

Annual Monitoring Report

Monitoring Year 2 of 7

FINAL

Poplin Ridge Stream Restoration Project

NCDMS Contract No.: 004672

NCDMS Project No.: 95359

Union County, NC

Data Collected: January – September 2016

Date Submitted: October 2016



Submitted to:

North Carolina Division of Mitigation Services

NCDEQ-DMS, 1652 Mail Service Center Raleigh NC 27699-1652

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Prepared for:



302 Jefferson Street, Suite 110
Raleigh, North Carolina 27605

Prepared by:



EQUINOX

balance through proper planning

37 Haywood Street, Suite 100
Asheville, North Carolina 28801

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Contents

1.0	Project Summary.....	1
1.1.	Goals and Objectives	1
1.2.	Success Criteria.....	1
1.3.	Project Setting and Background.....	2
1.4.	Project Performance.....	2
2.0	Methods.....	3
3.0	References.....	4
	Appendix A General Tables and Figures	5
	Appendix B Visual Assessment Data	13
	Appendix C Vegetation Plot Data.....	53
	Appendix D Stream Geomorphology Data	67
	Appendix E Hydrologic Data.....	135

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

1.0 PROJECT SUMMARY

1.1. Goals and Objectives

The project goals address stressors identified in the TLW, and include the following:

- Nutrient removal,
- Sediment removal,
- Reducing runoff from animal operations,
- Filtration of runoff, and
- Improved aquatic and terrestrial habitat.

The project goals will be addressed through the following project objectives:

- Establishing riparian buffer areas adjacent to CAFOs.
- Converting active farm fields to forested buffers,
- Stabilization of eroding stream banks,
- Reduction in streambank slope,
- Restoration of riparian buffer bottomland hardwood habitats, and
- Construction of in-stream structures designed to improve bedform diversity and trap detritus.

1.2. Success Criteria

The success criteria for the Poplin Ridge Stream Restoration Site follows accepted and approved success criteria presented in the USACE Stream Mitigation Guidelines and subsequent NCDMS and agency guidance. Specific success criteria components are presented below.

1.2.1. Stream Restoration

Bankfull Events - Two bankfull flow events must be documented within the seven-year monitoring period. The two bankfull events must occur in separate years. Otherwise, stream monitoring will continue until two bankfull events have been documented in separate years. Bankfull events will be documented using crest gauges, auto-logging crest gauges, photographs, and visual assessments for evidence of debris wrack lines.

Cross-Sections - There should be little change in as-built cross-section. If changes do take place, they should be evaluated to determine if they represent a movement toward a less stable condition, or minor changes that represent an increase in stability.

Bank Pin Arrays - Bank pin arrays will be used as a supplemental method to monitor erosion on selected meander bends. Bank pin exposure will be recorded at each monitoring event.

Digital Image Stations- Digital images will be used to subjectively evaluate channel aggradation or degradation, bank erosion, success of riparian vegetation, and effectiveness of erosion control measures. Longitudinal images should indicate the absence of developing bars within the channel or an excessive increase in channel depth. Lateral images should not indicate excessive erosion or continuing degradation of banks over time. A series of images over time should indicate successional maturation of riparian vegetation.

1.2.2. Vegetation

Interim measures of vegetative success for the site will be the survival of at least 320 three year old trees per acre at the end of Year 3 and 260 five-year old trees per acre at the end of Year-5. The final vegetative success criteria will be the survival of 210 trees per acre at the end of Year 7.

1.3. Project Setting and Background

The Poplin Ridge Stream Restoration Site (Site) encompasses approximately 27.17 acres, of which 4.69 acres are wooded and the remaining 22.48 acres are agricultural fields and pastures. The western and eastern systems, UT1 and UT2 respectively, consist of unnamed tributaries to the East Fork of Stewarts Creek. UT1 is divided into seven reaches and UT2 is divided into five reaches. The Site is located within the Yadkin River Watershed (NCDWR sub basin 03-07-14 and HUC 03040105070050) in Union County, North Carolina, approximately six miles north of Monroe. The Site is located within the Stewarts Creek Watershed, a NCDMS targeted local watershed.

1.4. Project Performance

Monitoring Year 2 (MY2) data was collected from January 2016 to September 2016. Monitoring activities included visual assessment of all reaches and the surrounding easement, 17 permanent photo stations, 13 permanent vegetation monitoring plots, 29 cross-sections, and 15 pebble counts.

Summary information and data related to the occurrence of items such as beaver activity or easement encroachment and statistics related to performance of various project and monitoring elements can be found in the tables and figures in the report appendices. Narrative background and supporting information formerly found in these reports can be found in the Baseline Monitoring Report (formerly the Mitigation Plan) and in the Mitigation Plan (formerly the Restoration Plan) documents available on NCDMS' website (<http://deq.nc.gov/about/divisions/mitigation-services>). All raw data supporting the tables and figures in the appendices is available from NCDMS upon request.

1.4.1. Vegetation

Visual assessment of the site indicates that herbaceous vegetation has become well established; however, three bare areas covering a total of 0.03 acres were noted (Table 6, Figure 2). Five areas of low stem density were also noted during MY2 monitoring, totaling 2.26 acres. Areas of low stem density and poor growth rate/vigor will be replanted during 2017. Additionally, 15 areas of invasive-exotic vegetation, covering a total of 1.97 acres, were noted within the easement (Table 6, Figure 2). A majority of the invasive-exotic vegetation was previously cut privet (*Ligustrum sinense*) that is now re-sprouting, while the remainder consists of small patches of honeysuckle that are resprouting around mature trees left after construction. Treatment of these small areas of invasive exotic vegetation will be conducted in conjunction with the supplemental planting that will take place during 2017 (MY3).

Monitoring of permanent vegetation plots (n = 13) was completed during June 2016. Summary tables and photographs associated with MY2 monitoring can be found in Appendix C. With the exception of Plots 2, 9, and 10, MY2 monitoring data indicates that all vegetation monitoring plots are on track to meet the MY3 interim success criteria of 320 planted stems per acre. Planted stem densities among the plots were found to range from 0 to 1,093 planted stems per acre with a mean of 651 stems per acre across all plots. When volunteer stems are included, densities ranged between 121 and 10,603 total stems per acre with a mean of 1,507 stems per acre across all plots. A total of 18 plant species were documented within the monitoring plots. Low stem densities in plots 2, 9, and 10 are likely attributed to a combination of landscape position, dry conditions, and poor, rocky soil. A supplemental planting will be performed in the areas around vegetation plots 2, 9, and 10 in early 2017.

1.4.2. Stream Geomorphology

Visual assessment of the stream channel was performed in order to document signs of instability, such as eroding banks, structural instability, or excessive sedimentation. Small areas of bank scour, bed aggradation, and bed degradation were noted on reaches UT1-2, UT1-4, UT 1-B, UT1-C, and UT2-A (Table 5 and Figure 2). The majority of areas of bank scour and bed degradation took place right after construction during a storm event. These areas have not worsened since MY1. RES will monitor these areas on future visits to assess whether or not the problem areas have exacerbated. One stressed structure was noted on UT2-A at STA 4+00, near the confluence of UT2-A and UT2-2. This structure is considered stressed due to one dislodged boulder at the invert; however, the structure is still holding grade and providing habitat. The two other stressed structures are associated with BMP's that dissipate energy from water moving onto the site. It is likely that large flows from the gully like streams located offsite have caused these structures to become stressed and highly sedimented. RES will monitor these structures during future visits to assess the integrity of the structure and the need for any repair. All other structures are intact and performing as designed.

Geomorphic data for MY2 was collected during February 2016. Cross-section plots and summary tables related to stream morphology are located in Appendix D. The MY2 stream morphology data indicate that, in general, the stream is stable. Several small changes were noted in the cross-section dimensions; however, these are relatively minor and do not exceed expected adjustments in channel form. Deposits of fine material along the bankfull bench led to decreased bankfull widths at a majority of the cross-sections, ranging from 0.1 foot to 1.3 feet. Settling of the channel that took place between MY0 and MY1 within the restored section of the pond, Reach UT2-2, has stabilized. Channel dimensions of both cross-section 1 and 2, which are located within Reach UT2-2, showed little change between MY1 and MY2.

Bank pin arrays indicate that slight erosion occurred during MY2 at array numbers 3, 5, and 6 with rates of 0.05 foot/year, 0.04 foot/year, and 0.03 foot/year, respectively. Bank pin array data will be collected and analyzed in future monitoring years to monitor any trends of bank erosion.

Substrate monitoring was performed during MY2. Pebble count D_{50} fell into the fine gravel range for UT1-1, silt to medium gravel for UT1-2, fine gravel to medium gravel for UT1-3, very fine gravel to fine gravel for UT1-4, fine sand for UT1-A, fine gravel for UT1-B, very fine gravel to fine gravel for UT1-C, very coarse sand for UT2-3, silt for UT2-4, and silt to very coarse sand for UT2-A. The channel substrate will be monitored in future years for shifts in particle size distributions.

Overall, documented shifts in stream morphology do not exceed expectations between MY1 and MY2 as the newly reconstructed stream adjusts to conditions at the site. The project is meeting success criteria regarding stable dimension as well as substrate and sediment transport.

1.4.3. Stream Hydrology

Since project completion in April 2015, one bankfull event has been recorded on UT1-2, seven on UT1-4, and seven on UT2-3. Bankfull events are identified by pressure transducer type water level logger data (Table 13).

2.0 METHODS

Visual assessment of the project was performed at the beginning and end of the monitoring year. Permanent photo station photos were also collected during the morphologic and vegetation data collection events; however, for future monitoring year's permanent photo station photos will be taken during the

initial visual assessment when leaf-off conditions exist. Additionally, photos were taken of vegetation or stream problem areas not revealed in the permanent photo station images.

Geomorphic measurements were taken during low flow conditions using a Nikon NPR 332 Total Station. Three-dimensional coordinates associated with each cross-section data were collected in the field and geo-referenced (NAD83 State Plane feet FIPS 3200). Morphological data was limited to 29 cross-sections. Survey data were imported into CAD, ArcGIS, and Excel for data processing and analysis. Channel substrate was characterized using a Wolman Pebble Count as outlined in Harrelson et al. (1994) and processed using Microsoft Excel.

Vegetation success is being monitored at 13 permanent monitoring plots. Vegetation monitoring follows the CVS-EEP Level 2 Protocol for Recording Vegetation, version 4.2 (Lee et al. 2008) and includes analysis of species composition and density of planted specimens. Data is processed using the CVS data entry tool. In the field, the four corners of each plot were permanently marked with rebar and photos of each plot are taken from the origin each monitoring year.

Precipitation data was collected using an Onset HOBO Data Logging Rain Gauge. Bankfull events were documented with manual and auto logging crest gauges, which were installed within each of the following reaches - UT1-2, UT1-4, and UT2-3. Crest gauge data was downloaded during quarterly site visits. The three auto logging crest gauges are Onset HOBO Water Level Data Loggers. The data recorded from the HOBO Water Level Data Loggers were processed using HOBOWare and analyzed using Microsoft Excel. The height of the cork line was recorded and cross-referenced with known bankfull elevations at each manual crest gauge.

3.0 REFERENCES


Environmental Banc & Exchange. 2014. Poplin Ridge Stream Restoration Project Final Mitigation Plan. North Carolina Ecosystems Enhancement Program, Raleigh.

Lee, M.T., R.K. Peet, S.D. Roberts, and T.R. Wentworth. 2008. CVS-EEP Protocol for Recording Vegetation. Version 4.2. <http://cvs.bio.unc.edu/methods.htm>; accessed November 2008.

Rosgen, D. 1996. Applied River Morphology. Wildland Hydrology. Pagosa Springs, Colorado.

Appendix A
General Tables and Figures

This Page Intentionally Left Blank



**Table 1. Project Components and Mitigation Credits
Poplin Ridge Stream Restoration Project**

Mitigation Credits									
Type	Stream		Riparian Wetland		Non-riparian Wetland		Buffer	Nitrogen Nutrient Offset	Phosphorous Nutrient Offset
	R	RE	R	RE	R	RE			
Totals	6,127	238	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Project Components									
Project Component -or- Reach ID	As-Built Stationing/Location (LF)		Existing Footage/Acreage		Approach (PI, PII etc.)	Restoration or- Restoration Equivalent	Restoration Footage or Acreage	Mitigation Ratio	SMUs
	R	RE	R	RE					
UT1-1	1+20 to 6+92		572		Preservation	RE	572	1 : 5	114
UT1-1	6+92 to 12+58		566		EI	R	566	1 : 1.5	377
UT1-2	12+58 to 24+96		1,284		PI	R	1,178	1 : 1	1,178
UT1-3	24+96 to 34+50		833		PI	R	893	1 : 1	893
UT1-4	34+50 to 46+73		1,252		EI	R	1,223	1 : 1.5	815
UT1-A	0+73 to 2+89		197		EI	R	216	1 : 1.5	144
UT1-B	0+09 to 6+29		620		Preservation	RE	620	1 : 5	124
UT1-B	6+90 to 11+45		512		EI	R	455	1 : 1.5	303
UT1-C	1+21 to 10+01		883		EI	R	880	1 : 1.5	586
UT2-1	0+00 to 4+90		490		EII	R	490	1 : 2.5	196
UT2-2	4+90 to 13+97		875		PI	R	847	1 : 1	847
UT2-3	13+97 to 19+18		495		PI	R	521	1 : 1.5	347
UT2-4	19+18 to 22+07		270		PI	R	257	1 : 1	257
UT2-A	0+45 to 5+06		365		EII	R	461	1 : 2.5	184

Component Summation						
Restoration Level	Stream (linear feet)	Riparian Wetland (acres)		Non-riparian Wetland (acres)	Buffer (square feet)	Upland (acres)
		Riverine	Non-Riverine			
Restoration	3,696					
Enhancement I	3,340					
Enhancement II	951					
Creation						
Preservation	1,192					
High Quality Preservation						

BMP Elements			
Element	Location	Purpose/Function	Notes
---	---	---	---
---	---	---	---
---	---	---	---

BMP Elements

BR = Bioretention Cell; SF = Sand Filter; SW = Stormwater Wetland; WDP = Wet Detention Pond; DDP = Dry Detention Pond; FS = Filter Strip; S = Grassed Swale; LS = Level Spreader; NI = Natural Infiltration Area; FB = Forested Buffer

Table 2. Project Activity and Reporting History Poplin Ridge Stream Restoration Project		
Activity or Report	Data Collection Complete	Completion or Delivery
Mitigation Plan	NA	Jul-14
Final Design – Construction Plans	NA	Oct-14
Construction Completed	Apr-15	Apr-15
Site Planting Completed	Apr-15	Apr-15
Baseline Monitoring Document (Year 0 Monitoring – baseline)	Apr-15	Jul-15
Year 1 Monitoring	Dec-15	Jan-16
Year 2 Monitoring	Sep-16	Oct-16
Year 3 Monitoring		
Year 4 Monitoring		
Year 5 Monitoring		
Year 6 Monitoring		
Year 7 Monitoring		

Table 3. Project Contacts Table Poplin Ridge Stream Restoration Project	
Designer	WK Dickson and Co., Inc. 720 Corporate Center Drive Raleigh, NC 27607 (919) 782-0495 Frasier Mullen, PE
Construction Contractor	Wright Contracting PO Box 545 Siler City, NC 27344 (919) 663-0810 Joseph Wright
Planting Contractor	Resource Environmental Solutions, LLC 302 Jefferson Street, Suite 110 Raleigh, NC 27605 (919) 209-1061 David Godley
Seeding Contractor	Wright Contracting PO Box 545 Siler City, NC 27344 (919) 663-0810 Joseph Wright
Seed Mix Sources	Green Resource
Nursery Stock Suppliers	Arbogen, NC Forestry Services Nursery
Full Delivery Provider	Resource Environmental Solutions, LLC 302 Jefferson Street, Suite 110 Raleigh, NC 27605 (919) 209-1061
Project Manager:	Daniel Ingram
Monitoring Performers (MY0)	Resource Environmental Solutions, LLC 302 Jefferson Street, Suite 110 Raleigh, NC 27605 (919) 209-1061
Project Manager:	Brian Hockett, PLS
Monitoring Performers (MY1-MY2) 2015-2016	Equinox 37 Haywood Street, Suite 100 Asheville, NC 28801
Project Manager:	Drew Alderman (828) 253-6856


Table 4. Project Information						
Poplin Ridge Stream Restoration Project						
Project Name	Poplin Ridge Stream Restoration Project					
County	Union					
Project Area (acres)	27.17					
Project Coordinates (latitude and longitude)	UT1: 35° 03' 15.97" N 80° 34' 21.64" W					
	UT2: 35° 03' 17.99" N 80° 33' 46.77" W					
Project Watershed Summary Information						
Physiographic Province	Piedmont					
River Basin	Yadkin					
USGS Hydrologic Unit 8-digit	3040105					
USGS Hydrologic Unit 14-digit	03040105070050					
DWQ Sub-basin	03-07-14					
Project Drainage Area (acres)	UT1: 1.14 square miles (728 acres)					
	UT2: 1.35 square miles (861 acres)					
Project Drainage Area Percentage of Impervious Area	UT1: 8%					
	UT2: 5%					
CGIA Land Use Classification	developed (open space, low density, med. density, high density), cultivated crops, pasture/hay, deciduous forest, evergreen forest					
Reach Summary Information						
Parameters	UT1-R1	UT1-R2	UT1-R3	UT1-R4	UT1-A	UT1-B
Length of reach (linear feet)	1,138	1,178	893	1,223	216	1,075
Valley Classification	VIII	VIII	VIII	VIII	VIII	VIII
Drainage area (acres)	136	248	384	728	88	120
NCDWQ stream identification score	35	22.5	30	31	35	35
NCDWQ Water Quality Classification	WS-III	WS-III	WS-III	WS-III	WS-III	WS-III
Morphological Description (stream type)	E4	E4	E4	C4	E4	E4/C4
Evolutionary trend	Stage I	Stage II	Stage II	Stage V	Stage I	Stage I/III
Underlying mapped soils	CmB	CmB, TbB2	CmB, TbB2	ChA	CmB	CmB
Drainage class	mod. well	mod. well; well	mod. well; well	somewhat poorly	mod. well	mod. well
Soil Hydric status	Not Hydric	Not Hydric	Not Hydric	Partially Hydric	Not Hydric	Not hydric
Slope	0.48%	0.70%	0.40%	0.50%	1.20%	1.80%
FEMA classification	N/A	N/A	N/A	Zone AE	N/A	N/A
Native vegetation community	mixed hardwood forest, cultivated	cultivated	cultivated	cultivated	cultivated	mixed hardwood forest, cultivated
Percent composition of exotic invasive vegetation	10%	0%	0%	0%	5%	15%

**Table 4 Cont'd. Project Information
Poplin Ridge Stream Restoration Project**

Reach Summary Information						
Parameters	UT1-C	UT2-R1	UT2-R2	UT2-R3	UT2-R4	UT2-A
Length of reach (linear feet)	880	490	847	521	257	461
Valley Classification	VIII	VIII	VIII	VIII	VIII	VIII
Drainage area (acres)	250	631	726	792	861	49
NCDWQ stream identification score	35	33.5	33.5	22.5	33.5	33.5
NCDWQ Water Quality Classification	WS-III	WS-III	WS-III	WS-III	WS-III	WS-III
Morphological Description (stream type)	E4	C4c	N/A	E4	E4	C4
Evolutionary trend	Stage IV	Stage VI	N/A	Stage II	Stage II	Stage IV
Underlying mapped soils	TbB2	ChA	ChA	ChA, BaB	ChA	ChA, CmA
Drainage class	well	somewhat poorly	somewhat poorly	somewhat poorly; well	somewhat poorly	somewhat poorly; mod. well
Soil Hydric status	Not Hydric	Partially Hydric	Partially Hydric	Partially Hydric	Partially Hydric	Not Hydric
Slope	0.80%	0.27%	0.10%	0.57%	0.31%	1.30%
FEMA classification	N/A	Zone AE	Zone AE	Zone AE	Zone AE	N/A
Native vegetation community	cultivated	woody cover, cultivated	cultivated	cultivated	cultivated	cultivated
Percent composition of exotic invasive vegetation	0%	20%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Regulatory Considerations						
Regulation	Applicable?		Resolved?		Supporting Documentation	
Waters of the United States - Section 404	Yes		Yes		SAW-2012-01079	
Waters of the United States - Section 401	Yes		Yes		DWR# 13-1087	
Endangered Species Act	Yes		Yes		USFWS (Corr. Letter)	
Historic Preservation Act	Yes		Yes		SHPO (Corr. Letter)	
Coastal Zone Management Act (CZMA)/Coastal Area Management Act (CAMA)	No		N/A		N/A	
FEMA Floodplain Compliance	Yes		Yes		EEP Floodplain Requirements Checklist	
Essential Fisheries Habitat	No		N/A		N/A	

Appendix B
Visual Assessment Data

This Page Intentionally Left Blank







NC OneMap, NC Center for Geographic Information and Analysis, NC 911 Board

Prepared for:




Figure 2. Current Condition Plan View
 Poplin Ridge Stream Restoration Project
 Union County, North Carolina
 NCDMS Contract No. 004672
 NCDMS Project No.: 95359
 September 2016
 Project Overview

-  Thalweg
-  Top of Bank
-  Easement
-  Sheet Boundary

Notes:
 1) This is not a survey and should not be construed as such.

Prepared by:





NC OneMap, NC Center for Geographic Information and Analysis, NC 911 Board

Prepared for:




Figure 2. Current Condition Plan View
 Poplin Ridge Stream Restoration Project
 Union County, North Carolina
 NCDMS Contract No. 004672
 NCDMS Project No.: 95359
 September 2016
 Sheet 1 of 8

- | | | | |
|----------------|-----------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|
| ● Rain Gauge | ~ Thalweg | ■ Vegetation Plot Success | ■ Invasive-Exotic Vegetation |
| ● Crest Gauge | ~ Top of Bank | ■ Criteria Met | ■ Invasive Species - Present |
| ★ Photo Points | - - - Easement | ■ Criteria Not Met | ■ Invasive Species - Dense |
| | ▬ Cross-Section | | |
| | — Structures | | |

Notes:
 1) This is not a survey and should not be construed as such.

Prepared by:





NC OneMap, NC Center for Geographic Information and Analysis, NC 911 Board

Prepared for:




Figure 2. Current Condition Plan View
 Poplin Ridge Stream Restoration Project
 Union County, North Carolina
 NCDMS Contract No. 004672
 NCDMS Project No.: 95359
 September 2016
 Sheet 2 of 8

Bankpin Array	Thalweg	Criteria Met	Aggradation
Rain Gauge	Top of Bank	Criteria Not Met	Degradation
Crest Gauge	Easement	Bare Area	Bank Erosion
Photo Points	Cross-Section		
	Structures		

Notes:
 1) This is not a survey and should not be construed as such.

Prepared by:





Prepared for:




Figure 2. Current Condition Plan View
 Poplin Ridge Stream Restoration Project
 Union County, North Carolina
 NCDMS Contract No. 004672
 NCDMS Project No.: 95359
 September 2016
 Sheet 3 of 8

- | | | | | |
|---------------|---------------|------------------|----------------------------|--------------|
| Bankpin Array | Thalweg | Criteria Met | Invasive Species - Present | Aggradation |
| Rain Gauge | Top of Bank | Criteria Not Met | Bare Area | Degradation |
| Crest Gauge | Easement | | | Bank Erosion |
| Photo Points | Cross-Section | | | |
| | Structures | | | |

Notes:
 1) This is not a survey and should not be construed as such.

Prepared by:






NC OneMap, NC Center for Geographic Information and Analysis

Prepared for:




Figure 2. Current Condition Plan View
 Poplin Ridge Stream Restoration Project
 Union County, North Carolina
 NCDMS Contract No. 004672
 NCDMS Project No.: 95359
 September 2016
 Sheet 4 of 8



Notes:
 1) This is not a survey and should not be construed as such.

Prepared by:






NC OneMap, NC Center for

Prepared for:



Figure 2. Current Condition Plan View
 Poplin Ridge Stream Restoration Project
 Union County, North Carolina
 NCDMS Contract No. 004672
 NCDMS Project No.: 95359
 September 2016
 Sheet 5 of 8



Notes:
 1) This is not a survey and should not be construed as such.

Prepared by:





NC OneMap, NC Center for Geographic Information and Analysis, NC 911 Board

Prepared for:




Figure 2. Current Condition Plan View
 Poplin Ridge Stream Restoration Project
 Union County, North Carolina
 NCDMS Contract No. 004672
 NCDMS Project No.: 95359
 September 2016
 Sheet 6 of 8

Bankpin Array	Thalweg	Criteria Met	Invasive Species - Present	Bank Erosion
Rain Gauge	Top of Bank	Criteria Not Met	Vegetation Problem Areas	
Crest Gauge	Easement		Bare Area	
Photo Points	Cross-Section		Low Stem Density	
	Structures			

Notes:
 1) This is not a survey and should not be construed as such.

Prepared by:






Prepared for:




Figure 2. Current Condition Plan View
 Poplin Ridge Stream Restoration Project
 Union County, North Carolina
 NCDMS Contract No. 004672
 NCDMS Project No.: 95359
 September 2016
 Sheet 7 of 8



Notes:
 1) This is not a survey and should not be construed as such.

Prepared by:





Prepared for:



Figure 2. Current Condition Plan View
 Poplin Ridge Stream Restoration Project
 Union County, North Carolina
 NCDMS Contract No. 004672
 NCDMS Project No.: 95359
 September 2016
 Sheet 8 of 8

- | | | | | |
|--|---------------|--|---------------|---------------------------------|
| | Bankpin Array | | Thalweg | Vegetation Plot Success |
| | Rain Gauge | | Top of Bank | |
| | Crest Gauge | | Easement | |
| | Photo Points | | Cross-Section | Vegetation Problem Areas |
| | | | Structures | |

Notes:
 1) This is not a survey and should not be construed as such.

Prepared by:



This Page Intentionally Left Blank

**Table 5. Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment
Poplin Ridge Stream Restoration Site - UT1-1 - Enhancement I
Assessed Length 566 feet**

Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number Stable, Performing as Intended	Total Number in As-built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Adjusted % for Stabilizing Woody Vegetation
1. Bed	1. Vertical Stability (Riffle and Run Units)	1. <u>Aggradation</u> - Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly deflect flow laterally (not to include point bars).			0	0	100%			
		2. <u>Degradation</u> - Evidence of downcutting.			0	0	100%			
	2. Riffle Condition	1. <u>Texture/Substrate</u> - Riffle maintains coarser substrate.	-	-		-				
	3. Meander Pool Condition	1. <u>Depth</u> Sufficient (Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth \geq 1.6).	-	-		-				
		2. <u>Length</u> appropriate (>30% of centerline distance between tail of upstream riffle and head of downstream riffle).	-	-		-				
	4. Thalweg Position	1. Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run).	-	-		-				
2. Thalweg centering at downstream of meander bend (Glide).		-	-	-						
2. Bank	1. Scoured / Eroding	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion.			0	0	100%	0	0	100%
	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does <u>NOT</u> include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat.			0	0	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, calving, or collapse.			0	0	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Totals					0	0	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
3. Engineered Structures	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs.	3	3		100%				
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill.	3	3		100%				
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	3	3		100%				
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does <u>NOT</u> exceed 15%.	3	3		100%				
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ~ Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth Ratio \geq 1.6. Rootwads/logs providing some cover at base-flow.	3	3		100%				

- Information Unavailable

N/A - Item does not apply.

**Table 5 cont'd. Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment
Poplin Ridge Stream Restoration Site - UT1-2 - P1 Restoration
Assessed Length 1,178 feet**

Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number Stable, Performing as Intended	Total Number in As-built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Adjusted % for Stabilizing Woody Vegetation		
1. Bed	1. Vertical Stability (Riffle and Run Units)	1. <u>Aggradation</u> - Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly deflect flow laterally (not to include point bars).			0	0	100%					
		2. <u>Degradation</u> - Evidence of downcutting.			0	0	100%					
	2. Riffle Condition	1. <u>Texture/Substrate</u> - Riffle maintains coarser substrate.	26	26			100%					
		3. Meander Pool Condition	1. <u>Depth</u> Sufficient (Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth \geq 1.6).	25			25				100%	
	2. <u>Length</u> appropriate (>30% of centerline distance between tail of upstream riffle and head of downstream riffle).		25	25			100%					
	4. Thalweg Position	1. Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run).	25	25			100%					
		2. Thalweg centering at downstream of meander bend (Glide).	25	25			100%					
	2. Bank	1. Scoured / Eroding	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion.								3	54
2. Undercut		Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does <u>NOT</u> include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat.					0	0	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
3. Mass Wasting		Bank slumping, calving, or collapse.					0	0	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Totals					3	54	98%	N/A	N/A	N/A		
3. Engineered Structures	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs.	3	3			100%					
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill.	3	3			100%					
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	3	3			100%					
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does <u>NOT</u> exceed 15%.	3	3			100%					
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ~ Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth Ratio \geq 1.6. Rootwads/logs providing some cover at base-flow.	3	3			100%					

N/A - Item does not apply.

**Table 5 cont'd. Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment
Poplin Ridge Stream Restoration Site - UT1-3 - P1 Restoration
Assessed Length 893 feet**

Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number Stable, Performing as Intended	Total Number in As-built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Adjusted % for Stabilizing Woody Vegetation
1. Bed	1. Vertical Stability (Riffle and Run Units)	1. <u>Aggradation</u> - Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly deflect flow laterally (not to include point bars).			0	0	100%			
		2. <u>Degradation</u> - Evidence of downcutting.			0	0	100%			
	2. Riffle Condition	1. <u>Texture/Substrate</u> - Riffle maintains coarser substrate.	18	18			100%			
	3. Meander Pool Condition	1. <u>Depth</u> Sufficient (Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth \geq 1.6).	18	18			100%			
		2. <u>Length</u> appropriate (>30% of centerline distance between tail of upstream riffle and head of downstream riffle).	18	18			100%			
	4. Thalweg Position	1. Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run).	18	18			100%			
2. Thalweg centering at downstream of meander bend (Glide).		18	18			100%				
2. Bank	1. Scoured / Eroding	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion.			0	0	100%	0	0	100%
	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does <u>NOT</u> include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat.			0	0	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, calving, or collapse.			0	0	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Totals					0	0	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
3. Engineered Structures	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs.	3	3			100%			
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill.	3	3			100%			
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	3	3			100%			
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does <u>NOT</u> exceed 15%.	3	3			100%			
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ~ Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth Ratio \geq 1.6. Rootwads/logs providing some cover at base-flow.	3	3			100%			

N/A - Item does not apply.

**Table 5 cont'd. Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment
Poplin Ridge Stream Restoration Site - UT1-4 - Enhancement I
Assessed Length 1,223 feet**

Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number Stable, Performing as Intended	Total Number in As-built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Adjusted % for Stabilizing Woody Vegetation
1. Bed	1. Vertical Stability (Riffle and Run Units)	1. <u>Aggradation</u> - Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly deflect flow laterally (not to include point bars).			1	13	99%			
		2. <u>Degradation</u> - Evidence of downcutting.			0	0	100%			
	2. Riffle Condition	1. <u>Texture/Substrate</u> - Riffle maintains coarser substrate.	-	-			-			
	3. Meander Pool Condition	1. <u>Depth</u> Sufficient (Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth \geq 1.6).	-	-			-			
		2. <u>Length</u> appropriate (>30% of centerline distance between tail of upstream riffle and head of downstream riffle).	-	-			-			
	4. Thalweg Position	1. Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run).	-	-			-			
		2. Thalweg centering at downstream of meander bend (Glide).	-	-			-			
2. Bank	1. Scoured / Eroding	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion.			0	0	100%	0	0	100%
	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does <u>NOT</u> include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat.			0	0	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, calving, or collapse.			0	0	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Totals					0	0	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
3. Engineered Structures	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs.	N/A	N/A			N/A			
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill.	N/A	N/A			N/A			
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	N/A	N/A			N/A			
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does <u>NOT</u> exceed 15%.	N/A	N/A			N/A			
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ~ Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth Ratio \geq 1.6. Rootwads/logs providing some cover at base-flow.	N/A	N/A			N/A			

- Information Unavailable

N/A - Item does not apply.

**Table 5 cont'd. Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment
Poplin Ridge Stream Restoration Site - UT1-A - Enhancement I
Assessed Length 216 feet**

Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number Stable, Performing as Intended	Total Number in As-built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Adjusted % for Stabilizing Woody Vegetation		
1. Bed	1. Vertical Stability (Riffle and Run Units)	1. <u>Aggradation</u> - Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly deflect flow laterally (not to include point bars).			0	0	100%					
		2. <u>Degradation</u> - Evidence of downcutting.			0	0	100%					
	2. Riffle Condition	1. <u>Texture/Substrate</u> - Riffle maintains coarser substrate.	-	-			-					
		3. Meander Pool Condition	1. <u>Depth</u> Sufficient (Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth \geq 1.6).	-			-				-	
	2. <u>Length</u> appropriate (>30% of centerline distance between tail of upstream riffle and head of downstream riffle).		-	-			-					
	4. Thalweg Position	1. Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run).	-	-			-					
		2. Thalweg centering at downstream of meander bend (Glide).	-	-			-					
2. Bank	1. Scoured / Eroding	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion.					0	0	100%	0	0	100%
	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does <u>NOT</u> include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat.					0	0	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, calving, or collapse.			0	0	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Totals					0	0	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A		
3. Engineered Structures	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs.	N/A	N/A			N/A					
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill.	N/A	N/A			N/A					
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	N/A	N/A			N/A					
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does <u>NOT</u> exceed 15%.	N/A	N/A			N/A					
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ~ Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth Ratio \geq 1.6. Rootwads/logs providing some cover at base-flow.	N/A	N/A			N/A					

- Information Unavailable

N/A - Item does not apply.

**Table 5 cont'd. Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment
Poplin Ridge Stream Restoration Site - UT1-B - Enhancement I
Assessed Length 455 feet**

Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number Stable, Performing as Intended	Total Number in As-built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Adjusted % for Stabilizing Woody Vegetation
1. Bed	1. Vertical Stability (Riffle and Run Units)	1. <u>Aggradation</u> - Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly deflect flow laterally (not to include point bars).			1	18	96%			
		2. <u>Degradation</u> - Evidence of downcutting.			1	30	93%			
	2. Riffle Condition	1. <u>Texture/Substrate</u> - Riffle maintains coarser substrate.	11	11			100%			
		3. Meander Pool Condition	1. <u>Depth</u> Sufficient (Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth \geq 1.6).	11	11					
	2. <u>Length</u> appropriate (>30% of centerline distance between tail of upstream riffle and head of downstream riffle).		11	11			100%			
	4. Thalweg Position	1. Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run).	11	11			100%			
2. Thalweg centering at downstream of meander bend (Glide).		11	11			100%				
2. Bank	1. Scoured / Eroding	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion.			1	38	96%	0	0	96%
	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does <u>NOT</u> include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat.			0	0	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, calving, or collapse.			0	0	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Totals					1	38	96%	N/A	N/A	N/A
3. Engineered Structures	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs.	1	1			100%			
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill.	1	1			100%			
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	1	1			100%			
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does <u>NOT</u> exceed 15%.	1	1			100%			
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ~ Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth Ratio \geq 1.6. Rootwads/logs providing some cover at base-flow.	1	1			100%			

N/A - Item does not apply.

**Table 5 cont'd. Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment
Poplin Ridge Stream Restoration Site - UT1-C - Enhancement I
Assessed Length 880 feet**

Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number Stable, Performing as Intended	Total Number in As-built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Adjusted % for Stabilizing Woody Vegetation
1. Bed	1. Vertical Stability (Riffle and Run Units)	1. <u>Aggradation</u> - Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly deflect flow laterally (not to include point bars).			0	0	100%			
		2. <u>Degradation</u> - Evidence of downcutting.			0	0	100%			
	2. Riffle Condition	1. <u>Texture/Substrate</u> - Riffle maintains coarser substrate.	14	14		100%				
	3. Meander Pool Condition	1. <u>Depth</u> Sufficient (Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth \geq 1.6).	13	13		100%				
		2. <u>Length</u> appropriate (>30% of centerline distance between tail of upstream riffle and head of downstream riffle).	13	13		100%				
	4. Thalweg Position	1. Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run).	13	13		100%				
2. Thalweg centering at downstream of meander bend (Glide).		13	13	100%						
2. Bank	1. Scoured / Eroding	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion.			1	24	99%	0	0	99%
	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does <u>NOT</u> include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat.			0	0	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, calving, or collapse.			0	0	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Totals					1	24	99%	N/A	N/A	N/A
3. Engineered Structures	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs.	2	2		100%				
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill.	2	2		100%				
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	2	2		100%				
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does <u>NOT</u> exceed 15%.	2	2		100%				
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ~ Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth Ratio \geq 1.6. Rootwads/logs providing some cover at base-flow.	2	2		100%				

N/A - Item does not apply.

**Table 5 cont'd. Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment
Poplin Ridge Stream Restoration Site - UT2-1 - Enhancement II
Assessed Length 490 feet**

Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number Stable, Performing as Intended	Total Number in As-built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Adjusted % for Stabilizing Woody Vegetation
1. Bed	1. Vertical Stability (Riffle and Run Units)	1. <u>Aggradation</u> - Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly deflect flow laterally (not to include point bars).			0	0	100%			
		2. <u>Degradation</u> - Evidence of downcutting.			0	0	100%			
	2. Riffle Condition	1. <u>Texture/Substrate</u> - Riffle maintains coarser substrate.	-	-			-			
		3. Meander Pool Condition	1. <u>Depth</u> Sufficient (Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth \geq 1.6).	-	-					
	2. <u>Length</u> appropriate (>30% of centerline distance between tail of upstream riffle and head of downstream riffle).		-	-			-			
	4. Thalweg Position	1. Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run).	-	-			-			
		2. Thalweg centering at downstream of meander bend (Glide).	-	-			-			
	2. Bank	1. Scoured / Eroding	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion.			0	0			
2. Undercut		Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does NOT include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat.			0	0	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
3. Mass Wasting		Bank slumping, calving, or collapse.			0	0	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Totals					0	0	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
3. Engineered Structures	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs.	2	2			100%			
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill.	2	2			100%			
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	2	2			100%			
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does NOT exceed 15%.	2	2			100%			
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ~ Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth Ratio \geq 1.6. Rootwads/logs providing some cover at base-flow.	2	2			100%			

- Information Unavailable

N/A - Item does not apply.

**Table 5 cont'd. Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment
Poplin Ridge Stream Restoration Site - UT2-2 - P1 Restoration
Assessed Length 847 feet**

Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number Stable, Performing as Intended	Total Number in As-built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Adjusted % for Stabilizing Woody Vegetation
1. Bed	1. Vertical Stability (Riffle and Run Units)	1. <u>Aggradation</u> - Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly deflect flow laterally (not to include point bars).			0	0	100%			
		2. <u>Degradation</u> - Evidence of downcutting.			0	0	100%			
	2. Riffle Condition	1. <u>Texture/Substrate</u> - Riffle maintains coarser substrate.	5	5			100%			
	3. Meander Pool Condition	1. <u>Depth</u> Sufficient (Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth \geq 1.6).	5	5			100%			
		2. <u>Length</u> appropriate (>30% of centerline distance between tail of upstream riffle and head of downstream riffle).	5	5			100%			
	4. Thalweg Position	1. Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run).	5	5			100%			
2. Thalweg centering at downstream of meander bend (Glide).		5	5			100%				
2. Bank	1. Scoured / Eroding	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion.			0	0	100%	0	0	100%
	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does <u>NOT</u> include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat.			0	0	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, calving, or collapse.			0	0	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Totals					0	0	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
3. Engineered Structures	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs.	2	2			100%			
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill.	2	2			100%			
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	2	2			100%			
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does <u>NOT</u> exceed 15%.	2	2			100%			
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ~ Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth Ratio \geq 1.6. Rootwads/logs providing some cover at base-flow.	2	2			100%			

N/A - Item does not apply.

**Table 5 cont'd. Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment
Poplin Ridge Stream Restoration Site - UT2-3 - P1 Restoration
Assessed Length 521 feet**

Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number Stable, Performing as Intended	Total Number in As-built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Adjusted % for Stabilizing Woody Vegetation
1. Bed	1. Vertical Stability (Riffle and Run Units)	1. <u>Aggradation</u> - Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly deflect flow laterally (not to include point bars).			0	0	100%			
		2. <u>Degradation</u> - Evidence of downcutting.			0	0	100%			
	2. Riffle Condition	1. <u>Texture/Substrate</u> - Riffle maintains coarser substrate.	8	8			100%			
	3. Meander Pool Condition	1. <u>Depth</u> Sufficient (Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth \geq 1.6).	8	8			100%			
		2. <u>Length</u> appropriate (>30% of centerline distance between tail of upstream riffle and head of downstream riffle).	8	8			100%			
	4. Thalweg Position	1. Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run).	8	8			100%			
2. Thalweg centering at downstream of meander bend (Glide).		8	8			100%				
2. Bank	1. Scoured / Eroding	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion.			0	0	100%	0	0	100%
	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does <u>NOT</u> include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat.			0	0	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, calving, or collapse.			0	0	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Totals					0	0	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
3. Engineered Structures	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs.	3	3			100%			
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill.	3	3			100%			
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	3	3			100%			
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does <u>NOT</u> exceed 15%.	3	3			100%			
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ~ Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth Ratio \geq 1.6. Rootwads/logs providing some cover at base-flow.	3	3			100%			

N/A - Item does not apply.

**Table 5 cont'd. Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment
Poplin Ridge Stream Restoration Site - UT2-4 - P1 Restoration
Assessed Length 257 feet**

Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number Stable, Performing as Intended	Total Number in As-built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Adjusted % for Stabilizing Woody Vegetation		
1. Bed	1. Vertical Stability (Riffle and Run Units)	1. <u>Aggradation</u> - Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly deflect flow laterally (not to include point bars).			0	0	100%					
		2. <u>Degradation</u> - Evidence of downcutting.					100%					
	2. Riffle Condition	1. <u>Texture/Substrate</u> - Riffle maintains coarser substrate.	4	4		100%						
	3. Meander Pool Condition	1. <u>Depth</u> Sufficient (Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth \geq 1.6).	5	5		100%						
		2. <u>Length</u> appropriate (>30% of centerline distance between tail of upstream riffle and head of downstream riffle).	5	5		100%						
	4. Thalweg Position	1. Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run).	5	5		100%						
2. Thalweg centering at downstream of meander bend (Glide).		5	5	100%								
2. Bank	1. Scoured / Eroding	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion.			0	0	100%	0	0	100%		
	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does <u>NOT</u> include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat.					0	0	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, calving, or collapse.					0	0	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Totals					0	0	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A		
3. Engineered Structures	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs.	N/A	N/A			N/A					
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill.	N/A	N/A			N/A					
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	N/A	N/A			N/A					
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does <u>NOT</u> exceed 15%.	N/A	N/A			N/A					
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ~ Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth Ratio \geq 1.6. Rootwads/logs providing some cover at base-flow.	N/A	N/A			N/A					

N/A - Item does not apply.

**Table 5 cont'd. Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment
Poplin Ridge Stream Restoration Site - UT2-A - Enhancement II
Assessed Length 461 feet**

Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number Stable, Performing as Intended	Total Number in As-built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Adjusted % for Stabilizing Woody Vegetation
1. Bed	1. Vertical Stability (Riffle and Run Units)	1. <u>Aggradation</u> - Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly deflect flow laterally (not to include point bars).			1	23	95%			
		2. <u>Degradation</u> - Evidence of downcutting.			0	0	100%			
	2. Riffle Condition	1. <u>Texture/Substrate</u> - Riffle maintains coarser substrate.	10	10			100%			
	3. Meander Pool Condition	1. <u>Depth</u> Sufficient (Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth \geq 1.6).	13	13			100%			
		2. <u>Length</u> appropriate (>30% of centerline distance between tail of upstream riffle and head of downstream riffle).	13	13			100%			
	4. Thalweg Position	1. Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run).	13	13			100%			
2. Thalweg centering at downstream of meander bend (Glide).		13	13			100%				
2. Bank	1. Scoured / Eroding	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion.			0	0	100%	0	0	100%
	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does <u>NOT</u> include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat.			0	0	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, calving, or collapse.			0	0	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Totals					0	0	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
3. Engineered Structures	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs.	4	5			80%			
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill.	5	5			100%			
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	5	5			100%			
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does <u>NOT</u> exceed 15%.	5	5			100%			
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ~ Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth Ratio \geq 1.6. Rootwads/logs providing some cover at base-flow.	5	5			100%			

N/A - Item does not apply.

**Table 6. Vegetation Condition Assessment
Poplin Ridge Stream Restoration Site**

Planted Acreage : 22.5					
Vegetation Category	Definitions	CCPV Depiction	Number of Polygons	Combined Acreage	% of Planted Acreage
1. Bare Areas	Very limited cover of both woody and herbaceous material.	Brown Stipple	3	0.03	0%
2. Low Stem Density Areas	Woody stem densities clearly below target levels based on MY3, 4, or 5 stem count criteria.	Red Stipple	5	2.26	10%
Totals			8	2.29	10%
3. Areas of Poor Growth Rates or Vigor	Areas with woody stems of a size class that are obviously small given the monitoring year.	N/A	0	0.00	0%
Cumulative Totals			8	2.29	10%
Easement Acreage : 27.1					
Vegetation Category	Definitions	CCPV Depiction	Number of Polygons	Combined Acreage	% of Easement Acreage
4. Invasive Areas of Concern	Areas or points (if too small to render as polygons at map scale).	Cross Hatch (Red - Dense/Yellow - Present)	15	1.97	7%
5. Easement Encroachment Areas	Areas or points (if too small to render as polygons at map scale).	N/A	0	0.00	0%

N/A - Item does not apply.



Project Reach UT1-1 – Permanent Photo Station 1
Station 8+53 – Looking Upstream



Project Reach UT1-2 – Permanent Photo Station 2
Station 14+58 – Looking Upstream at Crossing



Project Reach UT1-2 – Permanent Photo Station 3
Station 21+50 – Looking Upstream



Project Reach UT1-3 – Permanent Photo Station 4
Station 26+50 – Looking Upstream at Crossing



Project Reach UT1-3 – Permanent Photo Station 5
Station 27+50 – Looking Downstream



Project Reach UT1-4 – Permanent Photo Station 6
Station 47+20 – Looking Upstream



Project Reach UT1-A - Permanent Photo Station 7
Station 2+00 – Looking Downstream



Project Reach UT1-B – Permanent Photo Station 8
Station 9+86 – Looking Downstream



Project Reach UT1-C – Permanent Photo Station 9
Station 2+50 – Looking Upstream



Project Reach UT2-1 – Permanent Photo Station 10
Station 4+50 – Looking Upstream



Project Reach UT2-2– Permanent Photo Station 11
Station 11+00 – Looking Upstream at Pond Bottom



Project Reach UT2-2 – Permanent Photo Station 12
Station 11+00 – Looking Downstream



Project Reach UT2-2 – Permanent Photo Station 13
Station 7+59 – Looking Downstream



Project Reach UT2-3 – Permanent Photo Station 14
Station 13+83 – Looking Downstream



Project Reach UT2-4 – Permanent Photo Station 15
Station 20+39 – Looking Downstream



Project Reach UT2-A – Permanent Photo Station 16
Station 1+22 – Looking Upstream



Project Reach UT2-A – Permanent Photo Station 17
Station 2+62 – Looking Downstream

Problem Area Photos



Project Reach UT1-2 – Bank Erosion 15+75 Right Descending Bank



Project Reach UT1-2 – Bank Erosion 18+25 Left Descending Bank



Project Reach UT1-2 – Bank Erosion 19+00 Right Descending Bank



Project Reach UT1-3 – Bare Area 29+00 Right Descending Bank



Project Reach UT1-4 – Stressed BMP Structure Left Descending Bank 42+25



Project Reach UT1-B – Bank Erosion 7+25 Left Descending Bank



Project Reach UT1-B – Degradation 8+25 Left Descending Bank



Project Reach UT1-B – Aggradation 11+25



Project Reach UT1-C – Bank Scour 4+00 Right Descending Bank



Project Reach UT2-2 – Stressed BMP 8+75



Project Reach UT2-A – Stressed Structure 3+75 Left Descending Bank



Project Reach UT2-A – Aggradation 4+50

Appendix C

Vegetation Plot Data

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Table 7. Vegetation Plot Criteria Attainment		
Poplin Ridge Stream Restoration Site		
Vegetation Plot ID	Vegetation Survival Threshold Met?	Tract Mean
1	Yes	77%
2	No	
3	Yes	
4	Yes	
5	Yes	
6	Yes	
7	Yes	
8	Yes	
9	No	
10	No	
12	Yes	
13	Yes	

Table 8. CVS Vegetation Plot Metadata Poplin Ridge Stream Restoration Site	
Report Prepared By	Drew Alderman
Date Prepared	6/20/2016 11:44
database name	Poplin_Ridge_95359_2016_MY2_CVS_Vegetation.mdb
database location	Z:\ES\NRI&M\EBX Monitoring\Poplin_Ridge\Poplin Ridge- MY2-2016\Data\Veg
computer name	FIELD-PC
file size	62697472
DESCRIPTION OF WORKSHEETS IN THIS DOCUMENT-----	
Metadata	Description of database file, the report worksheets, and a summary of project(s) and project data.
Proj, planted	Each project is listed with its PLANTED stems per acre, for each year. This excludes live stakes.
Proj, total stems	Each project is listed with its TOTAL stems per acre, for each year. This includes live stakes, all planted stems, and all natural/volunteer stems.
Plots	List of plots surveyed with location and summary data (live stems, dead stems, missing, etc.).
Vigor	Frequency distribution of vigor classes for stems for all plots.
Vigor by Spp	Frequency distribution of vigor classes listed by species.
Damage	List of most frequent damage classes with number of occurrences and percent of total stems impacted by each.
Damage by Spp	Damage values tallied by type for each species.
Damage by Plot	Damage values tallied by type for each plot.
Planted Stems by Plot and Spp	A matrix of the count of PLANTED living stems of each species for each plot; dead and missing stems are excluded.
ALL Stems by Plot and spp	A matrix of the count of total living stems of each species (planted and natural volunteers combined) for each plot; dead and missing stems are excluded.
PROJECT SUMMARY-----	
Project Code	95359
project Name	Poplin Ridge Stream Restoration Project
Description	
River Basin	Yadkin-Pee Dee
length(ft)	
stream-to-edge width (ft)	
area (sq m)	
Required Plots (calculated)	
Sampled Plots	13

Table 9. Total Planted Stem Counts (Species by Plot)																																									
Poplin Ridge Stream Restoration Site																																									
		Current Plot Data (MY2 2016)																																							
Scientific Name	Common Name	Species Type	Plot 1		Plot 2		Plot 3		Plot 4		Plot 5		Plot 6		Plot 7		Plot 8		Plot 9		Plot 10		Plot 11		Plot 12		Plot 13														
			PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T												
<i>Acer negundo</i> var. <i>negundo</i>	Boxelder	Tree			3																																				
<i>Acer rubrum</i> var. <i>rubrum</i>	Red Maple	Tree											120																												
<i>Asimina triloba</i>	Pawpaw	Tree																	1	1	1					2	2	2	1	1	1										
<i>Baccharis halimifolia</i>	Eastern Baccharis	Shrub											6																												
<i>Betula nigra</i>	River Birch	Tree	3	3	3				3	3	3					1	1	1										2	2	2											
<i>Carya</i>	Hickory	Tree			1								3																												
<i>Celtis occidentalis</i>	Common Hackberry	Tree											7																												
<i>Diospyros virginiana</i>	Common Persimmon	Tree					1																																		
<i>DONTKNOW: unsure record</i>																																									
<i>Fraxinus pennsylvanica</i>	Green Ash	Tree																																							
<i>Liquidambar styraciflua</i>	Sweetgum	Tree																																							
<i>Liriodendron tulipifera</i>	Tuliptree	Tree							1	1	1				1	1	1												5	5	5										
<i>Nyssa sylvatica</i>	Blackgum	Tree											4	4	4																										
<i>Platanus occidentalis</i>	American Sycamore	Tree											2	2	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	5	5	5				2	2	2	3	3	3	3	3	3				
<i>Populus deltoides</i>	Eastern Cottonwood	Tree																																							
<i>Quercus</i>	Oak	Tree				1	1	1																																	
<i>Quercus alba</i>	White Oak	Tree																																							
<i>Quercus falcata</i>	Southern Red Oak	Tree																																							
<i>Quercus michauxii</i>	Swamp Chestnut Oak	Tree							1	1	1					1	1	1																							
<i>Quercus nigra</i>	Water Oak	Tree	17	17	17				4	4	4	18	18	18	4	4	4	4	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6						
<i>Quercus phellos</i>	Willow Oak	Tree	1	1	1				8	8	8	3	3	3	10	10	10	9	9	9	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2						
<i>Quercus rubra</i>	Northern Red Oak	Tree				1	1	1							6	6	6	1	1	1	6	6	6	1	1	1				3	3	3	2	2	2	1	1	1			
<i>Quercus velutina</i>	Black Oak	Tree													3	3	3	4	4	4										3	3	3	2	2	2	1	1	1			
<i>Sambucus canadensis</i>	Common Elderberry	Shrub																																							
<i>Ulmus rubra</i>	Slippery Elm	Tree																																							
	Stem count		21	21	25	2	2	3	17	17	20	27	27	43	27	27	262	22	22	22	20	20	33	10	10	10	7	7	7	0	0	3	17	17	17	14	14	14	25	25	25
	size (ares)		1						1																																
	size (ACRES)		0.02						0.02																																
	Species count		3	3	5	2	2	3	5	5	7	4	4	7	6	6	9	6	6	6	5	5	7	4	4	4	4	4	4	0	0	2	5	5	5	6	6	6	10	10	10
	Stems per ACRE		850	850	1,012	81	81	121	688	688	809	1,093	1,093	1,740	1,093	1,093	10,603	890	890	890	809	809	1,335	405	405	405	283	283	283	0	0	121	688	688	688	567	567	567	1,012	1,012	1,012

¹PnoLS: No livestakes included in tally; P-all: All planted stems included in tally; T: Total stems including recruitment.

Table 9 Cont'd. Total Planted Stem Counts (Annual Means)													
Poplin Ridge Stream Restoration Site													
Scientific Name	Common Name	Species Type	Annual Means										
			MY2 (2016)			MY1 (2015)			MY0 (2015)				
			PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T	PnoLS	P-all	T		
<i>Acer negundo</i> var. <i>negundo</i>	Boxelder	Tree			3								
<i>Acer rubrum</i> var. <i>rubrum</i>	Red Maple	Tree			120								
<i>Asimina triloba</i>	Pawpaw	Tree	4	4	4	5	5	5	21	21	21		
<i>Baccharis halimifolia</i>	Eastern Baccharis	Shrub			8								
<i>Betula nigra</i>	River Birch	Tree	9	9	9	9	9	9	27	27	27		
<i>Carya</i>	Hickory	Tree			4								
<i>Celtis occidentalis</i>	Common Hackberry	Tree			29								
<i>Diospyros virginiana</i>	Common Persimmon	Tree			2								
<i>DONTKNOW: unsure record</i>													
<i>Fraxinus pennsylvanica</i>	Green Ash	Tree			2								
<i>Liquidambar styraciflua</i>	Sweetgum	Tree			105								
<i>Liriodendron tulipifera</i>	Tuliptree	Tree	7	7	7	7	7	7	34	34	34		
<i>Nyssa sylvatica</i>	Blackgum	Tree	4	4	4	3	3	3					
<i>Platanus occidentalis</i>	American Sycamore	Tree	21	21	21	20	20	20	26	26	26		
<i>Populus deltoides</i>	Eastern Cottonwood	Tree							5				
<i>Quercus</i>	Oak	Tree	2	2	2	31	31	31	126	126	126		
<i>Quercus alba</i>	White Oak	Tree				1	1	1	9	9	9		
<i>Quercus falcata</i>	Southern Red Oak	Tree				4	4	4	10	10	10		
<i>Quercus michauxii</i>	Swamp Chestnut Oak	Tree	5	5	5	4	4	4	8	8	8		
<i>Quercus nigra</i>	Water Oak	Tree	79	79	79	69	69	69	22	22	22		
<i>Quercus phellos</i>	Willow Oak	Tree	43	43	43	46	46	46	50	50	50		
<i>Quercus rubra</i>	Northern Red Oak	Tree	21	21	21	8	8	16					
<i>Quercus velutina</i>	Black Oak	Tree	14	14	14	6	6	6					
<i>Sambucus canadensis</i>	Common Elderberry	Shrub			1								
<i>Ulmus rubra</i>	Slippery Elm	Tree			1								
	Stem count		209	209	484	213	213	242	340	340	340		
	size (ares)		13			13			13				
	size (ACRES)		0.32			0.32			0.32				
	Species count		11	11	21	13	13	19	11	11	11		
	Stems per ACRE		651	651	1,507	663	663	753	1,058	1,058	1,058		

¹PnoLS: No livestakes included in tally; P-all: All planted stems included in tally; T: Total stems including recruitment.

Color for Density

- Exceeds requirements by 10%
- Exceeds requirements, but by less than 10%
- Fails to meet requirements, by less than 10%
- Fails to meet requirements by more than 10%
- Recruit Stems

This Page Intentionally Left Blank.



Poplin Ridge - Vegetation Monitoring Plot 1
June 13, 2016



Poplin Ridge - Vegetation Monitoring Plot 2
June 13, 2016



Poplin Ridge - Vegetation Monitoring Plot 3
June 13, 2016



Poplin Ridge - Vegetation Monitoring Plot 4
June 13, 2016



Poplin Ridge - Vegetation Monitoring Plot 5
June 14, 2016



Poplin Ridge - Vegetation Monitoring Plot 6
June 14, 2016



Poplin Ridge - Vegetation Monitoring Plot 7
June 14, 2016



Poplin Ridge - Vegetation Monitoring Plot 8
June 14, 2016



Poplin Ridge - Vegetation Monitoring Plot 9
June 14, 2016



Poplin Ridge - Vegetation Monitoring Plot 10
June 14, 2016



Poplin Ridge - Vegetation Monitoring Plot 11
June 14, 2016



Poplin Ridge - Vegetation Monitoring Plot 12
June 14, 2016



Poplin Ridge - Vegetation Monitoring Plot 13
June 14, 2016

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Appendix D
Stream Geomorphology Data

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Table 10 - Morphological Parameters Summary (Reach UT1)
Project Name/Number: Poplin Ridge Stream Restoration Project

Feature	Reference Reach		Existing										Design				As-Built MY0							
			UT1-R1		UT1-R2		UT1-R3		UT1-R4		UT1-A		UT1-B		UT1-C		UT1-R2		UT1-R3		UT1-R2		UT1-R3	
			Pres.	Enh. I	Rest.	Rest.	Enh. I	Enh. I	Pres.	Enh. I	Pres.	Enh. I	Enh. I	Rest.	Pool	Rest.	Pool	Rest.	Pool	Rest.	Pool	Rest.	Pool	
Drainage Area (ac)	426	426	136	136	248	384	728	88	120	120	250	248	Pool	384	Pool	248	Pool	384	Pool	248	Pool	384	Pool	
NC Regional Curve Discharge (cfs)	69		31	31	47	64	100	22	28	28	47	47	Pool	64	Pool	47	Pool	64	Pool	47	Pool	64	Pool	
Design/Approx. Bankfull Discharge (cfs)	50		22	22	35	55	65	20	15	30	50	35	Pool	52	Pool	35	Pool	52	Pool	35	Pool	52	Pool	
Dimension																								
BF Width (ft)	13.7	15.0	7.9	7.5	9.9	12.8	17.5	6.9	11.2	6.0	10.0	11.8	12.8	13.6	14.8	12.95	14.85	15.35	15.15					
Floodprone Width (ft)	>50	NA	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50	>40	>50	NA	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50	NA				
BF Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	18.1	23.4	10.1	10.4	14.2	22.2	21.9	6.8	6.1	5.5	10.0	14.5	19.9	18.8	26.9	17.3	19.15	22.4	21.45					
BF Mean Depth (ft)	1.4	1.6	1.3	1.4	1.4	1.7	1.2	1.0	0.5	0.9	1.0	1.2	1.6	1.4	1.8	1.3	1.25	1.45	1.45					
BF Max Depth (ft)	1.7	2.7	2.0	1.8	2.0	2.4	2.3	1.4	1.0	1.1	1.3	1.8	2.4	1.9	2.8	2.1	2.35	2.25	2.55					
Width/Depth Ratio	9.8	9.6	6.2	5.4	7.0	7.4	14.0	6.9	20.4	6.6	10.0	9.8	8.2	9.9	8.1	9.7	11.65	10.5	10.75					
Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	NA	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	NA	>2.2	NA	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2					
Wetted Perimeter (ft)	14.9	16.8	10.4	9.1	11.6	14.5	19.0	8.2	11.8	7.5	11.1	12.6	14	14.7	16.2	13.9	15.95	16.35	16.4					
Hydraulic Radius (ft)	1.2	1.4	1.0	1.1	1.2	1.5	1.2	0.8	0.5	0.7	0.9	1.1	1.4	1.4	1.7	1.25	1.15	1.4	1.3					
Substrate																								
D16 (mm)	2.8		0.062	0.062	0.062	2	3	0.062	2	3	2	2	2	2	2	0.062	2	0.062	1.7					
D50 (mm)	11.0		0.062	16.0	2	8	25	0.1	29	12	11	8	8	8	8	0.062	8	0.062	25					
D84 (mm)	16.0		0.062	63.0	7	25	51	0.4	60	27	45	25	25	25	25	26	25	26	60					
Pattern																								
	Min	Max	Med	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	26.3	55.5	37.3	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	38	57	44	65	35	60	42	65			
Radius of Curvature (ft)	13.5	103.3	41.2	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	18	89	20	103	15	75	17	80			
Radius of Curvature Ratio	1.0	7.6	3.0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	1.5	7.6	1.5	7.6	1.5	7.6	1.5	7.6			
Meander Wavelength (ft)	49.4	66.0	59.7	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	38	57	44	65	35	52	37	56			
Meander Width Ratio	3.6	4.8	4.4	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	3.2	4.8	3.2	4.8	2.7	4.0	2.7	4.3			
Profile																								
	Min	Max	Med	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	
Riffle Length (ft)	6	18	9	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	5	16	6	18	6	18	7	22			
Riffle Slope (%)	1.1	3.4	2.3	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	1.1	3.4	1.1	3.4	1.0	3.6	1.0	3.7			
Run Length (ft)	7	15	8	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	6	13	7	15	6	15	8.0	18.0			
Run Slope (%)	4.8	11.5	8.2	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	4.8	11.5	4.8	11.5	4.6	12.0	5.0	11.0			
Glide Length (ft)	5	13	9	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	4	11	5	13	4	12	6.0	13.2			
Glide Slope (%)	4.8	9.2	7.0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	4.8	9.2	4.8	9.2	4.7	10.0	5.0	10.9			
Pool Length (ft)	5	42	15	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	4	36	5	42	6	42	8.0	50.0			
Pool Slope (%)	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	1.1	2.5	1.1	2.4	
Pool-to-Pool Spacing (ft)	18.0	64.0	30.0	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	16	55	18	64	20	60	20	70			
Additional Reach Parameters																								
Valley Length (ft)	279		622	534	1,173	731	1,294	264	573	434	908	---	---	---	---	1,070	---	---	1,115					
Channel Length (ft)	318		716	541	1,197	738	1,340	270	618	449	921	---	---	---	---	1,178	---	---	1,223					
Sinuosity	1.14		1.2	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.0	1.0	1.1	---	---	---	1.1	---	---	1.1					
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)	0.0048		NA	NA	NA	0.003	0.004	NA	NA	NA	NA	---	---	---	---	NA	---	---	NA					
Channel Slope (ft/ft)	0.0047		0.0048	0.011	0.007	0.004	0.005	0.012	0.012	0.018	0.008	0.0059	---	---	---	0.0046	---	---	0.0066					
Rosgen Classification	E4		E4	E4	E4	E4	E4	C4	E5	C4	E4	E4	E4	E4	E4	E4	E4	E4	E4					

Table 10 Cont'd - Morphological Parameters Summary (Reach UT2)

Project Name/Number: Poplin Ridge Stream Restoration Project

Feature	Reference Reach		Existing					Design				As-Built MY0				
			UT2-R1	UT2-R2	UT2-R3	UT2-R4	UT2-A	UT1-R2		UT1-R3/R4		UT1-R2		UT1-R3/R4		
			Enh. II	Rest.	Rest.	Rest.	Enh. II	Rest.		Rest.		Rest.		Rest.		
	Riffle	Pool	Riffle	Pond	Riffle	Riffle	Riffle	Riffle	Pool	Riffle	Pool	Riffle	Pool	Riffle	Pool	
Drainage Area (ac)	426	426	634	723	742	864	51	723		864		723		864		
NC Regional Curve Discharge (cfs)	69							100		113		100		113		
Design/Approx. Bankfull Discharge (cfs)	50		---	---	---	---	---	52		70		52		70		
Dimension																
BF Width (ft)	13.7	15.0	25.6	---	16.2	12.1	6.1	17.2	18.6	18.2	19.6	21	19.6	17.4	21.1	
Floodprone Width (ft)	>50	NA	>50	---	>50	>50	>50	>50	NA	>50	NA	>50	>50	>50	>50	
BF Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	18.1	23.4	19.6	---	22.4	12.6	3.0	31.5	42	34.8	47.6	26.5	32.6	30.8	34.4	
BF Mean Depth (ft)	1.4	1.6	0.8	---	1.4	1.0	0.5	1.8	2.3	1.9	2.4	1.3	1.7	1.8	1.6	
BF Max Depth (ft)	1.7	2.7	1.7	---	2.6	1.6	1.2	2.5	3.5	2.6	3.8	2.2	3.1	2.5	3.5	
Width/Depth Ratio	9.8	9.6	33.5	---	11.8	11.6	12.2	9.4	8.2	9.5	8.1	16.6	11.7	9.8	12.9	
Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	NA	>2.2	---	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	NA	>2.2	NA	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	>2.2	
Wetted Perimeter (ft)	14.9	16.8	26.2	---	17.9	13.1	7.0	18.5	20.3	19.5	21.5	21.7	21.2	18.5	22.9	
Hydraulic Radius (ft)	1.2	1.4	0.7	---	1.3	1.0	0.4	1.7	2.1	1.8	2.2	1.2	1.5	1.7	1.5	
Substrate																
D16 (mm)	2.8		0.062	---	0.062	1.5	0.062	1.5		1.5		0.062		0.062		
D50 (mm)	11.0		0.062	---	0.062	7.8	0.062	7.8		7.8		0.062		28		
D84 (mm)	16.0		0.72	---	4.8	15.0	0.57	15		15		24		61		
Pattern																
	Min	Max	Med	---	---	---	---	---	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	26	56	37	---	---	---	---	---	55	83	58	87	67	101	56	84
Radius of Curvature (ft)	13	103	41	---	---	---	---	---	26	130	27	138	32	160	26	132
Radius of Curvature Ratio	1.0	7.6	3.0	---	---	---	---	---	1.5	7.6	1.5	7.6	1.5	7.6	1.5	7.6
Meander Wavelength (ft)	49	66	60	---	---	---	---	---	55	83	58	87	67	101	56	84
Meander Width Ratio	1.9	4.1	2.7	---	---	---	---	---	3.2	4.8	3.2	4.8	3.2	4.8	3.2	4.8
Profile																
	Min	Max	Med	---	---	---	---	---	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max
Riffle Length (ft)	6	18	9	---	---	---	---	---	8	23	8	24	9.0	25.0	8.2	26.5
Riffle Slope (%)	1.1	3.4	2.3	---	---	---	---	---	1.1	3.4	1.1	3.4	1.1	3.6	1.2	3.8
Run Length (ft)	7	15	8	---	---	---	---	---	9	19	9	20	11.0	17.0	10.2	21.0
Run Slope (%)	4.8	11.5	8.2	---	---	---	---	---	4.8	11.5	4.8	11.5	4.2	12.0	3.8	11.2
Glide Length (ft)	5	13	9	---	---	---	---	---	6	16	7	17	6.2	18.2	7.5	16.3
Glide Slope (%)	4.8	9.2	7.0	---	---	---	---	---	4.8	9.2	4.8	9.2	5.1	9.6	4.8	9.1
Pool Length (ft)	5	42	15	---	---	---	---	---	6	53	7	56	7.8	47.0	8.5	60.0
Pool Slope (%)	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	3.5	10.0	4.1	10.1
Pool-to-Pool Spacing (ft)	18.0	64.0	30.0	---	---	---	---	---	23	81	24	85	18.0	90.0	20.5	92.0
Additional Reach Parameters																
Valley Length (ft)	279		410	641	779	1,015	427	---		---		785		710		
Channel Length (ft)	318		443	641	781	1,032	437	---		---		847		778		
Poplin Ridge Stream Restoration Project	1.14		1.1	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.1		1.1		1.08		1.0		
NCDMS Project No. 05359	0.0048		NA	NA	NA	0.0027	NA	---		---		Annual Monitoring Report		Annual Monitoring Report		
Monitoring Year 2 of 7	0.0047		0.0027	0.001	0.0057	0.0031	0.013	0.0029		0.0028		0.0061		0.002		
Rosgen Classification	E4		C5c	NA	E5	E4	C5	E4		E4		E4		E4		

**Table 11a. - Monitoring Data - Dimensional Morphology Summary (Dimensional Parameters – Cross Sections)
Poplin Ridge Stream Restoration Project**

	Cross Section 1 Reach UT2-2							Cross Section 2 Reach UT2-2							Cross Section 3 (Riffle) Reach UT2-A							Cross Section 4 (Riffle) Reach UT2-A							Cross Section 5 (Run) Reach UT2-2						
Dimension	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY5	MY7	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY5	MY7	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY5	MY7	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY5	MY7	MY+	Base	MY1 ¹	MY2	MY3	MY5	MY7	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	577.24	577.24	577.24					577.10	577.10	577.10					586.40	586.40	586.40					585.00	585.00	585.00					576.32	576.32	576.32				
Bankfull Width (ft)	3.2	5.5	5.2					3.0	5.6	5.3					8.2	8.0	7.5					11.0	8.8	7.5					21.0	19.3	18.0				
Floodprone Width (ft)	>17.2	>17.2	>17.2					>15.2	>15.2	>15.2					>50.0	>50.0	>50.0					>44.4	>44.4	>50.0					>50	>50	>50				
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.5	0.7	0.6					0.4	0.5	0.4					1.0	0.8	0.8					0.7	0.6	0.8					1.3	1.3	1.3				
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	0.9	1.4	1.1					0.6	1.3	0.8					1.7	1.5	1.3					1.3	1.1	1.3					2.2	2.2	2.4				
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	0.6	3.7	3.3					1.1	2.7	2.2					7.9	6.7	5.7					7.4	5.0	5.7					26.5	25.2	22.9				
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	6.4	8.2	8.1					7.9	11.5	12.5					8.5	9.5	9.9					16.4	15.6	9.9					16.6	14.9	14.2				
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>3.1	>3.3					>2.2	>2.7	>2.9					>2.2	>6.3	>6.7					>2.2	>5.0	>6.7					>2.2	>2.6	>2.8				
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0					1.0	1.0	1.0					1.0	1.0	1.1					1.0	1.0	1.0					1.0	1.0	1.0				
	Cross Section 6 (Pool) Reach UT2-2							Cross Section 7 (Pool) Reach UT2-4							Cross Section 8 (Riffle) Reach UT2-4							Cross Section 9 (Riffle) Reach UT1-1							Cross Section 10 (Pool) Reach UT1-1						
Dimension	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY5	MY7	MY+	Base	MY1 ¹	MY2	MY3	MY5	MY7	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY5	MY7	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY5	MY7	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY5	MY7	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	576.48	576.48	576.48					575.00	575.00	575.00					575.01	575.01	575.01					602.06	602.06	602.06					602.28	602.28	602.28				
Bankfull Width (ft)	19.6	19.1	19.4					21.1	18.7	18.5					17.4	17.1	16.9					11.7	11.4	11.4					15.2	14.7	14.6				
Floodprone Width (ft)	>50.0	>50.0	>50.0					>50.0	>50.0	>50.0					>50.0	>50.0	>50.0					>50.0	>50.0	>50.0					>50	>50	>50				
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.7	1.6	1.6					1.6	1.7	1.7					1.8	1.7	1.7					1.1	1.1	1.1					1.4	1.3	1.3				
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	3.1	3.0	3.0					3.5	3.4	3.4					2.5	2.4	2.5					1.8	1.8	1.8					2.6	2.5	2.5				
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	32.6	30.0	30.5					34.4	32.0	31.6					30.8	28.4	28.5					13.0	12.1	12.4					21.0	19.8	19.7				
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	11.7	12.2	12.3					12.9	10.9	10.9					9.8	10.3	10.0					10.4	10.7	10.4					11.1	10.9	10.9				
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>2.6	>2.6					>2.2	>2.7	>2.7					>2.2	>2.9	>3.0					>2.2	>4.4	>4.4					>2.2	>3.4	>3.4				
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0					1.0	1.0	1.0					1.0	1.0	1.1					1.0	1.0	1.0					1.0	1.0	1.0				
	Cross Section 11 (Riffle) Reach UT1-A							Cross Section 12 (Pool) Reach UT1-2							Cross Section 13 (Riffle) Reach UT1-2							Cross Section 14 (Pool) Reach UT1-2							Cross Section 15 (Riffle) Reach UT1-2						
Dimension	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY5	MY7	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY5	MY7	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY5	MY7	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY5	MY7	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY5	MY7	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	599.06	599.06	599.06					596.26	596.26	596.26					595.97	595.97	595.97					591.21	591.21	591.21					591.48	591.48	591.48				
Bankfull Width (ft)	10.0	10.2	10.0					17.4	17.4	17.6					12.5	12.2	12.3					12.3	12.0	11.5					13.4	12.9	12.9				
Floodprone Width (ft)	>50.0	>50.0	>50.0					>50.0	>50.0	>50.0					>50.0	>50.0	>50.0					>50.0	>50.0	>50.0					>50	>50	>50				
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.0	1.0	1.0					1.4	1.3	1.2					1.2	1.2	1.2					1.1	1.0	1.0					1.4	1.3	1.3				
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.7	1.6	1.6					2.5	2.4	2.5					1.9	1.9	2.0					2.2	2.0	2.0					2.3	2.2	2.2				
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	10.5	10.1	10.1					24.4	21.8	21.8					15.6	14.4	14.6					13.9	11.9	11.5					19.0	17.3	17.2				
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	9.6	10.3	10.0					12.4	13.9	14.2					10.0	10.4	10.3					10.9	12.1	11.6					9.4	9.7	9.7				
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>4.9	>5.0					>2.2	>2.9	>2.8					>2.2	>4.1	>4.1					>2.2	>4.2	>4.3					>2.2	>3.9	>3.9				
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0					1.0	1.0	1.0					1.0	1.0	1.0					1.0	1.0	1.0					1.0	1.0	1.0				

¹Calculations updated to show corrected values

**Table 11a. Cont'd - Monitoring Data - Dimensional Morphology Summary (Dimensional Parameters – Cross Sections)
Poplin Ridge Stream Restoration Project**

	Cross Section 16 (Riffle) Reach UT1-B							Cross Section 17 (Pool) Reach UT1-B							Cross Section 18 (Pool) Reach UT1-3							Cross Section 19 (Riffle) Reach UT1-3							Cross Section 20 (Riffle) Reach UT1-3						
Dimension	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY5	MY7	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY5	MY7	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY5	MY7	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY5	MY7	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY5	MY7	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	591.84	591.84	591.84					590.93	590.93	590.93					588.03	588.03	588.03					588.19	588.19	588.19					586.15	586.15	586.15				
Bankfull Width (ft)	11.7	10.8	10.5					14.2	13.1	13.2					14.5	14.3	13.9					15.2	15.1	14.9					15.5	16.1	15.2				
Floodprone Width (ft)	>50.0	>50.0	>50.0					>50.0	>50.0	>50.0					>50.0	>50.0	>50.0					>50.0	>50.0	>50.0					>50.0	>50.0	>50.0				
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.1	1.0	1.1					0.7	0.6	0.7					1.5	1.4	1.4					1.5	1.4	1.4					1.4	1.3	1.3				
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.8	1.7	1.7					1.4	1.3	1.4					2.6	2.6	2.5					2.4	2.1	2.2					2.1	2.1	2.1				
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	12.3	11.2	11.1					10.2	8.5	9.2					21.5	19.6	19.7					23.0	21.8	21.3					21.9	20.9	20.0				
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	11.2	10.4	9.9					19.7	20.2	19.1					9.8	10.4	9.9					10.1	10.5	10.5					11.0	12.4	11.6				
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>4.6	>4.8					>2.2	>3.8	>3.8					>2.2	>3.5	>3.6					>2.2	>3.3	>3.3					>2.2	>3.1	>3.3				
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.1					1.0	1.0	1.0					1.0	1.0	1.0					1.0	1.0	1.0					1.0	1.0	1.1				
	Cross Section 21 (Pool) Reach UT1-3							Cross Section 22 (Riffle) Reach UT1-C							Cross Section 23 (Pool) Reach UT1-C							Cross Section 24 (Riffle) Reach UT1-C							Cross Section 25 (Pool) Reach UT1-C						
Dimension	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY5	MY7	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY5	MY7	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY5	MY7	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY5	MY7	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY5	MY7	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	585.60	585.60	585.60					592.04	592.04	592.04					591.80	591.80	591.80					586.30	586.30	586.30					585.80	585.80	585.80				
Bankfull Width (ft)	15.8	15.0	15.2					13.2	12.5	12.5					14.6	14.0	13.9					14.2	13.8	14.0					12.0	11.1	11.2				
Floodprone Width (ft)	>50.0	>50.0	>50.0					>50.0	>50.0	>50.0					>50.0	>50.0	>50.0					>46.6	>46.6	>46.6					>50.0	>50.0	>50.0				
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.4	1.3	1.3					1.3	1.1	1.1					1.3	1.1	1.0					1.0	0.9	0.9					1.3	1.3	1.3				
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.5	2.4	2.6					1.9	1.6	1.7					2.1	1.9	2.0					1.7	1.6	1.6					2.3	2.1	2.1				
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	21.4	19.1	19.4					16.8	13.6	14.2					19.1	14.8	14.2					14.0	12.2	12.4					15.5	14.3	14.5				
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	11.7	11.8	11.8					10.4	11.5	10.9					11.1	13.3	13.5					14.3	15.6	15.7					9.4	8.6	8.7				
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>3.3	>3.3					>2.2	>4.0	>4.0					>2.2	>3.6	>3.6					>2.2	>3.4	>3.3					>2.2	>4.5	>4.5				
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0					1.0	1.0	1.1					1.0	1.0	1.0					1.0	1.0	1.0					1.0	1.0	1.0				
	Cross Section 26 (Pool) Reach UT1-4							Cross Section 27 (Riffle) Reach UT1-4							Cross Section 28 (Riffle) Reach UT1-4							Cross Section 29 (Pool) Reach UT1-4													
Dimension	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY5	MY7	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY5	MY7	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY5	MY7	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY5	MY7	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY5	MY7	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used	581.70	581.70	581.70					582.15	582.15	582.15					579.70	579.70	579.70					579.80	579.80	579.80											
Bankfull Width (ft)	14.8	14.1	13.0					16.5	15.9	15.6					15.9	15.4	15.3					20.3	20.8	20.0											
Floodprone Width (ft)	>47.0	>47.0	>47.0					>50.0	>50.0	>50.0					>50.0	>50.0	>50.0					>50.0	>50.0	>50.0											
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.2	1.2	1.3					1.3	1.2	1.1					1.5	1.4	1.4					1.6	1.4	1.4											
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.1	2.1	2.2					2.1	1.9	1.9					2.6	2.5	2.5					3.1	2.9	2.9											
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	17.6	16.2	17.2					21.5	18.3	17.8					24.2	21.7	21.9					33.2	30.0	28.9											
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	12.5	12.3	9.7					12.7	13.8	13.6					10.4	10.9	10.8					12.5	14.4	13.9											
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>2.2	>3.3	>3.6					>2.2	>3.1	>3.2					>2.2	>3.3	>3.3					>2.2	>2.4	>2.5											
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.1					1.0	1.0	1.1					1.0	1.0	1.1					1.0	1.0	1.0											

Table 11b. Monitoring Data - Stream Reach Data Summary Poplin Ridge Stream Restoration Project - UT1-2 (1,178 feet)																																																					
Parameter	Baseline						MY - 1						MY - 2						MY - 3						MY - 4						MY - 5						MY - 6						MY - 7										
	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n					
Dimension & Substrate - Riffle																																																					
Bankfull Width (ft)	-	12.95	-	-	-	-	12.2	12.6	12.6	12.9	0.5	2	12.3	12.6	12.6	12.9	0.5	2																																			
Floodprone Width (ft)	-	>50	-	-	-	-	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	2	50	50	50	50	0.0	2																																			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	-	1.3	-	-	-	-	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.3	0.1	2	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.3	0.1	2																																			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	-	2.1	-	-	-	-	1.9	2.1	2.1	2.2	0.2	2	2.0	2.1	2.1	2.2	0.2	2																																			
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	-	17.3	-	-	-	-	14.4	15.9	15.9	17.3	2.1	2	14.6	15.9	15.9	17.2	1.8	2																																			
Width/Depth Ratio	-	9.7	-	-	-	-	9.7	10.1	10.1	10.4	0.5	2	9.7	10.0	10.0	10.3	0.4	2																																			
Entrenchment Ratio	-	>2.2	-	-	-	-	3.9	4.0	4.0	4.1	0.1	2	3.9	4.0	4.0	4.1	0.2	2																																			
Bank Height Ratio	-	1.0	-	-	-	-	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	2	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	2																																			
Profile																																																					
Riffle Length (ft)	6.0	-	-	18.0	-	-																																															
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	0.010	-	-	0.036	-	-																																															
Pool Length (ft)	6.0	-	-	42.0	-	-																																															
Pool Max Depth (ft)	-	-	-	-	-	-																																															
Pool Spacing (ft)	20.0	-	-	60.0	-	-																																															
Pattern																																																					
Channel Belt Width (ft)	35.0	-	-	60.0	-	-																																															
Radius of Curvature (ft)	15.0	-	-	75.0	-	-																																															
Rc: Bankfull Width (ft/ft)	1.50	-	-	7.60	-	-																																															
Meander Wavelength (ft)	35.0	-	-	52.0	-	-																																															
Meander Width Ratio	2.7	-	-	4.0	-	-																																															
Additional Reach Parameters																																																					
Rosgen Classification				E4																																																	
Channel Thalweg Length (ft)				1,178																																																	
Sinuosity (ft)				1.1																																																	
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft)				-																																																	
Bankfull Slope (ft/ft)				0.0066																																																	
Ri% / Ru% / P% / G% / S%	-	-	-	-	-	-																																															

- Information Unavailable.

N/A - Information does not apply.

Ri = Riffle / Ru = Run / P = Pool / G = Glide / S = Step

Table 11b Cont'd. Monitoring Data - Stream Reach Data Summary Poplin Ridge Stream Restoration Project - UT1-3 (893 feet)																																																					
Parameter	Baseline						MY - 1						MY - 2						MY - 3						MY - 4						MY - 5						MY - 6						MY - 7										
	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n					
Dimension & Substrate - Riffle																																																					
Bankfull Width (ft)	-	15.35	-	-	-	-	15.1	15.6	15.6	16.1	0.7	2	14.9	15.1	15.1	15.2	0.2	2																																			
Floodprone Width (ft)	-	>50	-	-	-	-	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	2	50	50	50	50	0.0	2																																			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	-	1.45	-	-	-	-	1.3	1.4	1.4	1.4	0.1	2	1.3	1.4	1.4	1.4	0.1	2																																			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	-	2.25	-	-	-	-	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	0.0	2	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.2	0.1	2																																			
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	-	22.4	-	-	-	-	20.9	21.4	21.4	21.8	0.6	2	20.0	20.6	20.6	21.3	0.9	2																																			
Width/Depth Ratio	-	10.50	-	-	-	-	10.5	11.5	11.5	12.4	1.3	2	10.5	11.0	11.0	11.6	0.8	2																																			
Entrenchment Ratio	-	>2.2	-	-	-	-	3.1	3.2	3.2	3.3	0.1	2	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	0.0	2																																			
Bank Height Ratio	-	1.0	-	-	-	-	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	2	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.1	0.1	2																																			
Profile																																																					
Riffle Length (ft)	7.0	-	-	22.0	-	-																																															
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	0.010	-	-	0.037	-	-																																															
Pool Length (ft)	8.0	-	-	50.0	-	-																																															
Pool Max Depth (ft)	-	-	-	-	-	-																																															
Pool Spacing (ft)	20.0	-	-	70.0	-	-																																															
Pattern																																																					
Channel Belt Width (ft)	42.0	-	-	65.0	-	-																																															
Radius of Curvature (ft)	17.0	-	-	80.0	-	-																																															
Rc: Bankfull Width (ft/ft)	1.50	-	-	7.60	-	-																																															
Meander Wavelength (ft)	37.0	-	-	56.0	-	-																																															
Meander Width Ratio	2.7	-	-	4.3	-	-																																															
Additional Reach Parameters																																																					
Rosgen Classification				E4																																																	

Table 11b Cont'd. Monitoring Data - Stream Reach Data Summary																																																					
Poplin Ridge Stream Restoration Project - UT2-2 (847 feet)																																																					
Parameter	Baseline						MY - 1 ¹						MY - 2						MY - 3						MY - 4						MY - 5						MY - 6						MY - 7										
	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n					
Dimension & Substrate - Riffle																																																					
Bankfull Width (ft)	-	21.0	-	-	-	-	-	19.3	-	-	N/A	1	-	18.0	-	-	N/A	1																																			
Floodprone Width (ft)	-	>50	-	-	-	-	-	50.0	-	-	N/A	1	-	50	-	-	N/A	1																																			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	-	1.3	-	-	-	-	-	1.3	-	-	N/A	1	-	1.3	-	-	N/A	1																																			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	-	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	2.2	-	-	N/A	1	-	2.4	-	-	N/A	1																																			
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	-	26.5	-	-	-	-	-	25.2	-	-	N/A	1	-	22.9	-	-	N/A	1																																			
Width/Depth Ratio	-	16.6	-	-	-	-	-	14.9	-	-	N/A	1	-	14.2	-	-	N/A	1																																			
Entrenchment Ratio	-	>2.2	-	-	-	-	-	2.6	-	-	N/A	1	-	2.8	-	-	N/A	1																																			
Bank Height Ratio	-	1.0	-	-	-	-	-	1.0	-	-	N/A	1	-	1.0	-	-	N/A	1																																			
Profile																																																					
Riffle Length (ft)	9.0	-	-	25.0	-	-																																															
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	0.0	-	-	0.036	-	-																																															
Pool Length (ft)	7.8	-	-	47.0	-	-																																															
Pool Max Depth (ft)	-	-	-	-	-	-																																															
Pool Spacing (ft)	18.0	-	-	90.0	-	-																																															
Pattern																																																					
Channel Belt Width (ft)	67.0	-	-	101.0	-	-																																															
Radius of Curvature (ft)	32.0	-	-	160.0	-	-																																															
Rc: Bankfull Width (ft/ft)	1.50	-	-	7.60	-	-																																															
Meander Wavelength (ft)	67.0	-	-	101.0	-	-																																															
Meander Width Ratio	3.2	-	-	4.8	-	-																																															
Additional Reach Parameters																																																					
Rosgen Classification				E4																																																	
Channel Thalweg Length (ft)				847																																																	
Sinuosity (ft)				1.08																																																	
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft)				-																																																	
Bankfull Slope (ft/ft)				0.0061																																																	
Ri% / Ru% / P% / G% / S%	-	-	-	-	-	-																																															


- Information Unavailable.
N/A - Information does not apply.
Ri = Riffle / Ru = Run / P = Pool / G = Glide / S = Step
¹Calculations updated to show corrected values

Table 11b Cont'd. Monitoring Data - Stream Reach Data Summary																																																				
Poplin Ridge Stream Restoration Project - UT2-3/4 (521 feet)																																																				
Parameter	Baseline						MY - 1						MY - 2						MY - 3						MY - 4						MY - 5						MY - 6						MY - 7									
	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n				
Dimension & Substrate - Riffle																																																				
Bankfull Width (ft)	-	17.4	-	-	-	-	-	17.1	-	-	N/A	1	-	16.9	-	-	N/A	1																																		
Floodprone Width (ft)	-	>50	-	-	-	-	-	50.0	-	-	N/A	1	-	50.0	-	-	N/A	1																																		
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	-	1.8	-	-	-	-	-	1.7	-	-	N/A	1	-	1.7	-	-	N/A	1																																		
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	-	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	2.4	-	-	N/A	1	-	2.5	-	-	N/A	1																																		
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	-	30.8	-	-	-	-	-	28.4	-	-	N/A	1	-	28.5	-	-	N/A	1																																		
Width/Depth Ratio	-	9.8	-	-	-	-	-	10.3	-	-	N/A	1	-	10.0	-	-	N/A	1																																		
Entrenchment Ratio	-	>2.2	-	-	-	-	-	2.9	-	-	N/A	1	-	3.0	-	-	N/A	1																																		
Bank Height Ratio	-	1.0	-	-	-	-	-	1.0	-	-	N/A	1	-	1.1	-	-	N/A	1																																		
Profile																																																				
Riffle Length (ft)	8.2	-	-	26.5	-	-																																														
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	0.012	-	-	0.038	-	-																																														
Pool Length (ft)	8.5	-	-	60.0	-	-																																														
Pool Max Depth (ft)	-	-	-	-	-	-																																														
Pool Spacing (ft)	20.5	-	-	92.0	-	-																																														
Pattern																																																				
Channel Belt Width (ft)	56.0	-	-	84.0	-	-																																														

Project Name: Poplin Ridge
Reach Name: UT2-2

XS Number: 1
XS Type: Run

Station: 7+59



CHANNEL DIMENSIONS SUMMARY	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7
Bankful Width (ft)	3.2	5.5	5.2	-	-	-	-	-
Floodprone Width (ft)	17.2	17.2	17.2	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.5	0.7	0.6	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	0.9	1.4	1.1	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	1.6	3.7	3.3	-	-	-	-	-
Width/Depth Ratio	6.4	8.2	8.1	-	-	-	-	-
Entrenchment Ratio	5.3	3.1	3.3	-	-	-	-	-
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	-	-	-	-	-



Left Descending Bank




Right Descending Bank

Project Name: Poplin Ridge
 Reach Name: UT2-2

XS Number: 2
 XS Type: Run

Station: 8+05



CHANNEL DIMENSIONS SUMMARY	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7
Bankful Width (ft)	3.0	5.6	5.3	-	-	-	-	-
Floodprone Width (ft)	15.2	15.2	15.2	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.4	0.5	0.4	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	0.6	0.9	0.8	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	1.1	2.7	2.2	-	-	-	-	-
Width/Depth Ratio	7.9	11.5	12.5	-	-	-	-	-
Entrenchment Ratio	5.1	2.7	2.9	-	-	-	-	-
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	-	-	-	-	-



Left Descending Bank




Right Descending Bank

Project Name: Poplin Ridge
Reach Name: UT2-A

XS Number: 3
XS Type: Riffle

Station: 1+22



CHANNEL DIMENSIONS SUMMARY	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7
Bankfull Width (ft)	8.2	8.0	7.5	-	-	-	-	-
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.0	0.8	0.8	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.7	1.5	1.3	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	7.9	6.7	5.7	-	-	-	-	-
Width/Depth Ratio	8.5	9.5	9.9	-	-	-	-	-
Entrenchment Ratio	6.1	6.3	6.7	-	-	-	-	-
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.1	-	-	-	-	-



Left Descending Bank




Right Descending Bank

Project Name: Poplin Ridge
Reach Name: UT2-A

XS Number: 4
XS Type: Riffle

Station: 2+62



CHANNEL DIMENSIONS SUMMARY	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7
Bankfull Width (ft)	11.0	8.8	8.1	-	-	-	-	-
Floodprone Width (ft)	44.4	44.4	44.4	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.7	0.6	0.5	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.3	1.1	1.1	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	7.4	5.0	4.3	-	-	-	-	-
Width/Depth Ratio	16.4	15.6	15.3	-	-	-	-	-
Entrenchment Ratio	4.0	5.0	5.5	-	-	-	-	-
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	-	-	-	-	-



Left Descending Bank




Right Descending Bank

Project Name: Poplin Ridge
 Reach Name: UT2-3

XS Number: 5
 XS Type: Run

Station: 13+58



CHANNEL DIMENSIONS SUMMARY	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7
Bankfull Width (ft)	21.0	19.3	18.0	-	-	-	-	-
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.3	1.3	1.3	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.2	2.2	2.4	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	26.5	25.2	22.9	-	-	-	-	-
Width/Depth Ratio	16.6	14.9	14.2	-	-	-	-	-
Entrenchment Ratio	2.4	2.6	2.8	-	-	-	-	-
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	-	-	-	-	-



Left Descending Bank




Right Descending Bank

Project Name: Poplin Ridge
Reach Name: UT2-3

XS Number: 6
XS Type: Pool

Station: 13+81



CHANNEL DIMENSIONS SUMMARY	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7
Bankfull Width (ft)	19.6	19.1	19.4	-	-	-	-	-
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.7	1.6	1.6	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	3.1	3.0	3.0	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	32.6	30.0	30.5	-	-	-	-	-
Width/Depth Ratio	11.7	12.2	12.3	-	-	-	-	-
Entrenchment Ratio	2.6	2.6	2.6	-	-	-	-	-
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	-	-	-	-	-



Left Descending Bank




Right Descending Bank

Project Name: Poplin Ridge
Reach Name: UT2-3

XS Number: 7
XS Type: Pool

Station: 13+83



CHANNEL DIMENSIONS SUMMARY	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7
Bankfull Width (ft)	21.1	18.7	18.5	-	-	-	-	-
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.6	1.7	1.7	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	3.5	3.4	3.4	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	34.4	32.0	31.6	-	-	-	-	-
Width/Depth Ratio	12.9	10.9	10.9	-	-	-	-	-
Entrenchment Ratio	2.4	2.7	2.7	-	-	-	-	-
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	-	-	-	-	-



Left Descending Bank




Right Descending Bank

Project Name: Poplin Ridge
Reach Name: UT2-3

XS Number: 8
XS Type: Riffle

Station: 20+39



CHANNEL DIMENSIONS SUMMARY	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7
Bankful Width (ft)	17.4	17.1	16.9	-	-	-	-	-
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.8	1.7	1.7	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.5	2.4	2.5	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	30.8	28.4	28.5	-	-	-	-	-
Width/Depth Ratio	9.8	10.3	10.0	-	-	-	-	-
Entrenchment Ratio	2.9	2.9	3.0	-	-	-	-	-
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.1	-	-	-	-	-



Left Descending Bank




Right Descending Bank

Project Name: Poplin Ridge
Reach Name: UT1-1

XS Number: 9
XS Type: Riffle

Station: 8+53



CHANNEL DIMENSIONS SUMMARY	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7
Bankfull Width (ft)	11.7	11.4	11.4	-	-	-	-	-
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.1	1.1	1.1	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.8	1.8	1.8	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	13.0	12.1	12.4	-	-	-	-	-
Width/Depth Ratio	10.4	10.7	10.4	-	-	-	-	-
Entrenchment Ratio	4.3	4.4	4.4	-	-	-	-	-
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	-	-	-	-	-



Left Descending Bank




Right Descending Bank

Project Name: Poplin Ridge
Reach Name: UT1-1

XS Number: 10
XS Type: Pool

Station: 8+78



CHANNEL DIMENSIONS SUMMARY	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7
Bankfull Width (ft)	15.2	14.7	14.6	-	-	-	-	-
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.4	1.3	1.3	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.6	2.5	2.5	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	21.0	19.8	19.7	-	-	-	-	-
Width/Depth Ratio	11.1	10.9	10.9	-	-	-	-	-
Entrenchment Ratio	3.3	3.4	3.4	-	-	-	-	-
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	-	-	-	-	-



Left Descending Bank




Right Descending Bank

Project Name: Poplin Ridge
Reach Name: UT1-A

XS Number: 11
XS Type: Riffle

Station: 1+95



CHANNEL DIMENSIONS SUMMARY	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7
Bankful Width (ft)	10.0	10.2	10.0	-	-	-	-	-
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.0	1.0	1.0	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.7	1.6	1.6	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	10.5	10.1	10.1	-	-	-	-	-
Width/Depth Ratio	9.6	10.3	10.0	-	-	-	-	-
Entrenchment Ratio	5.0	4.9	5.0	-	-	-	-	-
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	-	-	-	-	-



Left Descending Bank




Right Descending Bank

Project Name: Poplin Ridge
Reach Name: UT1-A

XS Number: 12
XS Type: Pool

Station: 14+30



CHANNEL DIMENSIONS SUMMARY	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7
Bankfull Width (ft)	17.4	17.4	17.6	-	-	-	-	-
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.4	1.3	1.2	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.5	2.4	2.5	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	24.4	21.8	21.8	-	-	-	-	-
Width/Depth Ratio	12.4	13.9	14.2	-	-	-	-	-
Entrenchment Ratio	2.9	2.9	2.8	-	-	-	-	-
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	-	-	-	-	-



Left Descending Bank




Right Descending Bank

Project Name: Poplin Ridge
Reach Name: UT1-2

XS Number: 13
XS Type: Riffle

Station: 14+58



CHANNEL DIMENSIONS SUMMARY	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7
Bankfull Width (ft)	12.5	12.2	12.3	-	-	-	-	-
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.2	1.2	1.2	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.9	1.9	2.0	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	15.6	14.4	14.6	-	-	-	-	-
Width/Depth Ratio	10.0	10.4	10.3	-	-	-	-	-
Entrenchment Ratio	4.0	4.1	4.1	-	-	-	-	-
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	-	-	-	-	-



Left Descending Bank




Right Descending Bank

Project Name: Poplin Ridge
Reach Name: UT1-2

XS Number: 14
XS Type: Pool

Station: 22+08



CHANNEL DIMENSIONS SUMMARY	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7
Bankful Width (ft)	12.3	12.0	11.5	-	-	-	-	-
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.1	1.0	1.0	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.2	2.0	2.0	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	13.9	11.9	11.5	-	-	-	-	-
Width/Depth Ratio	10.9	12.1	11.6	-	-	-	-	-
Entrenchment Ratio	4.1	4.2	4.3	-	-	-	-	-
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	-	-	-	-	-



Left Descending Bank




Right Descending Bank

Project Name: Poplin Ridge
Reach Name: UT1-2

XS Number: 15
XS Type: Riffle

Station: 22+21



CHANNEL DIMENSIONS SUMMARY	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7
Bankfull Width (ft)	13.4	12.9	12.9	-	-	-	-	-
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.4	1.3	1.3	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.3	2.2	2.2	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	19.0	17.3	17.2	-	-	-	-	-
Width/Depth Ratio	9.4	9.7	9.7	-	-	-	-	-
Entrenchment Ratio	3.7	3.9	3.9	-	-	-	-	-
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	-	-	-	-	-



Left Descending Bank




Right Descending Bank

Project Name: Poplin Ridge
Reach Name: UT1-B

XS Number: 16
XS Type: Riffle

Station: 9+86



CHANNEL DIMENSIONS SUMMARY	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7
Bankful Width (ft)	11.7	10.8	10.5	-	-	-	-	-
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.1	1.0	1.1	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.8	1.7	1.7	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	12.3	11.2	11.1	-	-	-	-	-
Width/Depth Ratio	11.2	10.4	9.9	-	-	-	-	-
Entrenchment Ratio	4.3	4.6	4.8	-	-	-	-	-
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.1	-	-	-	-	-



Left Descending Bank




Right Descending Bank

Project Name: Poplin Ridge
Reach Name: UT1-B

XS Number: 17
XS Type: Pool

Station: 10+32



CHANNEL DIMENSIONS SUMMARY	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7
Bankfull Width (ft)	14.2	13.1	13.2	-	-	-	-	-
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.7	0.6	0.7	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.4	1.3	1.4	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	10.2	8.5	9.2	-	-	-	-	-
Width/Depth Ratio	19.7	20.2	19.1	-	-	-	-	-
Entrenchment Ratio	3.5	3.8	3.8	-	-	-	-	-
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	-	-	-	-	-



Left Descending Bank




Right Descending Bank

Project Name: Poplin Ridge
Reach Name: UT1-3

XS Number: 18
XS Type: Pool

Station: 25+97



CHANNEL DIMENSIONS SUMMARY	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7
Bankfull Width (ft)	14.5	14.3	13.9	-	-	-	-	-
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.5	1.4	1.4	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.6	2.6	2.5	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	21.5	19.6	19.7	-	-	-	-	-
Width/Depth Ratio	9.8	10.4	9.9	-	-	-	-	-
Entrenchment Ratio	3.4	3.5	3.6	-	-	-	-	-
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	-	-	-	-	-



Left Descending Bank




Right Descending Bank

Project Name: Poplin Ridge
Reach Name: UT1-3

XS Number: 19
XS Type: Riffle

Station: 26+73



CHANNEL DIMENSIONS SUMMARY	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7
Bankfull Width (ft)	15.2	15.1	14.9	-	-	-	-	-
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.5	1.4	1.4	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.4	2.1	2.2	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	23.0	21.8	21.3	-	-	-	-	-
Width/Depth Ratio	10.1	10.5	10.5	-	-	-	-	-
Entrenchment Ratio	3.3	3.3	3.3	-	-	-	-	-
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	-	-	-	-	-



Left Descending Bank




Right Descending Bank

Project Name: Poplin Ridge
Reach Name: UT1-3

XS Number: 20
XS Type: Riffle

Station: 30+13



CHANNEL DIMENSIONS SUMMARY	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7
Bankful Width (ft)	15.5	16.1	15.2	-	-	-	-	-
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.4	1.3	1.3	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.1	2.1	2.1	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	21.9	20.9	20.0	-	-	-	-	-
Width/Depth Ratio	11.0	12.4	11.6	-	-	-	-	-
Entrenchment Ratio	3.2	3.1	3.3	-	-	-	-	-
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.1	-	-	-	-	-



Left Descending Bank




Right Descending Bank

Project Name: Poplin Ridge
Reach Name: UT1-3

XS Number: 21
XS Type: Pool

Station: 31+77



CHANNEL DIMENSIONS SUMMARY	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7
Bankfull Width (ft)	15.8	15.0	15.2	-	-	-	-	-
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.4	1.3	1.3	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.5	2.4	2.6	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	21.4	19.1	19.4	-	-	-	-	-
Width/Depth Ratio	11.7	11.8	11.8	-	-	-	-	-
Entrenchment Ratio	3.2	3.3	3.3	-	-	-	-	-
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	-	-	-	-	-



Left Descending Bank




Right Descending Bank

Project Name: Poplin Ridge
 Reach Name: UT1-C

XS Number: 22
 XS Type: Riffle

Station: 1+46



CHANNEL DIMENSIONS SUMMARY	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7
Bankfull Width (ft)	13.2	12.5	12.5	-	-	-	-	-
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.3	1.1	1.1	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.9	1.6	1.7	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	16.8	13.6	14.2	-	-	-	-	-
Width/Depth Ratio	10.4	11.5	10.9	-	-	-	-	-
Entrenchment Ratio	3.8	4.0	4.0	-	-	-	-	-
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.1	-	-	-	-	-



Left Descending Bank




Right Descending Bank

Project Name: Poplin Ridge
Reach Name: UT1-C

XS Number: 23
XS Type: Pool

Station: 1+66



CHANNEL DIMENSIONS SUMMARY	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7
Bankfull Width (ft)	14.6	14.0	13.9	-	-	-	-	-
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.3	1.1	1.0	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.1	1.9	2.0	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	19.1	14.8	14.2	-	-	-	-	-
Width/Depth Ratio	11.1	13.3	13.5	-	-	-	-	-
Entrenchment Ratio	3.4	3.6	3.6	-	-	-	-	-
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	-	-	-	-	-



Left Descending Bank




Right Descending Bank

Project Name: Poplin Ridge
Reach Name: UT1-C

XS Number: 24
XS Type: Riffle

Station: 8+16



CHANNEL DIMENSIONS SUMMARY	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7
Bankful Width (ft)	14.2	13.8	14.0	-	-	-	-	-
Floodprone Width (ft)	46.6	46.6	46.6	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.0	0.9	0.9	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	1.7	1.6	1.6	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	14.0	12.2	12.4	-	-	-	-	-
Width/Depth Ratio	14.3	15.6	15.7	-	-	-	-	-
Entrenchment Ratio	3.3	3.4	3.3	-	-	-	-	-
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	-	-	-	-	-



Left Descending Bank




Right Descending Bank

Project Name: Poplin Ridge
Reach Name: UT1-C

XS Number: 25
XS Type: Pool

Station: 8+39



CHANNEL DIMENSIONS SUMMARY	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7
Bankful Width (ft)	12.0	11.1	11.2	-	-	-	-	-
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.3	1.3	1.3	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.3	2.1	2.1	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	15.5	14.3	14.5	-	-	-	-	-
Width/Depth Ratio	9.4	8.6	8.7	-	-	-	-	-
Entrenchment Ratio	4.2	4.5	4.5	-	-	-	-	-
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	-	-	-	-	-



Left Descending Bank




Right Descending Bank

Project Name: Poplin Ridge
Reach Name: UT1-4

XS Number: 26
XS Type: Pool

Station: 38+38



CHANNEL DIMENSIONS SUMMARY	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7
Bankful Width (ft)	14.8	14.1	13.0	-	-	-	-	-
Floodprone Width (ft)	47.0	47.0	47.0	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.2	1.2	1.3	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.1	2.1	2.2	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	17.6	16.2	17.2	-	-	-	-	-
Width/Depth Ratio	12.5	12.3	9.7	-	-	-	-	-
Entrenchment Ratio	3.2	3.3	3.6	-	-	-	-	-
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.1	-	-	-	-	-



Left Descending Bank




Right Descending Bank

Project Name: Poplin Ridge
Reach Name: UT1-4

XS Number: 27
XS Type: Riffle

Station: 38+69



CHANNEL DIMENSIONS SUMMARY	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7
Bankfull Width (ft)	16.5	15.9	15.6	-	-	-	-	-
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.3	1.2	1.1	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.1	1.9	1.9	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	21.5	18.3	17.8	-	-	-	-	-
Width/Depth Ratio	12.7	13.8	13.6	-	-	-	-	-
Entrenchment Ratio	3.0	3.1	3.2	-	-	-	-	-
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.1	-	-	-	-	-



Left Descending Bank




Right Descending Bank

Project Name: Poplin Ridge
Reach Name: UT1-4

XS Number: 28
XS Type: Riffle

Station: 45+20



CHANNEL DIMENSIONS SUMMARY	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7
Bankfull Width (ft)	15.9	15.4	15.3	-	-	-	-	-
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.5	1.4	1.4	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.6	2.5	2.5	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	24.2	21.7	21.9	-	-	-	-	-
Width/Depth Ratio	10.4	10.9	10.8	-	-	-	-	-
Entrenchment Ratio	3.1	3.3	3.3	-	-	-	-	-
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.1	-	-	-	-	-



Left Descending Bank




Right Descending Bank

Project Name: Poplin Ridge
Reach Name: UT1-4

XS Number: 29
XS Type: Pool

Station: 45+36



CHANNEL DIMENSIONS SUMMARY	MY0	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY6	MY7
Bankful Width (ft)	20.3	20.8	20.0	-	-	-	-	-
Floodprone Width (ft)	50.0	50.0	50.0	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.6	1.4	1.4	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	3.1	2.9	2.9	-	-	-	-	-
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	33.2	30.0	28.9	-	-	-	-	-
Width/Depth Ratio	12.5	14.4	13.9	-	-	-	-	-
Entrenchment Ratio	2.5	2.4	2.5	-	-	-	-	-
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	-	-	-	-	-





Left Descending Bank





Right Descending Bank



Poplin Ridge			
Cross Section 3 - Riffle			
Monitoring Year - 2016; MY2			
Bed Surface Material Particle Size Class (mm)	Number	% Individual	% Cumulative
0 - 0.062	44	38.6%	39%
0.062 - 0.125	6	5.3%	44%
0.125 - 0.25	4	3.5%	47%
0.25 - 0.5	0	0.0%	47%
0.5 - 1.0	2	1.8%	49%
1 - 2	12	10.5%	60%
2 - 4	8	7.0%	67%
4 - 8	27	23.7%	90%
8 - 16	11	9.6%	100%
16 - 32	0	0.0%	100%
32 - 64	0	0.0%	100%
64-128	0	0.0%	100%
128-256	0	0.0%	100%
256-512	0	0.0%	100%
512-1024	0	0.0%	100%
1024-2048	0	0.0%	100%
2048-4096	0	0.0%	100%
Bedrock	0	0.0%	100%
Total	114	100%	100%
Summary Data			
D50		1.1	
D84		6	
D95		11	





Poplin Ridge			
Cross Section 4 - Riffle			
Monitoring Year - 2016; MY2			
Bed Surface Material Particle Size Class (mm)	Number	% Individual	% Cumulative
0 - 0.062	64	57.7%	58%
0.062 - 0.125	1	0.9%	59%
0.125 - 0.25	3	2.7%	61%
0.25 - 0.5	0	0.0%	61%
0.5 - 1.0	0	0.0%	61%
1 - 2	11	9.9%	71%
2 - 4	7	6.3%	77%
4 - 8	15	13.5%	91%
8 - 16	6	5.4%	96%
16 - 32	2	1.8%	98%
32 - 64	2	1.8%	100%
64-128	0	0.0%	100%
128-256	0	0.0%	100%
256-512	0	0.0%	100%
512-1024	0	0.0%	100%
1024-2048	0	0.0%	100%
2048-4096	0	0.0%	100%
Bedrock	0	0.0%	100%
Total	111	100%	100%
		Summary Data	
		D50	0.062
		D84	6.1
		D95	14





Poplin Ridge			
Cross Section 5 - Riffle			
Monitoring Year - 2016; MY2			
Bed Surface Material Particle Size Class (mm)	Number	% Individual	% Cumulative
0 - 0.062	36	33.3%	33%
0.062 - 0.125	2	1.9%	35%
0.125 - 0.25	2	1.9%	37%
0.25 - 0.5	2	1.9%	39%
0.5 - 1.0	4	3.7%	43%
1 - 2	16	14.8%	57%
2 - 4	7	6.5%	64%
4 - 8	19	17.6%	81%
8 - 16	10	9.3%	91%
16 - 32	7	6.5%	97%
32 - 64	0	0.0%	97%
64-128	3	2.8%	100%
128-256	0	0.0%	100%
256-512	0	0.0%	100%
512-1024	0	0.0%	100%
1024-2048	0	0.0%	100%
2048-4096	0	0.0%	100%
Bedrock	0	0.0%	100%
Total	108	100%	100%
		Summary Data	
		D50	1.4
		D84	11
		D95	27





Poplin Ridge			
Cross Section 8 - Riffle			
Monitoring Year - 2016; MY2			
Bed Surface Material Particle Size Class (mm)	Number	% Individual	% Cumulative
0 - 0.062	59	54.6%	55%
0.062 - 0.125	1	0.9%	56%
0.125 - 0.25	0	0.0%	56%
0.25 - 0.5	0	0.0%	56%
0.5 - 1.0	2	1.9%	57%
1 - 2	4	3.7%	61%
2 - 4	3	2.8%	64%
4 - 8	8	7.4%	71%
8 - 16	6	5.6%	77%
16 - 32	13	12.0%	89%
32 - 64	6	5.6%	94%
64-128	4	3.7%	98%
128-256	2	1.9%	100%
256-512	0	0.0%	100%
512-1024	0	0.0%	100%
1024-2048	0	0.0%	100%
2048-4096	0	0.0%	100%
Bedrock	0	0.0%	100%
Total	108	100%	100%
		Summary Data	
		D50	0.062
		D84	24
		D95	71





Poplin Ridge			
Cross Section 9 - Riffle			
Monitoring Year - 2016; MY2			
Bed Surface Material Particle Size Class (mm)	Number	% Individual	% Cumulative
0 - 0.062	32	27.4%	27%
0.062 - 0.125	0	0.0%	27%
0.125 - 0.25	3	2.6%	30%
0.25 - 0.5	0	0.0%	30%
0.5 - 1.0	5	4.3%	34%
1 - 2	11	9.4%	44%
2 - 4	5	4.3%	48%
4 - 8	10	8.5%	56%
8 - 16	17	14.5%	71%
16 - 32	20	17.1%	88%
32 - 64	8	6.8%	95%
64-128	5	4.3%	99%
128-256	1	0.9%	100%
256-512	0	0.0%	100%
512-1024	0	0.0%	100%
1024-2048	0	0.0%	100%
2048-4096	0	0.0%	100%
Bedrock	0	0.0%	100%
Total	117	100%	100%
Summary Data			
D50		5.2	
D84		26	
D95		66	





Poplin Ridge			
Cross Section 11 - Riffle			
Monitoring Year - 2016; MY2			
Bed Surface Material Particle Size Class (mm)	Number	% Individual	% Cumulative
0 - 0.062	41	38.3%	38%
0.062 - 0.125	9	8.4%	47%
0.125 - 0.25	7	6.5%	53%
0.25 - 0.5	3	2.8%	56%
0.5 - 1.0	10	9.3%	65%
1 - 2	7	6.5%	72%
2 - 4	1	0.9%	73%
4 - 8	5	4.7%	78%
8 - 16	3	2.8%	80%
16 - 32	6	5.6%	86%
32 - 64	6	5.6%	92%
64-128	8	7.5%	99%
128-256	0	0.0%	99%
256-512	0	0.0%	99%
512-1024	0	0.0%	99%
1024-2048	0	0.0%	99%
2048-4096	1	0.9%	100%
Bedrock	0	0.0%	100%
Total	107	100%	100%
		Summary Data	
		D50	0.18
		D84	26
		D95	82





Poplin Ridge			
Cross Section 13 - Riffle			
Monitoring Year - 2016; MY2			
Bed Surface Material Particle Size Class (mm)	Number	% Individual	% Cumulative
0 - 0.062	61	55.5%	55%
0.062 - 0.125	1	0.9%	56%
0.125 - 0.25	2	1.8%	58%
0.25 - 0.5	1	0.9%	59%
0.5 - 1.0	5	4.5%	64%
1 - 2	10	9.1%	73%
2 - 4	4	3.6%	76%
4 - 8	9	8.2%	85%
8 - 16	7	6.4%	91%
16 - 32	10	9.1%	100%
32 - 64	0	0.0%	100%
64-128	0	0.0%	100%
128-256	0	0.0%	100%
256-512	0	0.0%	100%
512-1024	0	0.0%	100%
1024-2048	0	0.0%	100%
2048-4096	0	0.0%	100%
Bedrock	0	0.0%	100%
Total	110	100%	100%
Summary Data			
D50		0.062	
D84		7.6	
D95		23	





Poplin Ridge			
Cross Section 15 - Riffle			
Monitoring Year - 2016; MY2			
Bed Surface Material Particle Size Class (mm)	Number	% Individual	% Cumulative
0 - 0.062	12	9.9%	10%
0.062 - 0.125	2	1.7%	12%
0.125 - 0.25	1	0.8%	12%
0.25 - 0.5	0	0.0%	12%
0.5 - 1.0	5	4.1%	17%
1 - 2	11	9.1%	26%
2 - 4	6	5.0%	31%
4 - 8	20	16.5%	47%
8 - 16	16	13.2%	60%
16 - 32	16	13.2%	74%
32 - 64	27	22.3%	96%
64-128	4	3.3%	99%
128-256	0	0.0%	99%
256-512	0	0.0%	99%
512-1024	0	0.0%	99%
1024-2048	0	0.0%	99%
2048-4096	1	0.8%	100%
Bedrock	0	0.0%	100%
Total	121	100%	100%
		Summary Data	
		D50	9.1
		D84	44
		D95	62





Poplin Ridge			
Cross Section 16 - Riffle			
Monitoring Year - 2016; MY2			
Bed Surface Material Particle Size Class (mm)	Number	% Individual	% Cumulative
0 - 0.062	8	7.4%	7%
0.062 - 0.125	1	0.9%	8%
0.125 - 0.25	2	1.9%	10%
0.25 - 0.5	0	0.0%	10%
0.5 - 1.0	4	3.7%	14%
1 - 2	16	14.8%	29%
2 - 4	15	13.9%	43%
4 - 8	27	25.0%	68%
8 - 16	13	12.0%	80%
16 - 32	14	13.0%	93%
32 - 64	4	3.7%	96%
64-128	2	1.9%	98%
128-256	1	0.9%	99%
256-512	0	0.0%	99%
512-1024	0	0.0%	99%
1024-2048	0	0.0%	99%
2048-4096	1	0.9%	100%
Bedrock	0	0.0%	100%
Total	108	100%	100%
		Summary Data	
		D50	4.9
		D84	22
		D95	50





Poplin Ridge			
Cross Section 19 - Riffle			
Monitoring Year - 2016; MY2			
Bed Surface Material Particle Size Class (mm)	Number	% Individual	% Cumulative
0 - 0.062	9	8.2%	8%
0.062 - 0.125	3	2.7%	11%
0.125 - 0.25	5	4.5%	15%
0.25 - 0.5	0	0.0%	15%
0.5 - 1.0	3	2.7%	18%
1 - 2	12	10.9%	29%
2 - 4	6	5.5%	35%
4 - 8	29	26.4%	61%
8 - 16	21	19.1%	80%
16 - 32	11	10.0%	90%
32 - 64	2	1.8%	92%
64-128	7	6.4%	98%
128-256	2	1.8%	100%
256-512	0	0.0%	100%
512-1024	0	0.0%	100%
1024-2048	0	0.0%	100%
2048-4096	0	0.0%	100%
Bedrock	0	0.0%	100%
Total	110	100%	100%
		Summary Data	
		D50	6.3
		D84	18
		D95	86





Poplin Ridge			
Cross Section 20 - Riffle			
Monitoring Year - 2016; MY2			
Bed Surface Material Particle Size Class (mm)	Number	% Individual	% Cumulative
0 - 0.062	36	32.7%	33%
0.062 - 0.125	3	2.7%	35%
0.125 - 0.25	1	0.9%	36%
0.25 - 0.5	0	0.0%	36%
0.5 - 1.0	3	2.7%	39%
1 - 2	5	4.5%	44%
2 - 4	4	3.6%	47%
4 - 8	2	1.8%	49%
8 - 16	5	4.5%	54%
16 - 32	22	20.0%	74%
32 - 64	24	21.8%	95%
64-128	3	2.7%	98%
128-256	0	0.0%	98%
256-512	0	0.0%	98%
512-1024	0	0.0%	98%
1024-2048	0	0.0%	98%
2048-4096	2	1.8%	100%
Bedrock	0	0.0%	100%
Total	110	100%	100%
		Summary Data	
		D50	9.4
		D84	41
		D95	63





Poplin Ridge			
Cross Section 22 - Riffle			
Monitoring Year - 2016; MY2			
Bed Surface Material Particle Size Class (mm)	Number	% Individual	% Cumulative
0 - 0.062	40	35.7%	36%
0.062 - 0.125	0	0.0%	36%
0.125 - 0.25	0	0.0%	36%
0.25 - 0.5	3	2.7%	38%
0.5 - 1.0	3	2.7%	41%
1 - 2	9	8.0%	49%
2 - 4	5	4.5%	54%
4 - 8	14	12.5%	66%
8 - 16	17	15.2%	81%
16 - 32	15	13.4%	95%
32 - 64	1	0.9%	96%
64-128	5	4.5%	100%
128-256	0	0.0%	100%
256-512	0	0.0%	100%
512-1024	0	0.0%	100%
1024-2048	0	0.0%	100%
2048-4096	0	0.0%	100%
Bedrock	0	0.0%	100%
Total	112	100%	100%
		Summary Data	
		D50	2.3
		D84	18
		D95	37



Poplin Ridge			
Cross Section 24 - Riffle			
Monitoring Year - 2016; MY2			
Bed Surface Material Particle Size Class (mm)	Number	% Individual	% Cumulative
0 - 0.062	14	12.5%	13%
0.062 - 0.125	1	0.9%	13%
0.125 - 0.25	3	2.7%	16%
0.25 - 0.5	0	0.0%	16%
0.5 - 1.0	9	8.0%	24%
1 - 2	15	13.4%	38%
2 - 4	10	8.9%	46%
4 - 8	16	14.3%	61%
8 - 16	16	14.3%	75%
16 - 32	11	9.8%	85%
32 - 64	10	8.9%	94%
64-128	6	5.4%	99%
128-256	1	0.9%	100%
256-512	0	0.0%	100%
512-1024	0	0.0%	100%
1024-2048	0	0.0%	100%
2048-4096	0	0.0%	100%
Bedrock	0	0.0%	100%
Total	112	100%	100%
		Summary Data	
		D50	4.6
		D84	30
		D95	81



Poplin Ridge			
Cross Section 27 - Riffle			
Monitoring Year - 2016; MY2			
Bed Surface Material Particle Size Class (mm)	Number	% Individual	% Cumulative
0 - 0.062	9	7.8%	8%
0.062 - 0.125	5	4.3%	12%
0.125 - 0.25	7	6.0%	18%
0.25 - 0.5	1	0.9%	19%
0.5 - 1.0	7	6.0%	25%
1 - 2	12	10.3%	35%
2 - 4	8	6.9%	42%
4 - 8	28	24.1%	66%
8 - 16	26	22.4%	89%
16 - 32	13	11.2%	100%
32 - 64	0	0.0%	100%
64-128	0	0.0%	100%
128-256	0	0.0%	100%
256-512	0	0.0%	100%
512-1024	0	0.0%	100%
1024-2048	0	0.0%	100%
2048-4096	0	0.0%	100%
Bedrock	0	0.0%	100%
Total	116	100%	100%
		Summary Data	
		D50	6
		D84	14
		D95	23



Poplin Ridge			
Cross Section 28 - Riffle			
Monitoring Year - 2016; MY2			
Bed Surface Material Particle Size Class (mm)	Number	% Individual	% Cumulative
0 - 0.062	27	25.0%	25%
0.062 - 0.125	9	8.3%	33%
0.125 - 0.25	2	1.9%	35%
0.25 - 0.5	0	0.0%	35%
0.5 - 1.0	3	2.8%	38%
1 - 2	10	9.3%	47%
2 - 4	11	10.2%	57%
4 - 8	23	21.3%	79%
8 - 16	17	15.7%	94%
16 - 32	5	4.6%	99%
32 - 64	1	0.9%	100%
64-128	0	0.0%	100%
128-256	0	0.0%	100%
256-512	0	0.0%	100%
512-1024	0	0.0%	100%
1024-2048	0	0.0%	100%
2048-4096	0	0.0%	100%
Bedrock	0	0.0%	100%
Total	108	100%	100%
		Summary Data	
		D50	2.4
		D84	9.6
		D95	17





Table 12. Bank Pin Arrays Poplin Ridge Stream Restoration Site					
Array # and Reach	Length of Exposed Pin (mm)				
	Upper	Middle	Lower	Average Rate¹ (mm/yr)	Rate (ft/yr)
1 - Reach UT2-2	0	0 ^B	0 ^B	0	0.00
2 - Reach UT2-3	0	0	0	0	0.00
3 - Reach UT1-2	44.5	0 ^B	0 ^B	14.8	0.05
4 - Reach UT1-3	0	0	0	0.0	0.00
5 - Reach UT1-C	35.6	0	0 ^B	11.9	0.04
6 - Reach UT1-4	31.8	0 ^B	0 ^B	11	0.03

0^B = Buried Bank Pin

Appendix E

Hydrologic Data

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

**Table 13. Verification of Bankfull Events
Poplin Ridge Stream Restoration Site**

Date of Data Collection	Date of Occurrence	Method	Feet Above Bankfull Elevation	Photo # (if available)
UT1-2				
10/14/2015	8/19/2015	Automated Crest Gauge	0.5	
UT1-4				
10/15/2015	8/19/2015	Automated Crest Gauge	2.0	
10/15/2015	10/3/2015	Automated Crest Gauge	1.0	
01/16/2016	11/2/2015	Automated Crest Gauge	0.8	
01/16/2016	11/9/2015	Automated Crest Gauge	0.7	
01/16/2016	12/22/2015	Automated Crest Gauge	0.4	
09/23/2016	6/6/2016	Automated Crest Gauge	0.5	
09/23/2016	8/5/2016	Automated Crest Gauge	0.4	
UT2-3				
10/13/2015	8/19/2015	Automated Crest Gauge	4.3	
10/13/2015	10/3/2015	Automated Crest Gauge	1.2	
1/16/2016	11/2/2015	Automated Crest Gauge	2.0	
1/16/2016	11/9/2015	Automated Crest Gauge	0.1	
1/16/2016	11/19/2015	Automated Crest Gauge	1.7	
1/16/2016	12/22/2015	Automated Crest Gauge	1.3	
1/16/2016	12/30/2015	Automated Crest Gauge	0.3	

Figure 3. Poplin Ridge Water Level Logger Chart




Figure 3 Cont'd. Poplin Ridge Water Level Logger Chart




Figure 4. Daily Precipitation Totals for Monroe, NC (CRONOS Station 315771/ Monroe 2 Se)




Figure 5. Monthly Precipitation Data Compared to Average. 30th and 70th, Percentiles for Union County

