

Little River Stream and Wetland Enhancement Project

SCO No. 070715501
DENR Contract No. D08049S
EEP Project No. 226
Moore County, North Carolina

Year 4 of 5 Monitoring Report
Data Collection: January through December 2014
Submission Date: December 15, 2014



Prepared for:



North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources
Ecosystem Enhancement Program
217 West Jones Street, 3rd Floor, Suite 3000A; Raleigh, NC 27603

(This page intentionally left blank)

Little River Stream and Wetland Enhancement Project

**SCO No. 070715501
DENR Contract No. D08049S
EEP Project No. 226
Moore County, North Carolina**

**Year 4 of 5 Monitoring Report Data Collection:
January through December 2014
Submission Date: December 15, 2014**

Prepared by:



Land Management Group, Inc.
3805 Wrightsville Avenue; Suite 15
Wilmington, NC 28403
(910) 452-0001

(This page intentionally left blank)

Table of Contents

1.0 TITLE PAGE.....i
2.0 TABLE OF CONTENTS..... ii
3.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY/PROJECT ABSTRACT1
4.0 METHODOLOGY3
5.0 REFERENCES3
6.0 PROJECT CONDITION AND MONITORING DATA APPENDICES4

- Appendix A. Project Vicinity Map and Background Tables
- Appendix B. Visual Assessment Data
- Appendix C. Vegetation Plot Data
- Appendix D. Hydrologic Data

3.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY/PROJECT ABSTRACT

The Little River Stream and Wetland Enhancement Site is located on a 125-acre conservation easement along Little River near Vass, NC (Moore County) within the Cape Fear River Basin #03030004 Cataloging Unit (Figure 1). It is located within a larger tract owned by J.J. Barnes and his family. The larger tract is actively managed for wildlife habitat to facilitate hunting on the overall tract. Prior to mitigation activities, the project site was a jurisdictional wetland with planted loblolly pine. The pine plantation was planted in the early 2000s as part of the CREP program. The stream and wetland enhancement project is funded by the North Carolina Ecosystem Enhancement Program (EEP).

The overall goal for the Little River Stream and Wetland Enhancement Site is to preserve and enhance a natural bottomland hardwood forest which exhibits desired functions appropriate to the existing geomorphic setting of the site.

Specific goals include:

- 1) Preservation of wildlife habitat; and
- 2) Natural community enhancement.

The project objectives include:

- 1) Partial removal of undesired vegetation via burning to promote desired species growth; and
- 2) Planting of the project site with specific native species to enhance natural habitat.

To accomplish these goals, the site was burned in December of 2010 and planted in January of 2011. The baseline field monitoring was performed by Stantec in February of 2011. Land Management Group, Inc. (LMG) performed Year One vegetation monitoring in October of 2011, Year Two vegetation monitoring in September of 2012, Year Three vegetation monitoring in September of 2013, and Year Four vegetation monitoring in September of 2014 (Table 2).

Stream enhancement II and preservation are both components of this project (Table 1). Three stream channels traverse the project site. Small portions of the channels have been altered in the past but currently appear stable. The project includes 3,593 linear feet of stream enhancement II on two tributaries to the Little River (Reach 1 & Reach 2) and 210 linear feet of stream preservation of one associated tributary (Reach 3).

Wetlands within the conservation easement boundary were enhanced or preserved. Approximately 39 acres of wetlands in the bottomland hardwood forest adjacent to the Little River channel and approximately nine acres of successional wetlands located in the northwest portion of the project site have been preserved. The wetlands within the approximately 48-acre loblolly pine plantation area and 7-acre grassy field area have been enhanced through the planting of native hardwood trees (See Table 1 for Project

Components and Figure 2 for Component Location).

Vegetation monitoring is conducted on an annual basis using sixteen (16) permanent vegetation plots (Figure 2). The vegetation success criterion for the pine plantation area is the survival of 150 planted woody stems per acre at the end of the five-year monitoring period. The success criterion for the grassy field area is the survival of 260 planted woody stems per acre at the end of the five-year monitoring period. Monitoring Year 4 (MY4 2014) observed a mean stem density of 255 planted stems per acre in the plots. The plots located in the grassy field area (Plots 1-3) had an average of 310 planted stems per acre. The plots located within the pine plantation area (Plots 4-16) had an average of 243 planted stems per acre. When volunteer stems and mature pines were included, the site had an overall mean stem density of 2,527 stems per acre. The stem density decreased to 2,243 stems per acre when mature pine trees were not counted. The plots located in the grassy field area had an average of 1,632 planted and volunteer stems per acre (1,430 stems per acre without mature pines). The plots located within the pine plantation area had an average of 2,733 planted and volunteer stems per acre (2,431 stems per acre without mature pines). Plots #2, #3, and #12 did not meet the vegetation success criterion in MY4 2014. Plot #9 meets the success criterion, but by less than 20%. In addition to shading effects from volunteers, other impacts to planted stems included disease, insects, and deer browse.

The project consisted of the enhancement and preservation of existing wetlands and streams within the site. Prior to mitigation, wetlands were determined and confirmed by a jurisdictional determination. Therefore, there is no hydrological success criterion. However, five continuous groundwater monitoring gauges were installed on the site in order to monitor and confirm hydrology. Four of the gauges are located in wetlands of the pine plantation and a fifth is a reference gauge located in a preserved wetland area on the west side of the project. During the growing season of MY4 (2014), the groundwater monitoring gauges located within the enhancement site demonstrated a water level within 12" of the soil surface for between 6% and 22% of the growing season. Rainfall totals during the growing season were below average or average (Appendix D).

- Gauge #1: 18% (42 days)
- Gauge #2: 6% (13 days)
- Gauge #3: 22% (50 days)
- Gauge #4: 22% (50 days)
- Reference Gauge: 21% (49 days)

Streams are visually assessed each year to monitor for stability. One crest gauge was installed on-site and is located adjacent to Vegetation Plot 7. Streams were stable during the MY4 monitoring assessment. The crest gauge was evaluated several times throughout 2014. During these visits, water was noted within the channel. Water staining was observed on the crest gauge on several occasions, indicating overbank flooding.

Summary information/data related to the occurrence of items such as beaver or

encroachment and statistics related to performance of various project and monitoring elements can be found in the tables and figures in the report appendices. Narrative background and supporting information formerly found in these reports can be found in the mitigation and restoration plan documents available on EEP's website. All raw data supporting the tables and figures in the appendices are available from EEP upon request.

4.0 METHODOLOGY

Vegetation

Sixteen (16) permanent vegetation plots are used for annual vegetation monitoring (Figure 2). All vegetation monitoring was completed in September 2014 utilizing the Carolina Vegetation Survey (CVS) – EEP protocol Level 2 (version 4.2).

Hydrology

A crest gauge was installed within a stream to monitor flow and is assessed through visual evaluation. Five groundwater monitoring gauges were installed on site (4 within the enhancement area and 1 within the reference area). All groundwater monitoring gauges were downloaded quarterly utilizing Remote Data System, Inc. data loggers and software. Data from the groundwater monitoring gauges are not used toward success criteria of the wetland.

Photo documentation was performed at prescribed locations across the site. A digital camera was used to take photos at each predetermined photo point location (Figure 2).

5.0 References

NCEEP. 2014. Annual Monitoring and Closeout Reporting Format, Data Requirements, and Content Guidance. North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources, Ecosystem Enhancement Program. Raleigh, NC. February, 2014.

NCEEP. 2014. Little River Stream and Wetland Enhancement Year 3 of 5 Monitoring Report. North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources, Ecosystem Enhancement Program. Raleigh, NC. January, 2014.

NCEEP. 2013. Little River Stream and Wetland Enhancement Year 2 of 5 Monitoring Report. North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources, Ecosystem Enhancement Program. Raleigh, NC. March, 2013.

NCEEP. 2012. Little River Stream and Wetland Enhancement Year 1 of 5 Monitoring Report. North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources, Ecosystem Enhancement Program. Raleigh, NC. March, 2012.

NCEEP. 2011. Little River Stream and Wetland Enhancement As-Built & Baseline Monitoring Report. North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources,

Ecosystem Enhancement Program. Raleigh, NC. December, 2011.

NCEEP. 2008. CVS-EEP Vegetation Sampling Protocol. North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources, Ecosystem Enhancement Program. Raleigh, NC. Version 4.2, 2008.

NCEEP. 2007. Little River Wetland Enhancement Restoration Plan. North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources, Ecosystem Enhancement Program. Raleigh, NC. September 28, 2007.

US Army Corps Of Engineers. 1987. U.S. Army Corps. of Engineers. Tech Report Y-87-1, 1987 Wetland Delineation Manual, Washington, DC. AD/A176.


US Army Corps Of Engineers. 2005. U.S. Army Corps. of Engineers. Information Regarding Stream Restoration in the Outer Coastal Plain of North Carolina, Wilmington Regulatory Field Office.

6.0 Project Condition and Monitoring Data Appendices

(This page intentionally left blank)

Appendix A.
Project Vicinity Map and Background Tables

(This page intentionally left blank for two-sided printing)



Directions to Site: From Raleigh take US-1 S/US-64 W toward Sanford/Asheboro. Continue to follow US-1 S for 50.9 miles. Take the Carolina 690 Exit toward Vass. Turn left onto N Carolina 690 E/Lobelia Rd. Continue to follow 690 E/Lobelia Rd for 2.5 miles. Turn right onto a dirt driveway, follow the dirt driveway and make a left at the fork. Continue down the dirt road to the NW corner of the site.







Figure 1. Vicinity Map

Little River Stream and Wetland Enhancement
EEP Project #226
Moore County, NC

7.5' USGS Topoquad Lobelia

-  Project boundary
-  J-Bar Ranch parcel boundary
-  Municipal boundary



(This page intentionally left blank)

^

^

—

—

| Table 1. Project Components and Mitigation Credits | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|--|--------------------------|----------------------------|
| Little River Stream and Wetland Enhancement Project/EEP Project No. 226 | | | | | | | | | |
| Mitigation Credits | | | | | | | | | |
| | Stream | | Riparian Wetland | | Non-Riparian Wetland | | Buffer | Nitrogen Nutrient Offset | Phosphorus Nutrient Offset |
| Type | R | RE | R | RE | R | RE | | | |
| Totals | 1437 | 21 | | 27.5 | | | | | |
| Project Components | | | | | | | | | |
| Project Component or Reach ID | Stationing/Location | Existing Footage/Acreage | Approach | Restoration or Restoration Equivalent | Restoration Footage or Acreage | Mitigation Ratio | Comment | | |
| Reach 1 | Flows NW to SE across the middle of site | 1,726 | E | R | 1,726 | 2.5:1 | Enhancement - planting occurred in the riparian area of both banks | | |
| Reach 2 | Flows NW to SE across the middle of site | 1,867 | E | R | 1,867 | 2.5:1 | Enhancement - planting occurred in the riparian area of both banks | | |
| Reach 3 | Enters the site on middle N boundary, tributary of Reach 2 | 210 | P | RE | 210 | 10:1 | Preservation - area is protected by a conservation easement with signage around the boundary | | |
| Wetland 1 | Pine Plantation | 47.8 | E | RE | 47.8 | 2.5:1 | Enhancement - weedy vegetation was suppressed with fire and area was planted | | |
| Wetland 2 | Grassy Field | 7.0 | E | RE | 7.0 | 2:1 | Enhancement - EI as a result of no trees present in this area. Area was burned and planted | | |
| Wetland 3 | S boundary of site | 39.4 | P | RE | 39.4 | 5:1 | Preservation - area is protected by a conservation easement with signage around the boundary | | |
| Wetland 4 | Successional Wetlands- NW portion of the site | 9.3 | P | RE | 9.3 | 10:1 | Preservation - area is protected by a conservation easement with signage around the boundary | | |
| Component Summation | | | | | | | | | |
| Restoration Level | Stream (lf) | Riparian Wetland (ac) | | Non-Riparian Wetland (ac) | Buffer (sq ft) | Upland (ac) | | | |
| | | Riverine | Non-Riverine | | | | | | |
| Restoration | | | | | | | | | |
| Enhancement | | | 54.8 | | | | | | |
| Enhancement I | | | | | | | | | |
| Enhancement II | 3,593 | | | | | | | | |
| Creation | | | | | | | | | |
| Preservation | 210 | | 48.7 | | | | | | |
| HQ Preservation | | | | | | | | | |
| BMP Elements | | | | | | | | | |
| Element | Location | Purpose/Function | | | Notes | | | | |
| n/a | n/a | n/a | | | n/a | | | | |

Table 2. Project Activity and Reporting History Little River Stream and Wetland Enhancement Project -EEP Project No. 226

| Elapsed Time Since Grading Complete: n/a | | |
|--|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Elapsed Time Since Planting Complete: 48 months | | |
| Number of Reporting Years¹: 4 | | |
| Activity or Deliverable | Data Collection Complete | Actual Completion or Delivery |
| Mitigation Plan | Sep-07 | Oct-07 |
| Final Design – Construction Plans | n/a | n/a |
| Construction | n/a | n/a |
| Seeding | n/a | n/a |
| Prescribed Burn | n/a | Dec-10 |
| Planting | n/a | Jan-11 |
| As-built (Year 0 Monitoring -baseline) | Feb-11 | Dec-11 |
| Year 1 Monitoring | Dec-11 | Feb-12 |
| Year 2 Monitoring | Dec-12 | Jan-13 |
| Year 3 Monitoring | Dec-13 | Jan-14 |
| Year 4 Monitoring | Dec-14 | Dec-14 |
| Year 5 Monitoring | n/a | n/a |

1 = number of reports or data points produced excluding the baseline

Table 3. Project Contacts Table
Little River Stream and Wetland Enhancement Project -EEP Project No. 226

| | |
|--|---|
| Designer | Stantec Consulting Services, Inc. 801 Jones Franklin Road Suite 300; Raleigh, NC 27606 |
| Primary project design POC | Amber Coleman (919) 865-7399 |
| Construction Contractor | None |
| Planting Contractor | Carolina Silvics, Inc. 908 Indian Trail Road; Edenton, NC 27932 |
| Planting Contractor POC | Mary-Margaret McKinney (252) 482-8491 |
| Seeding Contractor | None |
| Seed Mix Sources | None |
| Nursery Stock Suppliers | ArborGen and Superior Trees |
| | Arborgen - 180 Westvaco road; Summerville, SC 29483 |
| | Superior Trees - 12493 E US Highway; Lee, FL 32059 |
| Monitoring Performers (MY0) | Stantec Consulting Services, Inc. 801 Jones Franklin Road Suite 300; Raleigh, NC 27606 |
| Stream Monitoring POC | Amber Coleman (919) 865-7399 |
| Vegetation Monitoring POC | Amber Coleman (919) 865-7399 |
| Wetland Monitoring POC | Amber Coleman (919) 865-7399 |
| Monitoring Performers (MY1 - MY4) | Land Management Group, Inc. 3805 Wrightsville Avenue, Suite 15; Wilmington, NC 28403 |
| Stream Monitoring POC | Kim Williams (910) 452-0001 |
| Vegetation Monitoring POC | Kim Williams (910) 452-0001 |
| Wetland Monitoring POC | Kim Williams (910) 452-0001 |

Table 4. Project Baseline Information and Attributes**Little River Stream and Wetland Enhancement Project -EEP Project No. 226**

| Project Information | | | |
|---|---|------------------|---------------------------------|
| Project Name | Little River Stream and Wetland Enhancement Project | | |
| Project County | Moore | | |
| Project Area (ac) | 125.8 | | |
| Project Coordinates (Lat and Long) | 35.223562, -79.240977 | | |
| Project Watershed Summary Information | | | |
| Physiographic Region | Sandhills | | |
| River Basin | Cape Fear | | |
| USGS HUC for Project (14 digit) | 03030004070050 | | |
| NCDWQ Subbasin | 03-03-14 | | |
| Project Drainage Area (sq mi) | 0.52 | | |
| Project Drainage impervious cover estimate (%) | < 1% | | |
| CGIA Land Use Classification | Active Forest Management and Harvesting; Unused | | |
| Reach Summary Information | | | |
| Parameters | Reach 1 | Reach 2 | Reach 3 |
| Length of Reach (linear feet) | 1,726 | 1,867 | 210 |
| Valley Classification | VIII | | |
| Drainage Area (ac) | 335 | | |
| NCDWQ Stream Identification Score | 30 | 28 | 28 |
| NCDWQ Water Quality Classification | Perennial | | |
| Morphological Description (stream type) | C5 | E5 | E5 |
| Evolutionary Trend | C5 | C5 | C5 |
| Underlying Mapped Soils | Bibb | | |
| Drainage Class | Poorly Drained | | |
| Soil Hydric Status | Yes | | |
| Slope | 0-1% | | |
| FEMA Classification | Zone X | | |
| Native Vegetation Community | Riverine bottomland hardwood | | |
| Percent Composition Exotic Invasive Vegetation | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Wetland Summary Information | | | |
| Parameter | Wetland 1 | Wetland 2 | Wetland 3 |
| Size (ac) | 47.8 | 7 | 48.7 |
| Wetland Type | Riparian Riverine | | |
| Mapped Soils Series | Bibb | | |
| Drainage Class | Poorly Drained | | |
| Soil Hydric Status | Hydric | | |
| Source of Hydrology | Overbank flooding and groundwater | | |
| Hydrologic Impairment | None | | |
| Native Vegetation Community | Riverine bottomland hardwood | | |
| Percent of Exotic/Invasive Vegetation | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Regulatory Considerations | | | |
| Regulation | Applicable? | Resolved? | Supporting Documentation |
| Waters of the United States - Section 404 | Yes | Yes | USACE 404 Permit |
| Waters of the United States - Section 401 | Yes | Yes | NCDWQ 401 Permit |
| Endangered Species Act | No | n/a | n/a |
| Historic Preservation Act | No | n/a | n/a |
| Coastal Zone Management Act (CZMA) Coastal Area Management Act (CAMA) | No | n/a | n/a |
| FEMA Floodplain Compliance | No | n/a | n/a |
| Essential Fisheries Habitat | No | n/a | n/a |

Appendix B.
Visual Assessment Data

(This page intentionally left blank)




Figure 2. Project Components and Current Conditions Plan View

Little River Stream and Wetland Enhancement
EEP #: 226
Moore County, North Carolina


December 2014

- ★ Reference well
- ⊕ Groundwater monitoring well
- ▲ Crest gauge
- Photo stations (S = Stream, V = Veg) *
- ▭ Vegetation monitoring plots (VP 1-16)
- ~ Existing streams
- - - Existing ditches
- ~ Stream enhancement
- ~ Stream preservation
- Beaver dam (old)
- ⊕ Precipitation gauge
- ~ Wetland enhancement
- ~ Wetland preservation
- ▨ Uplands
- - - Easement boundary
- Property boundary
- ▭ Area with low woody stem density
- - - Mowed path

Vegetation Monitoring Counts (in Year 3)

- ▭ Meets 5-yr success criterion by more than 20%
- ▭ Meets 5-yr success criterion by less than 20%
- ▭ Does not meet 5-yr success criterion

| Veg Plot Origin | Latitude | Longitude |
|-----------------|-----------|------------|
| VP1 | 35.224019 | -79.243264 |
| VP2 | 35.224237 | -79.242410 |
| VP3 | 35.223424 | -79.242268 |
| VP4 | 35.222751 | -79.241328 |
| VP5 | 35.223274 | -79.240922 |
| VP6 | 35.223772 | -79.240474 |
| VP7 | 35.224976 | -79.239925 |
| VP8 | 35.223259 | -79.238981 |
| VP9 | 35.222613 | -79.239121 |
| VP10 | 35.225090 | -79.238909 |
| VP11 | 35.224839 | -79.238393 |
| VP12 | 35.223612 | -79.238206 |
| VP13 | 35.223814 | -79.237441 |
| VP14 | 35.225665 | -79.237791 |
| VP15 | 35.224439 | -79.236249 |
| VP16 | 35.225448 | -79.235524 |



(This page intentionally left blank for two-sided printing)

Vegetation Plot Photos (all photos recorded on December 4, 2014)



Photo Station V1 - Veg Plot 1 looking along X-axis (December 4, 2014)



Photo Station V2 - Veg Plot 1 looking across (Dec. 4, 2014)



Photo Station V3 - Veg Plot 2 looking along X-axis (Dec. 4, 2014)



Photo Station V4 - Veg Plot 2 looking across (Dec 4, 2014)



Photo Station V5 - Veg Plot 3 looking along X-axis (Dec 4, 2014)



Photo Station V6 - Veg Plot 3 looking across (Dec 4, 2014)



Photo Station V7 - Veg Plot 4 looking along X-axis (Dec 4, 2014)



Photo Station V8 - Veg Plot 4 looking across (Dec 4, 2014)



Photo Station V9 - Veg Plot 5 looking along X-axis (Dec 4, 2014)



Photo Station V10 - Veg Plot 5 looking across (Dec 4, 2014)



Photo Station V11 - Veg Plot 6 looking along X-axis (Dec 4, 2014)



Photo Station V12 - Veg Plot 6 looking across (Dec 4, 2014)



Photo Station V13 - Veg Plot 7 looking along X-axis (Dec 4, 2014)



Photo Station V14 - Veg Plot 7 looking across (Dec 4, 2014)



Photo Station V15 - Veg Plot 8 looking along X-axis (Dec 4, 2014)



Photo Station V16 - Veg Plot 8 looking across (Dec 4, 2014)



Photo Station V17 - Veg Plot 9 looking along X-axis (Dec 4, 2014)



Photo Station V18 - Veg Plot 9 looking across (Dec 4, 2014)



Photo Station V19 - Veg Plot 10 looking along X-axis (Dec 4, 2014)



Photo Station V20 - Veg Plot 10 looking across (Dec 4, 2014)



Photo Station V21 - Veg Plot 11 looking along X-axis (Dec 4, 2014)



Photo Station V22 - Veg Plot 11 looking across (Dec 4, 2014)



Photo Station V23 - Veg Plot 12 looking along X-axis (Dec 4, 2014)



Photo Station V24 - Veg Plot 12 looking across (Dec 4, 2014)



Photo Station V25 - Veg Plot 13 looking along X-axis (Dec 4, 2014)



Photo Station V26 - Veg Plot 13 looking across (Dec 4, 2014)



Photo Station V27 - Veg Plot 14 looking along X-axis (Dec 4, 2014)



Photo Station V28 - Veg Plot 14 looking across (Dec 4, 2014)



Photo Station V29 - Veg Plot 15 looking along X-axis (Dec 4, 2014)



Photo Station V30 - Veg Plot 15 looking across (Dec 4, 2014)



Photo Station V31 - Veg Plot 16 looking along X-axis (Dec 4, 2014)



Photo Station V32 - Veg Plot 16 looking across (Dec 4, 2014)



Stream Photo Station 1: looking upstream (northwest) (Dec. 4, 2014)



Stream Photo Station 1: looking downstream (southeast) (Dec. 4, 2014)



Stream Photo Station 2: looking upstream (northwest) (Dec. 4, 2014)



Stream Photo Station 2: looking northeast (Dec. 4, 2014)



Stream Photo Station 2: looking downstream (southeast) (Dec. 4, 2014)



Stream Photo Station 3: looking upstream along Reach 2 (west) (Dec. 4, 2014)



Stream Photo Station 3: looking upstream at Reach 3 (north) (Dec. 4, 2014)



Stream Photo Station 3: looking downstream along Reach 2 (east) (Dec. 4, 2014)



Stream Photo Station 4: looking upstream along Reach 1 (northwest) (Dec. 4, 2014)



Stream Photo Station 4: looking downstream along Reach 1 (southeast) (Dec. 4, 2014)



Stream Photo Station 5: looking upstream along Reach 1 (northwest) (Dec. 4 2014)



Stream Photo Station 5: looking downstream along Reach 1 (southeast) (Dec. 4, 2014)

Table 5. Vegetation Condition Assessment Table

| Vegetation Category | Definitions | Mapping Threshold | CCPV Depiction | Number of Polygons | Combined Acreage | % of Planted Acreage |
|--|--|--|-------------------------|--------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| 1. Bare Areas | Very limited cover of both woody and herbaceous material | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2. Low Stem Density Areas | Woody stem densities clearly below target levels based on MY3, 4, or 5 stem count criteria | As in MY2 (2012) and MY3 (2013), areas near Plots 2, 3, 12 and 13 had stem densities lower than the five-year monitoring success criterion. However, Plot 2 did not meet criterion at baseline and this area was probably under-planted. | Red Outline on Figure 2 | 2 | 1.5 ac | 2.7% |
| 3. Areas of Poor Growth Rates or Vigor | Areas with woody stems of a size class that are obviously small given the monitoring year | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

(This page intentionally left blank)

Appendix C.
Vegetation Plot Data

(This page intentionally left blank)

| Table 6. Vegetation Plot Criteria Attainment | | |
|--|------------------------------------|------------|
| Little River Stream and Wetland Enhancement Project EEP | | |
| Vegetation Plot ID | Vegetation Survival Threshold Met? | Tract Mean |
| VP1 | Y | 81% |
| VP2 | N | |
| VP3 | N | |
| VP4 | Y | |
| VP5 | Y | |
| VP6 | Y | |
| VP7 | Y | |
| VP8 | Y | |
| VP9 | Y | |
| VP10 | Y | |
| VP11 | Y | |
| VP12 | N | |
| VP13 | Y | |
| VP14 | Y | |
| VP15 | Y | |
| VP16 | Y | |

Table 7. CVS Vegetation Plot Metadata
Little River Stream and Wetland Enhancement Project EEP No. 226

| | |
|---|---|
| Report Prepared By | Kim Williams |
| Date Prepared | 12/15/2014 13:30 |
| Database Name | LittleRiver_226_MY4_2014.mdb |
| Database Location | L:\Wetlands\2008\LittleRiver\Annual Monitoring Report\Year 4 |
| Computer Name | KWILLIAMS |
| Description Worksheets in This Document | |
| Metadata | Description of database file, the report worksheets, and a summary of project and project data. |
| Proj Planted | Each project is listed with its PLANTED stems per acre, for each year. This includes live stakes, all planted stems, and all natural/volunteer stems. |
| Proj Total Stems | Each project is listed with its TOTAL stems per acre, for each year. This includes live stakes, all planted stems, and all natural/volunteer stems. |
| Plots | List of plots surveyed with location and summary data (live stems, dead stems, missing, etc) |
| Vigor | Frequency distribution of vigor classes for stems for all plots. |
| Vigor by Spp | Frequency distribution of vigor classes listed by species. |
| Damage | List of most frequent damage classes with number of occurrences and percent of total stems impacted by each. |
| Damage by Spp | Damage values tallied by type for each species. |
| Damage by Plot | Damage values tallied by type for each plot. |
| Planted Stems by Plot and Spp | A matrix of the count of PLANTED living stems of each species for each plot; dead and missing stems are excluded. |
| Project Summary | |
| Project Code | 226 |
| Project Name | Little River |
| Description | Stream and Wetland Enhancement |
| River Basin | Cape Fear |
| Length (ft) | |
| Stream-to-Edge Width (ft) | |
| Area (sq m) | |
| Required Plots (calculated) | 16 |

Table 8. Planted and total stem counts (species by plot with annual means)

| Scientific Name | Common Name | Species Type | Current Plot Data (MY4 2014) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|------------------------------|-------|------|---------------|-------|------|---------------|-------|------|---------------|-------|------|---------------|-------|------|---------------|-------|------|---------------|-------|------|---------------|-------|------|---------------|-------|------|---------------|-------|------|---------------|-------|------|
| | | | E226-LMG-0001 | | | E226-LMG-0002 | | | E226-LMG-0003 | | | E226-LMG-0004 | | | E226-LMG-0005 | | | E226-LMG-0006 | | | E226-LMG-0007 | | | E226-LMG-0008 | | | E226-LMG-0009 | | | E226-LMG-0010 | | | E226-LMG-0011 | | |
| | | | PnoLS | P-all | T | PnoLS | P-all | T | PnoLS | P-all | T | PnoLS | P-all | T | PnoLS | P-all | T | PnoLS | P-all | T | PnoLS | P-all | T | PnoLS | P-all | T | PnoLS | P-all | T | PnoLS | P-all | T | | | |
| <i>Acer rubrum</i> | red maple | Tree | | | | | | | | | | 9 | | | 7 | | | 12 | | | | | | 12 | | | 17 | | | 5 | | | 1 | | |
| <i>Aronia spp.</i> | | shrub | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Aronia arbutifolia</i> | Red Chokeberry | Shrub | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Chamaecyparis thyoides</i> | Atlantic white cedar | Tree | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Clethra alnifolia</i> | sweet pepperbush | Shrub | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 32 | | | | | | | |
| <i>Cyrilla racemiflora</i> | swamp titi | Shrub | | | 7 | | | 7 | | | | 11 | | | 7 | | | 4 | | | 10 | | | 10 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Diospyros virginiana</i> | common persimmon | Tree | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Fraxinus pennsylvanica</i> | green ash | Tree | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| <i>Ilex glabra</i> | inkberry | Shrub | | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | | 5 | | | | | | | | | | 2 | 2 | 177 | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Ilex opaca</i> | American holly | Tree | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | 1 | | | 5 | | | 2 | | 2 | | | |
| <i>Itea virginica</i> | Virginia sweetspire | Shrub | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Juniperus virginiana</i> | eastern redcedar | Tree | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| <i>Leucothoe</i> | doghobble | shrub | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 5 | | | | | |
| <i>Ligustrum japonicum</i> | Japanese privet | Exotic | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| <i>Ligustrum sinense</i> | Chinese privet | Exotic | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Lindera benzoin</i> | northern spicebush | Shrub | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Liquidambar styraciflua</i> | sweetgum | Tree | | | 28 | | | 3 | | | 21 | | | 3 | | | 1 | | | 1 | | | 4 | | | 8 | | | 2 | | | | | | |
| <i>Liriodendron tulipifera</i> | tuliptree | Tree | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 3 | | | |
| <i>Lyonia lucida</i> | fetterbush lyonia | Shrub | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Magnolia virginiana</i> | sweetbay | Tree | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 3 | | | | | 1 | | | 3 | | | | |
| <i>Morella cerifera</i> | wax myrtle | shrub | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Nyssa sylvatica</i> | blackgum | Tree | 8 | 8 | 11 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 6 | | | 3 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 6 | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | |
| <i>Ostrya virginiana</i> | hophornbeam | Tree | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Persea borbonia</i> | redbay | tree | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Persea palustris</i> | swamp bay | tree | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Pinus taeda</i> | loblolly pine | Tree | | | 8 | | | 5 | | | 2 | | | 4 | | | 9 | | | 1 | | | 10 | | | 2 | | 7 | | 11 | | 6 | | | |
| <i>Prunus serotina</i> | black cherry | Tree | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Quercus spp.</i> | oak | Tree | 2 | 2 | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Quercus laurifolia</i> | laurel oak | Tree | | | | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | 4 | | | 2 | | | | | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Quercus lyrata</i> | overcup oak | Tree | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | 5 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | |
| <i>Quercus nigra</i> | water oak | Tree | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 8 | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Quercus pagoda</i> | cherrybark oak | Tree | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Rhus copallinum</i> | flameleaf sumac | shrub | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Symplocos tinctoria</i> | common sweetleaf | Shrub | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Vaccinium spp.</i> | blueberry | Shrub | | | | | | 4 | | | | | | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | 3 | | | | | | | | | |
| | Stem count | | 12 | 12 | 60 | 5 | 5 | 29 | 6 | 6 | 32 | 7 | 7 | 44 | 5 | 5 | 40 | 5 | 5 | 25 | 6 | 6 | 40 | 11 | 11 | 46 | 4 | 4 | 229 | 7 | 7 | 77 | 5 | 5 | 28 |
| | size (ares) | | 1 | | | 1 | | | 1 | | | 1 | | | 1 | | | 1 | | | 1 | | | 1 | | | 1 | | | 1 | | | 1 | | |
| | size (ACRES) | | 0.02 | | | 0.02 | | | 0.02 | | | 0.02 | | | 0.02 | | | 0.02 | | | 0.02 | | | 0.02 | | | 0.02 | | | 0.02 | | | 0.02 | | |
| | Species count | | 4 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 3 | 9 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 9 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 3 | 3 | 9 | 2 | 2 | 10 | 2 | 2 | 11 | 3 | 3 | 12 |
| | Stems per ACRE | | 485.6 | 485.6 | 2428 | 202.3 | 202.3 | 1174 | 242.8 | 242.8 | 1295 | 283.3 | 283.3 | 1781 | 202.3 | 202.3 | 1619 | 202.3 | 202.3 | 1012 | 242.8 | 242.8 | 1619 | 445.2 | 445.2 | 1862 | 161.9 | 161.9 | 9267 | 283.3 | 283.3 | 3116 | 202.3 | 202.3 | 1133 |

Grassy Field Area 5-yr Success Criterion: 260 stems/ac
Pine Plantation Area 5-yr Success Criterion: 150 stems/ac

Color for Density
Exceeds requirements by more than 20%
Exceeds requirements, but by less than 20%
Fails to meet requirements

Table 8 contd. Planted and total stem counts (species by plot with annual means)

| Scientific Name | Common Name | Species Type | Current Plot Data (MY4 2014) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Annual Means | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|--------------|------------------------------|--------|--------|---------------|--------|--------|---------------|--------|--------|---------------|--------|--------|---------------|--------|--------|--------------|--------|--------|------------|--------|--------|------------|-------|------|------------|-------|------|----|----|----|
| | | | E226-LMG-0012 | | | E226-LMG-0013 | | | E226-LMG-0014 | | | E226-LMG-0015 | | | E226-LMG-0016 | | | MY4 (2014) | | | MY3 (2013) | | | MY2 (2012) | | | MY1 (2011) | | | | | |
| | | | PnoLS | P-all | T | PnoLS | P-all | T | PnoLS | P-all | T | PnoLS | P-all | T | PnoLS | P-all | T | PnoLS | P-all | T | PnoLS | P-all | T | PnoLS | P-all | T | PnoLS | P-all | T | | | |
| <i>Acer rubrum</i> | red maple | Tree | | | 16 | | | 10 | | | | | | 9 | | | 11 | | | 109 | | | 232 | | | 123 | | | 55 | | | |
| <i>Aronia spp.</i> | | shrub | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 40 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Aronia arbutifolia</i> | Red Chokeberry | Shrub | | | | | | | | | | | | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | | | | |
| <i>Chamaecyparis thyoides</i> | Atlantic white cedar | Tree | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 2 | | | | | | | |
| <i>Clethra alnifolia</i> | sweet pepperbush | Shrub | | | | | | | | | 21 | | | | | | 41 | | | 95 | | | 133 | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Cyrilla racemiflora</i> | swamp titi | Shrub | | | 8 | 1 | 1 | 10 | | | | | | 16 | | | 3 | 1 | 1 | 101 | 1 | 1 | 236 | 2 | 2 | 105 | 2 | 2 | 85 | | | |
| <i>Diospyros virginiana</i> | common persimmon | Tree | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | 1 | | | 3 | | | 1 | | | | |
| <i>Fraxinus pennsylvanica</i> | green ash | Tree | | | | | | | | 5 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 33 | 33 | 38 | 32 | 32 | 38 | 31 | 31 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 37 | | |
| <i>Ilex glabra</i> | inkberry | Shrub | 1 | 1 | 6 | | | | | | | 13 | | | | | 21 | | | 25 | 5 | 5 | 249 | 7 | 7 | 169 | 8 | 8 | 45 | 10 | 10 | 45 |
| <i>Ilex opaca</i> | American holly | Tree | | | 2 | | | 1 | | | | | | | | 2 | | | 2 | | | 19 | | | 27 | | | 7 | | 6 | | |
| <i>Itea virginica</i> | Virginia sweetspire | Shrub | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 5 | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Juniperus virginiana</i> | eastern redcedar | Tree | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | 2 | | | |
| <i>Leucothoe</i> | doghobble | shrub | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 5 | | | 25 | | | | | | |
| <i>Ligustrum japonicum</i> | Japanese privet | Exotic | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 2 | | | | | |
| <i>Ligustrum sinense</i> | Chinese privet | Exotic | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Lindera benzoin</i> | northern spicebush | Shrub | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| <i>Liquidambar styraciflua</i> | sweetgum | Tree | | | 3 | | | 4 | | | 5 | | | 6 | | | 6 | | | 95 | | | 114 | | | 68 | | | 54 | | | |
| <i>Liriodendron tulipifera</i> | tuliptree | Tree | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | 4 | | | 3 | | | 5 | | | 4 | | | |
| <i>Lyonia lucida</i> | fetterbush lyonia | Shrub | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 10 | | 3 | | | 3 | | | |
| <i>Magnolia virginiana</i> | sweetbay | Tree | | | 3 | | | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | 13 | | | 14 | | | 9 | | | 9 | | | |
| <i>Morella cerifera</i> | wax myrtle | shrub | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | 4 | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Nyssa sylvatica</i> | blackgum | Tree | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | | 23 | 23 | 36 | 30 | 30 | 55 | 35 | 35 | 85 | 41 | 41 | 91 | | |
| <i>Ostrya virginiana</i> | hophornbeam | Tree | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 12 | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Persea borbonia</i> | redbay | tree | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 5 | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Persea palustris</i> | swamp bay | tree | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Pinus taeda</i> | loblolly pine | Tree | | | 7 | | | 5 | | | 7 | | | 11 | | | 17 | | | 112 | | | 117 | | | 108 | | | | | | |
| <i>Prunus serotina</i> | black cherry | Tree | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | 1 | | | |
| <i>Quercus spp.</i> | oak | Tree | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| <i>Quercus laurifolia</i> | laurel oak | Tree | | | 5 | | | | | | | | | | 3 | 3 | 3 | 8 | 8 | 23 | 8 | 8 | 43 | 9 | 9 | 15 | 10 | 10 | 14 | | | |
| <i>Quercus lyrata</i> | overcup oak | Tree | | | | | 5 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | | 25 | 25 | 25 | 24 | 24 | 26 | 18 | 18 | 34 | 19 | 19 | 19 | | |
| <i>Quercus nigra</i> | water oak | Tree | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 9 | | | 6 | | | | | | |
| <i>Quercus pagoda</i> | cherrybark oak | Tree | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | |
| <i>Rhus copallinum</i> | flameleaf sumac | shrub | | | | | | 5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 15 | | | 66 | | 12 | | | 3 | |
| <i>Symplocos tinctoria</i> | common sweetleaf | Shrub | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 16 | | | 11 | | | | | |
| <i>Vaccinium spp.</i> | blueberry | Shrub | | | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Stem count | | | 2 | 2 | 54 | 6 | 6 | 42 | 7 | 7 | 70 | 5 | 5 | 70 | 8 | 8 | 113 | 101 | 101 | 999 | 110 | 110 | 1412 | 111 | 111 | 667 | 122 | 122 | 437 | | | |
| size (ares) | | | 1 | | | 1 | | | 1 | | | 1 | | | 1 | | | 16 | | | 16 | | | 16 | | | 16 | | | | | |
| size (ACRES) | | | 0.02 | | | 0.02 | | | 0.02 | | | 0.02 | | | 0.02 | | | 0.40 | | | 0.40 | | | 0.40 | | | 0.40 | | | | | |
| Species count | | | 2 | 2 | 11 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 9 | 3 | 3 | 9 | 3 | 3 | 10 | 8 | 8 | 25 | 9 | 9 | 30 | 9 | 9 | 21 | 9 | 9 | 19 | | | |
| Stems per ACRE | | | 80.937 | 80.937 | 2185.3 | 242.81 | 242.81 | 1699.7 | 283.28 | 283.28 | 2832.8 | 202.34 | 202.34 | 2832.8 | 323.75 | 323.75 | 4572.9 | 255.46 | 255.46 | 2526.8 | 278.22 | 278.22 | 3571.4 | 280.8 | 280.8 | 1687 | 308.6 | 308.6 | 1105 | | | |

Grassy Field Area 5-yr Success Criterion: 260 stems/ac
 Pine Plantation Area 5-yr Success Criterion: 150 stems/ac

Color for Density

- Exceeds requirements by more than 20%
- Exceeds requirements, but by less than 20%
- Fails to meet requirements

**Table 9. CVS - Damage by Plot
Little River Stream and Wetland Enhancement - EEP #226**

| Plot | Count of Damage Categories | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|--------------------|----------|----------|
| | (no damage) | Deer | Diseased | Hurricane | Insects | Vine Strangulation | | |
| E226-LMG-0001-year:4 | 0 | 13 | | | | | | |
| E226-LMG-0002-year:4 | 0 | 6 | | | | | | |
| E226-LMG-0003-year:4 | 2 | 7 | 2 | | | | | |
| E226-LMG-0004-year:4 | 0 | 7 | | | | | | |
| E226-LMG-0005-year:4 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | | | | |
| E226-LMG-0006-year:4 | 0 | 8 | | | | | | |
| E226-LMG-0007-year:4 | 4 | 2 | 3 | | | 1 | | |
| E226-LMG-0008-year:4 | 5 | 9 | | | | 4 | 1 | |
| E226-LMG-0009-year:4 | 0 | 4 | | | | | | |
| E226-LMG-0010-year:4 | 5 | 3 | | 2 | 1 | 2 | | |
| E226-LMG-0011-year:4 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 1 | | | | |
| E226-LMG-0012-year:4 | 1 | 1 | | | | 1 | | |
| E226-LMG-0013-year:4 | 0 | 6 | | | | | | |
| E226-LMG-0014-year:4 | 2 | 5 | 2 | | | | | |
| E226-LMG-0015-year:4 | 3 | 5 | 2 | | | 1 | | |
| E226-LMG-0016-year:4 | 5 | 3 | 4 | | | | 1 | |
| TOT: | 16 | 31 | 86 | 15 | 4 | 1 | 9 | 2 |

Table 10. CVS - Planted Stems by Plot and Species
 Little River Stream and Wetland Enhancement - EEP #226


| Comment | Species | Sp Type | CommonName | Total Planted Stems | # plots | avg# stems | plot E226-LMG-0001-year:4 | plot E226-LMG-0002-year:4 | plot E226-LMG-0003-year:4 | plot E226-LMG-0004-year:4 | plot E226-LMG-0005-year:4 | plot E226-LMG-0006-year:4 | plot E226-LMG-0007-year:4 | plot E226-LMG-0008-year:4 | plot E226-LMG-0009-year:4 | plot E226-LMG-0010-year:4 | plot E226-LMG-0011-year:4 | plot E226-LMG-0012-year:4 | plot E226-LMG-0013-year:4 | plot E226-LMG-0014-year:4 | plot E226-LMG-0015-year:4 | plot E226-LMG-0016-year:4 | |
|-------------|-------------------------------|----------|----------------|---------------------|------------|------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|----------|
| | <i>Aronia arbutifolia</i> | Shrub | Red Chokeberry | 4 | 1 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 4 |
| | <i>Cyrilla racemiflora</i> | Shrub | swamp titi | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | |
| | <i>Fraxinus pennsylvanica</i> | Tree | green ash | 33 | 12 | 2.75 | 1 | | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 7 | | 3 | 2 | | | 5 | 3 | | 1 |
| | <i>Ilex glabra</i> | Shrub | inkberry | 5 | 4 | 1.25 | | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | |
| | <i>Nyssa sylvatica</i> | Tree | blackgum | 23 | 8 | 2.88 | 8 | 2 | 3 | | 3 | 4 | | | | | 1 | 1 | | | | 1 | |
| | <i>Quercus</i> | Tree | oak | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | <i>Quercus laurifolia</i> | Tree | laurel oak | 8 | 4 | 2 | | 2 | 1 | | | | | 2 | | | | | | | | | 3 |
| | <i>Quercus lyrata</i> | Tree | overcup oak | 25 | 10 | 2.5 | 1 | | | 5 | 1 | | | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | | 5 | 2 | 1 | | |
| TOT: | 0 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 101 | 8 | | 12 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 11 | 4 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 8 |

Fails to meet requirements


Appendix D.
Hydrologic Data

(This page intentionally left blank)


Reference Gauge (AB37307)




Gauge 1 (A282F9D)




Gauge #2 (A27AA3E)




Gauge 3 (EBD3D95)



Gauge 4 (EBD13A4)



Little River Site Rainfall 2014



Precipitation data obtained from:
On-site rain gauge

■ Monthly Rainfall (on-site) — 30th Percentile — 70th Percentile

30% & 70% precipitation data obtained from
Moore County WETS Station: Carthage 8
SE, NC1515 1971-2000
(wcc.nrcs.usda.gov)

Rainfall data
collected
only up to
12/4/14