

Unnamed Tributary to Crab Creek Stream and Wetland Restoration

NCEEP Project Number: 857

Monitoring Contract Number: 004495

Monitoring Year 3

2012 Final Report




**Submitted to
North Carolina Ecosystem Enhancement Program
North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources
November 2012**



**1652 Mail Service Center
Raleigh, NC 27699**

Monitoring Firm



**37 Haywood Street, Suite 100
Asheville, North Carolina 28801
Phone: 828-253-6856**

**Project Contact: Kevin Mitchell
Email: kevin@equinoxenvironmental.com**

Unnamed Tributary to Crab Creek Stream and Wetland Restoration 2012 Monitoring Report (MY 3)

Table of Contents

1.0	Executive Summary / Project Abstract	Page 1
2.0	Methodology	Page 3
3.0	References	Page 4

Appendices

Appendix A. Project Vicinity Map and Background Tables

- Figure 1. Vicinity Map and Directions
- Table 1a. Project Components
- Table 1b. Component Summations
- Table 2. Project Activity and Reporting History
- Table 3. Project Contacts
- Table 4. Project Attributes

Appendix B. Visual Assessment Data

- Figure 2. Integrated Current Condition Plan View
- Table 5. Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment
- Table 6. Vegetation Condition Assessment
- Photo Station Photos

Appendix C. Vegetation Plot Data

- Table 7. Vegetation Plot Criteria Attainment
- Vegetation Monitoring Plot Photos
- Table 8. CVS Vegetation Plot Metadata
- Table 9. Planted and Total Stem Counts (Species by Plot with Annual Means)

Appendix D. Stream Survey Data

- Cross-Sections with Annual Overlays and Photos
- Longitudinal Profiles with Annual Overlays
- Pebble Count Plots with Annual Overlays
- Table 10a. Baseline Stream Data Summary
- Table 10b. Baseline Stream Data Summary (Substrate, Bed, Bank, and Hydrologic Containment Parameter Distributions)
- Table 11a. Monitoring Data – Dimensional Morphology Summary (Dimensional Parameters – Cross-Sections)
- Table 11b. Monitoring Data – Stream Reach Data Summary

Appendix E. Hydrologic Data

- Table 12. Verification of Bankfull Events
- Monthly Precipitation Data Compared to 30th and 70th Percentiles for Alleghany County, NC
- Precipitation and Water Level Plots
- Table 13. Wetland Gauge Attainment Data

1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY / PROJECT ABSTRACT

The goals and objectives stated in the Unnamed Tributary to Crab Creek Restoration Plan (NCEEP 2007) are as follows:

Project Goals:

- Reduce bank sediment export and nutrient inputs to the receiving watershed of Crab Creek, a Class C Trout Water;
- Enhance and preserve riparian buffers of a headwater trout stream;
- Enhance aquatic and terrestrial habitat along an intact stream corridor;
- Improve wetland functions by connecting and expanding the following wetland communities: Swamp Forest-Bog Complex, Southern Appalachian Bog, and Montane Alluvial Forest and;
- Improve and expand Southern Appalachian Bog wetland habitat for the Bog Turtle *Clemmys muhlenburgii*.

Project Objectives:

- Restore 4,026 linear feet of stream channel with appropriate pattern, profile, and dimension to support a gravel transport system;
- Re-establish the natural stream features (bed heterogeneity) to restore aquatic habitat;
- Improve aquatic organism passage and habitat corridor continuity by replacing the culvert; and
- Convert existing croplands into Swamp Forest-Bog Complex and Southern Appalachian Bog communities.

The monitoring year three (MY3) vegetation plot data indicate that the project is not meeting the established criterion for planted stem density, which is a minimum survival of 320 planted stems per acre at the end of year three. Average stem density for planted stems in MY3 is approximately 297 stems per acre. Six of the nine vegetation plots ($\approx 67\%$) did not meet the year three interim success criteria numbers per acre. These include VP 1, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8; which had 121, 162, 121, 243, 283, and 283 stems per acre, respectively. However, when planted and naturally regenerated stems are combined, the average stem density is 1,043 stems per acre, with all plots meeting or exceeding the criterion. The number of native woody species ranged from 3-7 across all plots with 14 species noted site wide.

There are also approximately 20 isolated patches of high threat invasive plants that are distributed throughout the project area. Three percent of the easement acreage is comprised of these invasive plants and this percentage has remained the same since the 2011 MY2 monitoring efforts. The dominant species is multiflora rose *Rosa multiflora*; other species present include oriental bittersweet *Celastrus orbiculatus*, privet *Ligustrum sp.*, and Japanese honeysuckle *Lonicera japonica*. There also are two areas with low stem densities that are associated with the streambank erosion areas as noted below.

All cross sections have remained stable through MY3. They are well vegetated and show no signs of erosion or other type of deterioration.

Stream longitudinal profiles also have remained stable between monitoring years. Stream channel problems observed during MY3 were minimal and consisted of two bank erosion areas. No bankfull events were documented during MY3.

Beaver activity was documented on the mainstem and on the lower portion of UT1. This information was conveyed to NCEEP, who prepared a beaver removal request form that was submitted to the Animal and Plant Health Inspections Service (APHIS).

Substrate data reveal that particle-size distributions remain stable, with no significant trends towards coarser or finer materials. This shows that the channel is transporting sediment as designed.

Stream reach geomorphological data indicates the stream channels have remained very stable since construction. No bankfull events were documented during MY3; only one such event has occurred since construction.

Data from the eight groundwater monitoring stations revealed that all but two stations met the soil saturation criterion of groundwater being within 12 inches of the soil surfaces for at least five percent of the growing season. The on-site rain gauge documented normal precipitation during the majority of the growing season. During normal rainfall years all groundwater gauges are expected to meet the minimum criteria.

Summary information and data related to the occurrence of items such as beaver or easement encroachment and statistics related to performance of various project and monitoring elements can be found in the tables and figures in the report appendices. Narrative background and supporting information formerly found in these reports can be found in the mitigation and restoration plan documents available on NCEEP's website. All raw data supporting tables and figures in the appendices are available from NCEEP upon request.

2.0 Methodology

The stream monitoring methodologies utilized in MY3 replicate those employed during the previous monitoring years and are based on standard guidance and procedures documents (Rosgen 1996; USACE 2003).

Vegetation plot monitoring data were collected following the standard CVS-EEP Protocol for Recording Vegetation, Level II, Version 4.2 (Lee et al. 2008).


Wetland hydrology was considered established if groundwater monitoring data indicated saturated soils within 12 inches of the soil surface for 5% of the growing season. Due to the Alleghany County data set being based on a site with elevations approximately 1,000 feet different from the project site, the growing season for the site was based on the Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) data set for Ashe County (NRCS 2009).

3.0 References


- Lee, M.T., R.K. Peet, S.D. Roberts, and T.R. Wentworth. 2008. CVS-EEP Protocol for Recording Vegetation. Version 4.2. The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Department of Biology.
- NCEEP (North Carolina Ecosystem Enhancement Program). 2007. UT to Crab Creek Restoration Site. Alleghany County, North Carolina. Restoration Plan. Raleigh.
- NRCS (Natural Resources Conservation Service). Undated. Climate Analysis for Wetlands by County. <http://www.wcc.nrcs.usda.gov/climate/wetlands.html>; accessed November 2012.
- Rosgen, D.L. 1996. Applied River Morphology. Wildland Hydrology Books. Pagosa Springs, Colorado.
- USACE (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers). 2003. Stream Mitigation Guidelines. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, North Carolina Wildlife Resources Commission, North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources-Division of Water Quality. Wilmington District.

Appendix A
Project Vicinity Map and Background Tables

The subject project site is an environmental restoration site of the NCDENR Ecosystem Enhancement Program (NCEEP) and is encompassed by a recorded conservation easement, but is bordered by land under private ownership. Accessing the site may require traversing areas near or along the easement boundary and therefore access by the general public is not permitted. Access by authorized personnel of state and federal agencies or their designees/contractors involved in the development, oversight and stewardship of the restoration site is permitted within the terms and timeframes of their defined roles. Any intended site visitation or activity by any person outside of these previously sanctioned roles and activities requires prior coordination with NCEEP.



UT to Crab Creek Stream & Wetland Restoration Site



Directions: From Raleigh, proceed west on I-40 towards Winston Salem. Take Exit 193 B (NC-8N/US-52N) towards Mount Airy. Proceed on US-52N to I-74W towards Wytheville, VA. Take Exit 5 (I-77S) and proceed to Exit 100 (Mount Airy/Galax/NC-89). Turn left and proceed west on NC-89. Turn left at NC-18 and go approximately 6 miles to the project site. The site is located on the north side of NC-18.

Figure 1 - Vicinity Map
 UT to Crab Creek Stream & Wetland Restoration Site
 Project No. 857
 Alleghany County, North Carolina

EQUINOX ENVIRONMENTAL
 CONSULTATION & DESIGN

Ecosystem Enhancement PROGRAM

0 1,250 2,500 5,000 Feet

7.5 Minute Series Cumberland Knob Quadrangle

Table 1a. Project Components UT Crab Creek Stream & Wetland / Project No. 857									
Project Component or Reach ID	Existing Feet/Acres	Restoration Level	Approach	Footage or Acreage	Stationing	Buffer Acres	BMP Elements	Comment	
UT1	2,313 lf	R	P3	1,775 lf	100+00 - 101+71		Existing culvert and crossing removed.	Stream channel stabilized with in-stream structures, including step pools and riffle grade control.	
					103+00 - 104+35				
					105+34 - 112+29				
					113+51 - 116+88				
		E	EII	496 lf	120+26 - 124+65			101+71 - 103+00	Included revegetation and stream bank stabilization.
					104+35 - 105+34				
					112+29 - 113+51				
				116+88 - 118+34					
UTCC-US	2,086 lf	R	P2	2,485 lf	10+00 - 34+85		Existing culvert and crossing replaced with open bottom arch culvert.	Stream channel stabilized with in-stream structures, including step pools and riffle grade control.	
UTCC-DS	2,172 lf	P		2,172 lf	34+85 - 56+57				
Wetland 1	0.5 ac	P		0.5 ac				Intact Swamp Forest-Bog Complex. UT 1 restoration and enhancement reach goes through this wetland. Wetland preservation limited to areas outside of the stream buffer.	
Wetland 2	6.7	R		6.9				Overfill cropland soil removed, cropland ditches filled, wellhead removed, and site graded to restore Southern Appalachian Bog Community hydrology.	
Wetland 2	2.7 ac	P		2.7 ac				Preservation of Swamp Forest-Bog Complex along UTCC-DS reach.	
Wetland 2	0.9 ac	R		0.8 ac				Ditch filled and existing fill, debris, and culvert drain removed. Existing seep heads developed and additional hardwood trees planted to restore and enhance Montane Alluvial Forest.	
	3.1 ac	E		3.1 ac					
Wetland 3	0.3 ac	R		0.3 ac				Overfill cropland soil removed, groundwater springs exposed, and bog wetland species planted to restore and create Southern Appalachian Bog Community hydrology.	
	0.0 ac	C		0.2 ac					
Wetland 3	2.2 ac	P		2.2 ac				Preservation of Southern Appalachian Bog Community.	

=Non-Applicable

Table 1b. Component Summations UT Crab Creek Stream & Wetland / Project No. 857							
Restoration Level	Stream (lf)	Riparian Wetland (Ac)		Non-Riparian (Ac)	Upland (Ac)	Buffer (Ac)	BMP
		Riverine	Non-Riverine				
Restoration	4,260	8.0					
Enhancement		3.1					
Enhancement I	0						
Enhancement II	496						
Creation		0.2					
Preservation	2,172	5.3					
HQ Preservation	0	0	0				
		16.6	0.0				
Totals	6,928	16.6	0	0	0	0	0

=Non-Applicable

Table 2. Project Activity & Reporting History UT Crab Creek Stream & Wetland / Project No. 857		
Activity or Report	Data Collection Complete	Actual Completion or Delivery
Land Acquisition	N/A	May 2006
Environmental Resource Technical Report	2006	May 2007
Restoration Plan	2007	Dec 2007
Permit Date	N/A	April 2008
Final Design - Construction Plans	N/A	Aug 2008
Construction	N/A	April 2010
Temporary S&E mix applied	N/A	2009 - 2010
Permanent seed mix applied	N/A	April 2010
Planting	N/A	April 2010
Initial Wetland Monitoring Gauges & Rain Gauge Installed	N/A	April 2010
Morphological Data Collection	June 2010	N/A
Mitigation Plan / As-built (Year 0 Monitoring - Baseline)	June 2010	Feb 2011
Year 1 Monitoring	March 2011	Oct 2011
Year 2 Monitoring	Oct 2011	Dec 2011
Year 3 Monitoring	Nov 2012	Jan 2013
Year 4 Monitoring		
Year 5 Monitoring		

N/A - Item does not apply.

Table 3. Project Contacts UT Crab Creek Stream & Wetland / Project No. 857	
Designer	KCI Associates of North Carolina Landmark Center II, Suite 220 4601 Six Forks Road Raleigh, NC 27609
Primary Project Design POC	April Davis (919) 783-9214
Construction Contractor	Carolina Environmental Contracting Inc. P.O. Box 1905 Mount Airy, NC 27030
Construction Contractor POC	Stephen James (336) 320-3849
Planting Contractor	Carolina Environmental Contracting Inc. P.O. Box 1905 Mount Airy, NC 27030
Planting Contractor POC	Stephen James (336) 320-3849
Seeding Contractor	Carolina Environmental Contracting Inc. P.O. Box 1905 Mount Airy, NC 27030
Seeding Contractor POC	Stephen James (336) 320-3849
Seed Mix Sources	Green Resources
Nursery Stock Suppliers	Mellow Marsh Farm (919) 742-1200
Monitoring Performers (Y0) - 2009	Equinox Environmental Consultation & Design, Inc. 37 Haywood Street, Suite 100 Asheville, North Carolina 28801
Stream Monitoring POC	Win Taylor (828) 253-6856
Vegetation Monitoring POC	Win Taylor (828) 253-6856
Wetland Monitoring POC	Win Taylor (828) 253-6856
Monitoring Performers (Y1) - 2010	Equinox Environmental Consultation & Design, Inc. 37 Haywood Street, Suite 100 Asheville, North Carolina 28801
Stream Monitoring POC	Win Taylor (828) 253-6856
Vegetation Monitoring POC	Win Taylor (828) 253-6856
Wetland Monitoring POC	Win Taylor (828) 253-6856
Monitoring Performers (Y2) - 2011	Equinox Environmental Consultation & Design, Inc. 37 Haywood Street, Suite 100 Asheville, North Carolina 28801
Stream Monitoring POC	Win Taylor (828) 253-6856
Vegetation Monitoring POC	Win Taylor (828) 253-6856
Wetland Monitoring POC	Win Taylor (828) 253-6856
Monitoring Performers (Y3)- 2012	Equinox Environmental Consultation & Design, Inc. 37 Haywood Street, Suite 100 Asheville, North Carolina 28801
Stream Monitoring POC	Kevin Mitchell (828) 253-6856
Vegetation Monitoring POC	Kevin Mitchell (828) 253-6856
Wetland Monitoring POC	Kevin Mitchell (828) 253-6856
Monitoring Performers (Y4)- 2013	
Stream Monitoring POC	
Vegetation Monitoring POC	
Wetland Monitoring POC	
Monitoring Performers (Y5)- 2014	
Stream Monitoring POC	
Vegetation Monitoring POC	
Wetland Monitoring POC	

Table 4. Project Attributes			
UT Crab Creek Stream & Wetland / Project No. 857			
Project County	Alleghany		
Physiographic Region	Blue Ridge		
Ecoregion	New River Plateau		
River Basin	Little River		
USGS HUC	05050001030020		
NCDWQ Sub-Basin	05-07-03		
Within Extent of EEP Watershed Plan	Little River and Laurel Branch Local Watershed Plans		
WRC Class	Cold		
% of Project Easement Fenced or Demarcated	100%		
Beaver Activity Observed During Design Phase	No		
Restoration Component Attributes			
	UT1	UTCC-US	UTCC-DS
Drainage Area (sq.mi.)	0.53	1.65	2.64
Stream Order	First	Second	Second
Restored Length (feet)	1,775	2,485	N/A
Perennial or Intermittent	Perennial	Perennial	Perennial
Watershed Type	Rural		
Watershed LULC Distribution			
	Forest/Wetland	53%	
	Pasture/Managed Herbaceous	45%	
	Other	2%	
Watershed Impervious Cover	-	-	-
NCDWQ AU/Index Number	10-9-12	10-9-12	10-9-12
NCDWQ Classification	C; Tr	C; Tr	C; Tr
303d Listed	No	No	No
Upstream of 303d Listed Segment	No	No	No
Reasons for 303d Listing or Stressor	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total Acreage of Easement	47.8		
Total Vegetated Acreage within Easement	9.0	10.6	19.7
Total Planted Acreage as Part of Restoration	3.3	10.6	1.5
Rosgen Classification of Pre-Existing	G4/C4	C4	E4
Rosgen Classification of As-Built	Cb/C	C	N/A
Valley Type	-	-	-
Valley Slope	0.025	0.010	-
Valley Side Slope Range	-	-	-
Valley Toe Slope Range	-	-	-
Cowardin Classification	N/A	N/A	N/A
Trout Waters Designation	Yes	Yes	Yes
Species of Concern, Endangered, Etc.	Bog Turtle, American Speedwell, and Canadian Burnet		
Dominant Soil Series and Characteristics			
	Series	Nikwasi	
	Depth	-	-
	Clay%	-	-
	K	-	-
	T	-	-


- Information unavailable.

N/A - Item does not apply.

Appendix B

Visual Assessment Data

Figure 2. Integrated Current Condition Plan View - Final






Prepared for	Project: UT to Crab Creek Stream and Wetland Restoration	Notes: 1) Base Map from CAD file "Crab_base_final" Provided by KCI Associates of NC P.A.	Prepared by
	Year 3 Monitoring Alleghany County, North Carolina	2) 2010 Aerial Photo	
	Sheet 1 of 4	Project Number	
	Date November 2012	NCEEP # 857	

Figure 2. Integrated Current Condition Plan View - Final






Prepared for	Project: UT to Crab Creek Stream and Wetland Restoration Year 3 Monitoring Alleghany County, North Carolina	Notes: 1) Base Map from CAD file "Crab_base_final" Provided by KCI Associates of NC P.A. 2) 2010 Aerial Photo	Prepared by
	Sheet 2 of 4		
	Date	Project Number	
	November 2012	NCEEP # 857	

Figure 2. Integrated Current Condition Plan View - Final



Legend

- - - Easement Boundary
- Stream Alignment
- Power Line ROW
- Roads
- Longitudinal Profile Begin/End
- Cross Sections
- Structures
- ▲ Control Points
- Crest Gauges
- Rain Gauge
- ★ Photo Points

Wetlands

- Creation
- Restoration
- Enhancement
- Preservation

Community Types

- Montane Alluvial Forest
- Southern Appalachian Bog
- Swamp Forest-Bog Complex

Year 3 Conditions

Vegetation Plots

- Criteria Met - MY3
- Criteria Not Met - MY3

Wetland Gauges

- Criteria Met - MY3
- Criteria Not Met - MY3

Bank/Bed Conditions

- Bank - Scoured / Eroding
- Beaver Activity

Vegetation Problem Areas

- Easement Encroachment
- Low Stem Densities
- Invasives - Dense
- Invasives - Present
- ATV Trail




	Prepared for Project: UT to Crab Creek Stream and Wetland Restoration Year 3 Monitoring Alleghany County, North Carolina	Notes: 1) Base Map from CAD file "Crab_base_final" Provided by KCI Associates of NC P.A. 2) 2010 Aerial Photo	Prepared by 
	Sheet 3 of 4		
	Date November 2012	Project Number NCEEP # 857	

Figure 2. Integrated Current Condition Plan View - Final





Prepared for	Project: UT to Crab Creek Stream and Wetland Restoration Year 3 Monitoring Alleghany County, North Carolina	Notes: 1) Base Map from CAD file "Crab_base_final" Provided by KCI Associates of NC P.A. 2) 2010 Aerial Photo	Prepared by
	Sheet 4 of 4		
	Date	Project Number	
	November 2012	NCEEP # 857	

Table 5. Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment UT Crab Creek Stream & Wetland / Project No. 857 - UT1 - Upper Assessed Length 1,832 feet										
Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number Stable, Performing as Intended	Total Number in As-built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Adjusted % for Stabilizing Woody Vegetation
1. Bed	1. Vertical Stability (Riffle and Run Units)	1. <u>Aggradation</u> - Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly deflect flow laterally (not to include point bars).			0	0	100%			
		2. <u>Degradation</u> - Evidence of downcutting.			0	0	100%			
	2. Riffle Condition	1. <u>Texture/Substrate</u> - Riffle maintains coarser substrate.	17	17			100%			
		3. Meander Pool Condition	1. <u>Depth</u> Sufficient (Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth \geq 1.6).	20	20					
	2. <u>Length</u> appropriate (>30% of centerline distance between tail of upstream riffle and head of downstream riffle).		20	20			100%			
	4. Thalweg Position	1. Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run).	20	20			100%			
		2. Thalweg centering at downstream of meander bend (Glide).	19	20			95%			
2. Bank	1. Scoured / Eroding	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion.			1	25	99%	0	0	99%
	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does <u>NOT</u> include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat.			0	0	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, calving, or collapse.			0	0	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Totals					1	25	99%	0	0	99%
3. Engineered Structures	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs.	15	15			100%			
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill.	15	15			100%			
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	15	15			100%			
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does <u>NOT</u> exceed 15%.	15	15			100%			
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ~ Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth Ratio \geq 1.6. Rootwads/logs providing some cover at base-flow.	15	15			100%			

N/A - Item does not apply.

Table 5. Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment UT Crab Creek Stream & Wetland / Project No. 857 - UT1 - Lower Assessed Length 438 feet										
Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number Stable, Performing as Intended	Total Number in As-built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Adjusted % for Stabilizing Woody Vegetation
1. Bed	1. Vertical Stability (Riffle and Run Units)	1. <u>Aggradation</u> - Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly deflect flow laterally (not to include point bars).			0	0	100%			
		2. <u>Degradation</u> - Evidence of downcutting.			0	0	100%			
	2. Riffle Condition	1. <u>Texture/Substrate</u> - Riffle maintains coarser substrate.	5	5			100%			
		3. Meander Pool Condition	1. <u>Depth</u> Sufficient (Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth \geq 1.6).	4	4					
	2. <u>Length</u> appropriate (>30% of centerline distance between tail of upstream riffle and head of downstream riffle).		4	4			100%			
	4. Thalweg Position	1. Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run).	4	4			100%			
		2. Thalweg centering at downstream of meander bend (Glide).	4	4			100%			
2. Bank	1. Scoured / Eroding	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion.			0	0	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does <u>NOT</u> include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat.			0	0	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, calving, or collapse.			0	0	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Totals					0	0	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
3. Engineered Structures	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs.	5	5			100%			
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill.	5	5			100%			
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	5	5			100%			
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does <u>NOT</u> exceed 15%.	5	5			100%			
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ~ Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth Ratio \geq 1.6. Rootwads/logs providing some cover at base-flow.	5	5			100%			

N/A - Item does not apply.

Table 5. Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment UT Crab Creek Stream & Wetland / Project No. 857 - UTCC - US Assessed Length 2,485 feet										
Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number Stable, Performing as Intended	Total Number in As-built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Adjusted % for Stabilizing Woody Vegetation
1. Bed	1. Vertical Stability (Riffle and Run Units)	1. <u>Aggradation</u> - Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly deflect flow laterally (not to include point bars).			0	0	100%			
		2. <u>Degradation</u> - Evidence of downcutting.			0	0	100%			
	2. Riffle Condition	1. <u>Texture/Substrate</u> - Riffle maintains coarser substrate.	17	17			100%			
		3. Meander Pool Condition	1. <u>Depth</u> Sufficient (Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth \geq 1.6).	15	15					
	2. <u>Length</u> appropriate (>30% of centerline distance between tail of upstream riffle and head of downstream riffle).		15	15			100%			
	4. Thalweg Position	1. Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run).	15	15			100%			
		2. Thalweg centering at downstream of meander bend (Glide).	15	15			100%			
	2. Bank	1. Scoured / Eroding	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion.			1	60			
2. Undercut		Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does <u>NOT</u> include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat.			0	0	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
3. Mass Wasting		Bank slumping, calving, or collapse.			0	0	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Totals					1	60	99%	0	0	99%
3. Engineered Structures	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs.	7	7			100%			
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill.	7	7			100%			
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	7	7			100%			
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does <u>NOT</u> exceed 15%.	7	7			100%			
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ~ Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth Ratio \geq 1.6. Rootwads/logs providing some cover at base-flow.	7	7			100%			

N/A - Item does not apply.

Table 6. Vegetation Condition Assessment UT Crab Creek Stream & Wetland / Project No. 857 Planted Acreage 15.4					
Vegetation Category	Definitions	CCPV Depiction	Number of Polygons	Combined Acreage	% of Planted Acreage
1. Bare Areas	Very limited cover of both woody and herbaceous material.	N/A	0	0.00	0%
2. Low Stem Density Areas	Woody stem densities clearly below target levels based on MY3, 4, or 5 stem count criteria.	Stipple Black Dots White Background	2	0.06	<1%
Totals			2	0.06	0%
3. Areas of Poor Growth Rates or Vigor	Areas with woody stems of a size class that are obviously small given the monitoring year.	N/A	0	0.00	0%
Cumulative Totals			2	0.06	0%
Easement Acreage 47.8					
Vegetation Category	Definitions	CCPV Depiction	Number of Polygons	Combined Acreage	% of Easement Acreage
4. Invasive Areas of Concern	Areas or points (if too small to render as polygons at map scale).	Cross Hatch (Red - Dense/Yellow - Present)	20	1.36	3%
5. Easement Encroachment Areas	Areas or points (if too small to render as polygons at map scale).	Stipple Orange Dots White Background & ATV Trail	2	0.46	<1%

N/A - Item does not apply.



Unnamed Tributary 1 – Permanent Photo Station 1
Upstream



Unnamed Tributary 1 – Permanent Photo Station 2
Upstream



Unnamed Tributary 1 – Permanent Photo Station 3
Upstream



Unnamed Tributary 1 – Permanent Photo Station 3
Downstream



Unnamed Tributary 1 – Permanent Photo Station 4
Upstream



Unnamed Tributary 1 – Permanent Photo Station 5
Upstream



Unnamed Tributary 1 – Permanent Photo Station 6
Upstream



Unnamed Tributary 1 – Permanent Photo Station 7
Upstream



Unnamed Tributary Crab Creek Upper – Permanent Photo Station 7
Upstream



Unnamed Tributary Crab Creek Upper – Permanent Photo Station 7
Downstream



Wetland Area 2 – Permanent Photo Station 8
North



Wetland Area 2 – Permanent Photo Station 8
Southwest



Wetland Area 2 – Permanent Photo Station 9
North



Wetland Area 2 – Permanent Photo Station 9
West



Unnamed Tributary Crab Creek Upper – Permanent Photo Station 10
Upstream



Unnamed Tributary Crab Creek Upper – Permanent Photo Station 11
Upstream



Unnamed Tributary Crab Creek Upper – Permanent Photo Station 11
Downstream



Unnamed Tributary Crab Creek Upper – Permanent Photo Station 12
Upstream



Unnamed Tributary Crab Creek Upper – Permanent Photo Station 13
Upstream



Wetland Area 2 – Permanent Photo Station 14
West



Wetland Area 2 – Permanent Photo Station 15
Southwest



Wetland Area 3 – Permanent Photo Station 16
South

Appendix C

Vegetation Plot Data

Table 7. Vegetation Plot Criteria Attainment UT Crab Creek / Project No. 857		
Vegetation Plot ID	Vegetation Survival Threshold Met?	Tract Mean
1	No	33%
2	Yes	
3	Yes	
4	No	
5	No	
6	No	
7	No	
8	No	
9	Yes	



Vegetation Monitoring Plot 1
Monitoring Year 3 – June 6, 2012



Vegetation Monitoring Plot 2
Monitoring Year 3 – June 6, 2012



Vegetation Monitoring Plot 3
Monitoring Year 3 – June 6, 2012



Vegetation Monitoring Plot 4
Monitoring Year 3 – June 6, 2012



Vegetation Monitoring Plot 5
Monitoring Year 3 – June 6, 2012



Vegetation Monitoring Plot 6
Monitoring Year 3 – June 6, 2012



Vegetation Monitoring Plot 7
Monitoring Year 3 – June 6, 2012



Vegetation Monitoring Plot 8
Monitoring Year 3 – June 6, 2012




Vegetation Monitoring Plot 9
Monitoring Year 3 – June 6, 2012

Table 8. CVS Vegetation Plot Metadata UT Crab Creek - 857	
Report Prepared By	Kevin Mitchell
Date Prepared	8/10/2012 10:50
Database Name	Equinox-2012-A-UTCrab-MY3.mdb
Database Location	Z:\ES\NRI&MEEP Monitoring\UT Crab Creek\UTC-MY3-2012\Data\Veg
Computer Name	D16TNK71
File Size	46755840
DESCRIPTION OF WORKSHEETS IN THIS DOCUMENT-----	
Metadata	Description of database file, the report worksheets, and a summary of project(s) and project data.
Proj. Planted	Each project is listed with its PLANTED stems per acre, for each year. This excludes live stakes.
Proj. Total Stems	Each project is listed with its TOTAL stems per acre, for each year. This includes live stakes, all planted stems, and all natural/volunteer stems.
Plots	List of plots surveyed with location and summary data (live stems, dead stems, missing, etc.).
Vigor	Frequency distribution of vigor classes for stems for all plots.
Vigor by Spp	Frequency distribution of vigor classes listed by species.
Damage	List of most frequent damage classes with number of occurrences and percent of total stems impacted by each.
Damage by Spp	Damage values tallied by type for each species.
Damage by Plot	Damage values tallied by type for each plot.
Planted Stems by Plot and Spp	A matrix of the count of PLANTED living stems of each species for each plot; dead and missing stems are excluded.
ALL Stems by Plot and spp	A matrix of the count of total living stems of each species (planted and natural volunteers combined) for each plot; dead and missing stems are excluded.
PROJECT SUMMARY-----	
Project Code	857
Project Name	UT-Crab Creek Stream & Wetland Restoration
Description	
River Basin	New
Length(ft)	
Stream-to-Edge Width (ft)	
Area (sq m)	
Required Plots (calculated)	
Sample d Plots	9

Appendix D

Stream Survey Data

**Unnamed Tributary 1 - Upper
Cross-Section 1 - Riffle
Station 106 + 83.73**





Cross-Section 1 – Riffle
Left Bank Descending
Monitoring Year 3 – May 2, 2012



Cross-Section 1 – Riffle
Right Bank Descending
Monitoring Year 3 – May 2, 2012




Cross-Section 1 – Riffle
Downstream
Monitoring Year 3 – May 2, 2012



Cross-Section 1 – Riffle
Upstream
Monitoring Year 3 – May 2, 2012

**Unnamed Tributary 1 - Upper
Cross-Section 2 - Pool
Station 107 + 77.18**





Cross-Section 2 – Pool
Left Bank Descending
Monitoring Year 3 – May 2, 2012



Cross-Section 2 – Pool
Right Bank Descending
Monitoring Year 3 – May 2, 2012




Cross-Section 2 – Pool
Downstream
Monitoring Year 3 – May 2, 2012



Cross-Section 2 – Pool
Upstream
Monitoring Year 3 – May 2, 2012

**Unnamed Tributary 1 - Upper
Cross-Section 3 - Riffle
Station 109 + 65.00**





Cross-Section 3 – Riffle
Left Bank Descending
Monitoring Year 3 – May 2, 2012



Cross-Section 3 – Riffle
Right Bank Descending
Monitoring Year 3 – May 2, 2012




Cross-Section 3 – Riffle
Downstream
Monitoring Year 3 – May 2, 2012



Cross-Section 3 – Riffle
Upstream
Monitoring Year 3 – May 2, 2012

**Unnamed Tributary 1 - Lower
Cross-Section 4 - Pool
Station 123 + 11.85**





Cross-Section 4 – Pool
Left Bank Descending
Monitoring Year 3 – May 2, 2012



Cross-Section 4 – Pool
Right Bank Descending
Monitoring Year 3 – May 2, 2012




Cross-Section 4 – Pool
Downstream
Monitoring Year 3 – May 2, 2012



Cross-Section 4 – Pool
Upstream
Monitoring Year 3 – May 2, 2012

**Unnamed Tributary 1 - Lower
Cross-Section 5 - Riffle
Station 123 + 54.60**





Cross-Section 5 – Riffle
Left Bank Descending
Monitoring Year 3 – May 2, 2012



Cross-Section 5 – Riffle
Right Bank Descending
Monitoring Year 3 – May 2, 2012




Cross-Section 5 – Riffle
Downstream
Monitoring Year 3 – May 2, 2012



Cross-Section 5 – Riffle
Upstream
Monitoring Year 3 – May 2, 2012

**UT Crab Creek - Upstream
Cross-Section 6 - Riffle
Station 11 + 47.00**





Cross-Section 6 – Riffle
Left Bank Descending
Monitoring Year 3 – May 2, 2012



Cross-Section 6 – Riffle
Right Bank Descending
Monitoring Year 3 – May 2, 2012




Cross-Section 6 – Riffle
Downstream
Monitoring Year 3 – May 2, 2012



Cross-Section 6 – Riffle
Upstream
Monitoring Year 3 – May 2, 2012

**UT Crab Creek - Upstream
Cross-Section 7 - Pool
Station 12 + 02.03**





Cross-Section 7 – Pool
Left Bank Descending
Monitoring Year 3 – May 2, 2012



Cross-Section 7 – Pool
Right Bank Descending
Monitoring Year 3 – May 2, 2012




Cross-Section 7 – Pool
Downstream
Monitoring Year 3 – May 2, 2012



Cross-Section 7 – Pool
Upstream
Monitoring Year 3 – May 2, 2012

**UT Crab Creek - Upstream
Cross-Section 8 - Riffle
Station 17 + 49.02**





Cross-Section 8 – Riffle
Left Bank Descending
Monitoring Year 3 – May 3, 2012



Cross-Section 8 – Riffle
Right Bank Descending
Monitoring Year 3 – May 3, 2012




Cross-Section 8 – Riffle
Downstream
Monitoring Year 3 – May 3, 2012



Cross-Section 8 – Riffle
Upstream
Monitoring Year 3 – May 3, 2012

**UT Crab Creek - Upstream
Cross-Section 9 - Pool
Station 32 + 30.85**





Cross-Section 9 – Pool
Left Bank Descending
Monitoring Year 3 – May 3, 2012



Cross-Section 9 – Pool
Right Bank Descending
Monitoring Year 3 – May 3, 2012




Cross-Section 9 – Pool
Downstream
Monitoring Year 3 – May 3, 2012



Cross-Section 9 – Pool
Upstream
Monitoring Year 3 – May 3, 2012

**UT Crab Creek - Upstream
Cross-Section 10 - Riffle
Station 33 + 08.78**





Cross-Section 10 – Riffle
Left Bank Descending
Monitoring Year 3 – May 3, 2012



Cross-Section 10 – Riffle
Right Bank Descending
Monitoring Year 3 – May 3, 2012





Cross-Section 10 – Riffle
Downstream
Monitoring Year 3 – May 3, 2012




Cross-Section 10 – Riffle
Upstream
Monitoring Year 3 – May 3, 2012

**UT to Crab Creek - Upper
Longitudinal Profile
Stationing 105+63 - 110+63**



**UT to Crab Creek- Lower
Longitudinal Profile
Stationing 120+36 - 124+33**





**UT to Crab Creek- Upstream
Longitudinal Profile
Stationing 10+02 - 34+57**





UT Crab Creek Stream & Wetland / Project No. 857					
UT1 - Upper - Cross-Section 1 - Riffle					
Pebble Count Summary					
			Monitoring Year 3		
Description	Material	Size (mm)	Total #	Item %	Cum %
Silt/Clay	silt/clay	0.062	0	0%	0%
Sand	very fine sand	0.125	0	0%	0%
	fine sand	0.25	12	12%	12%
	medium sand	0.50	17	17%	29%
	coarse sand	1.00	6	6%	35%
	very coarse sand	2.00	11	11%	46%
Gravel	very fine gravel	4.0	2	2%	48%
	fine gravel	5.7	1	1%	49%
	fine gravel	8.0	3	3%	52%
	medium gravel	11.3	8	8%	60%
	medium gravel	16.0	8	8%	68%
	coarse gravel	22.3	8	8%	76%
	coarse gravel	32	8	8%	84%
	very coarse gravel	45	5	5%	89%
	very coarse gravel	64	5	5%	94%
Cobble	small cobble	90	3	3%	97%
	medium cobble	128	1	1%	98%
	large cobble	180	2	2%	100%
	very large cobble	256	0	0%	100%
Boulder	small boulder	362	0	0%	100%
	small boulder	512	0	0%	100%
	medium boulder	1024	0	0%	100%
	large boulder	2048	0	0%	100%
	very large boulder	4096	0	0%	100%
Bedrock	bedrock	>4096	0	0%	100%
TOTALS			100	100%	100%

Summary Data	
D50	6.6
D84	32
D95	72





UT Crab Creek Stream & Wetland / Project No. 857					
UT1 - Upper - Cross-Section 2 - Pool					
Pebble Count Summary					
			Monitoring Year 3		
Description	Material	Size (mm)	Total #	Item %	Cum %
Silt/Clay	silt/clay	0.062	12	12%	12%
Sand	very fine sand	0.125	2	2%	14%
	fine sand	0.25	4	4%	18%
	medium sand	0.50	4	4%	22%
	coarse sand	1.00	1	1%	23%
	very coarse sand	2.00	12	12%	35%
Gravel	very fine gravel	4.0	7	7%	42%
	fine gravel	5.7	7	7%	49%
	fine gravel	8.0	4	4%	53%
	medium gravel	11.3	7	7%	60%
	medium gravel	16.0	3	3%	63%
	coarse gravel	22.3	7	7%	70%
	coarse gravel	32	6	6%	76%
	very coarse gravel	45	8	8%	84%
	very coarse gravel	64	5	5%	89%
Cobble	small cobble	90	3	3%	92%
	medium cobble	128	5	5%	97%
	large cobble	180	3	3%	100%
	very large cobble	256	0	0%	100%
Boulder	small boulder	362	0	0%	100%
	small boulder	512	0	0%	100%
	medium boulder	1024	0	0%	100%
	large boulder	2048	0	0%	100%
	very large boulder	4096	0	0%	100%
Bedrock	bedrock	>4096	0	0%	100%
TOTALS			100	100%	100%

Summary Data	
D50	6.4
D84	45
D95	110





UT Crab Creek Stream & Wetland / Project No. 857					
UT1 - Upper - Cross-Section 3 - Riffle					
Pebble Count Summary					
			Monitoring Year 3		
Description	Material	Size (mm)	Total #	Item %	Cum %
Silt/Clay	silt/clay	0.062	0	0%	0%
Sand	very fine sand	0.125	0	0%	0%
	fine sand	0.25	9	9%	9%
	medium sand	0.50	4	4%	13%
	coarse sand	1.00	5	5%	18%
	very coarse sand	2.00	1	1%	19%
Gravel	very fine gravel	4.0	2	2%	21%
	fine gravel	5.7	2	2%	23%
	fine gravel	8.0	2	2%	25%
	medium gravel	11.3	6	6%	31%
	medium gravel	16.0	14	14%	45%
	coarse gravel	22.3	9	9%	54%
	coarse gravel	32	12	12%	66%
	very coarse gravel	45	11	11%	77%
	very coarse gravel	64	10	10%	87%
Cobble	small cobble	90	11	11%	98%
	medium cobble	128	1	1%	99%
	large cobble	180	1	1%	100%
	very large cobble	256	0	0%	100%
Boulder	small boulder	362	0	0%	100%
	small boulder	512	0	0%	100%
	medium boulder	1024	0	0%	100%
	large boulder	2048	0	0%	100%
	very large boulder	4096	0	0%	100%
Bedrock	bedrock	>4096	0	0%	100%
TOTALS			100	100%	100%

Summary Data	
D50	19
D84	58
D95	82





UT Crab Creek Stream & Wetland / Project No. 857					
UT1 - Lower - Cross-Section 4 - Pool					
Pebble Count Summary					
			Monitoring Year 3		
Description	Material	Size (mm)	Total #	Item %	Cum %
Silt/Clay	silt/clay	0.062	7	7%	7%
Sand	very fine sand	0.125	0	0%	7%
	fine sand	0.25	11	11%	18%
	medium sand	0.50	6	6%	24%
	coarse sand	1.00	6	6%	30%
	very coarse sand	2.00	20	20%	50%
Gravel	very fine gravel	4.0	5	5%	55%
	fine gravel	5.7	0	0%	55%
	fine gravel	8.0	0	0%	55%
	medium gravel	11.3	1	1%	56%
	medium gravel	16.0	8	8%	64%
	coarse gravel	22.3	0	0%	64%
	coarse gravel	32	6	6%	70%
	very coarse gravel	45	10	10%	80%
	very coarse gravel	64	11	11%	91%
Cobble	small cobble	90	7	7%	98%
	medium cobble	128	1	1%	99%
	large cobble	180	1	1%	100%
	very large cobble	256	0	0%	100%
Boulder	small boulder	362	0	0%	100%
	small boulder	512	0	0%	100%
	medium boulder	1024	0	0%	100%
	large boulder	2048	0	0%	100%
	very large boulder	4096	0	0%	100%
Bedrock	bedrock	>4096	0	0%	100%
TOTALS			100	100%	100%

Summary Data	
D50	2
D84	51
D95	78





UT Crab Creek Stream & Wetland / Project No. 857					
UT1 - Lower - Cross-Section 5 - Riffle					
Pebble Count Summary					
			Monitoring Year 3		
Description	Material	Size (mm)	Total #	Item %	Cum %
Silt/Clay	silt/clay	0.062	9	9%	9%
Sand	very fine sand	0.125	18	18%	27%
	fine sand	0.25	6	6%	33%
	medium sand	0.50	14	14%	47%
	coarse sand	1.00	1	1%	48%
	very coarse sand	2.00	5	5%	53%
Gravel	very fine gravel	4.0	1	1%	54%
	fine gravel	5.7	2	2%	56%
	fine gravel	8.0	0	0%	56%
	medium gravel	11.3	3	3%	59%
	medium gravel	16.0	9	9%	68%
	coarse gravel	22.3	5	5%	73%
	coarse gravel	32	6	6%	79%
	very coarse gravel	45	5	5%	84%
	very coarse gravel	64	8	8%	92%
Cobble	small cobble	90	5	5%	97%
	medium cobble	128	1	1%	98%
	large cobble	180	2	2%	100%
	very large cobble	256	0	0%	100%
Boulder	small boulder	362	0	0%	100%
	small boulder	512	0	0%	100%
	medium boulder	1024	0	0%	100%
	large boulder	2048	0	0%	100%
	very large boulder	4096	0	0%	100%
Bedrock	bedrock	>4096	0	0%	100%
TOTALS			100	100%	100%

Summary Data	
D50	1.3
D84	45
D95	79





UT Crab Creek Stream & Wetland / Project No. 857					
UTCC - Upstream - Cross-Section 6 - Riffle					
Pebble Count Summary					
			Monitoring Year 3		
Description	Material	Size (mm)	Total #	Item %	Cum %
Silt/Clay	silt/clay	0.062	9	9%	9%
Sand	very fine sand	0.125	3	3%	12%
	fine sand	0.25	1	1%	13%
	medium sand	0.50	2	2%	15%
	coarse sand	1.00	1	1%	16%
	very coarse sand	2.00	1	1%	17%
Gravel	very fine gravel	4.0	1	1%	18%
	fine gravel	5.7	2	2%	20%
	fine gravel	8.0	1	1%	21%
	medium gravel	11.3	2	2%	23%
	medium gravel	16.0	8	8%	31%
	coarse gravel	22.3	2	2%	33%
	coarse gravel	32	3	3%	36%
	very coarse gravel	45	13	13%	49%
	very coarse gravel	64	13	13%	62%
Cobble	small cobble	90	16	16%	78%
	medium cobble	128	15	15%	93%
	large cobble	180	5	5%	98%
	very large cobble	256	2	2%	100%
Boulder	small boulder	362	0	0%	100%
	small boulder	512	0	0%	100%
	medium boulder	1024	0	0%	100%
	large boulder	2048	0	0%	100%
	very large boulder	4096	0	0%	100%
Bedrock	bedrock	>4096	0	0%	100%
TOTALS			100	100%	100%

Summary Data	
D50	46
D84	100
D95	150





UT Crab Creek Stream & Wetland / Project No. 857					
UTCC - Upstream - Cross-Section 7 - Pool					
Pebble Count Summary					
			Monitoring Year 3		
Description	Material	Size (mm)	Total #	Item %	Cum %
Silt/Clay	silt/clay	0.062	10	10%	10%
Sand	very fine sand	0.125	11	11%	21%
	fine sand	0.25	8	8%	29%
	medium sand	0.50	1	1%	30%
	coarse sand	1.00	6	6%	36%
	very coarse sand	2.00	6	6%	42%
Gravel	very fine gravel	4.0	4	4%	46%
	fine gravel	5.7	1	1%	47%
	fine gravel	8.0	2	2%	49%
	medium gravel	11.3	4	4%	53%
	medium gravel	16.0	4	4%	57%
	coarse gravel	22.3	4	4%	61%
	coarse gravel	32	5	5%	66%
	very coarse gravel	45	8	8%	74%
	very coarse gravel	64	10	10%	84%
Cobble	small cobble	90	2	2%	86%
	medium cobble	128	7	7%	93%
	large cobble	180	5	5%	98%
	very large cobble	256	2	2%	100%
Boulder	small boulder	362	0	0%	100%
	small boulder	512	0	0%	100%
	medium boulder	1024	0	0%	100%
	large boulder	2048	0	0%	100%
	very large boulder	4096	0	0%	100%
Bedrock	bedrock	>4096	0	0%	100%
TOTALS			100	100%	100%

Summary Data	
D50	8.7
D84	64
D95	150





UT Crab Creek Stream & Wetland / Project No. 857					
UTCC - Upstream - Cross-Section 8 - Riffle					
Pebble Count Summary					
			Monitoring Year 3		
Description	Material	Size (mm)	Total #	Item %	Cum %
Silt/Clay	silt/clay	0.062	4	4%	4%
Sand	very fine sand	0.125	1	1%	5%
	fine sand	0.25	5	5%	10%
	medium sand	0.50	4	4%	14%
	coarse sand	1.00	4	4%	18%
	very coarse sand	2.00	0	0%	18%
Gravel	very fine gravel	4.0	2	2%	20%
	fine gravel	5.7	1	1%	21%
	fine gravel	8.0	2	2%	23%
	medium gravel	11.3	5	5%	28%
	medium gravel	16.0	7	7%	35%
	coarse gravel	22.3	3	3%	38%
	coarse gravel	32	11	11%	49%
	very coarse gravel	45	11	11%	60%
	very coarse gravel	64	14	14%	74%
Cobble	small cobble	90	13	13%	87%
	medium cobble	128	9	9%	96%
	large cobble	180	4	4%	100%
	very large cobble	256	0	0%	100%
Boulder	small boulder	362	0	0%	100%
	small boulder	512	0	0%	100%
	medium boulder	1024	0	0%	100%
	large boulder	2048	0	0%	100%
	very large boulder	4096	0	0%	100%
Bedrock	bedrock	>4096	0	0%	100%
TOTALS			100	100%	100%

Summary Data	
D50	33
D84	83
D95	120



UT Crab Creek Stream & Wetland / Project No. 857					
UTCC - Upstream - Cross-Section 9 - Pool					
Pebble Count Summary					
			Monitoring Year 3		
Description	Material	Size (mm)	Total #	Item %	Cum %
Silt/Clay	silt/clay	0.062	3	3%	3%
Sand	very fine sand	0.125	0	0%	3%
	fine sand	0.25	0	0%	3%
	medium sand	0.50	1	1%	4%
	coarse sand	1.00	3	3%	7%
	very coarse sand	2.00	2	2%	9%
Gravel	very fine gravel	4.0	0	0%	9%
	fine gravel	5.7	2	2%	11%
	fine gravel	8.0	2	2%	13%
	medium gravel	11.3	6	6%	19%
	medium gravel	16.0	6	6%	25%
	coarse gravel	22.3	11	11%	36%
	coarse gravel	32	12	12%	48%
	very coarse gravel	45	18	18%	66%
	very coarse gravel	64	19	19%	85%
Cobble	small cobble	90	12	12%	97%
	medium cobble	128	1	1%	98%
	large cobble	180	1	1%	99%
	very large cobble	256	1	1%	100%
Boulder	small boulder	362	0	0%	100%
	small boulder	512	0	0%	100%
	medium boulder	1024	0	0%	100%
	large boulder	2048	0	0%	100%
	very large boulder	4096	0	0%	100%
Bedrock	bedrock	>4096	0	0%	100%
TOTALS			100	100%	100%

Summary Data	
D50	33
D84	63
D95	85



UT Crab Creek Stream & Wetland / Project No. 857					
UTCC - Upstream - Cross-Section 10 - Riffle					
Pebble Count Summary					
			Monitoring Year 3		
Description	Material	Size (mm)	Total #	Item %	Cum %
Silt/Clay	silt/clay	0.062	0	0%	0%
Sand	very fine sand	0.125	4	4%	4%
	fine sand	0.25	3	3%	7%
	medium sand	0.50	10	10%	17%
	coarse sand	1.00	1	1%	18%
	very coarse sand	2.00	0	0%	18%
Gravel	very fine gravel	4.0	0	0%	18%
	fine gravel	5.7	1	1%	19%
	fine gravel	8.0	1	1%	20%
	medium gravel	11.3	6	6%	26%
	medium gravel	16.0	8	8%	34%
	coarse gravel	22.3	10	10%	44%
	coarse gravel	32	16	16%	60%
	very coarse gravel	45	17	17%	77%
	very coarse gravel	64	12	12%	89%
Cobble	small cobble	90	6	6%	95%
	medium cobble	128	4	4%	99%
	large cobble	180	1	1%	100%
	very large cobble	256	0	0%	100%
Boulder	small boulder	362	0	0%	100%
	small boulder	512	0	0%	100%
	medium boulder	1024	0	0%	100%
	large boulder	2048	0	0%	100%
	very large boulder	4096	0	0%	100%
Bedrock	bedrock	>4096	0	0%	100%
TOTALS			100	100%	100%

Summary Data	
D50	25
D84	55
D95	90





Table 10a. Baseline Stream Data Summary																								
UT Crab Creek Stream & Wetland / Project No. 857 - UT1 - Upper (500 feet)																								
Parameter	Regional Curve			Pre-Existing Condition						Reference Reach Data						Design*			Monitoring Baseline					
	LL	UL	Eq.	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	N	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	N	Min	Mean	Max	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	N
Dimension & Substrate - Riffle																								
Bankfull Width (ft)	-	-	-	9.9	13.5	13.6	15.8	2.51	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	-	13.1	-	14.8	15.3	15.3	15.7	N/A	2
Floodprone Width (ft)				18.2	N/A	N/A	>55	N/A	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	22	-	33	>100	>100	>100	>100	N/A	2
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	-	-	-	0.90	1.20	1.20	1.50	0.23	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	-	1.10	-	1.30	1.50	1.50	1.60	N/A	2
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)				1.20	1.80	1.80	2.40	0.51	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	-	2.00	-	2.40	2.50	2.50	2.50	N/A	2
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	14.0			14.1	15.1	15.0	15.9	0.72	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	-	14.8	-	20.3	22.2	22.2	24.0	N/A	2
Width/Depth Ratio				6.5	12.2	11.7	16.7	4.12	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	-	12.0	-	9.2	10.7	10.7	12.2	N/A	2
Entrenchment Ratio				1.2	3.4	3.3	>5.6	1.56	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	-	1.7	-	>6.4	>6.6	>6.6	>6.7	N/A	2
Bank Height Ratio				1.0	1.6	1.7	2.4	0.54	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	-	1.0	-	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.1	N/A	2
Profile																								
Riffle Length (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	-	-	-	5.8	28.7	22.6	68.2	23.42	7
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)				0.023	-	-	0.057	-	-	0.014	-	-	0.03	-	-	0.014	-	0.03	0.014	0.023	0.022	0.033	0.007	7
Pool Length (ft)				7.0	-	-	13.0	-	-	14	-	-	47	-	-	14.0	-	47.0	3.5	8.6	8.1	19.8	4.44	13
Pool Max Depth (ft)				1.9	2.1	2.1	2.2	0.13	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	-	1.0	-	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	N/A	1
Pool Spacing (ft)				60.0	-	-	65.0	-	-	54	-	-	126	-	-	54.0	-	126.0	6.8	38.9	34.0	113.1	30.33	12
Pattern																								
Channel Belt Width (ft)				21.0	-	-	58.0	-	-	32	-	-	58	-	-	32.0	-	58.0	26.8	37.4	40.1	44.4	7.06	6
Radius of Curvature (ft)				11.0	-	-	37.0	-	-	20	-	-	37	-	-	20.0	-	37.0	28.7	34.7	32.4	51.3	8.35	6
Rc: Bankfull Width (ft/ft)				0.7	-	-	3.7	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.5	-	2.8	1.9	2.3	2.1	3.3	N/A	N/A
Meander Wavelength (ft)				90.0	-	-	191.0	-	-	90.0	-	-	191.0	-	-	90.0	-	191.0	117.9	135.5	130.7	162.6	20.10	4
Meander Width Ratio				1.3	-	-	5.8	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	2.4	-	4.4	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.7	N/A	2
Transport Parameters																								
Reach Shear Stress (Competency) lb/ft ²													N/A										2.08	
Max Part Size (mm) Mobilized at Bankfull													N/A										262	
Stream Power (Transport Capacity) W/m ²													N/A											
Additional Reach Parameters																								
Rosgen Classification				G4/C4						N/A						B4c/C4			Cb					
Bankfull Velocity (fps)				3.9 - 4.7						N/A						4.5								
Bankfull Discharge (cfs)				59 - 71						N/A						66								
Valley Length (ft)										N/A														
Channel Thalweg Length (ft)				1,730						N/A						1,621			500					
Sinuosity				1.19						N/A						1.14			1.14					
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)				0.0210						N/A						0.0210			0.0238					
Bankfull Slope (ft/ft)										N/A									0.0251					
Bankfull Floodplain Area (acres)										N/A														
% of Reach with Eroding Banks																								
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric										N/A														
Biological or Other										N/A														

- Information unavailable.

N/A - Item does not apply.

* The design cross-section criteria were developed using an analytical design approach. Pattern and profile data derived from stable enhancement reaches from the existing UT1 data.

Non-Applicable.

Table 10a. Baseline Stream Data Summary																								
UT Crab Creek Stream & Wetland / Project No. 857 - UT1 - Lower (397 feet)																								
Parameter	Regional Curve			Pre-Existing Condition						Reference Reach Data						Design*			Monitoring Baseline					
	LL	UL	Eq.	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	N	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	N	Min	Mean	Max	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	N
Dimension & Substrate - Riffle																								
Bankfull Width (ft)	-	-	-	9.9	13.5	13.6	15.8	2.51	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	-	13.1	-	11.5	11.5	11.5	11.5	N/A	1
Floodprone Width (ft)				18.2	N/A	N/A	>55	N/A	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	22.0	-	33.0	>100	>100	>100	>100	N/A	1
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	-	-	-	0.90	1.20	1.20	1.50	0.23	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	-	1.1	-	1.50	1.50	1.50	1.50	N/A	1
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)				1.20	1.80	1.80	2.40	0.51	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	-	2.0	-	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	N/A	1
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	14.0			14.1	15.1	15.0	15.9	0.72	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	-	14.8	-	17.6	17.6	17.6	17.6	N/A	1
Width/Depth Ratio				6.5	12.2	11.7	16.7	4.12	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	-	12.0	-	7.5	7.5	7.5	7.5	N/A	1
Entrenchment Ratio				1.2	3.4	3.3	>5.6	1.56	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	-	1.7	-	>8.7	>8.7	>8.7	>8.7	N/A	1
Bank Height Ratio				1.0	1.6	1.7	2.4	0.54	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	-	1.0	-	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	N/A	1
Profile																								
Riffle Length (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	-	-	-	21.0	37.6	40.2	52.6	15.19	5
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)				0.023	-	-	0.057	-	-	0.014	-	-	0.030	-	-	0.014	-	0.030	0.020	0.026	0.027	0.033	0.005	5
Pool Length (ft)				7.0	-	-	13.0	-	-	14.0	-	-	47.0	-	-	14.0	-	47.0	11.8	17.4	17.4	27.1	6.24	5
Pool Max Depth (ft)				1.9	2.1	2.1	2.2	0.13	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	-	1.0	-	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.6	N/A	1
Pool Spacing (ft)				60.0	-	-	65.0	-	-	54.0	-	-	126.0	-	-	54.0	-	126.0	45.0	71.3	73.4	93.6	21.55	4
Pattern																								
Channel Belt Width (ft)				21.0	-	-	58.0	-	-	32	-	-	58	-	-	32.0	-	58.0	57.2	62.9	64.2	66.2	3.9	4
Radius of Curvature (ft)				11.0	-	-	37.0	-	-	20	-	-	37	-	-	20.0	-	37.0	31.2	36.6	37.8	39.7	3.8	4
Rc: Bankfull Width (ft/ft)				0.7	-	-	3.7	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.5	-	2.8	2.71	3.18	3.28	3.45	N/A	N/A
Meander Wavelength (ft)				90.0	-	-	191.0	-	-	90.0	-	-	191.0	-	-	90.0	-	191.0	142.0	196.0	202.0	244.0	N/A	3
Meander Width Ratio				1.3	-	-	5.8	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	2.4	-	4.4	5.58	5.58	5.58	5.58	N/A	1
Transport Parameters																								
Reach Shear Stress (Competency) lb/ft ²													N/A										1.36	
Max Part Size (mm) Mobilized at Bankfull													N/A										191	
Stream Power (Transport Capacity) W/m ²													N/A											
Additional Reach Parameters																								
Rosgen Classification				G4/C4						N/A						B4c/C4			C					
Bankfull Velocity (fps)				3.9 - 4.7						N/A						4.5								
Bankfull Discharge (cfs)				59 - 71						N/A						66								
Valley Length (ft)										N/A														
Channel Thalweg Length (ft)				1,730						N/A						1,621			397					
Sinuosity				1.19						N/A						1.14			1.15					
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)				0.0210						N/A						0.0210			0.0156					
Bankfull Slope (ft/ft)										N/A									0.0174					
Bankfull Floodplain Area (acres)										N/A														
% of Reach with Eroding Banks																								
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric										N/A														
Biological or Other										N/A														

- Information unavailable.

N/A - Item does not apply.

* The design cross-section criteria were developed using an analytical design approach. Pattern and profile data derived from stable enhancement reaches from the existing UT1 data.

Non-Applicable.

Table 10a. Baseline Stream Data Summary																								
UT Crab Creek Stream & Wetland / Project No. 857 - UTCC-US (2,455 feet)																								
Parameter	Regional Curve			Pre-Existing Condition						Reference Reach Data						Design			Monitoring Baseline					
	LL	UL	Eq.	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	N	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	N	Min	Mean	Max	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	N
Dimension & Substrate - Riffle																								
Bankfull Width (ft)	-	-	-	17.6	20.4	19.8	24.5	2.91	4	59.7	62.3	62.3	64.9	N/A	2	-	24.0	-	25.0	26.7	26.5	28.7	N/A	3
Floodprone Width (ft)				65	-	-	>80	-	4	200	248	248	296	N/A	2	-	54.0	-	>200	>200	>200	>200	N/A	3
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	-	-	-	1.40	1.65	1.70	1.80	0.17	4	3.30	3.35	3.35	3.40	N/A	2	-	1.4	-	1.40	1.53	1.50	1.70	N/A	3
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)				2.40	2.78	2.75	3.20	0.33	4	5.00	5.40	5.40	5.80	N/A	2	-	2.3	-	2.40	2.50	2.50	2.60	N/A	3
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	39.0			30.8	33.1	33.7	34.2	1.57	4	198.0	208.0	208.0	218.0	N/A	2	-	34.2	-	37.0	40.5	42.1	42.4	N/A	3
Width/Depth Ratio				10.0	12.7	11.5	17.9	3.52	4	18.1	18.6	-	19.1	-	-	-	17.1	-	14.7	17.7	19.0	19.5	N/A	3
Entrenchment Ratio				3.1	-	-	>4.1	-	4	3.1	4.0	-	5.0	-	-	-	2.3	-	>7.0	>7.5	>7.5	>8.0	N/A	3
Bank Height Ratio				1.0	1.1	1.0	1.2	0.10	4	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	N/A	-	-	1.0	-	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.1	N/A	3
Profile																								
Riffle Length (ft)				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	14.9	60.5	64.9	100.0	22.55	19
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)				0.020	-	-	0.042	-	-	0.015	0.029	0.027	0.048	0.012	5	0.014	-	0.045	0.006	0.013	0.012	0.021	0.005	19
Pool Length (ft)				29.0	-	-	53.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	21.0	-	105.0	10.4	41.1	39.0	79.2	21.76	19
Pool Max Depth (ft)				3.0	3.1	3.1	3.3	NA	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.9	-	2.7	2.9	2.9	3.0	N/A	2	
Pool Spacing (ft)				-	95.0	-	-	-	-	116.0	190.0	161.0	188.0	93.70	4	45.0	-	136.0	51.7	130.7	113.2	241.7	52.31	18
Pattern																								
Channel Belt Width (ft)				13.0	-	-	43.0	-	-	-	500	-	-	N/A	1	75.0	-	211.0	54.7	101.7	102.5	132.8	23.59	15
Radius of Curvature (ft)				0.0*	-	-	51*	-	-	-	55.1	-	-	N/A	1	43.0	-	128.0	37.5	51.1	42.5	146.7	26.21	16
Rc: Bankfull Width (ft/ft)				0.0*	-	-	2.9*	-	-	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88	-	-	1.7	-	5.1	1.5	1.9	1.6	5.1	N/A	N/A
Meander Wavelength (ft)				*	-	-	*	-	-	51.3	159.0	61.6	540.0	213.0	5	20.0	-	228.0	204.4	238.7	234.4	314.2	32.62	15
Meander Width Ratio				0.5	-	-	2.4	-	-	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	N/A	-	3.0	-	8.4	3.6	3.9	3.9	4.1	N/A	3
Transport Parameters																								
Reach Shear Stress (Competency) lb/ft ²				0.89						-						0.73			0.71					
Max Part Size (mm) Mobilized at Bankfull				130						-						125			118					
Stream Power (Transport Capacity) W/m ²				-						-						-			-					
Additional Reach Parameters																								
Rosgen Classification				C4						C3						C4			C					
Bankfull Velocity (fps)	-			3.3 - 3.8						-						3.3								
Bankfull Discharge (cfs)	197			111 - 130						-						117								
Valley Length (ft)				-						-						-								
Channel Thalweg Length (ft)				2,086						1,034						2,405			2,455					
Sinuosity				1.04						1.20						1.20			1.21					
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft)				0.0090						0.0088						0.0080			0.0080					
Bankfull Slope (ft/ft)				-						-						-			0.0083					
Bankfull Floodplain Area (acres)				-						-						-								
% of Reach with Eroding Banks				-						-						-								
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric				-						-						-								
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric				-						-						-								
Biological or Other				-						-						-								

- Information unavailable.

N/A - Item does not apply.

*Existing stream has been channelized and does not have a natural meander pattern with distinct pool and riffle features.

Non-Applicable.

Table 10b. Baseline Stream Data Summary (Substrate, Bed, Bank, and Hydrologic Containment Parameter Distributions) UT to Crab Creek Stream & Wetland / Project No. 857 - UT1-Upper (500 feet)																									
Parameter	Pre-Existing Condition						Reference Reach Data						Design						Monitoring Baseline						
Ri% / Ru% / P% / G% / S%	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	42	8	24	22	4
SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% / Be%	<1*	10*	59*	28*	3*	0*	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A													
d16 / D35 / d50 / d84 / d95 / di ^p / di ^{sp} (mm)	7.2*	22.2*	40.0*	103.0*	197.0*	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A													
Entrenchment Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-													
<1.5 / 1.5 - 1.99 / 2 - 4.9 / 5.0 - 9.9 / >10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-													
Incision Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-													
<1.2 / 1.2 - 1.49 / 1.5 - 1.99 / >2.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-													

- Information unavailable.
 N/A - Item does not apply.
 * Numbers reported are the mean percentages from the riffle surface pebble counts.
 Non-Applicable.

Table 10b. Baseline Stream Data Summary (Substrate, Bed, Bank, and Hydrologic Containment Parameter Distributions) UT to Crab Creek Stream & Wetland / Project No. 857 - UT1-Lower (397 feet)																									
Parameter	Pre-Existing Condition						Reference Reach Data						Design						Monitoring Baseline						
Ri% / Ru% / P% / G% / S%	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	48	5	22	25	1
SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% / Be%	<1*	10*	59*	28*	3*	0*	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A													
d16 / D35 / d50 / d84 / d95 / di ^p / di ^{sp} (mm)	7.2*	22.2*	40.0*	103.0*	197.0*	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A													
Entrenchment Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-													
<1.5 / 1.5 - 1.99 / 2 - 4.9 / 5.0 - 9.9 / >10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-													
Incision Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-													
<1.2 / 1.2 - 1.49 / 1.5 - 1.99 / >2.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-													

- Information unavailable.
 N/A - Item does not apply.
 * Numbers reported are the mean percentages from the riffle surface pebble counts.
 Non-Applicable.

Table 10b. Baseline Stream Data Summary (Substrate, Bed, Bank, and Hydrologic Containment Parameter Distributions) UT to Crab Creek Stream & Wetland / Project No. 857 - UTCC-US (2,455 feet)																									
Parameter	Pre-Existing Condition						Reference Reach Data						Design						Monitoring Baseline						
Ri% / Ru% / P% / G% / S%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	47	9	32	12	0
SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% / Be%	0*	1*	62*	36*	<1*	0*	0	18	5	48	18	11													
d16 / D35 / d50 / d84 / d95 / di ^p / di ^{sp} (mm)	11*	23*	44*	104*	150*	-	1.4	-	144	512	-	-													
Entrenchment Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-													
<1.5 / 1.5 - 1.99 / 2 - 4.9 / 5.0 - 9.9 / >10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-													
Incision Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-													
<1.2 / 1.2 - 1.49 / 1.5 - 1.99 / >2.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-													

- Information unavailable.
 * Numbers reported are the mean percentages from the riffle surface pebble counts.
 Non-Applicable.

Table 11a. Monitoring Data - Dimensional Morphology Summary																		
(Dimensional Parameters - Cross-Sections)																		
UT Crab Stream & Wetland / Project No. 857 - UT1-Upper (500 feet)																		
	Cross-Section 1 Riffle						Cross-Section 2 Pool						Cross-Section 3 Riffle					
Dimension	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5
Record Elevation (datum) Used	2,605	2,605	2,605	2,605			2,603	2,603	2,603	2,603			2,598	2,598	2,598	2,598		
Bankfull Width (ft)	15.7	15.9	15.3	16.0			18.4	18.0	17.6	18.0			14.8	14.7	14.9	15.0		
Floodprone Width (ft)	>100	>100	>100	>100			>100	>100	>100	>100			>100	>100	>100	>100		
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.3	1.2	1.3	1.2			1.9	1.9	1.8	1.8			1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6		
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.4	2.4	2.5	2.4			3.2	3.2	3.3	3.1			2.5	2.5	2.6	2.6		
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	20.3	18.5	19.3	19.5			34.3	33.4	32.2	32.4			24.0	23.8	23.8	24.4		
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	12.2	13.8	12.1	13.1			9.9	9.7	9.6	10.0			9.2	9.1	9.4	9.2		
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>6.4	>6.3	>6.5	>6.3			>5.4	>5.5	>5.7	>5.5			>6.7	>6.8	>6.7	>6.7		
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.1			1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1			1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1		
Cross Sectional Area between End Pins (ft ²)	20.3	19.0	19.4	19.6			34.3	33.6	32.2	32.4			24.3	24.1	24.2	24.6		
d50 (mm)	N/A	17	4.6	6.6			N/A	11	1.7	6.4			N/A	23	12	19		

N/A - Item does not apply.

Table 11a. Monitoring Data - Dimensional Morphology Summary (Dimensional Parameters - Cross-Sections) UT Crab Creek Stream & Wetland / Project No. 857 - UT1-Lower (397 feet)												
	Cross-Section 4 Pool						Cross-Section 5 Riffle					
Dimension	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5
Record Elevation (datum) Used	2,571	2,571	2,571	2,571			2,571	2,571	2,571	2,571		
Bankfull Width (ft)	16.7	14.3	14.7	14.7			11.5	12.2	12.3	11.8		
Floodprone Width (ft)	>100	>100	>100	>100			>100	>100	>100	>100		
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.1	1.3	1.1	1.1			1.5	1.4	1.4	1.4		
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.6	2.5	2.4	2.5			2.5	2.6	2.6	2.5		
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	18.8	18.0	16.7	16.7			17.6	17.5	17.3	16.8		
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	14.8	11.4	12.9	13.0			7.5	8.5	8.8	8.3		
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>6.0	>7.0	>6.8	>6.8			>8.7	>8.2	>8.1	>8.5		
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		
Cross Sectional Area between End Pins (ft ²)	18.9	18.0	16.7	16.7			21.1	21.5	21.6	21.1		
d50 (mm)	N/A	8.4	4	2			N/A	0.91	2	1.3		

N/A - Item does not apply.

Table 11a. Monitoring Data - Dimensional Morphology Summary (Dimensional Parameters - Cross-Sections) UT Crab Creek Stream & Wetland / Project No. 857 - UTCC-US (2,455 feet)																														
Dimension	Cross-Section 6 Riffle					Cross-Section 7 Pool					Cross-Section 8 Riffle					Cross-Section 9 Pool					Cross-Section 10 Riffle									
	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5
Record Elevation (datum) Used	2,571	2,571	2,571	2,571			2,571	2,571	2,571	2,571			2,566	2,566	2,566	2,566			2,554	2,554	2,554	2,554			2,554	2,554	2,554	2,554		
Bankfull Width (ft)	25.0	24.7	27.2	25.1			27.7	27.8	27.8	27.6			28.7	27.9	28.0	27.9			23.5	23.8	23.0	23.1			26.5	27.2	26.4	27.8		
Floodprone Width (ft)	>200	>200	>200	>200			>200	>200	>200	>200			>200	>200	>200	>200			>200	>200	>200	>200			>200	>200	>200	>200		
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.7	1.7	1.5	1.6			1.7	1.7	1.6	1.6			1.5	1.4	1.4	1.3			1.7	1.7	1.6	1.6			1.4	1.4	1.4	1.3		
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)	2.6	2.5	2.5	2.6			3.0	3.4	3.4	3.5			2.5	2.4	2.5	2.4			2.7	2.9	2.7	2.8			2.4	2.4	2.5	2.6		
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	42.4	41.9	41.3	41.0			47.3	47.1	45.1	43.9			42.1	39.5	38.4	37.6			40.7	40.9	36.1	36.8			37.0	37.2	35.9	37.5		
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio	14.7	14.6	17.9	15.3			16.3	16.4	17.1	17.4			19.5	19.7	20.4	20.7			13.5	13.9	14.6	14.5			19.0	19.9	19.4	20.6		
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio	>8.0	>8.1	>7.4	>8.0			>7.2	>7.2	>7.2	>7.2			>7.0	>7.2	>7.1	>7.2			>8.5	>8.4	>8.7	>8.7			>7.5	>7.3	>7.6	>7.2		
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.1			1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1			1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1			1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		
Cross Sectional Area between End Pins (ft ²)	42.4	41.9	41.3	41.0			47.3	47.3	45.1	43.9			43.2	40.1	38.5	37.6			41.5	41.2	36.1	36.8			38.6	39.9	37.1	39.7		
d50 (mm)	N/A	51	48	46			N/A	32	6	8.7			N/A	33	26	33			N/A	8.8	27	33			NA	24	15	25		


N/A - Item does not apply.

Appendix E


Hydrologic Data

Table 12. Verification of Bankfull Events			
UT Crab Creek Stream & Wetland / Project No. 857			
Date of Data Collection	Date of Occurrence	Method	Photo # (if available)
4/2010	4/2010	Wrack lines	
2/2/2011	12/2/2010	Crest gauge & wrack lines	


Monthly Precipitation Data Compared to 30th and 70th Percentiles for Alleghany County, NC




UTC-1 Precipitation and Water Level Plot




UTC-2 Precipitation and Water Level Plot




UTC-3 Precipitation and Water Level Plot




UTC-4 Precipitation and Water Level Plot




UTC-5 Precipitation and Water Level Plot



UTC-6 Precipitation and Water Level Plot



UTC-7 Precipitation and Water Level Plot



UTC-8 Precipitation and Water Level Plot




Table 13. Wetland Gauge Attainment Data					
Summary of Groundwater Gauge Results					
UT Crab Creek Stream & Wetland / Project No. 857					
Gauge ID	Success Criteria Achieved/Max Consecutive Days During Growing Season				
	(Percentage)				
	Year 1 (2010)	Year 2 (2011)	Year 3 (2012)	Year 4 (2013)	Year 5 (2014)
UTC-1	No/6 3.8 Percent	No/6 3.8 Percent	No/4 2.5 Percent		
UTC-2	Yes/70 44.6 Percent	Yes/30 19.1 Percent	Yes/39 24.8 Percent		
UTC-3	Yes/35 22.3 Percent	Yes/33 21.0 Percent	Yes/143 91.1 Percent		
UTC-4	Yes/52 33.1 Percent	Yes/61 38.9 Percent	Yes/55 35.0 Percent		
UTC-5	Yes/157 100.0 Percent	Yes/155 98.7 Percent	Yes/157 100.0 Percent		
UTC-6	Yes/22 14.0 Percent	Yes/38 24.2 Percent	Yes/45 28.7 Percent		
UTC-7	Yes/15 9.6 Percent	Yes/8 5.1 Percent	No/6 3.8 Percent		
UTC-8	Yes/37 23.6 Percent	Yes/58 36.9 Percent	Yes/48 30.6 Percent		

Growing season = 157 days