

**Farrar Dairy Stream and Wetland Restoration Site
Monitoring Report – MY01
Harnett County, NC**



Submitted to:



NCEEP, 1652 Mail Service Center, Raleigh, NC 27699-1652

December 2009



**Landmark Center II, Suite 220
4601 Six Forks Road
Raleigh, NC 27609
Phone: (919) 278-2512
Fax: (919) 783-9266**

**Project Manager: Tim Morris
Email: tim.morris@kci.com
KCI Project No: 12065438**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	PROJECT BACKGROUND.....	1
1.1	Project Structure, Restoration Type, and Approach	1
1.2	Location and Setting	3
1.3	Project History and Background.....	6
2.0	PROJECT CONDITIONS AND MONITORING RESULTS.....	9
2.1	Vegetation Assessment	9
2.2	Stream Assessment	9
2.2.1	Bankfull Events.....	10
2.2.2	Quantitative Measures Summary Tables	11
2.3	Wetland Assessment.....	25
2.3.1	Wetland Criteria Attainment Tables	25
3.0	SUCCESS CRITERIA.....	26

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.	Project Restoration Components.....	6
Table 2.	Project Activity and Reporting History	7
Table 3.	Project Contact Table.....	7
Table 4.	Project Background Table.....	8
Table 5.	Hydrological (Bankfull) Verifications.....	10
Table 6.	Baseline Stream Summary	11
Table 7.	Morphology and Hydraulic Monitoring Summary	20
Table 8.	Wetland Criteria Attainment.....	25

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.	Vicinity Map	4
Figure 2.	Farrar Dairy Stream and Wetland Restoration Site Map	5

APPENDIX A – VEGETATION DATA

Vegetation Data Tables
Stream and Wetland Vegetation Plot Photos

APPENDIX B – STREAM AND WETLAND PHOTOS

Stream and Wetland Photo Points
Problem Area Photos

APPENDIX C – GEOMORPHOLOGIC AND HYDROLOGIC DATA

Stream Cross-Sections
Stream Longitudinal Profiles
Stream Pebble Counts
Stream and Wetland Hydrographs
Precipitation 30-70 Percentile Graph

APPENDIX D – CURRENT CONDITION PLAN VIEW

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Farrar Dairy Stream and Wetland Restoration Site is a full-delivery project that was developed for the North Carolina Ecosystem Enhancement Program (EEP). Construction was completed in March 2009 on the North Prong of Anderson Creek (NPAC) and its associated tributaries, and 112.0 acres of Coastal Plain Small Stream Swamp wetland community. The project is located within the USGS 8-digit HUC 03030004 and the NCDWQ Sub-basin 03-06-14 in the Cape Fear River Basin. The project restored, enhanced and preserved 13,044 linear feet of channel using a combination of Priority 1 and 2 Approaches, along with Enhancement II, and 112.0 acres of Coastal Plain Small Stream Swamp wetland community, generating 11,881 stream mitigation units and 64.0 wetland mitigation units. The stream design addressed vertical instability problems and a lack of bed variability by stabilizing stream banks, installing in-stream structures, adjusting stream planform, reconnecting the stream to the historic floodplain and replanting the riparian areas with native vegetation. The wetlands were restored by filling ditches, creating microtopography, and planting native trees and shrubs. This report describes the findings from the first year of monitoring that took place in 2009.

The riparian buffer and the restored wetlands were planted with bare root trees and shrubs, and the stream banks were planted with live stakes. Vegetation monitoring plots were established during the as-built survey and included 15 monitoring plots placed throughout the stream buffer and 30 monitoring plots installed in the restored wetland. Vegetation must meet a minimum average density of 320 stems/acre after five years. The first-year monitoring counted an average of 517 stems/acre in the stream plots and 489 stems/acre in the wetland plots. The first-year monitoring found the vegetation component of the project to be on track to meeting the success criteria.

The stream assessment completed during first-year monitoring found the stream to be stable and functioning properly. Channel dimensions have not changed significantly from the as-built conditions. Small portions of localized bank erosion and bed degradation have been noted. These areas have been documented in the Current Condition Plan View. The on-site stream gauges have not recorded any bankfull events since the project was constructed in March 2009.

During the 2009 monitoring year, wetland hydrology was achieved at all seven groundwater monitoring wells in the restoration area and the reference well. To meet the hydrology success criterion, the water table of the restored wetlands must be within 12" of the soil surface continuously for at least 5% (12.5 days) of the 251-day growing season during a year experiencing average rainfall.

The daily rainfall data depicted on the gauge data graphs were obtained from the on-site precipitation gauge. The precipitation gauge was installed at the site after the completion of construction. The daily rainfall data obtained from a local weather station show that the area had average rainfall during the 2009 growing season and the values correlated to the precipitation data recorded on-site.

1.0 PROJECT BACKGROUND

Project Goals and Objectives

The goals and objectives of the restoration project are as follows:

Restoration Goals:

- Restore the site's riparian buffers and forested wetlands.
- Create a stable stream and wetland complex through an interconnected floodplain corridor.

Restoration Objectives:

- Connect the new stream planform to its original floodplain.
- Fill and plug ditches in the drained hydric soils to restore saturated hydrologic conditions to the upper soil horizons.
- Plant a functional Coastal Plain Small Swamp Stream community to create an effective riparian buffer and wetland complex.
- Exclude livestock from the riparian and wetland areas with fencing.

1.1 Project Structure, Restoration Type, and Approach

The pre-restoration channel of NPAC was moved and channelized to maximize the use of an agricultural field adjacent to Powell Farm Road. The other significant hydrologic alterations to the site included ditched wetlands and straightened tributaries that helped convey water through the property. Due to the clearing of the riparian areas, the streams were experiencing significant bank erosion prior to restoration. In addition to the ditching that was installed in the historic wetlands, ponds were also built to attract migratory waterfowl. The project restored, enhanced and preserved 13,044 linear feet of channel using a combination of Priority 1 and 2 Approaches, along with Enhancement II, and 111.9 acres of Coastal Plain Small Stream Swamp wetland community, generating 11,881 stream mitigation units and 64.0 wetland mitigation units (Table 1).

1.1.1 Project Streams

The three design reaches of NPAC (Stations 10+00 to 76+00) were restored to C5 channels. Following a Priority 1 approach, the channel was relocated to its historic location and the bed elevation was brought up, reconnecting the stream to the original floodplain. At Station 10+00, the restored channel begins online at the culvert under Powell Farm Road. At Station 21+00, the channel leaves the pre-restoration location and was returned to the adjacent forest in the location of its historic channel. The new channel comes back online at the end of the project at Station 76+00.

T1.1 and T1.2 (Stations 80+00 to 88+25 and Stations 90+00 to 99+80, respectively) were both restored to C5/B5c headwater channels. At the confluence of these two channels, T1 begins. T1 (Stations 100+00 to 108+84) was restored using the same approach as T1.1 and T1.2. A 31'-wide easement exception occurs at Station 101+00, where a ford crossing was installed for the landowner. The restoration created a new planform, profile, and dimension and increased the sinuosity of these previously straightened channels with a combination of Priority 1 and 2 approaches. Grade control structures such as log sills and step pools were installed along the new channels to create a stable

profile. This restoration also created a bankfull bench in entrenched sections and reconnected the stream to the existing floodplain in others.

T2 was divided into two reaches based on the changing slope of the tributary. T2A (Stations 110+00 to 115+00) was restored to a C5/B5c stream and T2B (Stations 115+00 to 120+22) was restored to an E5 stream type. The hydrologic source for the channel is a seep at the top of T2A. The restoration created a new planform, profile, and dimension and increased the sinuosity of the previously straightened channel with a combination of Priority 1 and 2 approaches. Grade control structures such as log sills and step pools were installed along the new channels to create a stable profile. This restoration created a bankfull bench in some places and reconnected the stream to the existing floodplain in others.

T3 (Stations 130+00 to 141+67) is comprised of a single reach that was restored to a C5 channel. This channel was restored using a Priority 1 approach, with a new planform, profile, and dimension being reconnected to the original floodplain. Two drainage ditches that were adjacent to T3 were filled as part of the wetland restoration, reestablishing T3 as the primary hydrologic feature in this area.

T4 is separated into two reaches. The first reach (Stations 150+00 to 151+80) was enhanced (EII) by planting portions of the easement that had been logged and removing significant amounts of logging debris that had accumulated in the channel, creating unstable conditions. The second reach (Stations 151+80 to 164+20) was preserved. Near Station 162+00, the stream flows out of the easement for approximately 100 feet, but then comes back into the easement. The stationing continues from where the stream left the easement.

1.1.2 Project Wetlands

Wetland Area 1 preserves approximately 46 acres of well-vegetated palustrine forested, scrub-shrub and emergent wetlands that are along the floodplain of the NPAC. The preservation area is dominated by various wetland sedges, rushes and persistent emergent vegetation, but also contains large scrub-shrub alder thickets that are permanently inundated.

Starting from the west and continuing to the east, Wetland Area 2 is located in the general vicinity of Tributary 1. Portions of this area, which is comprised of six wetlands separated by the restored stream, were historically cleared as part of the site's agricultural operations. This area was enhanced through the planting of bare root material. This wetland also borders the restored NPAC channel, and because NPAC has been reconnected to its floodplain, overbank flooding inundates the adjacent wetlands.

Enhancement in Wetland Area 3 took place in the central portion of the site. The wetland includes a shallow pond and adjacent overbank areas of NPAC. Wetland Area 3 is located adjacent to a section of NPAC where overbank flows will have regular access to the floodplain, thus increasing hydrology to the wetlands. This area was planted with wetland trees and shrubs and graded to eliminate the man-made berms that impounded excess surface water.

Wetland Area 4 is located in an area that was heavily manipulated by the landowner to create a series of shallow impoundments intended to attract migratory waterfowl. The impoundments contained water control structures that allowed the landowner to manipulate water levels within the impoundments. These impoundments were regraded to create a mosaic of vegetated wet hummocks throughout the wetland. Wetland W4 serves as a transitional area between the ponded features and the wetland preservation area. This area was planted with bare root seedlings and treated to control invasive species.

Wetland Area 5 includes all the site's restored wetlands. These areas are within the floodplain of the NPAC and its tributaries, which had historically been hydrologically altered to allow for agricultural production. Four main construction techniques were utilized to restore these wetland areas:

1. Raising the elevation of the NPAC and its tributaries to re-establish an active floodplain connected to the adjacent wetlands.
2. Filling existing ditches and removing tile drains to discourage rapid groundwater discharge to surface water receptors.
3. Scarifying the top 0.5' of organic surface soil to re-establish soil structure and allow for increased surface storage (microtopography). This material was not removed from the site, but simply re-worked to maximize the ability of the surface soils to retain surface and groundwater hydrology.
4. Planting native species of wetland plants and shrubs.

Table 1 below provides a summary of the mitigation actions and units generated from this project.

1.2 Location and Setting

The Farrar Dairy Site is located off of Farrar Dairy Road in southern Harnett County, North Carolina, and is approximately 8.5 miles southwest of Lillington, North Carolina (Figure 1). To reach the site from Raleigh, drive south out of Raleigh on US 401 toward Fuquay-Varina, continuing south from Fuquay-Varina on US-401/US-421 toward Lillington. Turn right onto NC-210 and continue south through Lillington for approximately 6.5 miles to Darroch Road. Turn right onto Darroch Road and continue approximately 3 miles to Powell Farm Road. Turn left onto Powell Farm Road, drive approximately 1.5 miles and the entrance to the site will be on the left through the driveway of the red ranch style home.










Figure 1. Vicinity Map

-  Project Site Location
-  Major Roads
-  Other Roads
-  Major Rivers
-  Municipalities
-  County Boundaries



1:126,720

1 inch equals 2 miles






Figure 2 - Farrar Dairy Stream and Wetland Restoration Site Map

Project Easement Boundary	Wetland Restoration (43.8 ac)
Enhancement II	Wetland Enhancement (22.1 ac)
Preservation	Wetland Preservation (45.9 ac)
Restoration	
Other Streams	
Reach Breaks	

Scale: 1:7,200
1 inch equals 600 feet

Source: Orthoimagery 2008, Hamett County GIS/Land Records




Table 2. Project Activity and Reporting History Farrar Dairy Stream and Wetland Restoration		
Activity or Report	Data Collection Complete	Completion or Delivery
Final Design - Wetland	Jun 05	May 08
Construction - Wetland	N/A	Mar 09
Planting - Wetland	N/A	Mar 09
Restoration Plan	2007	May 08
Final Design - Stream	2007	May 08
Construction - Stream	N/A	Mar 09
Planting - Stream	N/A	Jan 08
Mitigation Plan / As-Built (Year 0 Monitoring - Baseline)	May 09	Jun 09
Year 1 Monitoring	Dec 09	Dec 09

Table 3. Project Contact Table Farrar Dairy Stream and Wetland Restoration	
Design Firm	KCI Technologies, Inc. Landmark Center II, Suite 220 4601 Six Forks Rd. Raleigh, NC 27609 Contact: Mr. Tim Morris Phone: (919) 278-2512 Fax: (919) 783-9266
Construction Contractor	Land Mechanics, Inc. 126 Circle G Lane Willow Springs, NC 27592 Contact: Mr. Lloyd Glover Phone: (919) 639-6132 Fax: (919) 639-7079
Planting Contractor	Bruton Nurseries and Landscapes PO Box 1197 Freemont, NC 27830 Contact: Mr. Charlie Bruton Phone: (919) 242-6555
Monitoring Performers	
MY-00 - MY-05	KCI Technologies, Inc. Landmark Center II, Suite 220 4601 Six Forks Rd. Raleigh, NC 27609 Contact: Mr. Adam Spiller Phone: (919) 278-2514 Fax: (919) 783-9266

Table 4. Project Background Table		
Farrar Dairy Stream and Wetland Restoration Site		
Project County	Harnett County	
Physiographic Region	Coastal Plain	
Ecoregion	Sand Hills	
Project River Basin	Cape Fear	
USGS HUC for Project and Reference	03030004110010 (Anderson Creek)	
	03030004150050 (Little Rockfish Creek - reference)	
	03030002050100 (UT to Wilkinson Creek - reference)	
NCDWQ Sub-basin for Project and Reference	03-06-14 (Anderson Creek)	
	03-06-15 (Little Rockfish Creek - reference)	
	03-06-04 (UT to Wilkinson Creek - reference)	
Drainage Area	5.7 sq. mi.	
Stream Order	First and Second Order	
Watershed Type (Rural, Urban, Developing, etc.)	Rural	
Watershed LULC Distribution	Urban	<1%
	Ag-Row Crop	21%
	Ag-Livestock	1%
	Forested	72%
	Water/Wetlands	6%
Watershed impervious cover (%)	3%	
Rosgen Classification of As-built (Stream)	C5 (NPAC)	
	C5/B5c (T1.1, T1.2, T1, T2A, T2B, T3, T4)	
NCDWQ Classification for Project	Class C (Anderson Creek)	
Within EEP Watershed Plan?	No	
Any portion of the project segment upstream of a 303d listed segment?	No	
Reasons for 303d Listing or Stressor	N/A	
Total project acreage of easement	166.9 Acres	
Total planted acreage	93.0 Acres	
WRC Class (Warm, Cool, Cold)	Warm	
Species of concern, endangered etc.	None	
Pre-construction Beaver activity?	Yes	
Dominant Soil Types	Wehadkee loam and Gilead sandy loam	
% of Project Easement Fenced	85%	

2.0 PROJECT CONDITIONS AND MONITORING RESULTS

2.1 Vegetation Assessment

The planted vegetation on the site is growing well. The low base flow throughout the summer months allowed vegetation to become established in the stream channels on T1.1, T1.2, T1, T2, and T3. This vegetation included grasses, rushes, cattails, and Asian dayflower (*Murdannia keisak*).

There are minimal populations of invasive species, but Japanese honeysuckle (*Lonicera japonica*) has been observed in the project and stands of privet (*Ligustrum sinense*) can be found at varying densities in the wetland preservation portions. These populations will continue to be monitored to determine if invasive control is required in the future.

The monitored vegetation plots within the stream buffer and wetland revealed that the planted vegetation is growing well with 517 and 489 stems/acre, respectively. There are two monitoring plots, one in the stream buffer (Plot12) and one in the restored wetland (Plot 38), that have calculated planted stem densities less than 320 stems/acre. This is not seen as problematic given the high potential for desirable volunteers to become established in the plots and across the site. Like natural vegetative communities, some areas will have slightly higher densities than others, but the data from the vegetation monitoring plots reveal that the site has an adequate average stem density. In the second year of monitoring KCI will use the Level 2 CVS-EEP vegetation monitoring protocol to quantify the number of volunteer woody stems. The overall vegetation assessment found the site to be on track to meeting the vegetative success criteria.

The vegetative monitoring results are displayed in Appendix A and the Current Condition Plan View (CCPV).

2.2 Stream Assessment

During the 2009 growing season, the tributaries experienced low flow throughout the summer. This flow allowed vegetation to grow in the channels as described above. Overall, this vegetation is contributing to stream stability by trapping fine materials and rooting into the erosive silt and clay bottom in these channels. Previously, some small areas of degradation had begun to occur, but this vegetation has stabilized these areas. However, the vegetation could cause excessive aggradation in the channel by trapping sediment or it could direct water into the stream banks, causing bank erosion. Further monitoring will determine if the channel vegetation becomes a problem.

The stream assessment found the stream to be stable. T1.1 and T1 have experienced isolated bed degradation, but grade control structures will control their migration. It is not anticipated that these issues will be problematic or contribute to stream instability. On NPAC, there are isolated areas of bank erosion, which are depicted in the CCPV. Small amounts of bed degradation have exposed old roots on the stream bottom of the lower reaches of NPAC. These roots disrupt stream flow on the stream bottom and in some cases have caused localized bed degradation. This is not a systemic problem and is not predicted to cause widespread stream instability. It is likely that these issues will stabilize over time. All of these stream features will continue to be monitored to make sure that any observed changes are within the range of variability found in stable stream systems.

The stream assessment monitoring is described in Appendix B and the Current Condition Plan View.

2.2.1 Bankfull Events

Table 5. Hydrological (Bankfull) Verifications Farrar Dairy Stream and Wetland Restoration Site			
Date of Data Collection	Date of Occurrence	Method	Photo Number
No bankfull events occurred in 2009			

2.2.2 Quantitative Measures Summary Tables

Table 6a. NPAC-1 Baseline Stream Summary

Farrar Dairy Site

Parameter	Pre-Existing Condition					Reference Reach(es) Data					Design			As-built		
	Min	Mean	Med	Max	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	n	Min	Max	Min	Mean	Max	n
Dimension - Riffle																
Bankfull Width (ft)	13.9	16.9	14.8	24.3	4	19.5	20.3			2	19.0		18.4	19.6	20.7	4
Floodprone Width (ft)	20	32	24	60	4			300		2	>60		>60	>60	>60	4
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.2	1.9	2.1	2.2	4			2.3		2	1.6		1.4	1.5	1.6	4
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.5	2.7	2.7	3.0	4	3.0	3.3			2	2.4		2.3	2.5	2.7	4
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	30.0	30.2	30.2	30.2	4	45.4	47.3			2	30.0		26.5	29.1	32.2	4
Width/Depth Ratio	6.4	10.1	7.3	19.6	4	8.4	8.8			2	12.0		12.4	13.2	14.4	4
Entrenchment Ratio	1.3	2.3	1.8	4.3	4	14.3	14.9			2	>3.0		>3.0	>3.0	>3.0	4
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.9	2.1	2.5	4			1.0		2	1.0		1.0	1.0	1.0	4
Pattern																
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	*					25					35		35		60	
Radius of Curvature (ft)	*					22					20		20		35	
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)	*					1.0					1.1		1.0		1.8	
Meander Wavelength (ft)	*					119					95		95		150	
Meander Width Ratio	*					1.2					1.8		1.8		3.1	
Profile																
Riffle Length (ft)																
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	0.0030			0.0210		0.0010					0.0034		0.0059	0.0034	0.0098	20
Pool Length (ft)	8			42		27					20		9	30	57	20
Pool Spacing (ft)	60			97		68					65		62	79	99	20
Substrate and Transport Parameters																
SC% / Sa% / C% / B% / Be%	0% / 100% / 0% / 0% / 0% / 0%					6% / 81% / 15% / 0% / 0% / 0%					7% / 57% / 32% / 3% / 0% / 1%					
d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 (mm)						0.0 / 0.18 / 0.25 / 1.8 / 9.0					0.12 / 0.28 / 0.42 / 1.1 / 4.5					
Additional Reach Parameters																
Channel length (ft)	2,179					620					4,541					
Drainage Area (SM)	3.92					16.48					3.92					
Rosgen Classification	C/E5					E5					C5					
Sinuosity	1.00					1.30					1.39					
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)	0.0040					0.0020					0.0020					

*There was no defined pattern for the NPAC due to the stream being channelized.

-The As-built Dimension is from The monitored riffle cross-sections on this reach.A36

-The As-built Pattern and Profile data is calculated from the monitored longitudinal profile for NPAC, which contains parts of both NPAC 1 and 2.

Table 6b. NPAC-2 Baseline Stream Summary

Farrar Dairy Site

Parameter	Pre-Existing Condition					Reference Reach(es) Data					Design			As-built			
	Min	Mean	Med	Max	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	n	Min	Mean	Max	Min	Mean	Max	n
Dimension - Riffle																	
Bankfull Width (ft)			13.2		1	19.5	20.3			2	19.6			19.5	21.1	22.6	2
Floodprone Width (ft)			>75		1			300		2	>60			>60	>60	>60	2
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)			2.4		1			2.3		2	1.6			1.6	1.7	1.8	2
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)			3.9		1	3.0	3.3			2	2.4			2.7	3.1	3.4	2
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)			31.2		1	45.4	47.3			2	32.0			35.8	35.9	35.9	2
Width/Depth Ratio			5.6		1	8.4	8.8			2	12.0			10.6	12.5	14.3	2
Entrenchment Ratio			5.7		1	14.3	14.9			2	>3.0			>3.0	>3.0	>3.0	2
Bank Height Ratio			1.0		1			1.0		2	1.0			1.0	1.0	1.0	2
Pattern																	
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	*					25					35			35		60	
Radius of Curvature (ft)	*					22					20			20		35	
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)	*					1.0					1.0			1.0		1.8	
Meander Wavelength (ft)	*					119					95			95		150	
Meander Width Ratio	*					1.2					1.8			1.7		3.1	
Profile																	
Riffle Length (ft)																	
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	0.0030			0.0210		0.0010					0.0037			0.0075		0.0099	0.0236
Pool Length (ft)	8			42		27					20			40		23	41
Pool Spacing (ft)	60			97		68					50			80		74	93
Substrate and Transport Parameters																	
SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% / Be%																	
d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 (mm)																	
						0% / 100% / 0% / 0% / 0% / 0%					6% / 81% / 15% / 0% / 0% / 0%						
											0.0 / 0.18 / 0.25 / 1.8 / 9.0						
Additional Reach Parameters																	
Channel length (ft)			985					620						1,185		1,212	
Drainage Area (SM)			4.65					16.48						4.65		4.65	
Rosgen Classification			C/E5					E5						C5		C5	
Sinuosity			1.00					1.30						1.30		1.25	
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)			0.0040					0.0020						0.0030		0.0039	

*There was no defined pattern for the NPAC due to the stream being channelized.

-The As-built Dimension is from The monitored riffle cross-sections on this reach.

-The As-built Pattern and Profile data is calculated from the monitored longitudinal profile for NPAC, which contains parts of both NPAC 1 and 2.

**Table 6c. NPAC-3 Baseline Stream Summary
Farrar Dairy Site**

Parameter	Pre-Existing Condition						Reference Reach(es) Data						Design			As-built		
	Min	Mean	Med	Max	n		Min	Mean	Med	Max	n	Min	Max	Min	Mean	Max	n	
Dimension - Riffle																		
Bankfull Width (ft)			13.2		1		19.5	20.3		21.0	2	21.0			24.2		1	
Floodprone Width (ft)			>75		1					300	2	>60			>60		1	
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)			2.4		1					2.3	2	1.7			2.3		1	
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)			3.9		1		3.0	3.3		3.5	2	2.6			3.6		1	
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)			31.2		1		45.4	47.3		49.1	2	36.7			55.8		1	
Width/Depth Ratio			5.6		1		8.4	8.8		9.1	2	12.0			10.5		1	
Entrenchment Ratio			5.7		1		14.3	14.9		15.4	2	>3.0			>3.0		1	
Bank Height Ratio			1.0		1					1.0	2	1.0			1.0		1	
Pattern																		
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	*						25					35	60	35			60	
Radius of Curvature (ft)	*						22					20	35	22			36	
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)	*						1.0					1.0	1.7	0.9			1.5	
Meander Wavelength (ft)	*						119					105	265	105			265	
Meander Width Ratio	*						1.2					1.7	2.9	1.4			2.5	
Profile																		
Riffle Length (ft)																		
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	0.0030			0.0210			0.0010					0.0040	0.0054					
Pool Length (ft)	8			42			27					10	40					
Pool Spacing (ft)	60			97			68					85	145					
Substrate and Transport Parameters																		
SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% / Be%	0% / 100% / 0% / 0% / 0% / 0%						6% / 81% / 15% / 0% / 0% / 0%						21% / 45% / 31% / 2% / 0% / 0%					
d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 (mm)							0.0 / 0.18 / 0.25 / 1.8 / 9.0						0.062 / 0.11 / 0.32 / 17 / 35					
Additional Reach Parameters																		
Channel length (ft)	880						620						998					
Drainage Area (SM)	4.82						16.48						4.82					
Rosgen Classification	C/E5						E5						C5					
Sinuosity	1.00						1.30						1.30					
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)	0.0040						0.0020						0.0030					

*There was no defined pattern for the NPAC due to the stream being channelized.

-The As-built Dimension is from the monitored riffle cross-sections on this reach.

-The as-built survey was completed on NPAC-3, but the monitored detailed longitudinal profile for NPAC does not run through NPAC-3.

Table 6d. Trib 1.1 Baseline Stream Summary

Farrar Dairy Site

Parameter	Pre-Existing Condition					Reference Reach(es) Data					Design			As-built		
	Min	Mean	Med	Max	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	n	Min	Max	Mean	Min	Max	n
Dimension - Riffle																
Bankfull Width (ft)	3.5	4.3		5.0	2	7.7	7.9	7.7	8.3	3	4.5		6.4	5.9	6.9	2
Floodprone Width (ft)	6	11		15	2	13	14	13	16	3	>9		23	16	29	2
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.4	0.5		0.6	2	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.9	3	0.5		0.4	0.3	0.4	2
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	0.9	1.0		1.1	2	1.1	1.3	1.3	1.4	3	0.7		0.7	0.6	0.7	2
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	2.0	2.0		2.0	2	6.1	6.4	6.2	7.0	3	2.0		2.4	2.3	2.4	2
Width/Depth Ratio	6.2	9.4		12.5	2	8.5	9.8	9.6	11.4	3	10.0		17.5	15.1	19.8	2
Entrenchment Ratio	1.7	7.4		13.0	2	1.6	1.9	2.1	2.1	3	>2		3.6	2.3	4.9	2
Bank Height Ratio	1.7	3.1		4.4	2			1.0		3	1.0		1.0	1.0	1.0	2
Pattern																
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	10			21				22			13	17		13		17
Radius of Curvature (ft)	6			13		11			23		8	13		8		13
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)	0.7			2.5		1.0			3.0		1.8	2.9		1.3		2.0
Meander Wavelength (ft)	42			44		49			59		30	45		30		45
Meander Width Ratio	1.1			4.1		2.0			2.9		2.9	3.8		2.0		2.7
Substrate and Transport Parameters																
Riffle Length (ft)	#													8	14	21
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	#					0.0120			0.0280		0.0170	0.0180		0	0.0144	0.0380
Pool Length (ft)	#					5			9		2	5		2	5	7
Pool Spacing (ft)	#										15	30		19	25	31
Substrate and Transport Parameters																
SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% / Be%	0% / 100% / 0% / 0% / 0% / 0%					0% / 100% / 0% / 0% / 0% / 0%					13% / 64% / 23% / 0% / 0% / 0%					
d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 (mm)											0.07 / 0.14 / 0.29 / 8.6 / 15					
Additional Reach Parameters																
Channel length (ft)	864					204					827					
Drainage Area (SM)	0.02					0.15					0.02					
Rosgen Classification	G5					B4c					C5/B5c					
Sinuosity	1.12					1.20					1.13					
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)	0.0240					0.0120					0.0140					

No flow during survey, therefore these dimensions were not recorded.

**Table 6e. Trib 1.2 Baseline Stream Summary
Farrar Dairy Site**

Parameter	Pre-Existing Condition					Reference Reach(es) Data					Design			As-built				
	Min	Mean	Med	Max	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	n	Min	Max	Mean	Min	Max	Mean	n	
Dimension - Riffle																		
Bankfull Width (ft)	3.6	5.0		6.4	2	7.7	7.9	7.7	8.3	3	7.6		7.6	6.9		7.6	8.3	2
Floodprone Width (ft)	7	34		60	2	13	14	13	16	3	>15.2			26		36	46	2
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.9	1.3		1.6	2	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.9	3	0.8			0.7		0.8	0.8	2
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.1	2.2		2.2	2	1.1	1.3	1.3	1.4	3	1.2			1.2		1.2	1.2	2
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	5.8	5.8		5.8	2	6.1	6.4	6.2	7.0	3	5.8			5.2		5.5	5.7	2
Width/Depth Ratio	2.2	4.7		7.1	2	8.5	9.8	9.6	11.4	3	10.0			9.2		10.7	12.1	2
Entrenchment Ratio	2.0	5.7		9.4	2	1.6	1.9	2.1	2.1	3	>2			3.8		4.7	5.5	2
Bank Height Ratio	1.1	1.6		2.0	2			1.0		3	1.0			1.0		1.0	1.0	2
Pattern																		
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	22			34				22			17			17			26	
Radius of Curvature (ft)	8			11		11			23		13			13			20	
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)	1.4			3.7		1.0			3.0		1.7			1.7			2.6	
Meander Wavelength (ft)	54			74		49			59		54			54			75	
Meander Width Ratio	3.9			11.3		2.0			2.9		2.2			2.2			3.4	
Profile																		
Riffle Length (ft)	#													21		25	35	14
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	#					0.0120			0.0280		0.0150			0.0115		0.0178	0.0234	14
Pool Length (ft)	#					5			9		4			3		6	13	14
Pool Spacing (ft)	#										20			29		37	50	14
Substrate and Transport Parameters																		
SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% / Be%	0% / 100% / 0% / 0% / 0% / 0%					0% / 100% / 0% / 0% / 0% / 0%					22% / 76% / 3% / 0% / 0% / 0%							
d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 (mm)											0.062 / 0.079 / 0.1 / 0.22 / 0.44							
Additional Reach Parameters																		
Channel length (ft)	1,006					620					986							
Drainage Area (SM)	0.10					16.48					0.10							
Rosgen Classification	G5					E5					C5/B5c							
Simuosity	1.10					1.30					1.14							
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)	0.0130					0.0020					0.0130							

No flow during survey, therefore these dimensions were not recorded.

**Table 6f. Trib 1 Baseline Stream Summary
Farrar Dairy Site**

Parameter	Pre-Existing Condition					Reference Reach(es) Data					Design			As-built			
	Min	Mean	Med	Max	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	n	Min	Max	Mean	Min	Mean	Max	n
Dimension - Riffle																	
Bankfull Width (ft)	^					7.7	7.9	7.7	8.3	3	10.0		8.6	9.5	10.3	2	
Floodprone Width (ft)	^					13	14	13	16	3	>20		>60	>60	>60	2	
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	^					0.7	0.8	0.8	0.9	3	1.0		0.9	1.0	1.0	2	
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	^					1.1	1.3	1.3	1.4	3	1.6		1.7	1.8	1.9	2	
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	^					6.1	6.4	6.2	7.0	3	10.0		8.2	9.0	9.7	2	
Width/Depth Ratio	^					8.5	9.8	9.6	11.4	3	10.0		9.0	10.0	10.9	2	
Entrenchment Ratio	^					1.6	1.9	2.1	2.1	3	>2		>3.0	>3.0	>3.0	2	
Bank Height Ratio	^							1.0		3	1.0		1.0	1.0	1.0	2	
Pattern																	
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	8			16				22			23	40	23			40	
Radius of Curvature (ft)	6			20		11			23		15	25	15			25	
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)	^					1.0			3.0		1.5	2.5	1.6			2.6	
Meander Wavelength (ft)	22			50		49			59		55	90	55			90	
Meander Width Ratio	^					2.0			2.9		2.3	4.0	2.4			4.2	
Profile																	
Riffle Length (ft)	^												6	24	37	12	
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	^					0.0120			0.0280		0.0150	0.0180	0.0077	0.0184	0.0350	12	
Pool Length (ft)	^					5			9		5	12	3	9	21	9	
Pool Spacing (ft)	^										35	55	37	46	59	9	
Substrate and Transport Parameters																	
SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% / Be%	0% / 100% / 0% / 0% / 0% / 0%					0% / 100% / 0% / 0% / 0% / 0%					22% / 76% / 3% / 0% / 0% / 0%						
d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 (mm)											0.062 / 0.079 / 0.1 / 0.22 / 0.44						
Additional Reach Parameters																	
Channel length (ft)	370					620					881						
Drainage Area (SM)	0.18					16.48					0.18						
Rosgen Classification	DA5					E5					C5/B5c						
Simuosity	1.19					1.30					1.22						
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)	0.0100					0.0020					0.0110						

^ These existing conditions data were not collected on T1.

**Table 6g. Trib 2A Baseline Stream Summary
Farrar Dairy Site**

Parameter	Pre-Existing Condition					Reference Reach(es) Data					Design					As-built				
	Min	Mean	Med	Max	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	n	Min	Mean	Max	n	Min	Mean	Max	n		
Dimension - Riffle																				
Bankfull Width (ft)			3.6		1	7.7	7.9	7.7	8.3	3	5.0					5.7		1		
Floodprone Width (ft)			4		1	13	14	13	16	3	>10					30		1		
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)			0.7		1	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.9	3	0.5					0.5		1		
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)			1.0		1	1.1	1.3	1.3	1.4	3	0.8					0.8		1		
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)			2.5		1	6.1	6.4	6.2	7.0	3	2.5					2.8		1		
Width/Depth Ratio			5.2		1	8.5	9.8	9.6	11.4	3	10.0					11.6		1		
Entrenchment Ratio			1.1		1	1.6	1.9	2.1	2.1	3	>2					5.3		1		
Bank Height Ratio			3.5		1			1.0		3	1.0					1.0		1		
Pattern																				
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	*							22			11		17					17		
Radius of Curvature (ft)	*					11			23		8		10					10		
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)	*					1.0			3.0		1.6		2.0					1.8		
Meander Wavelength (ft)	*					49			59		35		45					45		
Meander Width Ratio	*					2.0			2.9		2.2		3.4					3.0		
Profile																				
Riffle Length (ft)	#														8	15		17		
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	#					0.012			0.028		0.016		0.018		#	#		#		
Pool Length (ft)	#					5			9		2		6		2	7		28		
Pool Spacing (ft)	#										15		25		14	31		70		
Substrate and Transport Parameters																				
SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% / Be%																				
d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 (mm)																				
Additional Reach Parameters																				
Channel length (ft)			423					620					500			500				
Drainage Area (SM)			0.04					16.48				0.04			0.04					
Rosgen Classification			G5					E5				C5/B5c			C5/B5c					
Sinuosity			1.00					1.30				1.16			1.13					
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)			0.0260					0.0020				0.0180			#					

No flow during survey, therefore these dimensions were not recorded.
*There was no defined pattern for T2 due to the stream being channelized.

**Table 6h. Trib 2B Baseline Stream Summary
Farrar Dairy Site**

Parameter	Pre-Existing Condition						Reference Reach(es) Data						Design			As-built		
	Min	Mean	Med	Max	n		Min	Mean	Med	Max	n	Min	Max	Min	Max	Mean	Max	n
Dimension - Riffle																		
Bankfull Width (ft)			4.5		1		6.7	7.2	7.1	7.9	3	5.3				5.2		1
Floodprone Width (ft)			8		1		34	63	68	88	3	>25				>60		1
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)			0.6		1		0.7	0.9	0.9	1.0	3	0.5				0.5		1
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)			0.9		1		1.1	1.2	1.1	1.3	3	0.8				0.8		1
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)			2.5		1		5.7	6.1	5.8	6.7	3	2.6				2.5		1
Width/Depth Ratio			8.1		1		7.4	8.9	8.0	11.3	3	11.0				10.8		1
Entrenchment Ratio			1.8		1		4.9	8.8	8.6	13	3	>2.4				>3.0		1
Bank Height Ratio			3.2		1				1.0		3	1.0				1.0		1
Pattern																		
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	*						15			48		23	40	23			40	
Radius of Curvature (ft)	*						21			47		15	20	15			20	
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)	*						2.7			7.0		2.8	3.8	2.9			3.8	
Meander Wavelength (ft)	*						43			84		70	90	70			90	
Meander Width Ratio	*						1.9			7.2		4.3	7.5	4.4			7.7	
Profile																		
Riffle Length (ft)	#													8		15	28	17
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	#											0.0090	0.0170	#		#	#	#
Pool Length (ft)	#											10	30	2		7	28	17
Pool Spacing (ft)	#											30	40	14		31	70	17
Substrate and Transport Parameters																		
SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% / Be%							0% / 100% / 0% / 0% / 0% / 0%					0% / 100% / 0% / 0% / 0% / 0%				22% / 76% / 3% / 0% / 0% / 0%		
d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 (mm)							0.28 / 0.37 / 0.44 / 0.82 / 0.97									0.062 / 0.079 / 0.1 / 0.22 / 0.44		
Additional Reach Parameters																		
Channel length (ft)		554								529			509			522		
Drainage Area (SM)		0.04								0.35			0.04			0.04		
Rosgen Classification		G5								E5			E5			E5		
Simuosity		1.22								1.30			1.22			1.23		
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)		0.0080								0.0070			0.0080			#		

*There was no defined pattern for T2 due to the stream being channelized.
No flow during survey, therefore these dimensions were not recorded.

Table 6i. Trib 3 Baseline Stream Summary Farrar Dairy Site																	
Parameter	Pre-Existing Condition					Reference Reach(es) Data					Design			As-built			
	Min	Mean	Med	Max	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	n	Min	Max	Mean	Max	n		
Dimension - Riffle																	
Bankfull Width (ft)	12.3	15.9		19.5	2	19.5	20.3		21.0	2	15.5		16.7	18.4	2		
Floodprone Width (ft)	50			>70	2			300		2	>31		>60	>60	2		
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.0	1.3		1.6	2			2.3		2	1.3		1.2	1.2	2		
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.3	2.8		3.2	2	3.0	3.3		3.5	2	2.0		1.9	1.9	2		
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	20.0	20.1		20.1	2	45.4	47.3		49.1	2	20.0		19.9	21.4	2		
Width/Depth Ratio	7.6	13.3		18.9	2	8.4	8.8		9.1	2	12.0		14.0	15.8	2		
Entrenchment Ratio	3.6	3.9		4.1	2	14.3	14.9		15.4	2	>2		>3	>3	2		
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.2		1.4	2			1.0		2	1.0		1.0	1.0	2		
Pattern																	
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	*					25			36		35	45		35	45		
Radius of Curvature (ft)	*					22			36		20	28		20	28		
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)	*					1.0			1.8		1.3	1.8		1.2	1.7		
Meander Wavelength (ft)	*					119			325		80	125		80	125		
Meander Width Ratio	*					1.2			1.8		2.3	2.9		2.1	2.7		
Profile																	
Riffle Length (ft)	#													12	21	35	11
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	#					0.0130			0.0280		0.0020	0.0050		0.0000	0.0023	0.0058	11
Pool Length (ft)	#					3			25		12	20		3	13	21	9
Pool Spacing (ft)	#					30			59		45	70		45	64	115	9
Substrate and Transport Parameters																	
SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% / Be%	0% / 100% / 0% / 0% / 0% / 0%					6% / 81% / 15% / 0% / 0% / 0%					22% / 76% / 3% / 0% / 0% / 0%						
d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 (mm)						0.0 / 0.18 / 0.25 / 1.8 / 9.0					0.062 / 0.079 / 0.1 / 0.22 / 0.44						
Additional Reach Parameters																	
Channel length (ft)	1,335					620					1,151						
Drainage Area (SM)	0.39					16.48					0.39						
Rosgen Classification	C5/E5					E5					C5						
Simuosity	1.00					1.30					1.17						
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)	0.0020					0.0020					0.0030						

*There was no defined pattern for T3 due to the stream being channelized.
No flow during survey, therefore these dimensions were not recorded.

Table 7a. Morphology and Hydraulic Monitoring Summary

Farrar Dairy Site

Parameter	Cross-Section 1 NPAC 1					Cross-Section 2 NPAC 1					Cross-Section 3 NPAC 1							
	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5
Bankfull Width (ft)	19.6	20.0					20.9	23.9					19.6	16.0				
Floodprone Width (ft)	>60	>60					-	-					>60	>60				
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	31.0	31.7					29.2	28.8					26.6	19.9				
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.6	1.6					1.4	1.2					1.4	1.2				
Bankfull Maximum Depth (ft)	2.4	2.7					3.3	3.2					2.5	2.0				
Width/Depth Ratio	12.4	12.6					-	-					14.4	12.9				
Entrenchment Ratio	>3.0	>3.0					-	-					>3.0	>3.0				
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0					-	-					1.0	1.0				
Wetted Perimeter (ft)	20.5	20.9					22.3	25.0					20.5	16.9				
Hydraulic Radius (ft)	1.5	1.5					1.3	1.2					1.3	1.2				
Substrate																		
d50 (mm)	0.07	0.06					0.09	0.14					0.06	0.18				
d84 (mm)	0.22	0.12					0.65	0.49					0.11	0.44				

Table 7b. Morphology and Hydraulic Monitoring Summary

Farrar Dairy Site

Parameter	Cross-Section 4 NPAC 1					Cross-Section 5 NPAC 1					Cross-Section 6 NPAC 1							
	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5
Bankfull Width (ft)	18.9	18.5					18.4	18.0					20.4	18.6				
Floodprone Width (ft)	-	-					>60	>60					-	-				
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	24.7	26.7					26.5	24.6					26.6	25.1				
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.3	1.4					1.4	1.4					1.3	1.5				
Bankfull Maximum Depth (ft)	2.8	3.2					2.3	2.3					3.0	3.1				
Width/Depth Ratio	-	-					12.8	13.1					-	-				
Entrenchment Ratio	-	-					>3.0	>3.0					-	-				
Bank Height Ratio	-	-					1.0	1.0					-	-				
Wetted Perimeter (ft)	20.9	20.1					19.2	22.2					22.0	19.9				
Hydraulic Radius (ft)	1.2	1.3					1.4	1.1					1.2	1.3				
Substrate																		
d50 (mm)	0.54	0.11					0.09	0.09					0.12	0.07				
d84 (mm)	0.82	0.40					0.37	0.38					0.29	0.26				

Table 7c. Morphology and Hydraulic Monitoring Summary

Farrar Dairy Site

Parameter	Cross-Section 7 NPAC-1					Cross-Section 8 NPAC-2					Cross-Section 9 NPAC-2							
	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5
Dimension																		
Bankfull Width (ft)	20.7	20.0					19.5	18.9					22.9	22.2				
Floodprone Width (ft)	>60	>60					>60	>60					-	-				
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	32.2	30.7					35.9	35.0					36.0	34.3				
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.6	1.5					1.8	1.9					1.6	1.5				
Bankfull Maximum Depth (ft)	2.7	2.9					3.4	3.6					3.4	3.2				
Width/Depth Ratio	13.3	13.0					10.6	10.2					-	-				
Entrenchment Ratio	>3.0	>3.0					>3.0	>3.0					-	-				
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0					1.0	1.0					-	-				
Wetted Perimeter (ft)	21.5	21.0					24.9	20.6					24.5	23.9				
Hydraulic Radius (ft)	1.5	1.5					1.4	1.7					1.5	1.4				
Substrate																		
d50 (mm)	0.06	0.06					0.06	0.06					0.11	0.29				
d84 (mm)	0.10	0.10					0.07	0.10					0.66	0.69				

Table 7d. Morphology and Hydraulic Monitoring Summary

Farrar Dairy Site

Parameter	Cross-Section 10 NPAC-2					Cross-Section 11 NPAC-3					Cross-Section 12 NPAC-3							
	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5
Dimension																		
Bankfull Width (ft)	22.6	21.0					24.2	21.6					22.3	21.0				
Floodprone Width (ft)	>60	>60					>60	>60					-	-				
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	35.8	34.0					55.8	53.1					42.0	38.0				
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.6	1.6					2.3	2.2					1.9	1.8				
Bankfull Maximum Depth (ft)	2.7	2.8					3.6	3.5					3.2	3.5				
Width/Depth Ratio	14.3	13.0					10.5	8.7					-	-				
Entrenchment Ratio	>3.0	>3.0					>3.0	>3.0					-	-				
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0					1.0	1.0					-	-				
Wetted Perimeter (ft)	23.7	22.0					23.5	23.1					23.9	23.0				
Hydraulic Radius (ft)	1.5	1.5					2.3	2.3					1.8	1.7				
Substrate																		
d50 (mm)	0.06	0.49					0.71	0.29					1.40	0.23				
d84 (mm)	3.10	9.60					0.90	0.44					3.00	0.40				

Table 7e. Morphology and Hydraulic Monitoring Summary

Farrar Dairy Site

Parameter	Cross-Section 13 T1.1					Cross-Section 14 T1.1					Cross-Section 15 T1.1							
	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5
Bankfull Width (ft)	6.9	8.0					7.1	6.9					5.9	5.8				
Floodprone Width (ft)	15.9	16.1					-	-					29.0	30.3				
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	2.4	2.8					5.1	5.2					2.3	2.5				
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.3	0.4					0.7	0.7					0.4	0.4				
Bankfull Maximum Depth (ft)	0.7	0.7					1.3	1.3					0.6	0.7				
Width/Depth Ratio	19.8	22.9					-	-					15.1	13.2				
Entrenchment Ratio	2.3	2.0					-	-					4.9	5.2				
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0					-	-					1.0	1.0				
Wetted Perimeter (ft)	7.1	8.2					7.7	7.6					6.1	6.0				
Hydraulic Radius (ft)	0.3	0.3					0.7	0.7					0.4	0.4				
Substrate																		
d50 (mm)	0.06	0.06					0.06	0.06					0.06	0.06				
d84 (mm)	0.07	0.06					0.06	37.00					0.09	11.00				

Table 7f. Morphology and Hydraulic Monitoring Summary

Farrar Dairy Site

Parameter	Cross-Section 16 T1.2					Cross-Section 17 T1.2					Cross-Section 18 T1.2							
	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5
Bankfull Width (ft)	8.9	9.2					8.3	8.5					6.9	6.9				
Floodprone Width (ft)	-	-					45.9	46.0					26.0	26.1				
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	6.4	6.8					5.7	5.8					5.2	5.1				
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.7	0.7					0.7	0.7					0.8	0.7				
Bankfull Maximum Depth (ft)	1.6	1.4					1.2	1.2					1.2	1.2				
Width/Depth Ratio	-	-					12.1	12.5					9.2	9.3				
Entrenchment Ratio	-	-					5.5	5.4					3.8	3.8				
Bank Height Ratio	-	-					1.0	1.0					1.0	1.0				
Wetted Perimeter (ft)	9.5	9.7					8.7	8.9					7.5	7.5				
Hydraulic Radius (ft)	0.7	0.7					0.6	0.7					0.7	0.7				
Substrate																		
d50 (mm)	0.31	0.12					0.06	0.06					0.06	0.25				
d84 (mm)	0.48	0.35					0.08	44.00					0.10	0.65				

Table 7g. Morphology and Hydraulic Monitoring Summary

Farrar Dairy Site

Parameter	Cross-Section 19 T1					Cross-Section 20 T1					Cross-Section 21 T1							
	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5
Bankfull Width (ft)	9.5	10.5					10.3	9.1					8.6	10.1				
Floodprone Width (ft)	-	-					>60	>60					>60	>60				
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	10.9	12.0					9.7	8.3					8.2	9.9				
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.1	1.1					0.9	0.9					1.0	1.0				
Bankfull Maximum Depth (ft)	2.4	2.5					1.9	1.8					1.7	1.8				
Width/Depth Ratio	-	-					10.9	10.1					9.0	10.3				
Entrenchment Ratio	-	-					>3.0	>3.0					>3.0	>3.0				
Bank Height Ratio	-	-					1.0	1.0					1.0	1.0				
Wetted Perimeter (ft)	10.7	11.8					11.2	10.0					9.5	10.9				
Hydraulic Radius (ft)	1.0	1.0					0.9	0.8					0.9	0.9				
Substrate																		
d50 (mm)	0.06	0.06					0.062	0.06					0.53	0.06				
d84 (mm)	0.12	0.06					0.10	0.06					2.0	7.3				

Table 7h. Morphology and Hydraulic Monitoring Summary

Farrar Dairy Site

Parameter	Cross-Section 22 T2					Cross-Section 23 T2					Cross-Section 24 T2							
	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5
Bankfull Width (ft)	7.5	7.5					5.7	5.9					7.1	6.5				
Floodprone Width (ft)	-	-					30	31					-	-				
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	5.1	5.0					2.8	2.8					4.2	3.9				
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.7	0.7					0.5	0.5					0.6	0.6				
Bankfull Maximum Depth (ft)	1.1	1.1					0.8	0.8					1.1	1.0				
Width/Depth Ratio	-	-					11.6	12.8					-	-				
Entrenchment Ratio	-	-					5.3	5.2					-	-				
Bank Height Ratio	-	-					1.0	1.0					-	-				
Wetted Perimeter (ft)	7.9	7.9					6.0	6.2					7.5	6.9				
Hydraulic Radius (ft)	0.7	0.6					0.5	0.4					0.6	0.6				
Substrate																		
d50 (mm)	0.06	0.06					0.06	0.06					0.06	0.06				
d84 (mm)	0.06	0.06					16	52					0.06	0.06				

**Table 7i. Morphology and Hydraulic Monitoring Summary
Farrar Dairy Site**

Parameter	Cross-Section 25 T2					Cross-Section 26 T3					Cross-Section 27 T3							
	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5
Bankfull Width (ft)	5.2	5.6					18.4	17.6					19.2	18.9				
Floodprone Width (ft)	>60	>60					>60	>60					-	-				
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	2.5	2.7					21.4	20.1					24.2	22.1				
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.5	0.5					1.2	1.1					1.3	1.2				
Bankfull Maximum Depth (ft)	0.8	0.8					1.9	1.8					2.5	2.3				
Width/Depth Ratio	10.8	11.7					15.8	15.5					-	-				
Entrenchment Ratio	>3.0	>3.0					>3.0	>3.0					-	-				
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0					1.0	1.0					-	-				
Wetted Perimeter (ft)	5.5	5.9					18.9	18.1					18.2	19.6				
Hydraulic Radius (ft)	0.5	0.5					1.1	1.1					1.3	1.1				
Substrate																		
d50 (mm)	0.06	0.06					0.06	0.09					0.06	0.06				
d84 (mm)	0.06	0.06					0.09	0.18					0.10	0.08				

Table 7j. Morphology and Hydraulic Monitoring Summary

Parameter	Cross-Section 28 T3					Cross-Section 29 T3						
	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5
Bankfull Width (ft)	23.3	13.9					14.9	15.4				
Floodprone Width (ft)	-	-					>60	>60				
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	29.1	29.4					18.4	19.4				
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.2	1.3					1.2	1.3				
Bankfull Maximum Depth (ft)	2.6	2.7					1.9	2.0				
Width/Depth Ratio	-	-					12.1	12.2				
Entrenchment Ratio	-	-					>3.0	>3.0				
Bank Height Ratio	-	-					1.0	1.0				
Wetted Perimeter (ft)	23.9	24.1					15.6	16.1				
Hydraulic Radius (ft)	1.2	1.2					1.2	1.2				
Substrate												
d50 (mm)	0.06	0.06					0.06	0.06				
d84 (mm)	0.06	0.06					0.06	0.06				

2.3 Wetland Assessment

The maximum number of consecutive days that the groundwater was within 12 inches of the surface was determined for each groundwater gauge. This number was converted into a percentage of the 251-day growing season (March 11, 2009 to November 16, 2009). Table 8 presents the hydrological monitoring results for 2009. The wetland gauges used to monitor site hydrology were installed on March 12, 2009 and March 17, 2009. During the first year of monitoring, wetland hydrology was achieved at all of the gauges on the site (Table 8). Based on these data, the site has exceeded the minimum duration of 12.5 consecutive days (5% of the growing season) with the water table within 12 inches of the soil surface for the 2009 growing season (Appendix C). Climatic data for the 2009 growing season were analyzed in comparison to historical data to determine whether 2009 was a normal year in terms of climatic conditions. The historical data were collected from the NRCS, Water and Climate Center, "Climate Analysis for Wetlands by County" website. This evaluation concluded that 2009 was a normal year for rainfall. Rainfall was within the 30th to 70th percentiles for the months of March, May, August, October, and December. Rainfall was less than the 30th percentile threshold in January, April, June, and September and was greater than the 70th percentile threshold in February, July, and November (Appendix B).

Gauge 6 shows wetland hydrology for only 5%-8% of the growing season. It should be noted that both Gauges 6 and 7 were installed seven days into the growing season. If they had been installed prior to the beginning of the growing season it is likely that they would have demonstrated wetland hydrology for an additional seven days, which would mean that Gauge 6 would have wetland hydrology for 8%-12.5% of the growing season.

2.3.1 Wetland Criteria Attainment Tables

Gauge #	Hydroperiod				Max. No. of Consecutive Days	Dates Meeting Success
	<5%	5% - 8%	8% - 12.5%	>12.5%		
1				X	41	3/13/09-4/22/09
2			X		28	3/13/09-4/10/09
3			X		25	3/13/09-4/7/09
4			X		25	3/13/09-4/7/09
5			X		30	3/13/09-4/12/09
6		X			20	3/18/09-4/6/09
7				X	44	3/18/09-4/30/09
Ref				X	111	3/13/09-7/2/09

Well #	Pre-Restoration	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5
1	<5%	>12.5%				
2	<5%	8%-12.5%				
3	<5%	8%-12.5%				
4	<5%	8%-12.5%				
5	<5%	8%-12.5%				
6	<5%	5%-8%				
7	<5%	8%-12.5%				
Ref	>12.5%	>12.5%				

3.0 SUCCESS CRITERIA

The stream is functioning as designed and has not developed any significant problems. The monitored cross-sections and profiles indicate only small changes. Any feature changes will be tracked to see if the stream is moving beyond its expected variability. There have not been any bankfull events since construction. It is still expected that the site will have two bankfull events in separate years over the course of the monitoring period.

The hydrology data in Section 2.3 indicates that the wetland is on track to meeting the success criteria.

The planted vegetation has been doing well, with some plots experiencing more mortality than others. This mortality can be attributed to normal losses after the initial planting as well as aggressive growth from the site's herbaceous vegetation. The vegetation is on track to meeting the success criteria in the stream and wetland for the first year of monitoring.

Appendix A

Vegetation Data

Table A1. Stream Riparian Buffer Stem Density and Species Count by Plot																
Farrar Dairy Site																
Species	Plot #															Total (Year 1)
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	
Pawpaw <i>Asimina triloba</i>					2											2
River Birch <i>Betula nigra</i>	3	1		3		2	3	5	10			2	6	6	4	45
Sugarberry <i>Celtis laevigata</i>	4	1	2				1	3								11
Buttonbush <i>Cephalanthus occidentalis</i>	1	4			2	1			5						5	18
Silky Dogwood <i>Cornus amomum</i>	11			5	3	7	3	1			2			3	2	37
Persimmon <i>Diospyros virginiana</i>	2	1	5							2		1				11
Green Ash <i>Fraxinus pennsylvanica</i>		4			3		2	4				3	2	2	1	21
Tulip Poplar <i>Liriodendron tulipifera</i>										1						1
Sweetbay <i>Magnolia virginiana</i>														1		1
Sycamore <i>Platanus occidentalis</i>										3						3
Oak sp. <i>Quercus</i>					1						1					2
White Oak <i>Quercus alba</i>		3	2	2	1	1				6	1					16
Southern Red Oak <i>Quercus falcata</i>					2											2
Laurel Oak <i>Quercus laurifolia</i>					1											1
Overcup Oak <i>Quercus lyrata</i>										1						1
Willow Oak <i>Quercus phellos</i>			1					1								2
Black Willow <i>Salix nigra</i>							2									2
Silky Willow <i>Salix sericea</i>							7				4		3			14
Elderberry <i>Sambucus canadensis</i>											1		1			2
Unknown					1										1	2
Total (Year 1)	21	14	10	10	16	11	18	14	15	13	9	6	12	12	13	194
Average Density (Stems/Acre)	840	560	400	400	640	440	720	560	600	520	360	240	480	480	520	
Total Density (Stems/Acre)														517		

Table A2. Wetland Riparian Buffer Stem Density and Species Count by Plot
Farrar Dairy Site

Species	Plot #																												Total (Year 1)			
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43		44	45	
River Birch <i>Betula nigra</i>		1																													1	
Shagbark Hickory <i>Carya ovata</i>														1																	1	
Buttonbush <i>Cephalanthus occidentalis</i>							1																								1	
Silky Dogwood <i>Cornus amomum</i>	1	1	1	1	2				3		1							5							1	6	3	1			26	
Persimmon <i>Diospyros virginiana</i>		7	3	1	1														1												13	
Green Ash <i>Fraxinus pennsylvanica</i>	1			3	4	1	5	4		1	4	2		4	8	4			4	2	1	8		3	7	2	3	2	3		76	
Oak sp. <i>Quercus</i>		1	2	6		1	1	3												1									1	1		17
White Oak <i>Quercus alba</i>						1																									1	
Southern Red Oak <i>Quercus falcata</i>						3	2	4			1					1			1	3	2	2	3								22	
Laurel Oak <i>Quercus laurifolia</i>				4		2	4	3			2	3	1							1		2				1	5	5		4	37	
Overcup Oak <i>Quercus lyrata</i>										1	2								3	1		3	1								11	
Swamp Chestnut Oak <i>Quercus michauxii</i>	1				1	2		2				2	8	9	3	1	5	1			3			2	3		3		4	2	52	
Cherrybark Oak <i>Quercus pagoda</i>		3		2	2	1	3	3								2				3	1					2					22	
Pin Oak <i>Quercus palustris</i>	1		4	3	1	1	3			4	2					1		1					1	2				1	2		27	
Willow Oak <i>Quercus phellos</i>	6			4	2			1	8	5	1	5	1			1	5			1	4		1	3	4					2	54	
Unknown							2		1											1		1			1						6	
Total (Year 1)	10	13	10	24	13	12	21	20	12	11	13	12	10	14	11	10	10	10	9	9	16	11	7	11	15	11	14	10	10	8	367	
Average Density (Stems/Acre)	400	520	400	960	520	480	840	800	480	440	520	480	400	560	440	400	400	400	360	360	640	440	280	440	600	440	560	400	400	320		
																							Total Density (Stems/Acre)					489				

Table A3. Riparian Buffer Vegetation History (stems/acre)						
Farrar Dairy Site						
Plot Number	MY-00	MY-01	MY-02	MY-03	MY-04	MY-05
1	880	840				
2	720	560				
3	320	400*				
4	840	400				
5	760	640				
6	560	440				
7	840	720				
8	560	560				
9	600	600				
10	520	520				
11	680	360				
12	520	240				
13	720	480				
14	520	480				
15	560	520				
Buffer Average	640	526				

*Uncounted stems from previous year added to total

Table A4. Wetland Vegetation History (stems/acre)						
Farrar Dairy Site						
Plot Number	MY-00	MY-01	MY-02	MY-03	MY-04	MY-05
16	400	400				
17	560	520				
18	400	400				
19	1000	960				
20	520	520				
21	520	480				
22	840	840				
23	920	800				
24	520	480				
25	440	440				
26	520	520				
27	480	480				
28	480	400				
29	520	560*				
30	440	440				
31	440	400				
32	400	400				
33	440	400				
34	480	360				
35	400	360				
36	640	640				
37	480	440				
38	520	280				
39	520	440				
40	600	600				
41	600	440				
42	680	560				
43	480	400				
44	560	400				
45	480	320				
Wetland Average	543	487				

*Uncounted stems from previous year added to total

Stream and Wetland Vegetation Plot Photos



Vegetation Plot 1 – MY-01 – 9/17/09



Vegetation Plot 2 – MY-01 – 9/17/09



Vegetation Plot 3 – MY-01 – 9/17/09



Vegetation Plot 4 – As-Built – 10/6/09



Vegetation Plot 5 – As-Built – 10/6/09

*Farrar Dairy Stream and Wetland
Restoration Site*



Vegetation Plot 6 – As-Built – 10/15/09

*KCI Associates of North Carolina
2009 – MY01*



Vegetation Plot 7 – As-Built – 10/15/09




Vegetation Plot 8 – As-Built – 10/16/09



Vegetation Plot 9 – As-Built – 10/16/09



Vegetation Plot 10 – As-Built – 10/6/09



Vegetation Plot 11 – As-Built – 10/6/09




Vegetation Plot 12 – As-Built – 10/6/09



Vegetation Plot 13 – As-Built – 10/15/09



Vegetation Plot 14 – As-Built – 10/19/09



Vegetation Plot 15 – As-Built – 10/16/09



Vegetation Plot 16 – MY-01 – 9/17/09



Vegetation Plot 17 – MY-01 – 9/17/09



Vegetation Plot 18 – MY-01 – 9/17/09



Vegetation Plot 19 – MY-01 – 9/17/09



Vegetation Plot 20 – MY-01 – 9/17/09



Vegetation Plot 21 – As-Built – 10/16/09



Vegetation Plot 22 – As-Built – 10/16/09



Vegetation Plot 23 – As-Built – 10/6/09



Vegetation Plot 24 – As-Built – 10/15/09



Vegetation Plot 25 – As-Built – 10/15/09



Vegetation Plot 26 – As-Built – 10/15/09



Vegetation Plot 27 – As-Built – 10/15/09



Vegetation Plot 28 – As-Built – 10/15/09



Vegetation Plot 29 – As-Built – 10/16/09



Vegetation Plot 30 – As-Built – 10/15/09



Vegetation Plot 31 – As-Built – 10/16/09



Vegetation Plot 32 – As-Built – 10/16/09



Vegetation Plot 33 – As-Built – 10/19/09



Vegetation Plot 34 – As-Built – 10/19/09



Vegetation Plot 35 – As-Built – 10/19/09



Vegetation Plot 36 – As-Built – 10/19/09



Vegetation Plot W37 – As-Built – 10/19/09



Vegetation Plot 38 – As-Built – 10/19/09



Vegetation Plot 39 – As-Built – 10/16/09




Vegetation Plot 40 – As-Built – 10/16/09



Vegetation Plot 41 – As-Built – 10/16/09



Vegetation Plot 42 – As-Built – 10/15/09



Vegetation Plot 43 – As-Built – 10/15/09



Vegetation Plot 44 – As-Built – 10/15/09



Vegetation Plot 45 – As-Built – 10/15/09

Appendix B

Stream and Wetland Photos

Stream and Wetland Photo Points



PP 1 – MY-01 – 12/15/09



PP 2 – MY-01 – 12/15/09



PP 3 – MY-01 – 12/15/09



PP 4 – MY-01 – 12/15/09



PP 5 – MY-01 – 12/15/09

*Farrar Dairy Stream and Wetland
Restoration Site*



PP 6 – MY-01 – 12/15/09

*KCI Associates of North Carolina
2009 - MY01*



PP 7 – MY-01 – 12/15/09



PP 8 – MY-01 – 12/15/09



PP 9 – MY-01 – 12/15/09



PP 10 – MY-01 – 12/15/09



PP 11 – MY-01 – 12/15/09



PP 12 – MY-01 – 12/15/09



PP 13 – MY-01 – 12/15/09



PP 14 – MY-01 – 12/15/09



PP 15 – MY-01 – 12/15/09



PP 16 – MY-01 – 12/15/09



PP 17 – MY-01 – 12/15/09



PP 18 – MY-01 – 12/15/09



PP 19 – MY-01 – 12/15/09



PP 20 – MY-01 – 12/15/09



PP 21 – MY-01 – 12/15/09



PP 22 – MY-01 – 12/15/09



PP 23 – MY-01 – 12/15/09



PP 24 – MY-01 – 12/15/09



PP 25 – MY-01 – 12/15/09



PP 26 – MY-01 – 12/15/09



PP 27 – MY-01 – 12/15/09



PP 28 – MY-01 – 12/15/09



PP 29 – MY-01 – 12/15/09



PP 30 – MY-01 – 12/15/09



PP 31 – MY-01 – 12/15/09



PP 32 – MY-01 – 12/15/09



PP 33 – MY-01 – 12/15/09



PP 34 – MY-01 – 12/15/09



PP 35 – MY-01 – 12/15/09

Problem Area Photos



Bank erosion under coir matting near Station 12+50. MY01 – 12/15/09



Erosion along toe of bank under coir matting near Station 55+25. MY01 – 12/15/09



Bank erosion under coir matting near Station 56+60. MY01 – 12/15/09

Appendix C

Geomorphologic and Hydrologic Data


River Basin:	Cape Fear
Watershed:	Farrar Dairy
XS ID	XS-1, Riffle, NPAC-1
Drainage Area (sq mi):	3.92
Date:	10/27/2009
Field Crew:	B. Roberts, A. French



Station	Elevation
0.0	201.79
0.1	201.43
4.0	201.30
6.5	201.48
11.5	201.20
15.6	201.40
18.8	201.40
21.4	200.41
24.2	199.23
25.4	198.89
27.1	198.72
29.1	198.54
30.6	198.82
31.9	199.03
33.2	199.19
35.3	200.00
38.7	201.22
44.3	201.22
49.6	201.19
55.3	200.97
57.2	201.14
57.3	201.37

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	201.2
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	31.7
Bankfull Width:	20.0
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	203.9
Flood Prone Width:	>60
Max Depth at Bankfull:	2.7
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	1.6
W / D Ratio:	12.6
Entrenchment Ratio:	>3.0
Bank Height Ratio:	1.0

Stream Type C5




River Basin:	Cape Fear
Watershed:	Farrar Dairy
XS ID	XS-2, Pool, NPAC-1
Drainage Area (sq mi):	3.92
Date:	10/27/2009
Field Crew:	B. Roberts, A. French



Station	Elevation
0.0	200.72
0.2	200.40
7.1	200.60
8.3	201.21
8.9	200.50
15.3	200.25
20.4	200.47
26.4	200.28
28.5	198.82
29.1	198.73
30.9	197.10
32.6	197.17
33.8	197.65
34.8	197.64
36.6	198.22
36.9	198.49
39.1	199.17
44.1	199.98
50.4	200.46
57.3	200.36
59.3	200.18
62.1	200.36
62.3	200.70

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	200.3
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	28.8
Bankfull Width:	23.9
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	-
Flood Prone Width:	-
Max Depth at Bankfull:	3.2
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	1.2
W / D Ratio:	-
Entrenchment Ratio:	-
Bank Height Ratio:	-

Stream Type C5




River Basin:	Cape Fear
Watershed:	Farrar Dairy
XS ID	XS-3, Riffle, NPAC-1
Drainage Area (sq mi):	3.92
Date:	10/27/2009
Field Crew:	B. Roberts, A. French



Stream Type C5

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	198.0
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	19.9
Bankfull Width:	16.0
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	200.0
Flood Prone Width:	>60
Max Depth at Bankfull:	2.0
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	1.2
W / D Ratio:	12.9
Entrenchment Ratio:	>3.0
Bank Height Ratio:	1.0

Station	Elevation
0.0	199.35
0.1	198.90
4.6	198.78
10.7	198.42
13.2	198.34
18.3	198.42
20.0	198.07
21.1	197.46
21.9	197.42
22.5	196.97
23.5	196.83
24.8	196.86
27.4	195.98
28.5	195.97
30.7	196.33
32.7	196.46
33.9	197.19
34.6	197.32
36.2	198.00
39.7	198.47
40.9	198.58
43.6	198.41
47.0	198.53
53.0	198.29
53.1	198.63




River Basin:	Cape Fear
Watershed:	Farrar Dairy
XS ID	XS-4, Pool, NPAC-1
Drainage Area (sq mi):	4.10
Date:	10/28/2009
Field Crew:	B. Roberts, A. Davis



Stream Type C5

Station	Elevation
0.0	196.94
0.3	196.65
2.8	196.96
4.9	196.79
7.7	196.73
10.5	196.92
13.2	197.04
15.6	197.00
17.1	197.05
18.7	197.06
20.0	196.56
21.3	196.14
22.0	195.53
22.8	194.36
23.8	193.95
25.2	193.90
26.0	194.13
26.9	194.77
28.0	195.32
28.9	195.39
29.7	195.63
30.8	195.90
32.0	196.13
33.5	196.36
35.5	196.77
38.2	197.30
41.3	197.40
45.1	197.55
50.0	197.35
53.2	197.51
56.1	197.56
58.9	197.63
59.1	197.87

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	197.1
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	26.7
Bankfull Width:	18.5
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	-
Flood Prone Width:	-
Max Depth at Bankfull:	3.2
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	1.4
W / D Ratio:	-
Entrenchment Ratio:	-
Bank Height Ratio:	-




River Basin:	Cape Fear
Watershed:	Farrar Dairy
XS ID	XS-5, Riffle, NPAC-1
Drainage Area (sq mi):	4.10
Date:	10/28/2009
Field Crew:	B. Roberts, A. Davis




Stream Type C5

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	195.5
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	24.6
Bankfull Width:	18.0
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	197.8
Flood Prone Width:	>60
Max Depth at Bankfull:	2.3
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	1.4
W / D Ratio:	13.1
Entrenchment Ratio:	>3.0
Bank Height Ratio:	1.0

Station	Elevation
0.0	195.98
0.5	195.57
5.5	195.21
7.7	195.44
10.7	195.55
13.9	195.56
16.6	195.60
20.5	195.47
22.4	194.79
23.6	194.24
24.7	194.18
25.7	193.70
27.3	193.43
28.6	193.29
30.3	193.13
32.1	193.66
33.9	193.83
35.0	194.15
37.0	194.94
38.9	195.58
41.5	195.80
42.9	195.85
46.7	196.00
50.3	196.11
55.2	196.06
56.0	196.30




River Basin:	Cape Fear
Watershed:	Farrar Dairy
XS ID	XS-6, Pool, NPAC-1
Drainage Area (sq mi):	4.1
Date:	11/2/2009
Field Crew:	B. Roberts, K. Knight-Meng



Stream Type C5

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	194.4
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	27.3
Bankfull Width:	18.3
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	-
Flood Prone Width:	-
Max Depth at Bankfull:	3.1
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	1.5
W / D Ratio:	-
Entrenchment Ratio:	-
Bank Height Ratio:	-

Station	Elevation
0.0	194.68
0.2	194.47
5.8	194.50
12.0	194.63
17.0	194.78
22.7	194.54
26.0	194.37
27.4	193.86
29.5	192.98
30.3	192.54
30.6	191.95
31.3	191.63
32.0	191.32
33.6	191.78
35.3	192.16
36.5	192.90
38.6	193.08
41.5	193.93
44.3	194.39
51.9	194.56
61.3	194.61
66.9	194.53
67.0	194.82




River Basin:	Cape Fear
Watershed:	Farrar Dairy
XS ID	XS-7, Riffle, NPAC-1
Drainage Area (sq mi):	4.1
Date:	11/2/2009
Field Crew:	B. Roberts, K. Knight-Meng



Stream Type C5

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	193.3
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	30.7
Bankfull Width:	20.0
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	196.3
Flood Prone Width:	>60
Max Depth at Bankfull:	2.9
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	1.5
W / D Ratio:	13.0
Entrenchment Ratio:	>3.0
Bank Height Ratio:	1.0

Station	Elevation
0.0	193.40
0.3	192.98
4.2	193.01
8.8	193.41
10.2	193.21
14.7	193.18
16.1	193.31
18.1	193.33
22.9	192.78
24.7	191.99
26.8	191.49
27.7	191.38
28.4	191.03
29.1	190.81
30.2	190.40
32.1	190.74
33.8	190.97
36.6	191.55
38.5	192.79
44.3	193.46
50.6	193.70
58.1	193.73
58.7	193.68
	194.07




River Basin:	Cape Fear
Watershed:	Farrar Dairy
XS ID	XS-8, Riffle, NPAC-2
Drainage Area (sq mi):	4.1
Date:	11/4/2009
Field Crew:	A. Davis, K. Knight-Meng



Stream Type C5

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	191.4
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	35.0
Bankfull Width:	18.9
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	193.5
Flood Prone Width:	>60
Max Depth at Bankfull:	3.6
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	1.9
W / D Ratio:	10.2
Entrenchment Ratio:	>3.0
Bank Height Ratio:	1.0

Station	Elevation
0.0	191.93
0.1	191.57
1.9	191.15
4.3	191.31
5.3	191.46
8.1	191.44
10.7	191.53
12.4	191.42
14.4	191.37
16.7	191.40
18.2	191.44
20.0	191.51
21.4	191.52
22.6	191.36
23.8	190.96
24.7	190.40
25.4	190.16
26.7	189.53
27.6	189.30
33.1	188.45
33.7	188.49
34.4	188.61
35.5	188.92
36.3	189.04
37.2	189.80
38.7	190.43
40.2	191.01
41.3	191.38
42.6	191.40
44.7	191.37
46.7	191.32
52.7	191.36
54.0	191.31
54.6	191.62



*Other shots not included due to space


River Basin:	Cape Fear
Watershed:	Farrar Dairy
XS ID	XS-9, Pool, NPAC-2
Drainage Area (sq mi):	4.65
Date:	11/4/2009
Field Crew:	A. Davis, K. Knight-Meng



Station	Elevation
0.0	189.74
0.4	189.37
3.0	189.56
5.4	189.52
8.4	189.45
11.7	189.50
14.9	189.64
18.4	189.60
20.5	189.17
22.9	188.92
25.5	188.52
27.4	188.36
28.7	187.75
29.7	187.63
31.3	187.13
32.0	186.95
32.8	186.64
33.8	186.52
35.1	186.44
36.3	186.42
37.1	186.89
37.5	188.01
39.4	189.00
40.8	189.73
43.1	189.76
46.5	189.82
49.9	189.79
53.8	189.76
56.8	189.74
58.7	189.75
60.2	189.78
60.8	189.95

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	189.6
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	34.3
Bankfull Width:	22.2
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	-
Flood Prone Width:	-
Max Depth at Bankfull:	3.2
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	1.5
W / D Ratio:	-
Entrenchment Ratio:	-
Bank Height Ratio:	-

Stream Type C5




River Basin:	Cape Fear
Watershed:	Farrar Dairy
XS ID	XS-10, Riffle, NPAC-2
Drainage Area (sq mi):	4.65
Date:	11/4/2009
Field Crew:	A. Davis, K. Knight-Meng



Stream Type C5

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	189.2
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	34.0
Bankfull Width:	21.0
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	192.0
Flood Prone Width:	>60
Max Depth at Bankfull:	2.8
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	1.6
W / D Ratio:	13.0
Entrenchment Ratio:	>3.0
Bank Height Ratio:	1.0

Station	Elevation
0.0	190.65
0.8	190.23
3.3	189.98
6.1	189.85
9.0	189.79
12.7	189.62
15.6	189.72
19.2	189.61
23.3	189.40
25.6	189.31
27.5	189.24
29.1	189.04
31.0	188.45
32.7	187.89
34.2	187.24
35.5	186.84
36.6	186.62
37.8	186.48
39.2	186.51
40.9	186.65
42.4	186.78
43.9	187.02
44.8	187.85
46.8	188.58
48.6	189.23
50.2	189.31
53.5	189.32
57.3	189.19
61.9	189.23
65.9	189.25
66.4	189.54




River Basin:	Cape Fear
Watershed:	Farrar Dairy
XS ID	XS-11, Riffle, NPAC-3
Drainage Area (sq mi):	4.82
Date:	12/7/2009
Field Crew:	A. Davis, K. Knight-Meng



Stream Type C5

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	189.0
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	53.1
Bankfull Width:	21.6
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	191.2
Flood Prone Width:	>60
Max Depth at Bankfull:	3.5
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	2.2
W / D Ratio:	8.7
Entrenchment Ratio:	>3.0
Bank Height Ratio:	1.0

Station	Elevation
0.0	189.16
0.2	188.93
0.5	188.94
1.1	189.05
1.9	189.19
3.0	188.93
4.3	188.96
10.8	188.67
12.0	188.94
13.3	188.83
14.6	188.78
15.8	188.91
18.1	188.99
20.6	189.02
21.8	189.08
22.5	189.22
23.8	189.10
24.6	188.94
25.5	189.02
26.8	189.04
27.8	188.99
29.7	188.33
31.0	187.75
32.2	187.31
33.0	186.81
33.7	186.65
34.2	186.31
34.3	186.26
34.5	185.92
35.5	185.59
36.7	185.53
38.1	185.59
72.3	189.59
73.4	189.61
73.5	189.83



*Other shots not included due to space


River Basin:	Cape Fear
Watershed:	Farrar Dairy
XS ID	XS-12, Pool, NPAC-3
Drainage Area (sq mi):	4.82
Date:	12/7/2009
Field Crew:	A. Davis, K. Knight-Meng



Stream Type C5

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	188.1
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	38.0
Bankfull Width:	21.0
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	-
Flood Prone Width:	-
Max Depth at Bankfull:	3.5
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	1.8
W / D Ratio:	-
Entrenchment Ratio:	-
Bank Height Ratio:	-

Station	Elevation
0.0	188.96
0.5	188.67
1.1	188.64
3.6	188.60
5.9	188.61
8.0	188.53
10.8	188.60
13.9	188.36
15.9	188.42
18.1	188.15
20.3	188.15
21.5	187.81
22.2	187.22
23.3	186.67
24.4	186.27
25.2	185.61
26.5	184.65
27.8	184.89
27.9	185.06
28.9	185.17
30.2	185.26
30.1	185.32
30.8	185.78
31.5	185.95
32.6	186.19
33.4	185.98
34.5	185.81
35.0	185.83
35.9	186.24
37.0	186.78
38.6	187.30
60.7	188.50
61.5	188.71
61.9	188.95



*Other shots not included due to space


River Basin:	Cape Fear
Watershed:	Farrar Dairy
XS ID	XS-13, Riffle, T1.1
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.02
Date:	10/28/2009
Field Crew:	B. Roberts, A. Davis



Stream Type C5

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	218.9
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	2.8
Bankfull Width:	8.0
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	219.6
Flood Prone Width:	16.1
Max Depth at Bankfull:	0.7
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	0.4
W / D Ratio:	22.9
Entrenchment Ratio:	2.0
Bank Height Ratio:	1.0

Station	Elevation
0.0	221.84
0.2	221.62
2.1	221.37
4.0	221.03
6.8	220.49
8.8	220.22
10.8	219.79
13.1	218.96
14.5	218.96
16.6	218.28
17.3	218.11
18.4	218.24
20.1	218.59
21.8	218.79
23.8	218.92
26.8	219.48
28.8	219.94
31.6	220.43
35.2	220.72
38.9	220.94
42.6	221.09
43.1	221.40




River Basin:	Cape Fear
Watershed:	Farrar Dairy
XS ID	XS-14, Pool, T1.1
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.02
Date:	10/28/2009
Field Crew:	B. Roberts, A. Davis



Stream Type C5

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	215.6
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	5.2
Bankfull Width:	6.9
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	-
Flood Prone Width:	-
Max Depth at Bankfull:	1.3
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	0.7
W / D Ratio:	-
Entrenchment Ratio:	-
Bank Height Ratio:	-

Station	Elevation
0.0	216.93
0.2	216.56
3.5	216.56
7.4	216.33
10.5	216.38
13.3	216.26
15.0	216.13
16.0	215.73
17.7	215.69
18.4	215.53
19.6	215.19
20.4	214.89
21.3	214.40
22.2	214.24
23.1	214.33
23.6	214.51
24.0	214.91
25.2	215.63
28.4	215.76
29.6	216.04
33.3	217.00
37.3	217.09
41.4	217.31
45.4	217.35
45.7	217.75




River Basin:	Cape Fear
Watershed:	Farrar Dairy
XS ID	XS-15, Riffle, T1.1
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.02
Date:	10/28/2009
Field Crew:	B. Roberts, A. Davis



Stream Type C5

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	213.7
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	2.5
Bankfull Width:	5.8
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	214.3
Flood Prone Width:	30
Max Depth at Bankfull:	0.7
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	0.4
W / D Ratio:	13.2
Entrenchment Ratio:	5.2
Bank Height Ratio:	1.0

Station	Elevation
0.0	214.19
0.2	213.89
3.5	214.05
7.8	213.73
10.9	213.91
13.6	214.26
14.9	214.17
15.8	213.86
17.6	213.87
18.0	213.80
19.0	213.45
19.9	213.02
21.3	213.02
22.3	213.12
24.2	213.71
26.4	213.76
29.6	214.22
32.4	214.72
35.5	215.03
40.8	215.47
48.6	215.27
49.0	215.58




River Basin:	Cape Fear
Watershed:	Farrar Dairy
XS ID	XS-16, Pool, T1.2
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.10
Date:	10/29/2009
Field Crew:	B. Roberts, A. French



Stream Type C5

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	219.6
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	6.8
Bankfull Width:	9.2
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	-
Flood Prone Width:	-
Max Depth at Bankfull:	1.4
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	0.7
W / D Ratio:	-
Entrenchment Ratio:	-
Bank Height Ratio:	-

Station	Elevation
0.0	220.84
0.4	220.34
4.5	220.31
8.8	220.32
14.6	220.21
16.5	220.08
18.7	219.89
21.0	219.56
23.4	218.77
24.4	218.67
25.1	218.53
25.9	218.32
26.6	218.20
27.5	218.68
28.8	219.23
29.6	219.62
30.1	219.77
31.2	219.79
32.3	219.91
34.2	220.35
36.4	220.80
40.0	221.13
43.6	221.09




River Basin:	Cape Fear
Watershed:	Farrar Dairy
XS ID	XS-17, Riffle, T1.2
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.10
Date:	10/29/2009
Field Crew:	B. Roberts, A. French



Stream Type C5

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	216.4
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	5.8
Bankfull Width:	8.5
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	217.6
Flood Prone Width:	46.0
Max Depth at Bankfull:	1.2
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	0.7
W / D Ratio:	12.5
Entrenchment Ratio:	5.4
Bank Height Ratio:	1.0

Station	Elevation
0.0	218.82
0.4	218.35
3.6	218.07
6.0	217.80
7.7	217.32
10.2	216.85
13.4	216.64
15.2	216.45
16.4	216.22
17.5	215.59
18.1	215.48
18.6	215.29
19.2	215.23
19.9	215.29
21.1	215.47
22.7	215.93
24.0	216.46
26.2	216.61
29.5	216.87
33.7	217.00
39.2	217.10
46.9	217.44
52.7	217.51
53.0	217.99




River Basin:	Cape Fear
Watershed:	Farrar Dairy
XS ID	XS-18, Riffle, T1.2
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.10
Date:	10/29/2009
Field Crew:	B. Roberts, A. French



Stream Type E5

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	212.0
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	5.1
Bankfull Width:	6.9
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	213.2
Flood Prone Width:	26
Max Depth at Bankfull:	1.2
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	0.7
W / D Ratio:	9.3
Entrenchment Ratio:	3.8
Bank Height Ratio:	1.0

Station	Elevation
0.0	215.38
0.3	214.97
2.1	214.91
3.0	214.66
6.4	213.69
10.3	212.72
12.1	212.35
13.8	212.34
15.2	212.00
16.7	211.30
17.1	210.93
18.3	210.85
19.9	210.97
20.3	211.28
20.9	211.63
22.4	212.22
26.2	212.32
28.0	212.72
32.1	213.04
37.0	213.45
38.6	213.83




River Basin:	Cape Fear
Watershed:	Farrar Dairy
XS ID	XS-19, Pool, T1
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.18
Date:	10/29/2009
Field Crew:	B. Roberts, A. French



Stream Type E5

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	203.2
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	12.0
Bankfull Width:	10.5
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	-
Flood Prone Width:	-
Max Depth at Bankfull:	2.5
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	1.1
W / D Ratio:	-
Entrenchment Ratio:	-
Bank Height Ratio:	-

Station	Elevation
0.0	204.37
0.3	203.90
1.3	203.88
5.6	203.39
9.8	203.46
13.4	203.53
19.1	203.15
22.9	203.20
24.7	203.22
25.9	203.00
27.1	202.37
28.1	201.79
28.8	200.99
30.0	200.66
30.5	200.92
31.0	201.38
32.2	201.98
33.1	202.30
35.7	203.37
37.9	203.19
42.1	203.23
49.5	203.59
53.6	203.61
54.0	204.16




River Basin:	Cape Fear
Watershed:	Farrar Dairy
XS ID	XS-20, Riffle, T1
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.18
Date:	10/29/2009
Field Crew:	B. Roberts, A. French



Stream Type E5

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	201.0
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	8.3
Bankfull Width:	9.1
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	202.8
Flood Prone Width:	>60
Max Depth at Bankfull:	1.8
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	0.9
W / D Ratio:	10.0
Entrenchment Ratio:	>3.0
Bank Height Ratio:	1.0

Station	Elevation
0.0	201.91
0.1	201.65
2.4	201.50
6.3	201.71
8.9	201.45
10.9	201.72
13.5	201.14
16.5	201.10
19.2	200.18
19.8	199.51
21.1	199.23
22.4	199.34
23.4	200.07
24.3	200.50
25.8	200.96
27.7	201.29
30.7	201.51
36.9	201.39
39.7	201.18
43.3	201.58
43.6	201.93




River Basin:	Cape Fear
Watershed:	Farrar Dairy
XS ID	XS-21, Riffle, T1
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.18
Date:	10/28/2009
Field Crew:	B. Roberts, A. Davis



Stream Type E5

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	198.7
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	9.9
Bankfull Width:	10.1
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	200.5
Flood Prone Width:	>60
Max Depth at Bankfull:	1.8
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	1.0
W / D Ratio:	10.3
Entrenchment Ratio:	>3.0
Bank Height Ratio:	0.8

Station	Elevation
0.0	198.69
3.5	198.69
6.9	198.86
10.8	198.71
14.9	198.69
15.8	198.76
17.3	198.35
18.9	197.55
19.3	197.25
20.4	197.17
21.6	196.87
22.6	196.97
23.9	197.75
25.3	198.38
26.2	198.68
28.1	198.58
30.9	198.89
32.3	198.75
37.7	198.80
42.7	198.85
44.1	199.10




River Basin:	Cape Fear
Watershed:	Farrar Dairy
XS ID	XS-22, Pool, T2A
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.04
Date:	11/2/2009
Field Crew:	B. Roberts, K. Knight-Meng



Stream Type E5

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	203.2
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	5.0
Bankfull Width:	7.5
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	-
Flood Prone Width:	-
Max Depth at Bankfull:	1.1
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	0.7
W / D Ratio:	-
Entrenchment Ratio:	-
Bank Height Ratio:	-

Station	Elevation
0.0	203.87
0.4	203.53
1.9	203.56
5.0	203.34
6.5	203.37
7.8	203.33
8.7	203.13
9.7	202.74
10.8	202.16
11.9	202.15
12.8	202.14
14.1	202.49
15.0	202.89
15.6	203.16
16.3	203.31
17.8	203.31
19.1	203.53
21.3	203.56
24.2	203.42
25.2	203.49
27.7	203.65
27.9	203.98




River Basin:	Cape Fear
Watershed:	Farrar Dairy
XS ID	XS-23, Riffle, T2A
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.04
Date:	11/2/2009
Field Crew:	B. Roberts, K. Knight-Meng



Stream Type E5

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	199.0
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	2.8
Bankfull Width:	5.9
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	199.8
Flood Prone Width:	31
Max Depth at Bankfull:	0.8
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	0.5
W / D Ratio:	12.8
Entrenchment Ratio:	5.2
Bank Height Ratio:	1.0

Station	Elevation
0.0	200.05
0.1	199.70
1.6	199.64
4.1	199.57
6.5	199.47
8.5	199.25
9.9	199.08
12.7	199.11
13.8	199.01
14.7	198.56
15.4	198.28
16.2	198.21
16.7	198.22
17.6	198.42
18.2	198.68
18.8	198.92
20.1	199.08
22.0	199.79
26.1	199.73
28.4	199.63
30.9	199.45
31.1	199.86




River Basin:	Cape Fear
Watershed:	Farrar Dairy
XS ID	XS-24, Pool, T2B
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.04
Date:	11/2/2009
Field Crew:	B. Roberts, K. Knight-Meng



Stream Type E5

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	193.0
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	3.9
Bankfull Width:	6.5
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	-
Flood Prone Width:	-
Max Depth at Bankfull:	1.0
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	0.6
W / D Ratio:	-
Entrenchment Ratio:	-
Bank Height Ratio:	-

Station	Elevation
0.0	193.33
0.1	193.07
2.4	192.94
4.4	193.12
7.4	193.06
9.7	193.09
12.6	193.01
13.7	193.08
14.5	193.08
15.3	192.80
16.4	192.49
17.4	192.23
18.4	192.06
19.4	191.95
20.0	192.04
20.7	192.59
21.4	192.96
22.7	193.00
24.3	192.99
26.5	192.94
29.3	193.07
31.5	193.13
34.0	193.17
37.3	193.30
40.2	193.60
42.5	193.68
44.2	193.51
44.3	193.79




River Basin:	Cape Fear
Watershed:	Farrar Dairy
XS ID	XS-25, Riffle, T2B
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.04
Date:	11/2/2009
Field Crew:	B. Roberts, K. Knight-Meng



Stream Type E5

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	192.8
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	2.7
Bankfull Width:	5.6
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	193.6
Flood Prone Width:	>60
Max Depth at Bankfull:	0.8
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	0.5
W / D Ratio:	11.7
Entrenchment Ratio:	>3.0
Bank Height Ratio:	1.0

Station	Elevation
0.0	192.98
0.1	192.74
1.8	192.69
4.0	192.70
7.0	192.80
9.4	192.76
12.1	192.78
15.4	192.84
17.3	192.93
18.6	192.85
19.0	192.79
19.4	192.69
20.1	192.32
20.8	192.10
21.8	191.97
22.4	192.08
23.2	192.15
23.9	192.56
24.5	192.77
25.8	192.80
28.4	192.90
30.5	192.96
32.2	192.86
33.9	192.85
35.7	192.76
38.4	192.47
39.9	192.56
39.9	192.8




River Basin:	Cape Fear
Watershed:	Farrar Dairy
XS ID	XS-26, Riffle, T3
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.39
Date:	11/5/2009
Field Crew:	A. Davis, K. Knight-Meng



Stream Type C5

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	190.5
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	20.1
Bankfull Width:	17.6
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	192.2
Flood Prone Width:	>60
Max Depth at Bankfull:	1.8
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	1.1
W / D Ratio:	15.8
Entrenchment Ratio:	>3.0
Bank Height Ratio:	1.0

Station	Elevation
0.0	191.62
1.0	191.35
3.3	191.36
7.3	191.35
10.8	191.13
14.8	191.03
17.7	190.67
20.7	190.61
22.7	190.55
24.3	189.89
26.2	189.34
27.9	189.02
29.1	188.84
30.2	188.83
32.1	188.73
34.1	188.92
35.9	189.20
38.3	189.82
40.8	190.56
43.8	190.73
48.0	190.53
52.4	190.60
56.0	190.58
56.4	190.80




River Basin:	Cape Fear
Watershed:	Farrar Dairy
XS ID	XS-27, Pool, T3
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.39
Date:	11/5/2009
Field Crew:	A. Davis, K. Knight-Meng



Stream Type C5

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	189.8
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	22.1
Bankfull Width:	18.9
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	-
Flood Prone Width:	-
Max Depth at Bankfull:	2.3
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	1.2
W / D Ratio:	-
Entrenchment Ratio:	-
Bank Height Ratio:	-

Station	Elevation
0.0	189.90
0.3	189.67
2.5	189.74
5.9	189.83
9.2	189.89
12.9	189.84
15.7	189.93
18.5	189.98
20.2	189.86
22.0	189.41
23.7	188.89
25.0	188.36
25.5	188.11
26.5	187.69
27.7	187.45
29.3	187.54
30.2	188.00
30.9	188.22
32.5	188.50
34.9	188.75
38.0	189.47
39.7	189.84
42.7	190.00
45.6	190.27
48.8	190.12
52.6	189.98
56.8	190.12
58.8	189.99
59.0	190.30




River Basin:	Cape Fear
Watershed:	Farrar Dairy
XS ID	XS-28, Pool, T3
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.39
Date:	11/5/2009
Field Crew:	A. Davis, K. Knight-Meng



Stream Type C5

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	189.3
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	29.4
Bankfull Width:	23.3
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	-
Flood Prone Width:	-
Max Depth at Bankfull:	2.7
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	1.3
W / D Ratio:	-
Entrenchment Ratio:	-
Bank Height Ratio:	-

Station	Elevation
0.0	189.62
0.5	189.41
2.7	189.47
6.1	189.40
10.2	189.59
13.2	189.46
15.7	189.38
17.7	188.96
19.9	188.41
21.5	188.13
22.1	187.76
22.8	187.44
24.2	187.16
25.8	186.65
26.4	186.57
27.7	186.95
28.9	186.97
30.4	187.80
31.9	187.95
34.0	188.30
36.1	188.55
38.6	189.08
40.9	189.47
44.7	189.76
49.7	189.66
55.0	189.50
58.4	189.46
58.8	189.74




River Basin:	Cape Fear
Watershed:	Farrar Dairy
XS ID	XS-29, Riffle, T3
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.39
Date:	11/5/2009
Field Crew:	A. Davis, K. Knight-Meng




Stream Type C5

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	189.4
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	19.4
Bankfull Width:	15.4
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	191.4
Flood Prone Width:	>60
Max Depth at Bankfull:	2.0
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	1.3
W / D Ratio:	12.2
Entrenchment Ratio:	>3.0
Bank Height Ratio:	1.0


Station	Elevation
0.0	189.32
0.7	189.07
3.5	189.41
6.3	189.41
9.4	189.42
11.6	189.40
13.2	188.77
14.7	188.23
16.2	187.80
17.4	187.64
18.8	187.47
20.3	187.38
21.5	187.64
22.9	187.83
24.9	188.53
27.4	189.54
30.1	189.77
32.5	189.74
34.9	189.59
35.2	189.97




Farrar Dairy Longitudinal Profile
NPAC-1 MY-01
Stations 15+75 - 31+75



Farrar Dairy Longitudinal Profile
NPAC-2 MY-01
Stations 57+55 - 63+77




**Farrar Dairy Longitudinal Profile
Tributary 1.1 MY-01
Stations 80+25 - 85+75**




*No WS due to no flow in channel during survey.

Farrar Dairy Longitudinal Profile
Tributary 1.2 MY-01
Stations 91+45 - 96+70




*No WS due to no flow in channel during survey.

**Longitudinal Profile
Tributary 1 MY-01
Stations 103+50 - 108+77**




*No WS due to no flow in channel during survey.

**Longitudinal Profile
Tributary 2 MY-01
Stations 112+80 - 118+37**



*No WS due to no flow in channel during survey.

**Longitudinal Profile
Tributary 3 MY-01
Stations 133+85 - 139+73**




Cross-Section 1 Rifle - MY-01

Particle	Millimeter	Count
Silt/Clay	< 0.062	55
Very Fine	.062 - .125	34
Fine	.125 - .25	1
Medium	.25 - .50	1
Coarse	.50 - 1	
Very Coarse	1 - 2	
Very Fine	2 - 4	2
Fine	4 - 5.7	1
Fine	5.7 - 8	2
Medium	8 - 11.3	5
Medium	11.3 - 16	1
Coarse	16 - 22.6	
Coarse	22.6 - 32	
Very Coarse	32 - 45	
Very Coarse	45 - 64	
Small	64 - 90	
Small	90 - 128	
Large	128 - 180	
Large	180 - 256	
Small	256 - 362	
Small	362 - 512	
Medium	512 - 1024	
Lrg- Very Lrg	1024 - 2048	
Bedrock	>2048	
Total		102

Note:


Particle Size Distribution
Farrar Dairy
XS 1 Rifle




Size (mm)	Count
D16	0.062
D35	0.062
D50	0.062
D65	0.078
D84	0.12
D95	8.5

Size Distribution	
mean	0.1
dispersion	1.5
skewness	0.27

Type	Percentage
silt/clay	54%
sand	35%
gravel	11%
cobble	0%
boulder	0%
bedrock	0%
hardpan	0%
wood/det	0%
artificial	0%




Cross-Section 3 Riffle - MY-01			
Particle	Millimeter	S/C	Count
Silt/Clay	< 0.062	S/C	29
Very Fine	.062 - .125	S	
Fine	.125 - .25	A	42
Medium	.25 - .50	N	21
Coarse	.50 - 1	D	3
Very Coarse	1 - 2	S	6
Very Fine	2 - 4		3
Fine	4 - 5.7	G	
Fine	5.7 - 8	R	
Medium	8 - 11.3	A	1
Medium	11.3 - 16	V	
Coarse	16 - 22.6	E	
Coarse	22.6 - 32	L	
Very Coarse	32 - 45	S	
Very Coarse	45 - 64		
Small	64 - 90	C	
Small	90 - 128	O	
Large	128 - 180	B	
Large	180 - 256	L	
Small	256 - 362	B	
Small	362 - 512	L	
Medium	512 - 1024	D	
Lrg- Very Lrg	1024 - 2048	R	
Bedrock	>2048	BDRK	
Total			105



Size (mm)		Size Distribution		Type	
D16	0.062	mean	0.2	silt/clay	28%
D35	0.14	dispersion	2.7	sand	69%
D50	0.18	skewness	-0.04	gravel	4%
D65	0.24			cobble	0%
D84	0.44			boulder	0%
D95	1.7			bedrock	0%
				hardpan	0%
				wood/det	0%
				artificial	0%


Note:

Cross-Section 4 Pool - MY-01			
Particle	Millimeter		Count
Silt/Clay	< 0.062	S/C	23
Very Fine	.062 - .125	S	34
Fine	.125 - .25	A	12
Medium	.25 - .50	N	26
Coarse	.50 - 1	D	6
Very Coarse	1 - 2	S	
Very Fine	2 - 4		
Fine	4 - 5.7	G	
Fine	5.7 - 8	R	
Medium	8 - 11.3	A	
Medium	11.3 - 16	V	
Coarse	16 - 22.6	E	1
Coarse	22.6 - 32	L	1
Very Coarse	32 - 45	S	
Very Coarse	45 - 64		
Small	64 - 90	C	
Small	90 - 128	O	
Large	128 - 180	B	
Large	180 - 256	L	
Small	256 - 362	B	
Small	362 - 512	L	
Medium	512 - 1024	D	
Lrg- Very Lrg	1024 - 2048	R	
Bedrock	>2048	BDRK	
		Total	103




Size (mm)		Size Distribution		Type	
D16	0.062	mean	0.2	silt/clay	22%
D35	0.081	dispersion	2.7	sand	76%
D50	0.11	skewness	0.17	gravel	2%
D65	0.22			cobble	0%
D84	0.4			boulder	0%
D95	0.69			bedrock	0%
				hardpan	0%
				wood/det	0%
				artificial	0%

Note:




Cross-Section 6 Pool - MY-01			
Particle	Millimeter	S/C	Count
Silt/Clay	< 0.062	S/C	58
Very Fine	.062 - .125	S	34
Fine	.125 - .25	A	10
Medium	.25 - .50	N	21
Coarse	.50 - 1	D	
Very Coarse	1 - 2	S	
Very Fine	2 - 4		
Fine	4 - 5.7	G	
Fine	5.7 - 8	R	
Medium	8 - 11.3	A	
Medium	11.3 - 16	V	
Coarse	16 - 22.6	E	
Coarse	22.6 - 32	L	
Very Coarse	32 - 45	S	
Very Coarse	45 - 64		
Small	64 - 90	C	
Small	90 - 128	O	
Large	128 - 180	B	
Large	180 - 256	L	
Small	256 - 362	B	
Small	362 - 512	L	
Medium	512 - 1024	D	
Lrg- Very Lrg	1024 - 2048	R	
Bedrock	>2048	BDRK	
Total			123



Size (mm)		Size Distribution		Type	
D16	0.062	mean	0.1	silt/clay	47%
D35	0.062	dispersion	2.5	sand	53%
D50	0.067	skewness	0.35	gravel	0%
D65	0.097			cobble	0%
D84	0.26			boulder	0%
D95	0.41			bedrock	0%
				hardpan	0%
				wood/det	0%
				artificial	0%


Note:

Cross-Section 7 Riffle - MY-01				Count
Particle	Millimeter	S/C		
Silt/Clay	< 0.062	S/C		60
Very Fine	.062 - .125	S		46
Fine	.125 - .25	A		
Medium	.25 - .50	N		
Coarse	.50 - 1	D		
Very Coarse	1 - 2	S		
Very Fine	2 - 4			
Fine	4 - 5.7	G		
Fine	5.7 - 8	R		
Medium	8 - 11.3	A		
Medium	11.3 - 16	V		
Coarse	16 - 22.6	E		
Coarse	22.6 - 32	L		
Very Coarse	32 - 45	S		
Very Coarse	45 - 64			
Small	64 - 90	C		
Small	90 - 128	O		
Large	128 - 180	B		
Large	180 - 256	L		
Small	256 - 362	B		
Small	362 - 512	L		
Medium	512 - 1024	D		
Lrg- Very Lrg	1024 - 2048	R		
Bedrock	>2048	BDRK		
			Total	106




Size (mm)		Size Distribution			Type	
D16	0.062	mean	0.1	silt/clay	57%	
D35	0.062	dispersion	1.3	sand	43%	
D50	0.062	skewness	0.22	gravel	0%	
D65	0.071			cobble	0%	
D84	0.097			boulder	0%	
D95	0.12			bedrock	0%	
				hardpan	0%	
				wood/det	0%	
				artificial	0%	

Note:




Cross-Section 9 Pool - MY-01			
Particle	Millimeter		Count
Silt/Clay	< 0.062	S/C	21
Very Fine	.062 - .125	S	22
Fine	.125 - .25	A	11
Medium	.25 - .50	N	34
Coarse	.50 - 1	D	30
Very Coarse	1 - 2	S	1
Very Fine	2 - 4		1
Fine	4 - 5.7	G	
Fine	5.7 - 8	R	
Medium	8 - 11.3	A	
Medium	11.3 - 16	V	
Coarse	16 - 22.6	E	
Coarse	22.6 - 32	L	1
Very Coarse	32 - 45	S	
Very Coarse	45 - 64		
Small	64 - 90	C	
Small	90 - 128	O	
Large	128 - 180	B	
Large	180 - 256	L	
Small	256 - 362	B	
Small	362 - 512	L	
Medium	512 - 1024	D	
Lrg- Very Lrg	1024 - 2048	R	
Bedrock	>2048	BDRK	
		Total	121



Size (mm)		Size Distribution		Type	
D16	0.062	mean	0.2	silt/clay	17%
D35	0.12	dispersion	3.5	sand	81%
D50	0.29	skewness	-0.14	gravel	2%
D65	0.41			cobble	0%
D84	0.69			boulder	0%
D95	0.93			bedrock	0%
				hardpan	0%
				wood/det	0%
				artificial	0%


Note:


Cross-Section 10 Riffle - MY-01			
Particle	Millimeter		Count
Silt/Clay	< 0.062	S/C	5
Very Fine	.062 - .125	S	28
Fine	.125 - .25	A	3
Medium	.25 - .50	N	23
Coarse	.50 - 1	D	11
Very Coarse	1 - 2	S	9
Very Fine	2 - 4		5
Fine	4 - 5.7	G	3
Fine	5.7 - 8	R	6
Medium	8 - 11.3	A	9
Medium	11.3 - 16	V	5
Coarse	16 - 22.6	E	3
Coarse	22.6 - 32	L	3
Very Coarse	32 - 45	S	3
Very Coarse	45 - 64		
Small	64 - 90	C	
Small	90 - 128	O	
Large	128 - 180	B	1
Large	180 - 256	L	
Small	256 - 362	B	
Small	362 - 512	L	
Medium	512 - 1024	D	
Lrg- Very Lrg	1024 - 2048	R	
Bedrock	>2048	BDRK	6
		Total	123




Size (mm)		Size Distribution		Type	
D16	0.087	mean	0.9	silt/clay	4%
D35	0.29	dispersion	12.6	sand	60%
D50	0.49	skewness	0.19	gravel	30%
D65	1.6			cobble	1%
D84	9.6			boulder	0%
D95	25			bedrock	5%
				hardpan	0%
				wood/det	0%
				artificial	0%


Note:











Note:
















Note:



Cross-Section 21 Riffle - MY-01

Particle	Millimeter	S/C	Count
Silt/Clay	< 0.062	S/C	76
Very Fine	.062 - .125	S	
Fine	.125 - .25	A	
Medium	.25 - .50	N	
Coarse	.50 - 1	D	
Very Coarse	1 - 2	S	6
Very Fine	2 - 4		5
Fine	4 - 5.7	G	3
Fine	5.7 - 8	R	6
Medium	8 - 11.3	A	6
Medium	11.3 - 16	V	6
Coarse	16 - 22.6	E	4
Coarse	22.6 - 32	L	
Very Coarse	32 - 45	S	
Very Coarse	45 - 64		
Small	64 - 90	C	
Small	90 - 128	O	
Large	128 - 180	B	
Large	180 - 256	L	
Small	256 - 362	B	
Small	362 - 512	L	
Medium	512 - 1024	D	
Lrg- Very Lrg	1024 - 2048	R	
Bedrock	>2048	BDRK	
Total			112

Particle Size Distribution
Farrar Dairy
XS 21 Riffle




Size (mm)	Count
D16	0.062
D35	0.062
D50	0.062
D65	0.062
D84	7.3
D95	14


Size Distribution	
mean	0.7
dispersion	59.4
skewness	0.72


Type	Percentage
silt/clay	68%
sand	5%
gravel	27%
cobble	0%
boulder	0%
bedrock	0%
hardpan	0%
wood/det	0%
artificial	0%


Note:





Note:




















**Farrar Dairy Restoration Site
Stage Hydrograph
Stream Gauge 1**




**Farrar Dairy Restoration Site
Stage Hydrograph
Stream Gauge 2**




Farrar Dairy Restoration Site Hydrograph Wetland Gauge 1




**Farrar Dairy Restoration Site
Hydrograph
Wetland Gauge 2**




**Farrar Dairy Restoration Site
Hydrograph
Wetland Gauge 3**




**Farrar Dairy Restoration Site
Hydrograph
Wetland Gauge 4**




Farrar Dairy Restoration Site Hydrograph Wetland Gauge 5




**Farrar Dairy Restoration Site
Hydrograph
Wetland Gauge 6**



**Farrar Dairy Restoration Site
Hydrograph
Wetland Gauge 7**




Farrar Dairy Restoration Site Hydrograph Reference Gauge





*Sensor elevation higher than jurisdictional elevation. Sensor depth will be lowered for Monitoring Year 2.

Farrar 30-70 Percentile Graph 2008-2009
Lillington, NC Monthly Rainfall



Appendix D

Current Condition Plan View



SYMBOL	DATE	APPROVED




KCI
 TECHNOLOGIES
 ENGINEERS • PLANNERS • SCIENTISTS
 4601 SIX FORKS ROAD
 RALEIGH, NORTH CAROLINA 27609

**FARRAR DAIRY
 STREAM AND WETLAND RESTORATION**
 LILLINGTON, HARNETT COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA

DATE: DEC 2009
 SCALE: 1"=400'

**CURRENT
 CONDITION
 PLAN VIEW**

SHEET 1 OF 11



SYL	DESCRIPTION	DATE	APPROVED



KCI
 TECHNOLOGIES
 ENGINEERS • PLANNERS • SCIENTISTS
 4601 SIX FORKS ROAD
 RALEIGH, NORTH CAROLINA 27609

**FARRAR DAIRY
 STREAM AND WETLAND RESTORATION**
 LILLINGTON, HARNETT COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA
 NPAC - STATION 10+00 TO STATION 27+17



DATE: DEC 2009
SCALE: 1"=60'
CURRENT CONDITION PLAN VIEW
SHEET 2 OF 11

MATCHLINE - SEE SHEET 3

SEE SHEETS 7 - 9 FOR T1.1, T1.2, AND T1

CONSERVATION EASEMENT

CONSERVATION EASEMENT



SYMBOL	DESCRIPTION	DATE	APPROVED



KCI
 TECHNOLOGIES
 ENGINEERS • PLANNERS • SCIENTISTS
 4601 SIX FORKS ROAD
 RALEIGH, NORTH CAROLINA 27609

**FARRAR DAIRY
 STREAM AND WETLAND RESTORATION**
 LILLINGTON, HARNETT COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA
 NPAC - STATION 27+17 TO STATION 40+51

DATE: DEC 2009
 SCALE: 1"=60'

**CURRENT
 CONDITION
 PLAN VIEW**



SYMBOL	DESCRIPTION	DATE	APPROVED




KCI
 TECHNOLOGIES
 ENGINEERS • PLANNERS • SCIENTISTS
 4601 SIX FORKS ROAD
 RALEIGH, NORTH CAROLINA 27609

**FARRAR DAIRY
 STREAM AND WETLAND RESTORATION**
 LILLINGTON, HARNETT COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA
 NPAC - STATION 40+51 TO STATION 55+39



DATE: DEC 2009
 SCALE: 1"=80'

**CURRENT
 CONDITION
 PLAN VIEW**

SHEET 4 OF 11




SEE SHEET 10 FOR T2





SEE SHEET 11 FOR T3



SYMBOL	DESCRIPTION	DATE	APPROVED





**FARRAR DAIRY
 STREAM AND WETLAND RESTORATION**
 LILLINGTON, HARNETT COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA
 NPAC - STATION 55+39 TO STATION 69+51

DATE: DEC 2009
 SCALE: 1"=80'
**CURRENT
 CONDITION
 PLAN VIEW**
 SHEET 5 OF 11



 KCI TECHNOLOGIES ENGINEERS • PLANNERS • SCIENTISTS 4601 SIX FORKS ROAD RALEIGH, NORTH CAROLINA 27609			
FARRAR DAIRY STREAM AND WETLAND RESTORATION LILLINGTON, HARNETT COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA NPAC - STATION 69+51 TO STATION 77+46		REVISIONS SYL. DESCRIPTION DATE APPROVED	
DATE: DEC 2009 SCALE: 1"=80'		REVISIONS	
CURRENT CONDITION PLAN VIEW		SHEET 6 OF 11	



SYMBOL	DESCRIPTION	DATE	APPROVED





KCI
TECHNOLOGIES
ENGINEERS • PLANNERS • SCIENTISTS
4601 SIX FORKS ROAD
RALEIGH, NORTH CAROLINA 27609

**FARRAR DAIRY
STREAM AND WETLAND RESTORATION**
LILLINGTON, HARNETT COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA
T1.1 - STATION 80+00 TO STATION 88+25

DATE: DEC 2009
SCALE: 1"=80'
**CURRENT
CONDITION
PLAN VIEW**
SHEET 7 OF 11

SEE SHEET 8 FOR T1.2

SEE SHEET 9 FOR T1



SYMBOL	DESCRIPTION	DATE	APPROVED






KCI
TECHNOLOGIES
ENGINEERS • PLANNERS • SCIENTISTS
4601 SIX FORKS ROAD
RALEIGH, NORTH CAROLINA 27609

**FARRAR DAIRY
STREAM AND WETLAND RESTORATION**
LILLINGTON, HARNETT COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA
T1.2 - STATION 90+00 TO STATION 99+80

DATE: DEC 2009
SCALE: 1"=80'

**CURRENT
CONDITION
PLAN VIEW**



SEE SHEETS 7 AND 8 FOR T1.1 AND T1.2

SEE SHEET 3 FOR NPAC

SEE SHEET 3 FOR NPAC



SYMBOL	DESCRIPTION	DATE	APPROVED



KCI
 TECHNOLOGIES
 ENGINEERS • PLANNERS • SCIENTISTS
 4601 SIX FORKS ROAD
 RALEIGH, NORTH CAROLINA 27609

**FARRAR DAIRY
 STREAM AND WETLAND RESTORATION**
 LILLINGTON, HARNETT COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA
 T1 - STATION 100+00 TO STATION 108+84

DATE: DEC 2009
 SCALE: 1"=60'
**CURRENT
 CONDITION
 PLAN VIEW**
 SHEET 9 OF 11



SEE SHEET 5 FOR NPAC

SEE SHEET 6 FOR NPAC

SYMBOL	DESCRIPTION	DATE	APPROVED



KCI
TECHNOLOGIES
ENGINEERS • PLANNERS • SCIENTISTS
4601 SIX FORKS ROAD
RALEIGH, NORTH CAROLINA 27609

**FARRAR DAIRY
STREAM AND WETLAND RESTORATION**
LILLINGTON, HARNETT COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA
T3 - STATION 130+00 TO STATION 141+67

DATE: DEC 2009
SCALE: 1"=80'
**CURRENT
CONDITION
PLAN VIEW**
SHEET 11 OF 11