# Thomas Creek Restoration Project Year 7 Monitoring/Closeout Report

Wake County, North Carolina

DMS Project ID Number – 96074, DEQ Contract No. 5549

Permits: SAW-2013-02009, DWR# 14-1328 Cape Fear River Basin: 03030004-020010



Project Info: Monitoring Year: 7 of 7

Year of Data Collection: 2022

Year of Completed Construction (including planting): 2016

Submission Date: February 2023

Submitted To: NCDEQ - Division of Mitigation Services

1625 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699

NC DEQ Contract ID No. 003992



February 10, 2023

Jeremiah Dow, Project Manager NCDEQ, Division of Mitigation Services 1652 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-1652

**Subject:** Response to DMS Comments for DRAFT Monitoring Year 7/Closeout Report Thomas Creek Restoration Project, Wake County DMS Project # 96074, DEQ Contract #5549, RFP# 16-005020

Mr. Dow:

Please find below our responses to the NC Division of Mitigation Services (DMS) review comments received January 24, 2023 in reference to the Thomas Creek Restoration Project –DRAFT Monitoring Year 7/Closeout Report. We have revised the Draft document in response to the referenced review comments as outlined below:

1) This project is subject to the 10 ft. height requirement performance standard at Year 7. Please include vegetation plot height data if available.

Response: Vegetation plot height data has been add to table 8 as requested.

2) Please check the date on the R2 bank scour photos. The left photos show a 2022 date, but it appears that the left and right photos are intended to show the scour before and after repair.

Response: Revisions have been made as requested.

3) Cross Section 6 appears as though the channel is slightly smaller than the AB channel, but has a BHR of 1.1 when it looks like it should be <1. Table 11a shows a BHR <1. Please correct the cross section or Table as applicable.

Response: Revision has been made as request.

Baker has provided one hardcopy and a pdf copy of the Final report, along with all the updated digital files (to be sent by secure ftp link). Please do not hesitate to contact me should you have any questions regarding our response submittal.

Sincerely,

Andrew Powers Project Manager

andrew Powers

## Thomas Creek Restoration Project Year 7 Monitoring/Closeout Report

Wake County, North Carolina

DMS Project ID Number – 96074, DEQ Contract No. 5549

Permits: SAW-2013-02009, DWR# 14-1328 Cape Fear River Basin: 03030004-020010

Report Prepared and Submitted by Michael Baker Engineering, Inc. NC Professional Engineering License # F-1084



### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1.0 EXE	CUT	IVE SU	MM	ARY
				and Channel Stability
	_	-		
				n
		_	_	al Stability Assessment
2.2 Vege	tation	Assessmen	t	
3.0 REFI	ERE	NCES	•••••	
				APPENDICES
Appendix	A	Project \	Vicini	ty Map and Background Tables
		Figure	1	Project Vicinity Map and Directions
		Figure	2	Restoration Summary Map
		Figure	3	Reference Stream Locations Map
		Figure	4	Monitoring Features Overview Map
		Table	1	Project Components and Mitigation Credits
		Table	2	Project Activity and Reporting History
		Table	3	Project Contacts
		Table	4	Project Attributes (Pre-Construction Conditions)
Appendix	В	Visual A	ssessn	nent Data
		Figure	5	Current Condition Plan View (CCPV) Maps
		Table	5	Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment
		Table	6	Vegetation Conditions Assessment
				Photo-Points
		_		ot Photographs
			•	hotographs
		Addition	ial Mo	onitoring Photographs
Appendix	C	Vegetati	on Pla	ot Data
		Table	7	CVS Density Per Plot
		Table	8	CVS Vegetation Plot Summary Information
		Table	9	Total Stem Counts for Each Species Arranged by Plot

#### **Appendix** Stream Survey Data D

Figure Year 7 Cross-Sections Figure 7 Pebble Count Plot Data Table 10 **Baseline Stream Summary** Cross-section Morphology and Hydraulic Monitoring Table 11a

Summary

Table Stream Reach Morphology Summary 11b

#### **Appendix** $\mathbf{E}$ Hydrologic Data

Figure Flow Gauge Graphs

Figure 9 Observed Rainfall Versus Historic Averages

Table Verification of Bankfull Events 12

Table 13 Flow Gauge Success

#### 1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Michael Baker Engineering, Inc. (Baker) restored 4,721 linear feet of perennial and intermittent stream and enhanced 3,948 linear feet of intermittent stream as documented in the As-built Baseline Report. Baker also planted approximately 14.4 acres of native riparian vegetation within the 22.7 acre recorded conservation easement areas along all or portions of the restored and enhanced reaches (Reaches R1, R2, R3, R4, R5, R6, R7, T1, and T2). The Thomas Creek Restoration Project (Site) is located in Wake County, North Carolina (Figure 1), approximately 1.5 miles southwest of the Community of New Hill. (Figure 1). The Site is located within the NC Division of Mitigation Services' (NCDMS) Targeted Local Watershed (TLW) 03030004-020010 (the Harris Lake Hydrologic Unit) of the Cape Fear River Basin and is located in what was formerly known as the NC Division of Water Resources (NCDWR) subbasin 03-06-07. The project involved the restoration and enhancement of a rural Piedmont stream system, which had been impaired due to past agricultural conversion and cattle grazing.

Based on the NCDMS 2009 Cape Fear River Basin Restoration Priority (RBRP) Plan, the Thomas Creek Restoration Project area is located in an existing targeted local watershed within the Cape Fear River Basin and is located within the Middle Cape Fear / Kenneth and Parker Creeks, Local Watershed Planning (LWP) area. The restoration strategy for the Cape Fear River Basin is to promote low impact development, stormwater management, restoration and buffer protection in urbanizing areas, and buffer preservation elsewhere.

The primary goal of the project was to improve ecologic functions through the restoration and enhancement of streams and buffers in a degraded, urbanizing area as described in the NCDMS 2009 Cape Fear RBRP. Detailed project goals are identified below:

- Create geomorphically stable conditions along the unnamed tributaries throughout the Site,
- Protect and improve water quality by reducing streambank erosion, and nutrient/sediment inputs,
- Restore stream and floodplain interaction by connecting historic flow paths and promoting natural flood processes,
- Restore and protect riparian buffer functions and corridor habitat in perpetuity by establishing a permanent conservation easement, and
- Improve aquatic and terrestrial habitat through improved substrate and in-stream cover, addition of woody debris, and reduction of water temperature.

To accomplish these goals, the following objectives were identified:

- Restore existing incised, eroding, and channelized streams by providing them access to their relic floodplains,
- Implement agricultural BMPs, including cattle watering stations, to reduce nonpoint source (NPS) inputs to receiving waters,
- Prevent cattle from accessing the conservation easement by installing permanent fencing and thus reduce excessive streambank erosion and undesired nutrient inputs,
- Enhance aquatic habitat value by providing more bedform diversity, creating natural scour pools and reducing sediment from accelerated streambank erosion,

- Plant native species riparian buffer vegetation along streambank and floodplain areas, protected by a
  permanent conservation easement, to increase stormwater runoff filtering capacity, improve
  streambank stability and riparian habitat connectivity, and shade the stream to decrease water
  temperature, and
- Control invasive species vegetation within much of the project area and, if necessary, continue treatments during the monitoring period.

The Year 7 visual inspection monitoring showed all stream reaches appear stable and functioning. All stream riffle beds are vertically stable, pools are maintaining depth, stream banks are stable and vegetating, and instream structures are physically intact and performing as designed as reported in Table 5 (Appendix B). No Stream Problem Areas (SPAs) were identified in Year 7. The two short sections of minor bank scour from Hurricane Florence that were reported, repaired, and replanted in Year 4 (2019) monitoring report appear fully stable with vegetation continuing to establish well (see photos in Appendix B).

The Year 7 visual inspection monitoring also observed that the planted acreage performance categories were functioning at 100 percent with no eroding or bare areas to report, nor any areas of high mortality or poor growth as reported in Table 6 (Appendix B). No Vegetation Problem Areas (VPAs) were identified in Year 7. Baker continued to spread soil amendments in June 2022 to the left floodplain on R3 to help herbaceous vegetation establish. Compared to previous monitoring years this area is improving and shows to be stable. This area can be found in the CCPV in Appendix B. Additionally, there were no significant areas of invasive species vegetation observed during the Year 7 monitoring. There were a few small, isolated pockets of cattail (*Typha latifolia*) found along sections of Reach R2. Also, small pockets of Privet (*Ligustrum japonicum*) on R2 and T1. Spot treatment was conducted in areas necessary. Four of the sixteen vegetation plots did not meet the average 10 foot height requirement by year 7. However, these areas met the stem density requirement but due to tough dry soils and shade in certain areas the trees didn't grow as fast as anticipated. The average heights can be found on Table 8 in Appendix C.

Year 7 flow monitoring demonstrated that both flow gauges (TMCK-FL1 and TMCK-FL2) met the stated success criteria of 30 days or more of consecutive flow through Reaches 2 and 5 respectively. Flow gauge TMCK-FL1 documented 271 days of consecutive flow in Reach 2, while flow gauge TMCK-FL2 documented 166 days of consecutive flow in Reach 5. The flow gauges demonstrated similar patterns relative to rainfall events and can corroborate reported bankfull events from the crest gauge, as shown in the flow gauge graphs in Appendix E.

As the observed monthly rainfall data for the project presented in Figure 9 in Appendix E demonstrates, the past 12 months have been dryer as compared to historic averages for Wake County. A total of 24.8 inches of rainfall was observed for the project using the nearest NC-CRONOS station, while Wake County averages 44.5 inches of annual rainfall. The bulk of this excess rainfall came over the winter of 2021-2022, while the summer and fall of 2022 was well below average monthly.

During Year 7 monitoring, the Reach R2 crest gauge (crest gauge #1) documented one post-construction bankfull event in January 2022, as confirmed by both in-stream flow gauges (see Appendix E). As bankfull events have now been documented in all seven years of monitoring, the project has exceeded the bankfull standard required for credit release.

In summation, the past seven monitoring years have demonstrated that the Thomas Creek Restoration Project has met the performance standards and success criteria for vegetation, stream flow, and channel stability. The vegetation plot data shows that over the seven years there has been overall consistent vegetation density, height, and vigor throughout the site. The only areas of concern noted during the monitoring phase were over a relatively small portion of the total project buffer and have been successfully ameliorated. The as-built stem density averages 728 stems/acre and after seven years the stem density averages 549 stems/acre. This meets the closeout success criteria and demonstrates that the site has established good vegetation within its riparian buffer. The stream flow gauges on R2 and R5 have demonstrated substantial seasonal flow throughout the monitoring phase. Finally, the cross-sections throughout the seven monitoring years show channel stability with little to erosion or aggradation, with all their final morphological parameters within an appropriate range. Additional photographs have been provided in Appendix B to show a comparison of a few historic and current photos of the site.

Summary information/data related to the Site and statistics related to performance of various project and monitoring elements can be found in the tables and figures in the report Appendices. Narrative background and supporting information formerly found in these reports can be found in the Baseline Monitoring Report and in the Mitigation Plan available on the DMS website. Any raw data supporting the tables and figures in the Appendices is available from DMS upon request.

This report documents the successful completion of the Year 7 monitoring activities for the post-construction monitoring period.

#### 2.0 METHODOLOGY

The seven-year monitoring plan for the Site includes criteria to evaluate the success of the stream and vegetation components of the Site. The methodology and report template used to evaluate these components adheres to the DMS guidance documents Monitoring Requirements and Performance Standards for Stream and/or Wetland Mitigation (DMS 2011), and to the Monitoring Report Template, Version 1.5 (DMS 2012).

The specific locations of monitoring features, such as vegetation plots, permanent cross-sections, reference photograph stations, crest gauges and flow gauges, are shown on the Current Condition Plan View (CCPV) map found in Appendix B.

All earthwork for project construction was completed in October of 2015, with subsequent as-built survey work completed in November of 2015. All site planting (bareroot stems and live-stakes) was completed in January of 2016. The Year 7 visual site assessment data contained in Appendix B were obtained throughout the year from field visits in March, July, September, and October 2022.

#### 2.1 Stream Assessment

The Project involved the restoration and enhancement of a rural Piedmont stream system that had been impaired due to past agricultural conversion and cattle grazing. Restoration practices involved raising the existing streambed and reconnecting the stream to the relic floodplain to restore natural flood regimes to the system. The existing channels abandoned within the restoration areas were partially to completely filled to decrease surface and subsurface drainage and to raise the local water table. Permanent cattle exclusion fencing was provided around all proposed reaches and riparian buffers, except along reaches where no cattle are located or cattle lack stream access.

#### 2.1.1 Morphological Parameters and Channel Stability

A longitudinal profile was surveyed for the entire length of channel immediately after construction to document as-built baseline conditions for the Monitoring Year 0 only. Annual longitudinal profiles

will not be conducted during subsequent monitoring years unless channel instability has been documented or remedial actions/repairs are required by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) or DMS.

Cross-section survey data were collected for this Monitoring Year 7 assessment. Consequently, all the cross-sectional survey graphs (Figure 6), morphology data (Tables 11a and 11b), and pebble count data (Figure 7) are presented in Appendix D as in previous monitoring reports.

#### 2.1.2 Hydrology

To monitor on-site bankfull events, one crest gauge (crest gauge #1) was installed along the downstream portion of Reach R2 at bankfull elevation along the left top of bank at approximately Station 38+90. During Year 7 monitoring, one above-bankfull event was documented in January 2022. Further details of the crest gauge readings are presented in Table 12 in Appendix E.

To monitor flow on restored reaches, two flow gauges were installed on site; TMCK-FL1 on Reach 2 (Station 20+75), and TMCK-FL2 on Reach 5 (Station 33+90). The Year 7 flow monitoring data demonstrated that both flow gauges met the stated success criteria of 30 days or more of consecutive flow.

#### 2.1.3 Photographic Documentation

Representative stream photographs for Monitoring Year 7 were taken along each Reach in March 2022 and are provided in Appendix B. Additional photographs were taken at other times during the year as noted in the photologs.

#### 2.1.4 Visual Stream Morphological Stability Assessment

The visual stream morphological stability assessment involves the qualitative evaluation of lateral and vertical channel stability, and the integrity and overall performance of in-stream structures throughout the Project reaches as a whole. Habitat parameters and pool depth maintenance are also evaluated. During Year 7 monitoring, Baker staff walked the entire length of each of the Project reaches several times throughout the year, noting geomorphic conditions of the stream bed profile (riffle/pool facets), both stream banks, and engineered in-stream structures. Representative photographs were taken per the Site's Mitigation Plan, and the locations of any SPAs were documented in the field for subsequent mapping on the CCPV figures. There were no SPAs noted during Year 7 monitoring. A more detailed summary of the results for the visual stream stability assessment can be found in Appendix B, which includes supporting data tables and figures, as well as the general stream photos.

### 2.2 Vegetation Assessment

In order to determine if the success criteria were achieved, vegetation-monitoring quadrants were installed and are monitored across the site in accordance with the CVS-DMS Protocol for Recording Vegetation, Version 4.1 (Lee 2007) using the CVS-DMS Data Entry Tool v. 2.3.1 (CVS 2012). The vegetation monitoring plots cover a minimum of 2 percent of the planted portion of the Site with sixteen plots established randomly within the planted riparian buffer areas per Monitoring Levels 1 and 2. The sizes of individual quadrants are 100 square meters for woody tree species.


Vegetation plot monitoring conducted for the Year 7 monitoring effort are included in Appendix C as in previous monitoring reports.


#### 3.0 REFERENCES


- Carolina Vegetation Survey (CVS) and NC Division of Mitigation Services (DMS). CVS-DMS Data Entry Tool v. 2.3.1. University of North Carolina, Raleigh, NC. 2012.
- Lee, M., Peet R., Roberts, S., Wentworth, T. 2007. CVS-DMS Protocol for Recording Vegetation, Version 4.1.
- North Carolina Division of Mitigation Services (DMS). 2012. NCDMS Monitoring Report Template, Version 1.5, June 8, 2012.
- North Carolina Division of Mitigation Services (DMS). 2011. NCDMS Monitoring Requirements and Performance Standards for Stream and/or Wetland Mitigation. November 7, 2011.
- North Carolina Division of Mitigation Services (DMS). 2009. Cape Fear River Basin Restoration Priorities.
- Rosgen, D.L. 1994. A Classification of Natural Rivers. Catena 22:169-199.
- Rosgen, D.L. 1996. Applied River Morphology. Wildlands Hydrology. Pagosa Springs, CO.


# **Appendix A**

**Project Vicinity Map and Background Tables** 









Thomas	Creek Restoration Proje	ct: DMS Project ID No. 96074								
			Miti	gation Cre	dits					
	Stream (SMUs)	Riparian Wetland		Non-	riparian Wetla	and	Buffer	Nitrogen Nutr	ient Offset	Phosphorus Nutrient Offse
Type	R, E1, EII									
Totals	5,706.733									
			Proje	ct Compor	nents					
Project Component or Reach ID		As-Built Stationing/ Location	Existing Footage/ Acreage (LF)		Approach		Restoration/ Restoration Equivalent (SMU) from Mitigation Plan*	Design Reach Length (LF) from Mitigation Plan**	As-Built Restoration Footage (LF)	Mitigation Ratio
Reach 1		42+01 to 44+99	3	97	Restora	ition	266.000	266	298	1:1
Reach 2 (de	ownstream)†	27+78 to 42+01	1,	238	Restoration	on (PI)	1,384.000	1,384	1,423	1:1
Reach 2 (up	ostream)†	20+55 to 27+58 (at CE Break)	7	57	Restoratio	on (PII)	703.000	703	703	1:1
Reach 3 (de	ownstream)	11+17 to 18+70 / CE Break / 18+94 to 20+55	9	37	Restora	ition	929.000	929	914	1:1
Reach 3 (u)	ostream)	10+00 to 11+17	1	30	Enhancen	nent II	26.000	130	117	5:1
Reach 4 (de	ownstream)	10+41 to 13+83	3	27	Restora	ition	361.000	361	342	1:1
Reach 4 (uj	ostream)	00+99 to 09+95	8	70	Enhancement II		87.000	870	896	10:1
Reach 5 (do	ownstream)	29+30 to 34+97 / CE Break / 35+17 to 39+91	8	83	Restoration		1,044.000	1,044	1,041	1:1
Reach 5 (up	ostream)	28+02 to 29+30		37	Enhancen	nent II	27.400	137	128	5:1
Reach 6 (de	ownstream)	12+10 to 15+55 / CE Break / 15+81 to 28+02		592	Enhancen	nent II	319.600	1,598	1,566	5:1
Reach 6 (up		10+00 to 12+10		10	Enhancer	ment I	140.000	210	210	1.5:1
	ownstream)	13+60 to 16+47		87	Enhancen		57.200	286	287	5:1
Reach 7 (u)	ostream)	10+00 to 13+60		60	Enhancen	nent II	144.000	360	360	2.5:1
Reach T1		10+00 to 10+55 / CE Break / 10+75 to 12+47		42	Enhancer	ment I	155.333	233	227	1.5:1
Reach T2		10+00 to 11+57		71	Enhancen	nent II	63.200	158	157	2.5:1
			Compo	nent Sumi	nation					
Restoratio	n Level	Stream (LF)	Ripa	rian Wetland	(AC)	Non-r	riparian Wetland (AC)	Buffer (	SF)	Upland (AC)
	Restoration	4,721								
	Enhancement I	437								
	Enhancement II	3,511								
			BN	IP Elemen	ts					
Element	Location	Purpose/Function		Notes						
BMP Elem	ents: BR= Bioretention Cell; SF	F= Sand Filter; SW= Stormwater Wetland; WDP= Wet Deten	tion Pond; DI	P= Dry Dete	ntion					

#### Notes:

- † Starting in MY2, Reach 2 was broken up into an upstream and downstream component based on restoration approach as per DMS request. None of the actual restored lengths have changed, although the credits for R2 (downstream) were adjusted as explained below.
- \* Starting in MY2, the SMU credit numbers used for these reaches were taken directly from the mitigation plan credit table (Table 5.1) as per DMS/IRT instruction, and vary from those presented in the baseline and MY1 monitoring reports. This was done because credits were originally calculated along the as-built thalweg but have been updated to be calculated along stream centerlines for MY2 onward after discussions with the IRT stemming from the April 3, 2017 Credit Release Meeting. Stationing and Restoration Footage numbers reported herein and on all subsequent monitoring reports will remain as reported from the as-built survey. AsReach R2 was not originally subdivided, the credits were reduced from the downstream section where the bulk of differences are expected to have occurred, though the total combined credits equal the original value for R2 as found in the approved mitigation plan.
- \*\* Starting in MY3, as per DMS/IRT instruction, this column was added to the table showing the design reach lengths taken from the mitigation plan (Table ES.1). Please note that some of the numbers presented here vary slightly from the mit plan as they originally did not remove non-creditable sections such as easement breaks for crossings from their calculations.

  The numbers presented here have those non-crediable sections removed.

	<del>- 1</del>						
Element Time Since Creding Completed in Oct 2015	6 Vea	rs, 1 Month					
Elapsed Time Since Grading Completed in Oct. 2015							
Elapsed Time Since Planting Completed in Jan. 2016	5 Years, 10 Months						
Number of Reporting Years <sup>1</sup>		6					
Activity or Deliverable	Data Collection Complete	Actual Completion of Delivery					
Mitigation Plan Prepared	N/A	Oct-14					
Mitigation Plan Amended	N/A	Mar-15					
Mitigation Plan Approved	N/A	Mar-15					
Final Design – (at least 90% complete)	N/A	Mar-15					
Construction Begins	N/A	Apr-15					
Temporary S&E mix applied to entire project area	N/A	Oct-15					
Permanent seed mix applied to entire project area	N/A	Oct-15					
Planting of live stakes	N/A	Jan-16					
Planting of bare root trees	N/A	Jan-16					
End of Construction	N/A	Oct-15					
Survey of As-built conditions (Year 0 Monitoring-baseline)	Nov-15	Nov-15					
Baseline Monitoring Report	Mor 16	Oct-16					
Year 1 Monitoring	Mar-16 Nov-16	Jan-17					
Stream structure and bank repairs made to Reach R1	Repairs made in July						
Year 2 Monitoring	Oct-17	Nov-17					
Livestakes re-planted along sections of lower Reach R2	Planted in January 20						
Year 3 Monitoring	Nov-18	Dec-18					
Bank scour repair on 3 sections of Reach R2	Repairs made in Marc						
Supplemental planting (1-gal.) on R3	Planted in March 2013						
Year 4 Monitoring	Oct-19	Jan-20					
Bank scour repair on 2 sections of Reach R2	January 2019						
Supplemental planting (bareroots) on Reach T1	Planted in January 20	19					
Cattail treated on sections of R2 and R4	Treated in March and						
Soil amendments on Reach R3	March and September						
Year 5 Monitoring	Oct-20	Jan-21					
Soil amendments on Reach R3	May and October 202						
Year 6 Monitoring	Oct-21	Dec-21 (Final)					
Soil amendments on Reach R3	April 2021						
Year 7 Monitoring	Sep-22	Dec-22					
Soil amendments on Reach R3	Jun-22	-					


The number of reports or data points produced excluding the baseline


Table 3. Project Contacts						
Thomas Creek Restoration Project:	DMS Project ID No. 95729					
Designer						
Michael Baker Engineering, Inc.	8000 Regency Parkway, Suite 600					
Michael Bakel Engineering, inc.	Cary, NC 27518					
	Contact:					
	Katie McKeithan, Telephone: 919-481-5703					
Construction Contractor						
KBS Earthworks	5616 Coble Church Rd					
KDS Earthworks	Julian, NC 27283					
	Contact:					
	Chris Sizemore, Telephone: 336-362-0289					
Planting Contractor						
KBS Earthworks	5616 Coble Church Rd					
KDS Earthworks	Julian, NC 27283					
	Contact:					
	Chris Sizemore, Telephone: 336-362-0289					
Seeding Contractor						
KBS Earthworks	5616 Coble Church Rd					
KDS Earthworks	Julian, NC 27283					
	Contact:					
	Chris Sizemore, Telephone: 336-362-0289					
Seed Mix Source	Green Resources, Telephone: 336-855-6363					
Nursery Stock Suppliers	Mellow Marsh Farm, Telephone: 919-742-1200					
	ArborGen, Telephone: 843-528-3204					
Monitoring Performers						
Michael Baker Engineering, Inc.	8000 Regency Parkway, Suite 600					
	Cary, NC 27518					
	Contact:					
Stream Monitoring Point of Contact	Drew Powers, Telephone 919-481-5732					
Vegetation Monitoring Point of Contact	Drew Powers, Telephone 919-481-5732					


Thomas Creek Restoration Project: DMS Project	t No. ID 96074								
		Project Infor	mation						
Project Name	Thomas Creek Restoration Pro	ject							
County	Wake								
Project Area (acres)	22.7								
Project Coordinates (latitude and longitude)	35.660521 N, -79.954475 W								
	Project W	atershed Sum	mary Inforr	nation					
Physiographic Province	Piedmont								
River Basin	Cape Fear								
JSGS Hydrologic Unit 8-digit and 14-digit	03030004 / 03030004020010								
NCDWR Sub-basin	03-06-07								
Project Drainage Area (acres)	246 (Reach R1 main stem at d	ownstream exte	ent)						
Project Drainage Area Percent Impervious	<1%		/						
CGIA / NCEEP Land Use Classification	2.01.01.01, 2.03.01, 2.99.01, 3	02 / Forest (66	6%) Agricult	ure (19%) Ir	nnervious Cover	(1%)			
COIA/ NCEEF Land Use Classification		ch Summary 1		uic (17/0) II	iipervious cover	(170)			
lawamatawa		Reach		Do	ach R3	Doogh D4	Doodh D5		
Parameters  oneth of Pooch (linear foot)	Reach R1 397	1,99			1,067	Reach R4	Reach R5 1,020		
Length of Reach (linear feet)	VII	VI			VII	342 VII	1,020 VII		
Valley Classification (Rosgen)		_							
Orainage Area (acres)	246	17		^	62	36	62		
ICDWR Stream Identification Score	37.5	38	)	- 2	25 / 37	31	31 / 34		
NCDWR Water Quality Classification		F (		C /	C				
Morphological Description	Вс	F (upstr			ipstream)/	Вс	Вс		
Rosgen stream type)	p :- :-	Gc (down			ownstream)	p ) = ) =	p :- :		
Evolutionary Trend	Bc→Gc→F	Bc→G			→Gc→F	Bc→Gc→F	Bc→Gc→F		
Underlying Mapped Soils	WoA	Wo			WoA	WoA	WoA		
Orainage Class	Poorly drained	Poorly o			ly drained	Poorly drained	Poorly drained		
Soil Hydric Status	Hydric	Hyd		Hydric		Hydric	Hydric		
Average Channel Slope (ft/ft)	0.0165	0.00				0.0102	0.0172		
FEMA Classification	N/A	N/A	N/A N/A			N/A			
Native Vegetation Community				Piedmont	t Small Stream				
Percent Composition of Exotic/Invasive Vegetation	<5%	259	%		<5%	<5%	<5%		
arameters	Reach R6	Reach	h R7	Re	ach T1	Reach T2			
Length of Reach (linear feet)	1,828	64	6		242	171			
Valley Classification (Rosgen)	VII	VI	Π		VII	VII			
Orainage Area (acres)	32	14	4	49		49		5	
ICDWR Stream Identification Score	25 / 30	23 /	35		23.75	20.75			
NCDWR Water Quality Classification					C				
Morphological Description	G5c (upstream)/	G5 (upst	tream)/		D5o	D.F.			
Rosgen stream type)	B5c (downstream)	B5c (dow	nstream)		B5c	B5c			
Evolutionary Trend	Bc→Gc→F	Bc→G	ic→F	Bc-	<b>→</b> Gc <b>→</b> F	Bc→Gc→F			
Inderlying Mapped Soils	WoA	Wo	οA	,	WoA	WoA			
Orainage Class	Poorly drained	Poorly d	drained	Poor	ly drained	Poorly drained			
oil Hydric Status	Hydric	Hyd	lric	ŀ	Hydric	Hydric			
Average Channel Slope (ft/ft)	0.015/0.025	0.02			0.02	0.041			
EMA Classification	N/A	N/A	A		N/A	N/A			
Native Vegetation Community		-		Piedmont	t Small Stream				
Percent Composition of Exotic/Invasive Vegetation	<5%	<59	%		<5%	<5%			
1		gulatory Cons				27-			
Regulation	- Inc	Applicable	Reso	lved	Supporting Do	cumentation			
Vaters of the United States – Section 404		Yes	Y			clusion (Appendix B)			
Vaters of the United States – Section 401		Yes	Y		- v	lusion (Appendix B)			
Endangered Species Act		No	N/			clusion (Appendix B)			
Historic Preservation Act		_	N/			clusion (Appendix B)			
Coastal Area Management Act (CAMA)		No No	N/						
					Categorical Exclusion (Appendix B)  Categorical Exclusion (Appendix B)				
FEMA Floodplain Compliance Essential Fisheries Habitat		No	Y	J.o		clusion (Appendix B)			


# Appendix B

**Visual Assessment Data** 









#### Table 5. Visual Steam Morphology Stability Assessment

Thomas Creek Restoration Project: DMS Project ID No. 96074

Reach ID: Reach 1

Assessed Length (LF):	298									
Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number Stable, Performing as Intended	Total Number per As-built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Veg.	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Veg.	Adjusted % for Stabilizing Woody Veg.
	1.Vertical Stability	Aggradation - Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly deflect flow laterally (not to include point bars)			0	0	100%			
		Degradation - Evidence of downcutting			0	0	100%			
	2. Riffle Condition	Texture Substrate - Riffle maintains coarser substrate	3	3			100%			
1. Bed		<ol> <li>Depth - Sufficent (Max Pool Depth/Mean Bkf Depth ≥ 1.5)</li> </ol>	3	3			100%			
	Length - Sufficent (>30% of centerline distance between tail of upstream riffle and head of downstream riffle)	3	3			100%				
	4. Thalweg Position	Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run)	3	3			100%			
	4. Thatweg Position	Thalweg centering at downstream of meander bend (Glide)	3	3			100%			
	1. Scoured/Eroding	Bank lacking vegetative cover due to active scour and erosion			0	0	100%	0	0	100%
2. Bank	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting is expected			0	0	100%	0	0	100%
2. Bank	3. Mass Wasting	Banks slumping, caving or collapse			0	0	100%	0	0	100%
				Totals	0	0	100%	0	0	100%
3. Engineering Structures	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs	3	3			100%			
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill	2	2			100%			
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath or around sills or arms	3	3			100%			
	3. Bank Position	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does not exceed 15%	3	3			100%			
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining - Max Pool Depth/Mean Bankfull Depth ratio ≥ 1.5. Rootwads/logs providing some cover at low flow	3	3			100%			

## Table 5. Visual Steam Morphology Stability Assessment Thomas Creek Restoration Project: DMS Project ID No. 96074

Reach ID: Reach 2	Oject. Divid i roject ib No. 3									
Assessed Length (LF):	2,126									
Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number Stable, Performing as Intended	Total Number per As-built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Veg.	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Veg.	Adjusted % for Stabilizing Woody Veg.
	1.Vertical Stability	Aggradation - Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly deflect flow laterally (not to include point bars)			0	0	100%			
		Degradation - Evidence of downcutting			0	0	100%			
	2. Riffle Condition	Texture Substrate - Riffle maintains coarser substrate	38	38			100%			
1. Bed		1. Depth - Sufficent (Max Pool Depth/Mean Bkf Depth ≥ 1.5)	41	41			100%			
	3. Meander Pool Condition	Length - Sufficent (>30% of centerline distance between tail of upstream riffle and head of downstream riffle)	41	41			100%			
	4 Thehman Basisian	Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run)	41	41			100%			
	4. Thalweg Position	Thalweg centering at downstream of meander bend (Glide)	41	41			100%			
	1. Scoured/Eroding	Bank lacking vegetative cover due to active scour and erosion			0	0	100%	0	0	100%
2. Bank	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting is expected			0	0	100%	0	0	100%
Z. Balik	3. Mass Wasting	Banks slumping, caving or collapse			0	0	100%	0	0	100%
				Totals	0	0	100%	0	0	100%
3. Engineering Structures	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs	27	27			100%			
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill	24	24			100%			
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath or around sills or arms	27	27			100%			
	3. Bank Position	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does not exceed 15%	27	27			100%			
		Pool forming structures maintaining - Max Pool Depth/Mean Bankfull Depth ratio ≥ 1.5. Rootwads/logs providing some cover at low flow	13	13			100%			

Table 5. Continued Visual Steam Morphology Stability Assessment Thomas Creek Restoration Project: DMS Project ID No. 96074 Reach ID: Reach 3 Assessed Length (LF): 1,031

teacii	ID.	Reacii	J	

Assessed Length (LF):	1,031	T	1							1
Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number Stable, Performing as Intended	Total Number per As-built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Veg.	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Veg.	Adjusted % for Stabilizing Woody Veg.
	1.Vertical Stability	Aggradation - Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly deflect flow laterally (not to include point bars)			0	0	100%			
		Degradation - Evidence of downcutting			0	0	100%			
1. Bed	2. Riffle Condition	Texture Substrate - Riffle maintains coarser substrate	16	16			100%			
i. Beu		1. Depth - Sufficent (Max Pool Depth/Mean Bkf Depth ≥ 1.5)	15	15			100%			
Meander Pool Condition     Halweg Position	Length - Sufficent (>30% of centerline distance between tail of upstream riffle and head of downstream riffle)	15	15			100%				
	4 Thalwag Rosition	Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run)	15	15			100%			
	4. Malweg Fosition	Thalweg centering at downstream of meander bend (Glide)	15	15			100%			
	1. Scoured/Eroding	Bank lacking vegetative cover due to active scour and erosion			0	0	100%	0	0	100%
	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting is expected			0	0	100%	0	0	100%
2. Bank	3. Mass Wasting	Banks slumping, caving or collapse			0	0	100%	0	0	100%
				Totals	0	0	100%	0	0	100%
3. Engineering Structures	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs	10	10			100%			
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill	2	2			100%			
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath or around sills or arms	10	10			100%			
	3. Bank Position	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does not exceed 15%	10	10			100%			
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining - Max Pool Depth/Mean Bankfull Depth ratio ≥ 1.5. Rootwads/logs providing some cover at low flow	7	7			100%			

Table 5.	Continued	Visual Steam Morphology Stability Assessment

Thomas Creek Restoration Project: DMS Project ID No. 96074

Reach ID: Reach 4										
Assessed Length (LF):	1,238									
Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number Stable, Performing as Intended	Total Number per As-built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Veg.	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Veg.	Adjusted % for Stabilizing Woody Veg.
		Aggradation - Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly deflect flow laterally (not to include point bars)			0	0	100%			
		Degradation - Evidence of downcutting			0	0	100%			
1. Bed	2. Riffle Condition	Texture Substrate - Riffle maintains coarser substrate	8	8			100%			
i. Beu		1. Depth - Sufficent (Max Pool Depth/Mean Bkf Depth ≥ 1.5)	8	8			100%			
		Length - Sufficent (>30% of centerline distance between tail of upstream riffle and head of downstream riffle)	8	8			100%			
	4. Thalweg Position	Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run)	8	8			100%			
		Thalweg centering at downstream of meander bend (Glide)	8	8			100%			
	1. Scoured/Eroding	Bank lacking vegetative cover due to active scour and erosion			0	0	100%	0	0	100%
2. Bank	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting is expected			0	0	100%	0	0	100%
Z. Balik	3. Mass Wasting	Banks slumping, caving or collapse			0	0	100%	0	0	100%
				Totals	0	0	100%	0	0	100%
3. Engineering Structures	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs	4	4			100%			
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill	1	1			100%			
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath or around sills or arms	4	4			100%			
	3. Bank Position	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does not exceed 15%	4	4			100%			
		Pool forming structures maintaining - Max Pool Depth/Mean Bankfull Depth ratio ≥ 1.5. Rootwads/logs providing some cover at low flow	3	3			100%			

Table 5. Continued Visual Steam Morphology Stability Assessment Thomas Creek Restoration Project: DMS Project ID No. 96074

Reach	ID:	R	eac	h	5	

Assessed Length (LF):	1,169									
Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number Stable, Performing as Intended	Total Number per As-built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Veg.	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Veg.	Adjusted % for Stabilizing Woody Veg.
	1.Vertical Stability	Aggradation - Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly deflect flow laterally (not to include point bars)			0	0	100%			
		Degradation - Evidence of downcutting			0	0	100%			
1. Bed	2. Riffle Condition	Texture Substrate - Riffle maintains coarser substrate	17	17			100%			
1. Bed		<ol> <li>Depth - Sufficent (Max Pool Depth/Mean Bkf Depth ≥ 1.5)</li> </ol>	18	18			100%			
	3. Meander Pool Condition	Length - Sufficent (>30% of centerline distance between tail of upstream riffle and head of downstream riffle)	18	18			100%			
	4. Thalweg Position	Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run)	18	18			100%			
	4. Thatweg i osition	Thalweg centering at downstream of meander bend (Glide)	18	18			100%			
	1. Scoured/Eroding	Bank lacking vegetative cover due to active scour and erosion			0	0	100%	0	0	100%
2. Bank	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting is expected			0	0	100%	0	0	100%
Z. Balik	3. Mass Wasting	Banks slumping, caving or collapse			0	0	100%	0	0	100%
				Totals	0	0	100%	0	0	100%
3. Engineering Structures	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs	16	16			100%			
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill	1	1			100%			
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath or around sills or arms	16	16			100%			
	3. Bank Position	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does not exceed 15%	16	16			100%			
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining - Max Pool Depth/Mean Bankfull Depth ratio ≥ 1.5. Rootwads/logs providing some cover at low flow	15	15			100%			

Table 5. Continued Visual Steam Morphology Stability Assessment Thomas Creek Restoration Project: DMS Project ID No. 96074

each	ID:	Reac	h 6

Reach ID: Reach 6	•										
Assessed Length (LF): 1,776											
Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number Stable, Performing as Intended	Total Number per As-built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Veg.	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Veg.	Adjusted % for Stabilizing Woody Veg.	
	1.Vertical Stability	Aggradation - Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly deflect flow laterally (not to include point bars)			0	0	100%				
		Degradation - Evidence of downcutting			0	0	100%				
	2. Riffle Condition	Texture Substrate - Riffle maintains coarser substrate	6	6			100%				
1. Bed		1. Depth - Sufficent (Max Pool Depth/Mean Bkf Depth ≥ 1.5)	5	5			100%				
	3. Meander Pool Condition	Length - Sufficent (>30% of centerline distance between tail of upstream riffle and head of downstream riffle)	5	5			100%				
	4. Thalweg Position	Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run)	5	5			100%				
	4. Illaiweg Fosition	Thalweg centering at downstream of meander bend (Glide)	5	5			100%				
	1. Scoured/Eroding	Bank lacking vegetative cover due to active scour and erosion			0	0	100%	0	0	100%	
2. Bank	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting is expected			0	0	100%	0	0	100%	
Z. Balik	3. Mass Wasting	Banks slumping, caving or collapse			0	0	100%	0	0	100%	
				Totals	0	0	100%	0	0	100%	
	·										
3. Engineering Structures	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs	0	0			-				
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill	0	0			-				
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath or around sills or arms	0	0			-				
	3. Bank Position	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does not exceed 15%	0	0			-				
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining - Max Pool Depth/Mean Bankfull Depth ratio ≥ 1.5. Rootwads/logs providing some cover at low flow	0	0			-				

Table 5. Continued Visual Steam Morphology Stability Assessment
Thomas Creek Restoration Project: DMS Project ID No. 96074
Reach ID: Reach 7
Assessed Longth (LE): 647

Assessed Length (LF): 647											
Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number Stable, Performing as Intended	Total Number per As-built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Veg.	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Veg.	Adjusted % for Stabilizing Woody Veg.	
	1.Vertical Stability	Aggradation - Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly deflect flow laterally (not to include point bars)			0	0	100%				
		Degradation - Evidence of downcutting			0	0	100%				
	2. Riffle Condition	Texture Substrate - Riffle maintains coarser substrate	5	5			100%				
1. Bed		1. Depth - Sufficent (Max Pool Depth/Mean Bkf Depth ≥ 1.5)	6	6			100%				
	3. Meander Pool Condition	Length - Sufficent (>30% of centerline distance between tail of upstream riffle and head of downstream riffle)	6	6			100%				
	4. Thalweg Position	Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run)	6	6			100%				
	4. Thankey i osition	Thalweg centering at downstream of meander bend (Glide)	6	6			100%				
	1. Scoured/Eroding	Bank lacking vegetative cover due to active scour and erosion			0	0	100%	0	0	100%	
2. Bank	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting is expected			0	0	100%	0	0	100%	
Z. Balik	3. Mass Wasting	Banks slumping, caving or collapse			0	0	100%	0	0	100%	
				Totals	0	0	100%	0	0	100%	
3. Engineering Structures	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs	2	2			100%				
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill	2	2			100%				
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath or around sills or arms	2	2			100%				
	3. Bank Position	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does not exceed 15%	2	2			100%				
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining - Max Pool Depth/Mean Bankfull Depth ratio ≥ 1.5. Rootwads/logs providing some cover at low flow	2	2			100%				

Table 5. Co	ontinued	Visual Stea	m Morpho	logy Stabili	ty Assessment
Thomas Co	reek Rest	oration Proj	ject: DMS I	Project ID N	lo. 96074

Thomas Creek Restoration Project: DMS Project ID No. 96074													
Reach ID: Reach T1													
Assessed Length (LF):													
Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number Stable, Performing as Intended	Total Number per As-built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Veg.	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Veg.	Adjusted % for Stabilizing Woody Veg.			
	1.Vertical Stability	Aggradation - Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly deflect flow laterally (not to include point bars)			0	0	100%						
	1. Vertical Glabinty	2. Degradation - Evidence of downcutting			0	0	100%						
1. Bed	2. Riffle Condition	Texture Substrate - Riffle maintains coarser substrate	4	4			100%						
i. beu		1. Depth - Sufficent (Max Pool Depth/Mean Bkf Depth ≥ 1.5)	5	5			100%						
	3. Meander Pool Condition	head of downstream riffle)	5	5			100%						
	4. Thalweg Position	Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run)	5	5			100%						
	4. Thatweg r osition	Thalweg centering at downstream of meander bend (Glide)	5	5			100%						
	1. Scoured/Eroding	Bank lacking vegetative cover due to active scour and erosion			0	0	100%	0	0	100%			
	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting is expected			0	0	100%	0	0	100%			
2. Bank	3. Mass Wasting	Banks slumping, caving or collapse			0	0	100%	0	0	100%			
				Totals	0	0	100%	0	0	100%			
3. Engineering Structures	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs	1	1			100%						
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill	1	1			100%						
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath or around sills or arms	1	1			100%						
	3. Bank Position	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does not exceed 15%	1	1			100%						
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining - Max Pool Depth/Mean Bankfull Depth ratio ≥ 1.5. Rootwads/logs providing some cover at low flow	1	1			100%						

Table 5. Continued Visual Steam Morphology Stability Assessment
Thomas Creek Restoration Project: DMS Project ID No. 96074
Reach ID: Reach T2
Assessed Length (LF): 157

Assessed Length (LF):	ength (LF): 157											
Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number Stable, Performing as Intended	Total Number per As-built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Veg.	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Veg.	Adjusted % for Stabilizing Woody Veg.		
	1.Vertical Stability	Aggradation - Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly deflect flow laterally (not to include point bars)			0	0	100%					
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Degradation - Evidence of downcutting			0	0	100%					
	2. Riffle Condition	Texture Substrate - Riffle maintains coarser substrate	3	3			100%					
1. Bed	3. Meander Pool Condition	1. Depth - Sufficent (Max Pool Depth/Mean Bkf Depth ≥ 1.5)	2	2			100%					
	3. Meanuer Pool Condition	Length - Sufficent (>30% of centerline distance between tail of upstream riffle and head of downstream riffle)	2	2			100%					
	4. Thalweg Position	Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run)	2	2			100%					
	manneg i comen	Thalweg centering at downstream of meander bend (Glide)	2	2			100%					
	T. 2 ./2 ./											
	1. Scoured/Eroding	Bank lacking vegetative cover due to active scour and erosion			0	0	100%	0	0	100%		
2. Bank	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting is expected			0	0	100%	0	0	100%		
	3. Mass Wasting	Banks slumping, caving or collapse			0	0	100%	0	0	100%		
				Totals	0	0	100%	0	0	100%		
3. Engineering Structures	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs	1 1	1 1			100%					
or Engineering Structures	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill	1	1			100%					
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath or around sills or arms	1	1			100%					
	3. Bank Position	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does not exceed 15%	i	<del> </del>			100%					
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining - Max Pool Depth/Mean Bankfull Depth ratio ≥ 1.5. Rootwads/logs providing some cover at low flow	1	1			100%					

able 6. Vegetation Conditions Assessment												
Thomas Creek Restoration Project: DMS Project ID No. 96074												
Planted Acreage: 14.4												
Vegetation Category	Defintions	Mapping Threshold (acres)	CCPV Depiction	Number of Polygons	Combined Acreage	% of Planted Acreage						
1. Bare Areas	Very limited cover both woody and herbaceous material.	0.1	N/A	0	0.00	0.0%						
2. Low Stem Density Areas	Woody stem densities clearly below target levels based on MY3, 4, or 5 stem count criteria.	0.1	N/A	0	0.00	0.0%						
			Total	0	0.00	0.0%						
3. Areas of Poor Growth Rates or Vigor	Areas with woody stems or a size class that are obviously small given the monitoring year.	0.25	N/A	0	0.00	0.0%						
			Cumulative Total	0	0.00	0.0%						
Easement Acreage: 22.7												
Vegetation Category	Defintions	Mapping Threshold	CCPV Depiction	Number of Polygons	Combined Acreage	% of Planted Acreage						
4. Invasive Areas of Concern	Areas or points (if too small to render as polygons at map scale)	1000 ft <sup>2</sup>	N/A	0	0.00	0.0%						
5. Easement Encroachment Areas	Areas or points (if too small to render as polygons at map scale)	none	N/A	0	0.00	0.0%						



PP-1: Reach 3, view upstream, Station 11+50



PP-2: Reach 3, view downstream, Station 12+00



PP-3: Reach 3, view upstream, Station 15+75



PP-4: Reach 3, view downstream, Station 16+25



PP-5: Reach 3, view downstream towards pipe crossing, Station 18+50



PP-6: Reach 3, stream crossing, Station 18+80



PP-7: Reach 3, Station 19+00



PP-8: Reach 4, view downstream at Station 01+90



PP-9: Reach 4, view downstream at Station 05+75



PP-10: Reach 4, view downstream at Station 06+10



PP-11: Reach 4, view upstream at Station 10+10



PP-12: Reach 4, view upstream at Station 10+50



PP-13: Reach 4, view upstream at Station 11+75



PP-14: Reach 4, view downstream at Station 12+25



PP-15: Reach 4, view upstream at Station 13+00



PP-16: Reach 2, view upstream at Station 20+60



PP-17: Reach 2, Flow Gauge #1 at Station 20+75



PP-18: Reach 2, view of stabilized drainage on left bank at Station 20+80



PP-19: Reach 2, view upstream at Station 22+00



PP-20: Reach 2, view upstream at Station 23+00



PP-21: Reach 2, view upstream at Station 25+25



PP-22: Reach 2, view downstream at Station 25+50



PP-23: Reach 2, view of crossing at Station 27+75



PP-24: Reach 2, view downstream at Station 30+20



PP-25: Reach T1, view downstream at Station 11+75



PP-26: Reach 2, view of drainage on left bank at Station 32+90



PP-27: Reach 2, view downstream at Station 33+25



PP-28: Reach 2, view downstream at Station 34+30



PP-29: Reach 2, view downstream at Station 36+90



PP-30: Reach 2, view upstream at Station 38+25



PP-31: Reach 2, Crest Gauge at Station 38+90



PP-32: Reach 2, view downstream at Station 39+40



PP-33: Reach 2, view upstream at Station 41+50



PP-34: Reach 1, view upstream at Station 42+75



PP-35: Reach 1, view downstream at Station 43+25



PP-36: Reach 1, view of drainage on left bank at Station 44+00



PP-37: Reach 6, view upstream at Station 10+75



PP-38: Reach 6, view upstream at Station 11+50



PP-39: Reach 6, view upstream at Station 15+25



PP-40: Reach 6, view upstream at Station 18+90



PP-41: Reach 6, view upstream at Station 25+50



PP-42: Reach 7, view upstream at Station 10+40



PP-43: Reach 7, view of stabilized drainage at Station 13+50



PP-44: Reach 7, view upstream at Station 15+00



PP-45: Reach 5, view upstream at Station 30+25



PP-46: Reach 5, view downstream at Station 30+75



PP-47: Reach 5, view downstream at Station 31+40



PP-48: Reach 5, view downstream at Station 32+50



PP-49: Reach 5, view upstream at Station 33+10



PP-50: Reach 5, view downstream at Station 33+75



PP-51: Reach 5, Flow Gauge #2 at Station 33+90



PP-52: Reach 5, view of crossing at Station 35+00 (note: no cattle in upper field so gate is OK to have open)



PP-53: Reach 5, view upstream at Station 36+40 (6/17/2022)



PP-54: Reach 5, view upstream at Station 36+75



PP-55: Reach 5, view downstream at Station 37+30



PP-56: Reach 5, view upstream at Station 38+50 (6/17/2022)



PP-57: Reach 5, view upstream at Station 39+90 (the confluence of R5 and R2)



PP-58: Reach T2, view upstream at Station 10+80

# **Thomas Creek: MY7 Vegetation Plot Photographs**



Vegetation Plot 5 – October 2022

Vegetation Plot 6 – October 2022

# **Thomas Creek: MY7 Vegetation Plot Photographs**



Vegetation Plot 11 – October 2022

Vegetation Plot 12 – October 2022

# Thomas Creek: MY7 Vegetation Plot Photographs



Vegetation Plot 13 – October 2022



Vegetation Plot 14 – October 2022



Vegetation Plot 15 – October 2022



Vegetation Plot 16 – October 2022

# Thomas Creek: MY7 Crest Gauge Photographs



Crest Gauge on Reach R2 at Station 38+90 (photo from 10/19/22)



Overbank event of 0.67 ft on 1/3/22 (photo from 3/25/22)



Overbank event of 0.67 ft on 1/3/22 (photo from 3/25/22)

# Thomas Creek: MY7 Additional Monitoring Photographs



Flow Gauge #1 on Reach R2 (photo: 10/19/22)



Flow Gauge #1 on Reach R2 (photo: 5/18/16)



Flow Gauge #2 on Reach R5 (photo: 10/19/22)



Flow Gauge #2 on Reach R5 (photo: 5/18/16)



Crest Gauge on Reach R2 (photo: 10/19/22)



Crest Gauge on Reach R2 (photo: 5/18/16)

# Thomas Creek: MY7 Additional Monitoring Photographs



R2 bank scour MY3 (photo: 6/13/18)



1st previously repaired bank MY7 (photo: 3/25/22)



R2 bank scour MY3 (photo: 6/13/18)



2<sup>nd</sup> previously repaired bank MY7 (photo: 3/25/22)



R3 soil amendments (photo: 10/19/2022)



R3 soil amendments (photo: 10/19/2022)

# Thomas Creek: MY7 Additional Monitoring Photographs



Flow on upper R6, upstream (photo: 3/25/2022)



Flow on upper R6, upstream (photo: 3/25/2022)



Flow on upper R6, upstream (photo: 3/25/2022)



Flow on upper R6, upstream (photo: 3/25/2022)



R2 station 37+50 MY7 photo of riffle (photo: 3/25/22)



R2 station 37+50 As-built photo of riffle (photo: 4/28/16)

# **Appendix C**

**Vegetation Plot Data** 

															Cı	urrent	Plot D	ata (M	IY7 20:	22)												
		1	960	74-01-0	0001	960	74-01-0	0002	960	74-01-0	0003	960	74-01-0	0004		74-01-0			74-01-0		960	74-01-0	0007	960	74-01-	-0008	9607	74-01-0	0009	960	74-01-	001
Scientific Name	Common Name	Species Type	Р	٧	Т	Р	V	Т	Р	ν	Т	Р	٧	Т	Р	٧	T	Р	٧	T	Р	V	T	Р	٧	Т	Р	٧	Т	Р	٧	Ī
Acer rubrum	red maple	Tree														3	3										1					Ш
Asimina triloba	pawpaw	Tree																			1		1			,						П
Baccharis	baccharis	Shrub																									-					
Baccharis halimifolia	eastern baccharis	Shrub																								,						
Betula nigra	river birch	Tree	1		1	1		1	1	1	2				1		1	2		2	1		1	1		1	2		2	3		Ī
Carpinus caroliniana	American hornbeam	Tree	4		4																4		4				-			4		Ī
Carya glabra	pignut hickory	Tree		1	1					1	1		1	1													$\neg$					T
Cornus amomum	silky dogwood	Shrub														1	1										$\neg$					T
Diospyros virginiana	common persimmon	Tree	4		4	1		1	2		2	2		2				1		1		1	1	1		1	1	2	3	2		T
Euonymus americanus																											$\Box$					T
Fraxinus pennsylvanica	green ash	Tree				1		1				1	1	2	1		1				3		3	1		1	2	1	3			T
Hamamelis virginiana	American witchhazel	Tree																									$\Box$					T
llex opaca	American holly	Tree																									$\Box$				1	
Juniperus virginiana	eastern redcedar	Tree											2	2											3	3	-				5	5
Liquidambar styraciflua	sweetgum	Tree		1	1		1	1		1	1		1	1		4	4		3	3		2	2		5	5	-				8	3
iriodendron tulipifera	tuliptree	Tree										1		1	2	1	3							2		2	$\neg$					T
Myrica gale	sweetgale	Shrub																									$\neg$					T
Nyssa sylvatica	blackgum	Tree																									-			$\vdash$		t
Pinus taeda	loblolly pine	Tree											1	1		5	5		1	1					3	3	$\neg$					t
Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	Tree	1		1							2		2	1		1	4		4	2	1	3	3	_	3	1		1	2		t
Prunus serotina	black cherry	Tree																									$\neg$					T
Quercus	oak	Tree																									-			$\vdash$		t
Quercus alba	white oak	Tree				1		1					2	2		1	1										-			$\vdash$		t
Quercus lyrata	overcup oak	Tree				_																				$\vdash$	-			-	<b>—</b>	十
Quercus michauxii	swamp chestnut oak	Tree	1		1	2		2													2		2	1		1	3		3	2	<b>—</b>	+
Quercus nigra	water oak	Tree																						_		HÌ	Ť			m		+
Quercus pagoda	cherrybark oak	Tree				1		1	1		1	1		1	3		3									$\vdash$	2		2	4		+
Quercus palustris	pin oak	Tree				-			-			-	1	1								-				$\vdash \vdash \vdash$	ا آ		H	H	_	╁
Quercus phellos	willow oak	Tree													$\vdash$											$\vdash$	-	1	1	-	$\vdash$	+
Quercus rubra	northern red oak	Tree																								$\vdash \vdash \vdash$	-		_	$\overline{}$	$\vdash$	+
Rhus copallinum	flameleaf sumac	shrub													$\vdash$											$\vdash$	-			-	$\vdash$	+
Sambucus canadensis	Common Elderberry	Shrub								2	2																-			$\vdash$		+
Sambucus nigra	European black elderberry														$\vdash$											$\vdash$	-			-	$\vdash$	+
Sassafras albidum	sassafras	Tree								$\vdash$																$\vdash \vdash$	$\overline{}$		$\vdash$	-	$\vdash$	+
Ulmus americana	American elm	Tree					-	1		$\vdash$																$\vdash \vdash$	$\overline{}$		$\vdash$	-	$\vdash$	+
Vaccinium corymbosum	highbush blueberry	Shrub														1	1									+-	$\vdash$				$\vdash$	+
Viburnum dentatum	southern arrowwood	Shrub				1		1	5		5	1		1	2	1	2	2	1	2	Λ		1			+-	3		2	2	$\vdash$	+
noamani aciitatani	300thern arrowwood	Stem count	11	2	13	8	1	10	9	5	14	8	9	17	10	16	26	9	5	14	17	4	21	9	11	20	14	4	18	20	14	3
			11	1	15	ð	1	10	9	1	14	ŏ	1	1/	10	16	20	9	1	14	1/	1	21	9	11	20	14	1	19	20	14	3
		size (ares)		0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02		<del></del>	0.02	
		size (ACRES)	-	_	7	-	_	0	_		7	_	_	12	_	7	12			_	-		0	_	_	_	-					1
		Species count	5	2 80.9	7 526	7 324	1 40.5	9 405	4 364	4 202	7 567	6 324	7 364	12 688	6		12 1052	4	3	6	7 688	3	9	6	3	9	7	3 162	8 728	7 809	3 567	

Color for Density

Exceeds requirements by 10%

Exceeds requirements, but by less than 10%

Fails to meet requirements, by less than 10%

Fails to meet requirements by more than 10% Includes volunteer stems

	-								urrent	Plot Da	ta (MY	7 202	2) Cont	innec	1												An	nual M	Ieans						
			960	74-01-	.0011	960	74-01-			4-01-00			1-01-001			4-01-0	015	960	74-01-0	016	M	Y7 (20:	221	N/	1Y5 (20	120)		1Y3 (20		M	Y2 (20	17)	M	Y1 (201	16)
Scientific Name	Common Name	Species Type		V	T	P	V	T	P			P		T	P	V .	T	P	V V	T	P	V	т	P		т	P	V	т	P	V V	т	P	V	т
Acer rubrum	red maple	Tree								3	3		1	1								7	7		4	1 4									
Asimina triloba	pawpaw	Tree	1		1				3		3	t		_							5		5	5		5		3 1	1 4	3		3	5		
Baccharis	baccharis	Shrub					1	1					3	3		5	5		5	5		14	14											-	
Baccharis halimifolia	eastern baccharis	Shrub																							2	2 2									
Betula nigra	river birch	Tree	1		1	2		2	2		2	1		1	1		1	2		2	22	1	23	22	2	24	2.5	5	25	26		26	38	-	38
Carpinus caroliniana	American hornbeam	Tree	2		2	2		2				5		5	6		6	5		5	32		32	31		31	32		2 34	32	1	33	34		34
Carya glabra	pignut hickory	Tree								1	1											4	4		3	3 3			5 5		4	4			
Cornus amomum	silky dogwood	Shrub										t										1	1												
Diospyros virginiana	common persimmon	Tree	1		1	2		2				2		2				1		1	20	3	23	22	5	27	24	1 2	2 26	25	3	28	31		3:
Euonymus americanus			T	1	1	t			t t			-		_											1	1		1			Ť				
Fraxinus pennsylvanica	green ash	Tree	3		3							1		1	2		2	1		1	16	2	18	16	3	3 19	15	5	15	15		15	16		10
Hamamelis virginiana	American witchhazel	Tree	T	1	t	l			1	-		t		_											1	1		1							一
llex opaca	American holly	Tree	t	1	1	l			t t			-		_								1	1		4	1 4		1	1						$\overline{}$
Juniperus virginiana	eastern redcedar	Tree					2	) 2		1	1			_		3	3					16	16		16	16									$\overline{}$
Liquidambar styraciflua	sweetgum	Tree		1	1					5	5		2	2		4	4		5	5		43	43		19			1						-	_
Liriodendron tulipifera	tuliptree	Tree				3		3	2		2			_				2		2	12		13	12		3 15	14	1 5	5 19	18	4	22	28		28
Myrica gale	sweetgale	Shrub									7														1	1 1								_	
Nyssa sylvatica	blackgum	Tree		1	1		1	1						_								2	2		1	1 1					1	1			$\overline{}$
Pinus taeda	loblolly pine	Tree											3	3		3	3					16	16		7	7 7								_	
Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	Tree	2		2	5		5	5		5	5		5	1		1				34		35	36	1	L 37	39	9 1	1 40	38	1	39	40		41
Prunus serotina	black cherry	Tree																							2	2 2				-				_	
Quercus	oak	Tree										t																1	1 1						
Quercus alba	white oak	Tree													1		1				2	3	5	2	3	3 5								_	
Quercus lyrata	overcup oak	Tree										t													3	3 3									
Quercus michauxii	swamp chestnut oak	Tree				2		2				2		2				3		3	18		18	19	2	2 21	20	) 1	1 21	21	1	22	23	_	2:
Quercus nigra	water oak	Tree										t																	1 4						
Quercus pagoda	cherrybark oak	Tree	1		1	3		3	2		2							1		1	19		19	20	6	26	2	2	22	22		22	27	_	2
Quercus palustris	pin oak	Tree										t										1	1												
Quercus phellos	willow oak	Tree									_		1	1	1		1				1	2	3	1	2	) 3			3 3		3	3		-	_
Quercus rubra	northern red oak	Tree																					_								4	4		_	
Rhus copallinum	flameleaf sumac	shrub									_			_					1	1		1	1		1	1		1			3	3		-	_
Sambucus canadensis	Common Elderberry	Shrub									_			_								2	2					1			_	_		-	_
Sambucus nigra	European black elderberry	Shrub	t	t						<del>-  </del>	$\dashv$			-t								<u> </u>			1	1		1							
Sassafras albidum	sassafras	Tree	t	1	1	l			1	-		t		_														1	1 1						$\overline{}$
Ulmus americana	American elm	Tree	t	t						<del>-  </del>	$\dashv$			-t								1	1												
Vaccinium corymbosum	highbush blueberry	Shrub	t	1	1	l			1	-		t		_								1	1			1	l	1	1						
Viburnum dentatum	southern arrowwood	Shrub	8	2	10				1	-		t		_	4		4	3		3	36	3	39	36	1	37	42	2	42	44	1	45	46		4
		Stem count	Ť	4	23	19	4	23	14	10	24	16	10 2	26	16	15	31	18	11	29	217	126	343	222	_	316	236	_		244	26		288	0	288
		size (ares)		1			1			1	T		1			1			1			16	•		16			16			16			16	
		size (ACRES)		0.02		Ī	0.02			0.02	T		0.02	寸		0.02			0.02			0.40			0.40	)	Ī	0.40			0.40			0.40	
		Species count	8	3	10	7	3	10	5	4	9	6	5 :	11	7	4	11	8	3	11	12	22	26	12	25	27	10	11	15	10	11	15	10		10
	Si	tems per ACRE	769	162	931	769	162	931	567	405	771	647	405 10	OE 2	647	607	1255	720	445		E40		868	E62	238	799.3	E07	CE O	663	617	65.8	683	720 4	0	728

Color for Density

Exceeds requirements by 10%

Exceeds requirements, but by less than 10%

Fails to meet requirements, by less than 10%

Fails to meet requirements by more than 10%

Includes volunteer stems

Table 8. CVS Vegetation Plot Summary Information

Thomas Creek Restoration Project: DMS Project ID No. 96074

#### Thomas Creek Restoration Project: DMS Project ID No. 96074

Year 7 (October 2022)

Vegetation Plot Summary Information

Plot #         Buffer Stems¹         Stems²         Stakes         Invasives         Volunteers³         Total⁴         Height (ff           1         n/a         11         0         0         2         13         12           2         n/a         8         0         0         2         10         10           3         n/a         9         0         0         5         14         8           4         n/a         8         0         0         9         17         5.5           5         n/a         10         0         0         16         26         6           6         n/a         9         0         0         5         14         14           7         n/a         17         0         0         5         14         14           9         n/a         17         0         0         4         21         22           8         n/a         9         0         0         11         20         11           9         n/a         14         0         0         4         18         14           10         n/a		Riparian	Stream/ Wetland	Live	many miorinana			Average
2         n/a         8         0         0         2         10         10           3         n/a         9         0         0         5         14         8           4         n/a         8         0         0         9         17         5.5           5         n/a         10         0         0         16         26         6           6         n/a         9         0         0         5         14         14           7         n/a         17         0         0         4         21         22           8         n/a         9         0         0         11         20         11           9         n/a         14         0         0         4         18         14           10         n/a         20         0         0         14         34         12           11         n/a         19         0         0         4         23         18           12         n/a         19         0         0         4         23         18           12         n/a         19         0         0	Plot #	Buffer Stems <sup>1</sup>	Stems <sup>2</sup>	Stakes	Invasives	Volunteers <sup>3</sup>	Total <sup>4</sup>	Height (ft)
3         n/a         9         0         0         5         14         8           4         n/a         8         0         0         9         17         5.5           5         n/a         10         0         0         16         26         6           6         n/a         9         0         0         5         14         14           7         n/a         17         0         0         4         21         22           8         n/a         9         0         0         11         20         11           9         n/a         14         0         0         4         18         14           10         n/a         20         0         0         14         34         12           11         n/a         19         0         0         4         23         18           12         n/a         19         0         0         4         23         15           13         n/a         14         0         0         10         24         13           14         n/a         16         0         0 <th>1</th> <th>n/a</th> <th>11</th> <th>0</th> <th>0</th> <th>2</th> <th>13</th> <th>12</th>	1	n/a	11	0	0	2	13	12
4         n/a         8         0         0         9         17         5.5           5         n/a         10         0         0         16         26         6           6         n/a         9         0         0         5         14         14           7         n/a         17         0         0         4         21         22           8         n/a         9         0         0         11         20         11           9         n/a         14         0         0         4         18         14           10         n/a         20         0         0         14         34         12           11         n/a         19         0         0         4         23         18           12         n/a         19         0         0         4         23         15           13         n/a         14         0         0         10         24         13           14         n/a         16         0         0         10         26         6	2	n/a	8	0	0	2	10	10
5         n/a         10         0         0         16         26         6           6         n/a         9         0         0         5         14         14           7         n/a         17         0         0         4         21         22           8         n/a         9         0         0         11         20         11           9         n/a         14         0         0         4         18         14           10         n/a         20         0         0         14         34         12           11         n/a         19         0         0         4         23         18           12         n/a         19         0         0         4         23         15           13         n/a         14         0         0         10         24         13           14         n/a         16         0         0         10         26         6	3	n/a	9	0	0	5	14	8
6         n/a         9         0         0         5         14         14           7         n/a         17         0         0         4         21         22           8         n/a         9         0         0         11         20         11           9         n/a         14         0         0         4         18         14           10         n/a         20         0         0         14         34         12           11         n/a         19         0         0         4         23         18           12         n/a         19         0         0         4         23         15           13         n/a         14         0         0         10         24         13           14         n/a         16         0         0         10         26         6	4	n/a	8	0	0	9	17	5.5
7         n/a         17         0         0         4         21         22           8         n/a         9         0         0         11         20         11           9         n/a         14         0         0         4         18         14           10         n/a         20         0         0         14         34         12           11         n/a         19         0         0         4         23         18           12         n/a         19         0         0         4         23         15           13         n/a         14         0         0         10         24         13           14         n/a         16         0         0         10         26         6	5	n/a	10	0	0	16	26	6
8         n/a         9         0         0         11         20         11           9         n/a         14         0         0         4         18         14           10         n/a         20         0         0         14         34         12           11         n/a         19         0         0         4         23         18           12         n/a         19         0         0         4         23         15           13         n/a         14         0         0         10         24         13           14         n/a         16         0         0         10         26         6	6	n/a	9	0	0	5	14	14
9         n/a         14         0         0         4         18         14           10         n/a         20         0         0         14         34         12           11         n/a         19         0         0         4         23         18           12         n/a         19         0         0         4         23         15           13         n/a         14         0         0         10         24         13           14         n/a         16         0         0         10         26         6	7	n/a	17	0	0	4	21	22
10         n/a         20         0         0         14         34         12           11         n/a         19         0         0         4         23         18           12         n/a         19         0         0         4         23         15           13         n/a         14         0         0         10         24         13           14         n/a         16         0         0         10         26         6	8	n/a	9	0	0	11	20	11
11     n/a     19     0     0     4     23     18       12     n/a     19     0     0     4     23     15       13     n/a     14     0     0     10     24     13       14     n/a     16     0     0     10     26     6	9	n/a	14	0	0	4	18	14
12     n/a     19     0     0     4     23     15       13     n/a     14     0     0     10     24     13       14     n/a     16     0     0     10     26     6	10	n/a	20	0	0	14	34	12
13         n/a         14         0         0         10         24         13           14         n/a         16         0         0         10         26         6	11	n/a	19	0	0	4	23	18
14 n/a 16 0 0 10 26 6	12	n/a	19	0	0	4	23	15
	13	n/a	14	0	0	10	24	13
15 n/a 16 0 0 15 31 10	14	n/a	16	0	0	10	26	6
	15	n/a	16	0	0	15	31	10
<b>16</b> n/a 18 0 0 11 <b>29</b> 13	16	n/a	18	0	0	11	29	13

W	etland/Strea	m Vegetatio	n Totals		Riparian B	uffer Vegeta	tion Totals
	(	per acre)				(per acre)	
Plot #	Stream/ Wetland Stems <sup>2</sup>	Volunteers <sup>3</sup>	Total <sup>4</sup>	Success Criteria Met?	Plot#	Riparian Buffer Stems <sup>1</sup>	Success Criteria Met?
1	445	81	526	Yes	1	n/a	n/a
2	324	81	405	Yes	2	n/a	n/a
3	364	202	567	Yes	3	n/a	n/a
4	324	364	688	Yes	4	n/a	n/a
5	405	647	1052	Yes	5	n/a	n/a
6	364	202	567	Yes	6	n/a	n/a
7	688	162	850	Yes	7	n/a	n/a
8	364	445	809	Yes	8	n/a	n/a
9	567	162	728	Yes	9	n/a	n/a
10	809	567	1376	Yes	10	n/a	n/a
11	769	162	931	Yes	11	n/a	n/a
12	769	162	931	Yes	12	n/a	n/a
13	567	405	971	Yes	13	n/a	n/a
14	647	405	1052	Yes	14	n/a	n/a
15	647	607	1255	Yes	15	n/a	n/a
16	728	445	1174	Yes	16	n/a	n/a
Project Avg	549	319	868	Yes	Project Avg	n/a	n/a

Stem Class Characteristics

<sup>1</sup>Buffer Stems Native planted hardwood trees. Does NOT include shrubs. No pines. No vines.

<sup>2</sup>Stream/ Wetland

Stems Native planted woody stems. Includes shrubs, does NOT include live stakes. No vines

<sup>3</sup>Volunteers Native woody stems. Not planted. No vines.

<sup>4</sup>Total Planted + volunteer native woody stems. Includes live stakes. Excl. exotics. Excl. vines.

Color for Density

Exceeds requirements by 10%

Exceeds requirements, but by less than 10%

Fails to meet requirements, by less than 10%

Fails to meet requirements by more than 10%

	n Project; DMS Project ID N								T	Plots								
Botanical Name	Common Name	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	
Tree Species				3	7		U	,	U	,	10	11	12	13	17	13	10	
Acer rubrum	red maple					3								3	1			
Betula nigra	river birch	1	1	2		1	2	1	1	2	3	1	2	2	1	1	2	
Carya glabra	pignut hickory	1		1	1									1				
Diospyros virginiana	common persimmon	4	1	2	2		1	1	1	3	2	1	2		2		1	
Fraxinus pennsylvanica	green ash		1		2	1		3	1	3		3			1	2	1	
Ilex opaca	American holly										1							
Juniperus virginiana	eastern redcedar				2				3		5		2	1		3		
Liquidambar styraciflua	sweetgum	1	1	1	1	4	3	2	5		8	1		5	2	4	5	
Liriodendron tulipifera	tuliptree				1	3			2				3	2			3	
Nyssa sylvatica	blackgum											1	1					
Pinus taeda	loblolly pine				1	5	1		3						3	3		
Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	1			2	1	4	3	3	1	2	2	5	5	6	1		Average
Quercus alba	white oak		1		2	1										1		Stems Per
Quercus palustris	pin oak				1													Acres
Quercus michauxii	swamp chestnut oak	1	2					2	1	3	2		2		2		4	
Quercus pagoda	cherrybark oak		1	1	1	3				2	4	1	3	2			1	
Quercus phellos	willow oak									1					1	1		
Ulmus americana	american elm	,	1															
Shrub Species																		
Asimina triloba	pawpaw							1				1		3				
Baccharis halimifolia	eastern baccharis												1		3	5	5	
Carpinus caroliniana	American hornbeam	4						4			4	2	2		5	6	5	
Cornus amomum	silky dogwood					1												
Rhus copallinum	flameleaf sumac																1	
Sambucus canadensis	elderberry			2														
Vaccinium corymbosum	highbush blueberry					1												
Viburnum dentatum	southern arrowwood		1	5	1	2	3	4		3	3	10				4	3	
Total Stems Per Plot - Yea	r 7	13	10	14	17	26	14	21	20	18	34	23	23	24	27	31	31	
Total Stems/Acre - Year 7		526	405	567	688	1052	567	850	809	728	1376	931	931	971	1052	1255	1174	868
Total Stems/Acre - Year 5		486	324	567	850	647.5	526	688	445	769	1295	890	1133	971	971.2	1214	1012	799
Total Stems/Acre - Year 3		647	405	364	850	486	567	728	486	688	809	850	890	647	769	567	890	665
Total Stems/Acre - Year 2	*	688	445	405	850	445	526	809	486	648	809	850	890	647	809	567	1052	683
Total Stems/Acre - Year 1		809	526	567	526	526	607	890	728	648	931	931	850	769	728	688	931	728
Total Stems/Acre for As-B	Built (Year 0)	850	688	607	648	648	607	971	728	648	971	971	931	890	809	688	890	784

# Appendix D

**Stream Survey Data** 

(Year 7 Data - Collected September 2022)






**Looking from Left Pin** 

**Looking from Right Pin** 

	Stream		BKF	BKF	Max BKF				AB BKF	LTOB
Feature	Type	BKF Area	Width	Depth	Depth	W/D	BH Ratio	ER	Elev	Elev
Riffle	С	5.1	8.7	0.6	1.1	14.8	0.9	5.9	271.44	271.47








Looking from Left Pin

Looking from Right Pin

	Stream		BKF	BKF	Max BKF				AB BKF	LTOB
Feature	Type	BKF Area	Width	Depth	Depth	W/D	BH Ratio	ER	Elev	Elev
Pool	-	13.9	10.9	1.3	2.6	8.6	-	-	270.65	270.65



(Year 7 Data - Collected September 2022)






Looking from Left Pin

Looking from Right Pin

	Stream		BKF	BKF	Max BKF				AB BKF	LTOB
Feature	Type	BKF Area	Width	Depth	Depth	W/D	BH Ratio	ER	Elev	Elev
Riffle	С	3.2	7.5	0.4	0.8	17.5	<1	4.7	264.45	264.42








**Looking from Left Pin** 

Looking from Right Pin

	Stream		BKF	BKF	Max BKF				AB BKF	LTOB
Feature	Type	BKF Area	Width	Depth	Depth	W/D	BH Ratio	ER	Elev	Elev
Riffle	С	1.9	4.8	0.4	0.6	12	<1	3.8	265.46	265.51



Note:Per DMS/IRT request, the bank height ratio for MY7 has been calculated using the bankfull elevation as determined from the as-built bankfull area. All other values were calculated using the original as-built bankfull elevation, as was done for previous monitoring reports.

(Year 7 Data - Collected September 2022)






Looking from the Left Bank

Looking from the Right Bank

	Stream		BKF	BKF	Max BKF				AB BKF	LTOB
Feature	Type	BKF Area	Width	Depth	Depth	W/D	BH Ratio	ER	Elev	Elev
Riffle	С	4.8	9.7	0.5	0.9	19.4	<1	3.7	262.63	262.49



(Year 7 Data - Collected September 2022)






Looking from the Left Bank


Looking from the Right Bank

	Stream		BKF	BKF	Max BKF				AB BKF	LTOB
Feature	Type	BKF Area	Width	Depth	Depth	W/D	BH Ratio	ER	Elev	Elev
Riffle	С	8.1	10.1	0.8	1.2	12.6	<1	6.2	259.42	259.36



(Year 7 Data - Collected September 2022)








Looking from the Right Bank

	Stream		BKF	BKF	Max BKF					
Feature	Type	BKF Area	Width	Depth	Depth	W/D	BH Ratio	ER	BKF Elev	TOB Elev
Riffle	С	1.2	5.6	0.2	0.3	27.4	<1	3.1	258.57	258.88









Looking at the Left Bank

Looking at the Right Bank

Ī		Stream		BKF	BKF	Max BKF				AB BKF	LTOB
1	Feature	Type	BKF Area	Width	Depth	Depth	W/D	BH Ratio	ER	Elev	Elev
Ī	Pool	-	32.1	17.1	1.9	3.0	9.1	-	-	258.12	258.19











Looking from the Right Bank


		Stream		BKF	BKF	Max BKF				AB BKF	LTOB
	Feature	Type	BKF Area	Width	Depth	Depth	W/D	BH Ratio	ER	Elev	Elev
Π	Pool	-	25.5	16.4	1.6	3.5	10.5	-	-	255.05	254.39



(Year 7 Data - Collected September 2022)








Looking from the Right Bank

	Stream		BKF	BKF	Max BKF				AB BKF	LTOB
Feature	Type	BKF Area	Width	Depth	Depth	W/D	BH Ratio	ER	Elev	Elev
Riffle	С	6.7	9.3	0.7	1.1	13	0.9	8.0	254.18	254.22










Looking from the Right Bank

	Stream		BKF	BKF	Max BKF				AB BKF	LTOB
Feature	Type	BKF Area	Width	Depth	Depth	W/D	BH Ratio	ER	Elev	Elev
Pool	-	19	8.7	2.2	3.9	3.9	-	-	249.04	249.11








Looking from the Left Bank

Looking from the Right Bank

	Stream		BKF	BKF	Max BKF					
Feature	Type	BKF Area	Width	Depth	Depth	W/D	BH Ratio	ER	BKF Elev	TOB Elev
Riffle	С	6.8	12.4	0.5	0.9	22.6	<1	2.3	247.88	247.72



Note:Per DMS/IRT request, the bank height ratio for MY7 has been calculated using the bankfull elevation as determined from the as-built bankfull area. All other values were calculated using the original as-built bankfull elevation, as was done for previous monitoring reports.

(Year 7 Data - Collected September 2022)






Looking from the Left Bank

Looking from the Right Bank

	Stream		BKF	BKF	Max BKF				AB BKF	LTOB
Feature	Type	BKF Area	Width	Depth	Depth	W/D	BH Ratio	ER	Elev	Elev
Riffle	С	0.6	4.4	0.1	0.4	31.3	<1	3.7	295.07	295.06



(Year 7 Data - Collected September 2022)






Looking from the Left Bank

Looking from the Right Bank

	Stream		BKF	BKF	Max BKF				AB BKF	LTOB
Feature	Type	BKF Area	Width	Depth	Depth	W/D	BH Ratio	ER	Elev	Elev
Riffle	Е	2.7	5.8	0.5	8.0	12.4	<1	8.2	260.96	261.1








Looking from the Left Bank

Looking from the Right Bank

	Stream		BKF	BKF	Max BKF				AB BKF	LTOB
Feature	Type	BKF Area	Width	Depth	Depth	W/D	BH Ratio	ER	Elev	Elev
Pool	-	5.7	6.5	0.9	1.5	7.4	-	-	259.27	259.43







Looking from the Left Bank

Looking from the Right Bank

	Stream		BKF	BKF	Max BKF				AB BKF	LTOB
Feature	Type	BKF Area	Width	Depth	Depth	W/D	BH Ratio	ER	Elev	Elev
Pool	-	10.0	10.3	1.0	2.2	10.7	-	-	255.05	254.94





Figure 7. Pebble Count - Monitoring Year 7 Thomas Creek Mitigation Project, DMS# 96074

SITE OR PROJECT:	Thomas Creek
REACH/LOCATION:	Reach R2 (Station 37+00)
FEATURE:	Rock Riffle
DATE:	19-Oct-22

				MY7 2022		Distribution
MATERIAL	PARTICLE	SIZE (mm)	Total	Class %	% Cum	Plot Size (mm)
Silt/Clay	Silt / Clay	< .063			0%	0.063
	Very Fine	.063125			0%	0.125
	Fine	.12525			0%	0.25
Sand	Medium	.2550			0%	0.50
	Coarse	.50 - 1.0	6	6%	6%	1.0
	Very Coarse	1.0 - 2.0			6%	2.0
	Very Fine	2.0 - 2.8			6%	2.8
	Very Fine	2.8 - 4.0			6%	4.0
	Fine	4.0 - 5.6			6%	5.6
	Fine	5.6 - 8.0			6%	8.0
Gravel	Medium	8.0 - 11.0			6%	11.0
Gravei	Medium	11.0 - 16.0	1	1%	7%	16.0
	Coarse	16 - 22.6	3	3%	10%	22.6
	Coarse	22.6 - 32	5	5%	15%	32
	Very Coarse	32 - 45	5	5%	20%	45
	Very Coarse	45 - 64	15	15%	35%	64
	Small	64 - 90	23	23%	58%	90
Cobble	Small	90 - 128	19	19%	77%	128
Copple	Large	128 - 180	17	17%	94%	180
	Large	180 - 256	6	6%	100%	256
	Small	256 - 362			100%	362
Boulder	Small	362 - 512			100%	512
Donider	Medium	512 - 1024	0	0%	100%	1024
	Large-Very Large	1024 - 2048	0	0%	100%	2048
Bedrock	Bedrock	> 2048	0	0%	100%	5000
Total % o	of whole count		100	100%		

Largest particle= 512

	Summa	ry Data	•
	Channel	materials	
D16 =	13.0	D84 =	147.9
D35 =	48.3	D95 =	212.2
D50 =	71.7	D100 =	362 - 512







Figure 7. Pebble Count - Monitoring Year 7 Thomas Creek Mitigation Project, DMS# 96074

SITE OR PROJECT:	Thomas Creek
REACH/LOCATION:	Reach R5 (Station 37+00)
FEATURE:	Rock Riffle
DATE:	19-Oct-22

				MY7 2022	Distribution	
MATERIAL	PARTICLE	SIZE (mm)	Total	Class %	% Cum	Plot Size (mm)
Silt/Clay	Silt / Clay	< .063	3	3%	3%	0.063
	Very Fine	.063125			3%	0.125
	Fine	.12525			3%	0.25
Sand	Medium	.2550	3	3%	6%	0.50
	Coarse	.50 - 1.0			6%	1.0
	Very Coarse	1.0 - 2.0			6%	2.0
	Very Fine	2.0 - 2.8			6%	2.8
	Very Fine	2.8 - 4.0			6%	4.0
	Fine	4.0 - 5.6			6%	5.6
	Fine	5.6 - 8.0			6%	8.0
Gravel	Medium	8.0 - 11.0			6%	11.0
	Medium	11.0 - 16.0	2	2%	8%	16.0
	Coarse	16 - 22.6	6	6%	14%	22.6
	Coarse	22.6 - 32	4	4%	18%	32
	Very Coarse	32 - 45	10	10%	28%	45
	Very Coarse	45 - 64	17	17%	45%	64
	Small	64 - 90	14	14%	59%	90
Cobble	Small	90 - 128	19	19%	78%	128
Copple	Large	128 - 180	12	12%	90%	180
	Large	180 - 256	7	7%	97%	256
	Small	256 - 362	3	3%	100%	362
Boulder	Small	362 - 512			100%	512
Boulder	Medium	512 - 1024			100%	1024
	Large-Very Large	1024 - 2048			100%	2048
Bedrock	Bedrock	> 2048			100%	5000
Total % o	of whole count		100	100%		

Largest particle=

Summary Data												
Channel materials												
D16 =	11.9	D84 =	138.5									
D35 =	33.9	D95 =	193.1									
D50 =	56.1	D100 =	256 - 362									






Table 10. Baseline Stream Summary Thomas Creek Restoration Project: DMS Project ID No. 96074																											
Reach 1 - Length 298 ft																											
Parameter		Regional Curve Pre-Existing Condition							Reference Reach(es) Data								D.	olon.			As-built						
arameter USGS Gauge Regional Curve				,,	Pre-Existing Condition					Little Beaver Creek (Wake County)					Design												
Dimension and Substrate - Riffle		LL	UL	Eq.	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD n
BF Width (ft	)	11.6	11.9					9.0										12.5						13.9			
Floodprone Width (fi BF Mean Depth (fi	)	1.2						9.0										>25						30.6			
BF Mean Depth (ft BF Max Depth (ft			1.5					1.2										0.9						0.8			
BF Cross-sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup>			11.2					11.2										11.2						1.1			
Width/Depth Ratio			11.2					7.2			12.0			18.0				14.0						17.4			
Entrenchment Ratio								1.8			1.4			2.2				>2.2						2.2			
Bank Height Ratio								2.5			1.0			1.1				1.0						1.0			
d50 (mm																											
Pattern																											
Channel Beltwidth (ft																		30.0						34.4			
Radius of Curvature (ft																	25.0			35.0				33.1			
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft	)										2.0			3.0			2.0			2.8				2.4			
Meander Wavelength (fi	)																			105.0				103.4			
Meander Width Ratio											3.5			8.0				2.4						2.5			
Profile																											
Riffle Length (ft																								24.0			
Riffle Slope (ft/ft	)																			0.028				0.025			
Pool Length (ft Pool to Pool Spacing (ft																	24							64.0			
Pool Max Depth (ft																	24			2.4				2.5			
Pool Volume (ft <sup>3</sup>																				2.4				23			
Substrate and Transport Parameters																											
Ri% / Ru% / P% / G% / S%																											
SC% / Sa% / G% / B% / Be%																											
<sup>1</sup> d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 Reach Shear Stress (competency) lb/fi							0.15 / 0.27 / 0	.34 / 0.75 / 1.3	9																		
Max part size (mm) mobilized at bankfull (Rosgen Curve																											
Stream Power (transport capacity) W/m																											
Additional Reach Parameters																											
Drainage Area (SM								0.38												0.38						0.38	
Impervious cover estimate (%																											
Rosgen Classification								E						C5						C5						C5	
BF Velocity (fps		3.4	4.0					3.9			3.5			5						4							
BF Discharge (cfs		27.6	44.6					44.6												44.6							
Valley Lengt	1																									271.1	
Channel length (ft	)							397												266						324.3	
Sinuosit	/							1.18			1.1			1.3						1.22						1.2	
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft	)							0.0028												0.022						0.0168	
BF slope (ft/ft								0.0050			0.002			0.015						0.0165						0.0201	
Bankfull Floodplain Area (acres BEHI VL% / L% / M% / H% / VH% / E%	)																										
BEHI VL% / L% / M% / H% / VH% / E% Channel Stability or Habitat Metri																											
Channel Stability or Habitat Metri Biological or Othe																											
Biological of Othe																											

MICHAEL BAKER ENGINEERING, INC. YEAR 7 MONITORING REPORT THOMAS CREEK RESTORATION PROJECT (DMS PROJECT NO. ID 96074)

Fable 10 continued. Baseline Stream Summary Fhomas Creek Restoration Project: DMS Project ID No. 96074																											
Reach 2 - Length 2,126 ft																											
		_											Reference F	Reach(es) Da	ita				_								
Parameter	USGS Gauge	Re	egional Curv	ve			Pre-Existin	g Condition				Littl	e Beaver Cro	eek (Wake C	County)				De	sign					As-bu	ilt	
Dimension and Substrate - Riffle		LL	UL	Eq.	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD n
BF Width (ft)		11.6	11.9		6.5			9.4									9.2			10.4			10.2	10.3		10.4	
Floodprone Width (ft)					9.0			13.2										>18					38.2	58.5		74.5	
BF Mean Depth (ft)		1.2	1.5		0.6			1.2									0.7			0.7			0.7	0.8		1.0	
BF Max Depth (ft)			7.7		1.6 7.7			2.6									0.8			1.0			1.0	1.2 8.6		1.5	
BF Cross-sectional Area (ft²) Width/Depth Ratio		6.0	7.7		3.4			15.7 5.4			10.0			15.0			6.0 14.0			7.7 14.0			7.4 10.1	12.5		10.2 14.8	
Entrenchment Ratio					3.4			1.4			10.0			>2.2			14.0	>2.2		14.0			3.7	12.5		7.2	
Bank Height Ratio					1.4			3.3			1.0			>2.2				>2.2 1.0					0.9	1.0		1.0	
d50 (mm)					2.2			3.3			1.0			1.1				1.0					0.9	1.0		1.0	
Pattern																											
Channel Beltwidth (ft)																	32.0			45.0				56.6			
Radius of Curvature (ft)																	17.0			30.0				22.0			
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)											2.0			3.0			2.0			3.0				2.1			
Meander Wavelength (ft)																	75.0			107.0				83.2			
Meander Width Ratio											7.0			14.0			3.3			4.7				5.5			
Profile																											
Riffle Length (ft)																								17.7			
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)																	0.0094			0.02				0.012			
Pool Length (ft)																											
Pool to Pool Spacing (ft)																	25			75				50.8			
Pool Max Depth (ft)																	1.7			1.9				1.7			
Pool Volume (ft <sup>3</sup> )																											
Substrate and Transport Parameters																											
Ri% / Ru% / P% / G% / S%																											
SC% / Sa% / G% / B% / Be%																											
1 d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95							0.11 / 0.22 / 0.	32 / 0.85 / 1.89																20.2 /	47.6 / 62.5 /	133.1 / 173	3.1
Reach Shear Stress (competency) lb/ft <sup>2</sup>																											
Max part size (mm) mobilized at bankfull (Rosgen Curve)																											
Stream Power (transport capacity) W/m <sup>2</sup> Additional Reach Parameters																											
Additional Reach Parameters  Drainage Area (SM)					0.153			0.275												0.275						0.275	
Drainage Area (SM) Impervious cover estimate (%)					0.155			0.275												0.275						0.275	
Rosgen Classification					G5c			F5						C5						C5						C5	
BF Velocity (fps)		3.2	3.9		3.8			3.9			3.5			5			3.8			3.9							
BF Discharge (cfs)		17.8	29.7		22.9			35.0									23.0			29.7							
Valley Length																										2549.3	
Channel length (ft)								1,995												1,089						3413.7	
Sinuosity						1.17					1.2			1.5						1.20						1.3	
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft)						0.0082											0.0047			0.0083						0.0092	
BF slope (ft/ft)						0.0098					0.002			0.01						0.01						0.0123	
Bankfull Floodplain Area (acres)																											
BEHI VL% / L% / M% / H% / VH% / E%																											
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric																											
Biological or Other																											

Table 10 continued. Baseline Stream Summary Thomas Creek Restoration Project: DMS Project ID No. 96074																											
Reach 3 - Length 1,031 ft	T 1	1									т												ī				
Parameter	USGS Gauge	R	egional Curv	ve			Pre-Existin	g Condition				Thomas	Creek Site U	Reach(es) Da					De	esign					As-bu	ilt	
Dimension and Substrate - Riffle		LL	UL	Eq.	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD n
BF Width (f	)	11.6	11.9		4.5			5.3										7.0					7.5	8.4		9.3	
Floodprone Width (f	:)				6.7			9.5										>16					37.3	46.3		55.3	
BF Mean Depth (f		1.2	1.5		0.7			0.8										0.7					0.6	0.7		0.8	
BF Max Depth (f	)	****			1.0			1.5										0.7					0.9	0.9		129	
BF Cross-sectional Area (ft Width/Depth Rati	9	26.8	36.2		3.0 6.5			4.3 6.7			10			14.0			11.0	4.1		12.0			4.5	5.9 12.1		7.3	
Entrenchment Rati					1.5			1.8			10			14.0 >2.2			11.0	12.0 >2.2		13.0			11.9 5.0	5.5		12.3	
Bank Height Rati					2.3			3.2			1.0			1.1				1.0					1.0	1.0		1.0	
d50 (mn																							1.0				
Pattern																											
Channel Beltwidth (f	)																18			28				32.2			
Radius of Curvature (f	)																15			21				19.1			
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/f	)										2			3			2.0			2.7				2.3			
Meander Wavelength (i	)																70			80				77.5			
Meander Width Rati	o																2.6			4.0				3.8			
Profile  Riffle Length (f	)																							12.5			
Riffle Slope (ft/f											1.1			2.0				0.031						0.013			
Pool Length (f	í										1.1			2.0				0.051						0.013			
Pool to Pool Spacing (i	S																28.0			48.0				47.2			
Pool Max Depth (f	ó																	1.5						1.3			
Pool Volume (ft																											
Substrate and Transport Parameters																											
Ri% / Ru% / P% / G% / S																											
SC% / Sa% / G% / B% / Be																											
1 d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d9							.014 / .029 / 0.4	41 / 1.16 / 3.05																			
Reach Shear Stress (competency) lb/t	ē																										
Max part size (mm) mobilized at bankfull (Rosgen Curv	)																										
Stream Power (transport capacity) W/n	1																										
Additional Reach Parameters																											
Drainage Area (SM								0.083												0.083						0.083	
Impervious cover estimate (9 Rosgen Classificatio	)							B5c						E/C5						E/C5						C5	
BF Velocity (fp	n	3.0	3.6		3.8			2.3			3.5			5						3.8							
BF Discharge (cf.		9.4	16.5		12.2			16.5			3.3									16.5							
Valley Leng	ń																									873	
Channel length (f	)							1,067												1,231						1,031	
Sinuosi	у							1.22			1.20			1.50						1.20						1.2	
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/f	)							0.0150												0.0150						0.0092	
BF slope (ft/f	)							0.0182			0.005			0.015						0.0182						0.0123	
Bankfull Floodplain Area (acre	)																										
BEHI VL% / L% / M% / H% / VH% / E9																											
Channel Stability or Habitat Metr Biological or Oth	c																										
Biological or Othe 1 - Pre-Existing Condition measurment taken on existing sandbed riffle	1																										

Table 10 continued. Baseline Stream Summary Thomas Creek Restoration Project: DMS Project ID No. 96074																											
Reach 4 - Length 1,238 ft																											
Parameter	USGS Gauge	R	Regional Curv	re.			Pre-Existin	og Condition					Reference I	Reach(es) Da	ta				De	sign					As-buil	lt	
	cooo omig.											Thomas	Creek Site U		4 (On-site)					-							
Dimension and Substrate - Riffle		LL	UL	Eq.	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD n
BF Width (fi Floodprone Width (fi		11.6	11.9					4.5 9.9										6.3						6.8			
BF Mean Depth (fi		1.2	1.5					0.7										>13 0.5						21.9			
BF Max Depth (fi		1.2	1.5					1.4										0.5						0.9			
BF Cross-sectional Area (ft			3.1					3.1										3.1						3.6			
Width/Depth Rati								6.4			10.0			14.0			12.0			14.0				12.7			
Entrenchment Rati								2.2						>2.2				>2.1						3.2			
Bank Height Ration								3.0			1.0			1.1				1.0						1.0			
d50 (mm																											
Pattern Character Debatter (Character Debatter)																	20.0			20.0				24.0			
Channel Beltwidth (fi Radius of Curvature (fi																	20.0 12.0			29.0 18.0				34.0			
Re:Bankfull width (ft/ft											2.0			3.0			2.0			3.0				2.5			
Meander Wavelength (f																	60.0			75.0				66.2			
Meander Width Rati											3.5			8.0			3.2			4.6				5.0			
Profile																											
Riffle Length (fi																								15.4			
Riffle Slope (ft/ft																				0.029				0.035			
Pool Length (fi																								40.0			
Pool to Pool Spacing (fi Pool Max Depth (fi																	28-			4.5				42.8			
Pool Volume (ft <sup>3</sup>																				1.5				1.5			
Substrate and Transport Parameters  Ri% /Ru% / P% / G% / S9																											
SC% / Sa% / G% / B% / Be9																											
d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95																											
Reach Shear Stress (competency) lb/f																											
Max part size (mm) mobilized at bankfull (Rosgen Curve																											
Stream Power (transport capacity) W/n																											
Additional Reach Parameters																											
Drainage Area (SM								0.056												0.056						0.056	
Impervious cover estimate (% Rosgen Classificatio								D.5.												C5						C5	
BF Velocity (fps		3.2	3.9					B5c 3.6			3.5			- 5						3.6						CS	
BF Discharge (cfs		17.8	29.7					11.1			3.3									11.1							
Valley Lengt																										285.55	
Channel length (fi								1,197												1,201						342.91	
Sinuosit								1.16			1.20			1.50						1.13						1.20	
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft								0.0121												0.015						0.0156	
BF slope (ft/ft								0.0105			0.005			0.015						0.024						0.0188	
Bankfull Floodplain Area (acres																											
BEHI VL% / L% / M% / H% / VH% / E9 Channel Stability or Habitat Metri																											
Biological or Othe																											
1 - Pre-Existing Condition measurment taken on existing sandbed riffle																											

Table 10 continued. Baseline Stream Summary												·		·													
Thomas Creek Restoration Project: DMS Project ID No. 96074 Reach 5 - Length 1,169 ft																											
Reach 5 - Length 1,109 it	1				ı								Reference I	Panch(se) Da	to		T .										
Parameter	USGS Gauge	R	egional Curv	ve			Pre-Existin	ng Condition					le Beaver Cr				-		De	sign					As-bui	ilt	
Dimension and Substrate - Riffle		LL	UL	Eq.	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD n
BF Width (ft	)	11.6	11.9		4.4			8.9										6.8						8.6			
Floodprone Width (fi	)				7.8			>30										>16						49.9			
BF Mean Depth (ft		1.2	1.5		0.4			1.0										0.5						0.9			
BF Max Depth (ft	)				0.8			1.6 4.5										0.7						1.2			
BF Cross-sectional Area (ft			4.0								40.0			44.0				13.0						6.8			
Width/Depth Ratio					4.2 1.8			3.4 5.4			10.0			>2.2										8.4			
Entrenchment Ratio	)				2.4			1.0			1.0			>2.2				>2.3						0.0			
Bank Height Ratio					2.4			1.0			1.0			1.1				1.0						1.0			
Pattern																											
Channel Beltwidth (ft																	28			45				58.6			
Radius of Curvature (ft																	14			20				17.5			
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft																	2			3				2.0			
Meander Wavelength (fi																	60			90				81.5			
Meander Width Ratio											3.5			8			4.1			6.6				6.8			
Profile																											
Riffle Length (ft	)																							15.2			
Riffle Slope (ft/ft	)																	0.0265						0.0196			
Pool Length (ft	)																										
Pool to Pool Spacing (ft	)																25			55				57.8			
Pool Max Depth (ft	)																	1.3						1.7			
Pool Volume (ff																											
Substrate and Transport Parameters																											
Ri% / Ru% / P% / G% / S%																											
SC% / Sa% / G% / B% / Be%																											
1 d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95																								17.6 /	36.9 / 53.7 /	130.6 / 184	4.8
Reach Shear Stress (competency) lb/fi	3																										
Max part size (mm) mobilized at bankfull (Rosgen Curve																											
Stream Power (transport capacity) W/m	1																										
Additional Reach Parameters																											
Drainage Area (SM					0.097			0.083												0.097						0.097	
Impervious cover estimate (%	1																										
Rosgen Classification BF Velocity (fps		3.4	3.7		B5c 3.7			4.2			3.5			C5						C5						E5	
BF Velocity (tps BF Discharge (cfs	)	9.4	14.7		14.4			4.2 16.5			3.5			5						3.3 12.0							
BF Discharge (cfs Valley Lengt		9.4	14.7		14.4			10.5																		726.02	
Channel length (ft								1,022												1.828						1069.32	
Sinuosit					1.31			1.42			1.20			1.50			l			1.42						1.47	
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft					1.51			0.0177			1.20			1.50						0.0124						0.0123	
BF slope (ft/ft								0.0177			0.005			0.015						0.0124						0.0125	
Bankfull Floodplain Area (acres								0.0155												0.015-7							
BEHI VL% / L% / M% / H% / VH% / E%																											
Channel Stability or Habitat Metri																											
Biological or Othe	r																										
			ucted rock riffl		_																						

Thomas Creek Restoration Project: DMS Project ID No. 96074																											
Reach 6 - Length 1,776 ft																											
Parameter US	GS Gauge	Re	egional Curv	ze.			Pre-Evistin	ng Condition					Reference R	Reach(es) Dat	ta				De	sign					As-bui	at .	
	g.		g									Thomas	Creek Site U		4 (On-site)					-							
Dimension and Substrate - Riffle		LL	UL	Eq.	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD n
BF Width (ft) Floodprone Width (ft)					3.2 4.5			4.3										4.6						6.3			
BF Mean Depth (ft)					4.5			6.5 0.60										>9						0.3			
BF Max Depth (ft)								0.9										0.5						0.5			
BF Cross-sectional Area (ft²)					1.8			2.5										1.5						2.1			
Width/Depth Ratio					0.9			5.8			12.0			18.0				14.0						18.7			
Entrenchment Ratio					1.4			1.5			1.4			2.2				>2.0						3.1			
Bank Height Ratio					2.9			4.4			1.0			1.1				1.0						0.8			
d50 (mm)																											
Pattern Channel Beltwidth (ft)																											
Channel Beltwidth (ft) Radius of Curvature (ft)																											
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)																											
Meander Wavelength (ft)																											
Meander Width Ratio																											
Profile																											
Riffle Length (ft)																								12.5			
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)																		0.04						0.027			
Pool Length (ft) Pool to Pool Spacing (ft)																								24.6			
Pool to Pool Spacing (it) Pool Max Depth (ft)																		1.0						1.2			
Pool Volume (ft <sup>3</sup> )																		1.0						1.2			
Substrate and Transport Parameters  Ri% / Ru% / P% / G% / S%																											
SC% / Sa% / G% / B% / Be%																											
d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95																											
Reach Shear Stress (competency) lb/ft <sup>2</sup>																											
Max part size (mm) mobilized at bankfull (Rosgen Curve)																											
Stream Power (transport capacity) W/m <sup>2</sup>																											
Additional Reach Parameters																											
Drainage Area (SM)					0.019			0.050												0.05						0.05	
Impervious cover estimate (%) Rosgen Classification					B5c			G5c						D.5						D.F.						C#	
BF Velocity (fps)					2.8			4.1			4			6						3.3						CS	
BF Discharge (cfs)					5.1			10.2												12							
Valley Length																										201	
Channel length (ft)								1,828												1,808						210	
Sinuosity								1.13			1.10			1.30						1.05						1.04	
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft)					0.0148			0.0250												0.030							
BF slope (ft/ft)					0.0250			0.0361			0.005			0.015						0.033							
Bankfull Floodplain Area (acres) BEHI VL% / L% / M% / H% / VH% / E%																											
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric																											
Biological or Other																											

Table 10 continued. Baseline Stream Summary																											
Thomas Creek Restoration Project: DMS Project ID No. 96074 Reach 7 - Length 647 ft																											
Reacn / - Length 64/ it	1										1		D. C	Reach(es) Da													
Parameter	USGS Gauge	1	Regional Cur	ve			Pre-Existin	g Condition						Jpper Reach			ł		De	sign					As-bi	uilt	
Dimension and Substrate - Riffle		LL	UL	Eq.	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD n
BF Width (f	)							3.6										4.6									
Floodprone Width (f	t)							5.4																			
BF Mean Depth (f	)							0.4										0.3									
BF Max Depth (f	)							0.6										0.4									
BF Cross-sectional Area (ft	r)							1.6										1.5									
Width/Depth Rati	0							8.4			12.0			18.0				14.0									
Entrenchment Rati	0							1.5			1.4			2.2													
Bank Height Rati	0							4.2			1.0			1.1				1.0									
d50 (mn	)																										
Pattern																											
Channel Beltwidth (f																											
Radius of Curvature (f	)																										
Re:Bankfull width (ft/f	)																										
Meander Wavelength (f	t)																										
Meander Width Rati	0																										
Profile Profile																											
Riffle Length (f																											
Riffle Slope (ft/f Pool Length (f	)																										
	1																										
Pool to Pool Spacing (f Pool Max Depth (f	t)																	1.0									
Pool Volume (ft	3																	1.0									
	)																										
Substrate and Transport Parameters																											
Ri% / Ru% / P% / G% / S9	ó																										
SC% / Sa% / G% / B% / Be	6																										
1 d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d9							.012 / 0.29 / 0.	43 / 0.87 / 1.39																			
Reach Shear Stress (competency) lb/f																											
Max part size (mm) mobilized at bankfull (Rosgen Curv	:)																										
Stream Power (transport capacity) W/n	12																										
Additional Reach Parameters								0.000												0.000						0.000	
Drainage Area (SM								0.022												0.022						0.022	
Impervious cover estimate (9								B5						D.f.,						D.F.,							
Rosgen Classificatio BF Velocity (fp	n					2.6					4			вэс						B5c							
BF Velocity (tp BF Discharge (cf:						3.6		5.7			4			0						3.33							
Valley Leng	h							5.7												5							
Channel length (f	1							646												646							
Channel length (i Sinuosit	r)							1.11			1.10			1.30						1.11							
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/f	y				I			0.025			1.10			1.30						0.032							
Water Surrace Stope (Channel) (1771 BF slope (ft/f		l			l			0.025			0.005			0.015						0.032							
Bankfull Floodplain Area (acre								0.030			0.005			0.015						0.0.00							
BEHI VL% / L% / M% / H% / VH% / E9																											
Channel Stability or Habitat Metr	G																										
Biological or Oth																											

Fable 10 continued. Baseline Stream Summary Thomas Creek Restoration Project: DMS Project ID No. 96074																											
Reach T1 - Length 227 ft																											
· ·													Reference F	Reach(es) Da	la												
Parameter U	SGS Gauge	R	egional Curv	ve			Pre-Existin	ng Condition						Upper Reach			1		De	sign					As-bu	ilt	
Dimension and Substrate - Riffle		LL	UL	Eq.	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD n
BF Width (ft)								7.2										7.0						8.5			
Floodprone Width (ft) BF Mean Depth (ft)								10.8										0.4						30.6			
BF Mean Depth (ft) BF Max Depth (ft)								0.4										0.6						0.6			
BF Cross-sectional Area (ft²)								2.8										3.8						5.3			
Width/Depth Ratio								18.6			12.0			18.0				13.0						13.6			
Entrenchment Ratio								1.5			1.4			2.2										3.6			
Bank Height Ratio								2.6			1.0			1.1				1.0						1.0			
d50 (mm)																											
Pattern																											
Channel Beltwidth (ft)																				40.0				32.5			
Radius of Curvature (ft)																	13.5			18.0				14.0			
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft) Meander Wavelength (ft)																	2.0			2.6				48.0			
Meander Width Ratio																								3.8			
Profile																								5.0			
Riffle Length (ft)																								14.7			
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)																		0.0135						0.0113			
Pool Length (ft)																											
Pool to Pool Spacing (ft)																	25			42				41.2			
Pool Max Depth (ft)																		1.4						1.4			
Pool Volume (ft <sup>3</sup> )																											
Substrate and Transport Parameters																											
Ri% / Ru% / P% / G% / S%																											
SC% / Sa% / G% / B% / Be%																											
d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 Reach Shear Stress (competency) lb/ft <sup>2</sup>																											
Max part size (mm) mobilized at bankfull (Rosgen Curve)																											
Stream Power (transport capacity) W/m <sup>2</sup>																											
Additional Reach Parameters																											
Drainage Area (SM)								0.077												0.077						0.077	
Impervious cover estimate (%)																											
Rosgen Classification								B5c						B5c						B5c						C5	
BF Velocity (fps)								5.0												3.66							
BF Discharge (cfs)								14.0												13.9						210	
Valley Length Channel length (ft)								242												253						218 227	
Channel length (it) Sinuosity								1.09			1.10			1.30						1.16						1.04	
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft)								0.0203			1.10									0.004							
BF slope (ft/ft)								0.0120			0.005			0.015						0.005							
Bankfull Floodplain Area (acres)																											
BEHI VL% / L% / M% / H% / VH% / E%																											
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric																											
Biological or Other																											

homas Creek Restoration Project: DMS Project ID No. 96074																											
each T2 - Length 157 ft	1												Defenence	Reach(es) Da	-to		1										
arameter	USGS Gauge	F	Regional Curv	ve			Pre-Existin	ng Condition				Thomas	Creek Site				1		De	sign					As-built	ıt	
imension and Substrate - Riffle		LL	UL	Eq.	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD 1
BF Width (ft		LL	UL	Eq.	Milli	ivican	ivicu	2.1	3D		IVIIII	Mean	ivicu	Max	30		IVIIII	Mean	wicu	wax	3D		IVIIII	ivican	Med	Max	30 1
Floodprone Width (fi								3.4																			
BF Mean Depth (ft								0.4																			
BF Max Depth (ft								0.4																			
BF Cross-sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup>								0.8																			
Width/Depth Ratio								0.6																			
Entrenchment Ratio								1.6																			
Bank Height Ratio								1.0																			
d50 (mm								2.3																			
ttern																											
Channel Beltwidth (ft																											
Channel Beltwidth (ft Radius of Curvature (ft	1																										
Re:Bankfull width (ft/ft																											
Meander Wavelength (fi																											
Meander Wavelength (1) Meander Width Ratio																											
ofile																											
Riffle Length (ft																											
Riffle Slope (ft/ft																											
Pool Length (ft																											
Pool Length (it Pool to Pool Spacing (ft																											
Pool Max Depth (ft																											
Pool Volume (ft <sup>3</sup>																											
bstrate and Transport Parameters																											
Ri% / Ru% / P% / G% / S%																											
SC% / Sa% / G% / B% / Be%																											
d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95																											
Reach Shear Stress (competency) lb/ft																											
Max part size (mm) mobilized at bankfull (Rosgen Curve																											
Stream Power (transport capacity) W/m dditional Reach Parameters																											
								0.000												0.000						0.000	
Drainage Area (SM Impervious cover estimate (%								0.008												0.008						0.008	
								D. 6																			
Rosgen Classification BF Velocity (fps								3.4																			
BF Velocity (tps BF Discharge (cfs								2.7																			
Br Discharge (CIS								2.7																			
Valley Lengt Channel length (ft								101												4.60							
								171												157						157	
Sinuosity								1.17																			
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft BF slope (ft/ft	1							0.0414																			
Br stope (ft/ft Bankfull Floodplain Area (acres								0.0417																			
BEHI VL% / L% / M% / H% / VH% / E%																											
Channel Stability or Habitat Metri																											
Biological or Othe																											

Table 11a. Cross-Section Morphology and Hydraulic Monitoring	g Summai	ry																										
Thomas Creek Restoration Project: DMS Project ID No. 96074																												
Stream Reach														Reach 3 (	1,032 LF)													
			Cross-s	section X-1	(Riffle)					Cross-	-section X-2	2 (Pool)					Cross-s	ection X-3	(Riffle)									
Based on fixed baseline bankfull elevation	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY7	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY7	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY7							
BF Width (ft)	9.3	8.8	8.3	8.6	-	8.7	8.7	10.5	10.2	10.2	10.0	-	10.3	10.9	7.5	7.1	7.0	7.4	-	6.5	7.5							
BF Mean Depth (ft)	0.8	0.6	0.6	0.6	-	0.6	0.6	1.3	1.3	1.2	1.3	-	1.4	1.3	0.6	0.4	0.5	0.3	-	0.4	0.4							
Width/Depth Ratio	11.9	14.1	13.7	14.4	-	15.1	14.8	8.3	8.0	8.5	7.6	-	7.5	8.6	12.3	16.9	15.5	21.3	-	16.9	17.5							
BF Cross-sectional Area (ft²)	7.3	5.4	5.1	5.1	-	5.1	5.1	13.4	13.2	12.2	13.3	-	14.2	13.9	4.5	3.0	3.1	2.6	-	2.5	3.2							
BF Max Depth (ft)	1.3	1.1	1.0	1.0	-	1.0	1.1	2.1	2.1	2.0	2.5	-	2.4	2.6	0.9	0.7	0.7	0.7	-	0.8	0.8							
Width of Floodprone Area (ft)	55	52	51	51	-	51	51	61	62	60	63	-	63	64	37	34	34	34	-	37	36							
Entrenchment Ratio	5.9	6.0	6.1	5.9	-	5.9	5.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5.0	4.9	5.0	4.5	-	5.7	4.7							
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	0.9	0.8	0.9	-	0.9	0.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.0	0.9	0.8	0.7	-	0.8	0.8							
Wetted Perimeter (ft)	10.9	10.0	9.6	8.9	-	9.1	25.4	13.1	12.8	12.6	11.8	-	11.9	12.4	8.7	7.9	7.9	7.6	-	6.8	7.8							
Hydraulic Radius (ft)	0.7	0.5	0.5	0.6	-	0.6	0.3	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.1	-	1.2	1.1	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.4	-	0.4	0.4							
d50 (mm)	-							-							-													
Stream Reach			Rea	ch 4 (1,238	LF)					Reach 2	2 upstream	(703 LF)					Reach 2 do	ownstream	(1,423 LF)					Re	ach T1 (227	7 LF)		
			Cross-s	section X-4	(Riffle)					Cross-	section X-5	(Riffle)					Cross-s	ection X-6	(Riffle)					Cross-	section X-7	(Riffle)		
Based on fixed baseline bankfull elevation	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY7	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY7	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY7	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY7
BF Width (ft)	6.8	6.8	6.1	5.9	-	6.0	4.8	10.4	9.8	9.8	10.0	-	9.5	9.7	10.2	9.7	9.5	9.7	-	9.5	10.1	8.5	6.8	6.9	6.7	-	6.5	5.6
BF Mean Depth (ft)	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.4	-	0.4	0.4	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.5	-	0.5	0.5	1.0	0.9	0.8	0.8	-	0.9	0.8	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.4	-	0.4	0.2
Width/Depth Ratio	12.7	12.6	13.5	14.8	-	15.0	12.0	14.8	16.6	16.8	21.0	-	18.4	19.4	10.1	11.4	11.7	11.6	-	0.9	12.6	13.6	13.8	16.0	15.0	-	18.7	27.4
BF Cross-sectional Area (ft²)	3.6	3.6	2.8	2.3	-	2.4	1.9	7.4	5.8	5.6	4.8	-	4.9	4.8	10.2	8.3	7.7	8.0	-	8.2	8.1	5.3	3.4	3.0	3.0	-	2.3	1.2
BF Max Depth (ft)	0.9	1.0	0.8	0.7	-	0.6	0.6	1.0	0.9	0.9	0.8	-	0.8	0.9	1.5	1.3	1.3	1.2	-	1.3	1.2	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.6	-	0.5	0.3
Width of Floodprone Area (ft)	22	22	21	20	-	22	19	38	37	36	36	-	36	36	63	63	63	63	-	63	63	31	28	27	27	-	25	17
Entrenchment Ratio	3.2	3.1	3.2	3.4	-	3.2	3.8	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.6	-	3.8	3.7	6.2	6.2	6.2	6.5	-	6.6	6.2	3.6	3.7	3.7	4.0	-	3.8	3.1
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.2	0.9	0.8	_	0.8	0.8	1.0	0.9	1.0	0.9		0.9	0.7	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	_	0.9	0.8	1.0	1.2	0.9	0.9	-	0.9	0.9
Wetted Perimeter (ft)	7.8	7.9	7.0	6.1	-	6.4	5.2	11.8	11.0	10.9	10.2	-	9.7	9.9	12.2	11.4	11.1	10.3	-	10.1	10.7	9.7	7.8	7.7	7.0	-	6.7	5.7
Hydraulic Radius (ft)	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.4	-	0.4	0.4	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.5		0.5	0.5	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.8	_	0.8	0.8	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.4	-	0.3	0.2
d50 (mm)	-							-							-							-						
Stream Reach										Reach 2 d	lownstream	(1,423 LF)																
			Cross-	section X-	8 (Pool)					Cross-	-section X-9	(Pool)					Cross-se	ection X-10	(Riffle)									
Based on fixed baseline bankfull elevation	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY7	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY7	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY7							
BF Width (ft)	15.3	16.1	16.1	16.1	-	16.2	17.1	14.5	14.5	14.6	15.1	-	15.3	16.4	10.3	9.3	9.1	8.5	-	9.4	9.3							
BF Mean Depth (ft)	1.2	1.6	1.7	1.9	-	1.8	1.9	1.1	1.3	1.4	1.3	-	1.6	1.6	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.7	-	0.7	0.7							
Width/Depth Ratio	13.3	9.8	9.6	8.6	-	8.8	9.1	12.9	10.8	10.8	12.1	-	9.8	10.5	12.6	13.2	13.0	12.7	-	13.7	13.0							
BF Cross-sectional Area (ft²)	17.6	26.3	27.0	30.3	-	29.9	32.1	16.3	19.5	19.7	18.9	-	23.7	25.5	8.4	6.5	6.4	5.7	-	6.4	6.7							
BF Max Depth (ft)	2.7	2.6	2.8	2.7	-	3.0	3.0	2.2	3.2	3.0	2.9	-	3.4	3.5	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.0	-	1.1	1.1							
Width of Floodprone Area (ft)	53	52	53	53	-	53	54	71	71	71	71	-	71	71	74	74	74	75	-	75	75							
Entrenchment Ratio	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7.2	7.3	7.3	8.8	-	8.0	8.0							
Bank Height Ratio	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.0	1.0	0.9	0.9	-	0.9	0.9							
Wetted Perimeter (ft)	17.6	19.3	19.5	18.3	-	18.5	19.5	16.8	17.2	17.3	17.0	-	18.2	19.6	11.9	10.7	10.5	8.9	-	9.7	9.7							
Hydraulic Radius (ft)	1.0	1.4	1.4	1.7	-	1.6	1.6	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.1	-	1.3	1.3	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.6	-	0.7	0.7							
d50 (mm)																												

Stream Reach							Reach 1	(208 LF)									Rea	ch 6 (1,776	LF)		
			Cross-	-section X-	11 (Pool)					Cross-s	ection X-12	2 (Riffle)					Cross-s	ection X-13	(Riffle)		
Based on fixed baseline bankfull elevation	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY7	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY7	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY7
BF Width (ft)		15.4	14.8	16.3	-	16.1	8.7	13.9	12.7	12.4	13.1	-	12.4	12.4	6.3	4.1	4.1	3.7	-	4.2	4.4
BF Mean Depth (ft)		1.7	1.7	2.1	-	2.2	2.2	0.8	0.7	0.8	0.6	-	0.6	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	-	0.3	0.1
Width/Depth Ratio	11.1	8.8	8.7	7.7	-	7.4	3.9	17.4	19.8	16.5	22.3	-	20.9	22.6	18.7	16.1	19.5	15.5	-	13.8	31.3
BF Cross-sectional Area (ft²)	23.7	26.8	25.0	34.6	-	34.8	19.0	11.1	8.2	9.3	7.7	-	7.4	6.8	2.1	1.1	0.8	0.9	-	1.3	0.6
BF Max Depth (ft)	3.4	3.8	3.5	4.1	-	3.8	3.9	1.1	1.1	1.3	1.0	-	1.0	0.9	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.4	-	0.4	0.4
Width of Floodprone Area (ft)	69	69	69	69	-	42	70	31	30	31	29	-	29	28	19	18	16	17	-	16	16
Entrenchment Ratio	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.2	2.2	2.1	2.2	-	2.4	2.3	3.1	3.2	3.2	4.5	-	3.9	3.7
Bank Height Ratio	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.0	1.2	1.1	0.9	-	0.9	0.6	0.8	0.6	0.7	0.7	-	0.8	0.7
Wetted Perimeter (ft)		18.9	18.1	23.6	-	19.9	10.2	15.5	14.0	13.9	13.6	-	12.8	12.8	6.9	4.6	4.5	3.8	-	4.3	4.7
Hydraulic Radius (ft)	1.2	1.4	1.4	1.5	-	1.7	1.9	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.6	-	0.6	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	-	0.3	0.1
d50 (mm)	-							-							-						
Stream Reach											ch 5 (1,168										
			Cross-	section X-1	4 (Riffle)					Cross-	ection X-1	5 (Pool)					Cross-s	ection X-1	6 (Pool)		
Based on fixed baseline bankfull elevation	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY7	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY7	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY7
BF Width (ft)		6.9	7.2	6.4	-	5.8	5.8	10.3	7.3	6.4	9.5	-	6.9	6.5	9.3	8.7	8.5	8.9	-	9.0	10.3
BF Mean Depth (ft)		0.6	0.5	0.5	-	0.6	0.5	0.8	1.0	1.0	0.9	-	1.0	0.9	0.8	1.2	1.2	1.2	-	1.1	1.0
Width/Depth Ratio		10.8	13.5	11.6	-	10.3	12.4	13.8	7.1	6.2	10.4	-	6.7	7.4	11.9	7.3	7.0	7.4	-	8.1	10.7
BF Cross-sectional Area (ft²)		4.4	3.8	3.5	-	3.3	2.7	7.7	7.5	6.5	8.7	-	7.0	5.7	7.3	10.4	10.2	10.6	-	10.1	10.0
		1.2	1.0	1.1	-	1.0	0.8	1.5	1.6	1.6	1.6	-	1.6	1.5	1.3	2.7	2.5	2.6	-	2.2	2.2
BF Max Depth (ft)	50	50	50	50	-	50	48	60	59	60	59	-	60	60	64	67	67	67	-	55	64
Width of Floodprone Area (ft)			6.6	7.8	-	8.5	8.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Width of Floodprone Area (ft) Entrenchment Ratio		6.6					0.8		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Width of Floodprone Area (ft) Entrenchment Ratio Bank Height Ratio		6.6 1.0	0.8	0.8	-	0.9	0.0	-													
Width of Floodprone Area (ft) Entrenchment Ratio	6.6		0.8 7.6	0.8 6.9	-	6.3	6.1	11.8	9.3	8.4	10.5	-	7.9	7.2	10.9	11.1	10.9	11.1	-	11.1	11.8
Width of Floodprone Area (ft) Entrenchment Ratio Bank Height Ratio	6.6 1.0	1.0			-			11.8 0.7	9.3 0.8	8.4 0.8	10.5 0.8	-	7.9 0.9	7.2 0.8	10.9 0.7	11.1 0.9	10.9 0.9	11.1 1.0	-	11.1 0.9	11.8 0.8

Table 11b. Stream Reach Morphology Summary
Thomas Creek Restoration Project: DMS Project ID No. 96074
Paget 1 (298 LE)

1110111		<b>CI</b>	•••	11100
D l.	1	(20)	υт	E/

Part	Reach 1 (298 LF)																																				
BF Wide Depth (1)   13.9   12.7   12.4   1   13.1   1   12.4   1   12.4   1   12.4   1   12.4   1   12.4   1   12.4   1   1   12.4   1   1   12.4   1   1   12.4   1   1   12.4   1   1   10.5   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1	Parameter			Ba	seline					M	Y-1					MY	ľ-2					MY	7-3					MY	Y-5					М	Y-7		
BF Mean Depth (d) 0.8	Dimension and Substrate - Riffle	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n
Part	BF Width (ft)		13.9						12.7						12.4				1		13.1				1		12.4				1		12.4				1
BF Cross-sectional Area (89) 11.1			0.8						0.7						0.8				1		0.6				1		0.6				1		0.5				1
BF Max Depth (1)			17.4						19.8						16.5				1		22.3				1		20.9				1		22.6				1
Width of Floodproa Area (1)   30.6   30.0   31.3   1   29.1   1   29.4   1   28.2   2.2   2.2   2.1   1   2.2   1   2.4   1   2.5   3       Bask Height Ratio   1.0   1.2   3   1.1   1   0.9   1   0.9   1   0.6       Footile			11.1						8.2						9.3				1		7.7				1		7.4				1		6.8				1
Enterchement Ratio   2.2   2.2   2.1   1   2.2   1   2.4   1   2.3			1.1						1.1						1.3				1		1.0				1		1.0				1		0.9				1
Sank height Railo   1.0   1.2   1.1   1   0.9   1   0.0   1   0.6   1   0.5   1   0.			30.6						30.0						31.3				1		29.1				1		29.4				1		28.2				1
Riffe Length (f)			2.2						2.2						2.1				1		2.2				1		2.4				1		2.3				1
Riffe Slope (fit)			1.0						1.2						1.1				1		0.9				1		0.9				1		0.6				1
Riffic Stope (1670) Pool Spacing (10) Pool Spacing (10) Pool Max Depth (10)  Fattern  Channel Beltwidth (10) Re Bankfull width (101) Readine Varceingth (10) Meander Wichth Ratio  Drainage Area (SM) BF Velocity (163) BF Velocity (163) BF Distinger (15) BF Distinger																																					
Pool Length (f)																																					
Pool Max Depth (ft)																																					
Pool Max Depth (ft)																																					
Channel Beltwidth (ft)																																					
Channel Beltwidth (ft)	1 . 7																																				
Radius of Curvature (ft)																																					
Re:Bankfull width (ft/ft)																																					
Meander Wavelength (ft)																																					
Meander Width Ratio																																					
Additional Reach Parameters    Drainage Area (SM)																																					
Drainage Area (SM)																																					
Rosgen Classification		1																																			
BF Velocity (fps)																																					
BF Discharge (cfs)																																					
Valley Length																																					
Channel Thalweg Length (ft)																																					
Dilluonity (iii)																																					
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft)																																					
BF slope (ft/ft)																																					
Bankfull Floodplain Area (acres)																																					
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric																																					

Table 11b. Stream Reach Morphology Summary

Thomas Creek Restoration Project: DMS Project ID No Reach 2 upstream (703 LF)	o. 96074																																			
Parameter			Ba	aseline					M	Y-1					М	Y-2					М	Y-3					M	Y-5					MY	Y-7		
Dimension and Substrate - Riffle	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n
BF Width (ft)		10.4						9.8						9.8				1		10.0				1		9.5				1		9.7				1
BF Mean Depth (ft)		0.7						0.6						0.6				1		0.5				1		0.5				1		0.5				1
Width/Depth Ratio		14.8						16.6						16.8				1		21.0				1		18.4				1		19.4				1
BF Cross-sectional Area (ft²)		7.4						5.8						5.6				1		4.8				1		4.9				1		4.8				1
BF Max Depth (ft)		1.0						0.9						0.9				1		0.8				1		0.8				1		0.9				1
Width of Floodprone Area (ft)		38.2						37.0						36.3				1		35.8				1		36.0				1		35.6				1
Entrenchment Ratio		3.7						3.7						3.7				1		3.6				1		3.8				1		3.7				1
Bank Height Ratio		1.0						0.9						1.0				1		0.9				1		0.9				1		0.7				1
Profile																																				
Riffle Length (ft)																																				
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)																																				
Pool Length (ft)																																				
Pool Spacing (ft)																																				
Pool Max Depth (ft)																																				
Pattern																																				
Channel Beltwidth (ft)																																				
Radius of Curvature (ft)																																				
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)																																				
Meander Wavelength (ft)																																				
Meander Width Ratio																																				
Additional Reach Parameters																																				
Drainage Area (SM)																																				
Rosgen Classification																																				
BF Velocity (fps)																																				
BF Discharge (cfs)																																				
Valley Length																																				
Channel Thalweg Length (ft)																																				
Sinuosity (ft)																																				
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft)																																				
BF slope (ft/ft)																																				
Bankfull Floodplain Area (acres)																																				
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric																																				
Chainer Stability of Habitat Metric							1																								I					

Reach 2 downstream (1,423 LF)																																				
Parameter			Ва	seline					М	IY-1					MY	Y-2					MY	<b>'-3</b>					MY	Y-5					MY	-7		
Dimension and Substrate - Riffle	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n
BF Width (ft)	10.2	10.2		10.3		2	9.3	9.5		9.7		2	9.1	9.3		9.5		2	8.5	9.1		9.7		2	9.4	9.4		9.4		2		6.5				2
BF Mean Depth (ft)	0.8	0.9		1.0		2	0.7	0.8		0.9		2	0.7	0.8		0.8		2	0.7	0.8		0.8		2	0.7	0.7		0.7		2		0.5				2
Width/Depth Ratio	10.1	11.4		12.6		2	11.4	12.3		13.2		2	11.7	12.4		13.0		2	11.6	12.2		12.7		2	0.9	0.9		0.9		2		8.5				2
BF Cross-sectional Area (ft²)	8.4	9.3		10.2		2	6.5	7.4		8.3		2	6.4	7.1		7.7		2	5.7	6.9		8.0		2	6.4	6.4		6.4		2		4.9				2
BF Max Depth (ft)	1.2	1.3		1.5		2	1.1	1.2		1.3		2	1.1	1.2		1.3		2	1.0	1.1		1.2		2	1.1	1.1		1.1		2		0.8				2
Width of Floodprone Area (ft)	62.9	68.7		74.5		2	62.9	68.7		74.5		2	63.0	68.7		74.5		2	62.9	68.7		74.5		2	62.9	62.9		62.9		2		45.9				2
Entrenchment Ratio		6.7		7.2		2	6.2	6.8		7.3		2	6.2	6.8		7.3		2	6.5	7.7		8.8		2	6.6	6.6		6.6		2		4.7				2
Bank Height Ratio	0.9	1.0		1.0		2	0.9	1.0		1.0		2	0.9	0.9		0.9		2	0.9	0.9		0.9		2	0.9	0.9		0.9		2		0.6				2
Profile																																				
Riffle Length (ft)																																				
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)																																				
Pool Length (ft)																																				
Pool Spacing (ft) Pool Max Depth (ft)																																				
1																																				
Pattern  Channel Beltwidth (ft)	_																																			
Radius of Curvature (ft)																																				
Re:Bankfull width (ft/ft)																																				
Meander Wavelength (ft)																																				
Meander Width Ratio																																				
Additional Reach Parameters																																				
Drainage Area (SM)																														1						
Rosgen Classification																																				
BF Velocity (fps)																																				
BF Discharge (cfs)																																				
Valley Length																																				
Channel Thalweg Length (ft)																																				
Sinuosity (ft)																																				
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft)																																				
BF slope (ft/ft)																																				
Bankfull Floodplain Area (acres)																																				
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric																																				
Biological or Other																																				

Reach 3 (1,031 LF)																																				
Parameter			Ba	seline					М	Y-1					MY	Y-2					MY	7-3					MY	7-5					MY	-7		
Dimension and Substrate - Riffle	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n
BF Width (ft)	7.5	8.4		9.3		2	7.1	7.9		8.8		2	7.0	7.7		8.3		2	7.4	8.0		8.6		2	6.5	7.6		8.7		2		8.1				2
BF Mean Depth (ft)	0.6	0.7		0.8		2	0.4	0.5		0.6		2	0.5	0.5		0.6		2	0.3	0.5		0.6		2	0.4	0.5		0.6		2		0.5				2
Width/Depth Ratio	11.9	12.1		12.3		2	14.1	15.5		16.9		2	13.7	14.6		15.5		2	14.4	17.9		21.3		2	15.1	16.0		16.9		2		16.2				2
BF Cross-sectional Area (ft²)	4.5	5.9		7.3		2	3.0	4.2		5.4		2	3.1	4.1		5.1		2	2.6	3.9		5.1		2	2.5	3.8		5.1		2		4.2				2
BF Max Depth (ft)	0.9	1.1		1.3		2	0.7	0.9		1.1		2	0.7	0.8		1.0		2	0.7	0.9		1.0		2	0.8	0.9		1.0		2		1.0				2
Width of Floodprone Area (ft)	37.3	46.3		55.3		2	34.1	43.0		51.8		2	34.1 5.0	42.8		51.4		2	33.8	42.3		50.7		2	37.0	44.0		51.0		2		43.4				2
Entrenchment Ratio Bank Height Ratio	5.0	5.5		5.9		2	4.9	5.5		6.0		2	0.8	5.6		6.1		2	4.5	5.2		5.9		2	5.7	5.8		5.9		2		5.3				2
Profile	1.0	1.0		1.0			0.9	0.9		0.9			0.8	0.8		0.8		2	0.7	0.8		0.9		Z	0.8	0.9		0.9		2		0.9				
Riffle Length (ft)							T						1																							
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)																																				
Pool Length (ft)																																				
Pool Spacing (ft)																																				
Pool Max Depth (ft)																																				
Pattern																																				
Channel Beltwidth (ft)											****					****																		****		
Radius of Curvature (ft)																																				
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)																																				
Meander Wavelength (ft)																																				
Meander Width Ratio																																				
Additional Reach Parameters																																				
Drainage Area (SM)																																				
Rosgen Classification																																				
BF Velocity (fps)																																				
BF Discharge (cfs)																																				
Valley Length																																				
Channel Thalweg Length (ft) Sinuosity (ft)																																				
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft)																																				
Water Surface Stope (Channel) (ff/ft) BF slope (ft/ft)																																				
Bankfull Floodplain Area (acres)																																				
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric																																				
Biological or Other																																				
2.00gical of other							1																													

Reach	4	(1	238	I E	

Reach 4 (1,238 LF)		•	•	•		•					•					•				•					•	•	•	•				•				
Parameter			Bas	eline					M	Y-1					MY	Y-2					MY	-3					MY-	5					MY-7	7		
Dimension and Substrate - Riffle	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n
BF Width (ft)		6.8						6.8						6.1				1		5.9				1		6.0				1		4.8				1
BF Mean Depth (ft)		0.5						0.5						0.5				1		0.4				1		0.4				1		0.4				1
Width/Depth Ratio		12.7						12.6						13.5				1		14.8				1		15.0				1		12.0				1
BF Cross-sectional Area (ft²)		3.6						3.6						2.8				1		2.3				1		2.4				1		1.9				1
BF Max Depth (ft)		0.9						1.0						0.8				1		0.7				1		0.6				1		0.6				1
Width of Floodprone Area (ft)		21.9						22.3						20.6				1		20.2				1		21.5				1		18.5				1
Entrenchment Ratio		3.2						3.1						3.2				1		3.4				1		3.2				1		3.8				1
Bank Height Ratio		1.0						1.2						0.9				1		0.8				1		0.8				1		0.8				1
Profile							T						_											-												
Riffle Length (ft)																																				
Riffle Slope (ft/ft) Pool Length (ft)																																				
Pool Length (it) Pool Spacing (ft)																																				
Pool Spacing (it) Pool Max Depth (ft)																																				
Pattern																																				
Channel Beltwidth (ft)																																				
Radius of Curvature (ft)																																				
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)																																				
Meander Wavelength (ft)																																				
Meander Width Ratio																																				
Additional Reach Parameters																																				
Drainage Area (SM)																																				
Rosgen Classification																																				
BF Velocity (fps)																																				
BF Discharge (cfs)																																				
Valley Length																																				
Channel Thalweg Length (ft)																																				
Sinuosity (ft)																																				
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft)																																				
BF slope (ft/ft)																																				
Bankfull Floodplain Area (acres)																																				
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric																																				
Biological or Other																																				

Table 11b. Stream Reach Morphology Summary

Thomas Creek Restoration Project: DMS Project ID	No. 96074																																			
Reach 5 (1,169 LF)		•	•	•				•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•			•	•	•	•		•	•	•		•	•				•	
Parameter			В	Baseline					М	IY-1					M	Y-2					MY	Y-3					М	Y-5					MY	<i></i>		
Dimension and Substrate - Riffle	Min	Mean	Med Med	Max	s SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n
BF Width (		7.5						6.9						7.2				1		6.4				1		5.8				1		5.8				1
BF Mean Depth (		0.9						0.6						0.5				1		0.5				1		0.6				1		0.5				1
Width/Depth Ra BF Cross-sectional Area (f		8.4						10.8						13.5				1		11.6				1		10.3				1		12.4				1
BF Cross-sectional Area (f BF Max Depth (		6.8						4.4						3.8				1		3.5				1		3.3				1		2.7				1
Width of Floodprone Area (		1.2						1.2 40.0						1.0 40.0				1		1.1 40.0				1		1.0				1		0.8 47.7				1
Entrenchment Ra		66						6.6						6.6				1		7.8				1		8.5				1		82				1
Bank Height Ra		1.0						1.0						0.8				1		0.8				1		0.9				1		0.8				1
Profile							_																													
Riffle Length (	ft)																																			
Riffle Slope (ft/																																				
Pool Length (																																				
Pool Spacing (																																				
Pool Max Depth (	ft)																																			
Pattern	a . I																																			
Channel Beltwidth ( Radius of Curvature (																																				
Radius of Curvature ( Re:Bankfull width (ft/																																				
Meander Wavelength (																																				
Meander Width Ra																																				
Additional Reach Parameters																																				
Drainage Area (St	M)																																			
Rosgen Classificati																																				
BF Velocity (fp																																				
BF Discharge (c																																				
Valley Leng																																				
Channel Thalweg Length (																																				
Sinuosity ( Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/																																				
water Surface Stope (Channel) (II/ BF slope (ft/																																				
Bankfull Floodplain Area (acre																																				
Channel Stability or Habitat Met																																				
Biological or Oth																																				
Biological of Ott	101																																			

Reach	6	(1	776	I E/

Reach 6 (1,776 LF)																																				
Parameter			Bas	seline					M	Y-1					MY	<b>₹-2</b>					MY	7-3					M	Y-5					MY	-7		
Dimension and Substrate - Riffle	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n
BF Width (ft)		6.3						4.1						4.1				1		3.7				1		4.2				1		4.4				1
BF Mean Depth (ft)		0.3						0.3						0.2				1		0.2				1		0.3				1		0.1				1
Width/Depth Ratio		18.7						16.1						19.5				1		15.5				1		13.8				1		31.3				1
BF Cross-sectional Area (ft²)		2.1						1.1						0.8				1		0.9				1		1.3				1		0.6				1
BF Max Depth (ft)		0.6						0.5						0.4				1		0.4				1		0.4				1		0.4				1
Width of Floodprone Area (ft)		19.4						17.6						16.0				1		16.9				1		16.4				1		16.4				1
Entrenchment Ratio		3.1						3.2						3.2				1		4.5				1		3.9				1		3.7				1
Bank Height Ratio		0.8						0.6						0.7				1		0.7				1		0.8				1		0.7				1
Profile																																				
Riffle Length (ft)																																				
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)																																				
Pool Length (ft)																																				
Pool Spacing (ft)																																				
Pool Max Depth (ft)																																				
Pattern							_																													
Channel Beltwidth (ft)																																				
Radius of Curvature (ft)																																				
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)																																				
Meander Wavelength (ft)																																				
Meander Width Ratio																									*****											
Additional Reach Parameters							1																	-												
Drainage Area (SM)																																				
Rosgen Classification																																				
BF Velocity (fps) BF Discharge (cfs)																																				
Valley Length																																				
Channel Thalweg Length (ft) Sinuosity (ft)																																				
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft)																																				
Water Surface Stope (Channel) (ff/ft)  BF slope (ft/ft)																																				
Br slope (It/ft) Bankfull Floodplain Area (acres)																																				
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric																																				
Channel Stability of Habitat Metric Biological or Other																																				
Biological or Other																																				


Table 11b. Stream Reach Morphology Summary

Thomas Creek Restoration Project: DMS Project ID N	o. 96074																																			
Reach T1 (227 LF)																																				
Parameter			Ba	seline					M	Y-1					М	Y-2					MY	Y-3					MY	Y-5					MY	-7		
Dimension and Substrate - Riffle	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n
BF Width (ft)		8.5						6.8						6.9				1		6.7				1		6.5				1		5.6				1
BF Mean Depth (ft)		0.6						0.5						0.4				1		0.4				1		0.4				1		0.2				1
Width/Depth Ratio		13.6						13.8						16.0				1		15.0				1		18.7				1		27.4				1
BF Cross-sectional Area (ft²)		5.3						3.4						3.0				1		3.0				1		2.3				1		1.2				1
BF Max Depth (ft)		0.9						0.8						0.7				1		0.6				1		0.5				1		0.3				1
Width of Floodprone Area (ft)		30.6						28.2						27.1				1		26.7				1		25.0				1		17.0				1
Entrenchment Ratio		3.6						3.7						3.7				1		4.0				1		3.8				1		3.1				1
Bank Height Ratio		1.0						1.2						0.9				1		0.9				1		0.9				1		0.9				1
Profile							_																													
Riffle Length (ft)																																				
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)																																				
Pool Length (ft)																																				
Pool Spacing (ft)																																				
Pool Max Depth (ft)																																				
Pattern																																				
Channel Beltwidth (ft)																																				
Radius of Curvature (ft)																																				
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)																																				
Meander Wavelength (ft) Meander Width Ratio																																				
Meander Width Ratio  Additional Reach Parameters																											*****									
							_						_						_					_						_						
Drainage Area (SM) Rosgen Classification																																				
BF Velocity (fps)																																				
BF Velocity (fps) BF Discharge (cfs)																																				
Valley Length																																				
Valley Length Channel Thalweg Length (ft)																																				
Channel Thatweg Length (ft) Sinuosity (ft)																																				
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft)																																				
BF slope (ft/ft)																																				
Bankfull Floodplain Area (acres)							I												l																	
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric																																				
Biological or Other																																				
Biological of Other																																				

## Appendix E


**Hydrologic Data** 

Figure 8. Flow Gauge Graphs




<sup>\*</sup> Surface water flow is estimated to have occurred when the pressure transducer reading is equal to or above 0.02 feet (0.25 inches) in depth.

Figure 8. Flow Gauge Graphs



<sup>\*</sup> Surface water flow is estimated to have occurred when the pressure transducer reading is equal to or above 0.02 feet (0.25 inches) in depth.

Figure 8. Cont.



**Thomas Creek Restoration Project MY7 Observed Rainfall versus Historic Averages** 10.0 8.0 Precipitation (inches) 6.0 4.0 2.0 0.0 Wake County Historic Average (44.5 in) Historic 30% Probable (29.1 in) -- Observed Project Rainfall (24.8 in) Historic 70% Probable (53.3 in)

Figure 9. Observed Rainfall Versus Historic Averages

Note: Historic average annual rainfall for Wake County is 44.53", while the observed project rainfall recorded a total of 24.8" over the previous 12 months (from 11/1/2021 to 10/31/2022). Project rainfall data was collected from the NC-CRONOS station LAKE.

	on of Bankfull Events ration Project: DMS	Project ID No. 96074	
Date of Data Collection	Reach 2 Crest Gauge (feet)	Estimated Occurrence of Bankfull Event	Method of Data Collection
		Year 1 Monitoring (2016)	
10/27/2016	1.1	10/8/2016 (Hurricane Matthew)	Crest Gauge, Flow Gauge
		Year 2 Monitoring (2017)	
5/2/2017	0.21	4/25/2017 (3.2" rain event)	Crest Gauge, Flow Gauge
		Year 3 Monitoring (2018)	
4/23/2018	0.97	4/15/2018 (1.8" rain event)	Crest Gauge, Flow Gauge
10/10/2018	1.49	9/15-17/2018 (6.1" from Hurricane Florence)	Crest Gauge, Flow Gauge
		Year 4 Monitoring (2019)	
4/25/2019	0.89	4/19/2019 (0.71" rain event)	Crest Gauge, Flow Gauge
		Year 5 Monitoring (2020)	
2/21/2020	0.98	2/6/20 (3.1" rain event)	Crest Gauge, Flow Gauge
		Year 6 Monitoring (2021)	
8/10/2021	0.62	7/8/21 (2.93" rain event)	Crest Gauge, Flow Gauge
		Year 7 Monitoring (2022)	
3/25/2022	0.67	1/3/22 (1.23" rain event)	Crest Gauge, Flow Gauge

 $Note: \ Crest \ gauge \ reading \ can \ be \ corroborated \ with \ associated \ spikes \ in \ the \ flow \ gauge \ reading \ graphs \ (see \ Appendix \ E).$ 

Table 13. Flow	Gauge S	Success												
Thomas Creek	Restora	tion Pro	ject: DM	IS Projec	ct ID No.	96074								
		Most C	Consecutiv	ve Days M	Ieeting C	riteria <sup>1</sup>			Cur	nulative I	Days Mee	ting Crite	eria <sup>2</sup>	
Flow Gauge ID	Year 1 (2016)	Year 2 (2017)	Year 3 (2018)	Year 4 (2019)	Year 5 (2020)	Year 6 (2021)	Year 7 (2022)	Year 1 (2016)	Year 2 (2017)	Year 3 (2018)	Year 4 (2019)	Year 5 (2020)	Year 6 (2021)	Year 7 (2022)
				Reach 2	2 Flow G	auge #1	(Installe	d March	30, 2016	6)				
TCFL1	229	248	357	179	129*	279	271	229	248	357	240	129*	279	290
				Reach 5	Flow G	auge #2	(Installe	d March	30, 2016	<u>(</u>				
TCFL2	126	138	82	94	295	224	166	182	218	204	191	295	272	230

## Notes:

Success Criteria: A restored stream reach will be considered at least intermittent when the flow duration occurs for a minimum of 30 consecutive days during the monitoring year.

Surface water flow is estimated to have occurred when the pressure transducer reading is equal to or above 0.02 feet (0.25 inches) in depth.

<sup>\*</sup> Flow Gauge #1 failed on 5/8/20 and was replaced on 12/18/20

Indicates the single greatest number of consecutive days within the monitoring year where flow was measured.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Indicates the total number of days within the monitoring year where flow was measured.