

Annual Monitoring Report

Monitoring Year 1 of 5

FINAL

Middle South Muddy Stream Restoration Site

NCDMS Contract No.: 6783

NCDMS Project No.: 93875

McDowell County, North Carolina

Data Collected: September - December 2016

Date Submitted: January 2017




Submitted to:

North Carolina Division of Mitigation Services

NCDEQ-DMS, 1652 Mail Service Center Raleigh NC 27699-1652

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Prepared by:



EQUINOX

balance through proper planning

37 Haywood Street, Suite 100
Asheville, North Carolina 28801

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Contents

1.0	Project Summary	1
1.1.	Goals and Objectives	1
1.2.	Success Criteria.....	1
1.3.	Project Setting and Background.....	2
1.4.	Project Performance.....	3
2.0	Methods.....	4
3.0	References.....	5
	Appendix A General Tables and Figures	7
	Appendix B Visual Assessment Data	15
	Appendix C Vegetation Plot Data.....	45
	Appendix D Stream Geomorphology Data	54
	Appendix E Hydrologic Data.....	85

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

1.0 PROJECT SUMMARY

1.1. Goals and Objectives

The following goals were established to guide the restoration process for the project as outlined in the Final Mitigation Plan:

- Improve local water quality within the restored channel reaches as well as the downstream watercourses through: (a) the reduction of current channel sediment loads by restoring appropriately sized channels with stable beds and banks, (b) the reduction of nutrient loads from adjacent agricultural fields with a restored riparian buffer, and (c) the reduction of water temperatures provided through shading of the channel by canopy species along with the resultant increase in oxygen content.
- Improve local aquatic and terrestrial habitat and diversity within the restored channels and their vicinity through: (a) the restoration of appropriate bed form to provide habitat for fish, amphibian, and benthic species, (b) the restoration of a suitable riparian buffer corridor in order to provide both vertical and horizontal structure and connectivity with adjacent upland areas, and (c) the restoration of understory and canopy species in order to provide forage, cover, and nesting for a variety of mammals, reptiles, and avian species.
- Preclude land disturbing activities including the construction of additional infrastructure, future mining activities and agricultural practices including cattle grazing and the application of pesticides and fertilizer within the riparian buffer area by providing a permanent conservation easement.

The following objectives were proposed for accomplishing the above listed goals as outlined in the Final Mitigation Plan:

- Provide approximately 4,073 stream mitigation units (SMU's) through Priority I and II restoration of approximately 1,989 linear feet of stream, enhancement of approximately 196 linear feet of stream, and preservation of approximately 9,796 linear feet of stream threatened by mining activities.
- Restore natural stable channel morphology and proper sediment transport capacity.
- Create and/or improve bed form diversity and improve aquatic and benthic macroinvertebrate habitat.
- Construct a floodplain bench that is accessible at the proposed bankfull discharge.
- Improve channel and stream bank stabilization by integrating in-stream structures and native bank vegetation.
- Provide approximately 5.87 acres of riparian buffer restoration by establishing a native forested and herbaceous riparian buffer plant community with a minimum width of 30 feet from the edge of the restored channels. This new community will be established in conjunction with the eradication of any existing exotic and/or undesirable plant species.
- Construct barricades on an existing dirt road network on the Haney Tract to prevent future vehicular trespassing.

1.2. Success Criteria

1.2.1. Morphological Parameters and Channel Stability

Restored and enhanced streams should demonstrate morphologic stability to be considered successful. Stability does not equate to an absence of change, but rather to sustainable rates of change or stable patterns of variation. Restored streams often demonstrate some level of initial adjustment in the several months that follow construction and some change/variation subsequent to that period is also to be

expected. However, the observed change should not be unidirectional such that it represents a robust trend. If some trend is evident, it should be very modest or indicate migration to a stable form.

Dimension - Cross-section measurements should indicate little change from the as-built cross-sections. If changes do occur, they will be evaluated to determine whether the adjustments are associated with increased stability or whether they indicate movement towards an unstable condition.

Pattern and Profile – Measurements and calculated values should indicate stability with little deviation from as-built conditions and established morphological ranges from the restored stream type. Annual measurements should indicate stable bed form features with little change from the as-built survey. The pools should maintain their depth with flatter water surface slopes, while riffles should remain shallower and steeper.

Substrate - Calculated D_{50} and D_{84} values should indicate coarser size class distribution of bed materials in riffles and finer size class distribution in pools. Generally, it is anticipated that the bed material will coarsen over time.

Sediment Transport - Depositional features should be consistent with a stable stream that is effectively managing its sediment load. Point bar and inner berm features, if present, should develop without excessive encroachment of the channel. Lateral and mid-channel bar features should typically not be present and if so only in isolated instances. Bar features may be more prevalent in sand bed channels but should be transient in nature and should occupy no more than 20% of the cross-sectional area.

1.2.2. Surface Water Hydrology

Monitoring of stream surface water stages should indicate recurrence of bankfull flows on average every 1 to 2 years. At a minimum, throughout the monitoring period, the surface water stage should achieve bankfull or greater elevations at least twice. The bankfull events must occur during separate monitoring years.

1.2.3. Vegetation

Riparian vegetation monitoring shall be conducted for a minimum of five years to ensure that success criteria are met per USACE guidelines. Accordingly, success criteria will consist of a minimum survival of 320 stems per acre by the end of the Year 3 monitoring period and a minimum of 260 stems per acre at the end of Year 5. If monitoring indicates either that the specified survival is not being met or the development of detrimental conditions (i.e., invasive species, diseased vegetation), appropriate corrective actions will be developed and implemented.

1.3. Project Setting and Background

The Middle South Muddy Stream Restoration Site (MSM) is located in the Catawba River Basin (NCDWQ sub-basin 03-08-30 and HUC 03050101040020) approximately 9.5 miles southeast of Marion, NC in southeast McDowell County at latitude 35.5635° N and longitude 81.9249° W. MSM is comprised of two tracts, the Middle South Muddy Creek tract, which encompasses approximately 5.87 acres of predominately agricultural and forested land, and the 41.05 acre Haney Preservation Tract, which is predominately forested. The Middle South Muddy Creek Tract consists of portions of three streams, Iva Branch (452 feet), Sprouse Branch (611 feet), and South Muddy Creek (1,088 feet). The Haney Tract consists of approximately 9,796 linear feet of stream. The tract is comprised of portions of South Muddy Creek and approximately thirteen tributaries, including Jackson Branch and Moores Branch. MSM is

located within the Muddy Creek Local Watershed planning area and the Site's watershed was identified as a Targeted Local Watershed (TLW) in DMS' 2009 Upper Catawba River Basin Restoration Priority report (RBRP).

Historic land use at MSM consisted primarily of agriculture, livestock grazing, and mining operations. Livestock previously had unrestricted access to the majority of the streams on site, resulting in significant local disturbance to stream banks (Table 4). Additional land use practices, including the maintenance and removal of riparian vegetation, and the relocating, dredging, and straightening of on-site streams contributed to the degraded water quality and unstable channel characteristics on the site.

1.4. Project Performance

Monitoring Year 1 (MY1) data was collected during September to December 2016. Monitoring activities included visual assessment of all reaches and the surrounding easement, collection of images at 31 permanent photo stations, inventory of five permanent vegetation monitoring plots, surveying of 10 cross-sections, conducting three pebble counts, and collection of longitudinal profile survey data for approximately 2,166 linear feet of stream channel.

Summary information/data related to the occurrence of items such as beaver or encroachment and statistics related to performance of various project and monitoring elements can be found in the tables and figures in the report appendices. Narrative background and supporting information formerly found in these reports can be found in the Baseline Monitoring Report (formerly Mitigation Plan) and in the Mitigation Plan (formerly Restoration Plan) documents available on the NCDMS website (<http://portal.NCDEQ.org/web/eep>). All raw data supporting the tables and figures in the appendices is available from DMS upon request.

1.4.1. Vegetation

Visual assessment of vegetation outside of the monitoring plots (Appendix B – Table 6) indicates that the herbaceous vegetation is becoming established throughout the project. South Muddy Creek and Iva Branch reaches both have some areas of bare, rocky ground, however this is to be expected in the first year following construction and will be monitored in future site visits.

Monitoring of the permanent vegetation plots (n = 5; VP) was completed during October 2016. Summary tables and photographs associated with MY1 vegetation monitoring are located in Appendix C. MY1 monitoring data indicates that all vegetation plots are on track to meet the MY3 interim success criteria of 320 planted stems per acre. Planted stem densities among plots ranged from 324 to 607 planted stems per acre with an annual mean of 486 planted stems per acre across all plots. A total of 8 species were documented within the plots. When volunteer stems are included, the mean annual total stems per acre rose to 575 and ranged between 324 and 931 stems per acre.

1.4.2. Stream Geomorphology

Visual assessment of the stream channel was performed to document signs of instability, such as eroding banks, structural instability, or excessive sedimentation. One structure on South Muddy Creek at STA 108+83 has been classified as being stressed. Displacement of backfill material has exposed the backer log and filter fabric which has resulted in partial piping through the structure. Additionally, the downstream head-of-riffle has lowered approximately 0.3 ft which has increased the drop over this structure (Table 5 and Figure 2). This area will be assessed during future site visits to monitor the integrity of the structure. Deposition of fine sediment is evident throughout the South Muddy Creek reach. This can be attributed to drought conditions and forest clearcutting activities upstream causing an influx of sediment moving into the project site. A pipe that is being used as a water intake was located at

STA 7+75 within the Haney Preservation Tract (Figure 2). It appears a pump is being used to remove water from the stream for mining operations outside of the conservation easement.

Geomorphic data for MY1 was collected from November through December 2016. Summary tables and cross-section data plots related to stream morphology are located in Appendix D. Noticeable change in the cross-section data between MY0 and MY1 occurred only at cross-section 3, where scour along the left descending bankfull bench led to an increase in the bankfull width from 6.1 feet to 6.8 feet (Appendix B, Table 11a).

Generally, longitudinal profile data (Appendix B, Table 11b) indicated relatively little change in riffle and pool dimensions between MY0 and MY1. Between as-built conditions collected in May 2016 and MY1 data collection in November 2016, fine sediment has been deposited in most of the pools located within South Muddy Creek. Most notably, the maximum depth in the pool at STA 108+25 has decreased by approximately 2.0 feet. This excessive sedimentation can be attributed to a year with drought-like conditions with no substantial rain events to flush the system. Riffle and pool dimensions remained relatively similar between MY0 and MY1 on Sprouse Branch. Both riffle and pool lengths increased by an average of 2.9 and 2.1 feet respectively on Lower Sprouse Branch. This can be attributed to excessive vegetation encroaching into the stream, slightly flattening riffle slopes. Iva Branch profile characteristics also showed little change from MY0 to MY1. The most notable change was a decrease in pool maximum depth from 0.8 foot to 0.5 foot. Because of the drought, Iva Branch was dry during the MY1 morphological survey, therefore no water surface slope data was collected.

1.4.3. Stream Hydrology

Since project completion in December 2015 one bankfull event was documented on both Iva Branch and South Muddy Creek (Table 13). Based on precipitation data, the suspected date is February 3rd, 2016. This is the first recorded bankfull since project completion.

2.0 METHODS

For MY1, visual assessment was performed during the geomorphic and vegetation data collection events. For future monitoring years, visual assessment of the project will be performed at the beginning and end of each monitoring year. Permanent photo station photos were collected during the vegetation data collection event; however for future monitoring years, permanent photo station photos will be taken during the initial visual assessment when leaf-off conditions exist. Additional photos of vegetation or stream problem areas were taken as needed.

Geomorphic measurements were taken during low flow conditions using a Nikon® NPR 332 Total Station. Three-dimensional coordinates associated with cross-section and profile data were collected in the field and geo-referenced (NAD83 State Plane feet FIPS 3200). Morphological data were collected at 10 cross-sections. Survey data was imported into CAD, ArcGIS®, and Microsoft Excel® for data processing and analysis. Channel substrate was characterized using a Wolman Pebble Count as outlined in Harrelson et al. (1994) and processed using Microsoft Excel.

Vegetation success is being monitored at 5 permanent monitoring plots. Vegetation monitoring follows the CVS-EEP Level 2 Protocol for Recording Vegetation, version 4.2 (Lee et al. 2008) and includes analysis of species composition and density of planted species. Data is processed using the CVS data entry tool. In the field, the four corners of each plot were permanently marked with rebar and photos of each plot are taken from the origin each monitoring year.

Precipitation data was reported from the NCCRONOS station NGFS in Marion, NC. Bankfull events were documented with two crest gauges, one located on South Muddy Creek and another on Sprouse Branch. Crest gauges will be monitored semi-annually. The height of the corklines was recorded and cross-referenced with known bankfull elevations at each crest gauge.

3.0 REFERENCES

- Equinox Environmental. 2008. Muddy Creek Local Watershed Plan. Report prepared for North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources, Division of Water Quality. September.
- Harrelson, Cheryl, C. Rawlins and J. Potyondy. 1994. Stream Channel Reference Sites: An Illustrated Guide to Field Technique. Gen. Tech. Rep. RM-245. Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. USDA Forest Service. Fort Collins, Colorado
- North Carolina Ecosystem Enhancement Program (EEP). February 2009. Upper Catawba River Basin Restoration Priorities 2009. https://ncdenr.s3.amazonaws.com/s3fs-public/PublicFolder/Work%20With/Watershed%20Planners/Upper_Catawba_RBRP_2009.pdf.
- Lee, M.T., R.K. Peet, S.D. Roberts, and T.R. Wentworth. 2008. CVS-EEP Protocol for Recording Vegetation. Version 4.2. <http://cvs.bio.unc.edu/methods.htm>; accessed November 2008.
- Wolf Creek Engineering. 2012. Final Mitigation Plan Middle South Muddy Creek Restoration. Prepared for North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources, Ecosystem Enhancement Program. Final Mitigation Plan, Middle South Muddy Restoration, McDowell County. EEP Project No: 93875

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Appendix A
General Tables and Figures

Driving Directions: From Asheville drive east on I-40 and take exit 83. Turn right onto Ashworth Road, after 0.9 miles turn right onto US-221. Follow US-221 for 4.5 miles then turn left onto Polly Spout Road. After 1.7 miles turn left onto Vein Mountain Road. Follow Vein Mountain Road for 2.6 miles and then turn right onto Brackett Town Road. The Middle South Mitigation Site will be on the left after about 1 mile.

The subject project site in an environmental restoration site of the NCDMS and encompassed by a recorded conservation easement, but is bordered by land with private ownership. Accessing the site may require traversing areas near or along the easement boundary and therefore access to the general public is not permitted. Access by authorized personnel of state and federal agencies or their designee/contractors involved in the development, oversight, and stewardship of the restoration site is permitted within the terms and timeframes of their defined role. Any intended site visitation or activity by any person outside of these previously sanctioned roles and activities requires prior coordination with NCDMS.





Figure 1
Middle South Muddy Mitigation Site
Vicinity Map



EQUINOX



Figure 2. Integrated Current Condition Plan View



Source: Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNE




<p>Prepared for</p> 	<p>Middle South Muddy Stream Restoration Project Monitoring Year 1 McDowell County, NC NCDMS Contract No.: 00006783 NCDMS Project No.: 93875 December 2016 Sheet 1 of 2</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Photo Point Crest Gauge Control Point Structure Cross-Section Long Pro Start/End Easement 	<p>Vegetation Plots</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Vegetation Plot Criteria Met <p>Stream Problem Areas</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Aggradation Degradation Stressed Structure 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Thalweg Top of Bank Contour (1 ft) Hook-Log Run Hook Run Boulder-Arch Boulder-Arch with Log Armored Riffle Log Vane with Hook Log Sill Log Sill no Baffle Brush Toe 	<p>Notes: 1) Baseline Data Provided by Turner Land Surveying</p>	<p>Prepared by</p> 
--	---	--	---	--	--	--

Figure 2. Integrated Current Condition Plan View



Prepared for
DMS

Middle South Muddy
Stream Restoration Project
Monitoring Year 1
McDowell County, NC
NCDMS Contract No.: 00006783
NCDMS Project No.: 93875
December 2016
Sheet 2 of 2

Photo Point	Preservation Streams	Hook-Log Run	Log Vane with Hook
Crest Gauge	Top of Bank	Hook Run	Log Sill
Control Point	Contour (1 ft)	Boulder-Arch	Log Sill no Baffle
Structure	Pump Intake	Boulder-Arch with Log	Brush Toe
Cross-Section		Armored Riffle	
Long Pro Start/End			
Easement			

Notes:
1) Baseline Data Provided by Turner Land Surveying

Prepared by
 EQUINOX

Table 1. Project Mitigation Components and Summation									
Middle South Muddy Stream Restoration Site									
Mitigation Credits									
	Stream		Riparian Wetland		Non-riparian Wetland		Buffer	Nitrogen Nutrient Offset	Phosphorous Nutrient Offset
	R	RE	R	RE	R	RE			
Type									
Totals	2,114	1,959							
Project Components									
Project Component -or- Reach ID	Stationing/Location		Existing Footage/Acreage	Restoration Footage or Acreage	Restoration -or- Restoration Equivalent	Approach (PI, PII etc.)	Mitigation Ratio	Mitigation Credits	Footage Excluded due to Easement Crossing/ Break
South Muddy Creek	101+00 – 110+91		931	916	R	PII	1:1	916	75
Lower South Muddy Creek	110+91 – 112+63		177	172	R	EI	1.5:1	115	-
Upper Sprouse Branch	201+50 – 201+74		24	24	R	EII	2.5:1	10	-
Middle and Lower Sprouse Branch	201+74 – 208+04		598	611	R	PII	1:1	611	19
Upper and Lower Iva Branch	302+14 – 306+96		471	462	R	PI	1:1	462	20
Haney Tract			9,796	9,796	RE	Preservation	5:1	1,959	-
Component Summation									
Restoration Level	Stream	Riparian Wetland		Non-riparian Wetland	Buffer	Upland			
	(linear feet)	(acres)		(acres)	(square feet)	(acres)			
		Riverine	Non-Riverine						
Restoration	1,989								
Enhancement									
Enhancement I	172								
Enhancement II	24								
Creation									
Preservation	9,796								
High Quality Preservation									
BMP Elements									
Element	Location	Purpose/Function			Notes				
FB	Entire Site	Protect Stream Channel							
BMP Elements									
BR = Bioretention Cell; SF = Sand Filter; SW = Stormwater Wetland; WDP = Wet Detention Pond; DDP = Dry Detention Pond; FS = Filter Strip; S = Grassed Swale; LS = Level Spreader; NI = Natural Infiltration Area; FB = Forested Buffer									

**Table 2. Project Activity and Reporting History
Middle South Muddy Stream Restoration Site**

Activity or Report	Data Collection Complete	Completion or Delivery
Mitigation Plan	Feb - 2012	Mar - 2012
Final Design - Construction Plans	N/A	Nov - 2012
Construction	N/A	Dec - 2015
Permanent Seed Mix Applied	-	Mar - 2016
Live Stake Plantings	-	Mar - 2016
Baseline Monitoring Document (Year 0 Monitoring - Baseline)	May - 2016	June -2016
Year 1 Monitoring	Dec - 2016	Jan - 2017
Year 2 Monitoring		
Year 3 Monitoring		
Year 4 Monitoring		
Year 5 Monitoring		

Table 3. Project Contacts	
Middle South Muddy Stream Restoration Site	
Prime Contractor	North Carolina Division of Mitigation Services 217 W Jones Street Suite 3000a Raleigh, North Carolina 27603 Matthew Reid (828) 231-7812
Designer	Wolf Creek Engineering 12 1/2 Wall Street Suite C Asheville, North Carolina 28801 S. Grant Ginn (828) 449-1930
Construction Contractor	River Works, Inc 6105 Chapel Hill Road Raleigh, North Carolina 27607 Jon Harrell (919) 710-3326
Seeding Contractor	River Works, Inc 6105 Chapel Hill Road Raleigh, North Carolina 27607 Jon Harrell (919) 710-3326
Planting Contractor	River Works, Inc 6105 Chapel Hill Road Raleigh, North Carolina 27607 Jon Harrell (919) 710-3326
As-built Surveys	Turner Land Surveying 3719 Benson Drive Raleigh, North Carolina 27609 David Turner (919) 827-0745
Seeding Mix Source	Green Resource 5204 Highreen Court Colfax, North Carolina 27235 (336) 855-6363
Live Stakes	Foggy Mountain Nursery 797 Helton Creek Road Lansing, North Carolina (336) 384-5323
Monitoring Performers (MY0-MY1) 2016	Equinox Environmental 37 Haywood St. Asheville, North Carolina 28801 Drew Alderman (828) 253-6856

Table 4. Project Baseline Information and Attributes			
Project Information			
Project Name	Middle South Muddy Creek		
County	McDowell		
Project Area (acres)	5.87		
Project Coordinates (latitude and longitude)	35.5635° N , 81.9249° W		
Project Watershed Summary Information			
Physiographic Province	Blue Ridge		
River Basin	Catawba River		
USGS Hydrologic Unit 8-digit	3050101	USGS Hydrologic Unit 14-digit	03050101040020
DWR Sub-basin	03-08-30		
Project Drainage Area (acres)	2,893		
Project Drainage Area Percentage of Impervious Area	> 1%		
CGIA Land Use Classification	2.03.01.01		
Reach Summary Information			
Parameters	South Muddy Creek	Iva Branch	Sprouse Branch
Length of reach (linear feet)	1,108	471	622
Valley classification (Rosgen)	Valley Type VIIIb	Valley Type II	Valley Type II
Drainage area (acres)	3,002	27	29
NCDWQ stream identification score	44	31	34
NCDWQ Water Quality Classification	C	C	C
Morphological Description (stream type) (Rosgen)	G4	G5	G5
Evolutionary trend (Rosgen)	F4	G5	G5
Underlying mapped soils	Iotla, Hayesville Clay	Iotla, Hayesville Clay	Iotla, Hayesville Clay
Drainage class	Poorly drained	Poorly drained	Poorly drained
Soil Hydric status	Non-hydric	Non-hydric	Non-hydric
Slope	0.40%	4.60%	2.20%
FEMA classification	Limited Detail	N/A	N/A
Native vegetation community	Agricultural	Agricultural	Agricultural
Percent composition of exotic invasive vegetation	<1%	<1%	<1%
Wetland Summary Information			
Parameters	Wetland 1	Wetland 2	Wetland 3
Size of Wetland (acres)	-	-	-
Wetland Type (non-riparian, riparian riverine or riparian non-riverine)	-	-	-
Mapped Soil Series	-	-	-
Drainage class	-	-	-
Soil Hydric Status	-	-	-
Source of Hydrology	-	-	-
Hydrologic Impairment	-	-	-
Native vegetation community	-	-	-
Percent composition of exotic invasive vegetation	-	-	-
Regulatory Considerations			
Regulation	Applicable?	Resolved?	Supporting Documentation
Waters of the United States – Section 404	Yes	Yes	NW 27 (2011-02233)
Waters of the United States – Section 401	Yes	Yes	401 Certification (DWR# 12-0383)
Endangered Species Act	No	N/A	ERTR
Historic Preservation Act	No	N/A	ERTR
Coastal Zone Management Act (CZMA)/ Coastal Area Management Act (CAMA)	No	N/A	
FEMA Floodplain Compliance	Yes	Yes	Case #: 14-04-0367R
Essential Fisheries Habitat	No	N/A	

Appendix B
Visual Assessment Data

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

**Table 5. Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment
Middle South Muddy Stream Restoration Site - South Muddy Creek
Assessed Length 1,088 feet**

Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number Stable, Performing as Intended	Total Number in As-built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Adjusted % for Stabilizing Woody Vegetation		
1. Bed	1. Vertical Stability (Riffle and Run Units)	1. <u>Aggradation</u> - Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly deflect flow laterally (not to include point bars).			1	53	95%					
		2. <u>Degradation</u> - Evidence of downcutting.			1	47	96%					
	2. Riffle Condition	1. <u>Texture/Substrate</u> - Riffle maintains coarser substrate.	5	5			100%					
		3. Meander Pool Condition	1. <u>Depth</u> Sufficient (Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth \geq 1.6).	5			5				100%	
	2. <u>Length</u> appropriate (>30% of centerline distance between tail of upstream riffle and head of downstream riffle).		5	5			100%					
	4. Thalweg Position	1. Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run).	5	5			100%					
		2. Thalweg centering at downstream of meander bend (Glide).	5	5			100%					
2. Bank	1. Scoured / Eroding	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion.					0	0	100%	0	0	100%
	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does <u>NOT</u> include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat.					0	0	100%	0	0	100%
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, calving, or collapse.			0	0	100%	0	0	100%		
Totals					0	0	100%	0	0	100%		
3. Engineered Structures	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs.	4	5			80%					
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill.	5	5			100%					
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	5	5			100%					
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does <u>NOT</u> exceed 15%.	5	5			100%					
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ~ Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth Ratio \geq 1.6. Rootwads/logs providing some cover at base-flow.	5	5			100%					

**Table 5 Cont'd. Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment
Middle South Muddy Stream Restoration Project - Sprouse Branch
Assessed Length 611 feet**

Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number Stable, Performing as Intended	Total Number in As-built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Adjusted % for Stabilizing Woody Vegetation
1. Bed	1. Vertical Stability (Riffle and Run Units)	1. <u>Aggradation</u> - Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly deflect flow laterally (not to include point bars).			0	0	100%			
		2. <u>Degradation</u> - Evidence of downcutting.			0	0	100%			
	2. Riffle Condition	1. <u>Texture/Substrate</u> - Riffle maintains coarser substrate.	14	14			100%			
		3. Meander Pool Condition	1. <u>Depth</u> Sufficient (Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth \geq 1.6).	16			16			
			2. <u>Length</u> appropriate (>30% of centerline distance between tail of upstream riffle and head of downstream riffle).	16			16			
	4. Thalweg Position	1. Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run).	16	16			100%			
2. Thalweg centering at downstream of meander bend (Glide).		16	16	100%						
2. Bank	1. Scoured / Eroding	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion.					0	0	100%	0
	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does <u>NOT</u> include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat.			0	0	100%	0	0	100%
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, calving, or collapse.			0	0	100%	0	0	100%
Totals					0	0	100%	0	0	100%
3. Engineered Structures	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs.	18	18			100%			
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill.	18	18			100%			
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	18	18			100%			
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does <u>NOT</u> exceed 15%.	18	18			100%			
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ~ Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth Ratio \geq 1.6. Rootwads/logs providing some cover at base-flow.	18	18			100%			

**Table 5 Cont'd. Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment
Middle South Muddy Stream Restoration Project - Iva Branch
Assessed Length 462 feet**

Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number Stable, Performing as Intended	Total Number in As-built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Adjusted % for Stabilizing Woody Vegetation
1. Bed	1. Vertical Stability (Riffle and Run Units)	1. <u>Aggradation</u> - Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly deflect flow laterally (not to include point bars).			0	0	100%			
		2. <u>Degradation</u> - Evidence of downcutting.			0	0	100%			
	2. Riffle Condition	1. <u>Texture/Substrate</u> - Riffle maintains coarser substrate.	9	9			100%			
		3. Meander Pool Condition	1. <u>Depth</u> Sufficient (Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth \geq 1.6).	9	9					
	2. <u>Length</u> appropriate (>30% of centerline distance between tail of upstream riffle and head of downstream riffle).		9	9			100%			
	4. Thalweg Position	1. Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run).	9	9			100%			
		2. Thalweg centering at downstream of meander bend (Glide).	9	9			100%			
2. Bank	1. Scoured / Eroding	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion.			0	0	100%	0	0	100%
	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does <u>NOT</u> include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat.			0	0	100%	0	0	100%
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, calving, or collapse.			0	0	100%	0	0	100%
Totals					0	0	100%	0	0	100%
3. Engineered Structures	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs.	10	10			100%			
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill.	10	10			100%			
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	10	10			100%			
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does <u>NOT</u> exceed 15%.	10	10			100%			
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ~ Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth Ratio \geq 1.6. Rootwads/logs providing some cover at base-flow.	10	10			100%			

**Table 6. Vegetation Condition Assessment
Middle South Muddy Stream Restoration Site**

Planted Acreage: 5.87					
Vegetation Category	Definitions	CCPV Depiction	Number of Polygons	Combined Acreage	% of Planted Acreage
1. Bare Areas	Very limited cover of both woody and herbaceous material.	N/A	0	0.00	0%
2. Low Stem Density Areas	Woody stem densities clearly below target levels based on MY3, 4, or 5 stem count criteria.	N/A	0	0.00	0%
Totals			0	0.00	0%
3. Areas of Poor Growth Rates or Vigor	Areas with woody stems of a size class that are obviously small given the monitoring year.	N/A	0	0.00	0%
Cumulative Totals			0	0.00	0%
Easement Acreage: 5.87					
Vegetation Category	Definitions	CCPV Depiction	Number of Polygons	Combined Acreage	% of Easement Acreage
4. Invasive Areas of Concern	Areas or points (if too small to render as polygons at map scale).	Cross Hatch (Red - Dense/Yellow - Present)	0	0.00	0%
5. Easement Encroachment Areas	Areas or points (if too small to render as polygons at map scale).	N/A	0	0.00	0%

N/A - Item does not apply.



Upper Sprouse Branch – Permanent Photo Station 1
Looking Downstream



Upper Sprouse Branch – Permanent Photo Station 2
Looking Downstream



Lower Sprouse Branch – Permanent Photo Station 3
Looking Downstream at Cross-Section 1



Lower Sprouse Branch – Permanent Photo Station 4
Looking Downstream, Northwest- 292 degrees



Lower Sprouse Branch – Permanent Photo Station 4
Looking Upstream; South 182 degrees



Lower Sprouse Branch – Permanent Photo Station 5
Looking Downstream at Cross-Section 2



Lower Sprouse Branch – Permanent Photo Station 6
Looking Downstream at Cross-Section 3



Lower Sprouse Branch – Permanent Photo Station 7
Looking Upstream from Crossing



Lower Sprouse Branch – Permanent Photo Station 8
Station 101+50 - Looking Upstream at Confluence with South Muddy



South Muddy Creek – Permanent Photo Station 8
Station 101+50 - Looking Downstream



South Muddy Creek – Permanent Photo Station 8
Station 101+50 - Looking Upstream



South Muddy Creek – Permanent Photo Station 9
Station 102+75 - Looking Downstream at Cross-Section 4



South Muddy Creek – Permanent Photo Station 10
Station 104+75 - Looking Upstream from Bridge



South Muddy Creek – Permanent Photo Station 10
Station 104+75 - Looking Downstream from Bridge



South Muddy Creek – Permanent Photo Station 11
Station 107+45 - Looking Downstream at Cross-Section 5



South Muddy Creek – Permanent Photo Station 12
Station 108+58- Looking Downstream at Cross-Section 6



South Muddy Creek – Permanent Photo Station 13
Station 109+58 - Looking Downstream at Cross-Section 7



Lower South Muddy Creek – Permanent Photo Station 14
Station 111+20 - Looking Upstream



Lower South Muddy Creek – Permanent Photo Station 14
Station 111+20 - Looking Downstream



Lower Iva Branch – Permanent Photo Station 14
Station 111+20 - Looking Upstream from Confluence



Lower South Muddy Creek – Permanent Photo Station 15
Station 112+62 - Looking Upstream



Upper Iva Branch – Permanent Photo Station 16
Station 300+50 - Looking Downstream



Upper Iva Branch – Permanent Photo Station 17
Station 300+50 - Looking Downstream at Cross-Section 8



Upper Iva Branch – Permanent Photo Station 18
Station 300+50 - Looking Downstream at Cross-Section 9



Upper Iva Branch – Permanent Photo Station 19
Station 303+75 - Looking Upstream



Upper Iva Branch – Permanent Photo Station 20
Station 300+50 - Looking Downstream at Cross-Section 10



Upper Iva Branch – Permanent Photo Station 21
Station 305+10 - Looking Upstream



Lower Iva Branch – Permanent Photo Station 22
Station 305+85 - Looking Upstream from Crossing



Haney Tract – Permanent Photo Station 23
Looking Upstream South Muddy Creek



Haney Tract – Permanent Photo Station 24
Looking Upstream South Muddy Creek



Haney Tract – Permanent Photo Station 24
Looking Downstream South Muddy Creek



Haney Tract – Permanent Photo Station 25
Looking Downstream Tributary to South Muddy Creek



Haney Tract – Permanent Photo Station 26
Looking Upstream South Muddy Creek



Haney Tract – Permanent Photo Station 26
Looking Downstream South Muddy Creek



Haney Tract – Permanent Photo Station 26
Looking Upstream Tributary to South Muddy Creek



Haney Tract – Permanent Photo Station 27
Looking Upstream South Muddy Creek



Haney Tract – Permanent Photo Station 27
Looking Downstream South Muddy Creek



Haney Tract – Permanent Photo Station 28
Looking Upstream South Muddy Creek



Haney Tract – Permanent Photo Station 28
Looking Downstream South Muddy Creek



Haney Tract – Permanent Photo Station 28
Looking Upstream Tributary to South Muddy Creek



Haney Tract – Permanent Photo Station 29
Looking Upstream South Muddy Creek



Haney Tract – Permanent Photo Station 30
Looking Downstream Tributary to South Muddy Creek



Haney Tract – Permanent Photo Station 31
Looking Upstream Tributary to South Muddy Creek

Problem Area Photos



Stressed Structure – South Muddy Creek STA 108+83



Pump Intake – Haney Preservation Tract South Muddy Creek 7+75

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Appendix C
Vegetation Plot Data

Table 7. Vegetation Plot Criteria Attainment		
Middle South Muddy Stream Restoration Site		
Vegetation Plot ID	Vegetation Survival Threshold Met?	Tract Mean
1	Yes	100%
2	Yes	
3	Yes	
4	Yes	
5	Yes	

Table 8. CVS Vegetation Plot Metadata Middle South Muddy Stream Restoration Site	
Report Prepared By	Owen Carson
Date Prepared	10/5/2016 10:11
database name	Equinox_2016_A_MiddleSouthMuddy_MY1.mdb
database location	Z:\ES\NRI&M\EEP Monitoring\Middle South Muddy\MY1-2016\Data\Veg
computer name	FIELD-PC
file size	59936768
DESCRIPTION OF WORKSHEETS IN THIS DOCUMENT-----	
Metadata	Description of database file, the report worksheets, and a summary of project(s) and project data.
Proj, planted	Each project is listed with its PLANTED stems per acre, for each year. This excludes live stakes.
Proj, total stems	Each project is listed with its TOTAL stems per acre, for each year. This includes live stakes, all planted stems, and all natural/volunteer stems.
Plots	List of plots surveyed with location and summary data (live stems, dead stems, missing, etc.).
Vigor	Frequency distribution of vigor classes for stems for all plots.
Vigor by Spp	Frequency distribution of vigor classes listed by species.
Damage	List of most frequent damage classes with number of occurrences and percent of total stems impacted by each.
Damage by Spp	Damage values tallied by type for each species.
Damage by Plot	Damage values tallied by type for each plot.
Planted Stems by Plot and Spp	A matrix of the count of PLANTED living stems of each species for each plot; dead and missing stems are excluded.
ALL Stems by Plot and spp	natural volunteers combined) for each plot; dead and missing stems are excluded.
PROJECT SUMMARY-----	
Project Code	93875
project Name	Middle South Middy
Description	
River Basin	Catawba
length(ft)	
stream-to-edge width (ft)	
area (sq m)	
Required Plots (calculated)	
Sampled Plots	5

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Table 9. Total Planted Stem Counts (Stems by Plot) Middle South Muddy Stream Restoration Project																	
			Current Plot Data (MY1 2016)														
Scientific Name	Common Name	Species Type	Plot 1			Plot 2			Plot 3			Plot 4			Plot 5		
			PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T
<i>Acer rubrum var. rubrum</i>	Red Maple	Tree	2	2	2	1	1	1				1	1	1	7	7	7
<i>Betula nigra</i>	River Birch	Tree	3	3	3	3	3	3	1	1	1						
<i>Carpinus caroliniana</i>	American Hornbeam	Tree										2	2	2	2	2	2
<i>Cercis canadensis</i>	Eastern Redbud	Tree				1	1	1									
<i>Fraxinus pennsylvanica</i>	Green Ash	Tree	2	2	2	3	3	3	4	4	4	2	2	2			
<i>Platanus occidentalis</i>	American Sycamore	Tree	4	4	4	7	7	7	1	1	1	6	6	6	2	2	2
<i>Rhus copallinum</i>	Flameleaf Sumac	shrub												1			10
<i>Ulmus americana</i>	American Elm	Tree	2	2	2				2	2	2				2	2	2
Stem count			13	13	13	15	15	15	8	8	8	11	11	12	13	13	23
size (ares)			1			1			1			1			1		
size (ACRES)			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02		
Species count			5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	5
Stems per ACRE			526	526	526	607	607	607	324	324	324	445	445	486	526	526	931

¹PnoLS: No livestakes included in tally; P-all: All planted stems included in tally; T: Total stems including recruitment.

Table 9 Cont'd. Total Planted Stem Counts (Annual Means) Middle South Muddy Stream Restoration Project								
			Annual Means					
Scientific Name	Common Name	Species Type	MY1 (2016)			MY0 (2016)		
			PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T
<i>Acer rubrum var. rubrum</i>	Red Maple	Tree	11	11	11	11	11	11
<i>Betula nigra</i>	River Birch	Tree	7	7	7	5	5	5
<i>Carpinus caroliniana</i>	American Hornbeam	Tree	4	4	4	5	5	5
<i>Cercis canadensis</i>	Eastern Redbud	Tree	1	1	1	1	1	1
<i>Fraxinus pennsylvanica</i>	Green Ash	Tree	11	11	11	11	11	11
<i>Platanus occidentalis</i>	American Sycamore	Tree	20	20	20	20	20	20
<i>Rhus copallinum</i>	Flameleaf Sumac	shrub			11			
<i>Ulmus americana</i>	American Elm	Tree	6	6	6	7	7	7
Stem count			60	60	71	60	60	60
size (ares)			5			5		
size (ACRES)			0.12			0.12		
Species count			7	7	8	7	7	7
Stems per ACRE			486	486	575	486	486	486

¹PnoLS: No livestakes included in tally; P-all: All planted stems included in tally; T: Total stems including recruitment.

Color for Density

Exceeds requirements by 10%
Exceeds requirements, but by less than 10%
Fails to meet requirements, by less than 10%
Fails to meet requirements by more than 10%
Recruit Stems

This Page Intentionally Left Blank



Middle South Muddy - Vegetation Monitoring Plot 1
 October 4th, 2016



Middle South Muddy - Vegetation Monitoring Plot 2
 October 4th, 2016



Middle South Muddy - Vegetation Monitoring Plot 3
October 4th, 2016



Middle South Muddy - Vegetation Monitoring Plot 4
October 4th, 2016



Middle South Muddy - Vegetation Monitoring Plot 5
October 4th, 2016

Appendix D
Stream Geomorphology Data

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Table 10. Baseline Stream Data Summary																								
Middle South Muddy - South Muddy Creek / Lower South Muddy Creek (1,088 feet)																								
Parameter	Regional Curve			Pre-Existing Condition							Reference Reach Data						Design			As-Built / Baseline				
Dimension & Substrate - Rifle	LL	UL	Eq.	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	N	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	N	Min	Mean	Max	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	N
Bankfull Width (ft)	-	30.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	19.4	-	-	36.6	-	-	-	30.8	-	30.7	31.1	31.0	31.6	0.5	3
Floodprone Width (ft)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	30.0	-	-	65.0	-	-	-	65.0	-	65.0	84.7	88.0	101.0	18.2	3
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	-	1.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.6	-	-	1.6	-	-	-	1.7	-	1.6	1.9	1.9	2.1	0.3	3
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.0	-	-	2.2	-	-	-	2.2	-	2.3	2.7	2.8	2.9	0.4	3
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	-	51.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	30.2	-	-	36.6	-	-	-	52.2	-	50.5	58.1	59.0	64.9	7.2	3
Width/Depth Ratio	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12.3	-	-	14.9	-	-	-	18.1	-	14.8	16.8	15.9	19.8	2.6	3
Entrenchment Ratio	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.3	-	-	2.8	-	-	-	2.1	-	2.1	2.7	2.8	3.3	0.6	3
Bank Height Ratio	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.0	-	-	1.2	-	-	-	1.0	-	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	3
d50 (mm)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	29.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Profile																								
Rifle Length (ft)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17.7	-	-	64.0	-	-	-	-	-	54.4	109.6	85.4	229.5	68.9	5
Rifle Slope (ft/ft)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.77	-	-	3.60	-	-	-	-	-	0.001	0.003	0.003	0.005	0.001	5
Pool Length (ft)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12.0	-	-	36.0	-	-	-	-	-	34.8	50.8	51.3	66.3	12.4	5
Pool Max Depth (ft)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.3	-	-	2.9	-	-	-	3.3	-	3.2	4.6	4.5	6.0	0.9	6
Pool Spacing (ft)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	97.5	-	-	193.0	-	-	154.5	-	220.7	112.6	196.3	187.9	323.2	89.4	5
Pattern																								
Channel Belt Width (ft)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	63.72	86.44	92.6	103	20.34	3
Radius of Curvature (ft)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	32.0	-	-	514.0	-	-	-	61.0	-	102.1	114.7	120.1	121.8	10.9	3
Rc: Bankfull Width (ft)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.3	3.7	3.9	3.9	0.4	3
Meander Wavelength (ft)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	300.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	466.5	495.0	497.3	521.1	27.4	3
Meander Width Ratio	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.2	2.0	2.8	3.0	3.3	0.7	3
Substrate, Bed and Transport Parameters																								
Ri% / Ru% / P% / G% / S%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	55%	11%	26%	8%	0%	-
SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% / Be%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1%	8%	72%	17%	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 / d ₉₀ / d ₉₅ / d ₉₈ / d ₉₉ (mm)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7.2 / 20 / 29 / 42 / 69 / 120 / - / -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Reach Shear Stress (Competency) lb/ft ²	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.857	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Max Part Size (mm) Mobilized at Bankfull	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	760	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stream Power (Transport Capacity) W/m ²	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Additional Reach Parameters																								
Drainage Area (mi ²)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.33	-	-	-	-	4.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Impervious Cover Estimate (%)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Rosgen Classification	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	C4	-	-	-	C4	
Bankfull Velocity (fps)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Bankfull Discharge (cfs)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	143.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Valley Length (ft)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	550	-	-	-	-	-	1,136	-	-	-	-	
Channel Thalweg Length (ft)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	600	-	-	-	-	-	1,161	-	-	1,163	-	
Sinuosity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.10	-	-	-	-	-	1.03	-	-	1.03	-	
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.003	-	-	0.003	-	
Bankfull Slope (ft/ft)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.003	-	-	0.002	-	
Bankfull Floodplain Area (acres)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Proportion Over Wide (%)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Entrenchment Class (ER Range)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Incision Class (BHR Range)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
BEHI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Biological or Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

- Information unavailable.

Non-Applicable.

Table 10 Cont'd. Baseline Stream Data Summary
Middle South Muddy - Middle Sprouse Branch (177 feet)

Parameter	Regional Curve			Pre-Existing Condition						Reference Reach Data						Design			As-Built / Baseline					
	LL	UL	Eq.	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	N	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	N	Min	Mean	Max	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	N
Dimension & Substrate - Rifle																								
Bankfull Width (ft)	-	4.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	23.4	-	-	24.7	-	-	-	4.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Floodprone Width (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	43.0	-	-	52.0	-	-	-	15.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	-	0.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.3	-	-	1.5	-	-	-	0.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	1.8	-	-	2.2	-	-	-	0.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)		0.5		-	-	-	-	-	-	33.4	-	-	34.6	-	-	-	1.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Width/Depth Ratio				-	-	-	-	-	-	15.8	-	-	18.4	-	-	-	14.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Entrenchment Ratio				-	-	-	-	-	-	1.8	-	-	2.2	-	-	-	3.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bank Height Ratio				-	-	-	-	-	-	1.4	-	-	1.6	-	-	-	1.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
d50 (mm)				-	-	-	-	-	-	45.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Profile																								
Rifle Length (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	20.0	-	-	40.0	-	-	-	-	15.2	20.0	16.1	28.8	7.6	3	
Rifle Slope (ft/ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	1.500	-	-	4.300	-	-	-	-	0.005	0.007	0.008	0.010	0.002	3	
Pool Length (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	6.0	-	-	42.0	-	-	-	-	3.7	9.2	8.2	16.5	5.3	4	
Pool Max Depth (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	2.3	-	-	2.3	-	-	-	0.8	-	1.6	2.0	1.8	2.7	0.5	4
Pool Spacing (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	51.0	-	-	113.0	-	-	15.9	-	22.7	43.0	49.1	44.4	60.1	9.5	3
Pattern																								
Channel Belt Width (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	43.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7.1	7.9	7.8	8.9	0.9	3	
Radius of Curvature (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	44.0	-	-	103.0	-	-	-	-	8.2	15.0	14.0	23.8	6.9	4	
Rc: Bankfull Width (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.7	3.1	2.9	5.0	1.4	4	
Meander Wavelength (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	20.4	26.3	27.1	30.7	4.5	4	
Meander Width Ratio				-	-	-	-	-	-	1.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.3	-	1.5	1.7	1.6	1.9	0.2	3
Substrate, Bed and Transport Parameters																								
Ri% / Ru% / P% / G% / S%										-			-											39% / 0% / 24% / 8% / 29%
SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% / Be%										-			1% / 10% / 48% / 41% / 0% / 1%											
d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 / di ^p / di ⁹⁵ (mm)										-			5.2 / 22 / 45 / 75 / 130 / 190 / - / -											
Reach Shear Stress (Competency) lb/ft ²													1.947											
Max Part Size (mm) Mobilized at Bankfull													91											
Stream Power (Transport Capacity) W/m ²													-											
Additional Reach Parameters																								
Drainage Area (mi ²)													2.77				0.03							
Impervious Cover Estimate (%)													-				-							
Rosgen Classification													B4				B5							B5
Bankfull Velocity (fps)													6.1				-							
Bankfull Discharge (cfs)													210.0				-							
Valley Length (ft)													380				187							
Channel Thalweg Length (ft)													400				177							177
Sinuosity													1.1				1.06							1.01
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)													-				0.031							0.029
Bankfull Slope (ft/ft)													-				0.031							0.029
Bankfull Floodplain Area (acres)													-				-							-
Proportion Over Wide (%)													-				-							-
Entrenchment Class (ER Range)													-				-							-
Incision Class (BHR Range)													-				-							-
BEHI													-				-							-
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric													-				-							-
Biological or Other													-				-							-

- Information unavailable.

Non-Applicable.

**Table 10 Cont'd. Baseline Stream Data Summary
Middle South Muddy - Lower Sprouse Branch (434 feet)**

Parameter	Regional Curve			Pre-Existing Condition						Reference Reach Data						Design			As-Built / Baseline						
	LL	UL	Eq.	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	N	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	N	Min	Mean	Max	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	N	
Dimension & Substrate - Rifle																									
Bankfull Width (ft)	-	5.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	23.4	-	-	24.7	-	-	-	5.2	-	5.1	5.3	5.3	5.4	0.2	2	
Floodprone Width (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	43.0	-	-	52.0	-	-	-	15.0	-	14.0	19.0	19.0	24.0	3.5	2	
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	-	0.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.3	-	-	1.5	-	-	-	0.4	-	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.0	2	
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	1.8	-	-	2.2	-	-	-	0.6	-	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.0	2	
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)		2.2		-	-	-	-	-	-	33.4	-	-	34.6	-	-	-	1.9	-	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.8	0.0	2	
Width/Depth Ratio				-	-	-	-	-	-	15.8	-	-	18.4	-	-	-	14.3	-	15.1	15.9	15.9	16.7	1.1	2	
Entrenchment Ratio				-	-	-	-	-	-	1.8	-	-	2.2	-	-	-	2.9	-	2.6	3.6	3.6	4.5	1.3	2	
Bank Height Ratio				-	-	-	-	-	-	1.4	-	-	1.6	-	-	-	1.0	-	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	2	
d50 (mm)				-	-	-	-	-	-	45.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Profile																									
Rifle Length (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	20.0	-	-	40.0	-	-	-	-	-	6.0	16.2	14.2	32.2	9.3	9	
Rifle Slope (ft/ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	1.5	-	-	4.3	-	-	-	-	-	0.003	0.011	0.011	0.025	0.007	9	
Pool Length (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	6.0	-	-	42.0	-	-	-	-	-	3.4	8.7	9.0	12.1	3.1	11	
Pool Max Depth (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	2.3	-	-	2.3	-	-	-	0.8	-	1.3	1.8	1.8	2.3	0.3	11	
Pool Spacing (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	51.0	-	-	113.0	-	-	-	18.1	-	25.8	19.0	32.9	32.2	55.1	10.5	10
Pattern																									
Channel Belt Width (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	43.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.1	10.4	10.4	10.6	0.3	3	
Radius of Curvature (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	44.0	-	-	103.0	-	-	-	-	-	8.8	10.6	10.6	12.5	1.9	4	
Rc: Bankfull Width (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.7	2.0	2.0	2.4	0.4	4	
Meander Wavelength (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	33.2	38.1	38.5	42.9	3.5	5	
Meander Width Ratio				-	-	-	-	-	-	1.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.1	1.9	2.0	2.0	0.0	3	
Substrate, Bed and Transport Parameters																									
Ri% / Ru% / P% / G% / S%										-														41% / 6% / 27% / 9% / 17%	
SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% / Be%										-			1% / 10% / 48% / 41% / 0% / 1%												
d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 / di ^p / di ⁹⁵ (mm)										-			5.2 / 22 / 45 / 75 / 130 / 190 / - / -												
Reach Shear Stress (Competency) lb/ft ²													1.947												
Max Part Size (mm) Mobilized at Bankfull													91												
Stream Power (Transport Capacity) W/m ²													-												
Additional Reach Parameters																									
Drainage Area (mi ²)													2.77							0.04					
Impervious Cover Estimate (%)													-							-					
Rosgen Classification													B4							B5				B5	
Bankfull Velocity (fps)													6.1							-					
Bankfull Discharge (cfs)													210.0							-					
Valley Length (ft)													380.0							422					
Channel Thalweg Length (ft)													400.0							453				453	
Sinuosity													1.1							1.07				1.07	
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)													-							0.014				0.017	
Bankfull Slope (ft/ft)													-							0.014				0.017	
Bankfull Floodplain Area (acres)													-							-				-	
Proportion Over Wide (%)													-							-				-	
Entrenchment Class (ER Range)													-							-				-	
Incision Class (BHR Range)													-							-				-	
BEHI													-							-				-	
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric													-							-				-	
Biological or Other													-							-				-	

- Information unavailable.

Non-Applicable.

**Table 10 Cont'd. Baseline Stream Data Summary
Middle South Muddy - Upper Iva Branch (326 feet)**

Parameter	Regional Curve			Pre-Existing Condition							Reference Reach Data							Design			As-Built / Baseline				
	LL	UL	Eq.	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	N	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	N	Min	Mean	Max	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	N	
Dimension & Substrate - Riffle																									
Bankfull Width (ft)	-	4.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	23.4	-	-	24.7	-	-	-	4.8	-	4.6	4.9	4.9	5.3	0.5	2	
Floodprone Width (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	43.0	-	-	52	-	-	-	15.0	-	14.0	15.5	15.5	17.0	2.1	2	
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	-	0.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.3	-	-	1.5	-	-	-	0.3	-	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.0	2	
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	1.8	-	-	2.2	-	-	-	0.5	-	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.1	2	
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)		1.8		-	-	-	-	-	-	33.4	-	-	34.6	-	-	-	1.6	-	1.9	2.0	2.0	2.1	0.1	2	
Width/Depth Ratio				-	-	-	-	-	-	15.8	-	-	18.4	-	-	-	14.1	-	11.0	12.2	12.2	13.3	1.6	2	
Entrenchment Ratio				-	-	-	-	-	-	1.8	-	-	2.2	-	-	-	3.2	-	3.0	3.1	3.1	3.2	0.1	2	
Bank Height Ratio				-	-	-	-	-	-	1.4	-	-	1.6	-	-	-	1.0	-	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	2	
d50 (mm)				-	-	-	-	-	-	45.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Profile																									
Riffle Length (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	20.0	-	-	40.0	-	-	-	-	-	26.7	48.8	40.1	90.6	24.6	5	
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	1.50	-	-	4.30	-	-	-	-	-	0.001	0.004	0.002	0.009	0.003	5	
Pool Length (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	6.0	-	-	42.0	-	-	-	-	-	2.1	2.8	2.7	3.4	0.6	4	
Pool Max Depth (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	2.3	-	-	2.3	-	-	-	0.8	-	0.5	0.8	0.8	1.2	0.3	4	
Pool Spacing (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	51.0	-	-	113.0	-	-	15.9	-	22.7	47.1	55.5	59.0	60.4	7.3	3	
Pattern																									
Channel Belt Width (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	43.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11.9	14.8	14.8	17.6	4.0	2	
Radius of Curvature (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	44.0	-	-	103.0	-	-	-	-	-	7.6	9.4	8.4	13.2	2.6	4	
Rc: Bankfull Width (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.5	1.9	1.7	2.7	0.5	4	
Meander Wavelength (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	43.2	48.1	47.7	53.8	5.0	4	
Meander Width Ratio				-	-	-	-	-	-	1.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.5	2.4	3.0	3.0	3.5	0.8	2	
Substrate, Bed and Transport Parameters																									
Ri% / Ru% / P% / G% / S%																								80% / 0% / 4% / 2% / 14%	
SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% / Be%																									1% / 10% / 48% / 41% / 0% / 1%
d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 / di ^p / di ^{sp} (mm)																									5.2 / 22 / 45 / 75 / 130 / 190 / - / -
Reach Shear Stress (Competency) lb/ft ²													1.947												-
Max Part Size (mm) Mobilized at Bankfull													91												-
Stream Power (Transport Capacity) W/m ²																									-
Additional Reach Parameters																									
Drainage Area (mi ²)													2.77												0.03
Impervious Cover Estimate (%)																									-
Rosgen Classification													B4												B5
Bankfull Velocity (fps)													6.1												-
Bankfull Discharge (cfs)													210.0												-
Valley Length (ft)													380												424
Channel Thalweg Length (ft)													400												326
Sinuosity													1.10												1.09
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)																									0.058
Bankfull Slope (ft/ft)																									0.058
Bankfull Floodplain Area (acres)																									0.056
Proportion Over Wide (%)																									0.056
Entrenchment Class (ER Range)																									-
Incision Class (BHR Range)																									-
BEHI																									-
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric																									-
Biological or Other																									-

- Information unavailable.

Non-Applicable.

**Table 10 Cont'd. Baseline Stream Data Summary
Middle South Muddy - Lower Iva Branch (136 feet)**

Parameter	Regional Curve			Pre-Existing Condition							Reference Reach Data							Design			As-Built / Baseline				
	LL	UL	Eq.	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	N	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	N	Min	Mean	Max	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	N	
Dimension & Substrate - Riffle																									
Bankfull Width (ft)	-	5.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	23.4	-	-	24.7	-	-	-	5.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Floodprone Width (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	43.0	-	-	52	-	-	-	15.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	-	0.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.3	-	-	1.5	-	-	-	0.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	1.8	-	-	2.2	-	-	-	0.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)		2.4		-	-	-	-	-	-	33.4	-	-	34.6	-	-	-	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Width/Depth Ratio				-	-	-	-	-	-	15.8	-	-	18.4	-	-	-	14.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Entrenchment Ratio				-	-	-	-	-	-	1.8	-	-	2.2	-	-	-	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Bank Height Ratio				-	-	-	-	-	-	1.4	-	-	1.6	-	-	-	1.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
d50 (mm)				-	-	-	-	-	-	45.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Profile																									
Riffle Length (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	20.0	-	-	40.0	-	-	-	-	9.4	11.8	11.8	14.3	3.5	2		
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	1.50	-	-	4.30	-	-	-	-	0.010	0.021	0.021	0.033	0.016	2		
Pool Length (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	6.0	-	-	42.0	-	-	-	-	5.8	9.4	9.4	12.9	3.3	4		
Pool Max Depth (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	2.3	-	-	2.3	-	-	-	0.9	-	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.2	0.1	4	
Pool Spacing (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	51.0	-	-	113.0	-	-	-	19.3	-	27.5	20.8	25.9	20.8	36.1	8.9	3
Pattern																									
Channel Belt Width (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	43.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8.9	9.6	9.6	10.3	1.0	2		
Radius of Curvature (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	44.0	-	-	103.0	-	-	-	-	12.2	12.5	12.5	12.8	0.4	2		
Rc: Bankfull Width (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.2	2.3	2.3	2.3	0.1	2		
Meander Wavelength (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	23.0	27.4	25.5	33.6	5.6	3		
Meander Width Ratio				-	-	-	-	-	-	1.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.2	-	1.6	1.7	1.7	1.9	0.2	2	
Substrate, Bed and Transport Parameters																									
Ri% / Ru% / P% / G% / S%																								24% / 17% / 38% / 20% / 0%	
SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% / Be%																								1% / 10% / 48% / 41% / 0% / 1%	
d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 / di ⁹⁰ / di ⁹⁵ (mm)																								5.2 / 22 / 45 / 75 / 130 / 190 / - / -	
Reach Shear Stress (Competency) lb/ft ²																								1.947	
Max Part Size (mm) Mobilized at Bankfull																								91	
Stream Power (Transport Capacity) W/m ²																								-	
Additional Reach Parameters																									
Drainage Area (mi ²)																								2.77	
Impervious Cover Estimate (%)																								0.046	
Rosgen Classification																								B4	
Bankfull Velocity (fps)																								B5	
Bankfull Discharge (cfs)																								6.1	
Valley Length (ft)																								210.0	
Channel Thalweg Length (ft)																								380.0	
Sinuosity																								151	
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)																								400.0	
Bankfull Slope (ft/ft)																								156	
Bankfull Floodplain Area (acres)																								1.10	
Proportion Over Wide (%)																								1.02	
Entrenchment Class (ER Range)																								1.03	
Incision Class (BHR Range)																								0.026	
BEHI																								0.026	
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric																								0.032	
Biological or Other																								0.035	

- Information unavailable.

Non-Applicable.

**Table 11a. Baseline Morphology & Hydraulic Monitoring Summary
Middle South Muddy Stream Restoration Site**

	Cross-Section 1 (Riffle) Lower Sprouse Branch						Cross-Section 2 (Riffle) Lower Sprouse Branch						Cross-Section 3 (Pool) Lower Sprouse Branch						Cross-Section 4 (Riffle) South Muddy Creek						Cross-Section 5 (Riffle) South Muddy Creek					
Dimension	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5
Record Elevation (datum) Used	1,278.1	1,278.1					1,275.8	1,275.8					1,273.7	1,273.7					1,269.4	1,269.4					1,267.9	1,267.9				
Bankfull Width (ft)	5.4	6.1					5.1	5.3					6.1	6.8					31.6	32.6					30.7	30.6				
Floodprone Width (ft)	14.0	14.0					23.0	23.0					32.0	32.0					65.0	65.0					101.0	101.0				
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.3	0.2					0.3	0.2					1.0	0.9					1.6	1.7					1.9	1.9				
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	0.6	0.5					0.6	0.5					1.5	1.6					2.3	2.6					2.8	2.8				
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	1.8	1.5					1.7	1.3					5.9	6.3					50.5	54.1					59.0	57.9				
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	16.7	25.4					15.1	21.5					6.3	7.5					19.8	19.7					15.9	16.2				
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	2.6	2.3					4.5	4.3					5.3	4.7					2.1	2.0					3.3	3.3				
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0					1.0	1.0					1.0	1.0					1.0	1.0					1.0	1.0				
d50 (mm)	N/A	N/A					N/A	N/A					N/A	N/A					N/A	14.0					N/A	18.0				
	Cross-Section 6 (Pool) South Muddy Creek						Cross-Section 7 (Riffle) South Muddy Creek						Cross-Section 8 (Pool) Upper Iva Branch						Cross-Section 9 (Riffle) Upper Iva Branch						Cross-Section 10 (Riffle) Upper Iva Branch					
Dimension	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5
Record Elevation (datum) Used	1,268.0	1,268.0					1,267.3	1,267.3					1,286.1	1,286.1					1,285.3	1,285.3					1,277.1	1,277.1				
Bankfull Width (ft)	35.3	35.9					31.0	31.2					5.5	5.8					4.6	4.2					5.3	5.6				
Floodprone Width (ft)	166.0	166.0					88.0	88.0					17.0	17.0					14.0	14.0					17.0	17.0				
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	2.4	2.4					2.1	2.2					1.0	1.0					0.4	0.4					0.4	0.3				
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	4.0	3.9					2.9	3.0					1.8	1.7					0.7	0.6					0.6	0.6				
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	85.7	86.3					64.9	67.7					5.7	5.6					1.9	1.8					2.1	1.9				
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	14.5	14.9					14.8	14.4					5.4	6.1					11.0	9.8					13.3	16.7				
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	4.7	4.6					2.8	2.8					3.1	2.9					3.0	3.3					3.2	3.0				
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0					1.0	1.0					1.0	1.0					1.0	1.0					1.0	1.0				
d50 (mm)	N/A	N/A					N/A	0.91					N/A	N/A					N/A	N/A					N/A	N/A				

N/A - Item does not apply.

**Table 11b. Monitoring Data - Stream Reach Data Summary
Middle South Muddy Stream Restoration Site - South Muddy Creek (1,088 feet)**

Parameter	Baseline						MY - 1						MY - 2						MY - 3						MY - 4						MY - 5					
	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n
Dimension & Substrate - Riffle																																				
Bankfull Width (ft)	30.7	31.1	31.0	31.6	0.5	3	30.6	31.5	31.2	32.6	1.0	3																								
Floodprone Width (ft)	65.0	84.7	88.0	101.0	18.2	3	65.0	84.7	88.0	101.0	18.2	3																								
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.6	1.9	1.9	2.1	0.3	3	1.7	1.9	1.9	2.2	0.3	3																								
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.3	2.7	2.8	2.9	0.4	3	2.6	2.8	2.8	3.0	0.2	3																								
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	50.5	58.1	59.0	64.9	7.2	3	54.1	59.9	57.9	67.7	7.0	3																								
Width/Depth Ratio	14.8	16.8	15.9	19.8	2.6	3	14.4	16.7	16.2	19.7	2.7	3																								
Entrenchment Ratio	2.1	2.7	2.8	3.3	0.6	3	2.0	2.7	2.8	3.3	0.7	3																								
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	3	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	3																								
Profile																																				
Riffle Length (ft)	54.4	109.6	85.4	229.5	68.9	5	64.1	111.4	90.3	203.5	56.0	5																								
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	0.001	0.003	0.003	0.005	0.001	5	0.001	0.005	0.004	0.009	0.003	5																								
Pool Length (ft)	34.8	50.8	51.3	66.3	12.4	5	17.8	56.4	48.5	96.8	30.1	5																								
Pool Max Depth (ft)	3.2	4.6	4.5	6.0	0.9	6	3.4	4.1	3.8	5.4	0.8	5																								
Pool Spacing (ft)	112.6	196.3	187.9	323.2	89.4	5	177.1	247.4	239.1	334.2	68.6	4																								
Pattern																																				
Channel Belt Width (ft)	63.7	86.4	92.6	103.0	20.34	3																														
Radius of Curvature (ft)	102.1	114.7	120.1	121.8	10.94	3																														
Rc: Bankfull Width (ft/ft)	3.28	3.7	3.86	3.92	0.35	3																														
Meander Wavelength (ft)	466.5	495.0	497.3	521.1	27.38	3																														
Meander Width Ratio	2.0	2.8	3.0	3.3	0.65	3																														
Additional Reach Parameters																																				
Rosgen Classification	C4						C4																													
Channel Thalweg Length (ft)	1,163						1,158																													
Sinuosity (ft)	1.03						1.03																													
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft)	0.003						0.0033																													
Bankfull Slope (ft/ft)	0.002						0.0029																													
Ri% / Ru% / P% / G% / S%	55%	11%	26%	8%	0%		56%	6%	28%	9%	0%																									

- Information Unavailable

N/A - Information does not apply.

Ri = Riffle / Ru = Run / P = Pool / G = Glide / S = Step

Parameter	Baseline						MY - 1						MY - 2						MY - 3						MY - 4						MY - 5					
	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n
Dimension & Substrate - Riffle																																				
Bankfull Width (ft)	4.6	4.9	4.9	5.3	0.5	2	4.2	4.9	4.9	5.6	1.0	2																								
Floodprone Width (ft)	14.0	15.5	15.5	17.0	2.1	2	14.0	15.5	15.5	17.0	2.1	2																								
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.0	2	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.1	2																								
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.1	2	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.1	2																								
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft²)	1.9	2.0	2.0	2.1	0.1	2	1.8	1.9	1.9	1.9	0.0	2																								
Width/Depth Ratio	11.0	12.2	12.2	13.3	1.6	2	9.8	13.2	13.2	16.7	4.9	2																								
Entrenchment Ratio	3.0	3.1	3.1	3.2	0.1	2	3.0	3.2	3.2	3.3	0.2	2																								
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	2	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	2																								
Profile																																				
Riffle Length (ft)	26.7	48.8	40.1	90.6	24.6	5	21.8	46.1	37.7	88.5	25.5	5																								
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	0.001	0.004	0.002	0.009	0.003	5	0.005	0.007	0.007	0.011	0.002	5																								
Pool Length (ft)	2.1	2.8	2.7	3.4	0.6	4	3.2	4.5	4.1	6.7	1.7	4																								
Pool Max Depth (ft)	0.5	0.8	0.8	1.2	0.3	4	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.8	0.2	4																								
Pool Spacing (ft)	47.1	55.5	59.0	60.4	7.3	3	49.6	54.9	54.9	60.1	5.3	3																								
Pattern																																				
Channel Belt Width (ft)	11.9	14.8	14.8	17.6	4.0	2																														
Radius of Curvature (ft)	7.6	9.4	8.4	13.2	2.6	4																														
Rc: Bankfull Width (ft/ft)	1.5	1.9	1.7	2.7	0.5	4																														
Meander Wavelength (ft)	43.2	48.1	47.7	53.8	5.0	4																														
Meander Width Ratio	2.4	3.0	3.0	3.5	0.8	2																														
Additional Reach Parameters																																				
Rosgen Classification	B5												B5																							
Channel Thalweg Length (ft)	326												330																							
Sinuosity (ft)	1.10												1.11																							
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft)	0.056												-																							
Bankfull Slope (ft/ft)	0.056												0.0598																							
Ri% / Ru% / P% / G% / S%	80%	0%	4%	2%	14%		75%	0%	6%	4%	15%																									

- Information Unavailable
N/A - Information does not apply.
Ri = Riffle / Ru = Run / P = Pool / G = Glide / S = Step


Parameter	Baseline						MY - 1						MY - 2						MY - 3						MY - 4						MY - 5					
	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n
Dimension & Substrate - Riffle																																				
Bankfull Width (ft)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-																								
Floodprone Width (ft)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-																								
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-																								
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-																								
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft²)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-																								
Width/Depth Ratio	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-																								
Entrenchment Ratio	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-																								
Bank Height Ratio	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-																								
Profile																																				
Riffle Length (ft)	9.4	11.8	11.8	14.3	3.5	2	10.4	16.5	16.5	22.7	8.7	2																								
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	0.010	0.021	0.021	0.033	0.016	2	0.005	0.015	0.015	0.026	0.015	2																								
Pool Length (ft)	5.8	9.4	9.4	12.9	3.3	4	2.9	5.3	5.0	8.3	2.7	4																								
Pool Max Depth (ft)	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.2	0.1	4	0.6	1.0	1.0	1.5	0.3	4																								
Pool Spacing (ft)	20.8	25.9	20.8	36.1	8.9	3	18.0	23.4	24.4	27.8	5.0	3																								
Pattern																																				
Channel Belt Width (ft)	8.9	9.6	9.6	10.3	1.0	2																														
Radius of Curvature (ft)	12.2	12.5	12.5	12.8	0.4	2																														
Rc: Bankfull Width (ft/ft)	2.2	2.3	2.3	2.3	0.1	2																														
Meander Wavelength (ft)	23.0	27.4	25.5	33.6	5.6	3																														
Meander Width Ratio	1.6	1.7	1.7	1.9	0.2	2																														
Additional Reach Parameters																																				
Rosgen Classification	B5												B5																							
Channel Thalweg Length (ft)	156												154																							
Sinuosity (ft)	1.03												1.03																							
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft)	0.032												-																							
Bankfull Slope (ft/ft)	0.035												0.0257																							
Ri% / Ru% / P% / G% / S%	24%	17%	38%	20%	0%		43%	17%	28%	14%	0%																									

- Information Unavailable
N/A - Information does not apply.
Ri = Riffle / Ru = Run / P = Pool / G = Glide / S = Step

Project Name: Middle South Muddy
Reach Name: Lower Sprouse Branch

XS Number: 1
XS Type: Riffle

Station: 203+60



CHANNEL DIMENSIONS SUMMARY	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7
Bankful Width (ft)	5.4	6.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Floodprone Width (ft)	14.0	14.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.3	0.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	0.6	0.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	1.8	1.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Width/Depth Ratio	16.7	25.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
Entrenchment Ratio	2.6	2.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	-	-	-	-	-	-



Left Descending Bank




Right Descending Bank

Project Name: Middle South Muddy
Reach Name: Lower Sprouse Branch

XS Number: 2
XS Type: Riffle

Station: 204+72



CHANNEL DIMENSIONS SUMMARY	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7
Bankful Width (ft)	5.1	5.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
Floodprone Width (ft)	23.0	23.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.3	0.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	0.6	0.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	1.7	1.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
Width/Depth Ratio	15.1	21.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Entrenchment Ratio	4.5	4.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	-	-	-	-	-	-



Left Descending Bank




Right Descending Bank

Project Name: Middle South Muddy
Reach Name: Lower Sprouse Branch

XS Number: 3
XS Type: Pool

Station: 205+79



CHANNEL DIMENSIONS SUMMARY	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7
Bankfull Width (ft)	6.1	6.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
Floodprone Width (ft)	32.0	32.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.0	0.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.5	1.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	5.9	6.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
Width/Depth Ratio	6.3	7.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Entrenchment Ratio	5.3	4.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	-	-	-	-	-	-



Left Descending Bank




Right Descending Bank

Project Name: Middle South Muddy
Reach Name: South Muddy Creek

XS Number: 4
XS Type: Riffle

Station: 102+79



CHANNEL DIMENSIONS SUMMARY	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7
Bankful Width (ft)	31.6	32.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
Floodprone Width (ft)	65.0	65.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.6	1.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.3	2.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	50.5	54.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Width/Depth Ratio	19.8	19.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
Entrenchment Ratio	2.1	2.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	-	-	-	-	-	-



Left Descending Bank




Right Descending Bank

Project Name: Middle South Muddy
Reach Name: South Muddy Creek

XS Number: 5
XS Type: Riffle

Station: 107+45



CHANNEL DIMENSIONS SUMMARY	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7
Bankfull Width (ft)	30.7	30.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
Floodprone Width (ft)	101.0	101.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.9	1.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.8	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	59.0	57.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
Width/Depth Ratio	15.9	16.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Entrenchment Ratio	3.3	3.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	-	-	-	-	-	-



Left Descending Bank




Right Descending Bank

Project Name: Middle South Muddy
Reach Name: South Muddy Creek

XS Number: 6
XS Type: Pool

Station: 108+57



CHANNEL DIMENSIONS SUMMARY	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7
Bankful Width (ft)	35.3	35.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
Floodprone Width (ft)	166.0	166.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	2.4	2.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	4.0	3.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	85.7	86.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
Width/Depth Ratio	14.5	14.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
Entrenchment Ratio	4.7	4.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	-	-	-	-	-	-



Left Descending Bank




Right Descending Bank

Project Name: Middle South Muddy
Reach Name: South Muddy Creek

XS Number: 7
XS Type: Riffle

Station: 109+57



CHANNEL DIMENSIONS SUMMARY	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7
Bankful Width (ft)	31.0	31.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Floodprone Width (ft)	88.0	88.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	2.1	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.9	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	64.9	67.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
Width/Depth Ratio	14.8	14.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
Entrenchment Ratio	2.8	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	-	-	-	-	-	-



Left Descending Bank




Right Descending Bank

Project Name: Middle South Muddy
Reach Name: Upper Iva Branch

XS Number: 8
XS Type: Pool

Station: 302+13



CHANNEL DIMENSIONS SUMMARY	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7
Bankful Width (ft)	5.5	5.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
Floodprone Width (ft)	17.0	17.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.0	1.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.8	1.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	5.7	5.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
Width/Depth Ratio	5.4	6.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Entrenchment Ratio	3.1	2.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	-	-	-	-	-	-



Left Descending Bank




Right Descending Bank

Project Name: Middle South Muddy
Reach Name: Upper Iva Branch

XS Number: 9
XS Type: Riffle

Station: 302+82



CHANNEL DIMENSIONS SUMMARY	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7
Bankful Width (ft)	4.6	4.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Floodprone Width (ft)	14.0	14.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.4	0.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	0.7	0.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	1.9	1.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
Width/Depth Ratio	11.0	9.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
Entrenchment Ratio	3.0	3.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	-	-	-	-	-	-



Left Descending Bank




Right Descending Bank

Project Name: Middle South Muddy
Reach Name: Upper Iva Branch

XS Number: 10
XS Type: Riffle

Station: 304+20



CHANNEL DIMENSIONS SUMMARY		MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7
Bankful Width (ft)		5.3	5.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
Floodprone Width (ft)		17.0	17.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)		0.4	0.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)		0.6	0.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)		2.1	1.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
Width/Depth Ratio		13.3	16.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
Entrenchment Ratio		3.2	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bank Height Ratio		1.0	1.0	-	-	-	-	-	-




Left Descending Bank



Right Descending Bank



**Middle South Muddy
South Muddy Creek
Longitudinal Profile
Staioning 101+00 to 112+75.16**



**Middle South Muddy
Sprouse Branch
Longitudinal Profile
Staioning 201+72.34 to 208+91.81**





**Middle South Muddy
Iva Branch
Longitudinal Profile
Staioning 300+79.55 to 307+17.78**





This Page Intentionally Left Blank



Middle South Muddy			
Cross Section 4 - Riffle			
Monitoring Year - 2016; MY1			
Bed Surface Material Particle Size Class (mm)	Number	% Individual	% Cumulative
0 - 0.062	0	0.0%	0%
0.062 - 0.125	2	1.9%	2%
0.125 - 0.25	7	6.6%	8%
0.25 - 0.5	0	0.0%	8%
0.5 - 1.0	7	6.6%	15%
1 - 2	11	10.4%	25%
2 - 4	0	0.0%	25%
4 - 8	9	8.5%	34%
8 - 16	22	20.8%	55%
16 - 32	26	24.5%	79%
32 - 64	19	17.9%	97%
64-128	3	2.8%	100%
128-256	0	0.0%	100%
256-512	0	0.0%	100%
512-1024	0	0.0%	100%
1024-2048	0	0.0%	100%
2048-4096	0	0.0%	100%
Bedrock	0	0.0%	100%
Total	106	100%	100%
		Summary Data	
		D50	14
		D84	38
		D95	58



Middle South Muddy			
Cross Section 5 - Riffle			
Monitoring Year - 2016; MY1			
Bed Surface Material Particle Size Class (mm)	Number	% Individual	% Cumulative
0 - 0.062	0	0.0%	0%
0.062 - 0.125	0	0.0%	0%
0.125 - 0.25	0	0.0%	0%
0.25 - 0.5	0	0.0%	0%
0.5 - 1.0	3	2.8%	3%
1 - 2	0	0.0%	3%
2 - 4	6	5.6%	8%
4 - 8	13	12.0%	20%
8 - 16	28	25.9%	46%
16 - 32	33	30.6%	77%
32 - 64	21	19.4%	96%
64-128	4	3.7%	100%
128-256	0	0.0%	100%
256-512	0	0.0%	100%
512-1024	0	0.0%	100%
1024-2048	0	0.0%	100%
2048-4096	0	0.0%	100%
Bedrock	0	0.0%	100%
Total	108	100%	100%
		Summary Data	
		D50	18
		D84	43
		D95	61



Middle South Muddy			
Cross Section 7 - Riffle			
Monitoring Year - 2016; MY1			
Bed Surface Material Particle Size Class (mm)	Number	% Individual	% Cumulative
0 - 0.062	10	9.4%	9%
0.062 - 0.125	10	9.4%	19%
0.125 - 0.25	19	17.9%	37%
0.25 - 0.5	2	1.9%	39%
0.5 - 1.0	14	13.2%	52%
1 - 2	6	5.7%	58%
2 - 4	2	1.9%	59%
4 - 8	7	6.6%	66%
8 - 16	14	13.2%	79%
16 - 32	13	12.3%	92%
32 - 64	6	5.7%	97%
64-128	3	2.8%	100%
128-256	0	0.0%	100%
256-512	0	0.0%	100%
512-1024	0	0.0%	100%
1024-2048	0	0.0%	100%
2048-4096	0	0.0%	100%
Bedrock	0	0.0%	100%
Total	106	100%	100%
		Summary Data	
		D50	0.91
		D84	20
		D95	49



Appendix E

Hydrologic Data

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Table 12. Verification of Bankfull Events Middle South Muddy Stream Restoration Project				
South Muddy Creek				
Date of Data Collection	Date of Occurrence	Method	Feet Above Bankfull Elevation	Photo # (if available)
2/25/2016	Unknown ¹	Wrack Lines	Unknown	1
Sprouse Branch				
Date of Data Collection	Date of Occurrence	Method	Feet Above Bankfull Elevation	Photo # (if available)
3/23/2016	Unknown ¹	Wrack Lines	Unknown	2
Iva Branch				
Date of Data Collection	Date of Occurrence	Method	Feet Above Bankfull Elevation	Photo # (if available)
2/25/2016	Unknown ¹	Wrack Lines	Unknown	3

¹Potential Date is 2/2/2016

Photo Verification of Bankfull Events



Photo #1 - South Muddy Creek Wrack Lines

Photo Verification of Bankfull Events




Photo #2 – Sprouse Branch Wrack Lines



Photo #3 – Iva Branch Wrack Lines

Figure 3. Daily Precipitation Totals for the Middle South Muddy Stream Restoration Site Project




Figure 4. Monthly Precipitation Data Compared to 30th and 70th Percentiles for McDowell County

