
Plot Size (square meters)		100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100								
Stems/Acre (Initial)		1053	1053	1215	1053	1053	1053	1215	1215	1215	1053	1134	1215	1053								
Stems/Acre (2008)		567	891	1215	648	729	891	1174	1174	1174	607	972	1012	607								
Stems/Acre (2009)		526	769	1134	526	688	850	1174	1174	1053	607	972	972	567								
Stems/Acre (2010)		405	729	769	405	648	729	1134	1134	1012	607	972	931	567								
Stems/Acre (2011)		324	648	688	364	607	648	972	1134	972	607	972	931	567								
Stems/Acre (2012)		324	607	688	364	607	648	972	1093	972	607	931	891	567								

Note: All stem counts are based on planted stems.

Required Minimum Survival per Acre is 320 Stems
 Required Minimum Survival per Acre is 320 Stems
 Required Minimum Survival per Acre is 320 Stems
 Required Minimum Survival per Acre is 288 Stems
 Required Minimum Survival per Acre is 260 Stems

APPENDIX A-2: VEGETATION MONITORING PLOT PHOTOGRAPH SUMMARY

Photograph Number and Location	Year 2008 Monitoring Photographs taken September 2008	Year 2009 Monitoring Photographs taken July 2009	Year 2010 Monitoring Photographs taken June 2010	Year 2011 Monitoring Photographs taken August 2011	Year 2012 Monitoring Photographs taken July 2012
Photo # VP-1 Facing north at Vegetation Plot #1					
Photo # VP-2 Facing north at Vegetation Plot #2					
Photo # VP-3 Facing north at Vegetation Plot #3					
Photo # VP-4 Facing north at Vegetation Plot #4					
Photo # VP-5 Facing north at Vegetation Plot #5					

APPENDIX A-2: VEGETATION MONITORING PLOT PHOTOGRAPH SUMMARY CONTINUED

Photograph Number and Location

Year 2008 Monitoring Photographs taken September 2008

Year 2009 Monitoring Photographs taken July 2009

Year 2010 Monitoring Photographs taken June 2010

Year 2011 Monitoring Photographs taken August 2011

Year 2012 Monitoring Photographs taken July 2012

Photo # VP-6
Facing north at
Vegetation Plot #6



Photo # VP-7
Facing north at
Vegetation Plot #7



Photo # VP-8
Facing north at
Vegetation Plot #8



Photo # VP-9
Facing north at
Vegetation Plot #9



Photo # VP-10
Facing north at
Vegetation Plot #10



APPENDIX A-2: VEGETATION MONITORING PLOT PHOTOGRAPH SUMMARY CONTINUED

Photograph Number and Location

Year 2008 Monitoring Photographs taken September 2008

Year 2009 Monitoring Photographs taken July 2009

Year 2010 Monitoring Photographs taken June 2010

Year 2011 Monitoring Photographs taken August 2011

Year 2012 Monitoring Photographs taken July 2012

Photo # VP-11
Facing north at
Vegetation Plot #11



Photo # VP-12
Facing north at
Vegetation Plot #12




Photo # VP-13
Facing north at
Vegetation Plot #13































APPENDIX C-1: STREAM VISUAL ASSESSMENT TABLE

Feature Category	Metric (per As-built and reference baselines)	(# Stable Number Performing as Intended)	Total Number per As-built	Total Number/feet in unstable state ¹	% Perform. in Stable Condition ²	Feature Perform. Mean or Total ³
A. Riffles	1. Present? ⁴	83	81	N/A	100	
	2. Armor stable (e.g. no displacement)?	83	81	N/A	100	
	3. Facet grade appears stable	83	81	N/A	100	
	4. Minimal evidence of embedding/fining?	83	81	N/A	100	
	5. Length appropriate?	83	81	N/A	100	100%
B. Pools	1. Present? (e.g. not subject to severe aggradation or migration?) ⁴	90	77	N/A	100	
	2. Sufficiently deep (Dmax:Dmean >1.6?)	82	77	N/A	100	
	3. Length Appropriate?	87	77	N/A	100	100%
C. Thalweg	1. Upstream of meander bend (run/inflection) centering? ⁵	N/A	N/A	N/A	100	
	2. Downstream of meander (glide/inflection) centering? ⁵	N/A	N/A	N/A	100	100%
D. Meanders	1. Outer bend in state of limited/controlled erosion?	97	97	N/A	100	
	2. Of those eroding, # w/concomitant point bar formation?	97	97	N/A	100	
	3. Apparent Rc within spec?	97	97	N/A	100	
	4. Sufficient floodplain access and relief? ⁶	97	97	N/A	100	100%
E. Bed General	1. General channel bed aggradation areas (bar formation)	N/A	N/A	N/A	100	
	2. Channel bed degradation – areas of increasing down-cutting or head-cutting?	N/A	N/A	N/A	100	100%
F. Vanes	1. Free of back or arm scour?	5	5	N/A	100	
	2. Height appropriate?	5	5	N/A	100	
	3. Angle and geometry appear appropriate?	5	5	N/A	100	
	4. Free of piping or other structural failures	5	5	N/A	100	100%
G. Rootwads/ Boulders	1. Free of scour?	24	24	N/A	100	
	2. Footing stable?	24	24	N/A	100	100%

Footnotes:

- Metrics that are spatial estimates should be entered as:
The number of locales over the reach for which the failing condition is observed / followed by the total linear distance (feet) or area for which the failing or unstable condition is observed.
- In the case of categorical metrics for which a feature count is involved, this is simply calculated as the number of functional features that are in a state of stability as a percentage of the total. In the case of those metrics based on footage or aerial extent, it is the amount in a state of failure or instability expressed as a proportion of the total amount of that feature. The resulting proportion is then subtracted from 1 and then multiplied by 100 to give a percentage that represents the proportion of that feature category in a state of apparent stability.
- The mean of the metrics for a given feature category.
- Was the feature actually present as compared to the As-built or has the feature been completely obscured (aggraded) or removed (degraded).
- Is the thalweg centering up on the channel in between the meander bends?
- Is the meander bend in a state of constriction?

APPENDIX C-2: MONITORING PHOTOGRAPH SUMMARY

Photo Number and Location	As-Built Photographs taken January 2008	Year 2008 Monitoring Photographs taken September 2008	Year 2009 Monitoring Photographs taken July 2009	Year 2010 Monitoring Photographs taken June 2010	Year 2011 Monitoring Photographs taken August 2011	Year 2012 Monitoring Photographs taken July 2012
Photo #1 Facing north (downstream) at Cross Section #1 along Ellington Branch						
Photo #2 Facing west across Cross Section #1 along Ellington Branch						
Photo #3 Facing north (downstream) at Cross Section #2 along Ellington Branch						
Photo #4 Facing west across Cross Section #2 along Ellington Branch						
Photo #5 Facing north (downstream) at Cross Section #3 along Ellington Branch	<i>No photograph available</i>					
						

APPENDIX C-2: MONITORING PHOTOGRAPH SUMMARY CONTINUED

**Photo Number
and Location**

As-Built Photographs taken January 2008

**Year 2008 Monitoring Photographs
taken September 2008**

**Year 2009 Monitoring Photographs
taken July 2009**

**Year 2010 Monitoring Photographs
taken June 2010**

**Year 2011 Monitoring Photographs
taken August 2011**

**Year 2012 Monitoring Photographs
taken July 2012**

Photo #6
Facing west
across Cross
Section #3 along
Ellington Branch



Photo #7
Facing north
(downstream) at
Cross Section #4
along Ellington
Branch




Photo #8
Facing west
across Cross
Section #4 along
Ellington Branch



Photo #9
Facing north
(downstream) at
Cross Section #5
along Ellington
Branch



Photo #10
Facing west
across Cross
Section #5 along
Ellington Branch



APPENDIX C-2: MONITORING PHOTOGRAPH SUMMARY CONTINUED

Photo Number
and Location

As-Built Photographs taken January 2008

Year 2008 Monitoring Photographs
taken September 2008

Year 2009 Monitoring Photographs
taken July 2009

Year 2010 Monitoring Photographs
taken June 2010

Year 2011 Monitoring Photographs
taken August 2011

Year 2012 Monitoring Photographs
taken July 2012

Photo #11
Facing north
(downstream) at
Cross Section #6
along Ellington
Branch



Photo #12
Facing west
across Cross
Section #6 along
Ellington Branch



Photo #13
Facing north
(downstream) at
Cross Section #7
along Ellington
Branch



Photo #14
Facing west
across Cross
Section #7 along
Ellington Branch



Photo #15
Facing north
(downstream) at
Cross Section #8
along Ellington
Branch



APPENDIX C-2: MONITORING PHOTOGRAPH SUMMARY CONTINUED

**Photo Number
and Location**

As-Built Photographs taken January 2008

**Year 2008 Monitoring Photographs
taken September 2008**

**Year 2009 Monitoring Photographs
taken July 2009**

**Year 2010 Monitoring Photographs
taken June 2010**

**Year 2011 Monitoring Photographs
taken August 2011**

**Year 2012 Monitoring Photographs
taken July 2012**

Photo #16
Facing west
across Cross
Section #8 along
Ellington Branch



Photo #17
Facing north
(downstream) at
Cross Section #9
along Ellington
Branch






Photo #18
Facing west
across Cross
Section #9 along
Ellington Branch



Photo #19
Facing north
(downstream) at
Cross Section
#10 along
Ellington Branch



Photo #20
Facing west
across Cross
Section #10
along Ellington
Branch



APPENDIX C-2: MONITORING PHOTOGRAPH SUMMARY CONTINUED

**Photo Number
and Location**

As-Built Photographs taken January 2008

**Year 2008 Monitoring Photographs
taken September 2008**

**Year 2009 Monitoring Photographs
taken July 2009**

**Year 2010 Monitoring Photographs
taken June 2010**

**Year 2011 Monitoring Photographs
taken August 2011**

**Year 2012 Monitoring Photographs
taken July 2012**

Photo #21
Facing north
(downstream) at
Cross Section
#11 along
Ellington Branch



Photo #22
Facing west
across Cross
Section #11
along Ellington
Branch




Photo #23
Facing east
(downstream) at
Cross Section
#12 along
Ellington Branch

































Photo #24
Facing north
across Cross
Section #12
along Ellington
Branch



Photo #25
Facing north
(downstream) at
Cross Section
#13 along
Ellington Branch



APPENDIX C-2: MONITORING PHOTOGRAPH SUMMARY CONTINUED

Photo Number and Location	As-Built Photographs taken January 2008	Year 2008 Monitoring Photographs taken September 2008	Year 2009 Monitoring Photographs taken July 2009	Year 2010 Monitoring Photographs taken June 2010	Year 2011 Monitoring Photographs taken August 2011	Year 2012 Monitoring Photographs taken July 2012
Photo #26 Facing west across Cross Section #13 along Ellington Branch						
Photo #27 Facing north (downstream) at Cross Section #14 along Ellington Branch						
Photo #28 Facing west across Cross Section #14 along Ellington Branch						
Photo #29 Facing north (downstream) at Cross Section #15 along Ellington Branch						
Photo #30 Facing west across Cross Section #15 along Ellington Branch						

APPENDIX C-2: MONITORING PHOTOGRAPH SUMMARY CONTINUED

**Photo Number
and Location**

As-Built Photographs taken January 2008

**Year 2008 Monitoring Photographs
taken September 2008**

**Year 2009 Monitoring Photographs
taken July 2009**

**Year 2010 Monitoring Photographs
taken June 2010**

**Year 2011 Monitoring Photographs
taken August 2011**

**Year 2012 Monitoring Photographs
taken July 2012**

Photo #31
Facing east
(downstream) at
Cross Section
#16 along the
Unnamed
Tributary



Photo #32
Facing north
across Cross
Section #16
along the
Unnamed
Tributary



Photo #33
Facing east
(downstream) at
Cross Section
#17 along the
Unnamed
Tributary



Photo #34
Facing north
across Cross
Section #17
along the
Unnamed
Tributary






Photo #35
Facing east
(downstream) at
Cross Section
#18 along the
Unnamed
Tributary



APPENDIX C-2: MONITORING PHOTOGRAPH SUMMARY CONTINUED

Photo Number
and Location

As-Built Photographs taken January 2008

Year 2008 Monitoring Photographs
taken September 2008

Year 2009 Monitoring Photographs
taken July 2009

Year 2010 Monitoring Photographs
taken June 2010

Year 2011 Monitoring Photographs
taken August 2011

Year 2012 Monitoring Photographs
taken July 2012

Photo #36
Facing north
across Cross
Section #18
along the
Unnamed
Tributary



Photo #37
Facing east
(downstream) at
Cross Section
#19 along the
Unnamed
Tributary



Photo #38
Facing north
across Cross
Section #19
along the
Unnamed
Tributary



Photo #39
Facing east
(downstream) at
Cross Section
#20 along the
Unnamed
Tributary






Photo #40
Facing north
across Cross
Section #20
along the
Unnamed
Tributary



APPENDIX C-2: MONITORING PHOTOGRAPH SUMMARY CONTINUED

**Photo Number
and Location**

As-Built Photographs taken January 2008

**Year 2008 Monitoring Photographs
taken September 2008**

**Year 2009 Monitoring Photographs
taken July 2009**

**Year 2010 Monitoring Photographs
taken June 2010**

**Year 2011 Monitoring Photographs
taken August 2011**

**Year 2012 Monitoring Photographs
taken July 2012**

Photo #41
Facing north
(downstream) at
Cross Section
#21 along the
Unnamed
Tributary



Photo #42
Facing west
across Cross
Section #21
along the
Unnamed
Tributary



Photo #43
Facing north
(downstream) at
Cross Section
#22 along the
Unnamed
Tributary



Photo #44
Facing west
across Cross
Section #22
along the
Unnamed
Tributary



APPENDIX C-2: MONITORING PHOTOGRAPH SUMMARY CONTINUED

**Photo Number
and Location**

As-Built Photographs taken January 2008

**Year 2008 Monitoring Photographs
taken September 2008**

**Year 2009 Monitoring Photographs
taken July 2009**

**Year 2010 Monitoring Photographs
taken June 2010**

**Year 2011 Monitoring Photographs
taken August 2011**

**Year 2012 Monitoring Photographs
taken July 2012**

Photo #45
Facing north
(downstream) at
Cross Section
#23 along the
Unnamed
Tributary




Photo #46
Facing west
across Cross
Section #23
along the
Unnamed
Tributary



APPENDIX C-2: MONITORING PHOTOGRAPH SUMMARY CONTINUED - ADDITIONAL PHOTOS

Photo Number and Location

2010 Monitoring Assessment - Taken June 2010

2011 Monitoring Assessment - Taken August 2011

2012 Monitoring Assessment July 2012

Photo #47. Facing east (downstream) along the northern edge of the Unnamed Tributary at fescue from the adjacent pasture.



Photo #48. Facing west (upstream) along the Unnamed Tributary at fescue from the adjacent pasture.



Photo #49. Facing north at fescue within and adjacent to Vegetation Plot #7.




Photo #50. Facing south across Cross Section #16 along the Unnamed Tributary at the springhead and enlarged scour area.



APPENDIX C-3:

CROSS SECTION PLOTS AND RAW DATA TABLES – ELLINGTON BRANCH

**XSC #1 - Ellington Branch Sta. 10+67.7
(pool)**




Facing north (downstream) at Cross Section #1 (2012)



Facing west along Cross Section #1 (2012)

XSC #1																	
As-built			Year 1			Year 2			Year 3			Year 4			Year 5		
Station	Elevation	BKF	Station	Elevation	BKF	Station	Elevation	BKF	Station	Elevation	BKF	Station	Elevation	BKF	Station	Elevation	BKF
0	351.32		0	351.38		0	351.36		0	351.37		3	351.61		0	351.37	
2	351.37		2	351.4		4	351.77		3	351.53		5	351.59		2	351.36	
4	351.83		4	351.8		7	351.25		6	351.23		9	350.63		4	351.55	
6	351.53		7	351.23		11	350.03	349.15	10	350.25		14	349.19		9	350.69	
8	351.03		10	350.3		15	349.15	349.15	13	349.31		15	348.98	348.97	13	349.32	
10	350.36		14.6	348.96	348.96	15.7	346.72	349.15	15	348.88	348.88	16	346.3	348.97	15.2	349.01	348.13
12	349.74		15.7	345.66	348.96	17	346.92	349.15	16	344.72	348.88	17	345.81	348.97	16	346.33	348.13
14	349.24	349.24	16.9	345.71	348.96	19	347.27	349.15	17	344.94	348.88	18	345.47	348.97	17	345.93	348.13
16.3	347.64	349.24	18.4	346.06	348.96	20.3	347.71	349.15	18	345.29	348.88	19	345.62	348.97	18.5	345.88	348.13
18	345.61	349.24	19.7	346.69	348.96	22	348.59	349.15	19	345.63	348.88	20.4	346.33	348.97	20	346.35	348.13
20	346.19	349.24	21	347.1	348.96	24	348.86	349.15	20	346	348.88	20.7	347.55	348.97	20.7	346.75	348.13
21.4	347.22	349.24	21.4	347.32	348.96	27	348.89		20.3	347.49	348.88	21	348.64	348.97	20.8	348.13	348.13
23.2	347.65	349.24	24	348.23	348.96	29	349.59		21	348.22	348.88	22	348.97	348.97	22	348.9	
25	348.09	349.24	26.3	348.62	348.96	33	350.51		23	349.13		25	349.51		26.6	349.4	
27	348.85	349.24	30	349.65		40.7	351		25	349.53		28.5	349.45		29	349.43	
32	350.27	349.24	35	350.74					29	349.35		31	350		32	350.31	
34	350.69		40.7	351.01					34	350.74		35	350.87		35	350.77	
37	351								40.9	350.79		39	351.01		41	351.1	
40.9	351											40.8	351.06				

**XSC #3 - Ellington Branch Sta. 16+25.2
(pool)**




Facing north (downstream) at Cross Section #3 (2012)



Facing west along Cross Section #3 (2012)

XSC #3 - Pool																	
As-built			Year 1			Year 2			Year 3			Year 4			Year 5		
Station	Elevation	BKF	Station	Elevation	BKF	Station	Elevation	BKF	Station	Elevation	BKF	Station	Elevation	BKF	Station	Elevation	BKF
0	348.77		0	348.73		0	348.77		0	348.62		0	348.82		0	348.8	
2	348.28		3	347.77		5	346.89		7	346.3		7	346.47		4	347.53	
7	346.39		6	346.5		6.5	346.4		14	345.75		16	345.64		8	346.37	
15	345.66		13	345.96		17	345.55		20	345.42		26	345.05	343.97	14	345.95	
26	344.96	343.9	19	345.47		26	345.02	345.02	25	345.07		28.4	343.72	343.97	21	345.6	
29.2	343.02	343.9	25.5	345.05		28	343.87	345.02	27	344.48		30.7	342.06	343.97	25.5	345.18	
31	342.07	343.9	27.5	343.98	343.98	29.2	343.24	345.02	29.3	343.55	343.55	33	341.32	343.97	28.5	343.95	343.95
33.3	341.07	343.9	28.5	343.31	343.98	31	342.3	345.02	32	341.51	343.55	35	341.84	343.97	29.8	343.36	343.95
36.3	341.02	343.9	30.8	342.34	343.98	32.5	341.44	345.02	34	340.59	343.55	38	342.76	343.97	30.2	342.53	343.95
39.5	343.02	343.9	34	340.78	343.98	35.6	340.86	345.02	37	341.21	343.55	40	343.64	343.97	31.3	341.71	343.95
42	343.9	343.9	36.3	341.25	343.98	37	341.68	345.02	39	342.72	343.55	42	343.97	343.97	33.2	341.3	343.95
47	344.39		38	342.08	343.98	39.3	343.2	345.02	40	343.58		49	344.74		34.8	341.76	343.95
55	345.43		40	343.36	343.98	42	343.94	345.02	44	344.34		57	345.41		36.5	342.18	343.95
61	345.67		44	344.2		49	344.75	345.02	49	344.61		61	345.61		39	343.38	343.95
66	346.72		50	344.78		57	345.4	345.02	57	345.23		64	346.37		41	344.16	343.95
			56	345.41		62	345.82		63	345.94					46	344.57	
			61	345.57											54	345.34	
			66.2	346.67											62	345.99	
															66	346.96	

**XSC #7 - Ellington Branch Sta. 28+23.2
(riffle)**




Facing north (downstream) at Cross Section #7 (2012)



Facing west along Cross Section #7 (2012)

XSC #7 - Riffle																	
As-built			Year 1			Year 2			Year 3			Year 4			Year 5		
Station	Elevation	BKF	Station	Elevation	BKF	Station	Elevation	BKF	Station	Elevation	BKF	Station	Elevation	BKF	Station	Elevation	BKF
0	339.87		0	339.89		0	339.94		4	339.78		3	339.93		0	339.94	
5	339.72		5	339.75		6	339.72		12	338.64		7	339.41		5	339.8	
10	338.93		9	339.19		11	338.87		20	337.75		10	338.98		12	338.73	
15	338.37		14	338.39		18	338.14		26.5	337.88		14	338.43		19	338.1	
20	337.75		21	338.09		27	337.91	337.64	29.5	336.9	337.7	19	337.88	337.85	22	338.1	
25	337.88		27	337.78		29	337.23	337.64	30.5	336.35	337.7	24	338	337.85	25.2	338.09	
27	337.8	337.5	29	337.2	337.6	31	336.61	337.64	32.5	336.42	337.7	27	337.89	337.85	27.5	338.08	338.08
30.4	336.51	337.5	30.7	336.58	337.6	33	336.45	337.64	32.8	336.27	337.7	29	337.28	337.85	28.3	337.69	338.08
31.8	336.35	337.5	32.5	336.32	337.6	33.9	336.15	337.64	33.8	335.81	337.7	30.3	336.84	337.85	29.5	337.43	338.08
32.7	336.24	337.5	33.3	336.25	337.6	35	336.42	337.64	35	336.43	337.7	32	336.53	337.85	30.8	336.89	338.08
34	336.18	337.5	34.1	336.12	337.6	36	336.53	337.64	37	336.77	337.7	32.5	336.26	337.85	32.5	336.54	338.08
34.8	336.31	337.5	36.2	336.28	337.6	38	336.87	337.64	39	336.82	337.7	33.3	336.19	337.85	33	336.4	338.08
35.8	336.22	337.5	38.5	336.6	337.6	40	337.2	337.64	41.5	337.7	337.7	34.2	336.08	337.85	34.5	336.36	338.08
36.8	336.36	337.5	41	337.6	337.6	41	337.64	337.64	57	338.65		34.8	336.55	337.85	35.2	336.52	338.08
38	336.44	337.5	44	337.95		47	338.21		63.5	340.79		35.8	336.6	337.85	38	337.2	338.08
39	336.71	337.5	51	338.33		57	338.74					38	337.16	337.85	40.7	337.65	338.08
42	337.79	337.5	56.4	338.65		60	339.99					39.7	337.01	337.85	45	338.13	338.08
48	338.26		60	339.88								42	337.85	337.85	51	338.53	
56	338.62		63.7	340.79								46	338.12		56.7	338.84	
60	340.04											56.5	338.66		60	340.1	
63.7	340.87											61	340.46		64	341.05	
												63.6	340.94				

**XSC #9 - Ellington Branch Sta. 31+88.3
(pool)**




Facing north (downstream) at Cross Section #9 (2012)



Facing west along Cross Section #9 (2012)

XSC #9 - Pool																	
As-built			Year 1			Year 2			Year 3			Year 4			Year 5		
Station	Elevation	BKF	Station	Elevation	BKF	Station	Elevation	BKF	Station	Elevation	BKF	Station	Elevation	BKF	Station	Elevation	BKF
0	336.15		0	336.14		0	336.14		4	335.75		3	335.8		0	336.14	
5	335.86		7	335.85		14	335.69		15	335.59		11	335.67		15	335.67	
12	335.75		14	335.8		21	335.52		25	335.36		18	335.48		28	335.31	
20	335.46		22	335.49		33	335.16	335.16	34	335.01	335.01	27	335.35		34	334.9	334.95
33	335.15	335.15	27	335.28		34.7	334.61	335.16	35.4	333.89	335.01	33	335.15		35.8	333.56	334.95
34.6	334.66	335.15	33.5	335.04	335.04	35.2	333.95	335.16	36	333.51	335.01	34	335.01	335.01	38	333.1	334.95
35.1	334.03	335.15	34.5	334.62	335.04	37	332.82	335.16	38	333	335.01	35	334.63	335.01	40	332.45	334.95
35.5	333.39	335.15	34.9	334.08	335.04	39	332.4	335.16	41	332.09	335.01	35.5	333.96	335.01	41	332.16	334.95
37.2	332.75	335.15	36	333.11	335.04	41	332.3	335.16	43	333.02	335.01	37	333.42	335.01	42	332.19	334.95
39	332.4	335.15	37.5	332.69	335.04	43	333.07	335.16	44.1	333.9	335.01	39	332.82	335.01	43.3	332.45	334.95
40.5	332.14	335.15	39	332.45	335.04	44.6	333.94	335.16	47	335.05		40.6	332.28	335.01	43.8	333.35	334.95
42.3	332.44	335.15	41	332.24	335.04	47	334.93	335.16	53	335.55		42.7	332.3	335.01	44.4	333.8	334.95
44	333.66	335.15	43.5	333.43	335.04	55	335.45		61	335.66		44	333.35	335.01	47	334.95	334.95
44.8	333.86	335.15	44.5	333.78	335.04	61	335.63		66	337.29		44.5	333.93	335.01	50	335.2	
44.9	334.02	335.15	44.9	334.06	335.04	63	336.11		69.8	338.08		45	333.99	335.01	55	335.41	
46	334.74	335.15	46.4	334.74	335.04	69.8	338.08					46	334.58	335.01	60	335.46	
47.5	335.21	335.15	49.2	335.11								47	335.01	335.01	66	337.08	
55	335.42		52	335.22								50	335.28		70	337.98	
60	335.61		56	335.36								55	335.43				
66	337.3		61	335.6								60	335.45				
69.8	338.06		65	336.99								65	336.8				
			69.8	338.1								70	338.11				

**XSC #12 - Ellington Branch Sta. 38+49.7
(riffle)**




Facing east (downstream) at Cross Section #12 (2012)



Facing west along Cross Section #12 (2012)

XSC #12 - Riffle																	
As-built			Year 1			Year 2			Year 3			Year 4			Year 5		
Station	Elevation	BKF	Station	Elevation	BKF	Station	Elevation	BKF	Station	Elevation	BKF	Station	Elevation	BKF	Station	Elevation	BKF
0	334.03		0	334.05		0	334.05		0	334.02		0	334.04		0	334.04	
7	333.51		24	332.2		5	333.72		6	333.65		6	333.62		5	333.81	
14	332.55		27.5	332.13		12	333		13	332.78		14	332.54		10	333.3	
20	332.13		29	331.58	331.96	19	332.26		26	332.26		22	332.16		14	332.66	
27	332.09	331.96	30.6	330.59	331.96	27.5	332.12	332.12	28	331.97	331.97	26	332.27		19	332.29	
29	331.5	331.96	31.9	330.35	331.96	29	331.55	332.12	30	331.05	331.97	28	332.03	331.94	24.5	332.16	
30.5	330.53	331.96	33	330.12	331.96	31	330.56	332.12	32.3	330.51	331.97	29	331.63	331.94	28	332.03	
31.3	330.37	331.96	33.8	330	331.96	31.6	330.44	332.12	33	330.03	331.97	30	330.88	331.94	29.4	331.46	331.93
32	330.2	331.96	35	330.26	331.96	32.5	330.27	332.12	34	329.83	331.97	31	330.59	331.94	31	330.58	331.93
33	330.09	331.96	36.1	330.2	331.96	33.5	330.04	332.12	35	330.01	331.97	33	330.2	331.94	32.8	330.5	331.93
33.6	330.06	331.96	36.3	330.59	331.96	34.5	330.17	332.12	36.3	330.53	331.97	34	329.92	331.94	33.5	330.29	331.93
35	330.23	331.96	38.7	331.47	331.96	35.5	330.35	332.12	38.6	331.29	331.97	35.2	330.08	331.94	34	329.95	331.93
36.3	330.35	331.96	40	331.96	331.96	36.1	330.46	332.12	39.9	331.95	331.97	36.2	330.4	331.94	34.6	329.92	331.93
37.2	330.57	331.96	45	332.16		37.7	330.98	332.12	47	332.16		36.8	330.82	331.94	35	330.1	331.93
38	331.17	331.96	49	332.25		39.5	331.85	332.12	53	333.23		38	331.17	331.94	35.4	330.17	331.93
40	331.96	331.96	53	333.25		45	332.23	332.12				40	331.94	331.94	35.8	330.25	331.93
44	332.13		56.3	333.41		49.3	332.3					43	331.97		36.6	330.38	331.93
49	332.26					53	333.31					49	332.23		37	330.76	331.93
54	333.35											54	333.37		38.5	331.3	331.93
56.2	333.37														40	331.93	331.93
															42	332.03	
															49	332.2	
															52	333.11	
															56.5	333.42	

**XSC #13 - Ellington Branch Sta. 40+99.5
(pool)**




Facing north (downstream) at Cross Section #13 (2012)



Facing west along Cross Section #13 (2012)

XSC #13 - Pool																	
As-built			Year 1			Year 2			Year 3			Year 4			Year 5		
Station	Elevation	BKF	Station	Elevation	BKF	Station	Elevation	BKF	Station	Elevation	BKF	Station	Elevation	BKF	Station	Elevation	BKF
0	333.9								0	333.96		0	333.99		0	333.99	
4	332.94		5	332.57		4	332.88		10	331.37		4	332.94		4	333.02	
7	331.77		8	331.57		9	331.53		15	330.86		11	331.23		7	331.78	
12	331.15		14	330.89		14	330.89		20.2	330.61	330.61	17	330.79	330.56	15.6	330.87	
19	330.58	330.58	18	330.65	330.65	18	330.69		22.2	327.01	330.61	20	330.37	330.56	18	330.7	
21	329.95	330.58	19.6	330.38	330.65	20	330.63	330.49	24.5	326.99	330.61	21	329.58	330.56	20.4	330.14	330.35
21.5	329.52	330.58	21	329.59	330.65	21	330.04	330.49	27	327.61	330.61	21.5	327.04	330.56	22	327.12	330.35
22	328.81	330.58	22.7	328.56	330.65	22	328.68	330.49	29	328.7	330.61	23	326.9	330.56	23.6	326.9	330.35
24	328.37	330.58	24	328.39	330.65	22	328.09	330.49	29.6	329.54	330.61	24	326.81	330.56	25	326.81	330.35
25.6	327.9	330.58	25	328.04	330.65	23.3	327.24	330.49	32	329.91	330.61	26	326.89	330.56	26.5	326.95	330.35
28	328.44	330.58	26.7	327.83	330.65	25.5	327.5	330.49	38	330.37	330.61	28	328	330.56	28	327.57	330.35
30	329.46	330.58	29	329.03	330.65	27.5	328.63	330.49	50	330.91		29	328.62	330.56	29.1	328.38	330.35
30.5	329.56	330.58	31.2	329.62	330.65	28.3	329.19	330.49	64	331.29		29.1	328.88	330.56	29.2	328.53	330.35
34	330.08	330.58	37	330.31	330.65	30	329.56	330.49	73	331.73		29.7	329.55	330.56	29.7	329.47	330.35
39	330.38	330.58	46	330.98		33.5	330.01	330.49				31	329.72	330.56	30.7	329.67	330.35
48	331.06	330.58	58	331.03		40	330.49	330.49				32	330.15	330.56	34	330.24	330.35
60	331.21		69	331.25		50	330.9					33	330.11	330.56	42	330.62	
70	331.3		76.1	332.11		60	331.17					36	330.56	330.56	55	331.23	
76.1	332.11					69	331.28					46	330.98		70	331.27	
						76.1	332.14					59	331.05		76.4	332.11	
												73	331.72				

**XSC #14 - Ellington Branch Sta. 44+22.4
(riffle)**




Facing north (downstream) at Cross Section #14 (2012)



Facing west along Cross Section #14 (2012)

XSC #14 - Riffle																	
As-built			Year 1			Year 2			Year 3			Year 4			Year 5		
Station	Elevation	BKF	Station	Elevation	BKF	Station	Elevation	BKF	Station	Elevation	BKF	Station	Elevation	BKF	Station	Elevation	BKF
0	331.07		0	331.04		4	330.23		4	330.2		0	331.03		0	331.03	
4	330.21		4	330.17					11	328.85		6	329.75		5	330.01	
10	329.09		9	329.16		13	328.65	328.55	20	328.1	328.1	13	328.67		12	328.66	
16	328.41	328.41	14	328.41		20	328.01	328.55	22	327.53	328.1	19	328.15	328.03	24	327.58	328.26
21	327.76	328.41	19	328.1	328.1	23	327.34	328.55	28.2	327.21	328.1	22.6	327.43	328.03	27	327.74	328.26
25	326.99	328.41	21.5	327.53	328.1	26	327.18	328.55	29.4	326.54	328.1	24.6	327.62	328.03	28.2	327.42	328.26
26	326.89	328.41	23.2	327.2	328.1	28	327.42	328.55	30.8	326.24	328.1	27	327.59	328.03	28.7	326.25	328.26
27	327	328.41	25.1	326.99	328.1	28.5	326.97	328.55	31.8	327.3	328.1	28.2	327.3	328.03	29.3	326.4	328.26
28	327.02	328.41	26.6	327.05	328.1	29	326.62	328.55	33.7	328.42		28.6	326.94	328.03	29.8	326.06	328.26
29	326.85	328.41	28.4	326.84	328.1	29.7	326.14	328.55	37	328.78		29.3	326.44	328.03	31.4	326.44	328.26
30	326.8	328.41	29.3	326.27	328.1	30.5	326.37	328.55	42	329.08		30.6	326.23	328.03	31.6	326.88	328.26
30.8	326.88	328.41	30	325.91	328.1	31.2	327.25	328.55	47	330.77		32.1	326.81	328.03	32.3	327.49	328.26
30.9	326.98	328.41	31.2	327.13	328.1	32.7	328.06	328.55	52	331.17		32.3	327.53	328.03	34	328.26	328.26
32.5	327.87	328.41	33	328.08		35	328.55	328.55				33	328.03	328.03	38	328.67	
34.5	328.48	328.41	35	328.42		40	328.88					34	328.35		41	328.78	
41	328.83		39	328.75		43	329.32					37	328.74		44	329.39	
46	330.29		42	328.94		49	331.02					42	328.97		47	330.42	
51.9	331.12		46	330.28		51.9	331.27					45	329.86		52	331.05	
			50	331.05								49	330.96				
			51.8	331.15													

**XSC #17 - UT to Ellington Branch
Sta. 12+35.1 (riffle)**




Facing east (downstream) at Cross Section #17 (2012)



Facing north along Cross Section #17 (2012)

XSC#17 - Riffle																	
As-built			Year 1			Year 2			Year 3			Year 4			Year 5		
Station	Elevation	BKF	Station	Elevation	BKF	Station	Elevation	BKF	Station	Elevation	BKF	Station	Elevation	BKF	Station	Elevation	BKF
0	349.78		3	348.68		4	348.28		4	348.28		5	348.06		0	349.78	
7	347.3		6	347.69		7	347.35		8	347.19		6.2	347.66		2	349.17	
13.7	346.65	346.65	9	347		11	346.68		13.7	346.67	346.67	11	346.81		6	347.65	
14.3	346.24	346.65	14	346.59		13.7	346.68		14.4	346.44	346.67	13.7	346.69	346.69	11	346.83	
14.3	345.69	346.65	14.3	346.4	346.4	14.3	346.25	346.25	15	346.21	346.67	14.8	346.25	346.69	13.2	346.74	346.74
15.4	345.64	346.65	15	345.91	346.4	14.3	345.87	346.25	16	346.33	346.67	15.4	346.02	346.69	14.4	346.13	346.74
16.6	345.83	346.65	16	345.82	346.4	15.2	345.94	346.25	17	346.45	346.67	16	346.06	346.69	15.4	346.16	346.74
17.5	346.03	346.65	17	345.98	346.4	16.3	345.94	346.25	19.6	346.68		16.5	346.23	346.69	16	346.02	346.74
18.8	346.23	346.65	19.1	346.39	346.4	17.4	346.08	346.25	21	346.75		21	346.68	346.69	16.5	346.14	346.74
23	346.96	346.65	21	346.74		18.2	346.25	346.25	28	347.75		27	347.62		17.2	346.27	346.74
28	347.64		23	346.97		20	346.62		34	348.29		32	348.03		18.6	346.48	346.74
36	348.62		25	347.27		24	347.24		41.6	350.35		37	348.56		22.6	346.7	
41.6	350.26		29	347.79		30	347.86								28	347.74	
			35	348.31		35	348.35								35	348.25	
			39	348.95		38.5	348.94								39.5	348.99	
			41.7	350.37		41.5	350.37								41	350.3	
															41.7	350.36	

**XSC #19 - UT to Ellington Branch
15+39.2 (riffle)**




Facing east (downstream) at Cross Section #19 (2012)



Facing north along Cross Section #19 (2012)

XSC #19 - Riffle																	
As-built			Year 1			Year 2			Year 3			Year 4			Year 5		
Station	Elevation	BKF	Station	Elevation	BKF	Station	Elevation	BKF	Station	Elevation	BKF	Station	Elevation	BKF	Station	Elevation	BKF
0	345.83		0	345.83		0	345.86		0	345.87		0	345.88		0	345.88	
5	345.44		4	345.55		4	345.57		5	345.45		5	345.44		5	345.54	
10	344.61		9	344.76		8	344.86		10	344.72		8	344.88		10	344.74	
18	344.47	344.47	13	344.58		13	344.66		18	344.53	344.53	14	344.7		17	344.58	
18.8	343.7	344.47	18	344.67		17	344.49	344.49	19	344.2	344.53	17.5	344.47	344.47	19.5	344.13	344.5
20	343.51	344.47	19	344	344.51	18.5	344.3	344.49	20	343.63	344.53	19	343.99	344.47	20	343.89	344.5
21	343.56	344.47	19.5	343.9	344.51	18.8	344.06	344.49	21	343.7	344.53	20	343.7	344.47	20.7	343.57	344.5
22	343.61	344.47	20.5	343.69	344.51	19.5	343.94	344.49	22	343.82	344.53	22	343.61	344.47	21.1	343.4	344.5
22.7	343.69	344.47	22.8	343.76	344.51	20.8	343.63	344.49	24	344.11	344.53	23	343.98	344.47	22	343.9	344.5
24	344.01	344.47	23	343.71	344.51	22	343.67	344.49	26	344.81		26	344.82	344.47	24.4	344.23	344.5
26	344.81	344.47	23.7	343.97	344.51	23.7	344.03	344.49	30	344.94		30	345.06		26.3	344.83	
32	345.17		25	344.51	344.51	25.3	344.62	344.49	34	345.51		39	346.1		29	345.05	
40	346.3		26	344.77		27	344.97		40	346.32		46	346.27		32	345.17	
48.4	346.39		30	344.99		31	345.07		45	346.27					37.3	345.94	
			34	345.56		34	345.56								49	346.42	
			39	346.18		39	346.23										
			45	346.35		44	346.37										
						48.5	346.4										

**XSC #21 - UT to Ellington Branch
Sta. 18+64.8 (riffle)**




Facing north (downstream) at Cross Section #21 (2012)



Facing west along Cross Section #21 (2012)

XSC #21 - Riffle																	
As-built			Year 1			Year 2			Year 3			Year 4			Year 5		
Station	Elevation	BKF	Station	Elevation	BKF	Station	Elevation	BKF	Station	Elevation	BKF	Station	Elevation	BKF	Station	Elevation	BKF
0	343.35		0	343.35		0	343.35		0	343.44		4	343.56		0	343.44	
6	343.38		5	343.53		4	343.61		4	343.63		10	342.75		5	343.47	
12	342.31		10	342.7		8	343.03		8	343.12		15	341.55		10	342.55	
15	341.47		13	342.12		13	342.07		15	341.56		19	341.5		14.5	341.55	
21	341.38	341.38	15	341.48		16	341.42		21	341.49		21	341.45	341.45	19.5	341.5	
23	340.66	341.38	18	341.43		20	341.36		22	341.39	341.39	23.5	340.8	341.45	22.5	341.2	341.44
24	340.56	341.38	20	341.34	341.34	22	341.18	341.35	23.6	340.8	341.39	25	340.46	341.45	23.8	340.85	341.44
25	340.49	341.38	21.5	341.26	341.34	22.7	341.02	341.35	25	340.6	341.39	26.3	340.44	341.45	25	340.81	341.44
26	340.5	341.38	22.7	340.91	341.34	23.3	340.65	341.35	26	340.58	341.39	27.3	340.78	341.45	25.4	340.31	341.44
27	340.53	341.38	23.4	340.6	341.34	24.5	340.53	341.35	27.3	340.81	341.39	28	341.49	341.45	25.9	340.19	341.44
27.4	340.58	341.38	24	340.54	341.34	25.6	340.5	341.35	28.2	341.52		34	341.46		26.7	340.26	341.44
28.1	341.54	341.38	25	340.46	341.34	26.8	340.79	341.35	33	341.42		39	341.83		27.3	340.58	341.44
37	341.48		26	340.49	341.34	27.5	340.97	341.35	37	341.55		43	342.73		28.3	341.44	341.44
48	343.92		27	340.64	341.34	29	341.35	341.35	39	341.8		48	343.96		29.5	341.44	
53	344.25		27.5	340.89	341.34	32	341.28		48	343.98		53.2	344.26		32	341.42	
			28	341.46		37	341.52								34.5	341.4	
			31	341.3		42	342.56								37	341.45	
			36	341.37		48	343.97								42	342.41	
			41	342.23		53	344.22								47	343.65	
			47	343.69											53.6	344.16	
			53	344.22													

**XSC #22 - UT to Ellington Branch
Sta. 19+73.0 (pool)**




Facing north (downstream) at Cross Section #22 (2012)



Facing west along Cross Section #22 (2012)

XSC #22 - Pool													
As-built		Year 1		Year 2		Year 3		Year 4		Year 5			
Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation
0	342.65	0	342.73	0	342.71	0	342.76	0	342.75	0	342.75	0	342.75
3	342.5	2	342.69	2.4	342.68	3	342.63	3	342.58	3	342.58	3	342.73
7	340.75	6	341.04	8	340.56	8	340.65	8	340.61	5	341.57		
12.5	340.51	9	340.58	13	340.47	13.5	340.38	12.6	340.55	340.55	8	340.64	
15	339.33	12	340.58	14	339.99	15	339.59	13.7	340.15	340.55	12.3	340.6	340.6
15.3	339.19	13.5	340.24	14.6	339.74	17	338.83	14.5	339.63	340.55	13.7	340.18	340.6
17	338.9	14.5	339.56	16	339.05	19	338.71	15	339.2	340.55	15.1	339.44	340.6
18	338.75	15.5	339.16	17	338.9	19.7	338.78	16	338.93	340.55	16.2	339.45	340.6
19	338.81	16.5	338.93	18	338.77	20.7	339.57	17	338.83	340.55	17.6	338.87	340.6
20	339.26	17.6	338.68	19	338.77	23	339.81	18.5	338.67	340.55	18.6	338.79	340.6
21.5	339.36	18.9	338.79	20	339.24	31	340.69	20	339.27	340.55	19.8	339.4	340.6
24	339.86	20.5	339.3	22.3	339.73	41	342.33	21	339.64	340.55	22	339.8	340.6
27	340.07	21.7	339.54	29	340.28			23	339.9	340.55	31	340.75	
32	340.77	24	339.91	34	341.15			27	340.17	340.55	36	341.6	
40	342.24	28	340.21	40	342.28			31	340.72	340.55	39	342.22	
45.4	342.19	33	341.05	42	342.3			36	341.65		45.4	342.22	
		39	342.2					40	342.25				
								43	342.29				

**XSC #23- UT to Ellington Branch
Sta. 22+36.3 (riffle)**



Facing north (downstream) at Cross Section #23 (2012)




Facing west along Cross Section #23 (2012)


XSC #23 - Riffle																	
As-built			Year 1			Year 2			Year 3			Year 4			Year 5		
Station	Elevation	BKF	Station	Elevation	BKF	Station	Elevation	BKF	Station	Elevation	BKF	Station	Elevation	BKF	Station	Elevation	BKF
0	339.71		0	339.71		0	339.71		0	339.71		0	339.57		0	339.71	
4	339.4		4	339.43		4	339.47	338.61	4	339.42		4	339.29		4	339.52	
8	338.15		8	338.15		8	338.16	338.61	8	338.06		6	338.79		8	338.24	
15.5	338.07	338.07	11	338.24		15	338.11	338.61	11	338.1		8	337.99		13	338.31	
17	337.5	338.07	15	338.11		17	337.62	338.61	15.5	338.11	338.11	13	338.16		15.5	338.07	337.99
18	337.29	338.07	16.2	337.98	337.98	18	337.29	338.61	17	337.56	338.11	15	338.02	338.02	16.8	337.29	337.99
19	337.27	338.07	16.9	337.56	337.98	19	336.91	338.61	18	336.07	338.11	16.4	337.42	338.02	17	336.29	337.99
20	337.05	338.07	18	337.28	337.98	20	337.04	338.61	19	335.89	338.11	17	337.04	338.02	18	336	337.99
21	337.14	338.07	19	336.81	337.98	21	337.17	338.61	20.5	336.44	338.11	18	335.82	338.02	19.2	335.97	337.99
22	337.15	338.07	20	337.23	337.98	22	337.14	338.61	22	337.12	338.11	20	336.44	338.02	21	336.89	337.99
23	337.48	338.07	21.5	337.23	337.98	23.2	337.64	338.61	23.5	337.58	338.11	22	337.03	338.02	22.5	337.3	337.99
26.5	338.65	338.07	23.1	337.57	337.98	25	338.33	338.61	26	338.57		23.1	337.41	338.02	24	337.99	337.99
32	338.88		24.7	338.19		26	338.61	338.61	30	338.72		24.5	337.81	338.02	26.4	338.71	
37	339.93		26	338.7		29	338.63		34	339.22		26	338.55	338.02	32	338.92	
42	339.99		30	338.79		34	339.39		39	339.77		31	338.68		37	339.86	
			33	339.07		38	339.86					34	339.24		42.3	340.17	
			37	339.9								40	339.65				
			42.2	340													

**APPENDIX C-4:
LONGITUDINAL PLOTS AND RAW DATA TABLES**

**Profile Reach 1
(EB Sta. 10+20.5 to 16+75.2)**




**Profile Reach 2
(EB Sta. 18+62.9 to 23+96.3)**




- | | | | | |
|--------------------|----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| ◆ As-Built Thalweg | ◆ As-Built Bankfull | ◆ 2008 Thalweg | ◆ 2008 Bankfull | ◆ 2009 Thalweg |
| ▲ 2009 Bankfull | ● 2010 Thalweg | ● 2010 Bankfull | ◆ 2011 Thalweg | ◆ 2011 Bankfull |
| — 2012 Thalweg | — 2012 Water Surface | ■ 2012 Bankfull | | |

**Profile Reach 3
(EB Sta. 29+33.9 to 36+85.3)**




**Profile Reach 4
(EB Sta. 43+49 to 46+96.8)**




Profile Reach #4																													
As-Built					Year 1					Year 2					Year 3					Year 4					Year 5				
Sta.	Elev	WS	Bankfull	Feature	Sta.	Elev	WS	Bankfull	Feature	Sta.	Elev	WS	Bankfull	Feature	Sta.	Elev	WS	Bankfull	Feature	Sta.	Elev	WS	Bankfull	Feature	Sta.	Elev	WS	Bankfull	Feature
4349	327.07	327.6			4349	327.39	327.8	328.7		4349.00	327.06	327.66			4349.00	327.35	327.63			4349.00	327.30	327.60	328.59	4349.00	327.25	327.55			
4361.1	326.94	327.4	328.9		4358.94	327.26	327.7			4356.10	326.72	327.68			4363.02	327.40	327.63	328.76		4361.32	327.21	327.57		Rifle	4361.85	327.18	327.51		Rifle
4370.9	327.04	327.3			4369.29	326.82	327.55			4361.04	327.39	327.62			4368.53	327.16	327.48		Pool	4368.70	326.91	327.47		Pool	4369.23	326.97	327.40		Pool
4377.2	326.93	327.15			4385.61	326.85	327.48	328.48		4371.10	327.14				4373.07	326.68	327.47		MaxD	4375.36	325.55	327.46		MaxD	4375.90	325.50	327.40		MaxD
4404.8	326.79	327.04			4391.51	326.82	327.46			4376.33	326.29	327.34		MaxD	4381.61	326.79	327.47			4384.20	326.63	327.47	328.22		4384.73	326.64	327.40		
4416.5	326.82	327			4406.2	326.21	327.26			4392.28	326.62	327.32			4396.45	327.02	327.49			4396.42	326.90	327.44			4396.95	326.84	327.36		
4422.4	326.8	326.98	328.4		4422.4	325.91	327.12			4403.62	326.94	327.31			4407.63	326.33	327.23			4406.90	326.33	327.27			4407.44	326.30	327.16		
4427.4	326.74	326.93			4427.81	326.78	327.08			4411.83	325.63	327.28		MaxD	4415.71	325.68	327.22		MaxD	4414.05	325.81	327.28			4414.58	325.76	327.02		
4428.6	325.82	326.93			4429.07	325.47	327.05			4429.17	326.87			X.Vane	4422.40	326.24	327.25	328.45	XS#14	4421.81	326.23	327.30		XS#14	4422.34	326.06	326.88	328.26	XS#14
4438.7	325.89	326.82			4434.49	324.95	326.98			4430.85	326.15	327.30		Hpool	4428.67	326.91	327.10			4428.37	326.77	327.18		Pool	4428.90	326.78	326.84		Pool
4447	326.38	326.79			4443.03	326.53	326.98	327.78		4434.07	325.02	327.27			4429.34	326.46	327.07		Pool	4435.61	325.21	327.19		MaxD	4436.14	325.41	326.84		MaxD
4462.4	326.08	326.5			4456.28	326.15	326.9			4441.33	325.61	327.29		MaxD	4435.62	325.38	327.09		MaxD	4441.21	325.84	327.21			4441.74	325.87	326.84		
4477.7	326.23	326.5			4459.23	325.51	326.9			4445.26	326.69	327.29	328.69		4444.74	326.36	327.08			4448.44	326.81	327.20		Rifle	4448.98	326.83	326.82		Rifle
4486	324.97	326.44			4464.59	325.43	326.87	327.64		4460.67	325.81	327.28			4457.54	326.18	327.04	328.53	Pool	4456.20	326.50	327.12			4456.74	326.48	326.80		
4492	325.55	326.44			4471.11	325.99	326.87			4466.11	325.40	327.35	329.29		4465.79	325.46	327.04		MaxD	4465.66	325.63	327.11		MaxD	4466.70	325.31	326.78		MaxD
4495.5	326.26	326.44			4478.33	326.05	326.85			4480.05	326.35	327.18	328.35		4472.40	326.59	327.00			4478.05	326.24	327.04	328.17		4479.10	326.25	326.71		
4510	326.16	326.44			4482.5	325.03	326.81			4490.82	324.75	327.13		MaxD	4477.90	326.50	326.99	328.44	Pool	4485.60	324.70	327.07			4486.64	324.72	326.71		
4523.3	326.19	326.37	327.7		4489.38	326.26	326.81			4497.72	326.45	327.12	328.20		4484.74	324.73	326.93		MaxD	4494.51	326.61	327.02		Rifle	4495.55	326.45	326.59		Rifle
4540.2	326.02	326.32			4503.1	326.17	326.8			4512.79	326.12	327.12			4491.78	326.51	326.84	328.16	Hrif	4499.39	324.72	326.40			4500.43	324.76	326.16		
4555.6	326	326.27			4523.32	326.05	326.72			4530.92	326.14	327.11	327.96		4511.04	325.94	326.40			4507.34	325.94	326.29			4508.38	325.99	325.95		
4568.4	325.91	326.16	327.6		4536.14	325.91	326.7	327.6		4541.61	324.49	327.08		MaxD	4530.56	325.74	326.39		Pool	4525.40	325.50	326.27			4526.45	325.72	325.91		
4585.3	325.48	325.82			4549.8	325.94	326.86	327.57		4553.89	325.91	327.09			4540.50	324.62	326.40		MaxD	4539.12	324.62	326.15			4540.16	324.69	325.88		
4598	324.86	325.82			4553.83	325.09	326.84			4567.16	325.98	327.08			4549.95	325.23	326.34			4552.03	325.77	326.19		Rifle	4553.08	325.78	325.81		Rifle
4591.6	325.5	325.82			4571.3	325.75	326.4			4577.49	325.13	327.06	327.79	MaxD	4569.48	325.62	326.32	327.37		4564.81	325.22	326.10			4565.95	325.41	325.71		
4593.9	325.69	325.82			4585.37	325.24	326.25			4585.09	324.68	327.10	327.64	MaxD	4584.77	325.22	326.36		Pool	4581.97	325.66	326.00			4582.02	325.64	326.61		
4603.2	325.52	325.77	327.3		4591.83	324.75	326.24	327.6		4606.46	325.68	327.06			4591.62	324.73	326.32	327.59	MaxD	4588.65	325.32	325.99		Pool	4589.69	325.27	325.60		Pool
4619.1	325.44	325.72			4599.05	325.76	326.2			4618.10	324.97	327.05		MaxD	4600.72	325.89	326.32			4593.48	324.45	326.01		MaxD	4594.52	324.54	325.60		MaxD
4643.5	325.39	325.7	327.1		4611.03	325.75	326.17	327.3		4634.33	325.25	327.05			4608.20	325.54	326.29		Pool	4604.23	325.31	325.98	326.62		4605.27	325.41	325.58		
4656.3	325.38	325.7			4625.17	325.6	326.16	327.3		4648.97	325.39	327.05			4610.71	324.89	326.29		MaxD	4617.08	325.52	325.98		Rifle	4616.98	325.49	325.57		Rifle
4668.5	323.07	325.7			4639.01	325.53	326.11			4666.01	324.18	327.05		MaxD	4616.58	325.74	326.27			4635.83	325.23	325.86			4635.72	325.38	325.48		
4673.6	323.55	325.7			4661	325.4	326.06			4671.99	323.12	327.05		MaxD	4633.58	325.66	326.02			4663.18	325.25	325.62			4663.88	325.24	325.41		
4679.1	323.46	325.7			4672.42	323.55	326.06			4688.65	325.46	327.01			4654.81	325.11	325.87	326.87	Pool	4666.18	325.06	325.58		Pool	4666.08	325.11	325.37		Pool
4683.6	325.31	325.7			4678.97	323.43	326.05			4696.80	325.41	327.01			4665.87	324.01	325.86			4675.07	323.70	325.45		MaxD	4674.97	323.78	325.37		MaxD
4696.8	325.35	325.7	327		4679.1	322.89	326.05								4679.10	324.29	325.86	326.91	XS#15	4679.05	324.56	325.51	326.41	XS#15	4678.95	325.07	325.36	327.01	XS#15
					4696.8	325.56	325.97	326.86							4686.10	325.48	325.86		Hrif	4684.11	325.31	325.55		Rifle	4684.01	325.34	325.36		Rifle
															4696.80	325.37	325.68	326.87		4696.49	325.20	325.38	326.38		4696.39	325.33	325.35		

**Profile Reach 5
(UT Sta. 12+03.5 to 16+97.3)**




As-Built Thalweg	As-Built Bankfull	2008 Thalweg	2008 Bankfull	2009 Thalweg
2009 Bankfull	2010 Thalweg	2010 Bankfull	2011 Thalweg	2011 Bankfull
2012 Thalweg	2012 Water Surface	2012 Bankfull		

**Profile Reach 6
(UT Sta. 19+02.1 to 21+93.9)**



- | | | | | |
|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| ◆ As-Built Thalweg | ◆ As-Built Bankfull | —■— 2008 Thalweg | ■ 2008 Bankfull | —▲— 2009 Thalweg |
| ▲ 2009 Bankfull | —●— 2010 Thalweg | ● 2010 Bankfull | —×— 2011 Thalweg | × 2011 Bankfull |
| — 2012 Water Surface | —■— 2012 Thalweg | ■ 2012 Bankfull | | |

Year 5 (2012) Monitoring Cummulative Sample - Ellington Branch



APPENDIX C-5 Continued:
PEBBLE COUNT PLOTS AND RAW DATA TABLES – UT TO ELLINGTON BRANCH

PEBBLE COUNT DATA - UNNAMED TRIBUTARY TO ELLINGTON BRANCH																	
Site: Ellington Branch Stream Mitigation Site - Unnamed Tributary										Date: 7/20/2012							
Location: Warren County North Carolina										Party: Robol/ Sauls							
PARTICLE COUNTS																	
Inches	Particle	Millimeter	Group	XS16	XS17	XS18	XS19	XS20	XS21	XS22	XS23				Total No.	Item %	% Cumulative
	Silt/Clay	< 0.062	Silt/Clay	1					6	12	9				28	10.4%	10.4%
	Very Fine	.062 - .125	S	1					7	9	6				23	8.5%	18.9%
	Fine	.125 - .25	A		10	10	10	10	9	7	10				66	24.4%	43.3%
	Medium	.25 - .50	N	3	10	10	10	10	5	5	5				58	21.5%	64.8%
	Coarse	.50 - 1.0	D	3	10	10	10	10	5	3					51	18.9%	83.7%
.04 - .08	Very Coarse	1.0 - 2.0	S	9					4	4					17	6.3%	90.0%
.08 - .16	Very Fine	2.0 - 4.0		10					2						12	4.4%	94.4%
.16 - .22	Fine	4.0 - 5.7	G	4					1						5	1.9%	96.3%
.22 - .31	Fine	5.7 - 8.0	R	2					1						3	1.1%	97.4%
.31 - .44	Medium	8.0 - 11.3	A	5											5	1.9%	99.3%
.44 - .63	Medium	11.3 - 16.0	V	1											1	0.4%	99.6%
.63 - .89	Coarse	16.0 - 22.6	E	1											1	0.4%	100.0%
.89 - 1.26	Coarse	22.6 - 32.0	L												0	0.0%	100.0%
1.26 - 1.77	Very Coarse	32.0 - 45.0	S												0	0.0%	100.0%
1.77 - 2.5	Very Coarse	45.0 - 64.0													0	0.0%	100.0%
2.5 - 3.5	Small	64 - 90	C												0	0.0%	100.0%
3.5 - 5.0	Small	90 - 128	O												0	0.0%	100.0%
5.0 - 7.1	Large	128 - 180	B												0	0.0%	100.0%
7.1 - 10.1	Large	180 - 256	L												0	0.0%	100.0%
10.1 - 14.3	Small	256 - 362	B												0	0.0%	100.0%
14.3 - 20	Small	362 - 512	L												0	0.0%	100.0%
20 - 40	Medium	512 - 1024	D												0	0.0%	100.0%
40 - 80	Lrg- Very Lrg	1024 - 2048	R												0	0.0%	100.0%
	Bedrock		BDRK												0	0.0%	100.0%
Totals				40	30	30	30	30	40	40	30	0	0	0	270	100%	100%
Feature or Pebble Count Type				Pool	Riffle	Pool	Riffle	Pool	Riffle	Pool	Riffle						
(i.e. Riffle, Pool, Pavement, Classification)																	

