

**MUDDY RUN II STREAM AND WETLAND RESTORATION  
PROJECT  
MONITORING REPORT  
MONITORING YEAR 3**

DUPLIN COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA  
CONTRACT NO. 004632 - PROJECT # 95354



Prepared for:

**North Carolina Division of Mitigation Services**

North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality  
1652 Mail Service Center  
Raleigh, NC 27699-1652

January 2017

**Muddy Run II  
Duplin County, North Carolina  
DMS Project ID 95354**

**Cape Fear River Basin  
HUC 0030007060010**

**Prepared by:**



**Resource Environmental Solutions  
302 Jefferson Street, Suite 110  
Raleigh, NC 27605  
919-829-9909**

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Muddy Run II Stream and Wetland Restoration Project is located within an agricultural watershed in Duplin County, North Carolina, approximately six miles south of Beulaville. The stream channels were heavily impacted by channelization and agricultural practices. The project involved the restoration and protection of streams in the Muddy Creek watershed. The purpose of this restoration project was to restore and enhance a stream/wetland complex located within the Cape Fear River Basin.

The project lies within USGS Hydrologic Unit Code 03030007060010 (USGS, 1998) and within the North Carolina Division of Water Quality (NCDWQ) Cape Fear River Subbasin 03-06-22 (NCDENR, 2002). The project consists of six unnamed tributaries to Muddy Creek, but the project has been divided into nine distinct reaches for design purposes. Reach 1 is one of the upstream-most portions of the project; it begins on the edge of an existing agricultural field and extends to STA 04+48. Similarly, Reach 2 is one of the upper-most portions of the stream project. It begins in a disturbed forest corridor between several agricultural fields and extends to STA 19+14. Reach 3a starts at the confluence of Reaches 1 and 2 (STA 00+00) and flows north north-west through a disturbed hardwood buffer and several agricultural fields before being partially diverted to enter Reach 3b near STA 37+23. Reach 3b flows to the north and west where it flows into Reach 3c at STA 57+92. Reach 3c flows through a pine plantation to STA 65+30, where it flows into Reach 3 of the Muddy Run project. Reach 4 is a perennial channel that flows through a forested area from a ditch draining an agricultural field. Reach 4 flows into Reach 3A at STA 18+76. Reach 5a consists of the main stem beginning at STA 00+00 where it adjoins with Reach 1C of the Muddy Run project. Reach 5a flows north and flows into Reach 5b at STA 19+59. Reach 5b is the most downstream reach of the project, ending at the right-of-way for State Highway 41. Reach 6 begins in a forested area south of Reach 5 and flows in a northerly direction to the confluence with Reach 5a near STA 9+20. Two areas containing drained hydric soil were identified for restoration, located along Reach 3b and Reach 5a.

This Year 3 Annual Monitoring Report presents the data from 28 vegetation monitoring plots, four manual crest gauges, four auto crest gauges, an auto-logging rain gauge, seven wetland restoration groundwater gauges, three reference groundwater gauges, 59 stream cross sections, 20 sets of bank pins, and photo reference locations, as required by the approved Mitigation Plan for the site.

The Muddy Run II Year 3 morphological, vegetation, and visual assessment monitoring activities were completed in November 2016. All Year 3 monitoring data is presented below and in the appendices. Data presented shows the site has one area of bank erosion along Reach 5A and one area of low planted stem densities; however, the site meets stream, wetland and vegetation interim success criteria.

Throughout the Year 3 monitoring season, the restored stream channel remained stable and continued to provide the intended habitat and hydrologic functions. Minimal changes were noticed for most Year 3 cross section surveys resulting from stable bed and bank conditions. Bankfull events were observed during Year 3 monitoring activities on all four crest gauges. During several site visits throughout Year 3, each stream reach was noted to be flowing during normal conditions.

One stream problem area was observed during the Year 3 monitoring period. The problem area observed during Year 3 monitoring activities consists of minor right bank erosion on Reach 5A. Stream problem areas identified during MY2 have been addressed through the adaptive management plan implemented in early 2016 and are now performing as designed. All repaired areas are stable and vegetation cover is establishing well in these areas.

All seven wetland gauges achieved the success criteria by remaining continuously within 12 inches of the soil surface for at least nine percent of the growing season. Groundwater gauge data indicates the hydroperiods being very responsive to rainfall events.

The Year 3 vegetation monitoring observations for the Muddy Run II Site are summarized in this report. Planted-stem survival for 27 of the 28 Vegetation Plots (VP) at Muddy Run was above the interim success criterion of 320 trees per acre at the end of Monitoring Year 3. The average stem density (excluding live stakes) across all vegetation plots was 645 stems per acre. Volunteers were noted in five plots and are outlined in the Vegetation Plot data. One vegetation problem area noted during Monitoring Year 3 resulted from low stem densities, having stem counts less than the MY3 vegetative success criteria of 320 stems/acre. Vegetation problem areas noted during MY2 were addressed during 2016-MY3 through invasive species management and supplemental planting performed in April and October. The Muddy Run II Site has met the Year 3 vegetation survival success criterion of 320 trees per acre as specified in the Mitigation Plan.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	PROJECT GOALS, BACKGROUND AND ATTRIBUTES.....	3
1.1	Location and Setting.....	3
1.2	Project Goals and Objectives.....	3
1.3	Project Structure.....	4
1.3.1	Restoration Type and Approach.....	4
1.4	Project History, Contacts and Attribute Data.....	7
1.4.1	Project History.....	7
1.4.2	Project Watersheds.....	7
2	SUCCESS CRITERIA.....	8
2.1	Stream Restoration.....	8
2.1.1	Bankfull Events.....	8
2.1.2	Cross Sections.....	8
2.1.3	Digital Image Stations.....	8
2.2	Wetland Restoration.....	8
2.3	Vegetation.....	9
2.4	Scheduling/Reporting.....	9
3	MONITORING PLAN.....	9
3.1	Stream Restoration.....	9
3.1.1	As-Built Survey.....	9
3.1.2	Bankfull Events.....	9
3.1.3	Cross Sections.....	10
3.1.4	Digital Image Stations.....	10
3.1.5	Bank Pin Arrays.....	10
3.1.6	Visual Assessment Monitoring.....	10
3.1.7	Surface Flow.....	11
3.2	Wetland Hydrology.....	11
3.3	Vegetation.....	11
4	Maintenance and Contingency plan.....	11
4.1	Stream.....	11
4.2	Wetlands.....	11
4.3	Vegetation.....	12
5	YEAR 3 MONITORING CONDITIONS (MY3).....	12
5.1	Year 3 Monitoring Data Collection.....	12
5.1.1	Morphological State of the Channel.....	12
5.1.2	Vegetation.....	13
5.1.3	Photo Documentation.....	13
5.1.4	Stream Hydrology.....	13
5.1.5	Wetland Hydrology.....	13
6	REFERENCES.....	14

## **APPENDICES**

### **Appendix A. Project Background Data and Maps**

Table 1. Project Components and Mitigation Credits  
Table 2. Project Activity and reporting History  
Table 3. Project Contacts  
Table 4. Project Information and Attributes  
Figure 1. Project Vicinity Map  
Figure 2. Project USGS Map

### **Appendix B. Visual Assessment Data**

Figure 3. Current Conditions Plan View Map (CCPV)  
Table 5. Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment  
Table 6. Vegetation Condition Assessment  
Table 7. Stream Problem Areas  
Table 8. Vegetation Problem Areas  
Stream Photos  
Vegetation Photos  
Stream and Vegetation Problem Photos  
Stream and Vegetation Remedial Action Repairs/Maintenance Photos

### **Appendix C. Vegetation Plot Data**

Table 9a. Planted Stem Count Summary  
Table 9b. Planted Species Totals  
Table 9c. Planted Stem Counts (Species by Plot)

### **Appendix D. Stream Geomorphology Data**

Table 10. Morphological Parameters Summary Data  
Table 11. Dimensional Morphology Summary – Cross Sections Data  
Table 12. Bank Pin Array Summary Data  
Cross Section Plots

### **Appendix E. Hydrology Data**

Table 13. Documentation of Geomorphologically Significant Flow Events  
Table 14. Rainfall Summary  
Table 15a. Wetland Hydrology Criteria Attainment  
Table 15b. Wetland Hydrology Gauge Summary  
Chart 1. 2016 Precipitation Data for Muddy Run II Site  
Chart 2. 2016 Groundwater Monitoring Gauge Hydrographs  
Crest Gauge Verification Photos

## 1 PROJECT GOALS, BACKGROUND AND ATTRIBUTES

### 1.1 Location and Setting

The Muddy Run II Stream and Wetland Restoration Site (“Site”) is located in Duplin County approximately 1.4 miles east of Chinquapin, NC (**Figure 1**). The project is in the Cape Fear River Basin (8-digit USGS HUC 03030007, 14-digit USGS HUC 0303007060010) (USGS, 1998) and the NCDWQ Cape Fear 03-06-22 sub-basin (NCDWQ, 2002). To access the Site from the town of Chinquapin, travel east on Highway 50, take the first left onto Pickett Bay Road (SR 1819), go 1.1 miles, then turn left onto Kenney Crawley Road. This private road is gravel and will split just past the residential house on the right. Keeping to the left will take you to the Reaches 3b, 3c, 5b, and 6. Going to the right at the split will take you to Reaches 1, 2, 3a, and 4.

### 1.2 Project Goals and Objectives

The Muddy Run II stream and wetland mitigation project will provide numerous ecological and water quality benefits within the Cape Fear River Basin. While many of these benefits are limited to the project area, others, such as pollutant removal and improved aquatic and terrestrial habitat, have more far-reaching effects. Expected improvements to water quality, hydrology, and habitat are outlined below.

#### Design Goals and Objectives

Benefits Related to Water Quality	
Nutrient removal	Benefit will be achieved through filtering of runoff from adjacent CAFOs through buffer areas, the conversion of active farm fields to forested buffers, improved denitrification and nutrient uptake through buffer zones, and installation of BMPs at the headwaters of selected reaches and ditch outlets.
Sediment removal	Benefit will be achieved through the stabilization of eroding stream banks and reduction of sediment loss from field areas due to lack of vegetative cover. Channel velocities will also be decreased through a reduction in slope, therefore decreasing erosive forces.
Increase dissolved oxygen concentration	Benefit will be achieved through the construction of instream structures to increase turbulence and dissolved oxygen concentrations and lower water temperature to increase dissolved oxygen capacity.
Runoff filtration	Benefit will be achieved through the restoration of buffer areas that will receive and filter runoff, thereby reducing nutrients and sediment concentrations reaching water bodies downstream.
Benefits to Flood Attenuation	
Water storage	Benefit will be achieved through the restoration of buffer areas which will infiltrate more water during precipitation events than under current site conditions.
Improved groundwater recharge	Benefit will be achieved through the increased storage of precipitation in buffer areas, ephemeral depressions, and reconnection of existing floodplain. Greater storage of water will lead to improved infiltration and groundwater recharge.
Improved/restored hydrologic connections	Benefit will be achieved by restoring the stream to a natural meandering pattern with an appropriately sized channel, such that the channel’s floodplain will be flooded more frequently at flows greater than the bankfull stage.
Benefits Related to Ecological Processes	
Restoration of habitats	Benefit will be achieved by restoring riparian buffer habitat to appropriate bottomland hardwood ecosystem.
Improved substrate and instream cover	Benefit will be achieved through the construction of instream structures designed to improve bedform diversity and to trap detritus. Substrate will become more coarse as a result of the stabilization of stream banks and an overall decrease in the amount of fine materials deposited in the stream.

Addition of large woody debris	Benefit will be achieved through the addition of wood structures as part of the restoration design. Such structures may include log vanes, root wads, and log weirs.
Reduced temperature of water due to shading	Benefit will be achieved through the restoration of canopy tree species to the stream buffer areas.
Restoration of terrestrial habitat	Benefit will be achieved through the restoration of riparian buffer bottomland hardwood habitats.

### 1.3 Project Structure

**Table 1. Muddy Run II Project Components- Stream Mitigation**

Reach	Mitigation Type	As-Built Stationing	Existing Length (LF)	As-Built Length (LF)	Mitigation Ratio	SMUs
Reach 1	Headwater Valley	0+00 to 4+48	438	398	1:1	398
Reach 2	Headwater Valley	0+00 to 5+04	504	504	1:1	504
Reach 2	P1 Restoration	5+04 to 19+14	1,223	1,410	1:1	1,410
Reach 3a	P1 Restoration	0+00 to 37+23	3,301	3,586	1:1	3,586
Reach 3b	P1 Restoration	37+23 to 57+92	NA	1,979	1:1	1,979
Reach 3c	Enhancement I	57+92 to 65+30	737	708	1:1.5	472
Reach 4	P1 Restoration	0+44 to 2+17	120	173	1:1	173
Reach 5a	P1 Restoration	0+00 to 19+59	1,602	1,926	1:1	1,926
Reach 5b	Enhancement II	19+59 to 23+68	401	409	1:2.5	164
Reach 6	Enhancement II	9+02 to 12+19	317	318	1:2.5	127
			<b>8,643</b>	<b>11,411</b>		<b>10,739</b>

**Table 2. Muddy Run II Project Components – Wetland Mitigation**

Wetland	Mitigation Type	Mitigation Area (ac)	Mitigation Ratio	WMUs
WA	Restoration	3.60	1:1	3.60
WB	Restoration	1.32	1:1	1.32
<b>Total</b>		<b>4.92</b>		<b>4.92</b>

#### 1.3.1 Restoration Type and Approach

##### Reach 1

Headwater valley restoration approach was performed along Reach 1. The existing channel/ditch was backfilled, and flow has been directed from its current position along the tree line back to within the historic valley location down to the confluence with Reaches 2 and 3a. A 100 foot wide forested buffer has been planted throughout the reach. The upstream limit of Reach 1 ties into an existing headwater valley system comprised of intermittent sections of single and multiple channels. This



system will be used as a reference site for incorporating a small baseflow channel into the headwater valley restoration design.

## **Reach 2**

Similar to Reach 1, headwater valley restoration was performed along the upper section of Reach 2. The existing channel was backfilled with existing spoil material located along the channel, a result of previous dredging activities. Areas within the 100 foot buffer that were disturbed or lack riparian vegetation were planted. Grade control structures were installed along three ditches that enter Reach 2 at the upstream end of the project. These structures raised the upstream channel bed elevations slightly to tie into existing ditches to the project reach. An existing CMP culvert located along the upstream section was removed and replaced outside the easement (upstream) to continue to allow the landowner access to all areas of his property. Priority 1 restoration was performed for the majority of Reach 2. Restoration activities involved relocating the channel to the north through an existing wooded area consisting primarily of pines and a few hardwoods. Existing spoil piles located along the channel banks were removed and used to fill the existing ditch. Diffuse flow structures have been installed along several ditches that outlet to the reach from both the north and south. The structures will attenuate and disperse flows as the existing ditches enter the proposed easement.

## **Reach 3a**

Priority Level I restoration was performed on Reach 3a. The restoration approach on this reach included relocating the channel on either side of its current location to follow the natural valley and removing the adjacent roadbed to allow continuous access to the floodplain. Two existing 36" CMP culvert crossings were located along this reach. Each culvert was removed and replaced in-line with the proposed stream to allow the landowners to access portions of their respective properties to the west of the project site. Reach 3a now flows in a northwesterly direction until it reaches a property line. At this point, the existing ditch that continued to flow in a northerly direction was plugged and a diversion structure was installed. The structure is designed to pass 100 percent of baseflow and small storms through the project, and divert up to 70 percent of storms larger than the 25-yr storm to the existing ditch and offsite. See Section 7.3.1.1 (Stream Hydrologic Analysis) for hydraulic analysis details.

Just downstream of the diversion structure, the channel was relocated south of several turkey houses, and now flows in a westerly direction as Reach 3b. The network of ditches surrounding the turkey houses appear to cross a small ridge, directing flow away from the project area. An additional culvert crossing was constructed where flow will be diverted to the west at the turkey houses. Priority I restoration is appropriate for this channel because it is the only mitigation approach that addresses bed and bank instability, establishes a forested riparian buffer, and significantly enhances aquatic habitat. Diffuse flow structures were constructed where existing agricultural ditches enter the easement area.

The diversion structure was constructed at the downstream end of Reach 3a to alleviate and prevent flooding caused by rerouting flow and increased drainage areas, to provide continued flow through the existing ditch for storms larger than bankfull (design) events, and to reduce impacts from proposed grading activities. Per discussions with Mr. Lanier (owner of parcel northwest of proposed structure), larger storm events overtop the existing ditch flowing to the north. This flooding may be attributed to inefficiencies with existing structures and ditch alignments in conjunction with low gradients. The culvert associated with the gravel access road that leads from Ludie Brown Road to the turkey houses outlets perpendicular to the receiving ditch that flows to the northeast and under Ludie Brown Road. This ditch continues to the northeast and crosses Route 111, where it flows to the north into Muddy Creek. By diverting up to 70 percent of higher flows through the existing ditch and offsite, existing flooding issues will be reduced adjacent to the turkey houses. This diversion also decreases potential flooding impacts that would occur if 100 percent of storm events were passed

through the proposed channel, Reach 3b. There are several residential parcels within zero to 200 feet of the proposed easement along Reach 3b. Because the topography is very flat through this area, the flooding associated with the majority of storm events greater than bankfull would negatively impact these parcels.

Finally, by diverting a percentage of the proposed higher flows, flooding impacts will also be reduced along Reaches 5a and 5b and at the existing HWY 41 culvert at the downstream end of the project. Currently, agricultural fields are present along the north side of Reach 5a. By reducing high flows, the flooding extent and duration will be reduced; thus, preventing adverse impacts to crops. If 100 percent of higher storm events were allowed to pass through the project, significant grading would be required to cut floodplain terraces/benches to relieve flooding of the adjacent agricultural fields.

Approximately 1,611 LF of the existing ditch that flows to the north from the Reach 3a/3b diversion structure will be impacted (dewatered). This length includes the segment of the ditch from the diversion structure downstream to the Muddy Creek floodplain. The channel impacts resulting from the proposed channel relocation will be addressed in the ensuing NWP application.

### **Reach 3b**

Priority Level I restoration was performed on Reach 3b. The restoration approach on this reach included relocating the channel in a westerly direction through an open pasture. The pasture area has been extensively modified and substantial grading was required. The design then moves the channel to a historic drainage way as observed on LiDAR and historical aerial photographs. The flow path is now connected to a small relic channel identified in the forested area west of the pasture. Subsequent topographic survey confirmed positive drainage along the relic channel which follows a low lying feature observed on LiDAR. The restoration approach included some minor grading to enlarge the existing channel and to create a diverse bed habitat by constructing pools. Log grade control structures were installed at the confluence with Reach 3c and at the connection to the relic channel. Small, mechanical equipment and hand tools were used to minimize damage to the existing forested buffer. A livestock protected culvert crossing was constructed near the existing pasture along an existing farm path to allow the landowner uninterrupted access to his property.

### **Reach 3c**

Enhancement I was performed on Reach 3c as it flows through a forested area downstream from Reach 3b to Reach 3 of the Muddy Run Stream Mitigation Project. A grade control structure was installed at the upstream end to stabilize the transition from an existing agricultural ditch to the stable channel. A crossing was constructed along the upper section to allow the landowner access to both sides of his property. Enhancement activities included removing portions of existing spoil piles located along top of banks, cutting floodplain benches and laying back banks, and installing woody debris habitat structures. Diffuse flow structures were also constructed at the downstream limit where existing agricultural ditches enter the easement area. Invasive species management was performed throughout the buffer, and any bare or disturbed areas were planted with native riparian vegetation.

### **Reach 4**

Priority 1 restoration was performed on the downstream end of Reach 4 as it flows through a forested area below a ditch draining an agricultural field. A grade control structure was installed at the upstream end to transition from the existing ditch to a stable channel. The lower section of the reach was constructed into an E-type channel before its confluence with Reach 3a. Invasive species management was performed throughout the buffer, and any bare or disturbed areas were planted with native riparian vegetation.

### **Reach 5a**

Priority Level I restoration was performed on Reach 5a. The channel was relocated north of its current location into the adjacent agricultural field. The existing ditch was backfilled and plugged at any locations that may cross the proposed channel. The upstream end of the reach ties into Reach 1C of the Muddy Run Stream Mitigation Project. The single-thread channel will flow through proposed wetland WB beginning approximately 300 feet downstream of the Muddy Run project. A CMP culvert crossing was installed in-line with the proposed design near the middle of the reach to allow the landowners access to the adjacent parcels. Priority I restoration is appropriate for this channel because it is the only mitigation approach that addresses bed and bank instability, establishes a forested riparian buffer, and significantly enhances aquatic habitat.

### **Reach 5b**

Enhancement Level II was performed on Reach 5b. Several log grade controls and woody debris structures were installed along the bed to increase aquatic habitat and bed diversity. The right bank along the reach was laid back and spoil piles along the tops of banks were removed using small equipment to minimize impacts to the existing buffer. Additionally, invasive species management was performed throughout the buffer, and any bare or disturbed areas were planted with native riparian vegetation.

### **Reach 6**

Enhancement Level II was performed on the downstream section of Reach 6 (STA 9+02 to STA 12+19). The right and left banks were laid back, and the channel was backfilled using spoil located adjacent to the channel such that positive drainage is maintained throughout the reach down to the confluence with Reach 5a. Invasive species management was performed throughout the buffer where enhancement took place, and any bare or disturbed areas were planted with native riparian vegetation. A 50 foot wide buffer was provided along the upper section of Reach 6 (STA 0+00 to STA 9+02); however, no enhancement activities were performed through this section other than filling portions of the channel. This additional easement was provided to account for any hydrologic impacts that may occur as a result of the proposed enhancement activities.

## **1.4 Project History, Contacts and Attribute Data**

### **1.4.1 Project History**

The Site was restored by Environmental Banc & Exchange, LLC (EBX) through a full-delivery contract awarded by NCDMS in 2011. EBX was acquired by Resource Environmental Solutions, LLC (RES) in 2014 and now oversees the project tasks. Tables 2, 3, and 4 in **Appendix A** provide a time sequence and information pertaining to the project activities, history, contacts, and baseline information.

### **1.4.2 Project Watersheds**

The easement totals 37.6 acres and is broken into nine reaches. Reach 1 has a drainage area of 68 acres; it begins at the start of the restoration project (STA 0+00) and extends west to STA 4+48. Reach 2 has a drainage area of 114 acres; it begins at STA 0+00 and extends to STA 19+14. Reach 3a (Sta. 0+00 to 37+23) begins at the confluence of Reaches 1 and 2 and has a drainage area of 227 acres. Reach 3b has a drainage area of 333 acres and flows west into Reach 3c; it begins at STA 37+23 and extends to STA 57+92. Reach 3c has a drainage area of 370 acres extending north to south and flows into Reach 3 of the Muddy Run project; it begins at STA 57+92 and extends to STA 65+30. Reach 4 has a drainage area of 46 acres and flows from the east into Reach 3a; it begins at STA 0+44 and extends to STA 2+17. Reach 5a begins at the downstream limit of the Muddy

Run project, flows into Reach 5b, and has a drainage area of 774 acres; it begins at STA 0+00 and extends to STA 19+59. Reach 5b has a drainage area of 908 acres; it starts at STA 19+59 and extends to STA 23+68. Reach 6 has a drainage area of 318 acres and flows from the south into Reach 5a; it starts at STA 9+02 and extends to STA 12+19 (**Figure 2**). The land use in the project watershed is approximately 38 percent cultivated, 32 percent evergreen forest, 15 percent shrub/scrub, 6 percent bottomland forest/hardwood swamp, 5 percent mixed forest, 2 percent developed, and 2 percent managed herbaceous cover.

## **2 SUCCESS CRITERIA**

The success criteria for the Site stream restoration will follow accepted and approved success criteria presented in the USACE Stream Mitigation Guidelines and subsequent NCDMS and agency guidance. Specific success criteria components are presented below.

### **2.1 Stream Restoration**

#### **2.1.1 Bankfull Events**

Two bankfull flow events must be documented within the five-year monitoring period. The two bankfull events must occur in separate years. Otherwise, the stream monitoring will continue until two bankfull events have been documented in separate years. Bankfull events will be documented using crest gauges, auto-logging crest gauges, photographs, and visual assessments for evidence of debris rack lines.

#### **2.1.2 Cross Sections**

There should be little change in as-built cross-sections. If changes do take place, they should be evaluated to determine if they represent a movement toward a less stable condition (for example down-cutting or erosion), or are minor changes that represent an increase in stability (for example settling, vegetative changes, deposition along the banks, or decrease in width/depth ratio). Cross-sections shall be classified using the Rosgen stream classification method, and all monitored cross-sections should fall within the quantitative parameters defined for channels of the design stream type.

#### **2.1.3 Digital Image Stations**

Digital images will be used to subjectively evaluate channel aggradation or degradation, bank erosion, success of riparian vegetation, and effectiveness of erosion control measures. Longitudinal images should not indicate the absence of developing bars within the channel or an excessive increase in channel depth. Lateral images should not indicate excessive erosion or continuing degradation of the banks over time. A series of images over time should indicate successional maturation of riparian vegetation.

### **2.2 Wetland Restoration**

The NRCS does not have a current WETs table for Duplin County upon which to base a normal rainfall amount and average growing season. The closest comparable data was determined to be from Sampson County. The growing season for Sampson County is 242 days long, extending from March 17 to November 14, and is based on a daily minimum temperature greater than 28 degrees Fahrenheit occurring in five of ten years.

Because of the surface roughing and shallow depressions, a range of hydroperiods are expected. The water balance indicates that the site will have a positive water balance in the early part of the growing

season for four to five weeks, on average. The hydrology success criterion for the site is to restore the water table at the site so that it will remain continuously within 12 inches of the soil surface for at least nine percent of the growing season (approximately 22 days) at each groundwater gauge location during normal rainfall years. Overbank flooding events will provide additional inputs that may extend the hydroperiod in some years.

Gauge data will be compared to reference wetland well data in growing seasons with less than normal rainfall. In periods of low rainfall, if a restoration gauge hydroperiod exceeds the reference gauge hydroperiod, and both exceed five percent of the growing season, then the gauge will be deemed successful. If a gauge location fails to meet these success criteria in the five year monitoring period, then monitoring may be extended, remedial actions may be undertaken, or the limits of wetland restoration will be determined.

### **2.3 Vegetation**

Specific and measurable success criteria for plant density within the riparian buffers on the site will follow NCDMS Guidance. Vegetation monitoring plots are 0.02 acres in size, and cover greater than two percent of the planted area. Vegetation monitoring will occur annually in the fall of each year. The interim measures of vegetative success for the site will be the survival of at least 320 three-year-old trees per acre at the end of Year 3, and the final vegetative success criteria will be 260 trees per acre at the end of Year 5. Invasive species on the site will be monitored and controlled if necessary throughout the required vegetation monitoring period.

### **2.4 Scheduling/Reporting**

The monitoring program will be implemented to document system development and progress toward achieving the success criteria. The restored stream morphology will be assessed to determine the success of the mitigation. The monitoring program will be undertaken for five years or until the final success criteria are achieved, whichever is longer.

Monitoring reports will be prepared in the fall of each year of monitoring and submitted to NCDMS. The monitoring reports will include all information, and will be in the format required by NCDMS in Version 2.0 of the NCDMS Monitoring Report Template.

## **3 MONITORING PLAN**

Annual monitoring shall be conducted for stream, wetland, and vegetation monitoring parameters as noted below for five years prior to completion of construction or until success criteria have been met.

### **3.1 Stream Restoration**

#### **3.1.1 As-Built Survey**

An as-built survey was conducted following construction to document channel size, condition, and location. The survey includes a complete profile of thalweg, top of bank, and in stream channel structures to compare with future geomorphic data. Longitudinal profiles will not be required in annual monitoring reports unless requested by NCDMS or USACE.

#### **3.1.2 Bankfull Events**

Four sets of manual and auto-logging crest gauges were installed on the site, one along Reach 2, one along Reach 3a, one along Reach 3b, and one along Reach 5a. The auto logging crest gauges were installed within the channel and will continuously record flow conditions at an hourly interval.

Manual crest gauges were installed on the bank at bankfull elevation. Crest gauges will be checked during each site visit to determine if a bankfull event has occurred since the last site visit. Crest gauge readings and debris rack lines will be photographed to document evidence of bankfull events.

### **3.1.3 Cross Sections**

A total of 59 permanent cross sections were installed to monitor channel dimensions and stability. Four cross sections were installed along Reach 1 and ten cross sections were installed along Reach 2. There were 21 cross sections (nine runs, nine pools, and three riffles) installed along Reach 3A and six cross sections installed along Reach 3B. Four cross sections were installed along Reach 3C and two cross sections were installed along Reach 4. Reach 5A had eight cross sections installed, while Reach 5B and 6 each had two cross sections installed. Cross sections were typically located at representative shallow and pool sections along each stream reach. Each cross section was permanently marked with 3/8 rebar pin to establish a monument location at each end. A marker pole was also installed at both ends of each cross section to allow ease locating during monitoring activities. Cross section surveys will be performed once a year during annual monitoring and will include all breaks in slope including top of bank, bottom of bank, streambed, edge of water, and thalweg.

### **3.1.4 Digital Image Stations**

Digital photographs will be taken at least once a year to visually document stream and vegetation conditions. This monitoring practice will continue for five years following construction and planting. Permanent photo point locations at cross sections and vegetation plots have been established so that the same directional view and location may be repeated each monitoring year. Monitoring photographs will also be used to document any stream and vegetation problematic areas such as erosion, stream and bank instability, easement encroachment and vegetation damage.

### **3.1.5 Bank Pin Arrays**

Twenty bank pin arrays have been installed at cross sections located on meander pools. These bank pin arrays were installed along the upstream and downstream third of the meander. Bank pins are a minimum of three feet long, and have been installed just above the water surface and every two feet above the lowest pin. Bank pin exposure will be recorded at each monitoring event, and the exposed pin will be driven flush with the bank.

### **3.1.6 Visual Assessment Monitoring**

Visual monitoring of all mitigation areas will be conducted a minimum of twice per monitoring year by qualified individuals. The visual assessments will include vegetation density, vigor, invasive species, and easement encroachments. Visual assessments of stream stability will include a complete stream walk and structure inspection. Digital images will be taken at fixed representative locations to record each monitoring event as well as any noted problem areas or areas of concern. Results of visual monitoring will be presented in a plan view exhibit with a brief description of problem areas and digital images. Photographs will be used to subjectively evaluate channel aggradation or degradation, bank erosion, success of riparian vegetation, and effectiveness of erosion control measures. Longitudinal photos should indicate the absence of developing bars within the channel or an excessive increase in channel depth. Lateral photos should not indicate excessive erosion or continuing degradation of the banks over time. A series of photos over time should indicate successional maturation of riparian vegetation.

### **3.1.7 Surface Flow**

Headwater valley restoration areas will be monitored to document intermittent or seasonal surface flow. This will be accomplished through direct observation, photo documentation of hydrology conditions, and dye tests if necessary.

### **3.2 Wetland Hydrology**

Wetland hydrology will be monitored to document hydric conditions in the wetland restoration areas. Seven automatic recording pressure transducer gauges were installed in representative locations across the restoration areas and an additional three gauges were installed in reference wetlands. The gauges will be downloaded quarterly and wetland hydroperiods will be calculated during the growing season. Gauge installation followed current regulatory and NCDMS guidance. Visual observations of primary and secondary wetland hydrology indicators will also be recorded during quarterly site visits.

### **3.3 Vegetation**

A total of 28 vegetation plots were randomly established within the planted stream riparian buffer easement. Each vegetation plot measures 22 feet by 40 feet (0.02 acres) and has all four corners marked with PVC posts. Planted woody vegetation was assessed within each plot to establish a baseline dataset. Within each vegetation plot, each planted stem was identified for species, “X” and “Y” origin located, and measured for height. Reference digital photographs were also captured to document baseline conditions. Species composition, density, growth patterns, damaged stems, and survival ratios will be measured and reported on an annual basis. Vegetation plot data will be reported for each plot as well as an overall site average.

## **4 MAINTENANCE AND CONTINGENCY PLAN**

All identified problematic areas or areas of concern such as stream bank erosion/instability, aggradation/degradation, lack of targeted vegetation, and invasive/exotic species which prevent the site from meeting performance success criteria will be evaluated on a case by case basis. These areas will be documented and adaptive management will be discussed with NCDMS staff. If it is determined remedial action is required, a plan will be provided.

### **4.1 Stream**

During the Year 3 monitoring activities, one stream problem area was documented. This area (SPA1) is located on Reach 5A at station 17+40 and consists of minor bank erosion on the right stream bank. This area is mapped on the CCPV figure in **Appendix B**. Stream problem area 1 (SPA1) is not severe enough to require repair. RES will continue to monitor this area to observe if it gets worse and requires any repair. Stream problem areas identified during MY2 were inspected during MY3 activities and are no longer problem areas. The adaptive management plan presented in the MY2 report was implemented in early 2016 to repair multiple problem areas along stream reaches 3B and 5A. It should be noted that the repaired areas are stable and performing as designed. The IRT and DMS performed a site visit in late April 2016 to inspect repairs and were satisfied with the site.

### **4.2 Wetlands**

No wetland problem areas were noted during the Year 3 monitoring period. During the 2016 growing season, all seven wells recorded water continuously within 12 inches of the soil surface for at least nine percent of the growing season. If any wetland problem areas are noted in the future, they will be documented and mapped on the Current Conditions Plan View (CCPV) as part of the annual stream and wetland monitoring report. Detailed wetland hydrology data is provided in **Appendix E**.

### 4.3 Vegetation

One vegetation problem area was identified during monitoring Year 3 activities and is mapped on the CCPV figures. The problem area (VPA1) was noted for low stem densities, having stem counts less than the MY3 vegetative success criteria of 320 stems/acre. It should be noted that this area meets requirements when considering volunteer species towards the success criteria survival. During last year's 2015 monitoring activities, eight vegetation problem areas were identified. Invasive Chinese privet was observed along portions of Reach 2, Reach 3a, Reach 3c, and Reach 5b. Invasive species management was performed in these areas in October 2016 and consisted of clearing and stump treatment. Three other problem areas were noted during MY2 for low stem densities, having stem counts less than the MY3 vegetative success criteria of 320 stems/acre. These areas were replanted in conjunction with Reach 3B/5A stream repairs and planting activities. Vegetation problem area 1 (VPA1) identified during MY3 will be closely monitored by RES to determine if a replant is necessary before the end of 2017-MY4. This problem area is small and does not pose a threat to vegetation success criteria being met. All vegetation issues are described in **Appendix B**.

## 5 YEAR 3 MONITORING CONDITIONS (MY3)

The Muddy Run II Year 3 morphological and vegetation monitoring activities were completed in October and November 2016. All Year 3 monitoring data is present below and in the appendices. Data presented shows the site has one area of bank erosion along Reach 5A and one area of low planted stem densities; however, the site is on track to meeting stream, wetland and vegetation interim success criteria.

### 5.1 Year 3 Monitoring Data Collection

#### 5.1.1 Morphological State of the Channel

All morphological stream data for the Year 3 survey and dimensions were collected during the annual monitoring survey performed during November 2016. **Appendix D** includes summary data tables, morphological parameters, cross section plots, and bank pin array tables.

#### *Profile*

The baseline (MY-0) profiles closely matches the proposed design profiles. The plotted longitudinal profiles can be found on the As-Built Drawings. Longitudinal profiles will not be performed in annual monitoring reports unless requested by NCDMS or USACE. Morphological summary data tables can be found in **Appendix D**.

#### *Dimension*

The Year 3 (MY-3) cross sectional dimensions closely matches the baseline cross section parameters. Minimal changes were noticed for most Year 3 cross section surveys resulting from stable bed and bank conditions. Only six out of 59 cross sections showed noticeable changes resulting from aggradation or degradation. Cross section 43 (Reach 3C) showed evidence of slight-aggradation during MY3-2016. Cross sections 52, 54, and 55 all located on Reach 5A, exhibited down cutting and/or widening during MY2 and were repaired during early 2016. Cross sections 52, 53, 54, and 55 were re-established in monitoring Year 3 due to the repair work along Reach 5A. All cross section plots and data tables can be found in **Appendix D**.



### *Sediment Transport*

The Year 3 conditions show that shear stress and velocities have been reduced for all six restoration reaches. Pre-construction conditions documented all six reaches as sand bed channels and remain classified as sand bed channels post-construction. Visual assessments (**Appendix B**) show the channels are transporting sediment as designed and will continue to be monitored for aggradation and degradation.

### *Bank Pin Arrays*

Ten pool cross section locations with bank pin arrays were observed and measured for bank erosion located on the outside meander bends. If bank pin exposure was noticeable, it was measured, recorded, photographed, and then driven flush with the bank at each monitoring location. No bank pin array locations had measurable readings during annual Year 3 monitoring activities. Bank pin array data tables can be found in **Appendix D**.

## **5.1.2 Vegetation**

The Year 3 monitoring (MY-3) vegetation survey was completed in November 2016. The Year 3 vegetation monitoring on the Muddy Run II Stream Restoration Site resulted in an average of 645 planted stems per acre, which is above the interim survival density of 320 stems per acre. The average stems per vegetation plot was 12.9 planted stems. The minimum planted stems per plot was 6 stems and the maximum was 21 stems per plot. A total of 125 volunteer stems were counted throughout vegetation plots within the project area. The Muddy Run II Site has met the Year 3 vegetation survival success criterion of 320 trees per acre as specified in the Mitigation Plan. Vegetation summary data tables can be found in **Appendix C** and vegetation plot photos in **Appendix B**.

## **5.1.3 Photo Documentation**

Permanent photo point locations have been established at cross sections, vegetation plots, stream crossings, and stream structures by RES staff. Any additional problem areas or areas of concern have been documented with a digital photograph during monitoring activities. All stream and vegetation digital photographs can be found in **Appendix B**.

## **5.1.4 Stream Hydrology**

Multiple bankfull events have been observed during Year 3 monitoring activities on all four crest gauges. Four sets of manual and auto-logging crest gauges are installed on the site, one along Reach 2, one along Reach 3A, one along Reach 3B, and one along Reach 5A to document flow conditions. Crest gauge 1 recorded its maximum bankfull flow event on October 8<sup>th</sup> and Crest Gauge 2 recorded its maximum bankfull flow event on February 5<sup>th</sup>. Crest gauges 3 and 4 recorded maximum bankfull events on October 8<sup>th</sup> following Hurricane Matthew. During several site visits throughout Year 3, each stream reach was noted to be flowing during normal conditions. Crest gauge and rainfall data is presented in **Appendix E**.

## **5.1.5 Wetland Hydrology**

All seven of the wetland gauges achieved the success criteria by remaining continuously within 12 inches of the soil surface for at least nine percent of the growing season during monitoring year 3. Groundwater gauge data indicate the hydroperiods being responsive to rainfall events. Two of the three reference gauges (RAW1 and RAW3) met the nine percent success criteria while the remaining gauge (RAW2) had a hydroperiod of six percent of the growing season. Wetland gauge and rainfall data is presented in **Appendix E**.

## 6 REFERENCES

Chow, Ven Te. 1959. Open-Channel Hydraulics, McGraw-Hill, New York.

Cowardin, L.M., V. Carter, F.C. Golet and E.T. LaRoe. 1979. Classification of Wetlands and Deepwater Habitats of the United States. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Office of Biological Services, FWS/OBS-79/31. U.S. Department of the Interior, Washington, DC.

Environmental Banc & Exchange (2012). Muddy Run Stream Restoration Project Final Mitigation Plan. North Carolina Ecosystems Enhancement Program, Raleigh, NC.

Horton, J. Wright Jr. and Victor A. Zullo. 1991. The Geology of the Carolinas, Carolina Geological Society Fiftieth Anniversary Volume. The University of Tennessee Press. Knoxville, TN.

Johnson PA. 2006. Assessing stream channel stability at bridges in physiographic regions. U.S. Department of Transportation. Federal Highway Administration. Report Number FHWA-HRT-05-072.

Krstolic, J.L., and Chaplin, J.J. 2007. Bankfull regional curves for streams in the non-urban, non-tidal Coastal Plain Physiographic Province, Virginia and Maryland: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2007-5162, 48 p.

LeGrand, H.E., Jr. and S.P. Hall, eds. 1999. Natural Heritage Program List of the Rare Animal Species of North Carolina. North Carolina Natural Heritage Program, Division of Parks and Recreation, North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources. Raleigh, North Carolina.

Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS). 2007. Stream Restoration Design Handbook (NEH 654), USDA

NCDENR. "Water Quality Stream Classifications for Streams in North Carolina." Water Quality Section. <http://h2o.enr.state.nc.us/wqhome/html> (June 2005).

Radford, A.E., H.E. Ahles and F.R. Bell. 1968. Manual of the Vascular Flora of the Carolinas. The University of North Carolina Press, Chapel Hill, North Carolina.

Schafale, M.P. and A.S. Weakley. 1990. Classification of the Natural Communities of North Carolina, Third Approximation. North Carolina Natural Heritage Program, Division of Parks and Recreation, NCDENR, Raleigh, NC.

Sweet, William V. and Jens W. Geratz. 2003. Bankfull Hydraulic Geometry Relationships and Recurrence Intervals for North Carolina's Coastal Plain. J. of the American Water Resources Association (JAWRA) 39(4):861-871.

Tweedy, K. A Methodology for Predicting Channel Form in Coastal Plain Headwater Systems. Stream Restoration in the Southeast: Advancing the Science and Practice, November 2008, Asheville, NC. Unpublished Conference Paper, 2008.  
[http://www.bae.ncsu.edu/programs/extension/wqg/srp/2008conference/tweedy\\_paper.pdf](http://www.bae.ncsu.edu/programs/extension/wqg/srp/2008conference/tweedy_paper.pdf)

# **Appendix A**

## **Project Background Data and Maps**

Table 1. Project Components and Mitigation Credits

Table 2. Project Activity and reporting History

Table 3. Project Contacts

Table 4. Project Information and Attributes

Figure 1. Project Vicinity Map

Figure 2. Project USGS Map

**Appendix A. General Tables and Figures**

**Table 1** Project Components and Mitigation Credits  
Monitoring Report Year 3

<b>Table 1. Project Components and Mitigation Credits</b> <b>Muddy Run II Stream and Wetland Restoration/NCDMS Project # NC-95354</b>									
<b>Mitigation Credits</b>									
	Stream		Riparian Wetland		Non-riparian Wetland		Buffer	Nitrogen Nutrient Offset	Phosphorous Nutrient Offset
Type	R	RE	R	RE	R	RE			
Totals	10,739		4.92	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Project Components</b>									
Project Component -or- Reach ID	As-Built Stationing/Location (LF)		Existing Footage/Acreage	Approach (PI, PII etc.)	Restoration -or- Restoration Equivalent	Restoration Footage or Acreage	Mitigation Ratio		
Reach 1	0+00 – 4+48		438	HWV	Restoration	398	1 : 1		
Reach 2	0+00 – 5+04		504	HWV	Restoration	504	1 : 1		
Reach 2	5+04 – 19+14		1,223	P1	Restoration	1,410	1 : 1		
Reach 3A	0+00 – 37+23		3,301	P1	Restoration	3,586	1 : 1		
Reach 3B	37+23 – 57+92		NA	P1	Restoration	1,979	1 : 1		
Reach 3C	57+92 – 65+30		737	Enh. I	Rest. Equivalent	708	1 : 1.5		
Reach 4	0+44 – 2+17		120	P1	Restoration	173	1 : 1		
Reach 5A	0+00 – 19+59		1,602	P1	Restoration	1,926	1 : 1		
Reach 5B	19+59 – 23+68		401	Enh. II	Rest. Equivalent	409	1 : 2.5		
Reach 6	9+02 – 12+19		317	Enh. II	Rest. Equivalent	318	1 : 2.5		
<b>Component Summation</b>									
Restoration Level	Stream (linear feet)	Riparian Wetland (acres)		Non-riparian Wetland (acres)	Buffer (square feet)	Upland (acres)			
		Riverine	Non-Riverine						
Restoration	9,074	4.92							
Headwater Valley	902								
Enhancement									
Enhancement I	708								
Enhancement II	727								
Creation									
Preservation									
High Quality Preservation									
<b>BMP Elements</b>									
Element	Location	Purpose/Function			Notes				
---	---	---			---				
---	---	---			---				
---	---	---			---				
<b>BMP Elements</b> BR = Bioretention Cell; SF = Sand Filter; SW = Stormwater Wetland; WDP = Wet Detention Pond; DDP = Dry Detention Pond; FS = Filter Strip; S = Grassed Swale; LS = Level Spreader; NI = Natural Infiltration Area; FB = Forested Buffer									

**Table 2. Project Activity and Reporting History**


<b>Project Activity and Reporting History Muddy Run II Stream and Wetland Restoration / NCDMS Project #95354</b>		
<b>Activity or Report</b>	<b>Data Collection Complete</b>	<b>Completion or Delivery</b>
Mitigation Plan	NA	January 2014
Final Design – Construction Plans	NA	March 2014
Construction Completed	NA	May 2014
Site Planting Completed	NA	May 2014
Baseline Monitoring Document (Year 0 Monitoring – baseline)	June 2014	August 2014
Year 1 Monitoring	December 2014	December 2014
Year 2 Monitoring	December 2015	February 2016
Adaptive Management Repair and Supplemental Replanting		April 2016
Invasive Species Control		October 2016
Year 3 Monitoring	November 2016	February 2017
Year 4 Monitoring		
Year 5 Monitoring		


**Table 3. Project Contacts**

<b>Project Contacts Table Muddy Run II Stream and Wetland Restoration /NCDMS Project # 95354</b>	
<b>Designer</b>	WK Dickson and Co., Inc. 720 Corporate Center Drive Raleigh, NC 27607 (919) 782-0495 Frasier Mullen, PE
<b>Construction Contractor</b>	GP Jenkins 6566 HWY 55 W Kinston, NC 28504 (252) 569-1222 Gary Jenkins
<b>Planting Contractor</b>	H&J Forestry Matt Hitch
<b>Seeding Contractor</b>	Rain Services, Inc. Lupe Cruz
Seed Mix Sources	Green Resource
Nursery Stock Suppliers	Arbogen
<b>Full Delivery Provider</b>	Resource Environmental Solutions 302 Jefferson Street, Suite 110 Raleigh, NC 27605 (919) 829-9909
Project Manager:	Daniel Ingram
Monitoring Performers	Resource Environmental Solutions, LLC 302 Jefferson Street. Suite 110 Raleigh, NC 27605 (919) 209-1054
Project Manager:	Brian Hockett

**Table 4. Project Information**

<b>Project Information</b>									
Project Name			Muddy Run II Stream and Wetland Restoration						
County			Duplin						
Project Area (acres)			37.6						
Project Coordinates (latitude and longitude)			34.830843 <sup>0</sup> N , -77.792838 <sup>0</sup> W						
<b>Project Watershed Summary Information</b>									
Physiographic Province			Coastal Plain						
River Basin			Cape Fear						
USGS Hydrologic Unit 8-digit	03030007		USGS Hydrologic Unit 14-digit	0303007060010					
DWQ Sub-basin			03-06-22						
Project Drainage Area (acres)			908						
Project Drainage Area Percentage of Impervious Area			<1%						
CGIA Land Use Classification									
<b>Reach Summary Information</b>									
Parameters	Reach 1	Reach 2	Reach 3a	Reach 3b	Reach 3c	Reach 4	Reach 5a	Reach 5b	Reach 6
Length of Reach (linear feet)	398	1914	3586	1979	708	173	1926	409	318
Valley Classification									
Drainage Area (acres)	68	114	227	333	370	46	774	908	77
NCDWQ Stream Identification	24.75	24.75	36.5	NA	40.5	32.0	35.5	37.5	20.75
NCDWQ Water Quality	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Morphological Description (stream)									
Evolutionary Trend									
Underlying Mapped Soils	Rains	Rains	Goldsboro/ Rains	Goldsboro/ Rains	Goldsboro/ Rains	Goldsboro/ Rains	Goldsboro/ Rains	Goldsboro	Goldsboro/ Rains
Drainage Class	---	---	---	---	---				
Soil Hydric Status	Hydric	Hydric	Hydric	Hydric	Hydric	Hydric	Hydric	Hydric	Hydric
Slope	0.0043	0.0021	0.0016	0.0023	0.0022	0.0034	0.0024	0.0015	0.0024
FEMA Classification	Zone X	Zone X	Zone X	Zone X	Zone X	Zone X	Zone X	Zone X	Zone X
Native Vegetation Community	Coastal Plain Small Stream Swamp								
Percent Composition of Exotic	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
<b>Wetland Summary Information</b>									
Parameters	Wetland A			Wetland B					
Size of Wetland (acres)	3.60			1.32					
Wetland Type (non-riparian, riparian riverine or riparian)	Riparian			Riparian					
Mapped Soil Series	Goldsboro			Rains					
Drainage class	Moderately Well			Poorly					
Soil Hydric Status	Yes			Yes					
Source of Hydrology	Runoff/Overbank Flows			Runoff/Overbank Flows					
Hydrologic Impairment	Ditched/Incised Channel			Ditched/Incised Channel					
Native vegetation community	Cultivated			Cultivated					
Percent composition of exotic invasive vegetation	NA			NA					
<b>Regulatory Considerations</b>									
Regulation	Applicable?	Resolved?	Supporting Documentation						
Waters of the United States – Section 404	X	X	USACE NWP 27						
Waters of the United States – Section 401	X	X	401 Water Quality Cert.						
Endangered Species Act	X	X	USFWS (Corr. Letter)						
Historic Preservation Act	X	X	SHPO (Corr. Letter)						
Coastal Zone Management Act (CZMA)/ Coastal Area Management Act (CAMA)	N/A	N/A	N/A						
FEMA Floodplain Compliance									
Essential Fisheries Habitat	N/A	N/A	N/A						





Drainage Area = 1.4 mi<sup>2</sup>



Figure 2.  
 USGS/Watershed Map  
 Muddy Run II Mitigation Site

0 1,000 2,000 4,000  
 Feet

1 inch = 2,000 feet

- Proposed Streams
- Waterbodies
- Muddy Run II Easement
- Muddy Run Easement
- Drainage Area



# **Appendix B**

## **Visual Assessment Data**

Figure 3. Current Conditions Plan View Map (CCPV)

Table 5. Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment

Table 6. Vegetation Condition Assessment

Table 7. Stream Problem Areas

Table 8. Vegetation Problem Areas

Stream Photos

Vegetation Photos

Stream and Vegetation Problem Area Photos

Stream and Vegetation Remedial Action Repairs/Maintenance Photos


Figure 3a.  
Muddy Run II  
Mitigation Site  
Current Conditions Map  
Duplin County, NC



**Legend**

- Easement Boundary
  - Cross Sections
  - Stream Structures
  - Reach Breaks
  - Crest Gauges
  - P1 Restoration
  - HWV Restoration
  - Enhancement I
  - Enhancement II
  - Wetland Restoration
- Vegetation Plots**
- Met Year 3 Success**
- Yes
  - No
- Well Hydroperiod**
- < 5%
  - 5-8%
  - > 9%

Reach	Mitigation Type	As-Built Length	Mitigation Ratio	SMUs
Reach 1	Headwater Valley	398	1:1	398
Reach 2	Headwater Valley	504	1:1	504
Reach 2	P1 Restoration	1,410	1:1	1,410
Reach 3a	P1 Restoration	3,586	1:1	3,586
Reach 3b	P1 Restoration	1,979	1:1	1,979
Reach 3c	Enhancement I	708	1:1.5	472
Reach 4	P1 Restoration	173	1:1	173
Reach 5a	P1 Restoration	1,926	1:1	1,926
Reach 5b	Enhancement II	409	1:2.5	164
Reach 6	Enhancement II	318	1:2.5	127
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>11,411</b>		<b>10,739</b>



Source: NCOneMap 2016 Imagery

**Riparian Buffer Conditions**

		Target Community		
		Present	Marginal	Absent
Invasive Species	Absent	No Fill	<span style="background-color: yellow; width: 10px; height: 10px; display: inline-block;"></span>	<span style="background-color: red; width: 10px; height: 10px; display: inline-block;"></span>
	Present	<span style="background-color: yellow; width: 10px; height: 10px; display: inline-block;"></span>	<span style="background-color: yellow; width: 10px; height: 10px; display: inline-block;"></span>	<span style="background-color: red; width: 10px; height: 10px; display: inline-block;"></span>
	Common	<span style="background-color: red; width: 10px; height: 10px; display: inline-block;"></span>	<span style="background-color: red; width: 10px; height: 10px; display: inline-block;"></span>	<span style="background-color: red; width: 10px; height: 10px; display: inline-block;"></span>

Figure 3b.  
Muddy Run II  
Mitigation Site  
Current Conditions Map  
Duplin County, NC




**Legend**

- Easement Boundary
  - Cross Sections
  - Stream Structures
  - Reach Breaks
  - + Crest Gauges
  - P1 Restoration
  - HWV Restoration
  - Enhancement I
  - Enhancement II
  - Wetland Restoration
- Vegetation Plots**
- Met Year 3 Success**
- Yes
  - No
- Well Hydroperiod**
- + < 5%
  - + 5-8%
  - + > 9%

Riparian Buffer Conditions				
		Target Community		
		Present	Marginal	Absent
Invasive Species	Absent	No Fill		
	Present			
	Common			

Reach	Mitigation Type	As-Built Length	Mitigation Ratio	SMUs
Reach 1	Headwater Valley	398	1:1	398
Reach 2	Headwater Valley	504	1:1	504
Reach 2	P1 Restoration	1,410	1:1	1,410
Reach 3a	P1 Restoration	3,586	1:1	3,586
Reach 3b	P1 Restoration	1,979	1:1	1,979
Reach 3c	Enhancement I	708	1:1.5	472
Reach 4	P1 Restoration	173	1:1	173
Reach 5a	P1 Restoration	1,926	1:1	1,926
Reach 5b	Enhancement II	409	1:2.5	164
Reach 6	Enhancement II	318	1:2.5	127
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>11,411</b>		<b>10,739</b>



Source: NCOneMap 2016 Imagery

NC 911 B

Figure 3c.  
Muddy Run II  
Mitigation Site  
Current Conditions Map  
Duplin County, NC



**Legend**

- Easement Boundary
- Cross Sections
- Stream Structures
- Reach Breaks
- Crest Gauges
- P1 Restoration
- HWV Restoration
- Enhancement I
- Enhancement II
- Wetland Restoration

**Vegetation Plots**


- Met Year 3 Success**
- Yes
  - No

**Well Hydroperiod**


- < 5%
- 5-8%
- > 9%

**Riparian Buffer Conditions**

		Target Community		
		Present	Marginal	Absent
Invasive Species	Absent	No Fill	<span style="display: inline-block; width: 10px; height: 10px; background-color: yellow;"></span>	<span style="display: inline-block; width: 10px; height: 10px; background-color: red;"></span>
	Present	<span style="display: inline-block; width: 10px; height: 10px; background-color: yellow;"></span>	<span style="display: inline-block; width: 10px; height: 10px; background-color: yellow;"></span>	<span style="display: inline-block; width: 10px; height: 10px; background-color: red;"></span>
	Common	<span style="display: inline-block; width: 10px; height: 10px; background-color: red;"></span>	<span style="display: inline-block; width: 10px; height: 10px; background-color: red;"></span>	<span style="display: inline-block; width: 10px; height: 10px; background-color: red;"></span>



Reach	Mitigation Type	As-Built Length	Mitigation Ratio	SMUs
Reach 1	Headwater Valley	398	1:1	398
Reach 2	Headwater Valley	504	1:1	504
Reach 2	P1 Restoration	1,410	1:1	1,410
Reach 3a	P1 Restoration	3,586	1:1	3,586
Reach 3b	P1 Restoration	1,979	1:1	1,979
Reach 3c	Enhancement I	708	1:1.5	472
Reach 4	P1 Restoration	173	1:1	173
Reach 5a	P1 Restoration	1,926	1:1	1,926
Reach 5b	Enhancement II	409	1:2.5	164
Reach 6	Enhancement II	318	1:2.5	127
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>11,411</b>		<b>10,739</b>



Source: NCOonemap 2016 Imagery

Figure 3d.  
Muddy Run II  
Mitigation Site  
Current Conditions Map  
Duplin County, NC



**Legend**

- Easement Boundary
- Cross Sections
- Stream Structures
- Reach Breaks
- Crest Gauges
- P1 Restoration
- HWV Restoration
- Enhancement I
- Enhancement II
- Wetland Restoration

**Vegetation Plots**

**Met Year 3 Success**


- Yes
- No

**Well Hydroperiod**

- < 5%
- 5-8%
- > 9%

**Riparian Buffer Conditions**

		Target Community		
		Present	Marginal	Absent
Invasive Species	Absent	No Fill	<span style="background-color: yellow; width: 10px; height: 10px; display: inline-block;"></span>	<span style="background-color: red; width: 10px; height: 10px; display: inline-block;"></span>
	Present	<span style="background-color: yellow; width: 10px; height: 10px; display: inline-block;"></span>	<span style="background-color: yellow; width: 10px; height: 10px; display: inline-block;"></span>	<span style="background-color: red; width: 10px; height: 10px; display: inline-block;"></span>
	Common	<span style="background-color: red; width: 10px; height: 10px; display: inline-block;"></span>	<span style="background-color: red; width: 10px; height: 10px; display: inline-block;"></span>	<span style="background-color: red; width: 10px; height: 10px; display: inline-block;"></span>



Reach	Mitigation Type	As-Built Length	Mitigation Ratio	SMUs
Reach 1	Headwater Valley	398	1:1	398
Reach 2	Headwater Valley	504	1:1	504
Reach 2	P1 Restoration	1,410	1:1	1,410
Reach 3a	P1 Restoration	3,586	1:1	3,586
Reach 3b	P1 Restoration	1,979	1:1	1,979
Reach 3c	Enhancement I	708	1:1.5	472
Reach 4	P1 Restoration	173	1:1	173
Reach 5a	P1 Restoration	1,926	1:1	1,926
Reach 5b	Enhancement II	409	1:2.5	164
Reach 6	Enhancement II	318	1:2.5	127
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>11,411</b>		<b>10,739</b>

Source: NCOncemap 2016 Imagery

Table 5a  
 Reach ID  
 Assessed Length

**Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment**

Reach 1  
 398

Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number <sup>1</sup> Stable, Performing as Intended	Total <sup>1</sup> Number in As-built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable <sup>2</sup> , Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Adjusted % for Stabilizing Woody Vegetation
1. Bed	1. Vertical Stability (Riffle and Run units)	1. <u>Aggradation</u> - Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly deflect flow laterally (not to include point bars)			0	0	100%			
		2. <u>Degradation</u> - Evidence of downcutting			0	0	100%			
	2. Riffle Condition	1. <u>Texture/Substrate</u> - Riffle maintains coarser substrate	NA	NA			100%			
	3. Meander Pool Condition	1. <u>Depth</u> Sufficient (Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth $\geq$ 1.6)	NA	NA			100%			
		2. <u>Length</u> appropriate (>30% of centerline distance between tail of upstream riffle and head of downstream riffle)	NA	NA			100%			
	4. Thalweg Position	1. Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run)	NA	NA			100%			
		2. Thalweg centering at downstream of meander (Glide)	NA	NA			100%			
<b>Totals</b>					0	0	100%	0	0	100%
2. Bank	1. Scoured/Eroding	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion			0	0	100%	0	0	100%
	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does <b>NOT</b> include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat.			0	0	100%	0	0	100%
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, calving, or collapse			0	0	100%	0	0	100%
<b>Totals</b>					0	0	100%	0	0	100%
3. Engineered Structures	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs.	4	4			100%			
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill.	4	4			100%			
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	4	4			100%			
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does <u>not</u> exceed 15%. (See guidance for this table in EEP monitoring guidance document)	0	0			100%			
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ~ Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth ratio $\geq$ 1.6 Rootwads/logs providing some cover at base-flow.	0	0			100%			

<sup>1</sup> Bed - Coastal plain sand bed channels have a mobile bed along their entire length during geomorphically significant flows. Therefore, the number of shallows and pools, bedform shape, and thalweg position will vary by monitoring event and are not suitable indicators of stability or function.

<sup>2</sup> Percentage based on visual assessment of channel bed condition.

Table 5b  
 Reach ID  
 Assessed Length

**Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment**

Reach 2  
 1914

Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number <sup>1</sup> Stable, Performing as Intended	Total <sup>1</sup> Number in As-built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable <sup>2</sup> , Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Adjusted % for Stabilizing Woody Vegetation
1. Bed	1. Vertical Stability (Riffle and Run units)	1. <u>Aggradation</u> - Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly deflect flow laterally (not to include point bars)			0	0	100%			
		2. <u>Degradation</u> - Evidence of downcutting			0	0	100%			
	2. Riffle Condition	1. <u>Texture/Substrate</u> - Riffle maintains coarser substrate	NA	NA			100%			
	3. Meander Pool Condition	1. <u>Depth</u> Sufficient (Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth $\geq$ 1.6)	NA	NA			100%			
		2. <u>Length</u> appropriate (>30% of centerline distance between tail of upstream riffle and head of downstream riffle)	NA	NA			100%			
	4. Thalweg Position	1. Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run)	NA	NA			100%			
		2. Thalweg centering at downstream of meander (Glide)	NA	NA	100%					
	<b>Totals</b>					0	0			
2. Bank	1. Scoured/Eroding	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion			0	0	100%	0	0	100%
	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does <b>NOT</b> include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat.			0	0	100%	0	0	100%
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, calving, or collapse			0	0	100%	0	0	100%
<b>Totals</b>					0	0	100%	0	0	100%
3. Engineered Structures	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs.	14	14			100%			
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill.	13	13			100%			
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	14	14			100%			
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does <u>not</u> exceed 15%. (See guidance for this table in EEP monitoring guidance document)	0	0			100%			
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ~ Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth ratio $\geq$ 1.6 Rootwads/logs providing some cover at base-flow.	1	1			100%			

<sup>1</sup> Bed - Coastal plain sand bed channels have a mobile bed along their entire length during geomorphically significant flows. Therefore, the number of shallows and pools, bedform shape, and thalweg position will vary by monitoring event and are not suitable indicators of stability or function.

<sup>2</sup> Percentage based on visual assessment of channel bed condition.

Table 5c  
 Reach ID  
 Assessed Length

**Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment**  
 Reach 3A  
 3586

Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number <sup>1</sup> Stable, Performing as Intended	Total <sup>1</sup> Number in As-built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable <sup>2</sup> , Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Adjusted % for Stabilizing Woody Vegetation
1. Bed	1. Vertical Stability (Riffle and Run units)	1. <u>Aggradation</u> - Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly deflect flow laterally (not to include point bars)			0	0	100%			
		2. <u>Degradation</u> - Evidence of downcutting			0	0	100%			
	2. Riffle Condition	1. <u>Texture/Substrate</u> - Riffle maintains coarser substrate	NA	NA			100%			
	3. Meander Pool Condition	1. <u>Depth</u> Sufficient (Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth $\geq$ 1.6)	NA	NA			100%			
		2. <u>Length</u> appropriate (>30% of centerline distance between tail of upstream riffle and head of downstream riffle)	NA	NA			100%			
	4. Thalweg Position	1. Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run)	NA	NA			100%			
		2. Thalweg centering at downstream of meander (Glide)	NA	NA			100%			
	<b>Totals</b>					0	0			
2. Bank	1. Scoured/Eroding	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion			0	0	100%	0	0	100%
	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does <b>NOT</b> include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat.			0	0	100%	0	0	100%
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, calving, or collapse			0	0	100%	0	0	100%
<b>Totals</b>					0	0	100%	0	0	100%
3. Engineered Structures	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs.	21	21			100%			
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill.	11	11			100%			
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	20	21			95%			
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does <u>not</u> exceed 15%. (See guidance for this table in EEP monitoring guidance document)	1	1			100%			
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ~ Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth ratio $\geq$ 1.6 Rootwads/logs providing some cover at base-flow.	10	10			100%			

<sup>1</sup> Bed - Coastal plain sand bed channels have a mobile bed along their entire length during geomorphically significant flows. Therefore, the number of shallows and pools, bedform shape, and thalweg position will vary by monitoring event and are not suitable indicators of stability or function.

<sup>2</sup> Percentage based on visual assessment of channel bed condition.



Table 5d  
 Reach ID  
 Assessed Length

**Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment**  
 Reach 3B  
 1979

Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number <sup>1</sup> Stable, Performing as Intended	Total <sup>1</sup> Number in As-built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable <sup>2</sup> , Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Adjusted % for Stabilizing Woody Vegetation
1. Bed	1. Vertical Stability (Riffle and Run units)	1. <u>Aggradation</u> - Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly deflect flow laterally (not to include point bars)			0	0	100%			
		2. <u>Degradation</u> - Evidence of downcutting			0	0	100%			
	2. Riffle Condition	1. <u>Texture/Substrate</u> - Riffle maintains coarser substrate	NA	NA			100%			
	3. Meander Pool Condition	1. <u>Depth</u> Sufficient (Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth $\geq$ 1.6)	NA	NA			100%			
		2. <u>Length</u> appropriate (>30% of centerline distance between tail of upstream riffle and head of downstream riffle)	NA	NA			100%			
	4. Thalweg Position	1. Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run)	NA	NA			100%			
		2. Thalweg centering at downstream of meander (Glide)	NA	NA			100%			
	<b>Totals</b>					0	0			
2. Bank	1. Scoured/Eroding	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion			0	0	100%	0	0	100%
	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does <b>NOT</b> include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat.			0	0	100%	0	0	100%
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, calving, or collapse			0	0	100%	0	0	100%
<b>Totals</b>					0	0	100%	0	0	100%
3. Engineered Structures	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs.	17	17			100%			
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill.	9	9			100%			
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	17	17			100%			
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does <u>not</u> exceed 15%. (See guidance for this table in EEP monitoring guidance document)	1	1			100%			
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ~ Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth ratio $\geq$ 1.6 Rootwads/logs providing some cover at base-flow.	7	7			100%			

<sup>1</sup> Bed - Coastal plain sand bed channels have a mobile bed along their entire length during geomorphically significant flows. Therefore, the number of shallows and pools, bedform shape, and thalweg position will vary by monitoring event and are not suitable indicators of stability or function.

<sup>2</sup> Percentage based on visual assessment of channel bed condition.

Table 5e  
 Reach ID  
 Assessed Length

**Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment**  
 Reach 3C  
 708

Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number <sup>1</sup> Stable, Performing as Intended	Total <sup>1</sup> Number in As-built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable <sup>2</sup> , Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Adjusted % for Stabilizing Woody Vegetation
1. Bed	1. Vertical Stability (Riffle and Run units)	1. <u>Aggradation</u> - Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly deflect flow laterally (not to include point bars)			1	20	97%			
		2. <u>Degradation</u> - Evidence of downcutting			0	0	100%			
	2. Riffle Condition	1. <u>Texture/Substrate</u> - Riffle maintains coarser substrate	NA	NA			100%			
	3. Meander Pool Condition	1. <u>Depth</u> Sufficient (Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth $\geq$ 1.6)	NA	NA			100%			
		2. <u>Length</u> appropriate (>30% of centerline distance between tail of upstream riffle and head of downstream riffle)	NA	NA			100%			
	4. Thalweg Position	1. Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run)	NA	NA			100%			
		2. Thalweg centering at downstream of meander (Glide)	NA	NA	100%					
	<b>Totals</b>					0	0			
2. Bank	1. Scoured/Eroding	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion			0	0	100%	2	10	101%
	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does <b>NOT</b> include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat.			0	0	100%	0	0	100%
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, calving, or collapse			0	0	100%	0	0	100%
<b>Totals</b>					0	0	100%	2	10	101%
3. Engineered Structures	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs.	5	5			100%			
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill.	3	3			100%			
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	5	5			100%			
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does <u>not</u> exceed 15%. (See guidance for this table in EEP monitoring guidance document)	0	0			100%			
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ~ Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth ratio $\geq$ 1.6 Rootwads/logs providing some cover at base-flow.	2	2			100%			

<sup>1</sup> Bed - Coastal plain sand bed channels have a mobile bed along their entire length during geomorphically significant flows. Therefore, the number of shallows and pools, bedform shape, and thalweg position will vary by monitoring event and are not suitable indicators of stability or function.

<sup>2</sup> Percentage based on visual assessment of channel bed condition.

Table 5f  
 Reach ID  
 Assessed Length

**Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment**

Reach 4  
 173

Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number <sup>1</sup> Stable, Performing as Intended	Total <sup>1</sup> Number in As-built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable <sup>2</sup> , Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Adjusted % for Stabilizing Woody Vegetation
1. Bed	1. Vertical Stability (Riffle and Run units)	1. <u>Aggradation</u> - Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly deflect flow laterally (not to include point bars)			0	0	100%			
		2. <u>Degradation</u> - Evidence of downcutting			0	0	100%			
	2. Riffle Condition	1. <u>Texture/Substrate</u> - Riffle maintains coarser substrate	NA	NA			100%			
	3. Meander Pool Condition	1. <u>Depth</u> Sufficient (Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth $\geq$ 1.6)	NA	NA			100%			
		2. <u>Length</u> appropriate (>30% of centerline distance between tail of upstream riffle and head of downstream riffle)	NA	NA			100%			
	4. Thalweg Position	1. Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run)	NA	NA			100%			
		2. Thalweg centering at downstream of meander (Glide)	NA	NA			100%			
<b>Totals</b>					0	0	100%	0	0	100%
2. Bank	1. Scoured/Eroding	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion			0	0	100%	0	0	100%
	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does <b>NOT</b> include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat.			0	0	100%	0	0	100%
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, calving, or collapse			0	0	100%	0	0	100%
<b>Totals</b>					0	0	100%	0	0	100%
3. Engineered Structures	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs.	3	3			100%			
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill.	2	2			100%			
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	3	3			100%			
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does <u>not</u> exceed 15%. (See guidance for this table in EEP monitoring guidance document)	0	0			100%			
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ~ Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth ratio $\geq$ 1.6 Rootwads/logs providing some cover at base-flow.	1	1			100%			

<sup>1</sup> Bed - Coastal plain sand bed channels have a mobile bed along their entire length during geomorphically significant flows. Therefore, the number of shallows and pools, bedform shape, and thalweg position will vary by monitoring event and are not suitable indicators of stability or function.

<sup>2</sup> Percentage based on visual assessment of channel bed condition.

Table 5g  
 Reach ID  
 Assessed Length

**Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment**  
 Reach 5A  
 1926

Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number <sup>1</sup> Stable, Performing as Intended	Total <sup>1</sup> Number in As-built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable <sup>2</sup> , Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Adjusted % for Stabilizing Woody Vegetation
1. Bed	1. Vertical Stability (Riffle and Run units)	1. <u>Aggradation</u> - Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly deflect flow laterally (not to include point bars)			0	0	100%			
		2. <u>Degradation</u> - Evidence of downcutting			0	0	100%			
	2. Riffle Condition	1. <u>Texture/Substrate</u> - Riffle maintains coarser substrate	NA	NA			100%			
	3. Meander Pool Condition	1. <u>Depth</u> Sufficient (Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth $\geq$ 1.6)	NA	NA			100%			
		2. <u>Length</u> appropriate (>30% of centerline distance between tail of upstream riffle and head of downstream riffle)	NA	NA			100%			
	4. Thalweg Position	1. Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run)	NA	NA			100%			
		2. Thalweg centering at downstream of meander (Glide)	NA	NA			100%			
<b>Totals</b>					1	10	100%	0	0	100%
2. Bank	1. Scoured/Eroding	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion			1	10	100%	0	0	100%
	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does <b>NOT</b> include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat.			0	0	100%	0	0	100%
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, calving, or collapse			0	0	100%	0	0	100%
<b>Totals</b>					1	10	100%	0	0	100%
3. Engineered Structures	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs.	22	22			100%			
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill.	16	16			100%			
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	22	22			100%			
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does <u>not</u> exceed 15%. (See guidance for this table in EEP monitoring guidance document)	0	0			100%			
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ~ Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth ratio $\geq$ 1.6 Rootwads/logs providing some cover at base-flow.	6	6			100%			

<sup>1</sup> Bed - Coastal plain sand bed channels have a mobile bed along their entire length during geomorphically significant flows. Therefore, the number of shallows and pools, bedform shape, and thalweg position will vary by monitoring event and are not suitable indicators of stability or function.

<sup>2</sup> Percentage based on visual assessment of channel bed condition.

Table 5h  
 Reach ID  
 Assessed Length

**Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment**  
 Reach 5B  
 409

Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number <sup>1</sup> Stable, Performing as Intended	Total <sup>1</sup> Number in As-built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable <sup>2</sup> , Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Adjusted % for Stabilizing Woody Vegetation
1. Bed	1. Vertical Stability (Riffle and Run units)	1. <u>Aggradation</u> - Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly deflect flow laterally (not to include point bars)			0	0	100%			
		2. <u>Degradation</u> - Evidence of downcutting			0	0	100%			
	2. Riffle Condition	1. <u>Texture/Substrate</u> - Riffle maintains coarser substrate	NA	NA			100%			
	3. Meander Pool Condition	1. <u>Depth</u> Sufficient (Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth $\geq$ 1.6)	NA	NA			100%			
		2. <u>Length</u> appropriate (>30% of centerline distance between tail of upstream riffle and head of downstream riffle)	NA	NA			100%			
	4. Thalweg Position	1. Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run)	NA	NA			100%			
		2. Thalweg centering at downstream of meander (Glide)	NA	NA			100%			
	<b>Totals</b>					0	0			
2. Bank	1. Scoured/Eroding	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion			0	0	100%	0	0	100%
	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does <b>NOT</b> include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat.			0	0	100%	0	0	100%
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, calving, or collapse			0	0	100%	0	0	100%
3. Engineered Structures	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs.	1	1			100%			
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill.	1	1			100%			
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	1	1			100%			
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does <u>not</u> exceed 15%. (See guidance for this table in EEP monitoring guidance document)	0	0			100%			
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ~ Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth ratio $\geq$ 1.6 Rootwads/logs providing some cover at base-flow.	0	0			100%			




<sup>1</sup> Bed - Coastal plain sand bed channels have a mobile bed along their entire length during geomorphically significant flows. Therefore, the number of shallows and pools, bedform shape, and thalweg position will vary by monitoring event and are not suitable indicators of stability or function.

<sup>2</sup> Percentage based on visual assessment of channel bed condition.

**Table 6** **Vegetation Condition Assessment**



Planted Acreage<sup>1</sup>

17

Vegetation Category	Definitions	Mapping Threshold	CCPV Depiction	Number of Polygons	Combined Acreage	% of Planted Acreage
1. Bare Areas	Very limited cover of both woody and herbaceous material.	0.1 acres		0	0.00	0.0%
2. Low Stem Density Areas	Woody stem densities clearly below target levels based on MY3, 4, or 5 stem count criteria.	0.1 acres		1	0.12	0.7%
<b>Total</b>				1	0.12	0.7%
3. Areas of Poor Growth Rates or Vigor	Areas with woody stems of a size class that are obviously small given the monitoring year.	0.25 acres		0	0.00	0.0%
<b>Cumulative Total</b>				1	0.12	0.7%

Easement Acreage<sup>2</sup>

37.6

Vegetation Category	Definitions	Mapping Threshold	CCPV Depiction	Number of Polygons	Combined Acreage	% of Easement Acreage
4. Invasive Areas of Concern <sup>4</sup>	Areas or points (if too small to render as polygons at map scale).	1000 SF		0	0.00	0.0%
5. Easement Encroachment Areas <sup>3</sup>	Areas or points (if too small to render as polygons at map scale).	none		0	0.00	0.0%

<sup>1</sup> = Enter the planted acreage within the easement. This number is calculated as the easement acreage minus any existing mature tree stands that were not subject to supplemental planting of the understory, the channel acreage, crossings or any other elements not directly planted as part of the project effort.

<sup>2</sup> = The acreage within the easement boundaries.

<sup>3</sup> = Encroachment may occur within or outside of planted areas and will therefore be calculated against the overall easement acreage. In the event a polygon is cataloged into items 1, 2 or 3 in the table and is the result of encroachment, the associated acreage should be tallied in the relevant item (i.e., item 1,2 or 3) as well as a parallel tally in item 5.

<sup>4</sup> = Invasives may occur in or out of planted areas, but still within the easement and will therefore be calculated against the overall easement acreage. Invasives of concern/interest are listed below. The list of high concern species are those with the potential to directly outcompete native, young, woody stems in the short-term (e.g. monitoring period or shortly thereafter) or affect the community structure for existing, more established tree/shrub stands over timeframes that are slightly longer (e.g. 1-2 decades). The low/moderate concern group are those species that generally do not have this capacity over the timeframes discussed and therefore are not expected to be mapped with regularity, but can be mapped, if in the judgement of the observer their coverage, density or distribution is suppressing the viability, density, or growth of planted woody stems. Decisions as to whether remediation will be needed are based on the integration of risk factors by EEP such as species present, their coverage, distribution relative to native biomass, and the practicality of treatment. For example, even modest amounts of Kudzu or Japanese Knotweed early in the projects history will warrant control, but potentially large coverages of Microstegium in the herb layer will not likely trigger control because of the limited capacities to impact tree/shrub layers within the timeframes discussed and the potential impacts of treating extensive amounts of ground cover. Those species with the "watch list" designator in gray shade are of interest as well, but have yet to be observed across the state with any frequency. Those in *red italics* are of particular interest given their extreme risk/threat level for mapping as points where isolated specimens are found, particularly early in a projects monitoring history. However, areas of discreet, dense patches will of course be mapped as polygons. The symbology scheme below was one that was found to be helpful for symbolizing invasives polygons, particularly for situations where the condition for an area is somewhere between isolated specimens and dense, discreet patches. In any case, the point or polygon/area feature can be symbolized to describe things like high or low concern and species can be listed as a map inset, in legend items if the number of species are limited or in the narrative section of the executive summary.

<b>Table 7. Stream Problem Areas</b>			
<b>Muddy Run II Stream and Wetland Restoration Project - Project # 95354</b>			
<b>Feature Issue</b>	<b>Station # / Range</b>	<b>Suspected Cause; Repair</b>	<b>Photo Number</b>
<b>Minor right bank erosion (Head cut forming)</b>	Reach 5A @ 17+40	Concentrated flow; Repair scour on right bank with matting and seed.	SPA1

<b>Table 8. Vegetation Problem Areas</b>			
<b>Muddy Run II Stream and Wetland Restoration Project - Project # 95354</b>			
<b>Feature Category</b>	<b>Station Numbers</b>	<b>Suspected Cause; Repair</b>	<b>Photo Number</b>
<b>Low Stem Density</b>	Reach 4 @ Sta 1+00 -2+00	Low density due to low soil fertility; Plant area with approximaely 75 trees	VPA1

**Appendix B - Stream Photos**



Reach 1- Looking Downstream - Sta. 1+25 - MY3  
(11/8/2016)



Reach 1- Looking Upstream - Sta. 1+25 - MY3  
(11/8/2016)



Reach 2 Looking Downstream Sta. 16+35  
MY3 (11/8/2016)



Reach 2 Looking Downstream Sta. 16+35-  
MY3 (11/8/2016)



Reach 3A Looking Downstream Sta. 4+25  
MY3 (11/8/2016)



Reach 3A Looking Downstream Sta. 7+50-  
MY3 (11/8/2016)





Reach 3A Looking Downstream Sta. 19+80-MY3 (11/8/2016)



Reach 3A Looking Downstream Sta. 31+50-MY3 (11/8/2016)



Reach 3B Sta. 44+75 Looking Downstream MY3 (11/7/2016)



Reach 3B Sta. 44+75 Looking Upstream MY3 (11/7/2016)



Reach 3B Looking Upstream Sta. 48+70 MY3 (11/8/2016)



Reach 3B Looking Downstream Sta. 52+25-MY3 (11/8/2016)



Reach 3C Looking Downstream Sta. 64+00 MY3  
(11/7/2016)



Reach 3C Looking Upstream Sta. 64+00- MY3  
(11/7/2016)



Reach 4 Looking Downstream Sta. 0+65- MY3  
(11/8/2016)



Reach 4 Looking Upstream Sta. 0+65- MY3  
(11/8/2016)



Reach 5A Looking Upstream Sta. 8+50 MY3  
(11/8/2016)



Reach 5a Looking Downstream Sta. 8+25 - MY3-  
(11/8/2016)



Reach 5A Looking Downstream Sta. 17+25 MY3  
(10/20/2016)



Reach 5A Looking Downstream Sta. 17+80 MY3  
(10/20/2016)



Reach 5B Looking Downstream Sta. 20+05 - MY3  
(11/7/2016)



Reach 5B Looking Upstream Sta. 20+05- MY3  
(11/7/2016)




Reach 6 Looking Downstream Sta. 9+75- MY3  
(11/7/2016)



Reach 6 Looking Upstream Sta. 9+75- MY3  
(11/7/2016)



Crest Gauge 1- Reach 2 (4/26/2016)



Crest Gauge 2- Reach 3A (11/8/2016)



Crest Gauge 3- Reach 3B (11/8/2016)



Crest Gauge 4 - Reach 5B (11/9/2016)

## Appendix B- Vegetation Plot Photos



Vegetation Plot 1 (10/20/2016)



Vegetation Plot 2 (10/20/2016)



Vegetation Plot 3 (10/20/2016)



Vegetation Plot 4 (10/20/2016)



Vegetation Plot 5 (10/20/2016)



Vegetation Plot 6 (11/09/2016)



Vegetation Plot 7 (11/09/2016)



Vegetation Plot 8 (11/09/2016)



Vegetation Plot 9 (11/09/2016)



Vegetation Plot 10 (11/09/2016)



Vegetation Plot 11 (11/09/2016)



Vegetation Plot 12 (10/20/2016)



Vegetation Plot 13 (10/20/2016)



Vegetation Plot 14 (10/20/2016)



Vegetation Plot 15 (10/20/2016)



Vegetation Plot 16 (10/20/2016)



Vegetation Plot 17 (10/20/2016)



Vegetation Plot 18 (10/20/2016)



Vegetation Plot 19 (10/20/2016)



Vegetation Plot 20 (10/20/2016)



Vegetation Plot 21 (10/20/2016)



Vegetation Plot 22 (10/20/2016)



Vegetation Plot 23 (11/09/2016)



Vegetation Plot 24 (11/09/2016)





Vegetation Plot 25 (10/21/2016)



Vegetation Plot 26 (10/21/2016)



Vegetation Plot 27 (11/09/2016)



Vegetation Plot 28 (11/09/2016)

## Appendix B - Stream Problem Area Photos



SPA1- Minor right bank erosion - Reach 5A @ Sta  
17+40

**Appendix B - Vegetation Problem Area Photos**



VPA1 - Low stem density: Reach 4 @ Sta 1+00 – Sta 2+00



VPA1 - Low stem density: Reach 4 @ Sta 1+00 – Sta 2+00

**Appendix B - MY3 Stream and Vegetation Remedial Action Repairs/Maintenance Photos**




SPA5-MY2-2015 Reach 5A Repairs (4/26/2016)



SPA5-MY2-2015 Reach 5A Repairs (4/26/2016)



SPA5-MY2-2015 Reach 5A Repairs (4/26/2016)



SPA2-MY2-2015 Repaired Structures (4/26/2016)



SPA2-MY2-2015 Repaired Structures (4/26/2016)



SPA2-MY2-2015 Repaired Structures (4/26/2016)



SPA5-MY2-2015 Reach 5A Repairs (11/8/2016)



SPA5-MY2-2015 Reach 5A Repairs (11/8/2016)



SPA5-MY2-2015 Reach 5A Repairs (11/8/2016)



SPA2-MY2-2015 Repaired Structures (11/8/2016)



SPA2-MY2-2015 Repaired Structures (11/8/2016)



Invasive Species Control performed October 2016



Invasive Species Control performed October 2016



Invasive Species Control performed October 2016

# Appendix C

## Vegetation Plot Data

Table 9a. Planted Stem Count Summary

Table 9b. Planted Species Totals

Table 9c. Planted Stem Counts (Species by Plot)

Table 9a. Monitoring Year 3 Stem Count Summary

Vegetation Plot	Baseline		Year 1		Year 2				Year 3			
	Planted		Planted		Planted		Volunteers		Planted		Volunteers	
	Stems Planted	Stems/Acre Baseline	Living Stems	Stems/Acre Year 1	Living Stems	Stems/Acre Year 2	Living Stems	Total Stems/Acre Year 2	Living Stems	Stems/Acre Year 3	Living Stems	Total Stems/Acre Year 3
1	16	800	16	800	13	650	1	750	13	650	50	3150
2	17	850	14	700	11	550	--	550	11	550	0	550
3	15	750	13	650	11	550	--	550	11	550	0	550
4	14	700	12	600	8	400	--	400	13	650	5	900
5	16	800	12	600	10	500	--	500	11	550	0	550
6	17	850	14	700	13	650	--	650	13	650	0	650
7	15	750	13	650	12	600	--	600	12	600	0	600
8	16	800	14	700	12	600	--	600	13	650	0	650
9	17	850	11	550	10	500	--	500	17	850	0	850
10	14	700	9	450	6	300	1	350	6	300	1	350
11	13	650	13	650	11	550	--	550	11	550	0	550
12	15	750	9	450	11	550	--	550	13	650	0	650
13	16	800	14	700	14	700	--	650	14	700	0	700
14	14	700	10	500	10	500	--	500	9	450	0	450
15	15	750	13	650	13	650	5	900	19	950	0	950
16	16	800	15	750	14	700	--	700	12	600	0	600
17	15	750	10	500	11	550	1	600	12	600	0	600
18	14	700	14	700	13	650	1	700	14	700	0	700
19	9	450	8	400	11	550	--	550	13	650	0	650
20	10	500	7	350	5	250	--	250	9	450	0	450
21	18	900	16	800	15	750	--	750	12	600	0	600
22	16	800	13	650	12	600	--	600	11	550	0	550
23	13	650	11	550	12	600	--	600	14	700	35	2450
24	17	850	11	550	8	400	--	400	8	400	0	400
25	16	800	12	600	11	550	--	550	21	1050	0	1050
26	11	550	7	350	6	300	--	300	20	1000	34	2700
27	19	950	17	850	16	800	--	800	16	800	0	800
28	17	850	17	850	15	750	--	750	14	700	0	700
Average	15.0	752	12.3	616	11.2	561	2	577	12.9	646	4	870
Min	9	450	7	350	5	250	1	250	6	300	0	350
Max	19	950	17	850	16	800	5	900	21	1050	50	2700

Table 9b. Planted Species Totals

Species	Common Name	Total Planted
<b>Trees - Bare Root</b>		
<i>Taxodium distichum</i>	Bald Cypress	1,800
<i>Fraxinus pennsylvanica</i>	Green Ash	1,900
<i>Quercus lyrata</i>	Overcup Oak	1,800
<i>Betula nigra</i>	River birch	1,800
<i>Quercus michauxii</i>	Swamp Chestnut Oak	2,200
<i>Nyssa biflora</i>	Swamp Tupelo	2,000
<i>Plantanus occidentalis</i>	American Sycamore	2,200
<i>Quercus laurifolia</i>	Laurel Oak	1,800
<b>Total</b>		<b>15,500</b>

<b>Live Stakes</b>		
<i>Salix nigra</i>	Black Willow	3,000
<b>Total</b>		<b>3,000</b>



Table 9c. Planted Stem Counts (Species by Plot)

Species	Common Name	Vegetation Plot 1					Vegetation Plot 2					Vegetation Plot 3					Vegetation Plot 4					Vegetation Plot 5									
		MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5
<i>Taxodium distichum</i>	Bald Cypress	3	3	2	2															1	1	1	1			1	1	1	1		
<i>Fraxinus pennsylvanica</i>	Green Ash																			5	5	4	9			1	1	1	1		
<i>Quercus sp.</i>	Unknown Oak sp.							2						2	1					1						1	1				
<i>Quercus lyrata</i>	Overcup Oak							8	8	8	8			4	4	2	4									8	7	6	6		
<i>Betula nigra</i>	River birch	6	6	5	5									2												2	1	1	1		
<i>Quercus michauxii</i>	Swamp Chestnut Oak	2	2	2	2			2	2	2	2			1	1	1	1									1	1	1	1		
<i>Nyssa biflora</i>	Swamp Tupelo							4	4	1	1			3	3	3	3			2	1	1	1								
<i>Plantanus occidentalis</i>	American Sycamore	1	1	1	1									3	3	3	3			5	5	2	2						1		
<i>Quercus laurifolia</i>	Laurel Oak	4	4	3	3			1	0						1											2					
<i>Quercus nigra</i>	Water Oak																														
	<b>Species Count</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>			<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>			<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>			<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>			<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>		
	<b>Stem Count</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>13</b>			<b>17</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>11</b>			<b>15</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>11</b>			<b>14</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>13</b>			<b>16</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>11</b>		
	<b>Stems per Acre</b>	<b>800</b>	<b>800</b>	<b>650</b>	<b>650</b>			<b>850</b>	<b>700</b>	<b>550</b>	<b>550</b>			<b>750</b>	<b>650</b>	<b>450</b>	<b>550</b>			<b>700</b>	<b>600</b>	<b>400</b>	<b>650</b>			<b>800</b>	<b>600</b>	<b>500</b>	<b>550</b>		

Species	Common Name	Vegetation Plot 6					Vegetation Plot 7					Vegetation Plot 8					Vegetation Plot 9					Vegetation Plot 10									
		MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5
<i>Taxodium distichum</i>	Bald Cypress	6	6	5	5			5	5	5	5			5	5	5	5							1							
<i>Fraxinus pennsylvanica</i>	Green Ash							2	2	2	2																				
<i>Quercus sp.</i>	Unknown Oak sp.							1						1						1											
<i>Quercus lyrata</i>	Overcup Oak	2	1	2	2			3	3	3	3			2	2	2	2						3			3	2	2	2		
<i>Betula nigra</i>	River birch	3	3	3	3			3	2	2	2									10	6	6	6			3	1	1	1		
<i>Quercus michauxii</i>	Swamp Chestnut Oak																														
<i>Nyssa biflora</i>	Swamp Tupelo							1	1					3	3	2	2									4	2				
<i>Plantanus occidentalis</i>	American Sycamore	1	1	3	3									2	2	1	2			2	1	1	4			1	1	1	1		
<i>Quercus laurifolia</i>	Laurel Oak	5	3	2	1									3	2	2	2			4	4	3	3			3	3	2	2		
<i>Quercus nigra</i>	Water Oak																														
	<b>Species Count</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>			<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>			<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>			<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>			<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>		
	<b>Stem Count</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>14</b>			<b>15</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>12</b>			<b>16</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>13</b>			<b>17</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>17</b>			<b>14</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>		
	<b>Stems per Acre</b>	<b>850</b>	<b>700</b>	<b>750</b>	<b>700</b>			<b>750</b>	<b>650</b>	<b>600</b>	<b>600</b>			<b>800</b>	<b>700</b>	<b>600</b>	<b>650</b>			<b>850</b>	<b>550</b>	<b>500</b>	<b>850</b>			<b>700</b>	<b>450</b>	<b>300</b>	<b>300</b>		

Species	Common Name	Vegetation Plot 11					Vegetation Plot 12					Vegetation Plot 13					Vegetation Plot 14					Vegetation Plot 15									
		MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5
<i>Taxodium distichum</i>	Bald Cypress	2	2	2	2									1	1	1	1			1	1					2	2	2	3		
<i>Fraxinus pennsylvanica</i>	Green Ash	2	2	2	2			1	1	1	1			2	2	3	3			3	3	3	3			1	1	1	1		
<i>Quercus sp.</i>	Unknown Oak sp.							2						1																	
<i>Quercus lyrata</i>	Overcup Oak							2	2	5	5																				
<i>Betula nigra</i>	River birch	1	1	1	1			3						1	1	1	1			1		3	3			1	1	1	2		
<i>Quercus michauxii</i>	Swamp Chestnut Oak							5	5	5	5			7	6	5	5									6	5	3	2		
<i>Nyssa biflora</i>	Swamp Tupelo	4	4	2	2									4	4	4	4			9	6	6	2			3	3	2	2		
<i>Plantanus occidentalis</i>	American Sycamore	1	1	1	1			2	1	1	2												1			1	1	1	8		
<i>Quercus laurifolia</i>	Laurel Oak	3	3	3	3																					1					
<i>Quercus nigra</i>	Water Oak																											1	1		
	<b>Species Count</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>			<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>			<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>			<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>			<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>7</b>		
	<b>Stem Count</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>11</b>			<b>15</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>13</b>			<b>16</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>14</b>			<b>14</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>9</b>			<b>15</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>19</b>		
	<b>Stems per Acre</b>	<b>650</b>	<b>650</b>	<b>550</b>	<b>550</b>			<b>750</b>	<b>450</b>	<b>600</b>	<b>650</b>			<b>800</b>	<b>700</b>	<b>700</b>	<b>700</b>			<b>700</b>	<b>500</b>	<b>600</b>	<b>450</b>			<b>750</b>	<b>650</b>	<b>550</b>	<b>950</b>		

Species	Common Name	Vegetation Plot 16					Vegetation Plot 17					Vegetation Plot 18					Vegetation Plot 19					Vegetation Plot 20									
		MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5
<i>Taxodium distichum</i>	Bald Cypress																			1	1	1	1								
<i>Fraxinus pennsylvanica</i>	Green Ash													6	6	6	6			1			1						2		
<i>Quercus sp.</i>	Unknown Oak sp.							1																							
<i>Quercus lyrata</i>	Overcup Oak									1	1			3	3	4	4			1	1	3	1						1		
<i>Betula nigra</i>	River birch							6	4	4	4			1	1	1	1			1	1	3	3						1		
<i>Quercus michauxii</i>	Swamp Chestnut Oak	7	7	7	6			1	1	1	1											1	1			2	3	3	2		
<i>Nyssa biflora</i>	Swamp Tupelo	8	8	7	6			4	2	2	2			4	4	4	3									6	3	1			
<i>Plantanus occidentalis</i>	American Sycamore							3	3	4	4									5	5	5	5			2	1				
<i>Quercus laurifolia</i>	Laurel Oak	1																											2		
<i>Quercus nigra</i>	Water Oak																														
	<b>Species Count</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>			<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>			<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>			<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>			<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>		
	<b>Stem Count</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>12</b>			<b>15</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>12</b>			<b>14</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>14</b>			<b>9</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>12</b>			<b>10</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>8</b>		
	<b>Stems per Acre</b>	<b>800</b>	<b>750</b>	<b>700</b>	<b>600</b>			<b>750</b>	<b>500</b>	<b>600</b>	<b>600</b>			<b>700</b>	<b>700</b>	<b>750</b>	<b>700</b>			<b>450</b>	<b>400</b>	<b>650</b>	<b>600</b>			<b>500</b>	<b>350</b>	<b>200</b>	<b>400</b>		

Table 9c. Planted Stem Counts (Species by Plot) Continued

Species	Common Name	Vegetation Plot 21						Vegetation Plot 22						Vegetation Plot 23						Vegetation Plot 24						Vegetation Plot 25					
		MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5
<i>Taxodium distichum</i>	Bald Cypress	2	3		3			8	8	8	8			2	2	2	3			1	1								4		
<i>Fraxinus pennsylvanica</i>	Green Ash	6	6	6	4									7	6	6	6												1		
<i>Quercus sp.</i>	Unknown Oak sp.	1												1															1		
<i>Quercus lyrata</i>	Overcup Oak	3	4	3	3									1	2	2	2				1	1	1								
<i>Betula nigra</i>	River birch							3	3	3	3									6	3	3	3			4	3	3	1		
<i>Quercus michauxii</i>	Swamp Chestnut Oak	2	2	3	2																					5	4	4	2		
<i>Nyssa biflora</i>	Swamp Tupelo																			3	3	3	3			6	5	4			
<i>Plantanus occidentalis</i>	American Sycamore																1			1									7		
<i>Quercus laurifolia</i>	Laurel Oak	4	1					5	2	1				2	1	2	2			6	3	1	1			1			5		
<i>Quercus nigra</i>	Water Oak																														
	<b>Species Count</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>			<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>			<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>			<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>			<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>7</b>		
	<b>Stem Count</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>12</b>			<b>16</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>11</b>			<b>13</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>14</b>			<b>17</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>			<b>16</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>21</b>		
	<b>Stems per Acre</b>	<b>900</b>	<b>800</b>	<b>600</b>	<b>600</b>			<b>800</b>	<b>650</b>	<b>600</b>	<b>550</b>			<b>650</b>	<b>550</b>	<b>600</b>	<b>700</b>			<b>850</b>	<b>550</b>	<b>400</b>	<b>400</b>			<b>800</b>	<b>600</b>	<b>550</b>	<b>1050</b>		

Species	Common Name	Vegetation Plot 26						Vegetation Plot 27						Vegetation Plot 28					
		MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5
<i>Taxodium distichum</i>	Bald Cypress																		
<i>Fraxinus pennsylvanica</i>	Green Ash				4			9	9	9	9								
<i>Quercus sp.</i>	Unknown Oak sp.				4														
<i>Quercus lyrata</i>	Overcup Oak	4	4	5	5			1						4	4	4	4		
<i>Betula nigra</i>	River birch	1			1									1	1	1	1		
<i>Quercus michauxii</i>	Swamp Chestnut Oak	2	2	3	3			1	1	1	1			1	1	1	1		
<i>Nyssa biflora</i>	Swamp Tupelo	3	1																
<i>Plantanus occidentalis</i>	American Sycamore	1			1			1	1	1	1			7	7	6	6		
<i>Quercus laurifolia</i>	Laurel Oak				2			7	6	5	5			4	4	3	2		
<i>Quercus nigra</i>	Water Oak																		
	<b>Species Count</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>7</b>			<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>			<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>		
	<b>Stem Count</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>20</b>			<b>19</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>16</b>			<b>17</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>14</b>		
	<b>Stems per Acre</b>	<b>550</b>	<b>350</b>	<b>400</b>	<b>1000</b>			<b>950</b>	<b>850</b>	<b>800</b>	<b>800</b>			<b>850</b>	<b>850</b>	<b>750</b>	<b>700</b>		

# **Appendix D**

## **Stream Geomorphology Data**

Table 10. Morphological Parameters Summary Data

Table 11. Dimensional Morphology Summary – Cross Sections Data

Table 12. Bank Pin Array Summary Data

Cross Section Plots



**Appendix D. Table 11 - Monitoring Data - Dimensional Morphology Summary (Dimensional Parameters – Cross Sections)**

**Project Name/Number: Muddy Run II Mitigation Project/95354**

	Cross Section 1 (Riffle)							Cross Section 2 (Pool)							Cross Section 3 (Pool)							Cross Section 4 (Riffle)							Cross Section 5 (Run)						
Based on fixed baseline bankfull elevation <sup>1</sup>	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	53.7	53.7	53.7	53.7				54.1	54.1	54.1	54.1				53.3	53.3	53.3	53.3				53.3	53.3	53.3	53.3				58.0	58.0	58.0	58.0			
Bankfull Width (ft)	6.3	4.9	5.2	5.1				6.4	5.6	6.0	6.7				6.3	6.2	5.7	5.9				6.9	6.7	6.4	7.3				14.8	14.5	14.2	14.3			
Floodprone Width (ft)	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0				50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0				50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0				35.0	35.0	35.0	35.0				45.0	45.0	45.0	45.0			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4				0.7	0.6	0.6	0.7				0.8	0.6	0.6	0.5				0.6	0.6	0.5	0.5				1.1	1.0	1.0	1.1			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.7				1.3	1.1	1.2	1.2				1.4	1.2	1.1	1.0				1.1	1.1	0.9	0.9				2.0	1.8	1.9	2.0			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	2.7	2.0	2.1	2.0				4.7	3.5	3.8	4.5				5.0	4.0	3.3	3.1				4.6	4.3	3.2	3.3				15.6	14.5	14.7	15.4			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	14.4	12.2	13.2	13.0				8.8	8.7	9.4	10.1				7.9	9.6	9.8	11.2				10.7	10.4	12.6	16.1				14.0	13.7	13.8	13.2			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2				>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2				>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2				>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2				>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0				1.0	1.0	1.0				1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0				1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0				1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0				
	Cross Section 6 (Run)							Cross Section 7 (Riffle)							Cross Section 8 (Pool)							Cross Section 9 (Riffle)							Cross Section 10 (Pool)						
Based on fixed baseline bankfull elevation <sup>1</sup>	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	56.6	56.6	56.6	56.6				55.8	55.8	55.8	55.8				55.5	55.5	55.5	55.5				55.3	55.3	55.3	55.3				54.8	54.8	54.8	54.8			
Bankfull Width (ft)	13.5	13.4	12.7	13.1				8.4	7.6	7.2	7.5				9.4	8.8	8.8	8.6				9.8	9.5	9.2	9.7				7.0	6.7	6.7	6.7			
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0				50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0				50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0				50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0				50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.9	0.9	0.8	0.8				0.7	0.7	0.6	0.6				1.0	0.9	0.8	0.8				1.2	1.1	1.0	1.0				1.1	1.1	0.9	0.9			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.6	1.5	1.4	1.3				1.3	1.2	1.1	1.1				1.6	1.4	1.3	1.3				1.9	1.8	1.6	1.7				1.9	1.8	1.7	1.6			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	12.7	11.5	10.2	10.3				6.1	5.6	4.5	4.9				9.7	7.8	6.7	7.1				11.3	10.2	9.0	9.5				8.0	7.1	6.2	5.9			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	14.5	15.7	15.7	16.7				11.5	10.2	11.4	11.7				9.0	10.0	11.7	10.5				8.5	8.8	9.5	9.9				6.1	6.3	7.3	7.7			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2				>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2				>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2				>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2				>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0				1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0				1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0				1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0				1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			
	Cross Section 11 (Riffle)							Cross Section 12 (Pool)							Cross Section 13 (Riffle)							Cross Section 14 (Pool)							Cross Section 15 (Run)						
Based on fixed baseline bankfull elevation <sup>1</sup>	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	53.9	53.9	53.9	53.9				54.3	54.3	54.3	54.3				53.3	53.3	53.3	53.3				52.8	52.8	52.8	52.8				53.0	53.0	53.0	53.0			
Bankfull Width (ft)	9.0	7.2	7.7	8.0				11.3	10.2	10.4	10.4				12.1	10.2	10.2	9.0				9.0	7.8	10.1	8.5				11.8	11.9	10.8	11.5			
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0				50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0				50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0				50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0				50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.7	0.8	0.6	0.7				1.4	1.2	1.2	1.2				0.7	0.8	0.6	0.7				1.0	0.9	0.7	0.8				1.2	1.1	1.2	1.1			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.3	1.2	1.1	1.2				2.6	2.3	2.3	2.3				1.5	1.5	1.3	1.2				2.0	1.8	1.5	1.5				1.8	1.8	1.7	1.7			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	6.7	5.6	5.0	5.5				15.5	12.7	12.0	13.0				8.7	8.2	6.1	6.1				8.9	7.8	6.8	6.8				13.7	12.9	12.4	12.4			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	12.2	9.4	12.0	11.6				8.3	8.2	9.0	8.4				17.0	12.8	17.2	13.2				9.2	9.9	15.0	10.6				10.2	10.9	9.3	10.7			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>2.2	2.2	>2.2				>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2				>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2				>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2				>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0				1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0				1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0				1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0				1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			
	Cross Section 16 (Run)							Cross Section 17 (Run)							Cross Section 18 (Pool)							Cross Section 19 (Run)							Cross Section 20 (Riffle)						
Based on fixed baseline bankfull elevation <sup>1</sup>	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	52.3	52.3	52.3	52.3				50.8	50.8	50.8	50.8				50.1	50.1	50.1	50.1				50.5	50.5	50.5	50.5				50.5	50.5	50.5	50.5			
Bankfull Width (ft)	11.3	11.6	12.2	11.6				10.5	10.5	10.0	10.1				10.6	9.9	10.7	9.9				11.4	11.1	11.3	11.3				9.3	8.9	11.2	9.0			
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0				50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0				50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0				50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0				50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.9	0.9	0.8	0.9				1.2	1.2	1.0	1.0				1.3	1.1	0.9	1.0				1.3	1.0	0.9	0.9				1.2	1.2	1.1	1.2			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.9	1.7	1.6	1.7				1.8	2.0	1.5	1.7				2.0	1.8	1.4	1.5				2.0	1.7	1.6	1.7				2.0	2.0	2.0	2.3			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	9.8	9.9	9.4	9.9				12.4	12.7	10.0	10.6				14.2	11.3	9.6	10.0				14.2	11.1	10.3	10.4				11.3	10.3	11.2	11.1			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	13.0	13.6	15.8	13.6				8.9	8.6	10.0	9.6				7.9	8.7	12.1	9.8				9.1	11.1	12.5	12.2				7.7	7.7	8.8	7.3			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2				>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2				>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2				>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2				>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0				1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0				1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0				1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0				1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			

<sup>1</sup> = Widths and depths for annual measurements will be based on the baseline bankfull datum regardless of dimensional/depositional development. Input the elevation used as the datum, which should be consistent and based on the baseline datum established. If the performer has inherited the project and cannot acquire the datum used for prior years this must be discussed with EEP. If this cannot be resolved in time for a given years report submission a footnote in this should be included that states: "It is uncertain if the monitoring datum has been consistent over the monitoring history, which may influence calculated values. Additional data from a prior performer is being acquired to provide confirmation. Values will be recalculated in a future submission based on a consistent datum if determined to be necessary."

**Appendix D. Table 11 - Monitoring Data - Dimensional Morphology Summary (Dimensional Parameters – Cross Sections)**

**Project Name/Number: Muddy Run II Mitigation Project/95354**

	Cross Section 21 (Pool)							Cross Section 22 (Pool)							Cross Section 23 (Riffle)							Cross Section 24 (Riffle)							Cross Section 25 (Pool)						
Based on fixed baseline bankfull elevation <sup>1</sup>	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	50.3	50.3	50.3	50.3				49.0	49.0	49.0	49.0				49.3	49.3	49.3	49.3				48.8	48.8	48.8	48.8				48.7	48.7	48.7	48.7			
Bankfull Width (ft)	11.7	9.1	10.0	9.6				9.3	9.3	9.9	9.0				7.8	7.7	7.7	7.3				11.7	11.8	11.3	11.9				14.1	13.9	13.8	14.7			
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0				50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0				50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0				50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0				50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.7	0.9	0.8	0.8				1.3	1.6	1.2	1.2				1.1	1.0	0.9	0.9				1.5	1.4	1.2	1.2				1.8	1.7	1.7	1.5			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.7	1.7	1.9	2.1				2.2	2.4	2.1	1.8				1.7	1.8	1.6	1.8				2.1	2.0	1.8	1.7				3.1	2.8	2.6	2.7			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	8.6	8.1	7.9	8.1				12.3	14.5	12.1	10.9				8.3	7.9	6.9	6.7				18.0	17.1	13.7	14.6				25.0	24.3	22.9	22.6			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	16.0	10.2	12.8	11.4				7.0	6.0	8.0	7.4				7.4	7.5	8.6	8.0				7.6	8.2	9.3	9.7				7.9	8.0	8.3	9.6			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2				>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2				>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2				>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2				>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0				1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0				1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0				1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0				1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			
	Cross Section 26 (Pool)							Cross Section 27 (Run)							Cross Section 28 (Pool)							Cross Section 29 (Run)							Cross Section 30 (Pool)						
Based on fixed baseline bankfull elevation <sup>1</sup>	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	48.6	48.6	48.6	48.6				48.8	48.8	48.8	48.8				48.4	48.4	48.4	48.4				48.3	48.3	48.3	48.3				47.4	47.4	47.4	47.4			
Bankfull Width (ft)	14.9	15.7	15.0	15.1				12.7	12.4	13.7	13.3				13.4	13.3	14.0	13.9				13.4	13.7	13.9	13.7				12.9	13.1	14.0	13.2			
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0				50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0				50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0				50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0				50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.7	1.6	1.5	1.5				1.5	1.5	1.4	1.4				1.8	1.7	1.6	1.6				1.5	1.4	1.4	1.4				1.4	1.3	1.2	1.2			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	3.2	3.1	2.6	2.6				2.3	2.3	2.3	2.2				2.9	2.9	2.7	2.5				2.1	2.3	2.0	2.1				2.3	2.2	2.0	2.1			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	24.9	25.7	23.2	21.9				19.4	18.9	19.3	19.1				24.6	23.2	22.2	21.8				19.8	19.7	18.9	18.7				18.4	17.4	16.6	16.3			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	8.9	9.6	9.7	10.3				8.3	8.1	9.7	9.2				7.3	7.6	8.8	8.9				9.1	9.5	10.3	10.1				9.1	9.8	11.9	10.7			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2				>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2				>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2				>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2				>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0				1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0				1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0				1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0				1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			
	Cross Section 31 (Run)							Cross Section 32 (Run)							Cross Section 33 (Pool)							Cross Section 34 (Pool)							Cross Section 35 (Run)						
Based on fixed baseline bankfull elevation <sup>1</sup>	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	47.5	47.5	47.5	47.5				47.7	47.7	47.7	47.7				47.7	47.7	47.7	47.7				47.2	47.2	47.2	47.2				46.9	46.9	46.9	46.9			
Bankfull Width (ft)	13.7	14.2	14.3	14.7				10.5	10.7	11.3	11.2				11.5	12.0	13.5	13.0				10.4	10.5	9.9	10.1				9.5	8.8	9.0	9.6			
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0				50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0				50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0				50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0				50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.2	1.0	1.0	0.9				1.3	1.3	1.2	1.2				1.7	1.6	1.3	1.4				2.1	1.9	1.8	1.8				1.3	1.3	1.2	1.2			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.1	1.9	1.7	1.7				2.2	2.0	2.1	2.0				3.1	2.9	2.6	2.6				3.1	3.0	2.8	2.8				2.0	1.9	1.9	1.9			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	15.8	14.6	13.8	13.7				13.8	13.4	13.5	13.4				19.5	19.0	17.3	17.8				21.4	20.5	18.2	18.6				12.1	11.7	11.1	11.4			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	11.9	13.8	14.8	15.9				8.0	8.5	9.5	9.3				6.8	7.6	10.5	9.5				5.0	5.4	5.4	5.5				7.4	6.7	7.4	8.0			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2				>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2				>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2				>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2				>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0				1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0				1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0				1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0				1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			
	Cross Section 36 (Pool)							Cross Section 37 (Run)							Cross Section 38 (Pool)							Cross Section 39 (Run)							Cross Section 40 (Pool)						
Based on fixed baseline bankfull elevation <sup>1</sup>	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	45.6	45.6	45.6	45.6				45.5	45.5	45.5	45.5				45.4	45.4	45.4	45.4				45.2	45.2	45.2	45.2				45.0	45.0	45.0	45.0			
Bankfull Width (ft)	9.3	9.0	8.6	8.4				12.4	11.9	9.7	9.7				10.0	8.8	9.6	10.2				8.2	7.2	8.2	8.4				10.3	10.3	9.7	10.6			
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0				50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0				50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0				50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0				50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.9	0.9	0.8	0.8				0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5				1.3	1.1	1.0	0.9				0.9	0.9	0.8	0.8				1.4	1.1	1.0	0.9			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.7	1.5	1.3	1.5				1.0	1.1	1.1	1.2				2.0	1.8	1.8	1.9				1.5	1.5	1.5	1.6				2.5	2.0	1.5	1.3			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	8.7	8.1	6.7	6.9				6.1	5.8	4.8	4.6				12.6	9.2	9.6	9.1				7.6	6.5	6.9	6.7				14.3	11.7	9.5	9.3			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	9.9	10.1	11.0	10.3				25.4	24.4	19.4	20.5				7.9	8.4	9.7	11.4				8.7	7.9	9.7	10.4				7.4	9.0	9.9	12.1			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2				>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2				>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2				>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2				>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0				1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0				1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0				1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0				1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			

<sup>1</sup> = Widths and depths for annual measurements will be based on the baseline bankfull datum regardless of dimensional/depositional development. Input the elevation used as the datum, which should be consistent and based on the baseline datum established. If the performer has inherited the project and cannot acquire the datum used for prior years this must be discussed with EEP. If this cannot be resolved in time for a given years report submission a footnote in this should be included that states: "It is uncertain if the monitoring datum has been consistent over the monitoring history, which may influence calculated values. Additional data from a prior performer is being acquired to provide confirmation. Values will be recalculated in a future submission based on a consistent datum if determined to be necessary."

**Appendix D. Table 11 - Monitoring Data - Dimensional Morphology Summary (Dimensional Parameters – Cross Sections)**

**Project Name/Number: Muddy Run II Mitigation Project/95354**

	Cross Section 41 (Run)							Cross Section 42 (Run)							Cross Section 43 (Run)							Cross Section 44 (Run)							Cross Section 45 (Run)						
Based on fixed baseline bankfull elevation <sup>1</sup>	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	45.1	45.1	45.1	45.1				44.0	44.0	44.0	44.0				41.3	41.3	41.3	41.3				41.5	41.5	41.5	41.5				41.4	41.4	41.4	41.4			
Bankfull Width (ft)	8.9	8.5	8.6	9.0				23.5	24.1	28.1	21.9				9.4	9.2	10.6	8.3				13.723	13.5	13.2	13.9				11.8	11.5	11.2	10.8			
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0				50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0				29.0	29.0	15.0	15.0				22.0	22.0	20.0	20.0				35.3	35.3	30.0	30.0			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.1	1.1	1.0	0.9				1.7	1.5	1.4	1.4				1.4	0.7	0.4	0.3				1.4	1.3	1.2	1.2				1.2	1.2	1.0	1.0			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.9	1.8	1.8	1.7				3.8	3.7	3.6	2.9				2.2	0.9	0.6	0.4				2.0	2.0	1.7	1.7				1.9	2.0	1.7	1.4			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	10.2	9.0	8.8	8.6				39.7	35.7	38.3	31.0				13.2	6.5	4.7	2.5				19.6	18.0	15.2	16.8				14.6	13.8	11.3	10.4			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	7.8	8.0	8.3	9.5				13.9	16.2	20.6	15.4				6.7	13.2	23.9	28.0				9.6	10.1	11.0	11.4				9.5	9.6	11.1	11.2			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2				2.1	2.1	1.8	>2.2				>2.2	>2.2	1.4	>2.2				1.6	1.6	1.5	>2.2				>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0				1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0				1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0				1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0				1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			
	Cross Section 46 (Run)							Cross Section 47 (Pool)							Cross Section 48 (Riffle)							Cross Section 49 (Pool)							Cross Section 50 (Pool)						
Based on fixed baseline bankfull elevation <sup>1</sup>	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	49.3	49.3	49.3	49.3				48.2	48.2	48.2	48.2				41.0	41.0	41.0	41.0				40.5	40.5	40.5	40.5				40.0	40.0	40.0	40.0			
Bankfull Width (ft)	8.4	7.2	7.8	6.0				6.7	6.3	8.6	5.7				15.1	15.0	15.1	15.3				16.6	17.0	19.3	17.6				18.5	17.7	21.6	17.8			
Floodprone Width (ft)	42.5	42.5	42.5	42.5				50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0				50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0				50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0				50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.8	0.7	0.6	0.6				0.9	0.8	0.5	0.5				1.7	1.7	1.6	1.5				1.7	1.7	1.4	1.6				1.8	1.7	1.5	1.7			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.5	1.2	1.1	1.1				1.8	1.5	0.9	0.9				2.6	2.7	2.6	2.6				3.1	3.1	3.1	3.3				3.2	3.1	3.2	3.1			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	6.3	5.1	4.7	3.4				6.0	5.3	4.2	2.9				25.3	24.8	24.0	23.4				27.4	28.5	27.3	28.3				32.9	30.7	31.7	30.2			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	11.1	10.2	12.9	10.7				7.3	7.4	17.7	11.3				9.0	9.1	9.5	10.0				10.0	10.2	13.7	11.0				10.4	10.2	14.7	10.6			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2				>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2				>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2				>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2				>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0				1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0				1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0				1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0				1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			
	Cross Section 51 (Riffle)							Cross Section 52 (Run)							Cross Section 53 (Pool)							Cross Section 54 (Pool)							Cross Section 55 (Riffle)						
Based on fixed baseline bankfull elevation <sup>1</sup>	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	40.0	40.0	40.0	40.0				39.8	39.8	39.8	37.0				39.7	39.7	39.7	36.9				38.8	38.8	38.8	35.9				38.0	38.0	38.0	35.6			
Bankfull Width (ft)	16.2	16.1	16.3	15.9				17.7	17.8	19.3	10.6				17.4	17.9	18.1	8.9				15.7	16.7	20.3	11.5				9.7	14.8	20.8	10.2			
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0				50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0				50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0				50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0				50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.5	1.4	1.5	1.4				1.8	2.1	2.7	1.4				1.9	2.1	2.2	1.5				1.7	2.0	2.2	1.0				1.4	2.2	2.1	1.0			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.4	2.3	2.6	2.7				3.1	4.5	5.9	2.1				3.5	3.8	4.1	3.4				2.9	4.0	4.4	1.7				2.2	3.0	3.3	1.7			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	24.7	23.2	23.7	22.7				31.8	36.9	52.3	14.6				33.8	37.1	39.0	13.6				26.1	32.7	45.2	11.7				13.6	33.3	44.4	10.5			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	10.6	11.2	11.2	11.1				9.9	8.6	7.1	7.7				9.0	8.6	8.4	5.8				9.5	8.5	9.1	11.3				7.0	6.6	9.7	9.9			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2				>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2				>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2				>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2				>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0				1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0				1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0				1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0				1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			
	Cross Section 56 (Run)							Cross Section 57 (Run)							Cross Section 58 (Run)							Cross Section 59 (Run)													
Based on fixed baseline bankfull elevation <sup>1</sup>	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	37.3	37.3	37.3	37.3				35.7	35.7	35.7	35.7				41.0	41.0	41.0	41.0				39.5	39.5	39.5	39.5										
Bankfull Width (ft)	17.6	17.0	17.5	18.4				17.0	16.8	16.0	16.4				14.2	13.7	16.9	14.0				13.5	12.5	11.9	11.9										
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0				37.5	37.5	37.5	37.5				50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0				50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0										
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	2.6	2.2	2.2	2.1				1.8	1.3	1.4	1.5				2.4	2.3	1.9	2.3				1.1	0.9	0.9	0.8										
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	3.7	3.2	3.3	3.4				2.6	2.1	2.1	2.5				3.4	3.3	3.3	3.3				2.2	1.8	1.8	1.6										
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	45.3	38.0	37.9	38.1				30.7	22.4	22.7	24.8				33.9	31.7	32.3	32.6				15.2	11.3	11.1	9.9										
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	6.9	7.6	8.0	8.8				9.4	12.5	11.2	10.9				6.0	6.0	8.9	6.0				11.9	13.8	12.7	14.2										
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2				2.2	2.2	>2.2	>2.2				>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2				>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2										
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0				1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0				1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0				1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0										

<sup>1</sup> = Widths and depths for annual measurements will be based on the baseline bankfull datum regardless of dimensional/depositional development. Input the elevation used as the datum, which should be consistent and based on the baseline datum established. If the performer has inherited the project and cannot acquire the datum used for prior years this must be discussed with EEP. If this cannot be resolved in time for a given years report submission a footnote in this should be included that states: "It is uncertain if the monitoring datum has been consistent over the monitoring history, which may influence calculated values. Additional data from a prior performer is being acquired to provide confirmation. Values will be recalculated in a future submission based on a consistent datum if determined to be necessary."

Table 12.Muddy Run II Bank Pin Array Summary

Cross Section	Location	Position	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
			Reading	Reading	Reading
XS 2 @ Sta. 1+35 Reach 1	US	Top	0.0	0.0	0.0
		Bottom	0.0	0.0	0.0
	DS	Top	0.0	0.0	0.0
		Bottom	0.0	0.0	0.0
XS 3 @ Sta. 3+45 Reach 1	US	Top	0.0	0.0	0.0
		Bottom	0.0	0.0	0.0
	DS	Top	0.0	0.0	0.0
		Bottom	0.0	0.0	0.0
XS 8 @ Sta. 8+55 Reach 2	US	Top	0.0	0.0	0.0
		Bottom	0.0	0.0	0.0
	DS	Top	0.0	0.0	0.0
		Bottom	0.0	0.0	0.0
XS 10 @ Sta. 11+70 Reach 2	US	Top	0.0	0.0	0.0
		Bottom	0.0	0.0	0.0
	DS	Top	0.0	0.0	0.0
		Bottom	0.0	0.0	0.0
XS 12 @ Sta. 16+40 Reach 2	US	Top	0.0	0.0	0.0
		Bottom	0.0	0.0	0.0
	DS	Top	0.0	0.0	0.0
		Bottom	0.0	0.0	0.0
XS 18 @ Sta. 8+40 Reach 3A	US	Top	0.0	0.0	0.0
		Bottom	0.0	0.0	0.0
	DS	Top	0.0	0.0	0.0
		Bottom	0.0	0.0	0.0
XS 21 @ Sta. 11+20 Reach 3A	US	Top	0.0	0.0	0.0
		Bottom	0.0	0.0	0.0
	DS	Top	0.0	0.0	0.0
		Bottom	0.0	0.0	0.0
XS 25 @ Sta. 19+80 Reach 3A	US	Top	0.0	0.0	0.0
		Bottom	0.0	0.0	0.0
	DS	Top	0.0	0.0	0.0
		Bottom	0.0	0.0	0.0
XS 26 @ Sta. 25+90 Reach 3A	US	Top	0.0	0.0	0.0
		Bottom	0.0	0.0	0.0
	DS	Top	0.0	0.0	0.0
		Bottom	0.0	0.0	0.0
XS 28 @ Sta. 31+40 Reach 3A	US	Top	0.0	0.0	0.0
		Bottom	0.0	0.0	0.0
	DS	Top	0.0	0.0	0.0
		Bottom	0.0	0.0	0.0

Cross Section	Location	Position	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
			Reading	Reading	Reading
XS 30 @ Sta. 35+60 Reach 3A	US	Top	0.0	0.0	0.0
		Bottom	0.0	0.0	0.0
	DS	Top	0.0	0.0	0.0
		Bottom	0.0	0.0	0.0
XS 33 @ Sta. 40+90 Reach 3B	US	Top	0.0	0.0	0.0
		Bottom	0.0	0.0	0.0
	DS	Top	0.0	0.0	0.0
		Bottom	0.0	0.0	0.0
XS 36 @ Sta. 48+90 Reach 3B	US	Top	0.0	0.0	0.0
		Bottom	0.0	0.0	0.0
	DS	Top	0.0	0.0	0.0
		Bottom	0.0	0.0	0.0
XS 38 @ Sta. 52+10 Reach 3B	US	Top	0.0	0.0	0.0
		Bottom	0.0	0.0	0.0
	DS	Top	0.0	0.0	0.0
		Bottom	0.0	0.0	0.0
XS 40 @ Sta. 54+15 Reach 3B	US	Top	0.0	0.0	0.0
		Bottom	0.0	0.0	0.0
	DS	Top	0.2	0.0	0.0
		Bottom	0.0	0.0	0.0
XS 47 @ Sta. 1+90 Reach 4	US	Top	0.0	0.0	0.0
		Bottom	0.0	0.0	0.0
	DS	Top	0.0	0.0	0.0
		Bottom	0.0	0.0	0.0
XS 49 @ Sta. 2+40 Reach 5A	US	Top	0.0	0.0	0.0
		Bottom	0.0	0.0	0.0
	DS	Top	0.6	0.0	0.0
		Bottom	0.0	0.0	0.0
XS 50 @ Sta. 8+20 Reach 5A	US	Top	0.0	0.0	0.0
		Bottom	0.0	0.0	0.0
	DS	Top	0.0	0.0	0.0
		Bottom	0.0	0.0	0.0
XS 53 @ Sta. 13+90 Reach 5A	US	Top	0.0	0.6 ft	0.0
		Bottom	0.0	0.0	0.0
	DS	Top	0.0	0.0	0.0
		Bottom	0.0	0.0	0.0
XS 54 @ Sta. 17+35 Reach 5A	US	Top	0.0	missing	Didn't Replace*
		Bottom	0.0	missing	Didn't Replace*
	DS	Top	0.0	missing	Didn't Replace*
		Bottom	1.0	missing	Didn't Replace*

Notes:

US - Upstream from cross section

DS - Downstream from cross section

\* Did not replace after Reach 5A repair work in 2016.






Upstream



Downstream




Based on fixed baseline bankfull elevation	Cross Section 1 (Rifle)						
	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	53.7	53.7	53.7	53.7			
Bankfull Width (ft)	6.3	4.9	5.2	5.1			
Floodprone Width (ft)	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.7			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	2.7	2.0	2.1	2.0			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	14.4	12.2	13.2	13.0			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			



Upstream



Downstream




	Cross Section 2 (Pool)						
Based on fixed baseline bank full elevation	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	54.1	54.1	54.1	54.1			
Bankfull Width (ft)	6.4	5.6	6.0	6.7			
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.7			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.3	1.1	1.2	1.2			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	4.7	3.5	3.8	4.5			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	8.8	8.7	9.4	10.1			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0				



Upstream



Downstream




Cross Section 3 (Pool)							
Based on fixed baseline bank full elevation	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	53.3	53.3	53.3	53.3			
Bankfull Width (ft)	6.3	6.2	5.7	5.9			
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.8	0.6	0.6	0.5			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.4	1.2	1.1	1.0			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	5.0	4.0	3.3	3.1			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	7.9	9.6	9.8	11.2			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			



Upstream



Downstream




	Cross Section 4 (Riffle)						
Based on fixed baseline bank full elevation	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	53.3	53.3	53.3	53.3			
Bankfull Width (ft)	6.9	6.7	6.4	7.3			
Floodprone Width (ft)	35.0	35.0	35.0	35.0			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.5			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.1	1.1	0.9	0.9			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	4.6	4.3	3.2	3.3			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	10.7	10.4	12.6	16.1			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			



Upstream



Downstream




Cross Section 5 (Run)							
Based on fixed baseline bank full elevation	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	58.0	58.0	58.0	58.0			
Bankfull Width (ft)	14.8	14.5	14.2	14.3			
Floodprone Width (ft)	45.0	45.0	45.0	45.0			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.1	1.0	1.0	1.1			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.0	1.8	1.9	2.0			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	15.6	14.5	14.7	15.4			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	14.0	13.7	13.8	13.2			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			



Upstream



Downstream




	Cross Section 6 (Run)						
Based on fixed baseline bankfull elevation	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	56.6	56.6	56.6	56.6			
Bankfull Width (ft)	13.5	13.4	12.7	13.1			
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.9	0.9	0.8	0.8			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.6	1.5	1.4	1.3			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	12.7	11.5	10.2	10.3			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	14.5	15.7	15.7	16.7			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			



Upstream



Downstream




	Cross Section 7 (Riffle)						
Based on fixed baseline bank full elevation	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	55.8	55.8	55.8	55.8			
Bankfull Width (ft)	8.4	7.6	7.2	7.5			
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.6			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.3	1.2	1.1	1.1			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	6.1	5.6	4.5	4.9			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	11.5	10.2	11.4	11.7			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			



Upstream



Downstream



	Cross Section 8 (Pool)						
Based on fixed baseline bank full elevation	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	55.5	55.5	55.5	55.5			
Bankfull Width (ft)	9.4	8.8	8.8	8.6			
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.0	0.9	0.8	0.8			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.6	1.4	1.3	1.3			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	9.7	7.8	6.7	7.1			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	9.0	10.0	11.7	10.5			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			






Upstream



Downstream




	Cross Section 9 (Riffle)						
Based on fixed baseline bank full elevation	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	55.3	55.3	55.3	55.3			
Bankfull Width (ft)	9.8	9.5	9.2	9.7			
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.2	1.1	1.0	1.0			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.9	1.8	1.6	1.7			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	11.3	10.2	9.0	9.5			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	8.5	8.8	9.5	9.9			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			



Upstream



Downstream




	Cross Section 10 (Pool)						
Based on fixed baseline bank full elevation	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	54.8	54.8	54.8	54.8			
Bankfull Width (ft)	7.0	6.7	6.7	6.7			
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.1	1.1	0.9	0.9			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.9	1.8	1.7	1.6			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	8.0	7.1	6.2	5.9			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	6.1	6.3	7.3	7.7			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			



Upstream



Downstream




	Cross Section 11 (Riffle)						
Based on fixed baseline bank full elevation	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	53.9	53.9	53.9	53.9			
Bankfull Width (ft)	9.0	7.2	7.7	8.0			
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.7	0.8	0.6	0.7			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.3	1.2	1.1	1.2			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	6.7	5.6	5.0	5.5			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	12.2	9.4	12.0	11.6			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>2.2	2.2	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			



Upstream



Downstream




	Cross Section 12 (Pool)						
Based on fixed baseline bank full elevation	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	54.3	54.3	54.3	54.3			
Bankfull Width (ft)	11.3	10.2	10.4	10.4			
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.4	1.2	1.2	1.2			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.6	2.3	2.3	2.3			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	15.5	12.7	12.0	13.0			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	8.3	8.2	9.0	8.4			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			



Upstream



Downstream




	Cross Section 13 (Riffle)						
Based on fixed baseline bank full elevation	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	53.3	53.3	53.3	53.3			
Bankfull Width (ft)	12.1	10.2	10.2	9.0			
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.7	0.8	0.6	0.7			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.5	1.5	1.3	1.2			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	8.7	8.2	6.1	6.1			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	17.0	12.8	17.2	13.2			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			



Upstream



Downstream




	Cross Section 14 (Pool)						
Based on fixed baseline bank full elevation	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	52.8	52.8	52.8	52.8			
Bankfull Width (ft)	9.0	7.8	10.1	8.5			
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.0	0.9	0.7	0.8			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.0	1.8	1.5	1.5			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	8.9	7.8	6.8	6.8			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	9.2	9.9	15.0	10.6			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			



Upstream



Downstream




	Cross Section 15 (Run)						
Based on fixed baseline bank full elevation	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	53.0	53.0	53.0	53.0			
Bankfull Width (ft)	11.8	11.9	10.8	11.5			
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.2	1.1	1.2	1.1			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.8	1.8	1.7	1.7			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	13.7	12.9	12.4	12.4			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	10.2	10.9	9.3	10.7			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			



Upstream



Downstream



	Cross Section 16 (Run)						
Based on fixed baseline bank full elevation	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	52.3	52.3	52.3	52.3			
Bankfull Width (ft)	11.3	11.6	12.2	11.6			
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.9	0.9	0.8	0.9			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.9	1.7	1.6	1.7			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	9.8	9.9	9.4	9.9			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	13.0	13.6	15.8	13.6			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			






Upstream



Downstream




	Cross Section 17 (Run)						
Based on fixed baseline bank full elevation	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	50.8	50.8	50.8	50.8			
Bankfull Width (ft)	10.5	10.5	10.0	10.1			
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.2	1.2	1.0	1.0			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.8	2.0	1.5	1.7			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	12.4	12.7	10.0	10.6			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	8.9	8.6	10.0	9.6			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			



Upstream



Downstream




	Cross Section 18 (Pool)						
Based on fixed baseline bank full elevation	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	50.1	50.1	50.1	50.1			
Bankfull Width (ft)	10.6	9.9	10.7	9.9			
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.3	1.1	0.9	1.0			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.0	1.8	1.4	1.5			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	14.2	11.3	9.6	10.0			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	7.9	8.7	12.1	9.8			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			



Upstream



Downstream




	Cross Section 19 (Run)						
	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
<b>Based on fixed baseline bank full elevation</b>							
<b>Record elevation (datum) used</b>	50.5	50.5	50.5	50.5			
Bankfull Width (ft)	11.4	11.1	11.3	11.3			
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.3	1.0	0.9	0.9			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.0	1.7	1.6	1.7			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	14.2	11.1	10.3	10.4			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	9.1	11.1	12.5	12.2			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			



Upstream



Downstream




Cross Section 20 (Riffle)							
Based on fixed baseline bankfull elevation	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	50.5	50.5	50.5	50.5			
Bankfull Width (ft)	9.3	8.9	11.2	9.0			
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.2	1.2	1.1	1.2			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.3			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	11.3	10.3	11.2	11.1			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	7.7	7.7	8.8	7.3			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			



Upstream



Downstream




	Cross Section 21 (Pool)						
Based on fixed baseline bank full elevation	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	50.3	50.3	50.3	50.3			
Bankfull Width (ft)	11.7	9.1	10.0	9.6			
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.7	0.9	0.8	0.8			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.7	1.7	1.9	2.1			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	8.6	8.1	7.9	8.1			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	16.0	10.2	12.8	11.4			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			



Upstream



Downstream




	Cross Section 22 (Pool)						
Based on fixed baseline bank full elevation	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	49.0	49.0	49.0	49.0			
Bankfull Width (ft)	9.3	9.3	9.9	9.0			
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.3	1.6	1.2	1.2			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.2	2.4	2.1	1.8			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	12.3	14.5	12.1	10.9			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	7.0	6.0	8.0	7.4			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			



Upstream



Downstream




	Cross Section 23 (Riffle)						
	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Based on fixed baseline bank full elevation							
Record elevation (datum) used	49.3	49.3	49.3	49.3			
Bankfull Width (ft)	7.8	7.7	7.7	7.3			
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.1	1.0	0.9	0.9			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.7	1.8	1.6	1.8			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	8.3	7.9	6.9	6.7			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	7.4	7.5	8.6	8.0			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			



Upstream



Downstream



	Cross Section 24 (Riffle)						
Based on fixed baseline bank full elevation	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	48.8	48.8	48.8	48.8			
Bankfull Width (ft)	11.7	11.8	11.3	11.9			
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.5	1.4	1.2	1.2			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.1	2.0	1.8	1.7			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	18.0	17.1	13.7	14.6			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	7.6	8.2	9.3	9.7			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			






Upstream



Downstream




	Cross Section 25 (Pool)						
Based on fixed baseline bank full elevation	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	48.7	48.7	48.7	48.7			
Bankfull Width (ft)	14.1	13.9	13.8	14.7			
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.8	1.7	1.7	1.5			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	3.1	2.8	2.6	2.7			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	25.0	24.3	22.9	22.6			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	7.9	8.0	8.3	9.6			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			



Upstream



Downstream




	Cross Section 26 (Pool)						
Based on fixed baseline bank full elevation	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	48.6	48.6	48.6	48.6			
Bankfull Width (ft)	14.9	15.7	15.0	15.1			
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.7	1.6	1.5	1.5			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	3.2	3.1	2.6	2.6			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	24.9	25.7	23.2	21.9			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	8.9	9.6	9.7	10.3			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			



Upstream



Downstream




	Cross Section 27 (Run)						
Based on fixed baseline bank full elevation	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	48.8	48.8	48.8	48.8			
Bankfull Width (ft)	12.7	12.4	13.7	13.3			
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.5	1.5	1.4	1.4			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.2			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	19.4	18.9	19.3	19.1			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	8.3	8.1	9.7	9.2			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			



Upstream



Downstream




	Cross Section 28 (Pool)						
Based on fixed baseline bank full elevation	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	48.4	48.4	48.4	48.4			
Bankfull Width (ft)	13.4	13.3	14.0	13.9			
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.8	1.7	1.6	1.6			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.9	2.9	2.7	2.5			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	24.6	23.2	22.2	21.8			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	7.3	7.6	8.8	8.9			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			



Upstream



Downstream




Cross Section 29 (Run)							
Based on fixed baseline bank full elevation	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	48.3	48.3	48.3	48.3			
Bankfull Width (ft)	13.4	13.7	13.9	13.7			
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.5	1.4	1.4	1.4			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.1	2.3	2.0	2.1			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	19.8	19.7	18.9	18.7			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	9.1	9.5	10.3	10.1			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			



Upstream



Downstream




	Cross Section 30 (Pool)						
Based on fixed baseline bank full elevation	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	47.4	47.4	47.4	47.4			
Bankfull Width (ft)	12.9	13.1	14.0	13.2			
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.4	1.3	1.2	1.2			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.3	2.2	2.0	2.1			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	18.4	17.4	16.6	16.3			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	9.1	9.8	11.9	10.7			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			



Upstream



Downstream




	Cross Section 31 (Run)						
Based on fixed baseline bank full elevation	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	47.5	47.5	47.5	47.5			
Bankfull Width (ft)	13.7	14.2	14.3	14.7			
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.2	1.0	1.0	0.9			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.1	1.9	1.7	1.7			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	15.8	14.6	13.8	13.7			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	11.9	13.8	14.8	15.9			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			



Upstream



Downstream



	Cross Section 32 (Run)						
Based on fixed baseline bank full elevation	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	47.7	47.7	47.7	47.7			
Bankfull Width (ft)	10.5	10.7	11.3	11.2			
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.3	1.3	1.2	1.2			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.2	2.0	2.1	2.0			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	13.8	13.4	13.5	13.4			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	8.0	8.5	9.5	9.3			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			






Upstream



Downstream




	Cross Section 33 (Pool)						
Based on fixed baseline bank full elevation	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	47.7	47.7	47.7	47.7			
Bankfull Width (ft)	11.5	12.0	13.5	13.0			
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.7	1.6	1.3	1.4			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	3.1	2.9	2.6	2.6			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	19.5	19.0	17.3	17.8			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	6.8	7.6	10.5	9.5			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			



Upstream



Downstream




	Cross Section 34 (Pool)						
Based on fixed baseline bank full elevation	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	47.2	47.2	47.2	47.2			
Bankfull Width (ft)	10.4	10.5	9.9	10.1			
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	2.1	1.9	1.8	1.8			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	3.1	3.0	2.8	2.8			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	21.4	20.5	18.2	18.6			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	5.0	5.4	5.4	5.5			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			



Upstream



Downstream




	Cross Section 35 (Run)						
Based on fixed baseline bank full elevation	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	46.9	46.9	46.9	46.9			
Bankfull Width (ft)	9.5	8.8	9.0	9.6			
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.3	1.3	1.2	1.2			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.0	1.9	1.9	1.9			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	12.1	11.7	11.1	11.4			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	7.4	6.7	7.4	8.0			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			



Upstream



Downstream




	Cross Section 36 (Pool)						
Based on fixed baseline bank full elevation	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	45.6	45.6	45.6	45.6			
Bankfull Width (ft)	9.3	9.0	8.6	8.4			
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.9	0.9	0.8	0.8			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.7	1.5	1.3	1.5			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	8.7	8.1	6.7	6.9			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	9.9	10.1	11.0	10.3			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			



Upstream



Downstream




	Cross Section 37 (Run)						
Based on fixed baseline bank full elevation	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	45.5	45.5	45.5	45.5			
Bankfull Width (ft)	12.4	11.9	9.7	9.7			
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.2			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	6.1	5.8	4.8	4.6			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	25.4	24.4	19.4	20.5			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			



Upstream



Downstream




	Cross Section 38 (Pool)						
Based on fixed baseline bank full elevation	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	45.4	45.4	45.4	45.4			
Bankfull Width (ft)	10.0	8.8	9.6	10.2			
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.3	1.1	1.0	0.9			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.0	1.8	1.8	1.9			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	12.6	9.2	9.6	9.1			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	7.9	8.4	9.7	11.4			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			



Upstream



Downstream




	Cross Section 39 (Run)						
Based on fixed baseline bank full elevation	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	45.2	45.2	45.2	45.2			
Bankfull Width (ft)	8.2	7.2	8.2	8.4			
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.9	0.9	0.8	0.8			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.6			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	7.6	6.5	6.9	6.7			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	8.7	7.9	9.7	10.4			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			



Upstream



Downstream



	Cross Section 40 (Pool)						
Based on fixed baseline bankfull elevation	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	45.0	45.0	45.0	45.0			
Bankfull Width (ft)	10.3	10.3	9.7	10.6			
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.4	1.1	1.0	0.9			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.5	2.0	1.5	1.3			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	14.3	11.7	9.5	9.3			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	7.4	9.0	9.9	12.1			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			






Upstream



Downstream




	Cross Section 41 (Run)						
Based on fixed baseline bank full elevation	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	45.1	45.1	45.1	45.1			
Bankfull Width (ft)	8.9	8.5	8.6	9.0			
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.1	1.1	1.0	0.9			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.9	1.8	1.8	1.7			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	10.2	9.0	8.8	8.6			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	7.8	8.0	8.3	9.5			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			



Upstream



Downstream




	Cross Section 42 (Run)						
Based on fixed baseline bank full elevation	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	44.0	44.0	44.0	44.0			
Bankfull Width (ft)	23.5	24.1	28.1	21.9			
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.7	1.5	1.4	1.4			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	3.8	3.7	3.6	2.9			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	39.7	35.7	38.3	31.0			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	13.9	16.2	20.6	15.4			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	2.1	2.1	1.8	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			



Upstream



Downstream




	Cross Section 43 (Run)						
Based on fixed baseline bank full elevation	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	41.3	41.3	41.3	41.3			
Bankfull Width (ft)	9.4	9.2	10.6	8.3			
Floodprone Width (ft)	29.0	29.0	15.0	15.0			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.4	0.7	0.4	0.3			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.2	0.9	0.6	0.4			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	13.2	6.5	4.7	2.5			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	6.7	13.2	23.9	28.0			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>2.2	1.4	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			



Upstream



Downstream




	Cross Section 44 (Run)						
Based on fixed baseline bank full elevation	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	41.5	41.5	41.5	41.5			
Bankfull Width (ft)	13.723	13.5	13.2	13.9			
Floodprone Width (ft)	22.0	22.0	20.0	20.0			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.4	1.3	1.2	1.2			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.0	2.0	1.7	1.7			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	19.6	18.0	15.2	16.8			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	9.6	10.1	11.0	11.4			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	1.6	1.6	1.5	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			



Upstream



Downstream




	Cross Section 45 (Run)						
Based on fixed baseline bank full elevation	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	41.4	41.4	41.4	41.4			
Bankfull Width (ft)	11.8	11.5	11.2	10.8			
Floodprone Width (ft)	35.3	35.3	30.0	30.0			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.2	1.2	1.0	1.0			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.9	2.0	1.7	1.4			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	14.6	13.8	11.3	10.4			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	9.5	9.6	11.1	11.2			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			



Upstream



Downstream




	Cross Section 46 (Run)						
Based on fixed baseline bank full elevation	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	49.3	49.3	49.3	49.3			
Bankfull Width (ft)	8.4	7.2	7.8	6.0			
Floodprone Width (ft)	42.5	42.5	42.5	42.5			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.8	0.7	0.6	0.6			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.5	1.2	1.1	1.1			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	6.3	5.1	4.7	3.4			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	11.1	10.2	12.9	10.7			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			



Upstream



Downstream




	Cross Section 47 (Pool)						
Based on fixed baseline bank full elevation	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	48.2	48.2	48.2	48.2			
Bankfull Width (ft)	6.7	6.3	8.6	5.7			
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.9	0.8	0.5	0.5			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.8	1.5	0.9	0.9			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	6.0	5.3	4.2	2.9			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	7.3	7.4	17.7	11.3			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			



Upstream



Downstream



	Cross Section 48 (Riffle)						
Based on fixed baseline bank full elevation	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	41.0	41.0	41.0	41.0			
Bankfull Width (ft)	15.1	15.0	15.1	15.3			
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.7	1.7	1.6	1.5			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.6	2.7	2.6	2.6			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	25.3	24.8	24.0	23.4			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	9.0	9.1	9.5	10.0			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			






Upstream



Downstream




	Cross Section 49 (Pool)						
Based on fixed baseline bank full elevation	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	40.5	40.5	40.5	40.5			
Bankfull Width (ft)	16.6	17.0	19.3	17.6			
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.7	1.7	1.4	1.6			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.3			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	27.4	28.5	27.3	28.3			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	10.0	10.2	13.7	11.0			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			



Upstream



Downstream




Cross Section 50 (Pool)							
Based on fixed baseline bank full elevation	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	40.0	40.0	40.0	40.0			
Bankfull Width (ft)	18.5	17.7	21.6	17.8			
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.8	1.7	1.5	1.7			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	3.2	3.1	3.2	3.1			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	32.9	30.7	31.7	30.2			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	10.4	10.2	14.7	10.6			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			



Upstream



Downstream




	Cross Section 51 (Riffle)						
Based on fixed baseline bank full elevation	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	40.0	40.0	40.0	40.0			
Bankfull Width (ft)	16.2	16.1	16.3	15.9			
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.5	1.4	1.5	1.4			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.4	2.3	2.6	2.7			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	24.7	23.2	23.7	22.7			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	10.6	11.2	11.2	11.1			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			



Upstream



Downstream




	Cross Section 52 (Run)						
Based on fixed baseline bank full elevation	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	39.8	39.8	39.8	37.0			
Bankfull Width (ft)	17.7	17.8	19.3	10.6			
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.8	2.1	2.7	1.4			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	3.1	4.5	5.9	2.1			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	31.8	36.9	52.3	14.6			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	9.9	8.6	7.1	7.7			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			



Upstream



Downstream




Cross Section 53 (Pool)							
Based on fixed baseline bank full elevation	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	39.7	39.7	39.7	36.9			
Bankfull Width (ft)	17.4	17.9	18.1	8.9			
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.9	2.1	2.2	1.5			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	3.5	3.8	4.1	3.4			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	33.8	37.1	39.0	13.6			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	9.0	8.6	8.4	5.8			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			



Upstream



Downstream




	Cross Section 54 (Pool)						
Based on fixed baseline bank full elevation	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	38.8	38.8	38.8	35.9			
Bankfull Width (ft)	15.7	16.7	20.3	11.5			
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.7	2.0	2.2	1.0			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.9	4.0	4.4	1.7			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	26.1	32.7	45.2	11.7			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	9.5	8.5	9.1	11.3			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			



Upstream



Downstream




Cross Section 55 (Riffle)							
Based on fixed baseline bank full elevation	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	38.0	38.0	38.0	35.6			
Bankfull Width (ft)	9.7	14.8	20.8	10.2			
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.4	2.2	2.1	1.0			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.2	3.0	3.3	1.7			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	13.6	33.3	44.4	10.5			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	7.0	6.6	9.7	9.9			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			



Upstream



Downstream



	Cross Section 56 (Run)						
Based on fixed baseline bank full elevation	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	37.3	37.3	37.3	37.3			
Bankfull Width (ft)	17.6	17.0	17.5	18.4			
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	2.6	2.2	2.2	2.1			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	3.7	3.2	3.3	3.4			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	45.3	38.0	37.9	38.1			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	6.9	7.6	8.0	8.8			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			






Upstream



Downstream




	Cross Section 57 (Run)						
Based on fixed baseline bank full elevation	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	35.7	35.7	35.7	35.7			
Bankfull Width (ft)	17.0	16.8	16.0	16.4			
Floodprone Width (ft)	37.5	37.5	37.5	37.5			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.8	1.3	1.4	1.5			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.6	2.1	2.1	2.5			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	30.7	22.4	22.7	24.8			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	9.4	12.5	11.2	10.9			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	2.2	2.2	>2.2	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			



Upstream



Downstream




	Cross Section 58 (Run)						
Based on fixed baseline bank full elevation	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	41.0	41.0	41.0	41.0			
Bankfull Width (ft)	14.2	13.7	16.9	14.0			
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	2.4	2.3	1.9	2.3			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	3.4	3.3	3.3	3.3			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	33.9	31.7	32.3	32.6			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	6.0	6.0	8.9	6.0			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			



Upstream



Downstream



	Cross Section 59 (Run)						
Based on fixed baseline bankfull elevation	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	39.5	39.5	39.5	39.5			
Bankfull Width (ft)	13.5	12.5	11.9	11.9			
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.1	0.9	0.9	0.8			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.2	1.8	1.8	1.6			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	15.2	11.3	11.1	9.9			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	11.9	13.8	12.7	14.2			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			

# **Appendix E**

## **Hydrology Data**

Table 13. Documentation of Geomorphologically Significant Flow Events

Table 14. Rainfall Summary

Table 15a. Wetland Hydrology Criteria Attainment

Table 15b. MY1-MY3 Wetland Hydrology Gauges Summary

Chart 1. 2016 Precipitation Data for Muddy Run II Site

Chart 2. 2016 Groundwater Monitoring Gauge Hydrographs

Crest Gauge Verification Photos

**Table 13. Documentation of Geomorphically Significant Flow Events**

<b>Crest Gauge</b>	<b>Stream Reach</b>	<b>Number of Bankfull Events</b>	<b>Date of Highest Bankfull Event</b>	<b>Maximum Bankfull Height (ft.)</b>	<b>Photo Number</b>
Crest Gauge 1	Reach 2	4	10/8/2016	1.60	1
Crest Gauge 2	Reach 3A	8	2/5/2016	2.0	2
Crest Gauge 3	Reach 3B	2	10/8/2016	2.18	3
Crest Gauge 4	Reach 5B	1	10/8/2016	3.8	4

**Table 14. Rainfall Summary**

<b>Month</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>Normal Limits</b>		<b>Wallace Station Precipitation</b>	<b>On-Site Auto Rain Gauge</b>
		<b>30 Percent</b>	<b>70 Percent</b>		
January	4.33	3.32	5.03	3.95	3.82
February	3.23	2.14	3.87	8.66	5.95
March	4.50	3.23	5.32	3.41	2.60
April	3.16	1.70	3.85	3.54	0.79
May	3.68	2.69	4.34	5.40	5.33
June	4.49	3.11	5.34	4.33	4.15
July	6.06	4.16	7.22	2.69	6.36
August	5.40	3.12	6.56	5.24	4.38
September	5.00	2.04	6.07	11.59	5.80
October	3.21	1.62	3.92	8.46	---
November	2.89	1.83	3.49	0.73	---
December	3.24	2.14	3.88	3.42	---
Total	49.19	31.10	58.89	61.42	39.18

**Table 15a. Wetland Hydrology Criteria Attainment**

<b>2016 Max Hydroperiod (Growing Season 17-Mar through 14-Nov, 242 days)</b>					
<b>Well Data for 17-Mar through 9-November</b>					
<b>Success Criterion 9% = 22 Consecutive Days</b>					
<b>Gauge</b>	<b>Consecutive</b>		<b>Cumulative</b>		<b>Occurrences</b>
	<b>Days</b>	<b>Percent of growing Season</b>	<b>Days</b>	<b>Percent of growing Season</b>	
<b>AW1</b>	22	9	96	39	17
<b>AW2</b>	21	9	87	36	15
<b>AW3</b>	32	13	103	43	12
<b>AW4</b>	95	39	163	67	7
<b>AW5</b>	32	13	130	54	21
<b>AW6</b>	22	9	109	45	22
<b>AW7</b>	36	15	186	77	12
<b>RAW1</b>	33	13	87	36	12
<b>RAW2</b>	15	6	32	13	7
<b>RAW3</b>	32	13	75	31	10

**Table 15b. MY1-MY3 Wetland Hydrology Gauge Summary**

<b>Gauge</b>	<b>MY1-2014</b>		<b>MY2-2015</b>		<b>MY3-2016</b>	
	<b>Consecutive</b>		<b>Consecutive</b>		<b>Consecutive</b>	
	<b>Days</b>	<b>Percent of growing Season</b>	<b>Days</b>	<b>Percent of growing Season</b>	<b>Days</b>	<b>Percent of growing Season</b>
<b>AW1</b>	22	9	63	26	22	9
<b>AW2</b>	22	9	41	17	21	9
<b>AW3</b>	13	5	38	16	32	13
<b>AW4</b>	67	28	77	32	95	39
<b>AW5</b>	7	3	38	16	32	13
<b>AW6</b>	43	18	65	27	22	9
<b>AW7</b>	5	2	72	30	36	15
<b>RAW1</b>	22	9	49	20	33	13
<b>RAW2</b>	10	4	19	8	15	6
<b>RAW3</b>	20	8	41	17	32	13

**Chart 1. 2016 Precipitation Data for Muddy Run II Site**







Chart 2. Muddy Run II Groundwater Monitoring Gauge Hydrographs










2016 Muddy Run II Groundwater Gauge AW3




2016 Muddy Run II Groundwater Gauge AW4








2016 Muddy Run II Groundwater Gauge REFAW2



2016 Muddy Run II Groundwater Gauge REFAW3



**Appendix E – Crest Gauge Verification Photos**



**Photo 1.** Crest Gauge 1 (Reach 2 - 1.6 ft. – 10/8/16)



**Photo 2.** Crest Gauge 2 (Reach 3A – 2.0 ft. – 2/5/16)



**Photo 3.** Crest Gauge 3 (Reach 3B – Wrack Lines. – 10/8/16)



**Photo 4.** Crest Gauge 4 (Reach 5A – 3.8 ft. – 10/8/16)