

NORTH FORK MOUNTAIN CREEK
STREAM AND WETLAND RESTORATION

FINAL BASELINE MONITORING DOCUMENT AND AS-BUILT
BASELINE REPORT

Catawba County, North Carolina
EEP Project No. 94151



Prepared for:

Environmental Banc and Exchange, LLC
909 Capability Drive, Suite 3100
Raleigh, NC 27606

NC Ecosystem Enhancement Program
1652 Mail Service Center
Raleigh, NC 27699



Status of Plan: Final
Data Collection Period: May – June 2012
Submission Date: August 2012

Prepared by:



Stantec

Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.
801 Jones Franklin Road, Suite 300
Raleigh, NC 27606

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The As-Built/Baseline Monitoring Report presented here includes the monitoring plan success criteria, methodology, and baseline conditions for North Fork Mountain Creek Stream and Wetland Restoration Site. This southern Catawba County, North Carolina site is located approximately 6 miles south of Catawba and lies in southeastern Catawba County. North Fork Mountain Creek flows from southwest to northeast, and the tributaries flow from northwest to southeast through the permanent conservation easement.

The overall goal of the North Fork restoration project was to improve water quality and wildlife habitat by restoring a stable stream and riparian buffer system to the project site. The objectives of the project were to restore stream stability and improve aquatic habitat, restore riparian buffer along the stream channels, restore and create wetlands, and establish native vegetation within the permanent conservation easement. The project site is in the full delivery service area and outside of the applicable excluded zones of the Catawba River Basin. The ongoing livestock operations in combination with past land use practices have resulted in highly degraded stream systems and present an opportunity for substantial water quality and riparian ecosystem improvements.

The stream and wetland project will provide numerous ecological and water quality benefits within the Catawba River Basin. While many of these benefits are limited to the project area, others, such as pollutant removal and improved aquatic and terrestrial habitat, have more far-reaching effects. Restoration of the stream channels and riparian buffers using the principles of natural channel design will greatly benefit the stream system by improving biological integrity, increasing dissolved oxygen, and moderating the pH level and water temperature.

During construction, unforeseen conditions led to field changes in the construction of the project as compared to the design presented within the mitigation plan. These conditions provided both opportunities and challenges and allowed the project to better incorporate bedrock, more efficiently balance cut and fill and basically function better within the existing landscape. Despite these changes, the project is still in compliance with the objectives of the mitigation plan and adheres to the mitigation needs and intent of the project. The project also continues to comply with the guidelines of the 401/404 permit. For the purposes of this report, the adjusted field design was used for all mentions and depictions of design data.

As per guidance at the time of permitting, the stream, wetlands and vegetative community will be monitored for seven years or until success criteria are met. All four stream reaches will be visually monitored at least twice per year. Twenty-six permanent cross-sections will be surveyed in years 1, 2, 3, 5 and 7 on all stream reaches to assess stability of the system. Two crest gauges will be observed each monitoring visit; one is located on the downstream end of Reach 2 and the other is located on the downstream end of Reach 4. At least two bankfull events must occur during the seven year monitoring period with the events occurring in different years. Restored and created wetlands will be visually assessed at least twice each monitoring year. Groundwater within typical wetlands of each type will be monitored using ten automated groundwater gauges. Based on data collected onsite, an 8% hydroperiod during the growing season will be used as success criteria for this project. Restored wetland hydrology will also be compared to the reference wetland hydrology.

Vegetative sample plots will be quantitatively monitored in the fall of monitoring years 1, 2, 3, 5 and 7. Fourteen vegetation plots will be monitored using the Carolina Vegetation Survey methodology version 4.2 (Lee 2008). The plots will be monitored until monitoring year 7 or longer if success criteria are not met. The vegetative success of the restoration site will be evaluated based on species density and survival rates. Vegetation monitoring will be considered successful if at least 210 stems/acre (trees and shrubs) are surviving at the end of seven years.

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	i
1.0 Project Goals, Background and Attributes	1
1.1 Location and Setting	1
1.2 Project Goals and Objectives	1
1.3 Project Structure, Restoration TYPE, and Approach	2
1.3.1 Project Structure.....	2
1.3.2 Restoration Type and Approach	2
1.4 Project History, Contacts, and Attribute Data.....	3
2.0 Success Criteria.....	5
2.1 Morphologic Parameters and Channel Stability	5
2.1.1 Dimension	5
2.1.2 Pattern and Profile.....	5
2.1.3 Substrate.....	5
2.1.4 Sediment Transport.....	5
2.2 Vegetation	5
2.3 Hydrology	6
2.3.1 Streams.....	6
2.3.2 Wetlands	6
3.0 Monitoring Plan Guidelines	7
3.1 Hydrology	7
3.1.1 Wetland.....	7
3.1.2 Stream	7
3.2 Stream Channel Stability And Geomorphology	7
3.2.1 Dimension	7
3.2.2 Profile.....	8
3.2.3 Pattern	8
3.2.4 Substrate.....	8
3.2.5 Visual Assessment	8
3.2.6 Bank Stability Assessments	8
3.3 Vegetation	8
3.4 Photo Stations	9
3.5 Watershed	9
3.6 Monitoring Plan View.....	9
4.0 Maintenance and Contingency Plans	10
5.0 As-Built Conditions / Baseline	11
5.1 As-Built/Record Drawings.....	11
5.2 Baseline Data (Year 0).....	11
5.2.1 Channel Morphology	11
5.2.2 Verification of Plantings	12
5.2.3 Photo Documentation.....	12
5.2.4 Hydrology	12
6.0 References.....	13
7.0 Appendices.....	15
Appendix A	General Tables and Figures
Appendix B	Morphological Summary Data and Plots
Appendix C	Vegetation Data
Appendix D	As-Built Plan Sheets
Appendix E	Final Conservation Easement

This page intentionally left blank for double sided printing.

1.0 Project Goals, Background and Attributes

1.1 LOCATION AND SETTING

The North Fork Mountain Creek Restoration Site is located approximately 6 miles south of Catawba, North Carolina and lies in southeastern Catawba County (Figure 1, Appendix A). The project stream is a section of North Fork Mountain Creek (Reach 4) and an Unnamed Tributary (UT) of North Fork Mountain Creek (Reach 1, Reach 2, and Reach 3). The project is located within the piedmont region of the Catawba River Basin (NCDWQ Sub-basin 03-08-32) and is within the United States Geological Survey (USGS) 14-digit Hydrologic Unit Code 03050101150030. The reaches are all perennial streams and are located within an easement owned by the State of North Carolina on property owned by Hunsucker Farms, LLC. The land surrounding the project is primarily cattle pasture with forested areas to the south and west.

1.2 PROJECT GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

The goals of the North Fork Mountain Creek Stream Restoration Project include restoration of stream channel dimension, pattern, and profile, and wetland restoration. Restoration of stream morphology and subsequent connectivity of the stream channel to its adjacent floodplain and riparian zone will reduce sediment-related water quality impacts and reduce scour and incision. Construction of in-stream structures and riffle-pool sequences will improve aquatic habitat diversity. Restoration of floodplain wetlands will improve water quality and provide important habitat. Planting of native riparian vegetation will restore wetland and riparian buffer function as well as stabilize the banks. Restoration of full ecological potential will create stream and wetland mitigation credit in the Catawba River Basin.

The objective of a Priority I project is to replace the incised channel with a new, stable stream at a higher elevation. This is accomplished by excavating a new channel with the appropriate dimension, pattern and profile (based on reference-reach data) to fit the watershed and valley type (NCSRI 2004). The reconnection of the channel to its original floodplain will raise the water table at the site and likely restore hydrology to additional wetland areas.

Some reaches were restored using a combination of Priority I and Priority II natural channel design. The objective of a Priority II project is to create a new, stable stream and floodplain at the existing channel-bed elevation. This is accomplished by excavating a new floodplain and stream channel at the elevation of the existing incised stream. The new channel is designed with the appropriate dimension, pattern and profile (based on reference reach data) to fit the floodplain (NCSRI 2004).

The specific restoration goals below address water quality, habitat, and hydrology.

<i>Goals</i>	<i>Objectives</i>
Improve stream stability	Restore 5,299 linear feet of stream through Priority I and II natural channel design
Improve water quality both locally and in downstream waters	Reduce sediment load by reducing bank erosion using natural channel design Expand the riparian buffer by planting native hardwood trees and protecting at least 50 linear feet on each side of the new channel. Fence cattle out of the proposed easement area
Improve the riparian vegetative community and terrestrial habitat	Convert pastureland to native forest by planting native riparian hardwood trees and seed
Restore and create riparian wetlands onsite	Improve the hydrology by increasing groundwater recharge, groundwater and surface water storage, and groundwater/surface water interaction by lowering the floodplain and raising the water table
Improve the aquatic habitat onsite	Coarsen the stream bed and provide structure and shade to the stream

1.3 PROJECT STRUCTURE, RESTORATION TYPE, AND APPROACH

1.3.1 Project Structure

More than 5,299 linear feet of stream were restored, and 4.44 acres of wetland were restored or created in the North Fork Mountain Creek restoration project. Segmenting the project into individual components resulted in four distinct restoration reaches. These reaches were determined based on existing stream order, stream type, restoration level (Rosgen Priority I, Priority II), existing and design stream Rosgen classification (e.g. B4, C4), and several other design considerations.

The restoration areas are outlined in the Project Components Table (Table 1) and are also depicted in the Project Asset Maps (Figures 2A and 2B).

1.3.2 Restoration Type and Approach

The restored stream channels were designed using Rosgen’s Natural Channel Design Methodology (Rosgen 1996). Bankfull cross-sectional areas observed in the field were used as the basis for the design. Where bankfull indicators were not present, Piedmont regional curves were used. Bed roughness was assumed to be equal for all reaches within the design process. Manning’s equation was applied to calculate mean velocities and then multiplied by channel area to estimate design discharges for the restoration reaches. While channel roughness may vary slightly from point to point within and among these channels, the overriding similarity between substrate and overbank properties warranted the use of common values. Shear stress and stream power were design constraints; flow velocity was set to a maximum of 3 to 5 ft/s in all reaches. Typical morphological characteristics from stable reference reaches were also used in determining design dimension, pattern, and profile parameters. Additionally, all design

reach parameters (geomorphology, slopes, etc.) were determined with cut/fill balance in mind, and then verified with hydraulic analysis for shear stress, stream power, and flood hydraulics.

A combination of Priority I (PI) and Priority II (PII) restoration techniques were implemented on the restoration reaches in order to work with existing valley confinements in addition to optimizing cut/fill requirements and reducing construction costs. In general, three channel types have been used for the design of the restoration reaches: B4, B4c, and C4. Structures have been placed throughout the reaches to provide grade control, bank stability, redirection of flows, and stream habitat improvement. Primary structures include woody debris toe sod mats, log step pools, constructed log/rock riffles, rock cross vanes, brush mattresses and J-hooks.

Wetlands on the North Fork Mountain Creek project site were significantly increased through the restoration and creation of riverine floodplain wetlands along the restored stream channel and the enhancement of existing wetland areas through the selective planting of hardwood species. Additionally, the entire floodplain of North Fork Mountain Creek and its tributaries is protected by a permanent conservation easement, increasing the functional uplift of the entire system. Re-connecting the stream channel to its floodplain by raising the stream bed elevation allows more frequent overbank flooding to occur within the stream valley. This overbank flooding along with natural seeps provides the hydrology needed to support the wetland community within the project area. A few existing swales were removed and the site was graded such that water will be allowed to pool in the floodplain.

As previously discussed, the target vegetative community is a Piedmont/Low Mountain Alluvial Forest along the restored stream channel and Mesic Mixed Hardwood Forest on the side slopes as described by Schafale and Weakley's Classification of the Natural Communities of North Carolina (1990). Based on the grading plans, site elevations, predicted flooding, stream type, local seed source and best professional judgment, the North Fork Mountain Creek Site has been divided into four planting zones. The planting plan is presented within the design sheets in the As-built Plan Set in Appendix D.

1.4 PROJECT HISTORY, CONTACTS, AND ATTRIBUTE DATA

The 960-acre project watershed is located in the western-most portion of the Piedmont Physiographic Province. Elevations on the NFMC Site range from approximately 870 to 1,065 feet above mean sea level. The soil survey for Catawba County (USDA-NRCS, 2010) indicates that the riparian corridor of the entire project area is underlain by Chewacla loam (ChA). Chewacla is listed as Hydric B, a soil map unit with hydric inclusions, by the Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) and is identified as a somewhat poorly drained soil that occurs in floodplains.

Historic aerial photos (1961, 1976, 1983, 1993, and 2006) were reviewed. Historically the area was used almost exclusively for agriculture as it continues to be today. One of the more destructive present day agricultural practices to watersheds is livestock foraging in the stream channels, which causes hoof shear to the beds and banks.

Today approximately 50% of the watershed consists of agricultural pasture/hay, and approximately 40% is comprised of mixed forest. The remaining area is comprised of scattered single-family homes, herbaceous land, and two-lane roadways. Buffalo Shoals Road (SR 1003) borders the watershed to the west while Little Mountain Road (SR 1815) borders the watershed to the south. In general, the

surrounding land use creates desirable restoration conditions due to the low amount of impervious surfaces and the unlikelihood of future development in the watershed as described below.

This portion of Catawba County is zoned 'R – 40' which is intended to accommodate low-density single-family detached dwellings and duplexes, at a maximum density of one dwelling unit per acre. Agricultural activities are also allowed within this zone (Catawba County 2007). The Hunsucker Farms, LLC parcel is also listed as participating in the Voluntary Agricultural District Program (Catawba County 2010). Given the high level of agricultural activity in the area, land use within the watershed is not expected to change, and development is not expected to increase enough to cause significant changes in the stream hydrograph. If development does occur in the future, the streams will be protected to some degree by the restored buffers onsite.

Refer to Tables 2-4 in Appendix A for additional project details.

2.0 Success Criteria

2.1 MORPHOLOGIC PARAMETERS AND CHANNEL STABILITY

Success criteria pertain to the stability of the restored channel's dimension, pattern, and sediment transport. The restored channel must demonstrate the general maintenance of a stable cross-section and have hydrologic access to the floodplain over the monitoring period. The restoration reach should mimic reference reach conditions and the channel will be considered stable if there are little or insignificant changes from the as-built dimensions. Some change in stream dimension is natural and expected.

2.1.1 Dimension

Physical success of a restored stream (in the context of dimension) is conventionally determined by assessment of bed and bank stability. Thorough investigation of stability requires monitoring changes in dimensions of the channel over time as compared to known stable conditions (design and/or reference reach). Channel dimension changes should be compared to the range of values seen in the reference reach and design approach. Significant departure from these design/reference parameters (dimensionless ratios) would cause concern about the stability of the system. Please see the morphological table provided as Table 5 in Appendix B for a summary of these parameters.

2.1.2 Pattern and Profile

As per the recent guidance, pattern and profile data were only collected during the baseline survey.

2.1.3 Substrate

Following construction, the channel will experience a range of flows with associated sediment loads (size and volume) and will subsequently naturalize. Once the constructed channel naturalizes, then the particle size distribution for each reach should remain consistent throughout the monitoring period and thereafter.

2.1.4 Sediment Transport

In terms of sediment transport, no significant aggradation or depositional trends should occur over the monitoring period. Subsequent monitoring (following as-built conditions) may account for a greater range of events and more accurately reflect success of sediment transport.

2.2 VEGETATION

The vegetative success of the Piedmont/Low Mountain Alluvial Forest and the Mesic Mixed Hardwood Forest will be evaluated based on the species density and survival rates. As per the 404 permit special conditions, vegetation monitoring will be considered successful if at least 210 planted woody stems/acre are surviving at the end of seven years.

2.3 HYDROLOGY

2.3.1 Streams

A minimum of two-bankfull events must be documented by the crest gages within the seven year monitoring period. Qualifying events may not occur within the same monitoring year.

2.3.2 Wetlands

As per USACE guidelines, wetlands exhibiting water within 12 inches of the surface consecutively between 5% and 12.5% of the growing season in most years may be considered wetlands. The most recent 30 years of climate station (growing season) data for Catawba County is not available; therefore data from the previous 30 year interval (1961-1990) was used to determine growing season dates (March 21 to November 11) (Gregory 2002). Based on data collected onsite, an 8% hydroperiod during the hydroperiod will be used as success criteria for this project. Restored wetland hydrology will also be compared to the reference wetland hydrology (USACE 1987 and 1992).

3.0 Monitoring Plan Guidelines

3.1 HYDROLOGY

3.1.1 Wetland

The wetland restoration and creation areas will be monitored annually for seven years following construction or longer until success criteria are met. Groundwater within typical wetlands of each type will be monitored using ten automated groundwater gauges. Refer to the Project Asset Map in Appendix A for the locations of the automated groundwater gauges.

3.1.2 Stream

Two crest gages were installed on the site post-restoration. The crest gages will be monitored to verify that at least two bankfull events occur over the 7 year monitoring period. In order for the monitoring to be considered complete, the two verification events must occur in separate monitoring years. One gauge was placed near the downstream end of Reach 2, and the other was placed near the downstream end of Reach 4.

3.2 STREAM CHANNEL STABILITY AND GEOMORPHOLOGY

The stability of the stream channel will be monitored for seven years or until success criteria are met. A visual assessment of stream stability will be conducted along all reaches yearly. Visual assessment will include observations of problem areas, documented by photos and location display on aerial photos.

The entire project will be monitored in depth for dimension and pattern as detailed below. Cross-sections were established on the reaches to monitor stream dimensions. Cross sectional surveys and pebble counts will occur in years 1, 2, 3, 5, and 7. As vegetation establishes and the channels stabilize, the channels' cross-sections are expected to tighten slightly; however, the cross-sections should not indicate down-cutting or widening. Monitoring efforts will evaluate any changes by overlaying each year's cross-sections with the previous years' for comparison.

3.2.1 Dimension

After construction, permanent cross-sections were established. Cross-sections were established in 14 riffles and 12 pools. Cross-sectional surveys will occur in years 1, 2, 3, 5, and 7. Data collected will include, at a minimum, cross-sectional area, bankfull width, bankfull mean depth, bankfull max depth, flood-prone width, width-to-depth ratio, and entrenchment ratio. Stream type will be determined in riffle cross-sections only.

3.2.2 Profile

As per the recent guidance, longitudinal profile will only be collected during the baseline survey. Instead, extra cross-sections will continue to be monitored for the 7-year monitoring period.

3.2.3 Pattern

As per the recent guidance, pattern will not be monitored. Extra cross-sections will instead be monitored.

3.2.4 Substrate

Reach-wide pebble counts and pebble counts in the riffle cross-sections will be completed in monitoring years 1, 2, 3, 5 and 7. Counts will be conducted using the modified Wolman Pebble Count Procedure (Rosgen 1994). Data reported will include the d50 and d84 particle sizes.

3.2.5 Visual Assessment

A visual assessment of stream stability will be conducted along all reaches yearly. Visual assessments will include observations of problem areas, documented by photos and location display on aerial photos.

3.2.6 Bank Stability Assessments

Post-restoration, BEHI and near bank stress will not be monitored.

3.3 VEGETATION

Vegetative sample plots will be quantitatively monitored during September of monitoring years 1, 2, 3, 5 and 7. Vegetation will be monitored as per the CVS-EEP Protocol for Recording Vegetation, version 4.2 (CVS-EEP 2008) and includes analysis of species composition, density, and survival. Visual assessments will be performed in monitoring years 4 and 6. The plots will be monitored until monitoring year 7 or longer if success criteria are not met. Fourteen 100m² plots were established within the project area. In each plot, four plot corners were permanently located with conduit and are included in the monitoring plan sheets. Planted vegetation (Level 1) will be recorded for the baseline monitoring and Monitoring Year 1, while both planted vegetation and natural volunteers (Level 2) will be recorded for Monitoring Years 2, 3, 5 and 7. Baseline monitoring data is provided in the Appendix C data tables. Refer to the Project Asset Maps in Appendix A for the locations of the Vegetation Plots.

Any stream and/or vegetative problem areas in the project will be noted and reported in each subsequent monitoring report. Stream problem areas include beaver activity, bank stability, structure function, and channel stability. Vegetative problem areas include areas that either lack vegetation or include populations of exotic vegetation.

3.4 PHOTO STATIONS

Representative photo reference points have been identified and located using a Global Positioning System. The stations are shown on the Project Asset Maps in Appendix A. Photos will be taken at each location at approximately the same time each year. Stream photos will be taken during the annual stream survey events. Stream station photos for the baseline monitoring year are provided in Appendix B. Vegetation plot photos will be taken during the annual vegetation monitoring events. Vegetation station photos for the baseline monitoring year are provided in Appendix C.

3.5 WATERSHED

Due to the rural nature of the area, major land use changes are not anticipated to take place in the watershed. If problems with the stream begin to occur, the land use within watershed will be assessed. Relevant items, including changes in impervious surface percentage, will be analyzed and taken into account during any potential repairs.

3.6 MONITORING PLAN VIEW

A plan view of the monitoring scheme is presented in the As-Built Plan Sheets in Appendix D.

4.0 Maintenance and Contingency Plans

Any maintenance needs will be determined during monitoring visits. During the baseline monitoring year upon completion of construction, the contractor must address any issues under their warranty. In subsequent monitoring years, the monitoring firm will determine maintenance needs. Small maintenance tasks that can be completed by hand may be performed by the monitoring firm while any large maintenance items will be coordinated with NCEEP to determine the appropriate course of action.

The monitoring firm will visually assess the site to verify that the stream and wetland are functioning as needed and note any adjustments that may be necessary. It is not anticipated that invasive plant species will be a significant problem onsite. During the monitoring, any invasive species problems will be noted and specific management options will be proposed.

5.0 As-Built Conditions / Baseline

5.1 AS-BUILT/RECORD DRAWINGS

Site grading was completed in May 2012. The as-built survey was completed by Stantec Consulting Services, Inc. on June 29th, 2012. The As-Built Plan Sheets are located in Appendix D. Planting was completed on May 21st and the baseline vegetation data collection was performed by Equinox Environmental Consultation and Design, Inc. on May 25, 2012.

5.2 BASELINE DATA (YEAR 0)

5.2.1 Channel Morphology

5.2.1.1. Profile

The entire lengths of the North Fork Mountain Creek restoration reaches were surveyed by Stantec staff using survey-grade GPS to assess baseline conditions. Multiple parameters were located including top of bank, thalweg, and water surface. The longitudinal profile is shown in Appendix B. Comparison of the as-built data with the design data indicates that construction is consistent and within the acceptable design range. Field changes led to adjustments in the thalweg elevation near the downstream end of the project to encourage the most appropriate and stable channel for the system. At the end of construction, the channel was stable.

5.2.1.2. Dimension

In general, the As-Built survey demonstrates that the project overall was built in accordance to the design specifications. Twenty-six cross sections on the restoration reaches were surveyed by Stantec staff. Baseline morphological data is presented in Table 5 and 6 in Appendix B, along with the cross-sectional data at the twenty-six permanent cross sections. Comparison of the As-Built data with the design data indicates that, in general, the constructed cross sections are consistent with the design. The bankfull area is consistent with design. As a result, the channel will have the ability to naturally adjust toward the intended B and C-type channels.

5.2.1.3. Pattern

The pattern of the restoration reaches was picked up during both the As-Built and the baseline morphology survey. The location is shown on both the component map in Appendix A as well as in the As-Built plan sheets in Appendix D. Morphological calculations are included in Table 5 in Appendix B. The pattern values lie within the design parameters for a stable channel.

5.2.2 Verification of Plantings

Equinox staff completed the As-Built vegetation monitoring on May 25, 2012. Throughout the project site, it was found that many of the plants had survived and are exhibiting robust growth. Approximately 15% of the plants sampled were dead or unlikely to survive, and the remaining 85% of plants are healthy and are likely to survive. *Platanus occidentalis* and *Fraxinus pennsylvanica* showed the most vigor out of the planted species. All of the vegetation plots exhibited more than sufficient viable plant density. Most of the livestakes were alive and had sprouted new stems since planting.

The May 2012 baseline vegetation monitoring was completed using CVS-EEP Protocol for Recording Vegetation, version 4.2 (CVS-EEP 2008) in fourteen plots; two along Reach 1, five along Reach 2, two along Reach 3, and five along Reach 4. According to the data collected, the average plant density is 989 stems/acre, and the highest densities occurred along Reach 2. The original planting plan specified 681 stems/acre.

5.2.3 Photo Documentation

Photo stations were established in 52 locations along the project and include stream cross-section photos and vegetation plot photos, among others. The location of the stations can be seen on the Project Asset Maps in Appendix A. Baseline station photos for the veg plots were taken on May 25, 2012 during the baseline vegetation monitoring. Baseline station photos for the stream were taken on June 27, 2012 during the As-Built survey data collection.

5.2.4 Hydrology

Two crest gauges were installed onsite on May 25, 2012; one on the downstream end of Reach 2 and one on the downstream end of Reach 4. At the time of the baseline monitoring, no bankfull events had occurred since construction. The gauge will be used in future monitoring to verify occurrences of bankfull events.

6.0 References

- Catawba County 2007. Catawba County Unified Development Ordinance. Hickory, NC. <http://www.catawbacountync.gov/depts/planning/udo/default1.asp>
- Catawba County 2010. Catawba County GIS Real Estate Search (Online GIS). Accessed October 4, 2010. http://www.gis.catawba.nc.us/website/Parcel/parcel_main.asp
- Gregory, James D. 2002. Hydric Soils and Growing Season: Wetland Delineation Data for North Carolina. Department of Forestry, NC State University, Raleigh, NC.
- Lee, Michael T., R. K. Peet, S. D. Roberts, and T. R. Wentworth. 2008. CVS-EEP Protocol for Recording Vegetation, Version 4.2 (<http://cvs.bio.unc.edu/methods.htm>)
- NCEEP. 2007. Catawba River Basin Priorities 2007. North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources, Ecosystem Enhancement Program. Raleigh, NC.
- NCEEP. 2010a. Procedural Guidance and Content Requirements for EEP Monitoring Reports. North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources, Ecosystems Enhancement Program. Raleigh, NC. Version 1.3, January 15, 2010.
- NCEEP. 2010b. Baseline Monitoring Document Format, Data Requirements, and Content Guidance. North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources, Ecosystems Enhancement Program. Raleigh, NC. Version 2.0, October 14, 2010.
- NCEEP 2011. Monitoring Requirements and Performance Standards for Stream and/or Wetland Mitigation. North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources, Ecosystems Enhancement Program. Raleigh, NC. November 7, 2011.
- NCSRI 2004. Stream Restoration: A Natural Channel Design Handbook. North Carolina Stream Restoration Institute and North Carolina Sea Grant. http://www.bae.ncsu.edu/programs/extension/wqg/srp/sr_guidebook.pdf
- Rosgen, D. 1994. A Classification of Natural Rivers. *Catena* 22:169-199. Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- Rosgen, D. 1996. Applied River Morphology. Wildland Hydrology, Pagosa Springs, CO.
- Schafale, M.P. and A.S. Weakley. 1990. Classification of the Natural Communities of North Carolina Third Approximation. North Carolina Natural Heritage Program, Raleigh, NC.
- USACE 1987. Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual. Technical Report Y-87-1. US Army Corps of Engineers, Washington, DC.

USACE 1992. Clarification and Interpretation of the 1987 Manual. Memo to USACE districts from Headquarters, US Army Corps of Engineers, Washington, DC, March 6, 1992; signed by MG Arthur E. Williams, Directorate of Civil Works.

USDA-NRCS 2010. Web Soil Survey for Catawba County. <http://www.websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov>. Accessed October 4, 2010.

7.0 Appendices

Appendix A – General Tables and Figures

Appendix B – Morphological Summary Data and Plots

Appendix C – Vegetation Data

Appendix D – As-Built Plan Sheets


Appendix E – Final Conservation Easement

This page intentionally left blank for double sided printing.

Appendix A - General Tables and Figures

This page intentionally left blank for double sided printing.

Directions (From I-40 West): Take exit 138 south onto NC-10 / Oxford School Road. Drive 3 miles into the town of Catawba and turn right on 3rd Avenue SW / NC-10. Continue on NC-10 for 2.3 miles and turn left onto Murray's Mill Road. Murray's Mill Road then becomes Buffalo Shoals Road. The project site is located in 0.25 miles beyond Bandy Crossroads, on a cattle farm on the left side of the road.



- Easement
- Property boundary





Figure 1 - Vicinity Map
North Fork Mountain Creek
Stream and Wetland Project
Catawba County, NC

USGS 7.5 Min Quadrangles:
Denver, Catawba, Newton, Maiden




(This page intentionally left blank)



Data Source: 2010 NAIP Aerial Photo

This map is not a certified survey and has not been reviewed by a local government agency for compliance with any applicable land development regulations.



- Crest gauges
- Groundwater monitoring wells (Well 1-10)
- Photo points (S = Stream, V = Veg)
- As-built bankfull MY0
- As-built stream restoration centerline (MY0)
- Stream cross-section surveys (XS 1-26)
- Easement boundary
- Vegetation monitoring plots (VP 1-14)
- Wetland Type**
 - Creation
 - Existing
 - Restoration
- Culverts
- Rock ford crossing
- Property boundary

Figure 2 - Asset Map A
 North Fork Mountain Creek
 Stream and Wetland Project
 Catawba County, NC
 July 2012


Drawn by: AEB 7/6/2012
 Checked by: KLV 7/6/2012



Cross-section Pins	Latitude	Longitude	Cross-section Pins	Latitude	Longitude
XS1 Left	35.633861	-81.086923	XS14 Left	35.626329	-81.086024
XS1 Right	35.633762	-81.087018	XS14 Right	35.626168	-81.085978
XS2 Left	35.633667	-81.086849	XS15 Left	35.626401	-81.085605
XS2 Right	35.633653	-81.086998	XS15 Right	35.626216	-81.085691
XS3 Left	35.632551	-81.085912	XS16 Left	35.62641	-81.085486
XS3 Right	35.632507	-81.085971	XS16 Right	35.626345	-81.085316
XS4 Left	35.632492	-81.085831	XS17 Left	35.626992	-81.08471
XS4 Right	35.632455	-81.085892	XS17 Right	35.626853	-81.084708
XS5 Left	35.631634	-81.084767	XS18 Left	35.627017	-81.084577
XS5 Right	35.631541	-81.084897	XS18 Right	35.626929	-81.084434
XS6 Left	35.631605	-81.084726	XS19 Left	35.627814	-81.084017
XS6 Right	35.631522	-81.084843	XS19 Right	35.627887	-81.083868
XS7 Left	35.631169	-81.084151	XS20 Left	35.628122	-81.08406
XS7 Right	35.631094	-81.084273	XS20 Right	35.628038	-81.083902
XS8 Left	35.63107	-81.084045	XS21 Left	35.628514	-81.083671
XS8 Right	35.630973	-81.084106	XS21 Right	35.628394	-81.083562
XS9 Left	35.630521	-81.083355	XS22 Left	35.628527	-81.083437
XS9 Right	35.630489	-81.083479	XS22 Right	35.628378	-81.083441
XS10 Left	35.630416	-81.08325	XS23 Left	35.628804	-81.083058
XS10 Right	35.630369	-81.08332	XS23 Right	35.628696	-81.083056
XS11 Left	35.629911	-81.082912	XS24 Left	35.62881	-81.082974
XS11 Right	35.629871	-81.082948	XS24 Right	35.628739	-81.082861
XS12 Left	35.6329	-81.087216	XS25 Left	35.629145	-81.082593
XS12 Right	35.632822	-81.087209	XS25 Right	35.629058	-81.082462
XS13 Left	35.6329	-81.087101	XS26 Left	35.629236	-81.082591
XS13 Right	35.632816	-81.087147	XS26 Right	35.62922	-81.082424

Groundwater Wells	Latitude	Longitude	Veg Plot Origin	Latitude	Longitude
GW1	35.627081	-81.084303	VP1	35.63386	-81.086839
GW2	35.627631	-81.084237	VP2	35.633073	-81.087811
GW3	35.628104	-81.084146	VP3	35.632816	-81.087297
GW4	35.627948	-81.083701	VP4	35.632746	-81.086128
GW5	35.628218	-81.083594	VP5	35.632069	-81.085214
GW6	35.629399	-81.082645	VP6	35.631071	-81.084512
GW7	35.629999	-81.08314	VP7	35.630945	-81.083743
GW8	35.630117	-81.082953	VP8	35.630286	-81.083264
GW9	35.630254	-81.083528	VP9	35.629878	-81.0831
GW10	35.630503	-81.083339	VP10	35.628932	-81.082835
Crest Gauge Reach 2	35.629633	-81.082609	VP11	35.628261	-81.083536
Crest Gauge Reach 4	35.629343	-81.082404	VP12	35.62771	-81.084096
			VP13	35.626654	-81.084639
			VP14	35.62655	-81.085144

(This page intentionally left blank for two-sided printing)




Cross-section Pins	Latitude	Longitude
XS1 Left	35.633861	-81.086923
XS1 Right	35.633762	-81.087018
XS2 Left	35.633667	-81.086849
XS2 Right	35.633653	-81.08698
XS3 Left	35.632551	-81.085912
XS3 Right	35.632507	-81.085971
XS4 Left	35.632492	-81.085831
XS4 Right	35.632455	-81.085892
XS5 Left	35.631634	-81.084767
XS5 Right	35.631541	-81.084897
XS6 Left	35.631605	-81.084726
XS6 Right	35.631522	-81.084843
XS7 Left	35.631169	-81.084151
XS7 Right	35.631094	-81.084273
XS8 Left	35.63107	-81.084045
XS8 Right	35.630973	-81.084106
XS9 Left	35.630521	-81.083355
XS9 Right	35.630489	-81.083479
XS10 Left	35.630416	-81.08325
XS10 Right	35.630369	-81.08332
XS11 Left	35.629911	-81.082912
XS11 Right	35.629871	-81.082948
XS12 Left	35.6329	-81.087216
XS12 Right	35.632822	-81.087209
XS13 Left	35.6329	-81.087101
XS13 Right	35.632816	-81.087147

Cross-section Pins	Latitude	Longitude
XS14 Left	35.626329	-81.086024
XS14 Right	35.626168	-81.085978
XS15 Left	35.626401	-81.085605
XS15 Right	35.626216	-81.085691
XS16 Left	35.62641	-81.085486
XS16 Right	35.626345	-81.085316
XS17 Left	35.626992	-81.08471
XS17 Right	35.626853	-81.084708
XS18 Left	35.627017	-81.084577
XS18 Right	35.626929	-81.084434
XS19 Left	35.627814	-81.084017
XS19 Right	35.627887	-81.083868
XS20 Left	35.628122	-81.08406
XS20 Right	35.628038	-81.083902
XS21 Left	35.628514	-81.083671
XS21 Right	35.628394	-81.083562
XS22 Left	35.628527	-81.083437
XS22 Right	35.628378	-81.083441
XS23 Left	35.628804	-81.083058
XS23 Right	35.628696	-81.083056
XS24 Left	35.62881	-81.082974
XS24 Right	35.628739	-81.082861
XS25 Left	35.629145	-81.082593
XS25 Right	35.629058	-81.082462
XS26 Left	35.629236	-81.082591
XS26 Right	35.62922	-81.082424


Groundwater Wells	Latitude	Longitude
GW1	35.627081	-81.084303
GW2	35.627631	-81.084237
GW3	35.628104	-81.084146
GW4	35.627948	-81.083701
GW5	35.628218	-81.083594
GW6	35.629399	-81.082645
GW7	35.629999	-81.08314
GW8	35.630117	-81.082953
GW9	35.630254	-81.083528
GW10	35.630503	-81.083339
Crest Gauge Reach 2	35.629633	-81.082609
Crest Gauge Reach 4	35.629343	-81.082404

Veg Plot Origin	Latitude	Longitude
VP1	35.63386	-81.086839
VP2	35.633073	-81.087811
VP3	35.632816	-81.087297
VP4	35.632746	-81.086128
VP5	35.632069	-81.085214
VP6	35.631071	-81.084512
VP7	35.630945	-81.083743
VP8	35.630286	-81.083264
VP9	35.629878	-81.0831
VP10	35.628932	-81.082835
VP11	35.628261	-81.083536
VP12	35.62771	-81.084096
VP13	35.626654	-81.084639
VP14	35.62655	-81.085144



Data Source: 2010 NAIP Aerial Photo

This map is not a certified survey and has not been reviewed by a local government agency for compliance with any applicable land development regulations.



- Crest gauges
- Groundwater monitoring wells (Well 1-10)
- Photo points (S = Stream, V = Veg)
- As-built bankfull MY0
- As-built stream restoration centerline (MY0)
- Stream cross-section surveys (XS 1-26)
- Easement boundary
- Vegetation monitoring plots (VP 1-14)
- Wetland Type**
- Creation
- Existing
- Restoration
- Culverts
- Rock ford crossing
- Property boundary


Figure 2 - Asset Map B
 North Fork Mountain Creek
 Stream and Wetland Project
 Catawba County, NC
 July 2012

Drawn by: AEB 7/6/2012
 Checked by: KLW 7/6/2012




(This page intentionally left blank for two-sided printing)


OVERALL VICINITY MAP



South Fork Catawba County



Morgan Creek Orange County, NC



Thickety Creek & UT to Thickety Creek Montgomery County, NC




Figure 3 - Reference Sites Vicinity & Watershed Map
North Fork Mountain Creek Stream and Wetland Project
Catawba County, NC

Overall Vicinity Map

- County Boundaries
- Roads
- Project Site

Reference Area Maps

- Reference Wetland Gauge
- Roads
- Reference Watersheds
- Reference Streams
- Reference Wetlands

USGS 7.5' Topographic Quadrangles

- South Fork: Hickory, Reepsville
- Morgan Creek: White Cross, Chapel Hill
- Thickety Creek: Biscoe



(This page intentionally left blank for two-sided printing)

Table 1 - Project Components									
North Fork Mountain Creek Stream and Wetland Restoration (EEP# 94151)									
Mitigation Credits									
	Stream		Riparian Wetland		Non-riparian Wetland		Buffer	Nitrogen Nutrient Offset	Phosphorus Nutrient Offset
Type	R	RE	R	RE	R	RE			
Totals	5,299		1.17	1.64					
Project Components									
Project Component or Reach ID	Stationing/Location	Existing Footage/Acreage	Approach	Restoration or Restoration Equivalent	Restoration Footage or Acreage	Mitigation Ratio	Comment		
Reach 1	Upper end of Unnamed Tributary 1 (UT1)	698	R(P1)	R	698	1:1	Restoration - Stream channel was elevated to reconnect with floodplain, a 60' wide stream crossing was subtracted from the total length.		
Reach 2	Downstream portion of UT1, flows into Reach 4	1,542	R(P1)	R	1,756	1:1	Restoration - Stream channel was elevated to reconnect with floodplain.		
Reach 3	Small stream (UT2) draining from onsite farm pond, flows into Reach 1	598	R(P1)	R	614	1:1	Restoration - Stream channel was elevated to reconnect with floodplain, a 60' wide stream crossing was subtracted from the total length.		
Reach 4	North Fork Mountain Creek main channel	2,245	R(P1 and P2)	R	2,231	1:1	Restoration - Stream channel was elevated to reconnect with floodplain, a 60' wide ford crossing was subtracted from the total length.		
Wetland Restoration	Along Reaches 1, 2, 3, and 4		R	R	1.17	1:1	Restoration - Stream channels have been elevated to reconnect with floodplain, and all areas have been planted.		
Wetland Creation	Along Reaches 2 and 4		C	RE	3.27	2:1 ⁺	Creation - Areas that lacked hydric soil characteristics, but were in similar landscape positions as the restored wetlands. These areas were planted throughout.		
Component Summations									
Restoration Level	Stream (lf)	Riparian Wetland (Ac)		Non-Riparian Wetland (Ac)	Buffer (Ac)	Upland (Ac)			
		Riverine	Non-Riverine						
Restoration	5,299	1.17							
Enhancement									
Enhancement I									
Enhancement II									
Creation		3.27							
Preservation									
HQ Preservation									
BMP Elements									
Element	Location		Purpose/Function			Notes			

R = Restoration, RE = Restoration Equivalent, C = Creation, P1 = Priority 1, P2 = Priority 2
 =Not Applicable

Existing wetlands were not included in the mitigation summary above because wetland preservation was not an allowable mitigation credit as per the RFP.

⁺ Wetland creation mitigation ratio was agreed upon by USACE during the 401/404 permitting process.

**Table 2 - Project Activity and Reporting History
North Fork Mountain Creek Stream and Wetland Restoration (EEP# 94151)**

Activity or Report	Data Collection Complete	Completion or Delivery
Restoration Plan	July, 2011	July, 2011
Final Design - Construction Plans	N/A	October, 2011
Construction	N/A	May, 2012
Temporary S&E mix applied to entire project	N/A	May, 2012
Permanent seed mix applied to Reach	N/A	May, 2012
Mitigation Plan / As-Built (Year 0 Monitoring - baseline)	June, 2012	August, 2012
Supplemental Planting	N/A	N/A
Year 1 Monitoring	N/A	N/A
Year 2 Monitoring	N/A	N/A
Year 3 Monitoring	N/A	N/A
Year 4 Monitoring	N/A	N/A
Year 5 Monitoring	N/A	N/A
Year 6 Monitoring	N/A	N/A
Year 7 Monitoring	N/A	N/A

Table 3 - Project Contact Table North Fork Mountain Creek Stream and Wetland Restoration (EEP# 94151)	
Designer Primary Project Design POC	Stantec Consulting, Inc. 801 Jones Franklin Rd. Suite 300 Raleigh, NC 27606 David Bidelspach (919) 218-0864
Construction Contractor Construction Contractor POC	North State Environmental, Inc. 2889 Lowery St. Winston-Salem, NC 27101 Darrell Westmoreland (336) 725-2010 Nate Martin (336) 725-2010
Planting Contractor 1 Planting Contractor POC	New Forest Services 313 Condon Road Manistee, MI 49660 Brian Jarvinen (231) 590-9198
Planting Contractor 2 Planting Contractor POC	Strader Farms, LLC Kenneth Strader
Seed Mix Sources	Green Resource 5204 Highgreen Court Colfax, NC 27235
Nursery Stock Suppliers	ArborGen (Trees and Livestakes) Blenheim, SC Strader Farms (Livestakes)
Baseline Monitoring Performers (Year 0) Stream Monitoring POC Vegetation Monitoring POC Wetland Monitoring POC	Stantec Consulting Services, Inc. 801 Jones Franklin Rd Suite 300 Raleigh, NC 27606 Tim Taylor (704) 329-0900 N/A N/A
Baseline Monitoring Performers (Year 0-7) Stream Monitoring POC Vegetation Monitoring POC Wetland Monitoring POC	Equinox Environmental Consultation and Design, Inc. 37 Haywood St. Suite 100 Asheville, NC 28801 N/A Win Taylor (828) 253-6856 Win Taylor (828) 253-6856

Table 4 - Project Attribute Table				
North Fork Mountain Creek Stream and Wetland Restoration (EEP# 94151)				
Project Information				
Project County	Catawba			
Project Area (acres)	17.12			
Project Coordinates (latitude and longitude)	35.630286, -81.083264			
Project Watershed Summary Information				
Physiographic Region	Piedmont			
River Basin	Catawba			
USGS HUC for Project (14 Digit)	03050101150030			
NCDWQ Sub-basin for Project	03-08-32			
Project Drainage Area (sq mi)	1.22			
Project Drainage Area % Impervious	<1%			
CGIA Landuse Classification	Residential, Ag-Livestock, and Forrested			
Reach Summary Information				
Parameters	Reach 1	Reach 2	Reach 3	Reach 4
Length of reach (linear feet)	698	1542	598	2245
Valley Classification	II	II/VIII	II	VIII
Drainage Area (Ac)	136.4	177.1	36.1	778.1
NCDWQ stream identification score	35.25	35.25	31	39.25
NCDWQ classification	Perennial			
Morphological description (stream type)	G	E/F	G	E/F
Evolutionary trend	B4	B4/C4	B4	C4
Underlying mapped soils	Chewacla			
Drainage class	Somewhat poorly drained			
Soil hydric status	Hydric B (a soil map unit with hydric inclusions)			
Slope	0-2%			
FEMA classification	Zone X			
Native vegetation community	Piedmont/Low Mountain Alluvial Forest			
Percent composition of exotic invasive vegetation	0%	0%	0%	0%
Wetland Summary Information				
Parameters	Wetland Restoration		Wetland Creation	
Size of Wetland (acres)	1.17		3.27	
Wetland Type (non-riparian, riparian riverine or riparian non-riverine)	Riparian Riverine			
Mapped Soil Series	Chewacla			
Drainage class	Somewhat poorly drained			
Soil Hydric Status	Hydric B (a soil map unit with hydric inclusions)			
Source of Hydrology	Overbank flooding and groundwater			
Hydrologic impairment	None			
Native vegetation community	Piedmont/Low Mountain Alluvial Forest			
Percent composition of exotic invasive vegetation	0%		0%	
Regulatory Considerations				
Regulation	Applicable?	Resolved?	Supporting Documentation	
Waters of the United States - Section 404	Yes	Yes	USACE 404 Permit	
Waters of the United States - Section 401	Yes	Yes	NCDWQ 401 Permit	
Endangered Species Act	No	N/A	N/A	
Historic Preservation Act	No	N/A	N/A	
Coastal Zone Management Act (CZMA)/Coastal Area Management Act (CAMA)	No	N/A	N/A	
FEMA Floodplain Compliance	No	N/A	N/A	

Appendix B – Morphological Summary Data and Plots

This page intentionally left blank for double sided printing.

Table 5A. Baseline Stream Data Summary
North Fork Mountain Creek Stream and Wetland Restoration - Reach 1 (EEP #94151)

Parameter	Gauge ²	Regional Curve			Pre-Existing Condition						Reference Reach(es) Data						Design			Monitoring Baseline					
Dimension and Substrate - Riffle Only		LL	UL	Eq.	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD ⁵	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD ⁵	n	Min	Med	Max	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD ⁵	n
Bankfull Width (ft)				5.26	-	9.3	-	-	-	1	-	30.7	-	-	-	-	-	10.0	-	-	7.80	-	-	-	1
Floodprone Width (ft)					-	11.0	-	-	-	1	-	86.0	-	-	-	-	-	18.0	-	-	50.00	-	-	-	1
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)				0.82	-	1.3	-	-	-	1	-	1.9	-	-	-	-	-	0.6	-	-	0.61	-	-	-	1
¹ Bankfull Max Depth (ft)					-	1.5	-	-	-	1	-	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	0.8	-	-	0.92	-	-	-	1
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)				5.9	-	12.0	-	-	-	1	-	57.4	-	-	-	-	-	6.2	-	-	4.74	-	-	-	1
Width/Depth Ratio					-	7.1	-	-	-	1	-	16.4	-	-	-	-	-	16.0	-	-	12.8	-	-	-	1
Entrenchment Ratio					-	1.1	-	-	-	1	-	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	1.8	-	-	6.40	-	-	-	1
¹ Bank Height Ratio					1.20	-	-	>2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	1
Profile																									
Riffle Length (ft)					-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8.14	20.55	24.66	8.68	20.3	20.45	32.84	8.4	11
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)					-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.019	0.033	0.058	0.017	0.049	0.049	0.084	0.04	11
Pool Length (ft)					-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.74	15.69	24.22	5.59	19.35	21.66	34.45	9.916	12
Pool Max depth (ft)					-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.6	-	1.74	2.3	2.385	2.79	0.315	12
Pool Spacing (ft)					-	-	-	-	-	-	-	224	-	-	-	-	13.79	32.0	45.47	26.46	40.48	42.05	52.95	8.995	12
Pattern																									
Channel Beltwidth (ft)					-	-	-	-	-	-	-	105	-	-	-	-	11.2	18.5	25.6	11.09	21.60	22.28	28.74	6.14	10
Radius of Curvature (ft)					-	-	-	-	-	-	76.7	-	-	133.8	-	-	30	35.0	58.87	18.69	29.93	26.29	56.01	10.66	16
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)					-	-	-	-	-	-	2.5	-	-	4.4	-	-	1.89	2.30	2.68	2.40	3.84	3.37	7.18	1.37	16
Meander Wavelength (ft)					-	-	-	-	-	-	-	350	-	-	-	-	40.6	63.8	90	56.93	73.14	64.68	95.78	15.37	13
Meander Width Ratio					-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.4	-	-	-	-	1.12	1.85	2.56	1.42	2.77	2.86	3.68	0.79	10
Transport parameters																									
Reach Shear Stress (competency) lb/ft ²	-	-	-	-	0.4						2.7						1.3			1.3					
Max part size (mm) mobilized at bankfull	-	-	-	-	77.1						317.9						182.7			184.6					
Unit Stream Power (transport capacity) lbs/ft/s per unit width ⁶	-	-	-	-	-						-						-			-					
Additional Reach Parameters																									
Rosgen Classification					G						C4b						B4			C4b					
Bankfull Velocity (fps)		-	-	3.851	8.1																				
Bankfull Discharge (cfs)		-	-	22.72	23.8																				
Valley length (ft)					686						-														
Channel Thalweg length (ft)					698						-						720			702					
Sinuosity (ft)					1.02						-						1.08			1.06					
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft)					0.0049						0.023						-			-					
BF slope (ft/ft)					-						-						0.0343			0.0342					
³ Bankfull Floodplain Area (acres)					-						-						-			-					
⁴ % of Reach with Eroding Banks					-						-														
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric					-						-														
Biological or Other					-						-														

Shaded cells indicate that these will typically not be filled in.

1 = The distributions for these parameters can include information from both the cross-section surveys and the longitudinal profile. 2 = For projects with a proximal USGS gauge in-line with the project reach (added bankfull verification - rare).

3. Utilizing survey data produce an estimate of the bankfull floodplain area in acres, which should be the area from the top of bank to the toe of the terrace riser/slope.

4 = Proportion of reach exhibiting banks that are eroding based on the visual survey for comparison to monitoring data; 5. Of value/needed only if the n exceeds 3; 6. Units changed from W/m² to reflect those provided in original design.

Table 5B. Baseline Stream Data Summary
North Fork Mountain Creek Stream and Wetland Restoration - Reach 2 (EEP #94151)

Parameter	Gauge ²	Regional Curve			Pre-Existing Condition						Reference Reach(es) Data						Design			Monitoring Baseline						
		LL	UL	Eq.	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD ⁵	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD ⁵	n	Min	Med	Max	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD ⁵	n	
Dimension and Substrate - Riffle Only																										
Bankfull Width (ft)		4	16.5	7.88	-	8.7	-	-	-	1	-	30.7	-	-	-	-	-	12.2	-	7.8	12.0	12.0	15.4	2.7	5	
Floodprone Width (ft)					-	21.0	-	-	-	1	-	86.0	-	-	-	-	-	23.0	-	22.5	38.5	40.0	50.0	12.2	5	
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)		0.6	1.7	0.99	-	1.4	-	-	-	1	-	1.9	-	-	-	-	-	0.6	-	0.5	0.7	0.7	0.9	0.1	5	
¹ Bankfull Max Depth (ft)					-	1.7	-	-	-	1	-	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	1.2	-	1.1	1.4	1.6	1.6	0.2	5	
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)		4.2	19	8.94	-	11.9	-	-	-	1	-	57.4	-	-	-	-	-	9.3	-	7.4	8.9	8.7	10.2	1.2	5	
Width/Depth Ratio					-	6.3	-	-	-	1	-	16.4	-	-	-	-	-	16.0	-	13.9	18.5	16.6	29.0	6.0	5	
Entrenchment Ratio					-	2.4	-	-	-	1	-	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	1.9	-	1.8	3.8	3.8	6.4	1.8	5	
¹ Bank Height Ratio					1.30	-	1.50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Profile																										
Riffle Length (ft)					-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	21.18	29	7.49	20.38	18.09	34.11	7.705	24	
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)					-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.016	0.03	0.049	0.012	0.065	0.033	0.675	0.135	24		
Pool Length (ft)					-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	16	23	31	11.58	19.8	17.71	36.99	7.5	27		
Pool Max depth (ft)					-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.1		1.95	2.51	2.46	3.05	0.3	27		
Pool Spacing (ft)					-	-	-	-	-	-	-	224	-	-	-	-	32	46.0	55	24.37	40.63	41.8	60.68	12.51	27	
Pattern																										
Channel Beltwidth (ft)					-	-	-	-	-	-	-	105	-	-	-	-	14.6	20.6	34.5	14.27	21.10	20.57	32.41	4.54	30.00	
Radius of Curvature (ft)					-	-	-	-	-	-	76.7	-	-	133.8	-	-	29.82	61.9	124.4	14.18	26.48	22.61	66.62	13.21	33.00	
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)					-	-	-	-	-	-	2.5	-	-	4.4	-	-	2.04	3.01	3.61	1.18	2.21	1.88	5.55	1.10	33.00	
Meander Wavelength (ft)					-	-	-	-	-	-	-	350	-	-	-	-	63.63	88.1	117.4	76.24	91.58	92.01	107.14	7.53	27.00	
Meander Width Ratio					-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.4	-	-	-	-	1.20	1.69	2.83	1.19	1.76	1.71	2.70	0.38	30.00	
Transport parameters																										
Reach Shear Stress (competency) lb/ft ²																		0.6							0.7	
Max part size (mm) mobilized at bankfull																		100.9							117.9	
Unit Stream Power (transport capacity) lbs/ft/s per unit width ⁶																		-							-	
Additional Reach Parameters																										
Rosgen Classification																			B4c						C4	
Bankfull Velocity (fps)		1.3	12.2	3.9															-						-	
Bankfull Discharge (cfs)		12	110	35.3																						
Valley length (ft)																										
Channel Thalweg length (ft)																										1700
Sinuosity (ft)																										1.03
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft)																										-
BF slope (ft/ft)																										0.0153
³ Bankfull Floodplain Area (acres)																										0.0162
⁴ % of Reach with Eroding Banks																										-
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric																										-
Biological or Other																										-

Shaded cells indicate that these will typically not be filled in.
 1 = The distributions for these parameters can include information from both the cross-section surveys and the longitudinal profile. 2 = For projects with a proximal USGS gauge in-line with the project reach (added bankfull verification - rare).
 3. Utilizing survey data produce an estimate of the bankfull floodplain area in acres, which should be the area from the top of bank to the toe of the terrace riser/slope.
 4 = Proportion of reach exhibiting banks that are eroding based on the visual survey for comparison to monitoring data; 5. Of value/needed only if the n exceeds 3; 6. Units changed from W/m² to reflect those provided in original design.

Table 5C. Baseline Stream Data Summary
North Fork Mountain Creek Stream and Wetland Restoration - Reach 3 (EEP #94151)

Parameter	Gauge ²	Regional Curve			Pre-Existing Condition						Reference Reach(es) Data						Design			Monitoring Baseline						
		LL	UL	Eq.	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD ⁵	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD ⁵	n	Min	Med	Max	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD ⁵	n	
Dimension and Substrate - Riffle Only																										
Bankfull Width (ft)				3.55	-	4.1	-	-	-	1	-	12.0	-	-	-	-	-	7.0	-	-	7.23			-	1	
Floodprone Width (ft)					-	6.0	-	-	-	1	-	30.0	-	-	-	-	-	12.0	-	-	22.80			-	1	
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)				0.61	-	0.5	-	-	-	1	-	1.2	-	-	-	-	-	0.3	-	-	0.58			-	1	
¹ Bankfull Max Depth (ft)					-	0.8	-	-	-	1	-	2.0	-	-	-	-	-	0.7	-	-	1.00			-	1	
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)				6.39	-	2.1	-	-	-	1	-	14.3	-	-	-	-	-	3.5	-	-	4.20			-	1	
Width/Depth Ratio					-	8.1	-	-	-	1	-	10.1	-	-	-	-	-	14.0	-	-	12.5			-	1	
Entrenchment Ratio					-	1.5	-	-	-	1	-	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	1.7	-	-	3.20			-	1	
¹ Bank Height Ratio					1.20	-	-	-	1.60	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	
Profile																										
Riffle Length (ft)					-	-	-	-	-	-	-	18	-	-	-	-	4.15	9.88	20.93	5.89	16.88	16.95	27.77	7.051	13	
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)					-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.03	-	-	-	-	0.031	0.052	0.111	0.009	0.05	0.053	0.089	0.026	13	
Pool Length (ft)					-	-	-	-	-	-	-	18	-	-	-	-	9.12	14.21	22.72	7.48	12.33	10.59	26.49	5.2	13	
Pool Max depth (ft)					-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.6	-	1.14	2.003	1.87	2.85	0.483	13		
Pool Spacing (ft)					-	-	-	-	-	-	-	36	-	-	-	-	20.59	24.8	33.56	22.28	30.29	29.34	44.22	6.805	13	
Pattern																										
Channel Beltwidth (ft)					-	-	-	-	-	-	-	31.2	-	-	-	-	9.9	11.6	14.7	7.66	13.53	12.81	18.12	2.61	15	
Radius of Curvature (ft)					-	-	-	-	-	-	-	42	-	-	-	-	19.61	33.5	48	17.03	29.11	26.34	50.06	10.13	19	
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)					-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.5	-	-	-	-	1.98	2.89	3.27	2.36	4.03	3.64	6.92	1.40	19	
Meander Wavelength (ft)					-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	43.1	49.5	59.1	20.09	60.77	59.35	97.59	18.47	16	
Meander Width Ratio					-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.6	-	-	-	-	1.41	1.66	2.10	1.06	1.87	1.77	2.51	0.36	15	
Transport parameters																										
Reach Shear Stress (competency) lb/ft ²	-	-																0.7							1.5	
Max part size (mm) mobilized at bankfull	-	-																119.7							203.9	
Unit Stream Power (transport capacity) lbs/ft/s per unit width ⁶	-	-																-							-	
Additional Reach Parameters																										
Rosgen Classification																										
Bankfull Velocity (fps)				1.9																						
Bankfull Discharge (cfs)				12.4																						
Valley length (ft)																										
Channel Thalweg length (ft)																										
Sinuosity (ft)																										
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft)																										
BF slope (ft/ft)																										
³ Bankfull Floodplain Area (acres)																										
⁴ % of Reach with Eroding Banks																										
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric																										
Biological or Other																										

Shaded cells indicate that these will typically not be filled in.

1 = The distributions for these parameters can include information from both the cross-section surveys and the longitudinal profile. 2 = For projects with a proximal USGS gauge in-line with the project reach (added bankfull verification - rare).

3. Utilizing survey data produce an estimate of the bankfull floodplain area in acres, which should be the area from the top of bank to the toe of the terrace riser/slope.

4 = Proportion of reach exhibiting banks that are eroding based on the visual survey for comparison to monitoring data; 5. Of value/needed only if the n exceeds 3; 6. Units changed from W/m² to reflect those provided in original design.

Table 5D. Baseline Stream Data Summary
North Fork Mountain Creek Stream and Wetland Restoration - Reach 4 (EEP #94151)

Parameter	Gauge ²	Regional Curve			Pre-Existing Condition						Reference Reach(es) Data						Design			Monitoring Baseline					
Dimension and Substrate - Riffle Only		LL	UL	Eq.	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD ⁵	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD ⁵	n	Min	Med	Max	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD ⁵	n
Bankfull Width (ft)		7	28	12.86	-	15.9	-	-	-	1	45.2	46.7	-	48.2	-	2	-	18.0	-	17.0	18.6	18.6	21.7	1.6	7
Floodprone Width (ft)					-	180.0	-	-	-	1	88.8	94.1	-	99.4	-	2	190.0	-	281.0	>100	>100	>100	>100	0.0	7
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)		0.95	2.5	1.6	-	1.6	-	-	-	1	2.0	2.0	-	2.1	-	2	-	1.8	-	1.0	1.2	1.2	1.4	0.1	7
¹ Bankfull Max Depth (ft)					-	2.9	-	-	-	1	3.1	3.3	-	3.5	-	2	-	2.1	-	1.7	2.1	2.2	2.4	0.2	7
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)		10	42	24.26	-	25.3	-	-	-	1	94.5	95.2	-	95.9	-	2	-	24.0	-	18.8	22.3	22.7	25.8	2.8	7
Width/Depth Ratio					-	9.9	-	-	-	1	21.3	23.0	-	24.6	-	2	-	13.5	-	12.7	15.7	15.2	18.3	2.0	7
Entrenchment Ratio					-	11.4	-	-	-	1	1.8	2.0	-	2.2	-	2	8.0	-	12.0	4.6	5.4	5.4	5.9	0.5	7
¹ Bank Height Ratio					1.40	-	-	1.60	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-
Profile																									
Riffle Length (ft)					16	-	-	70	-	-	18.4	33.6	-	55.3	-	4	11.83	33.74	90.99	13.82	51.49	53.03	92.66	21.68	22
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)					0.01	-	-	0.02	-	-	0.004	0.017	-	0.04	-	4	0.140	0.023	0.061	0.004	0.019	0.0178	0.043	0.011	22
Pool Length (ft)					12	-	-	30	-	-	18.4	33.6	-	55.3	-	3	34.33	63.56	87.12	21.23	49.53	49.49	80.26	15.64	21
Pool Max depth (ft)					-	-	-	-	-	-	4.21	4.4	-	4.72	-	4		3.8		2.85	3.437	3.43	4.18	0.407	21
Pool Spacing (ft)					-	80	-	-	-	-	98.5	126.8	-	151.9	-	3	76.98	99.2	142.6	62.18	99.37	98.91	147.2	21.26	21
Pattern																									
Channel Beltwidth (ft)					40.3	61.5	52.3	130.7	26.2	13	42.5	51.2	-	59.9	-	-	45.2	64.7	87.5	46.13	70.30	67.24	93.89	12.34	19
Radius of Curvature (ft)					12.8	29.9	23.6	75.4	18.5	16	130.3	171.7	-	236.1	-	-	36.5	54.0	76	28.20	39.70	37.60	54.20	6.90	22
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)					0.81	1.88	1.48	4.74	-	-	2.789	3.675	-	5.053	-	-	0.81	0.83	0.87	1.52	2.13	2.02	2.91	0.37	22
Meander Wavelength (ft)					-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	156.1	196.4	252.7	121.67	218.08	209.50	331.59	48.48	19
Meander Width Ratio					2.53	3.87	3.29	8.22	-	-	0.91	1.096	-	1.282	-	-	2.51	3.59	4.86	2.48	3.78	3.62	5.05	0.66	19
Transport parameters																									
Reach Shear Stress (competency) lb/ft ²								0.7						0.9				1.0						0.6	
Max part size (mm) mobilized at bankfull								116.8						137.6				145.0						105.2	
Unit Stream Power (transport capacity) lbs/ft/s per unit width ⁶								5.32						-				-						-	
Additional Reach Parameters																									
Rosgen Classification								E/F						C4				C4						C4	
Bankfull Velocity (fps)		1.2	11.1	4.2				7.6																	
Bankfull Discharge (cfs)		30	270	102.4				105.1																	
Valley length (ft)								1811						-											
Channel Thalweg length (ft)								2306						-				2195						2135	
Sinuosity (ft)								1.27						1.07				1.24						1.25	
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft)								0.007						0.0075				-						-	
BF slope (ft/ft)								-						0.007				0.00851						0.0081	
³ Bankfull Floodplain Area (acres)								-						-				-						-	
⁴ % of Reach with Eroding Banks								-						-				-						-	
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric								-						-				-						-	
Biological or Other								-						-				-						-	

Shaded cells indicate that these will typically not be filled in.

1 = The distributions for these parameters can include information from both the cross-section surveys and the longitudinal profile. 2 = For projects with a proximal USGS gauge in-line with the project reach (added bankfull verification - rare).

3. Utilizing survey data produce an estimate of the bankfull floodplain area in acres, which should be the area from the top of bank to the toe of the terrace riser/slope.

4 = Proportion of reach exhibiting banks that are eroding based on the visual survey for comparison to monitoring data; 5. Of value/needed only if the n exceeds 3; 6. Units changed from W/m² to reflect those provided in original design.

River Basin	Catawba River
Watershed	Lower Lake Norman
XS ID	XS-1, Riffle, STA 101+45
Drainage Area(sq. mi.)	0.21
Date	6/1/2012
Field Crew	


Station	Elevation
0.00	922.07
0.25	922.03
1.16	921.75
5.14	921.33
8.05	920.92
13.86	920.55
18.75	920.27
21.13	919.92
21.47	919.47
22.52	919.12
24.11	919.33
24.62	919.18
26.00	918.97
26.74	919.31
28.04	919.28
28.72	919.89
30.94	919.92
32.64	920.31
37.32	920.26
45.82	920.30
45.97	920.19

SUMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation	MY00
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area	919.9
Bankfull Width	4.7
Flood Prone Area Elevation	7.8
Flood Prone Width	920.8
Max Depth at Bankfull	50
Mean Depth at Bankfull	0.9
W/D Ratio	0.6
Entrenchment Ratio	12.8
Bank Height Ratio	6.4
Stream Type	1.0
	C



Sta. 101+45 Looking Downstream

North Fork Mountain Creek - Reach 1
X-Section 1 Riffle, Station 101+45



River Basin	Catawba River
Watershed	Lower Lake Norman
XS ID	XS-2, Pool, STA 102+04
Drainage Area(sq. mi.)	0.21
Date	6/1/2012
Field Crew	


Station	Elevation
0.00	918.92
0.28	918.92
6.13	918.39
10.73	918.28
11.83	918.07
14.69	917.52
15.80	916.62
16.38	915.93
17.23	915.58
19.81	915.46
21.56	916.66
21.81	917.53
22.60	917.86
24.99	918.36
29.99	919.04
33.18	919.43
38.65	920.25
39.49	920.27

SUMMARY DATA	MY00
Bankfull Elevation	917.5
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area	10.6
Bankfull Width	7.1
Flood Prone Area Elevation	919.6
Flood Prone Width	34.2
Max Depth at Bankfull	2.1
Mean Depth at Bankfull	1.5
W/D Ratio	4.8
Entrenchment Ratio	4.8
Bank Height Ratio	1.0
Stream Type	C



Sta. 102+04 Looking Downstream

North Fork Mountain Creek - Reach 1
X-Section 2 Pool, Station 102+04



River Basin	Catawba River
Watershed	Lower Lake Norman
XS ID	XS-3, Riffle, STA 107+28
Drainage Area(sq. mi.)	0.28
Date	6/1/2012
Field Crew	


Station	Elevation
0.00	903.25
0.24	902.35
2.63	901.39
4.86	901.12
8.14	900.90
9.61	899.96
10.11	899.97
12.10	899.62
12.59	899.64
14.16	899.63
14.42	900.01
15.53	900.46
17.17	901.17
20.69	901.64
23.26	903.06
23.53	903.83

SUMMARY DATA	MY00
Bankfull Elevation	901.2
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area	10.1
Bankfull Width	12.8
Flood Prone Area Elevation	902.7
Flood Prone Width	23
Max Depth at Bankfull	1.6
Mean Depth at Bankfull	0.8
W/D Ratio	16.2
Entrenchment Ratio	1.8
Bank Height Ratio	1.0
Stream Type	C



Sta. 107+28 Looking Downstream

North Fork Mountain Creek - Reach 2
X-Section 3 Riffle, Station 107+28



River Basin	Catawba River
Watershed	Lower Lake Norman
XS ID	XS-4, Pool, STA 107+60
Drainage Area(sq. mi.)	0.28
Date	6/1/2012
Field Crew	


Station	Elevation
0.00	901.75
0.27	901.35
0.83	901.17
1.30	900.50
2.54	900.34
5.66	900.08
7.18	899.77
8.09	899.42
8.57	898.79
9.12	898.77
10.12	898.50
11.54	898.62
13.33	899.21
14.87	899.45
16.31	900.02
18.24	900.43
21.13	900.89
22.10	901.59
22.45	901.78
22.72	902.29

SUMMARY DATA	MY00
Bankfull Elevation	900.1
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area	9.2
Bankfull Width	10.9
Flood Prone Area Elevation	901.7
Flood Prone Width	22
Max Depth at Bankfull	1.6
Mean Depth at Bankfull	0.8
W/D Ratio	13.0
Entrenchment Ratio	2.0
Bank Height Ratio	1.0
Stream Type	C



Sta. 107+60 Looking Downstream

North Fork Mountain Creek - Reach 2
X-Section 4 Pool, Station 107+60



River Basin	Catawba River
Watershed	Lower Lake Norman
XS ID	XS-5, Pool, STA 112+05
Drainage Area(sq. mi.)	0.28
Date	6/1/2012
Field Crew	


Station	Elevation
0.00	895.14
0.41	894.44
7.09	894.04
13.82	893.70
19.86	893.51
21.29	893.23
24.62	893.21
25.92	892.76
27.09	892.57
27.95	891.62
28.97	891.00
30.57	890.46
31.39	890.31
32.77	890.67
33.09	891.30
33.79	892.20
35.63	892.38
38.43	892.90
43.43	893.83
48.47	894.27
51.05	894.63
51.31	895.50

SUMMARY DATA	MY00
Bankfull Elevation	892.6
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area	11.0
Bankfull Width	9.6
Flood Prone Area Elevation	894.8
Flood Prone Width	51
Max Depth at Bankfull	2.3
Mean Depth at Bankfull	1.2
W/D Ratio	8.3
Entrenchment Ratio	5.3
Bank Height Ratio	1.0
Stream Type	C



Sta. 112+05 Looking Downstream

**North Fork Mountain Creek - Reach 2
X-Section 5 Pool, Station 112+05**



River Basin	Catawba River
Watershed	Lower Lake Norman
XS ID	XS-6, Riffle, STA 112+22
Drainage Area(sq. mi.)	0.28
Date	6/1/2012
Field Crew	


Station	Elevation
0.00	894.52
0.40	893.61
5.52	893.33
15.49	893.05
22.22	892.98
26.89	892.61
29.19	892.24
30.40	891.30
31.42	891.17
32.17	890.87
32.93	890.96
33.49	891.31
35.40	891.54
36.84	892.11
39.82	892.46
40.61	892.83
43.52	893.38
44.42	893.85
46.00	894.04
46.14	894.79

SUMMARY DATA	MY00
Bankfull Elevation	892.5
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area	8.7
Bankfull Width	12.0
Flood Prone Area Elevation	894.1
Flood Prone Width	46
Max Depth at Bankfull	1.6
Mean Depth at Bankfull	0.7
W/D Ratio	16.6
Entrenchment Ratio	3.8
Bank Height Ratio	1.0
Stream Type	C



Sta. 112+22 Looking Downstream

North Fork Mountain Creek - Reach 2
X-Section 6 Riffle, Station 112+22



River Basin	Catawba River
Watershed	Lower Lake Norman
XS ID	XS-7, Pool, STA 114+55
Drainage Area(sq. mi.)	0.28
Date	6/1/2012
Field Crew	


Station	Elevation
0.00	891.27
0.18	890.49
2.25	890.41
9.59	890.25
15.22	890.02
18.97	889.72
21.64	889.43
24.17	889.43
25.69	889.14
26.54	888.79
27.51	888.00
28.51	886.87
30.29	887.43
31.80	887.54
32.46	888.02
32.86	888.49
34.54	888.99
35.78	889.35
37.96	889.56
40.79	889.80
45.39	891.04
45.40	892.03

SUMMARY DATA	MY00
Bankfull Elevation	889.4
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area	13.7
Bankfull Width	15.0
Flood Prone Area Elevation	892.0
Flood Prone Width	45
Max Depth at Bankfull	2.6
Mean Depth at Bankfull	0.9
W/D Ratio	16.5
Entrenchment Ratio	3.0
Bank Height Ratio	1.0
Stream Type	C



Sta. 114+55 Looking Downstream

North Fork Mountain Creek - Reach 2
X-Section 7 Pool, Station 114+55




River Basin	Catawba River
Watershed	Lower Lake Norman
XS ID	XS-8, Riffle, STA 115+16
Drainage Area(sq. mi.)	0.28
Date	6/1/2012
Field Crew	

Station	Elevation
0.00	890.87
0.37	890.16
8.15	889.74
12.84	889.33
14.15	889.16
15.40	888.90
16.16	888.65
17.25	888.57
18.12	887.66
18.98	887.45
19.68	887.35
22.17	887.55
22.86	887.62
23.46	888.28
25.26	888.46
27.14	888.94
30.84	888.80
35.24	888.90
39.56	889.04
39.70	889.67

SUMMARY DATA	MY00
Bankfull Elevation	888.9
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area	10.2
Bankfull Width	11.9
Flood Prone Area Elevation	890.5
Flood Prone Width	50
Max Depth at Bankfull	1.6
Mean Depth at Bankfull	0.9
W/D Ratio	13.9
Entrenchment Ratio	4.2
Bank Height Ratio	1.0
Stream Type	C



Sta. 115+16 Looking Downstream



River Basin	Catawba River
Watershed	Lower Lake Norman
XS ID	XS-9, Riffle, STA 117+94
Drainage Area(sq. mi.)	0.28
Date	6/1/2012
Field Crew	


Station	Elevation
0.00	884.65
0.74	883.92
5.09	883.77
11.27	883.48
15.24	883.43
17.04	883.16
18.35	882.88
20.20	882.79
21.04	882.72
21.34	882.44
22.11	882.32
22.66	882.30
23.29	882.39
24.15	882.43
24.75	882.88
26.79	883.01
29.16	883.18
32.76	883.79
36.31	884.09
38.45	884.35
38.67	885.21

SUMMARY DATA	MY00
Bankfull Elevation	883.4
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area	8.1
Bankfull Width	15.4
Flood Prone Area Elevation	884.6
Flood Prone Width	40
Max Depth at Bankfull	1.1
Mean Depth at Bankfull	0.5
W/D Ratio	29.0
Entrenchment Ratio	2.6
Bank Height Ratio	1.0
Stream Type	C



Sta. 117+94 Looking Downstream

North Fork Mountain Creek - Reach 2
X-Section 9 Riffle, Station 117+94



River Basin	Catawba River
Watershed	Lower Lake Norman
XS ID	XS-10, Pool, STA 118+53
Drainage Area(sq. mi.)	0.28
Date	6/1/2012
Field Crew	


Station	Elevation
0.00	883.78
0.70	883.09
2.82	882.96
6.43	882.77
8.30	882.82
10.03	882.56
11.60	882.08
12.29	881.43
12.62	880.93
13.50	881.41
15.03	881.49
15.96	881.74
16.71	882.10
17.92	882.36
18.89	882.48
20.04	882.67
21.95	882.79
26.85	882.86
26.86	883.63

SUMMARY DATA	MY00
Bankfull Elevation	882.8
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area	8.8
Bankfull Width	13.7
Flood Prone Area Elevation	884.7
Flood Prone Width	30
Max Depth at Bankfull	1.9
Mean Depth at Bankfull	0.6
W/D Ratio	21.3
Entrenchment Ratio	2.2
Bank Height Ratio	1.0
Stream Type	C



Sta. 118+53 Looking Downstream

**North Fork Mountain Creek - Reach 2
X-Section 10 Pool, Station 118+53**



River Basin	Catawba River
Watershed	Lower Lake Norman
XS ID	XS-11, Riffle, STA 120+73
Drainage Area(sq. mi.)	0.28
Date	6/1/2012
Field Crew	


Station	Elevation
0.00	879.32
0.18	878.89
2.89	878.79
4.89	878.54
5.92	878.47
6.79	877.71
8.23	877.56
9.06	877.54
9.76	877.58
10.95	877.64
11.62	877.79
11.80	878.04
12.80	878.30
13.20	878.48
14.55	878.74
16.59	878.76
17.96	879.59
18.13	878.94

SUMMARY DATA	MY00
Bankfull Elevation	878.7
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area	7.4
Bankfull Width	11.3
Flood Prone Area Elevation	879.9
Flood Prone Width	30
Max Depth at Bankfull	1.2
Mean Depth at Bankfull	0.7
W/D Ratio	17.1
Entrenchment Ratio	2.7
Bank Height Ratio	1.0
Stream Type	C



Sta. 120+73 Looking Downstream

North Fork Mountain Creek - Reach 2
X-Section 11 Riffle, Station 120+73



River Basin	Catawba River
Watershed	Lower Lake Norman
XS ID	XS-12, Riffle, STA 203+75
Drainage Area(sq. mi.)	0.06
Date	6/1/2012
Field Crew	


Station	Elevation
0.00	920.99
3.02	919.15
5.12	918.64
9.00	918.17
12.18	917.83
13.25	917.29
15.65	917.10
16.15	917.05
16.80	917.03
17.13	917.44
17.55	918.03
18.90	918.15
22.27	918.48
24.96	918.74
26.06	918.99
28.58	919.42
28.72	920.07

SUMMARY DATA	MY00
Bankfull Elevation	918.0
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area	4.2
Bankfull Width	7.2
Flood Prone Area Elevation	919.0
Flood Prone Width	23
Max Depth at Bankfull	1.0
Mean Depth at Bankfull	0.6
W/D Ratio	12.5
Entrenchment Ratio	3.2
Bank Height Ratio	1.0
Stream Type	C



Sta. 203+75 Looking Downstream

North Fork Mountain Creek - Reach 3
X-Section 12 Riffle, Station 203+75



River Basin	Catawba River
Watershed	Lower Lake Norman
XS ID	XS-13, Pool, STA 204+01
Drainage Area(sq. mi.)	0.06
Date	6/1/2012
Field Crew	


Station	Elevation
0.00	919.33
0.46	918.39
3.88	917.78
6.74	917.45
8.74	917.22
10.79	917.09
11.73	916.54
12.86	916.19
13.64	915.76
14.41	915.20
15.49	914.61
16.72	914.90
17.51	915.65
19.37	916.81
21.56	917.20
25.01	917.17
28.12	917.79
33.26	918.99
33.31	918.51

SUMMARY DATA	MY00
Bankfull Elevation	916.8
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area	9.1
Bankfull Width	8.1
Flood Prone Area Elevation	919.0
Flood Prone Width	33
Max Depth at Bankfull	2.2
Mean Depth at Bankfull	1.1
W/D Ratio	7.2
Entrenchment Ratio	4.1
Bank Height Ratio	1.1
Stream Type	C



Sta. 204+01 Looking Downstream

**North Fork Mountain Creek - Reach 3
X-Section 13 Pool, Station 204+01**




River Basin	Catawba River
Watershed	Lower Lake Norman
XS ID	XS-14, Pool, STA 301+18
Drainage Area(sq. mi.)	1.22
Date	6/1/2012
Field Crew	

Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation
0.00	892.20	56.30	891.57
0.08	891.44	59.02	891.68
8.97	891.33	59.29	892.47
18.04	891.34		
25.48	890.88		
31.85	890.46		
34.53	890.03		
35.46	889.77		
35.94	889.32		
36.43	889.08		
37.37	888.67		
38.08	888.18		
39.12	888.19		
40.82	887.75		
41.99	888.37		
43.00	888.58		
44.00	889.70		
45.33	890.36		
46.25	891.03		
48.94	891.38		
53.99	891.49		

SUMMARY DATA	MY00
Bankfull Elevation	890.9
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area	25.6
Bankfull Width	20.6
Flood Prone Area Elevation	894.0
Flood Prone Width	59
Max Depth at Bankfull	3.1
Mean Depth at Bankfull	1.2
W/D Ratio	16.6
Entrenchment Ratio	2.9
Bank Height Ratio	1.0
Stream Type	C



Sta. 301+18 Looking Downstream




River Basin	Catawba River
Watershed	Lower Lake Norman
XS ID	XS-15, Riffle, STA 302+33
Drainage Area(sq. mi.)	1.22
Date	6/1/2012
Field Crew	

Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation
0.00	890.75	48.29	889.06
0.52	889.74	49.37	889.39
7.63	889.97	50.53	889.80
21.08	890.03	53.47	890.05
28.26	889.96	59.05	890.12
32.98	889.72	65.12	890.26
34.11	889.37	71.70	890.26
35.95	889.01	71.89	890.90
37.51	888.67		
38.12	888.50		
39.35	888.10		
39.71	887.91		
40.12	887.80		
40.93	887.57		
42.28	887.56		
43.24	887.62		
44.06	887.70		
44.53	887.82		
44.73	888.26		
45.50	888.66		
47.03	888.94		

SUMMARY DATA	MY00
Bankfull Elevation	889.7
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area	19.9
Bankfull Width	17.3
Flood Prone Area Elevation	891.9
Flood Prone Width	100
Max Depth at Bankfull	2.2
Mean Depth at Bankfull	1.2
W/D Ratio	15.1
Entrenchment Ratio	5.8
Bank Height Ratio	1.0
Stream Type	C



Sta. 302+33 Looking Downstream




River Basin	Catawba River
Watershed	Lower Lake Norman
XS ID	XS-16, Riffle, STA 303+38
Drainage Area(sq. mi.)	1.22
Date	6/1/2012
Field Crew	

Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation
0.00	890.21	39.06	888.49
0.21	889.41	41.05	889.27
8.20	889.44	41.47	889.44
16.23	889.52	45.58	889.49
21.39	889.52	49.71	889.63
23.90	889.26	55.72	889.24
24.84	889.05	55.74	889.97
25.64	888.70		
26.08	888.38		
26.89	888.41		
27.53	887.99		
28.02	887.34		
29.16	887.20		
30.82	887.19		
32.07	887.23		
33.83	887.22		
33.92	887.36		
34.78	887.46		
36.09	887.91		
37.22	888.36		
38.56	888.50		

SUMMARY DATA	MY00
Bankfull Elevation	889.4
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area	25.4
Bankfull Width	19.3
Flood Prone Area Elevation	891.7
Flood Prone Width	56
Max Depth at Bankfull	2.3
Mean Depth at Bankfull	1.3
W/D Ratio	14.8
Entrenchment Ratio	2.9
Bank Height Ratio	1.0
Stream Type	C



Sta. 303+38 Looking Downstream




River Basin	Catawba River
Watershed	Lower Lake Norman
XS ID	XS-17, Riffle, STA 306+69
Drainage Area(sq. mi.)	1.22
Date	6/1/2012
Field Crew	

Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation
0.00	887.61	35.56	886.21
0.25	886.93	35.80	886.56
6.82	886.95	37.06	886.62
11.63	886.78	41.08	886.72
16.13	886.80	45.67	886.83
18.03	886.70	50.17	886.98
19.95	885.84	50.34	887.57
21.06	885.59		
22.79	885.35		
23.45	885.05		
23.61	884.94		
24.40	884.78		
24.78	884.25		
26.30	884.27		
27.52	884.34		
29.75	884.55		
30.25	884.68		
31.05	885.16		
32.34	885.52		
33.21	885.75		
34.80	885.90		

SUMMARY DATA	MY00
Bankfull Elevation	886.6
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area	23.9
Bankfull Width	17.5
Flood Prone Area Elevation	888.9
Flood Prone Width	50
Max Depth at Bankfull	2.3
Mean Depth at Bankfull	1.4
W/D Ratio	12.7
Entrenchment Ratio	2.9
Bank Height Ratio	1.0
Stream Type	C



Sta. 306+69 Looking Downstream




River Basin	Catawba River
Watershed	Lower Lake Norman
XS ID	XS-18, Pool, STA 307+35
Drainage Area(sq. mi.)	1.22
Date	6/1/2012
Field Crew	

Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation
0.00	887.44	43.49	886.44
0.38	886.52	49.66	886.28
8.15	886.39	52.99	886.19
13.49	886.17	53.26	887.11
18.41	885.63		
22.90	885.34		
25.95	885.12		
26.99	884.93		
27.73	884.71		
28.95	884.14		
30.65	883.64		
31.57	883.49		
32.63	882.77		
34.80	883.23		
36.05	883.99		
36.31	883.92		
37.82	884.65		
37.90	885.57		
38.81	885.97		
39.26	886.19		
41.14	886.54		

SUMMARY DATA	MY00
Bankfull Elevation	886.2
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area	35.1
Bankfull Width	25.8
Flood Prone Area Elevation	889.6
Flood Prone Width	53
Max Depth at Bankfull	3.4
Mean Depth at Bankfull	1.4
W/D Ratio	19.0
Entrenchment Ratio	2.1
Bank Height Ratio	1.0
Stream Type	C



Sta. 307+35 Looking Downstream




River Basin	Catawba River
Watershed	Lower Lake Norman
XS ID	XS-19, Riffle, STA 311+76
Drainage Area(sq. mi.)	1.22
Date	6/1/2012
Field Crew	

Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation
0.00	883.93	39.68	882.95
0.29	883.92	40.79	883.19
0.33	883.61	45.23	883.06
6.57	883.31	51.53	883.83
14.23	883.09	51.66	882.96
18.19	882.99		
21.25	882.22		
21.60	882.01		
22.52	882.06		
23.49	881.86		
24.18	881.62		
25.60	881.26		
26.05	880.90		
27.36	880.94		
29.08	880.90		
30.33	880.85		
31.01	881.25		
31.23	881.23		
32.26	881.50		
33.69	881.71		
37.12	882.35		

SUMMARY DATA	MY00
Bankfull Elevation	883.0
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area	25.8
Bankfull Width	21.7
Flood Prone Area Elevation	885.1
Flood Prone Width	100
Max Depth at Bankfull	2.1
Mean Depth at Bankfull	1.2
W/D Ratio	18.2
Entrenchment Ratio	4.6
Bank Height Ratio	1.0
Stream Type	C



Sta. 311+76 Looking Downstream




River Basin	Catawba River
Watershed	Lower Lake Norman
XS ID	XS-20, Pool, STA 312+64
Drainage Area(sq. mi.)	1.22
Date	6/1/2012
Field Crew	

Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation
0.00	883.94	55.47	882.73
0.06	883.12	56.07	883.63
9.44	883.00		
12.90	882.98		
14.69	882.58		
17.05	881.92		
17.75	881.50		
18.23	880.79		
19.42	879.34		
20.41	879.51		
22.20	879.35		
24.04	879.76		
24.71	880.32		
25.71	880.44		
26.73	880.81		
27.45	881.06		
28.84	881.12		
32.99	881.62		
35.01	882.00		
39.91	882.59		
45.73	882.57		

SUMMARY DATA	MY00
Bankfull Elevation	882.6
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area	36.7
Bankfull Width	25.3
Flood Prone Area Elevation	885.8
Flood Prone Width	56
Max Depth at Bankfull	3.3
Mean Depth at Bankfull	1.5
W/D Ratio	17.4
Entrenchment Ratio	2.2
Bank Height Ratio	1.0
Stream Type	C



Sta. 312+64 Looking Downstream



River Basin	Catawba River
Watershed	Lower Lake Norman
XS ID	XS-21, Pool, STA 314+59
Drainage Area(sq. mi.)	1.22
Date	6/1/2012
Field Crew	


Station	Elevation
0.00	881.97
0.19	881.28
7.22	881.40
15.78	881.24
22.91	881.11
24.87	880.96
26.70	880.43
28.51	879.77
29.22	879.51
31.03	877.47
32.73	877.26
33.69	877.27
36.44	878.01
37.97	878.63
38.97	879.02
40.09	879.57
43.13	879.88
49.09	880.62
54.48	881.66
54.53	880.69

SUMMARY DATA	MY00
Bankfull Elevation	880.6
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area	34.2
Bankfull Width	23.0
Flood Prone Area Elevation	884.0
Flood Prone Width	55
Max Depth at Bankfull	3.4
Mean Depth at Bankfull	1.5
W/D Ratio	15.5
Entrenchment Ratio	2.4
Bank Height Ratio	1.0
Stream Type	C



Sta. 314+59 Looking Downstream

North Fork Mountain Creek - Reach 4
X-Section 21 Pool, Station 314+59




River Basin	Catawba River
Watershed	Lower Lake Norman
XS ID	XS-22, Riffle, STA 315+07
Drainage Area(sq. mi.)	1.22
Date	6/1/2012
Field Crew	

Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation
0.00	880.90	48.47	880.373
0.20	880.50	53.73	880.507
6.24	880.31	54.02	881.131
12.85	880.02		
17.82	880.01		
20.57	879.84		
22.23	879.19		
24.33	878.92		
26.58	878.52		
27.83	878.38		
28.66	878.12		
29.81	877.83		
31.33	878.03		
31.84	878.10		
33.06	878.40		
34.16	879.06		
36.65	879.26		
37.42	879.81		
38.24	879.97		
40.05	880.16		
42.27	880.30		

SUMMARY DATA	MY00
Bankfull Elevation	880.0
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area	22.0
Bankfull Width	20.7
Flood Prone Area Elevation	882.2
Flood Prone Width	54
Max Depth at Bankfull	2.2
Mean Depth at Bankfull	1.1
W/D Ratio	19.6
Entrenchment Ratio	2.6
Bank Height Ratio	1.0
Stream Type	C



Sta. 315+07 Looking Downstream




River Basin	Catawba River
Watershed	Lower Lake Norman
XS ID	XS-23, Riffle, STA 316+83
Drainage Area(sq. mi.)	1.22
Date	6/1/2012
Field Crew	

Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation
0.00	879.60	29.07	876.136
0.52	878.63	29.65	876.511
5.59	878.46	30.23	876.886
11.12	878.42	31.05	877.014
12.42	878.41	31.43	877.287
14.45	878.39	32.03	877.383
15.63	878.25	33.07	877.853
16.82	878.24	35.02	878.118
17.72	877.66	35.98	878.42
18.76	877.48	39.1	878.57
20.62	877.47	39.54	879.452
20.63	877.48		
21.79	877.37		
22.37	876.95		
22.52	876.33		
22.95	876.33		
23.86	876.31		
25.04	876.62		
26.33	875.89		
27.48	876.13		
28.32	875.95		

SUMMARY DATA	MY00
Bankfull Elevation	878.2
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area	22.7
Bankfull Width	18.6
Flood Prone Area Elevation	880.6
Flood Prone Width	40
Max Depth at Bankfull	2.4
Mean Depth at Bankfull	1.2
W/D Ratio	15.2
Entrenchment Ratio	2.1
Bank Height Ratio	1.0
Stream Type	C



Sta. 316+83 Looking Downstream



River Basin	Catawba River
Watershed	Lower Lake Norman
XS ID	XS-24, Pool, STA 317+28
Drainage Area(sq. mi.)	1.22
Date	6/1/2012
Field Crew	


Station	Elevation
0.00	879.37
0.44	878.46
6.33	878.28
11.83	877.82
15.96	877.24
18.35	876.62
19.45	876.19
20.74	875.75
23.27	875.54
24.07	875.37
24.72	875.44
25.62	876.10
26.10	876.69
26.87	876.99
28.12	877.16
30.36	877.81
31.63	878.04
35.06	878.33
38.30	878.44
42.07	878.35
42.28	879.53

SUMMARY DATA	MY00
Bankfull Elevation	877.8
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area	21.2
Bankfull Width	18.6
Flood Prone Area Elevation	880.3
Flood Prone Width	42
Max Depth at Bankfull	2.5
Mean Depth at Bankfull	1.1
W/D Ratio	16.3
Entrenchment Ratio	2.3
Bank Height Ratio	1.0
Stream Type	C



Sta. 317+28 Looking Downstream

North Fork Mountain Creek - Reach 4
X-Section 24 Pool, Station 317+28



River Basin	Catawba River
Watershed	Lower Lake Norman
XS ID	XS-25, Pool, STA 319+29
Drainage Area(sq. mi.)	1.22
Date	6/1/2012
Field Crew	


Station	Elevation
0.00	877.41
0.41	876.62
10.23	876.54
14.86	876.45
20.29	876.23
23.60	875.82
26.55	875.30
28.64	874.89
29.37	874.05
30.40	873.54
31.08	873.30
34.00	873.25
35.06	873.72
36.31	874.77
36.81	875.59
38.56	875.92
39.23	876.49
40.58	876.65
43.36	876.87
45.84	876.85
49.92	877.10
50.31	877.54

SUMMARY DATA	MY00
Bankfull Elevation	876.2
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area	26.2
Bankfull Width	18.7
Flood Prone Area Elevation	879.2
Flood Prone Width	50
Max Depth at Bankfull	3.0
Mean Depth at Bankfull	1.4
W/D Ratio	13.3
Entrenchment Ratio	2.7
Bank Height Ratio	1.0
Stream Type	C



Sta. 319+29 Looking Downstream

North Fork Mountain Creek - Reach 4
X-Section 25 Pool, Station 319+29



River Basin	Catawba River
Watershed	Lower Lake Norman
XS ID	XS-26, Riffle, STA 319+82
Drainage Area(sq. mi.)	1.22
Date	6/1/2012
Field Crew	


Station	Elevation
0.00	877.08
0.03	876.17
3.20	876.14
10.04	876.06
15.17	876.00
18.09	875.90
19.72	875.57
22.89	874.66
25.04	874.31
26.47	873.94
27.07	873.76
28.62	873.68
30.16	873.59
31.50	873.80
32.19	873.61
34.23	874.14
35.64	874.32
37.80	874.37
39.70	875.24
42.40	875.59
45.49	875.77
49.88	875.74
50.11	876.82

SUMMARY DATA	MY00
Bankfull Elevation	875.2
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area	19.4
Bankfull Width	18.8
Flood Prone Area Elevation	876.9
Flood Prone Width	50
Max Depth at Bankfull	1.6
Mean Depth at Bankfull	1.0
W/D Ratio	18.2
Entrenchment Ratio	2.7
Bank Height Ratio	1.0
Stream Type	C




Sta. 319+82 Looking Downstream

**North Fork Mountain Creek - Reach 4
X-Section 26 Riffle, Station 319+82**




North Fork Mountain Creek, Reaches 1 and 2
Longitudinal Profile, As-built, STA 100+00 to 124+10

— MYO Thalweg × TOB ● Log ● Boulder Constructed Riffle ▲ Rock Cross Vane ◆ Culvert




North Fork Mountain Creek, Reach 3
Longitudinal Profile, As-Built STA 200+00 to 206+67



North Fork Mountain Creek, Reach 4
Longitudinal Profile, As-built, STA 300+00 to 321+77

— MYO Thalweg × TOB ● Log ● Boulder Constructed Riffles ▲ Rock Cross Vane ◆ Ford Crossing



(This page intentionally left blank for two-sided printing)

Stream Monitoring Photos



Photo B1 – Photo Station S1 Reach 1 crossing looking downstream (6/27/2012)



Photo B2 – Photo Station S2 Reach 1 crossing looking upstream (6/27/2012)



Photo B3 – Photo Station S3 Reach 3 crossing looking downstream (6/27/2012)



Photo B4 – Photo Station S4 Reach 3 crossing looking upstream (6/27/2012)



Photo B5 – Photo Station S5 Reach 4 crossing looking downstream (6/27/2012)



Photo B6 – Photo Station S6 Reach 4 crossing looking upstream (6/27/2012)



Photo B7 – Photo Station S7 Confluence of Reach 2 and Reach 4 looking northwest (6/27/2012)



Photo B8 – Photo Station S8 Confluence of Reach 2 and Reach 4 looking west (6/27/2012)



Photo B9 – Photo Station S9 Confluence of Reach 2 and Reach 4 looking southwest (6/27/2012)



Photo B10 – Photo Station S10 Above Reach 4 looking east (6/27/2012)



Photo B11 – Photo Station S11 Above Reach 4 looking southeast (6/27/2012)



Photo B12 – Photo Station S12 Above Reach 4 looking south (6/27/2012)



Photo B13 – Photo Station S13 Reach 1 cross-section 1 looking downstream (6/27/2012)



Photo B14 – Photo Station S14 Reach 1 cross-section 2 looking downstream (6/27/2012)



Photo B15 – Photo Station S15 Reach 2 cross-section 3 looking downstream (6/27/2012)



Photo B16 – Photo Station S16 Reach 2 cross-section 4 looking downstream (6/27/2012)



Photo B17 – Photo Station S17 Reach 2 cross-section 5 looking downstream (6/27/2012)



Photo B18 – Photo Station S18 Reach 2 cross-section 6 looking downstream (6/27/2012)



Photo B19 – Photo Station S19 Reach 2 cross-section 7 looking downstream (6/27/2012)



Photo B20 – Photo Station S20 Reach 2 cross-section 8 looking downstream (6/27/2012)



Photo B21 – Photo Station S21 Reach 2 cross-section 9 looking downstream (6/27/2012)



Photo B22 – Photo Station S22 Reach 2 cross-section 10 looking downstream (6/27/2012)



Photo B23 – Photo Station S23 Reach 2 cross-section 11 looking downstream (6/27/2012)



Photo B24 – Photo Station S24 Reach 3 cross-section 12 looking downstream (6/27/2012)



Photo B25 – Photo Station S25 Reach 3 cross-section 13 looking downstream (6/27/2012)



Photo B26 – Photo Station S26 Reach 4 cross-section 14 looking downstream (6/27/2012)



Photo B27 – Photo Station S27 Reach 4 cross-section 15 looking downstream (6/27/2012)



Photo B28 – Photo Station S28 Reach 4 cross-section 16 looking downstream (6/27/2012)



Photo B29 – Photo Station S29 Reach 4 cross-section 17 looking downstream (6/27/2012)



Photo B30 – Photo Station S30 Reach 4 cross-section 18 looking downstream (6/27/2012)



Photo B31 – Photo Station S31 Reach 4 cross-section 19 looking downstream (6/27/2012)



Photo B32 – Photo Station S32 Reach 4 cross-section 20 looking downstream (6/27/2012)



Photo B33 – Photo Station S33 Reach 4 cross-section 21 looking downstream (6/27/2012)



Photo B34 – Photo Station S34 Reach 4 cross-section 22 looking downstream (6/27/2012)



Photo B35 – Photo Station S35 Reach 4 cross-section 23 looking downstream (6/27/2012)



Photo B36 – Photo Station S36 Reach 4 cross-section 24 looking downstream (6/27/2012)



Photo B37 – Photo Station S37 Reach 4 cross-section 25 looking downstream (6/27/2012)



Photo B38 – Photo Station S38 Reach 4 cross-section 26 looking downstream (6/27/2012)



Photo B39 – Photo Station S39 Crest gauge looking upstream on reach 4 (6/27/2012)

Appendix C - Vegetation Data

This page intentionally left blank for double sided printing.

Table 7 - Stem Count Totals and Planted by Plot and Species North Fork Mountain Creek Stream and Wetland Restoration (EEP #94151)

			Current Plot Data (MY0 2012)													Annual Means	
Scientific Name	Common Name	Species Type	171300307-01-0001	171300307-01-0002	171300307-01-0003	171300307-01-0004	171300307-01-0005	171300307-01-0006	171300307-01-0007	171300307-01-0008	171300307-01-0009	171300307-01-0010	171300307-01-0011	171300307-01-0012	171300307-01-0013	171300307-01-0014	MY0 (2012)
Alnus serrulata	hazel alder	Shrub												1	2		3
Betula nigra	river birch	Tree								5	4	5		7		4	25
Carpinus caroliniana	American hornbeam	Tree										2	4	2			8
Cephalanthus occidentalis	common buttonbush	Shrub												2	1	1	4
Fraxinus pennsylvanica	green ash	Tree	4	4	1	9		1	4	2	4	2	4	1	1	7	44
Juglans nigra	black walnut	Tree			3	1					1	2	1			2	11
Liriodendron tulipifera	tulip-tree	Tree	4	3	5	2	10	6				6	4		5	2	47
Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	Tree	1	3	2	13	4	1	16	12	16	3	9	8	2	1	91
Quercus	oak	Tree	1		9			4	13	1							28
Quercus phellos	willow oak	Tree		6	1	6	4	4	2	4	7	4	4	3	4		49
Quercus rubra	northern red oak	Tree	13	2	2		8	4	1						1		31
Unknown		unknown			1												1
Stem count			23	18	24	31	26	20	36	24	32	24	26	24	17	17	342
size (ares)			1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	14
size (ACRES)			0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.35
Species count			5	5	8	5	4	6	5	5	5	7	6	7	8	6	12
Stems per ACRE			931	728	971	1255	1052	809	1457	971	1295	971	1052	971	688	688	989

CVS Table 1 - Vegetation Metadata North Fork Mountain Creek Stream and Wetland Restoration (EEP #94151)	
Report Prepared By	kevin mitchell
Date Prepared	6/4/2012 14:40
database name	Equinox_2012_A_NFMC_MY0.mdb
database location	Z:\ES\NRI\M\EBX Monitoring\NF Mountain Creek\NFMC-MY0-2012\Data\Veg
computer name	D16TNK71
file size	49504256
DESCRIPTION OF WORKSHEETS IN THIS DOCUMENT-----	
Metadata	Description of database file, the report worksheets, and a summary of project(s) and project data.
Proj, planted	Each project is listed with its PLANTED stems per acre, for each year. This excludes live stakes.
Proj, total stems	Each project is listed with its TOTAL stems per acre, for each year. This includes live stakes, all planted stems, and all natural/volunteer stems.
Plots	List of plots surveyed with location and summary data (live stems, dead stems, missing, etc.).
Vigor	Frequency distribution of vigor classes for stems for all plots.
Vigor by Spp	Frequency distribution of vigor classes listed by species.
Damage	List of most frequent damage classes with number of occurrences and percent of total stems impacted by each.
Damage by Spp	Damage values tallied by type for each species.
Damage by Plot	Damage values tallied by type for each plot.
Planted Stems by Plot and Spp	A matrix of the count of PLANTED living stems of each species for each plot; dead and missing stems are excluded.
PROJECT SUMMARY-----	
Project Code	171300307
project Name	North Fork Mountain Creek
Description	
River Basin	Catawba
length(ft)	
stream-to-edge width (ft)	
area (sq m)	
Required Plots (calculated)	
Sampled Plots	14

CVS Table 2 - Vigor by Species									
North Fork Mountain Creek Stream and Wetland Restoration (EEP #94151)									
	Species	CommonName	4	3	2	1	0	Missing	Unknown
	Alnus serrulata	hazel alder	3						
	Betula nigra	river birch	2	11	9	3			
	Cephalanthus occidentalis	common buttonbush	2	2					
	Fraxinus pennsylvanica	green ash	19	12	13				
	Juglans nigra	black walnut	5	6					
	Quercus phellos	willow oak	6	14	16	13			
	Carpinus caroliniana	American hornbeam	6	2					
	Quercus	oak		6	15	7			
	Quercus rubra	northern red oak	11	17	2	1			
	Liriodendron tulipifera	tuliptree	7	25	14	1			
	Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	17	28	26	20			
	Unknown				1		2		
TOT:	12	11	78	123	96	45	2		

CVS Table 3 - Vegetation Damage by Species					
North Fork Mountain Creek Stream and Wetland Restoration (EEP #94151)					
	Species	CommonName	Count of Damage Categories		
			(no damage)	Deer	
	Alnus serrulata	hazel alder	0	3	
	Betula nigra	river birch	0	25	
	Carpinus caroliniana	American hornbeam	0	8	
	Cephalanthus occidentalis	common buttonbush	0	4	
	Fraxinus pennsylvanica	green ash	0	44	
	Juglans nigra	black walnut	0	11	
	Liriodendron tulipifera	tuliptree	0	47	
	Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	1	90	1
	Quercus	oak	0	28	
	Quercus phellos	willow oak	0	49	
	Quercus rubra	northern red oak	0	31	
	Unknown		0	3	
TOT:	12	11	1	343	1

CVS Table 4 - Vegetation Damage by Plot North Fork Mountain Creek Stream and Wetland Restoration (EEP #94151)			
plot	Count of Damage Categories		Deer
	(no damage)		
171300307-01-0001	0	24	
171300307-01-0002	0	18	
171300307-01-0003	0	25	
171300307-01-0004	1	30	1
171300307-01-0005	0	26	
171300307-01-0006	0	20	
171300307-01-0007	0	36	
171300307-01-0008	0	24	
171300307-01-0009	0	32	
171300307-01-0010	0	24	
171300307-01-0011	0	26	
171300307-01-0012	0	24	
171300307-01-0013	0	17	
171300307-01-0014	0	17	
TOT: 14	1	343	1

CVS Table 5 - Planted Stems by Plot and Species North Fork Mountain Creek Stream and Wetland Restoration (EEP #94151)																				
Comment	Species	CommonName	Total Planted Stems		# plots															
			# plots	avg# stems	plot 171300307-01-0001	plot 171300307-01-0002	plot 171300307-01-0003	plot 171300307-01-0004	plot 171300307-01-0005	plot 171300307-01-0006	plot 171300307-01-0007	plot 171300307-01-0008	plot 171300307-01-0009	plot 171300307-01-0010	plot 171300307-01-0011	plot 171300307-01-0012	plot 171300307-01-0013	plot 171300307-01-0014		
	Alnus serrulata	hazel alder	3	2	1.5													1	2	
	Betula nigra	river birch	25	5	5								5	4	5			7	4	
	Carpinus caroliniana	American hornbeam	8	3	2.67										2	4	2			
	Cephalanthus occidentalis	common buttonbush	4	3	1.33												2	1	1	
	Fraxinus pennsylvanica	green ash	44	13	3.38	4	4	1	9		1	4	2	4	2	4	1	1	7	
	Juglans nigra	black walnut	11	7	1.57				3	1					1	2	1		1	2
	Liriodendron tulipifera	tuliptree	47	10	4.7	4	3	5	2	10	6				6	4		5	2	
	Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	91	14	6.5	1	3	2	13	4	1	16	12	16	3	9	8	2	1	
	Quercus	oak	28	5	5.6	1		9			4	13	1							
	Quercus phellos	willow oak	49	12	4.08		6	1	6	4	4	2	4	7	4	4	3	4		
	Quercus rubra	northern red oak	31	7	4.43	13	2	2		8	4	1							1	
	Unknown		1	1	1				1											
TOT: 0	12	11	342	12		23	18	24	31	26	20	36	24	32	24	26	24	17	17	

Vegetation Monitoring Plot Photos



Photo C1 – Photo Station V1 Veg Plot 1 looking across veg plot (5/25/2012 Year 0)



Photo C2 – Photo Station V2 Veg Plot 2 looking across veg plot (5/25/2012 Year 0)



Photo C3 - Photo Station V3 Veg Plot 3 looking across veg plot (5/25/2012 Year 0)



Photo C4 - Photo Station V4 Veg Plot 4 looking across veg plot (5/25/2012 Year 0)



Photo C5 – Photo Station V5 Veg Plot 5 looking across veg plot (5/25/2012 Year 0)



Photo C6 – Photo Station V6 Veg Plot 6 looking across veg plot (5/25/2012 Year 0)



Photo C7 – Photo Station V7 Veg Plot 7 looking across veg plot (5/25/2012 Year 0)



Photo C8 – Photo Station V8 Veg Plot 8 looking across veg plot (5/25/2012 Year 0)



Photo C9 – Photo Station V9 Veg Plot 9 looking across veg plot (5/25/2012 Year 0)



Photo C10 – Photo Station V10 Veg Plot 10 looking across veg plot (5/25/2012 Year 0)



Photo C11 – Photo Station V11 Veg Plot 11 looking across veg plot (5/25/2012 Year 0)



Photo C12 – Photo Station V12 Veg Plot 12 looking across veg plot (5/25/2012 Year 0)



Photo C13 – Photo Station V13 Veg Plot 13 looking across veg plot (5/25/2012 Year 0)



Photo C14 – Photo Station V14 Veg Plot 14 looking across veg plot (5/25/2012 Year 0)

Appendix D - As-Built Plan Sheet

This page intentionally left blank for double sided printing.



Stantec

2127 AYRSLEY TOWN BLVD
SUITE 300
CHARLOTTE, NC 28273
(P) 704-329-0900
(F) 704-329-0905
www.stantec.com
License No. F-0672

CLIENT:

**ENVIRONMENTAL BANC &
EXCHANGE, LLC**
909 CAPABILITY DR.
RALEIGH, NC 27606
(P) 919-829-9909


AS-BUILT SURVEY OF NORTH FORK MOUNTAIN CREEK STREAM AND WETLAND RESTORATION CATAWBA COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA

ENVIRONMENTAL BANC & EXCHANGE, LLC
RALEIGH, NORTH CAROLINA
JULY 13, 2012
PROJECT NUMBER: 171300307


SHEET DESCRIPTION

0.0	COVER
1.1	LEGEND AND SYMBOLS
2.2	KEY SHEET
3.3 - 3.11	AS-BUILT PLAN SHEETS
4.12 - 4.13	AS-BUILT TYPICAL SECTION SHEETS
5.14 - 5.18	AS-BUILT PROFILE SHEETS
6.19	AS-BUILT PLANTING PLAN

SITE LOCATION



VICINITY MAP NOT TO SCALE



NOTES:

THIS IS A SURVEY OF AS-BUILT CONDITIONS ONLY. THIS INCLUDES SITE TOPOGRAPHY, CHANNEL DEFINITION, AND STREAM STRUCTURE LOCATION. THE PLS VERIFIES ONLY THE LOCATION AND ELEVATION OF THE POINTS COLLECTED AND THE CORRESPONDING ACCURACY OF THE CONTOURS TO DEFINE THE PREVIOUSLY MENTIONED FEATURES.


ALL WETLAND AND VEGETATION INFORMATION HAS BEEN PROVIDED BY THE DESIGNER.

THE AS-BUILT SURVEY WAS CONDUCTED WITH NAVD88 DATUM IN NORTH CAROLINA STATE PLANE (FT) COORDINATE SYSTEM.


CONSERVATION EASEMENT WAS PROVIDED BY OTHERS.

PRE-EXISTING CONTOURS WERE PROVIDED BY OTHERS.


CONVENTIONAL SYMBOLS



BOULDER CONSTRUCTED RIFFLE




WOOD AND GRAVEL RIFFLE



CONSERVATION EASEMENT




LOG STEP POOL



FORD CROSSING

EXISTING INDEX CONTOUR



LOG VANE W/
ROCK J-HOOK



EXISTING WETLAND

EXISTING INTERIM CONTOUR



WOODY DEBRIS / BRUSH
TOE PROTECTION / MATTRESS




PROPOSED WETLAND
CREATION



INDEX CONTOUR



BOULDER CROSS-VANE




PROPOSED WETLAND
RESTORATION




INTERIM CONTOUR



BOULDER CROSS-VANE
W/ STEP




VEGETATION
MONITORING PLOT



THALWEG



BANKFULL




RIPRAP



Stantec Consulting Services Inc.
2127 Ayrley Town Blvd., Suite 300
Charlotte NC U.S.A.
28273
Tel. 704.329.0900
Fax. 704.329.0905
www.stantec.com
License No. F-0672

Stantec

Copyright Reserved
The Contractor shall verify and be responsible for all dimensions. DO NOT scale the drawing - any errors or omissions shall be reported to Stantec without delay.
The Copyright to all designs and drawings are the property of Stantec. Reproduction or use for any purpose other than that authorized by Stantec is forbidden.



REVISIONS			
NO.	DATE	BY	DESCRIPTION

CERTIFICATION AND SEAL

AS-BUILT

PROJECT NAME
AS-BUILT SURVEY OF NORTH FORK
MOUNTAIN CREEK STREAM RESTORATION
CATAWBA COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA

DRAWING TITLE
LEGEND AND SYMBOLS


CADD PLOT:	SCALE: N/A	SHEET NO.
VOID PLOT:	DRAWN BY: R.R.P.	1.1
RELEASED TO CONSTRUCTION	DATE: 07-13-12 REVIEWED BY: T.T.	



Stantec Consulting Services Inc.
 2127 Ayrley Town Blvd., Suite 300
 Charlotte NC U.S.A.
 28273
 Tel: 704.329.0900
 Fax: 704.329.0905
 www.stantec.com
 License No. F-0672

Stantec

Copyright Reserved
 The Contractor shall verify and be responsible for all dimensions. DO NOT scale the drawing - any errors or omissions shall be reported to Stantec without delay.
 The Copyrights to all designs and drawings are the property of Stantec. Reproduction or use for any purpose other than that authorized by Stantec is forbidden.



REVISIONS

NO.	DATE	BY	DESCRIPTION

CERTIFICATION AND SEAL

AS-BUILT

PROJECT NAME
 AS-BUILT SURVEY OF NORTH FORK MOUNTAIN CREEK STREAM RESTORATION CATAWBA COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA

KEY SHEET

CADD PLOT:	SCALE: N/A	SHEET NO.
VOID PLOT:	DRAWN BY: R.R.P.	2.2
RELEASED TO CONSTRUCTION	DATE: 07-13-12	
	REVIEWED BY: T.T.	



BEGIN
 UT 2 REACH 3
 STA: 200+00
 N: 691901.85
 E: 1379502.72

END
 UT 2 REACH 3
 STA: 206+69.71
 N: 691769.92
 E: 1380118.66


BEGIN
 UT 1 REACH 1
 STA: 100+00
 N: 692240.92
 E: 1379849.31

END UT 1 REACH 1
 BEGIN UT 1 REACH 2
 STA: 107+19.70
 N: 691656.95
 E: 1380208.05

END
 NORTH FORK MOUNTAIN CREEK
 REACH 4
 STA: 321+95.4
 N: 690488.28
 E: 1381399.59

END
 UT 1 REACH 2
 STA: 124+17.8
 N: 690509.11
 E: 1381359.18

BEGIN
 NORTH FORK MOUNTAIN CREEK
 REACH 4
 STA: 300+00
 N: 689349.66
 E: 1380047.10



S:\Data\2012\07\13_1_05_PN_Lock_R.R.P. Richard_Vis_Built.dwg
 \Users\rjones\Documents\Projects\17681307\AS-Built\Drawing\Sheet.dwg
 7/13/12 1:56 PM




Stantec Consulting Services Inc.
 2127 Ayrley Town Blvd., Suite 300
 Charlotte NC U.S.A.
 28273
 Tel. 704.329.0900
 Fax. 704.329.0905
 www.stantec.com
 License No. F-0672

Stantec

Copyright Reserved

The Contractor shall verify and be responsible for all dimensions. DO NOT scale the drawing - any errors or omissions shall be reported to Stantec without delay.
 The Copyrights to all designs and drawings are the property of Stantec. Reproduction or use for any purpose other than that authorized by Stantec is forbidden.



REVISIONS

NO.	DATE	BY	DESCRIPTION


CERTIFICATION AND SEAL

AS-BUILT

PROJECT NAME
 AS-BUILT SURVEY OF NORTH FORK MOUNTAIN CREEK STREAM RESTORATION CATAWBA COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA

DRAWING TITLE
AS-BUILT PLAN SHEET

CADD PLOT:	SCALE: N/A	SHEET NO.
VOID PLOT:	DRAWN BY: R.R.P.	3.3
RELEASED TO CONSTRUCTION	DATE: 07-13-12	
	REVIEWED BY: T.T.	



BEGIN
 NORTH FORK MOUNTAIN CREEK
 REACH 4
 STA: 300+00



S:\projects\2012\07\13 - 4:38 PM Login: Pelly, Richard
 \Users\rpelly\My Documents\Projects\17581307\AS-BUILT\Drawing\Sheet - Files\as-built\1307-303.dwg




Stantec Consulting Services Inc.
 2127 Ayrley Town Blvd., Suite 300
 Charlotte NC U.S.A.
 28273
 Tel. 704.329.0900
 Fax. 704.329.0905
 www.stantec.com
 License No. F-0672

Stantec

Copyright Reserved

The Contractor shall verify and be responsible for all dimensions. DO NOT scale the drawing - any errors or omissions shall be reported to Stantec without delay.
 The Copyrights to all designs and drawings are the property of Stantec. Reproduction or use for any purpose other than that authorized by Stantec is forbidden.



REVISIONS

NO.	DATE	BY	DESCRIPTION



CERTIFICATION AND SEAL

AS-BUILT

PROJECT NAME
 AS-BUILT SURVEY FOR NORTH FORK
 MOUNTAIN CREEK STREAM RESTORATION
 CATAWBA COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA

DRAWING TITLE
AS-BUILT PLAN SHEET

CADD PLOT:	SCALE: N/A	SHEET NO.
VOID PLOT:	DRAWN BY: R.R.P.	3.4
RELEASED TO CONSTRUCTION	DATE: 07-13-12	
	REVIEWED BY: T.T.	




SaveDate: 2012/07/13 4:38 PM Login: Pety, Richard
 \\s1225-101\shared_projects\75613007\AS-Built\Drawings\Sheet_13.dwg 2012/7/13 4:38 PM



Stantec Consulting Services Inc.
 2127 Ayrley Town Blvd., Suite 300
 Charlotte NC U.S.A.
 28273
 Tel. 704.329.0900
 Fax. 704.329.0905
 www.stantec.com
 License No. F-0672

Stantec

Copyright Reserved
 The Contractor shall verify and be responsible for all dimensions. DO NOT scale the drawing - any errors or omissions shall be reported to Stantec without delay.
 The Copyrights to all designs and drawings are the property of Stantec. Reproduction or use for any purpose other than that authorized by Stantec is forbidden.



REVISIONS

NO.	DATE	BY	DESCRIPTION


CERTIFICATION AND SEAL

AS-BUILT

PROJECT NAME
 AS-BUILT SURVEY OF NORTH FORK
 MOUNTAIN CREEK STREAM RESTORATION
 CATAWBA COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA

DRAWING TITLE
AS-BUILT PLAN SHEET


CADD PLOT:	SCALE: N/A	SHEET NO.
VOID PLOT:	DRAWN BY: R.R.P.	3.5
RELEASED TO CONSTRUCTION	DATE: 07-13-12	
	REVIEWED BY: T.T.	



SaveDate: 2012/07/13 4:38 PM Login: Petty, Richard
 \\us1225-101\Users\c...project\175613007\civil_drawing\sheet_1\3007-303.dwg


MATCHLINE - SEE SHEET 3.7

MATCHLINE - SEE SHEET 3.5



Stantec
 Stantec Consulting Services Inc.
 2127 Ayrley Town Blvd., Suite 300
 Charlotte NC U.S.A. 28273
 Tel. 704.329.0900
 Fax. 704.329.0905
 www.stantec.com
 License No. F-0672

Copyright Reserved
 The Contractor shall verify and be responsible for all dimensions. DO NOT scale the drawing - any errors or omissions shall be reported to Stantec without delay.
 The Copyrights to all designs and drawings are the property of Stantec. Reproduction or use for any purpose other than that authorized by Stantec is forbidden.



REVISIONS			
NO.	DATE	BY	DESCRIPTION

CERTIFICATION AND SEAL

AS-BUILT

PROJECT NAME
 AS-BUILT SURVEY OF NORTH FORK MOUNTAIN CREEK STREAM RESTORATION CATAWBA COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA

DRAWING TITLE
AS-BUILT PLAN SHEET

CADD PLOT:	SCALE: N/A	SHEET NO.
VOID PLOT:	DRAWN BY: R.R.P.	3.6
RELEASED TO CONSTRUCTION	DATE: 07-13-12	
	REVIEWED BY: T.T.	



SaveDate: 2012/07/13 4:38 PM
 User: rrp
 Login: RRP
 Path: \\server\projects\1736\AS-BUILT\Drawings\AS-BUILT\3.6.dwg
 Plot: 3.6.dwg




Stantec Consulting Services Inc.
 2127 Ayrley Town Blvd., Suite 300
 Charlotte NC U.S.A.
 28273
 Tel. 704.329.0900
 Fax. 704.329.0905
 www.stantec.com
 License No. F-0672

Stantec

Copyright Reserved

The Contractor shall verify and be responsible for all dimensions. DO NOT scale the drawing - any errors or omissions shall be reported to Stantec without delay.
 The Copyrights to all designs and drawings are the property of Stantec. Reproduction or use for any purpose other than that authorized by Stantec is forbidden.



REVISIONS

NO.	DATE	BY	DESCRIPTION

CERTIFICATION AND SEAL

AS-BUILT


PROJECT NAME
 AS-BUILT SURVEY OF NORTH FORK
 MOUNTAIN CREEK STREAM RESTORATION
 CATAWBA COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA

DRAWING TITLE
AS-BUILT PLAN SHEET

CADD PLOT:	SCALE: N/A	SHEET NO.
VOID PLOT:	DRAWN BY: R.R.P.	3.7
RELEASED TO CONSTRUCTION	DATE: 07-13-12	
	REVIEWED BY: T.T.	

MATCHLINE - SEE SHEET 3.6

MATCHLINE - SEE SHEET 3.8



Saved as: 01/27/07/13_438.dwg
 User: rrp
 Date: 07/13/12 10:00 AM
 Path: \\server\projects\1301000\North Fork Mountain Creek Stream Restoration\Drawings\AS-Built\3.7.dwg




Stantec Consulting Services Inc.
 2127 Ayrley Town Blvd., Suite 300
 Charlotte NC U.S.A.
 28273
 Tel. 704.329.0900
 Fax. 704.329.0905
 www.stantec.com
 License No. F-0672

Stantec

Copyright Reserved

The Contractor shall verify and be responsible for all dimensions. DO NOT scale the drawing - any errors or omissions shall be reported to Stantec without delay.
 The Copyrights to all designs and drawings are the property of Stantec. Reproduction or use for any purpose other than that authorized by Stantec is forbidden.



REVISIONS			
NO.	DATE	BY	DESCRIPTION

CERTIFICATION AND SEAL

AS-BUILT

PROJECT NAME
 AS-BUILT SURVEY OF NORTH FORK
 MOUNTAIN CREEK STREAM RESTORATION
 CATAWBA COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA


DRAWING TITLE
AS-BUILT PLAN SHEET

CADD PLOT:	SCALE: N/A	SHEET NO.
VOID PLOT:	DRAWN BY: R.R.P.	3.8
RELEASED TO CONSTRUCTION	DATE: 07-13-12	
	REVIEWED BY: T.T.	



MATCHLINE - SEE SHEET 3.7

MATCHLINE - SEE SHEET 3.9



S:\Projects\2012\0713_438_PV_L1212_P14_1212_P14.dwg
 \Users\rrp\My Documents\Projects\2012\0713_438_PV_L1212_P14.dwg
 \Users\rrp\My Documents\Projects\2012\0713_438_PV_L1212_P14.dwg

MATCHLINE - SEE SHEET 3.8

MATCHLINE - SEE SHEET 3.11

MATCHLINE - SEE SHEET 3.10

END
UT 2 REACH 3
STA: 206+69.71

Farm Road


END UT 1 REACH 1
BEGIN UT 2 REACH 2
STA: 107+19.70



Stantec Consulting Services Inc.
2127 Ayrley Town Blvd., Suite 300
Charlotte NC U.S.A. 28273
Tel. 704.329.0900
Fax. 704.329.0905
www.stantec.com
License No. F-0672

Stantec

Copyright Reserved
The Contractor shall verify and be responsible for all dimensions. DO NOT scale the drawing - any errors or omissions shall be reported to Stantec without delay.
The Copyright to all designs and drawings are the property of Stantec. Reproduction or use for any purpose other than that authorized by Stantec is forbidden.



REVISIONS			
NO.	DATE	BY	DESCRIPTION


CERTIFICATION AND SEAL

AS-BUILT

PROJECT NAME AS-BUILT SURVEY OF NORTH FORK MOUNTAIN CREEK STREAM RESTORATION CATAWBA COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA		
DRAWING TITLE AS-BUILT PLAN SHEET		
CADD PLOT:	SCALE: N/A	SHEET NO.
VOID PLOT:	DRAWN BY: R.R.P.	3.9
RELEASED TO CONSTRUCTION	DATE: 07-13-12 REVIEWED BY: I.T.	


Saved: 2012/07/13 4:38 PM Login: Petty, Richard
 \\na1225-01\shared_projects\175613007\Civil\Drawing\Sheet\Sheet_13007-3.9.dwg

MATCHLINE - SEE SHEET 3.9



Stantec
 Stantec Consulting Services Inc.
 2127 Ayrley Town Blvd., Suite 300
 Charlotte NC U.S.A.
 28273
 Tel. 704.329.0900
 Fax. 704.329.0905
 www.stantec.com
 License No. T-0672

Copyright Reserved
 The Contractor shall verify and be responsible for all dimensions. DO NOT scale the drawing - any errors or omissions shall be reported to Stantec without delay.
 The Copyrights to all designs and drawings are the property of Stantec. Reproduction or use for any purpose other than that authorized by Stantec is forbidden.



REVISIONS			
NO.	DATE	BY	DESCRIPTION

CERTIFICATION AND SEAL

AS-BUILT


PROJECT NAME
 AS-BUILT SURVEY OF NORTH FORK MOUNTAIN CREEK STREAM RESTORATION CATAWBA COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA

DRAWING TITLE
AS-BUILT PLAN SHEET

CADD PLOT:	SCALE: N/A	SHEET NO.
VOID PLOT:	DRAWN BY: R.R.P.	3.10
RELEASED TO CONSTRUCTION	DATE: 07-13-12	
	REVIEWED BY: T.T.	




Savebase: 2012/07/13 4:38 PM Login: Petty, Richard
 \\us1225-01\shared_projects\17861807\001\drawing\sheet_files\as-built\set\13007-r-303.dwg



Stantec Consulting Services Inc.
 2127 Ayrley Town Blvd., Suite 300
 Charlotte NC U.S.A. 28273
 Tel. 704.329.0900
 Fax. 704.329.0905
 www.stantec.com
 License No. F-0672

Copyright Reserved
 The Contractor shall verify and be responsible for all dimensions. DO NOT scale the drawing - any errors or omissions shall be reported to Stantec without delay.
 The Copyrights to all designs and drawings are the property of Stantec. Reproduction or use for any purpose other than that authorized by Stantec is forbidden.



REVISIONS			
NO.	DATE	BY	DESCRIPTION

CERTIFICATION AND SEAL

AS-BUILT

PROJECT NAME
 AS-BUILT SURVEY OF NORTH FORK MOUNTAIN CREEK STREAM RESTORATION
 CATAWBA COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA


DRAWING TITLE
AS-BUILT PLAN SHEET

CADD PLOT:	SCALE: N/A	SHEET NO.
VOID PLOT:	DRAWN BY: R.R.P.	3.11
RELEASED TO CONSTRUCTION	DATE: 07-13-12	
	REVIEWED BY: T.T.	


MATCHLINE - SEE SHEET 3.9




S:\Projects\0712\0713_438_PV_Login_Petty_Richard\Drawings\AS-BUILT\AS-BUILT\Drawing\Sheet_3.11.dwg
 7/13/12 10:11 AM
 T:\Projects\0712\0713_438_PV_Login_Petty_Richard\Drawings\AS-BUILT\AS-BUILT\Drawing\Sheet_3.11.dwg




REACH 1 - XS2 - POOL



REACH 1 - XS1 - RIFFLE



REACH 2 - XS10 - POOL



REACH 2 - XS11 - RIFFLE

SCALE: 1"=10' HORZ.
1"= 2' VERT.

Stantec
 Stantec Consulting Services Inc.
 2127 Ayrley Town Blvd., Suite 300
 Charlotte NC U.S.A.
 28273
 Tel. 704.329.0900
 Fax. 704.329.0905
 www.stantec.com
 License No. F-0672

Copyright Reserved
 The Contractor shall verify and be responsible for all dimensions. DO NOT scale the drawing - any errors or omissions shall be reported to Stantec without delay.
 The Copyrights to all designs and drawings are the property of Stantec. Reproduction or use for any purpose other than that authorized by Stantec is forbidden.

REVISIONS			
NO.	DATE	BY	DESCRIPTION

CERTIFICATION AND SEAL


AS-BUILT

PROJECT NAME
 AS-BUILT SURVEY OF NORTH FORK
 MOUNTAIN CREEK STREAM RESTORATION
 CATAWBA COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA


DRAWING TITLE
AS-BUILT TYPICAL SECTION SHEET

CADD PLOT:	SCALE: N/A	SHEET NO.
VOID PLOT:	DRAWN BY: R.R.P.	4.12
RELEASED TO CONSTRUCTION	DATE: 07-13-12	
	REVIEWED BY: T.T.	


Rev: 01/12/07/13 2:28 PM Log: R.P. Richard
 \\s01\apps\GIS\Projects\130713\North Fork\Drawings\Sheet_files\as_built\130713-412.dwg



REACH 3 - XS13 - POOL



REACH 3 - XS12 - RIFFLE



REACH 4 - XS20 - POOL




REACH 4 - XS16 - RIFFLE



Stantec Consulting Services Inc.
 2127 Ayrley Town Blvd., Suite 300
 Charlotte NC U.S.A.
 28273
 Tel. 704.329.0900
 Fax. 704.329.0905
 www.stantec.com
 License No. F-0672

Stantec

Copyright Reserved
 The Contractor shall verify and be responsible for all dimensions. DO NOT scale the drawing - any errors or omissions shall be reported to Stantec without delay.
 The Copyright to all designs and drawings are the property of Stantec. Reproduction or use for any purpose other than that authorized by Stantec is forbidden.



REVISIONS			
NO.	DATE	BY	DESCRIPTION

CERTIFICATION AND SEAL


AS-BUILT

PROJECT NAME AS-BUILT SURVEY OF NORTH FORK MOUNTAIN CREEK STREAM RESTORATION CATAWBA COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA		
DRAWING TITLE AS-BUILT TYPICAL SECTION SHEET		
CADD PLOT:	SCALE: N/A	SHEET NO.
VOID PLOT:	DRAWN BY: R.R.P.	4.13
RELEASED TO CONSTRUCTION	DATE: 07-13-12	
	REVIEWED BY: T.T.	


SCALE: 1"=10' HORZ.
 1"= 2' VERT.

SaveDate: 2012/07/13 2:28 PM Login: Pelly, Richard
 \\nas1225-01\shared_projects\175613007\civil\drawing\sheet_files\as_built\13007-412.dwg

AS-BUILT PROFILE - UT 1 REACH 1 & REACH 2



AS-BUILT PROFILE - UT 1 REACH 1 & REACH 2




Stantec Consulting Services Inc.
 2127 Ayrley Town Blvd., Suite 300
 Charlotte NC U.S.A.
 28273
 Tel. 704.329.0900
 Fax. 704.329.0905
 www.stantec.com
 License No. F-0672

Stantec

Copyright Reserved

The Contractor shall verify and be responsible for all dimensions. DO NOT scale the drawing - any errors or omissions shall be reported to Stantec without delay.
 The Copyrights to all designs and drawings are the property of Stantec. Reproduction or use for any purpose other than that authorized by Stantec is forbidden.



REVISIONS

NO.	DATE	BY	DESCRIPTION

CERTIFICATION AND SEAL

AS-BUILT


PROJECT NAME
 AS-BUILT SURVEY OF NORTH FORK
 MOUNTAIN CREEK STREAM RESTORATION
 CATAWBA COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA

DRAWING TITLE
AS-BUILT PROFILE SHEET


CADD PLOT:	SCALE: N/A	SHEET NO.
VOID PLOT:	DRAWN BY: R.R.P.	5.14
RELEASED TO CONSTRUCTION	DATE: 07-13-12	
	REVIEWED BY: T.T.	

SewDate: 2012/07/13 2:25 PM Login: Pety, Richard
 \\un1225-10\Inetres_projects\75613007\Civil\Drawing\Sheet\Files\As-Built\set\30072-514.dwg

AS-BUILT PROFILE - UT 1 REACH 1 & REACH 2




AS-BUILT PROFILE - UT 1 REACH 1 & REACH 2



Stantec Consulting Services Inc.
 2127 Ayrley Town Blvd., Suite 300
 Charlotte NC U.S.A.
 28273
 Tel. 704.329.0900
 Fax. 704.329.0905
 www.stantec.com
 License No. F-0672

Stantec

Copyright Reserved
 The Contractor shall verify and be responsible for all dimensions. DO NOT scale the drawing - any errors or omissions shall be reported to Stantec without delay.
 The Copyrights to all designs and drawings are the property of Stantec. Reproduction or use for any purpose other than that authorized by Stantec is forbidden.



REVISIONS

NO.	DATE	BY	DESCRIPTION

CERTIFICATION AND SEAL

AS-BUILT


PROJECT NAME
 AS-BUILT SURVEY OF NORTH FORK
 MOUNTAIN CREEK STREAM RESTORATION
 CATAWBA COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA

DRAWING TITLE
AS-BUILT PROFILE SHEET

CADD PLOT:	SCALE: N/A	SHEET NO.
VOID PLOT:	DRAWN BY: R.R.P.	5.15
RELEASED TO CONSTRUCTION	DATE: 07-13-12	
	REVIEWED BY: T.T.	

SaveDate: 2012/07/13 2:25 PM Login: Pecky, Richard
 \\na1225-101\shared_projects\75613007\Civil\Drawings\Sheet_Lines\as_built\as_built_514.dwg

AS-BUILT PROFILE - UT 2 REACH 3




LEGEND
 ▲ BOULDER CROSS VANE
 ○ LOG STEPS



Stantec Consulting Services Inc.
 2127 Amsley Town Blvd., Suite 300
 Charlotte NC U.S.A. 28273
 Tel. 704.329.0900
 Fax. 704.329.0905
 www.stantec.com
 License No. F-0672

Stantec

Copyright Reserved
 The Contractor shall verify and be responsible for all dimensions. DO NOT scale the drawing - any errors or omissions shall be reported to Stantec without delay.
 The Copyright to all designs and drawings are the property of Stantec. Reproduction or use for any purpose other than that authorized by Stantec is forbidden.



REVISIONS			
NO.	DATE	BY	DESCRIPTION

CERTIFICATION AND SEAL

AS-BUILT


PROJECT NAME
 AS-BUILT SURVEY OF NORTH FORK
 MOUNTAIN CREEK STREAM RESTORATION
 CATAWBA COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA

DRAWING TITLE
AS-BUILT PROFILE SHEET


CADD PLOT:	SCALE: N/A	SHEET NO.
VOID PLOT:	DRAWN BY: R.R.P.	5.16
RELEASED TO CONSTRUCTION	DATE: 07-13-12	
	REVIEWED BY: T.T.	

S:\projects\2012\07\13_225_PM_Locn_Peity_Richard\1225-10\interior_projects\75613007\Civil\drawing\sheet\as-built\3007c-514.dwg
 2012/07/13 2:25 PM Locn: Peity, Richard

AS-BUILT PROFILE - REACH 4




AS-BUILT PROFILE - REACH 4



Stantec Consulting Services Inc.
 2127 Ayrley Town Blvd., Suite 300
 Charlotte NC U.S.A.
 28273
 Tel. 704.329.0900
 Fax. 704.329.0905
 www.stantec.com
 License No. F-0672

Stantec

Copyright Reserved
 The Contractor shall verify and be responsible for all dimensions. DO NOT scale the drawing - any errors or omissions shall be reported to Stantec without delay.
 The Copyright to all designs and drawings are the property of Stantec. Reproduction or use for any purpose other than that authorized by Stantec is forbidden.



REVISIONS			
NO.	DATE	BY	DESCRIPTION

CERTIFICATION AND SEAL

AS-BUILT


PROJECT NAME
 AS-BUILT SURVEY OF NORTH FORK
 MOUNTAIN CREEK STREAM RESTORATION
 CATAWBA COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA

DRAWING TITLE
AS-BUILT PROFILE SHEET


CADD PLOT:	SCALE: N/A	SHEET NO.
VOID PLOT:	DRAWN BY: R.R.P.	5.17
RELEASED TO CONSTRUCTION	DATE: 07-13-12	
	REVIEWED BY: T.T.	

SaveDate: 2012/07/13 2:25 PM Login: Pelly, Richard
 \\s11225-101\shared_projects\175613007\civil_drawing\sheet_files\as_built\set\3007c-514.dwg

AS-BUILT PROFILE - REACH 4



AS-BUILT PROFILE - REACH 4



LEGEND


- ▲ BOULDER CROSS VANE
- BOULDER
- ◆ CONSTRUCTED RIFFLE
- LOG VANE WITH ROCK J-HOOK



Stantec Consulting Services Inc.
 2127 Ayrley Town Blvd., Suite 300
 Charlotte NC U.S.A.
 28273
 Tel. 704.329.0900
 Fax. 704.329.0905
 www.stantec.com
 License No. F-0672

Stantec

Copyright Reserved
 The Contractor shall verify and be responsible for all dimensions. DO NOT scale the drawing - any errors or omissions shall be reported to Stantec without delay.
 The Copyrights to all designs and drawings are the property of Stantec. Reproduction or use for any purpose other than that authorized by Stantec is forbidden.



REVISIONS			
NO.	DATE	BY	DESCRIPTION

CERTIFICATION AND SEAL

AS-BUILT

PROJECT NAME		
AS-BUILT SURVEY OF NORTH FORK MOUNTAIN CREEK STREAM RESTORATION CATAWBA COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA		
DRAWING TITLE		
AS-BUILT PROFILE SHEET		
CADD PLOT:	SCALE: N/A	SHEET NO.
VOID PLOT:	DRAWN BY: R.R.P.	5.18
RELEASED TO CONSTRUCTION	DATE: 07-13-12	
	REVIEWED BY: T.T.	

Saved: 2012/07/13 2:25 PM Login: Percy, Richard
 \\V:\225-101\stanc\projects\7501300\Drawings\Sheet\Plan\as-built\as-built.dwg 130072-514.dwg

BEGIN
UT 1 REACH 1
STA: 100+00
N: 692240.92
E: 1379849.31

BEGIN
UT 2 REACH 3
STA: 200+00
N: 691901.85
E: 1379502.72

END UT 1 REACH 1
BEGIN UT 1 REACH 2
STA: 107+19.70
N: 691656.95
E: 1380208.05

END
UT 2 REACH 3
STA: 206+69.71
N: 691769.92
E: 1380118.66

END NORTH FORK MOUNTAIN
CREEK REACH 4
STA: 321+95.4
N: 690488.28
E: 1381399.59



END
UT 1 REACH 2
STA: 124+17.8
N: 690509.11
E: 1381359.18

BEGIN
NORTH FORK MOUNTAIN CREEK
REACH 4
STA: 300+00
N: 689349.66
E: 1380047.10

END
NORTH FORK MOUNTAIN CREEK
REACH 4
STA: 321+95.4
N: 690488.28
E: 1381399.59

END
UT 1 REACH 2
STA: 124+17.8
N: 690509.11
E: 1381359.18

LEGEND

-  UPLAND ZONE
-  FLOODPLAIN ZONE

NOTE:


BOUNDARIES FOR PLANTING ZONES
PROVIDED BY DESIGNER.



Stantec

Stantec Consulting Services Inc.
2127 Ayrley Town Blvd., Suite 300
Charlotte NC U.S.A.
28273
Tel. 704.329.0900
Fax. 704.329.0905
www.stantec.com
License No. F-0672

Copyright Reserved
The Contractor shall verify and be responsible for all dimensions. DO NOT scale the drawing - any errors or omissions shall be reported to Stantec without delay.
The Copyrights to all designs and drawings are the property of Stantec. Reproduction or use for any purpose other than that authorized by Stantec is forbidden.



REVISIONS

NO.	DATE	BY	DESCRIPTION

CERTIFICATION AND SEAL

AS-BUILT

PROJECT NAME
AS-BUILT SURVEY OF NORTH FORK
MOUNTAIN CREEK STREAM RESTORATION
CATAWBA COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA

DRAWING TITLE
AS-BUILT PLANTING PLAN

CADD PLOT:	SCALE: N/A	SHEET NO.
VOID PLOT:	DRAWN BY: R.R.P.	6.19
RELEASED TO CONSTRUCTION:	DATE: 07-13-12	
	REVIEWED BY: T.T.	


SendDate: 2012/07/12 11:08 AM
 User: rrp
 Path: \\ms1225-01\shared_projects\175613607\AS-Built\AS-Built\13007-619.dwg

Appendix E – Final Conservation Easement

This page intentionally left blank for double sided printing.

NCGS-"BANDY"

N: 213914.739 m
E: 421095.043 m
Elev: 314 m
Scale Factor: 0.999898



NOF
HUNSUCKER FARMS, LLC
PIN: 368903012848
Deed Book/Page: 2785/1144
CE IN DB/PG 3057/1217
PB/PG 70/108-109

NOF
HUNSUCKER FARMS, LLC
PIN: 368903012848
Deed Book/Page: 2785/1144
CE IN DB/PG 3057/1217
PB/PG 70/108-109

A RECORD PLAT OF:
NEW PLAT OF:
CONSERVATION EASEMENT FOR THE STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA
NORTH FORK MOUNTAIN CREEK STREAM
AND WETLAND RESTORATION PROJECT
S.P.O FILE# 18-M, EEP PROJECT# 94151
SHEET 3 OF 3
MAY 15, 2012

ON THE PROPERTY OF HUNSUCKER FARMS, LLC.

17.121 AC.± (TOTAL LAND IN EASEMENT)
AREA BY DOUBLE MERIDIAN DISTANCE
CALDWELL TOWNSHIP
CATAWBA COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA
OUT OF PIN# 368903012848
DEED BOOK/PAGE: 2785/1144
Area 1: 0.427 Ac±
Area 2: 14.483 Ac±
Area 3: 1.331 Ac±
Area 4: 0.880 Ac±
Total: 17.121 Ac±

LAND OWNERS:
HUNSUCKER FARMS LLC
DATES OF SURVEY: NOVEMBER 2010
DATE OF REVISED PLAT: MAY 15, 2012

THE PURPOSE OF THIS SURVEY IS TO MODIFY AND CREATE A NEW
EASEMENT; PREVIOUS C.E. RECORDED IN DB 3057 PG 1217; PB 70 PG 108-109

THIS SURVEY IS BASED UPON EXISTING PHYSICAL EVIDENCE
AND OLD DEED.


THE PARCELS OF LAND AS SHOWN ON THIS PLAT ARE LOCATED IN THE WS-IV (PA) WATERSHED

FLOOD PLAIN NOTATION
THE PARCELS OF LAND SHOWN ON THIS PLAT ARE NOT LOCATED IN A 100-YEAR FLOOD PLAIN

I, ROY C. TURNER, CERTIFY THAT THIS PLAT WAS DRAWN UNDER MY
SUPERVISION FROM AN ACTUAL SURVEY MADE UNDER MY SUPERVISION
(DEED DESCRIPTION RECORDED IN BOOK 2785 PAGE 1144, BOOK 3057 PAGE 1217);
THAT THE BOUNDARIES NOT SURVEYED ARE CLEARLY INDICATED AS
INFORMATION FOUND IN BOOK _____, PAGE _____; THAT THE RATIO OF
PRECISION AS CALCULATED IS 1:10,000±; THAT THIS PLAT WAS PREPARED IN
ACCORDANCE WITH G.S. 47-30 AS AMENDED. WITNESS MY ORIGINAL SIGNATURE,
LICENSE NUMBER AND SEAL THIS 15th DAY OF MAY, A.D., 2012.

SEAL OR STAMP

Roy C. Turner
SURVEYOR



THE SURVEY IS OF ANOTHER CATEGORY, SUCH AS THE RECOMBINATION OF EXISTING PARCELS,
A COURT ORDERED SURVEY, OR OTHER EXCEPTION TO THE DEFINITION OF SUBDIVISION

Roy C. Turner
SURVEYOR

OWNERSHIP CERTIFICATE FOR EXEMPT FROM SUBDIVISION LAND DIVISION PLAT

I (WE) CERTIFY THAT I AM (WE ARE) THE OWNER(S) OF THE PROPERTY DESCRIBED
HEREON, WHICH PROPERTY IS LOCATED WITHIN THE JURISDICTION OF CATAWBA COUNTY.

OWNER _____ DATE _____

APPROVAL CERTIFICATE FOR EXEMPT FROM SUBDIVISION PLAT

I CERTIFY THAT TO THE BEST OF MY ABILITY I HAVE DETERMINED THAT THE PLAT SHOWN HEREON
IS EXEMPT FROM CATAWBA COUNTY SUBDIVISION REGULATIONS.

DIRECTOR OF PLANNING OR DESIGNEE _____ DATE _____

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA
COUNTY OF CATAWBA

I, _____ REVIEW OFFICER OF
CATAWBA COUNTY, CERTIFY THAT THE MAP OR PLAT
TO WHICH THIS CERTIFICATION IS AFFIXED MEETS ALL
STATUTORY REQUIREMENTS FOR RECORDING.

DATE _____ REVIEW OFFICER _____


LANDOWNER _____ DATE _____

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT THIS SURVEY DOES NOT CREATE A SUBDIVISION
OF LAND WITHIN THE AREA OF A COUNTY OR MUNICIPALITY THAT
HAS AN ORDINANCE THAT REGULATES PARCELS OF LAND, THIS
15th DAY OF MAY, 2012.

Roy C. Turner
LICENSE NO. = L1022

THE FOREGOING CERTIFICATE OF _____
A NOTARY PUBLIC OF CATAWBA COUNTY, IS CERTIFIED TO BE
CORRECT. PRESENTED FOR REGISTRATION AND RECORDATION IN
THIS OFFICE IN BOOKS OF MAPS _____ AT PAGE _____
THE _____ DAY OF _____, AT _____ O'CLOCK

REGISTER OF DEEDS



- LEGEND**
- △ = EIP = EXISTING IRON PIN
 - ⊙ = SET #4 REBAR
 - x = NO PHYSICAL CORNER SET
 - △ = NCGS MONUMENT
 - = LINES PER DEED (NOT SURVEYED)
 - - - = TIE LINES
 - = PROPERTY LINES
 - - - = CONSERVATION EASEMENT

WRIGHT & ASSOCIATES
ENGINEERS & SURVEYORS
4190 HIGHWAY 16 SOUTH
NEWTON, NC 28658
(828) 465-2205 OFFICE
(828) 465-5878 FAX

SURVEYED BY: ROY C. TURNER, PLS. LICENSE NUMBER L1022	
DRAWN BY: SPENCE WRIGHT	DATE: MAY 15, 2012
APPROVED BY: RCT	FILE:
SCALE: 1" = 100'	
REVISION:	

PROPERTY FOLLOWS CENTER OF ROAD
BUFFALO SHOALS ROAD
SR# 1003
APPARENT 60' R/W

NOF
PRM-6
PIN: 367904821727
Deed Book/Page: 3010/1135

ACCESS LINE TABLE

LINE	LENGTH	BEARING
E1	614.85	S81°01'31"E
E2	400.48	S65°08'29"E
E3	758.02	S56°21'37"E
E4	78.70	S35°22'58"E
E5	52.25	S16°33'28"E
E6	182.04	N09°09'51"W
E7	226.16	N00°01'44"E
E8	177.76	N19°00'53"W
E9	194.00	N02°22'13"E
E10	173.33	S13°17'54"W
E15	170.34	S13°15'03"W
E16	190.59	N02°22'13"E
E17	177.50	N19°00'53"W
E18	227.21	N02°01'44"E
E19	180.30	N09°09'51"W
E20	49.48	S16°33'28"E
E21	75.49	S35°22'58"E
E22	754.88	S56°21'37"E
E23	397.88	S65°08'29"E
E24	615.16	S81°01'31"E
E25	12.16	S18°23'14"W