

ANNUAL MONITORING REPORT WILSON BAY (STURGEON CITY) PHASE II

WETLAND RESTORATION
ONslow COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA
(EEP Project Number .00091)

Monitoring Year 3 of 5 (2006)



Submitted to:
North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources
Ecosystem Enhancement Program
Raleigh, North Carolina

Prepared by:
Axiom Environmental, Inc.
2126 Rowland Pond Drive
Willow Spring, North Carolina 27592

Design Firm:
BLUE Land, Water, Infrastructure, PA
1271 Old US Highway 1 South
Southern Pines, North Carolina 28387



March 2007

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Wilson Bay (Surgeon City) Wetland Restoration Phase II Site (Site) is located within the United States Geological Survey (USGS) Hydrologic Unit 03030001 (North Carolina Division of Water Quality [NCDWQ] subbasin 03-05-02) of the White Oak River Basin. The Site includes 2.5 acres of brackish marsh restoration, located at Surgeon City in Jacksonville, North Carolina in Onslow County (Figure 1). The Site is located adjacent to Thompson School Creek and Surgeon City Park at an inactive municipal wastewater treatment plant. This report summarizes data for year 3 (2006) monitoring.

The primary goals of the project include the following.

1. Reduce nutrient and stormwater inputs to adjacent estuarine waters.
2. Stabilize the shoreline through restoration of native vegetation.
3. Improve the aesthetics to that of a natural estuarine marsh.
4. Enhance wildlife habitat.
5. Educate visitors about the importance of coastal wetlands.

Five vegetation plots had been previously established and were surveyed for herbaceous coverage in November 2006 for the 2006 (year 3) monitoring season. Vegetative growth has been excellent in the brackish marsh, with many native volunteer salt marsh species; in addition an organic mat typical of a coastal salt marsh is continuing to develop.

One vegetation problem area occurs within the Site along the northernmost and westward trending creek where a small colony of *Typha latifolia* is growing within the area of *Spartina cynosuroides*. This may be a result of freshwater runoff from the adjacent parking area. Mowing close to the marsh edge has damaged a small number of desirable species in previous years; however, this is a minor problem and the area is recovering naturally.

No wetland problem areas have been identified during the year-3 (2006) monitoring year. Groundwater hydrology within 12 inches of the soil surface occurred for greater than 12.5 percent of the growing season for the year 3 (2006) growing season. In addition, the ground surface is sufficiently flooded to support the brackish marsh vegetation.

In summary, the Site is stable, the desired plant communities are developing, the plants are healthy, and the marsh has an aesthetic appeal.

Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	i
1.0 PROJECT BACKGROUND.....	1
1.1 Location and Setting	1
1.2 Mitigation Structure and Objectives	1
1.3 Project History and Background.....	3
1.4 Monitoring Plan View.....	4
2.0 PROJECT CONDITION AND MONITORING RESULTS	6
2.1 Vegetation Assessment	6
2.1.1 Soil Data.....	6
2.1.2 Vegetative Problem Areas.....	6
2.1.3 Stem Counts	8
2.1.4 Vegetation Plot Photos.....	8
2.2 Wetland Assessment	9
2.2.1 Wetland Problem Area Plan View.....	10
2.2.2 Wetland Criteria Attainment	10
4.0. REFERENCES.....	11

Appendices

APPENDIX A. VEGETATION PLOT PHOTOGRAPHS	
1. Vegetation Monitoring Photographs	
2. Vegetation Problem Area Photographs	
APPENDIX B. YEAR 3 (2006) GROUNDWATER GAUGE GRAPHS	

List of Figures

Figure 1. Site Location	2
Figure 2. Monitoring Plans	5
Figure 3. Vegetation Problem Areas	7

List of Tables

Table 1. Project Mitigation Structures and Objectives	1
Table 2. Project Activity and Reporting History.....	3
Table 3. Project Contact Table.....	3
Table 4. Project Background Table.....	4
Table 5. Preliminary Soil Data.....	6
Table 6. Vegetation Problem Areas.....	6
Table 7. Marsh Stem Counts.....	8
Table 8. Vegetation Trends.....	8
Table 9. Summary of Groundwater Gauge Results for Years 1 through 3	10
Table 10. Wetland Criteria Attainment.....	10

1.0 PROJECT BACKGROUND

1.1 Location and Setting

The Wilson Bay (Surgeon City) Wetland Restoration Phase II Site (Site) is located within the United States Geological Survey (USGS) Hydrologic Unit 03030001 (North Carolina Division of Water Quality [NCDWQ] subbasin 03-05-02) of the White Oak River Basin. The Site includes 2.5 acres of brackish marsh restoration, located at Sturgeon City in Jacksonville, North Carolina in Onslow County (Figure 1). The Site is located adjacent to Thompson School Creek and Sturgeon City Park at an inactive municipal wastewater treatment plant.

Directions to the Site:

From Raleigh:

- Travel east on Interstate 40 to Exit 373 (NC24/903 east)
- Follow NC 24 to Jacksonville
- In Jacksonville, veer right onto Old Bridge Street to cross over the New River
- Turn right on Court Street
- At the end of Court Street take a left into the inactive wastewater treatment plant
- The Site is adjacent to Wilson Bay at the far end of the property

The Site is located in the Middle Atlantic Coastal Plain Physiographic Province, within the Carolina Flatwoods ecoregion.

1.2 Mitigation Structure and Objectives

Prior to implementation of wetland restoration activities, the Site was used as a municipal wastewater treatment plant.

Restoration at the Site entailed 1) removal of trash laden fill material, 2) grading the Site to the desired elevations to restore wetland hydrology, and 3) planting the Site. The primary goals of this project were to reduce nutrient and stormwater inputs to adjacent estuarine waters, stabilize the shoreline through restoration of native vegetation, improve the aesthetics to that of a natural estuarine marsh, enhance wildlife habitat, and educate visitors about the importance of coastal wetlands. Project structures and objectives are summarized in Table 1.

Project Segment or Reach ID	Mitigation Type	Approach	Linear Footage or Acreage	Stationing	Comment
Brackish Marsh	Restoration	---	2.50 acres	---	Planted with <i>Spartina cynosuroides</i> in the lower elevations and <i>Spartina patens</i> in the higher elevations.

1.3 Project History and Background

Completed project activities, reporting history, and completion dates are summarized in Table 2.

Table 2. Project Activity and Reporting History			
Wilson Bay (Sturgeon City) Wetland Restoration Phase II (EEP Project Number .00091)			
Activity or Report	Scheduled Completion	Data Collection Completion	Actual Completion or Delivery
Restoration Plan	2002	---	Mar. 24, 2003
Final Design – 90%	Unknown	---	Unknown
Construction	June 2003	---	October 2003
Temporary Sediment & Erosion Mix Applied	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Permanent Seed Mix Applied	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Brackish Marsh Planting	August 2003	---	June 2004
Containerized and B&B Plantings	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
As-built Report (Year 0 Monitoring – map only)	2005	---	Unknown
Year 1 Monitoring (2004)	Fall 2004	---	Oct. 12, 2004
Year 2 Monitoring (2005)	Fall 2005	---	Sept. 22, 2005
Year 3 Monitoring (2006)	Fall 2006	---	Feb. 2007

Contact information regarding project designer, construction, planting contractor, and monitoring personnel are summarized in Table 3 and relevant project background information is summarized in Table 4.

Table 3. Project Contact Table	
Wilson Bay (Sturgeon City) Wetland Restoration Phase II (EEP Project Number .00091)	
Designer	BLUE: Land, Water, Infrastructure, PA 1271 Old Highway 1 Southern Pines, NC 28387 Thomas Blue (910) 692-6461
Property Owner	City of Jacksonville PO Box 128 Jacksonville, North Carolina 28541 Glenn Hargett (910) 938-5200
Construction Contractor	Trader Construction Company 2500 Highway 70 East New Bern, North Carolina 28560 Carl Huddle (252) 633-2424
Planting Contractor	BLUE: Land, Water, Infrastructure, PA 1271 Old Highway 1 Southern Pines, NC 28387 Larry Hobbs (919)306-2410 Thomas Blue (910) 692-6461


Seeding Contractor	Unknown
Temporary Seed Mix Sources	Unknown
Nursery Stock Suppliers (marsh plants)	Campbells Greenhouse Raleigh, North Carolina Street Address and Point of Contact: Unknown
Monitoring Performers	Axiom Environmental, Inc. 2126 Rowland Pond Dr. Willow Spring, NC 27592 Grant Lewis 919-215-1693

Project County	Onslow County, North Carolina
Drainage Area	~ 115 acres
Drainage impervious cover estimate (%)	~ 35 percent
Stream Order	First
Physiographic Region	Coastal Plain
Ecoregion	Carolina Flatwoods
Rosgen Classification of As-built	Not Applicable
Cowardin Classification	Estaurine Intertidal Emergent Persistent Irregularly Flooded (E21P)
Dominant Soil Types	Wando fine sand
Reference Site ID	No Reference
USGS HUC for Project and Reference	Project – 03030001
NCDWQ Subbasin for Project and Reference	Project – 03-05-02
NCDWQ Classification for Project and Reference	Project – SC HQW NSW
Any portion of any project segment 303d listed?	No (Stream Index #19-14)
Any portion of any project segment upstream of a 303d listed segment?	No
Reasons for 303d listing or stressor	Not Applicable
% of project easement fenced	None

1.4 Monitoring Plan View


Monitoring activities for the Site, including relevant structures and utilities, project features, specific project structures, and monitoring features are detailed in Figure 2.

Site features have been monitored with five 1-meter square marsh grass vegetation plots, four continuous recording surfacewater gauges, and photographic documentation.



Legend

- 1-meter Square Marsh Plots
- Monitoring Photographs
- Problem Area Photographs
- Surfacewater Gauges
- Easement Boundary
- Boardwalks



Sturgeon City Phase I

Wilson Bay

Axiom Environmental, Inc
 2126 Rowland Pond Drive
 Willow Spring, NC 27592
 (919) 215-1693
 (919) 341-3839 fax

MONITORING PLAN
WILSON BAY (STURGEON CITY) PHASE II
 EEP Project Number .00091
 2006 Annual Monitoring Year 3 of 5
 Onslow County, North Carolina

CLF

Date:
February 2007

Project:
06-021

FIGURE
2

2.0 PROJECT CONDITION AND MONITORING RESULTS

2.1 Vegetation Assessment

During November of 2006, five 1-meter square plots were sampled for herbaceous cover. Two plots were located in the *Spartina cynosuroides* area of the marsh, and three were located in the *Spartina patens* area. Plant height, numbers, and percent cover were measured and recorded in each plot. Plots were located as close as possible to the corresponding plot designation from the previous monitoring report. In addition, the methodology from previous monitoring reports were followed and stems were not counted in the *Spartina patens* plots. The general condition of the marsh was assessed, and potential problem areas were also examined and photographed.

2.1.1 Soil Data

General soil conditions found onsite, including level of erosion and percentage of organic matter, are summarized in Table 5.


Table 5. Preliminary Soil Data					
Wilson Bay (Sturgeon City) Wetland Restoration Phase II (EEP Project Number .00091)					
Series	Max Depth (inches)	% Clay on Surface	K	T	OM %
Wando	85	1	0.1	5	<1
Pactolus	72	2-12	0.1	5	0.5-2

2.1.2 Vegetative Problem Areas

Vegetation problem areas within the Site are depicted on Figure 3 and are outlined in Table 6. An area along the northernmost and westward trending creek has a small colony of *Typha latifolia* growing within the area of *Spartina cynosuroides*. This may be a result of freshwater runoff from the adjacent parking area. This situation should be watched and control measures initiated, if necessary.

Mowing close the marsh edge has damaged a small number of desirable species, but this is a minor problem and this area is recovering naturally.

Table 6. Vegetation Problem Areas			
Wilson Bay (Sturgeon City) Wetland Restoration Phase II (EEP Project Number .00091)			
Feature/Issue	Location	Probable Cause	Photo
Volunteer <i>Typha latifolia</i>	Northwestern portion of the Site	Freshwater runoff from the adjacent parking area	Problem Area Photos 17 and 18 (Appendix A)



Caitails growing along the edge of this area



Legend

- 1-meter Square Marsh Plots
 - Percent Coverage >= Year 2
 - Percent Coverage < Year 2
- Vegetation Problem Areas
- ⬠ Problem Area Photographs
- Easement Boundary
- Boardwalks


 Axiom Environmental, Inc.
 2126 Rowland Pond Drive
 Willow Spring, NC 27592
 (919) 215-1693
 (919) 341-3839 fax

VEGETATION PROBLEM AREAS
WILSON BAY (STURGEON CITY) PHASE II
EPP Project Number .00091
2006 Annual Monitoring Year 3 of 5
Onslow County, North Carolina

CLF
 Date: February 2007
 Project: 06-021

FIGURE
3

2.1.3 Stem Counts

Marsh vegetation was assessed by sampling five 1-meter by 1-meter plots, two located in the *Spartina cynosuroides* area of the marsh and three in the *Spartina patens* area. Stem counts from year 3 (2006) are summarized in Table 7 and vegetation trends for the first three monitoring years are summarized in Table 8. No reference area was studied; therefore no comparisons could be made to reference conditions.

Table 7. Marsh Stem Counts					
Wilson Bay (Sturgeon City) Wetland Restoration Phase II (EEP Project Number .00091)					
Species	Plot	Stem Count	Height (meters)	Percent Coverage	Notes
<i>Spartina cynosuroides</i>	C3	71	2.4	50	Sedge species, grass species
	C8	63	2.2	60	--
	C11	48	2.3	30	<i>Juncus effusus</i> -15% cover
<i>Spartina patens</i>	P14	Not applicable	0.9-1.2	50	<i>Festuca</i> , herb seedlings
	P15	Not applicable	1.2	70	<i>S. cynosuroides</i> , <i>Paspalum urvillei</i> (10% cover), (<i>Aster</i> , <i>Typha</i> , <i>Baccharis</i> , <i>Verbena</i> -5% cover)

Table 8. Vegetation Trends				
Wilson Bay (Sturgeon City) Wetland Restoration Phase II (EEP Project Number .00091)				
Lower Marsh (<i>Spartina cynosuroides</i>) Data Range				
Monitoring Year	Firm	Stem Count	Height (meters)	Percent Cover
2004 (year 1)		No measurements taken		
2005 (year 2)	BLWI	47-78	1.4-3.3	45-60
2006 (year 3)	AXE	48-71	2.2-2.4	30-60
Upper Marsh (<i>Spartina patens</i>) Data Range				
Monitoring Year	Firm	Stem Count	Height (meters)	Percent Cover
2004 (year 1)		No measurements taken		
2005 (year 2)	BLWI	Not applicable	0.45-1.3	40-50
2006 (year 3)	AXE	Not applicable	0.9-2.3	50-70

According to previous monitoring reports, the project has no success criteria; however, Site vegetation success will be determined based on year-to-year comparisons of the stem counts, plant heights, and percent coverage of planted species.

The average percent coverage within the lower marsh (*Spartina cynosuroides*) was between 30 and 60 percent and within the upper marsh (*Spartina patens*) was between 50 and 70 percent for year 3 (2006) monitoring. The average percent coverage across the Site appears to be increasing slightly.

2.1.4 Vegetation Plot Photos

Photographs taken in the vegetation monitoring area are included in Appendix A; locations of each are depicted on Figure 2. The photographs show that the marsh grasses are growing well and the Site is functioning as a brackish marsh wetland system.

2.2 Wetland Assessment

Four surfacewater monitoring gauges have been maintained and monitored throughout the year 3 (2006) growing season. The gauges are located within the *Spartina cynosuroides* area between the 1 and 1.5-foot contours and record daily readings of the groundwater levels. Daily rainfall data recorded from a rain gauge maintained and monitored at the nearby New River Station in Jacksonville, North Carolina was used for seasonal comparison (SCONC 2007). The graphs of groundwater hydrology and precipitation are included in Appendix B.

No specific success criteria were established for this project; however, hydrologic success is based on sufficient Site flooding to support the marsh vegetation. General success criteria for wetland groundwater hydrology require inundation or saturation within 12 inches of the ground surface for a consecutive period of 12.5 percent of the growing season. The growing season in Onslow County begins April 8 and ends November 5 (212 days).

The surfacewater gauge provided unreliable data during year 2 (2005) monitoring and no gauge data is available for years 1 and 2 (2004 and 2005) monitoring. The gauge graphs for year 3 (2006) are included in Appendix B. The following table summarizes success criteria achievement for the surfacewater gauge over the three-year monitoring period.

Groundwater hydrology within 12 inches of the soil surface occurred for greater than 12.5 percent of the growing season for the year 3 (2006) growing season. In addition, the ground surface is sufficiently flooded to support the brackish marsh vegetation.

Table 9. Summary of Groundwater Gauge Results for Years 1 through 3

**Wilson Bay (Sturgeon City) Wetland Restoration Phase II
(EEP Project Number .00091)**

Gauge	Success Criteria Achieved/Max Consecutive Days During Growing Season Saturated or Inundated within 12 inches of the Ground Surface (Percentage)		
	Year 1 (2004)	Year 2 (2005)	Year 3 (2006)
1	No Data*	No Data*	Yes/212 days (100 %)
2	No Data*	No Data*	Yes/36 days (17.0 %)
3	No Data*	No Data*	Yes/69 days (32.5 %)
4	No Data*	No Data*	Yes/212 days (100 %)
Gauge	Percentage of Growing Season the Ground Surface was Inundated		
	Year 1 (2004)	Year 2 (2005)	Year 3 (2006)
1	No Data*	No Data*	71
2	No Data*	No Data*	11
3	No Data*	No Data*	33
4	No Data*	No Data*	58

* - The surfacewater gauges provided unreliable data during year 2 (2005) monitoring and no data is available for years 1 or 2 (2004 or 2005).

2.2.1 Wetland Problem Area Plan View

No wetland problem areas have been identified during the year 3 (2006) monitoring year.

2.2.2 Wetland Criteria Attainment

All monitored gauges within restoration areas were inundated/saturated within 12 inches of the surface for greater than 12.5 percent of the growing season with sufficient flooding to support brackish marsh vegetation (Table 10). Hydrographs containing precipitation data for each gauge can be found in Appendix B. Percent coverage of planted species is increasing since year 2 (2005) monitoring with the exception of one plot (C11). Photographs within the Site can be found in Appendix A.

Table 10. Wetland Criteria Attainment

**Wilson Bay (Sturgeon City) Wetland Restoration Phase II
(EEP Project Number .00091)**

Gauge ID	Hydrology Threshold Met?	Hydrophytic Vegetation Criteria Met?	Site Mean	Vegetation Plot ID	Vegetation Survival Threshold Met?	Site Mean
1	Yes	Yes	100 %	C3	Yes	80 %
2	Yes	Yes		C8	Yes	
3	Yes	Yes		C11	No	
4	Yes	Yes		P14	Yes	
			P15	Yes		

4.0. REFERENCES

State Climate Office of North Carolina (SCONC). 2007. North Carolina Climate Retrieval and Observations Network of the Southeast Database. (online). Available: <http://www.nc-climate.ncsu.edu/cronos/> [January 24, 2007]. State Climate Office of North Carolina, Raleigh, North Carolina.

United States. Department of Agriculture (USDA). 1992. Soil Survey of Onslow County, North Carolina. United States Department of Agriculture.

APPENDIX A
VEGETATION PHOTOGRAPHS
1. Vegetation Monitoring Photographs
2. Vegetation Problem Area Photographs

Appendix A

1. Vegetation Monitoring Photographs

Taken November 14, 2006



Photographs 1-8: Panoramic from east to south to west looking towards the Site.



Picture 9



Picture 10



Picture 11



Picture 12



Picture 13



Picture 14



Picture 15



Picture 16

Appendix A

2. Vegetation Problem Area Photographs Taken November 14, 2006




Picture 17: Cattails along edge
of marsh vegetation




Picture 18: Cattails along edge
of marsh vegetation, taken from
boardwalk

APPENDIX B
GROUNDWATER GAUGE GRAPHS


SC II 1 (2006 Gauge Data)



SC II 2 (2006 Gauge Data)



SC II 3 (2006 Gauge Data)



SC II 4 (2006 Gauge Data)

