

**UT to Crooked Creek
Stream Restoration Monitoring Report
EEP Project # 434
Monitoring Year 04**



Submitted to:



NCEEP, 1652 Mail Service Center, Raleigh, NC 27699-1652

**Data Collection: 2010
Construction Completed: July 2006
Submitted: December 2010**

Monitoring Firm



**Landmark Center II, Suite 220
4601 Six Forks Road
Raleigh, NC 27609
Phone: (919) 278-2514
Fax: (919) 783-9266**

**Project Contact: Adam Spiller
Email: adam.spiller@kci.com
KCI Project No: 12071067C_CC10**

Design Firm



5121 Kingdom Way, Suite 100
Raleigh, North Carolina 27607

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY / PROJECT ABSTRACT	1
2.0	METHODOLOGY	2
3.0	REFERENCES	2

Appendix A – Project Vicinity Map and Background Tables

Figure 1.	Site Vicinity Map.....	4
Figure 2.	Site Map.....	5
Table 1a.	Project Restoration Components.....	6
Table 1b.	Project Component Summations.....	6
Table 2.	Project Activity and Reporting History	7
Table 3.	Project Contacts Table	8
Table 4.	Project Attribute Table.....	9

Appendix B – Visual Assessment Data

Figure 3.	Current Condition Plan View.....	11
Table 5.	Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment	13
Table 6.	Vegetation Condition Assessment	14
	Stream Station Photos	15
	Vegetation Monitoring Plot Photos	18

Appendix C – Vegetation Plot Data

Table 7.	Vegetation Plot Criteria Attainment	23
Table 8.	CVS Vegetation Plot Metadata.....	23
Table 9.	CVS Stem Count Total and Planted Stems by Plot and Species	24

Appendix D – Stream Survey Data

	Cross-Section Plots	26
	Longitudinal Profile Plots	34
	Pebble Count Plots.....	36
Table 10.	Baseline-Stream Data Summary Table.....	44
Table 11a.	Monitoring - Cross-Section Morphology Data Table.....	45
Table 11b.	Monitoring - Stream Reach Morphology Data Table.....	47

Appendix E – Hydrologic Data

Table 12.	Verification of Bankfull Events	49
-----------	---------------------------------------	----

1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY / PROJECT ABSTRACT

The Unnamed Tributary to Crooked Creek (UTCC) in Franklin County, NC was identified as a restoration design project in 2004. The 0.52-mi² project watershed is located within the USGS 8-digit HUC 03020101 and the NCDWQ Sub-basin 03-03-01 of the Tar-Pamlico Basin. The project restored and preserved streams, restored riparian buffer, and created and preserved wetlands. The project goals and objectives are listed below.

- Restore the degraded channel to a stable, functioning channel by using Natural Channel Design principles.
- Enhance the ability of aquatic fauna and flora to survive and flourish by replacing the existing degraded stream habitat with a stable stream channel and riparian buffer that is more conducive to propagation.
- Restore a healthy, vegetated riparian community to the currently denuded, fallow floodplain and adjacent hill slopes.
- Enhance existing wetlands by planting supplementary vegetation.
- Preserve in perpetuity, through a conservation easement, lands surrounding the UTCC that will soon be impacted by residential development.

The previously unvegetated portion of the conservation easement, including the buffer restoration and wetland creation areas, was planted with an appropriate mix of bare root and live stake species. Three vegetative plots were established during the baseline monitoring to determine the vegetative success of the site. Four additional plots were established in the fourth year of monitoring so that the site met the recommended number of plots based on the EEP-CVS monitoring protocol. The fourth-year monitoring of these plots revealed an average density of 382 total planted stems/acre and minimal coverage by invasive vegetation. Due to the age of this site, this site has been grandfathered in and is allowed to count buffer restoration credit up to 200 feet away from the stream, which means that all of the plots must meet a success criterion of 320 stems/acre. Vegetation Plots 1 and 2 are not meeting this criterion. However, these two plots have extensive volunteer populations and densities over 680 total stems/acre, when including volunteers. Across the site there is good vegetative coverage. There are plentiful volunteers, with some dense stands of young loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda*). Many parts of the stream have vegetation growing in the channel, including some pools with cattails (*Typha latifolia*). The vegetation growing in the channel obscures the thalweg location in places, but overall is not adversely affecting the project. Some areas with low planted stem densities have been noted in this report. These areas are most frequently found on the excavated floodplain, where the soil quality is generally low. These findings indicate that the vegetation component of the site is on track to meeting the project's success criteria.

In 2009, the EEP completed a Buffer Audit Verification of the site, during which several field visits were made to delineate wetland soils, estimate the extent of buffer planting and locate stream preservation reaches. This audit has been updated throughout the 2010 monitoring. This monitoring report includes the findings of this verification, and Table 1 has been changed to reflect the updated mitigation units. The wetland creation and preservation areas do not have additional monitoring requirements.

The stream monitoring at the site involves surveying eight permanent cross-sections and a longitudinal profile along the entire length of UTCC. The stream assessment completed during the fourth year of monitoring found the stream to be functioning and holding grade. The site does not have any bank erosion problems or widespread bed incision or aggradation. Throughout the monitoring history of this project there have been a series of beaver dams constructed on the project channel. The beavers have been removed and the dams have been breached. Most of these dams collected fine sediment behind them, which caused small areas of bed aggradation. These breached beaver dams still create areas of backwater in the channel, which have been mapped on the Current Condition Plan View (CCPV). Another beaver dam is located below the restored channel, and it is causing backwater conditions in the downstream

portion of the lower reach. In 2011, EEP will conduct maintenance planting and remove active and relic beaver dams at the site.

Summary information and data related to the occurrence of items such as beaver or encroachment and statistics related to performance of various project and monitoring elements can be found in the tables and figures in the report appendices. Narrative background and supporting information formerly found in these reports can be found in the mitigation and restoration plan documents available on the EEPs website. All raw data supporting the tables and figures in the appendices are available upon request.

2.0 METHODOLOGY

The Level 2 CVS-EEP protocol (<http://cvs.bio.unc.edu/methods.htm>) was used to collect vegetation data from UT to Crooked Creek this year, the fourth year of monitoring.

The profile was stationed by thalweg length and then adjusted to match grade control structures between monitoring years.

3.0 REFERENCES

Lee, M. T., R. K. Peet, S. D. Roberts, and T. R. Wentworth. 2006. CVS-EEP Protocol for Recording Vegetation, Version 4.0 (<http://cvs.bio.unc.edu/methods.htm>)

Weakley, A. S. 2006. Flora of the Carolinas, Virginia, Georgia, and Surrounding Areas. (http://www.herbarium.unc.edu/FloraArchives/WeakleyFlora_2006-Jan.pdf)

Appendix A

Project Vicinity Map and Background Tables

DIRECTIONS TO UT CROOKED SITE:
From Raleigh, take US 64 East. Take the exit for NC 98 and travel west. After driving through the Town of Bunn make a right onto Pearces Road. Then take the first driveway on the left to get to the project site.




Figure 1. Site Vicinity Map
UT Crooked Creek, Franklin County, EEP Project # 434






Figure 2. Site Map
UT Crooked Creek, Franklin County, EEP Project # 434

Table 1a. Project Restoration Components

Project Number and Name: 434 - UT to Crooked Creek

Project Component	Existing Linear Feet / Acreage	Restoration Level	Approach	Linear Feet / Acreage*	Stationing	Mitigation Ratio	Mitigation Units	BMP Elements	Comment
Upper Reach	1,920 lf	R	P1/2	1,083 lf	10+00 - 21+34	1:1	1,083		Restored pattern, profile, and dimension
Lower Reach		R	P1/2	1,134 lf	21+34 - 32+67	1:1	1,134		Restored pattern, profile, and dimension
Preservation Reach	672 lf	P	-	672 lf	-	5:1	134		In conservation easement
UT1	271 lf	P	-	271 lf	-	5:1	54		In conservation easement
UT2-1	458 lf	P	-	458 lf	-	5:1	92		In conservation easement
UT2-2	U	R	P2	104 lf	-	1:1	104		Restored pattern, profile, and dimension
UT3-1	434 lf	P	-	434 lf	-	5:1	87		In conservation easement
UT3-2	U	R	P2	43 lf	-	1:1	43		Restored pattern, profile, and dimension
UT4-1	175 lf	P	-	175 lf	-	5:1	35		In conservation easement
UT4-2	U	R	P2	55 lf	-	1:1	55		Restored pattern, profile, and dimension
Buffer	4.84 ac	R	-	4.84 ac	-	1:1	4.84		Planted
Riparian Wetland	0.48 ac	C	-	0.48 ac	-	3:1	0.16		Graded to new floodplain elevation and planted
Riparian Wetland	13.72 ac	P	-	13.72 ac	-	5:1	2.74		In conservation easement

U - Unknown R - Restoration P - Preservation C - Creation P1 - Priority 1 P2 - Priority 2

* A 50' easement exception is not included in these figures.

Table 1b. Project Component Summations

Project Number and Name: 434 - UT to Crooked Creek

Restoration Level	Stream (lf)	Riparian Wetland (Ac)		Non-Ripar (Ac)	Upland (Ac)	Buffer (Ac)	BMP
		Riverine	Non-Riverine				
Restoration	2,419					4.84	
Enhancement							
Enhancement I							
Enhancement II							
Creation		0.48					
Preservation	2,010	13.72					
HQ Preservation							
		14.2	0				
Totals (Feet/Acres)	4,429	14.2		0	0	4.84	
MU Totals	2,821	2.9		0	0	4.84	

 Non-Applicable

Table 2. Project Activity and Reporting History		
Project Number and Name: 434 - UT to Crooked Creek		
Elapsed Time Since Grading Complete: 4 years 5 months		
Elapsed Time Since Planting Complete: 4 years		
Number of Reporting Years: 4		
Activity or Report	Data Collection Complete	Actual Completion or Delivery
Restoration Plan		Apr 05
Final Design - 90%		Jun 05
Construction		Jul 06
Planting		Winter 06/07
Mitigation Plan		Feb 07
Year 1 Monitoring	Dec 07	Dec 07
Year 2 Monitoring	Oct 08	Jan 09
Year 3 Monitoring	Nov 09	Dec 09
Year 4 Monitoring	Sep 10	Dec 10
Year 5 Monitoring		

Table 3. Project Contacts Table		
Project Number and Name: 434 - UT to Crooked Creek		
Design Firm	Ko & Associates, P. C. 5121 Kingdom Way Suite, 100 Raleigh, NC 27607 Contact: R. Kevin Williams, PE Phone: (919) 851-6066 Fax: (919) 851-6846	
Construction Contractor	Land Mechanics Designs 126 Circle G Lane Willow Springs, NC 27592 Contact: Mr. Lloyd Glover Phone: (919) 639-6132	
Vegetation Design Firm (2004 Vegetation and Stream Maintenance Plan)	HARP 9305-D Monroe Rd. Charlotte, NC 28270 Contact: Mr. Alan Peoples Phone: (704) 841-2841 Fax: (704) 841-2447	
Nursery Stock Suppliers	Goldsboro Forestry Service 762 Claridge Nursery Rd Goldsboro, NC 27530 Phone: (919) 731-7988	
MY-01	Stream	Ko & Associates, P. C. 5121 Kingdom Way Suite 100 Raleigh, NC 27607 Contact: R. Kevin Williams, PE Phone: (919) 851-6066 Fax: (919) 851-6846
	Vegetation	Environmental Services, Inc. 524 S. New Hope Road Raleigh, North Carolina 27610 Todd Milam Phone: (919) 212-1760
MY-02 - MY-05		KCI Associates of NC Landmark Center II, Suite 220 4601 Six Forks Rd. Raleigh, NC 27609 Contact: Mr. Adam Spiller Phone: (919) 278-2514 Fax: (919) 783-9266

Table 4. Project Attribute Table			
Project Number and Name: 434 - UT to Crooked Creek			
Project County	Franklin County		
Physiographic Region	Piedmont		
Ecoregion	Northern Outer Piedmont		
Project River Basin	Tar-Pamlico		
USGS HUC for Project (8 digit)	03020101		
NCDWQ Sub-basin for Project	03-03-01		
Within extent of EEP Watershed Plan?	U		
WRC Class (Warm, Cool, Cold)	Warm		
% of project easement demarcated	U		
Beaver activity observed during design phase?	Yes		
Restoration Component Attribute Table			
Drainage Area	0.52 sq.mi.		
Stream Order	Second		
Restored length (feet)	2,219		
Perennial or Intermittent	Perennial		
Watershed Type (Rural, Urban, Developing, etc.)	Rural		
Watershed LULC Distribution			
Urban	-		
Ag-Row Crop	-		
Ag-Livestock	-		
Forested	-		
Water/Wetlands	-		
Watershed impervious cover (%)	-		
NCDWQ AU/Index Number	-		
NCDWQ Classification	C, NSW		
303d listed?	No		
Upstream of a 303d listed segment?	No		
Reasons for 303d Listing or Stressor	N/A		
Total acreage of easement	37.6 Acres		
Total vegetated acreage within the easement	37.6 Acres		
Total planted acreage as part of the restoration	7.5 Acres		
Rosgen Classification of pre-existing	F5		
Rosgen Classification of As-built	C5		
Valley Type	U		
Valley Slope	U		
Valley side slope range (e.g. 2-3%)	U		
Valley toe slope range (e.g. 2-3%)	U		
Trout waters designation	No		
Species of concern, endangered etc.? (Y/N)	No		
Dominant soil series and characteristics			
Series	Chewacla and Wehadkee		
Depth Clay%	-	-	-
K	-	-	-
T	-	-	-


"N/A" is for items that do not apply.

"-" is for items that are unavailable.

"U" is for items that are unknown.

Appendix B

Visual Assessment Data



REV	DATE	DESCRIPTION	APPROVED



KCI
 ASSOC. OF NC
 ENGINEERS • PLANNERS • SCIENTISTS
 4801 SIX FORKS ROAD
 RALEIGH, NORTH CAROLINA 27609

UT CROOKED CREEK
 FRANKLIN COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA
 EEP PROJECT NUMBER 434 - MY04
 STATION 10+00 TO STATION 21+28


DATE: DECEMBER 2010
 SCALE: 1"=80'
 CURRENT
 CONDITION
 PLAN VIEW
 SHEET 1 OF 2

LEGEND



- EASEMENT BOUNDARY
- AS-BUILT STATIONED CENTERLINE AND TOP OF BANK
- PHOTO POINT
- CROSS-SECTION
- BEAVER DAM
- ROCK CROSS VANE
- LOG VANE
- ROOTWAD
- ROOTBALL
- RIP-RAP

PROJECT CONDITION

- STREAM BED DEGRADATION
- BANK EROSION
- UNDERCUT BANK
- MASS WASTING OF BANK
- BACKWATER AREA FROM BEAVER DAM
- VEG PLOT ABOVE 288 TOTAL PLANTED STEMS/ACRE
- VEG PLOT BELOW 288 TOTAL PLANTED STEMS/ACRE
- LOW PLANTED STEM DENSITY
- VEG PLOT TOTAL / PLANTED STEM DENSITY




890/423



LEGEND

- EASEMENT BOUNDARY
- AS-BUILT STATIONED CENTERLINE AND TOP OF BANK
- PHOTO POINT
- CROSS-SECTION
- BEAVER DAM
- ROCK CROSS VANE
- LOG VANE
- ROOTWAD
- ROOTBALL
- RIP-RAP



PROJECT CONDITION

- STREAM BED DEGRADATION
- BANK EROSION
- UNDERCUT BANK
- MASS WASTING OF BANK
- BACKWATER AREA FROM BEAVER DAM
- VEG PLOT ACHIEVING DENSITY CRITERION
- VEG PLOT ABOVE 288 TOTAL PLANTED STEMS/ACRE
- VEG PLOT BELOW 288 TOTAL PLANTED STEMS/ACRE
- VEG PLOT TOTAL / PLANTED STEM DENSITY



REV	DATE	DESCRIPTION	APPROVED



KCI
 ASSOC. OF NC
 ENGINEERS • PLANNERS • SCIENTISTS
 4801 SIX FORKS ROAD
 RALEIGH, NORTH CAROLINA 27609

UT CROOKED CREEK
 FRANKLIN COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA
 EEP PROJECT NUMBER 434 - MY04
 STATION 21+28 TO STATION 32+64

DATE: DECEMBER 2010
 SCALE: 1"=80'
CURRENT CONDITION PLAN VIEW
 SHEET 2 OF 2

Table 5. Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment										
Project Number and Name: 434 - UT to Crooked Creek										
Project linear footage ** 2,219										
Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Stable, Performing as Intended	Total Number in As-built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended	Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Adjusted % for Stabilizing Woody Vegetation
1. Bed	1. Vertical Stability (Riffle and Run units)	1. <u>Aggradation</u> - Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly deflect flow laterally (not to include point bars)			0	0	100%			
		2. <u>Degradation</u> - Evidence of downcutting			0	0	100%			
	2. Riffle Condition*	1. <u>Texture/Substrate</u> - Riffle maintains coarser substrate	18	30		60%				
	3. Meander Pool Condition	1. <u>Depth</u> Sufficient (Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth \geq 1.6)	40	32		125%				
		2. <u>Length</u> appropriate (>30% of centerline distance between tail of upstream riffle and head of downstream riffle)	40	32		125%				
	4. Thalweg Position ⁺	1. Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run)	N/A	N/A		N/A				
2. Thalweg centering at downstream of meander (Glide)		N/A	N/A	N/A						
Totals					0	0	100%	0	0	100%
2. Bank	1. Scoured/Eroding	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion			0	0	100%	0	0	100%
	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does <u>NOT</u> include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat.			0	0	100%	0	0	100%
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, calving, or collapse			0	0	100%	0	0	100%
3. Engineered Structures	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs.	26	26			100%			
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill.	26	26			100%			
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	26	26			100%			
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does <u>not</u> exceed 15%. (See guidance for this table in EEP monitoring guidance document)	26	26			100%			
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ~ Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth ratio \geq 1.6 Rootwads/logs providing some cover at base-flow.	26	26			100%			

*Since all of the riffles are sand riffles and mostly covered with vegetation, this metric identifies all of the stable riffles that were identified during the longitudinal profile survey. The total number of as-built riffles has been estimated from the as-built profile and planview sheets.

⁺The exact position of the thalweg in relation to the pattern is not a factor in channel stability for this stream. All of the banks are well vegetated and stable. Most of the riffles having rooted vegetation growing in them, which obscures the exact position of the thalweg.

**This evaluation only includes the length of the mainstem and not the portions of restoration on the small tributaries.

Table 6. Vegetation Condition Assessment						
Project Number and Name: 434 - UT to Crooked Creek						
Planted Acreage - 7.5						
Vegetation Category	Definitions	Mapping Threshold	CCPV Depiction	Number of Polygons	Combined Acreage	% of Planted Acreage
1. Bare Areas	Very limited cover of both woody and herbaceous material.	0.1 acres	Pattern and Color	0	0.00	0.0%
2. Low Stem Density Areas	Woody stem densities clearly below target levels based on MY3, 4, or 5 stem count criteria.	0.1 acres	Pattern and Color	9	0.36	4.8%
Total				9	0.36	4.8%
3. Areas of Poor Growth Rates or Vigor	Areas with woody stems of a size class that are obviously small given the monitoring year.	0.25 acres	Pattern and Color	0	0.00	0.0%
Cumulative Total				9	0.36	4.8%
Easement Acreage - 37.6						
Vegetation Category	Definitions	Mapping Threshold	CCPV Depiction	Number of Polygons	Combined Acreage	% of Easement Acreage
4. Invasive Areas of Concern	Areas or points (if too small to render as polygons at map scale).	1000 SF	Pattern and Color	0	0.00	0.0%
5. Easement Encroachment Areas	Areas or points (if too small to render as polygons at map scale).	none	Pattern and Color	0	0.00	0.0%

Stream Station Photos



PS-1 Looking Downstream – 12/3/07 - MY 01



PS-1 Looking Downstream – 12/13/10 - MY 04



PS2 Looking Upstream– 12/3/07 - MY 01



PS-2 Looking Upstream– 12/13/10 - MY 04



PS-3 Looking Downstream – 12/3/07 - MY 01



PS-3 Looking Downstream – 12/13/10 - MY 04



PS-4 Looking Downstream – 12/3/07 - MY 01



PS-4 Looking Downstream – 12/13/10 - MY 04



PS-5 Looking Downstream – 12/3/07 - MY 01



PS-5 Looking Downstream – 12/13/10 - MY 04



PS-6 Looking Downstream – 12/3/07 - MY 01



PS-6 Looking Downstream – 12/13/10 - MY 04



PS-7 Looking Downstream – 12/3/07 - MY 01



PS-7 Looking Downstream – 12/13/10 - MY 04



PS-8 Looking Downstream – 12/3/07 - MY 01



PS-8 Looking Downstream – 12/13/10 - MY 04

Vegetation Monitoring Plot Photos



Plot 1 Photo – 9/23/10 - MY 04



Plot 2 Photo – 9/23/10 - MY 04



Plot 3 Photo – 10/1/10 - MY 04



Plot 4 Photo – 9/23/10 - MY 04



Plot 5 Photo – 9/23/10 - MY 04



Plot 6 Photo – 9/23/10 - MY 04



Plot 7 Photo – 10/1/10 - MY 04

Appendix C

Vegetation Plot Data

Table 7. Vegetation Plot Criteria Attainment	
Project Number and Name: 434 - UT to Crooked Creek	
Vegetation Plot ID	Vegetation Survival Threshold Met? (320 Planted Stems/Acre)
1	No
2	No
3	Yes
4	Yes
5	Yes
6	Yes
7	Yes

Table 8. CVS Vegetation Plot Metadata	
Project Number and Name: 434 - UT to Crooked Creek	
Report Prepared By	Adam Spiller
Date Prepared	12/20/2010 10:18
database name	KCI-2010-A.mdb
database location	M:\2007\12071067_2007 EEP OPEN END\Veg_database
computer name	12-CSPV0M1
file size	50462720
DESCRIPTION OF WORKSHEETS IN THIS DOCUMENT-----	
Metadata	Description of database file, the report worksheets, and a summary of project(s) and project data.
Proj, planted	Each project is listed with its PLANTED stems per acre, for each year. This excludes live stakes.
Proj, total stems	Each project is listed with its TOTAL stems per acre, for each year. This includes live stakes, all planted stems, and all natural/volunteer stems.
Plots	List of plots surveyed with location and summary data (live stems, dead stems, missing, etc.).
Vigor	Frequency distribution of vigor classes for stems for all plots.
Vigor by Spp	Frequency distribution of vigor classes listed by species.
Damage	List of most frequent damage classes with number of occurrences and percent of total stems impacted by each.
Damage by Spp	Damage values tallied by type for each species.
Damage by Plot	Damage values tallied by type for each plot.
Planted Stems by Plot and Spp	A matrix of the count of PLANTED living stems of each species for each plot; dead and missing stems are excluded.
ALL Stems by Plot and spp	A matrix of the count of total living stems of each species (planted and natural volunteers combined) for each plot; dead and missing stems are excluded.
PROJECT SUMMARY-----	
Project Code	434
project Name	UT to Crooked Creek/Speas Property (G)
Description	stream mitigation site
River Basin	Tar-Pamlico
length(ft)	2267
stream-to-edge width (ft)	50
area (sq m)	10534.39
Required Plots (calculated)	7
Sampled Plots	7

Table 9. CVS Stem Count Total and Planted Stems by Plot and Species
Project Number and Name: 434 - UT to Crooked Creek

			Current Plot Data (MY4 2010)																		Annual Means																	
Scientific Name	Common Name	Species Type	434-AH-0004			434-AH-0005			434-AH-0006			434-AH-0007			E434-GT-0001			E434-GT-0002			E434-GT-0003			MY4 (2010)			MY3 (2009)			MY2 (2008)			MY1 (2007)			MY0 (2007)		
			P-LS	P-all	T	P-LS	P-all	T	P-LS	P-all	T	P-LS	P-all	T	P-LS	P-all	T	P-LS	P-all	T	P-LS	P-all	T	P-LS	P-all	T	P-LS	P-all	T	P-LS	P-all	T	P-LS	P-all	T			
<i>Alnus serrulata</i>	hazel alder	Shrub Tree					4	4							1	1				1	1		6	6		2	2		2	2		3	3					
<i>Baccharis</i>	baccharis	Shrub Tree			2			11			5			9			11		34			20		92														
<i>Betula nigra</i>	river birch	Tree		2	2							2	2		1	1						5	5		1	1		1	1		3	3						
<i>Cephalanthus occidentalis</i>	common buttonbush	Shrub Tree					1	1												3	5	5	3	6	6	3	3	3	5	5	5		1	1				
<i>Cornus amomum</i>	silky dogwood	Shrub																		1	4	4	1	4	4	1	5	5	1	7	7	4	12	12				
<i>Fraxinus pennsylvanica</i>	green ash	Tree																		1	1		1	1		1	1		1	1		1	1					
<i>Liquidambar styraciflua</i>	sweetgum	Tree			3								2											5														
<i>Liriodendron tulipifera</i>	tuliptree	Tree																															1	1				
<i>Nyssa sylvatica</i>	blackgum	Tree												2	2							2	2		3	3		2	2		4	4						
<i>Pinus taeda</i>	loblolly pine	Tree																																				
<i>Quercus</i>	oak	Shrub Tree										1	1										1	1									1	1				
<i>Quercus alba</i>	white oak	Tree															1	1					1	1		1	1		1	1		1	1					
<i>Quercus michauxii</i>	swamp chestnut oak	Tree		2	2		4	4		6	6		2	2		2	2						16	16		3	3		3	3		4	4					
<i>Quercus nigra</i>	water oak	Tree		1	1		4	4		4	4		4	4									13	13														
<i>Quercus pagoda</i>	cherrybark oak	Tree																														2	2					
<i>Quercus phellos</i>	willow oak	Tree		3	3		2	2									6	6					11	11		6	6		6	6		6	6					
<i>Rhus copallinum</i>	flameleaf sumac	Shrub Tree											3					1					4								3							
<i>Salix nigra</i>	black willow	Tree																																				
Unknown		unknown																																		44	44	
	Stem count		0	8	13	0	15	26	0	10	15	0	9	23	0	6	17	0	7	42	4	11	31	4	66	167	4	25	25	6	28	51	4	39	39	0	44	44
	size (ares)		1			1			1			1			1			1			1			7			3			3			3			3		
	size (ACRES)		0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.02			0.17			0.07			0.07			0.07			0.07		
	Species count		0	4	6	0	5	6	0	2	3	0	4	7	0	4	5	0	2	4	2	4	5	2	11	14	2	9	9	2	9	12	1	12	12	0	1	1
	Stems per ACRE		0	324	526	0	607	1052	0	405	607	0	364	931	0	243	688	0	283	1700	162	445	1255	23.1	382	965	54	337	337	80.9	378	688	54	526	526	0	594	594

Appendix D

Stream Survey Data


Cross-Section Plots

River Basin:	Tar-Pamlico
Watershed:	UT to Crooked Creek , MY04
XS ID	XS - 1, Pool
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.52
Date:	12/14/2010
Field Crew:	A. French, B. Roberts



Station	Elevation
0.0	237.53
0.9	237.35
5.2	237.09
11.2	236.83
17.2	236.53
22.5	236.42
29.4	236.01
33.6	235.63
36.3	235.40
39.7	235.67
49.2	235.45
55.5	235.22
60.6	234.43
62.6	233.85
62.9	232.73
63.7	232.51
65.5	232.45
66.9	233.01
67.8	233.71
69.6	233.99
72.7	234.81
75.5	234.88
84.7	234.73
95.1	234.83

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	235.0
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	18.6
Bankfull Width:	18.6
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	-
Flood Prone Width:	-
Max Depth at Bankfull:	2.5
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	1.0
W / D Ratio:	-
Entrenchment Ratio:	-
Bank Height Ratio:	-




*MY-00 cross-section plots shifted as needed due to likely stationing issue during baseline monitoring.

River Basin:	Tar-Pamlico
Watershed:	UT to Crooked Creek , MY04
XS ID	XS - 2, Riffle
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.52
Date:	12/14/2010
Field Crew:	A. French, B. Roberts



Station	Elevation
0.0	234.68
2.9	234.74
6.6	234.42
12.0	234.25
17.0	234.41
19.2	234.22
22.8	233.73
25.2	233.48
26.7	233.07
28.1	232.80
29.2	232.72
30.0	232.74
31.6	233.42
34.1	234.03
35.9	234.44
43.2	234.32
51.2	234.42
56.8	234.51
60.8	234.84

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	234.3
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	12.1
Bankfull Width:	14.1
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	235.9
Flood Prone Width:	>60
Max Depth at Bankfull:	1.6
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	0.9
W / D Ratio:	16.4
Entrenchment Ratio:	4.3
Bank Height Ratio:	1.0



*MY-00 cross-section plots shifted as needed due to likely stationing issue during baseline monitoring.


River Basin:	Tar-Pamlico
Watershed:	UT to Crooked Creek , MY04
XS ID	XS - 3, Riffle
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.52
Date:	12/14/2010
Field Crew:	A. French, B. Roberts



Station	Elevation
0.0	233.84
1.6	233.53
6.8	233.31
11.4	233.06
17.1	233.07
22.8	232.94
27.0	233.08
33.3	232.96
39.9	232.86
42.3	232.84
43.5	232.86
45.4	232.59
46.4	232.12
48.0	231.71
48.6	231.34
49.3	231.22
50.3	231.16
51.5	231.14
52.9	231.27
53.4	231.72
55.1	231.90
56.5	232.46
57.5	232.60
61.9	232.39
66.5	232.42
71.9	232.58
76.5	232.79

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	232.7
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	11.2
Bankfull Width:	12.6
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	234.2
Flood Prone Width:	>75
Max Depth at Bankfull:	1.5
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	0.9
W / D Ratio:	14.2
Entrenchment Ratio:	6.0
Bank Height Ratio:	1.0

Tar-Pamlico River Basin, UT to Crooked Creek , MY04, XS - 3, Riffle




River Basin:	Tar-Pamlico
Watershed:	UT to Crooked Creek , MY04
XS ID	XS - 4, Pool
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.52
Date:	12/14/2010
Field Crew:	A. French, B. Roberts



Station	Elevation
0.0	231.88
2.7	231.90
6.0	231.83
10.1	231.84
13.7	231.94
15.8	231.83
17.4	231.66
17.8	230.13
18.5	228.26
20.6	227.80
22.2	227.44
23.4	227.43
24.5	227.82
25.0	227.98
26.7	230.02
27.1	231.46
29.8	231.59
33.4	231.78
39.3	231.79
44.3	231.91
47.5	231.96

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	231.5
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	30.8
Bankfull Width:	10.5
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	-
Flood Prone Width:	-
Max Depth at Bankfull:	4.1
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	2.9
W / D Ratio:	-
Entrenchment Ratio:	-
Bank Height Ratio:	-




*MY-00 cross-section plots shifted as needed due to likely stationing issue during baseline monitoring.

River Basin:	Tar-Pamlico
Watershed:	UT to Crooked Creek , MY04
XS ID	XS - 5, Pool
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.52
Date:	12/15/2010
Field Crew:	A. French, B. Roberts



Station	Elevation
0.0	227.96
3.2	227.86
11.0	227.68
17.5	227.66
25.0	227.52
30.9	227.44
33.9	227.21
35.8	226.91
38.9	226.68
40.2	226.50
41.4	226.34
41.9	225.84
42.3	224.84
44.0	224.51
44.4	224.18
45.6	223.93
46.3	224.22
47.1	224.80
47.9	225.49
48.8	225.96
49.7	226.45
50.1	227.67
51.9	228.55
53.2	228.36
55.8	228.09
58.1	227.76
61.3	227.98
66.0	228.31
69.0	228.4
70.4	228.5

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	227.3
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	23.7
Bankfull Width:	17.2
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	-
Flood Prone Width:	-
Max Depth at Bankfull:	3.4
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	1.4
W / D Ratio:	-
Entrenchment Ratio:	-
Bank Height Ratio:	-



*MY-00 cross-section plots shifted as needed due to likely stationing issue during baseline monitoring.


River Basin:	Tar-Pamlico
Watershed:	UT to Crooked Creek , MY04
XS ID	XS - 6, Pool
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.52
Date:	12/17/2010
Field Crew:	A. French, L. Lord



Station	Elevation
0.0	225.1
4.5	224.9
8.9	224.8
13.7	224.7
18.8	224.7
23.3	224.7
26.0	224.7
27.1	224.6
28.4	224.3
29.1	224.0
30.7	223.8
31.8	223.5
33.1	223.5
33.9	222.7
35.1	222.4
36.4	222.3
38.0	221.9
38.7	221.7
39.6	221.6
40.1	221.6
40.4	221.7
41.2	222.4
41.8	223.5
42.8	224.0
43.8	224.5
44.5	224.7
47.2	224.9
51.0	224.8
54.3	224.8
57.5	225.1
62.7	225.3
67.4	225.5

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	224.4
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	21.7
Bankfull Width:	15.4
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	-
Flood Prone Width:	-
Max Depth at Bankfull:	2.7
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	1.4
W / D Ratio:	-
Entrenchment Ratio:	-
Bank Height Ratio:	-

Tar-Pamlico River Basin, UT to Crooked Creek , MY04, XS - 6, Pool




*MY-00 cross-section plots shifted as needed due to likely stationing issue during baseline monitoring.

River Basin:	Tar-Pamlico
Watershed:	UT to Crooked Creek , MY04
XS ID	XS - 7, Riffle
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.52
Date:	12/17/2010
Field Crew:	A. French, L. Lord



Station	Elevation
0.0	223.57
2.9	223.40
7.1	223.20
12.7	223.12
19.8	222.98
22.9	222.86
24.5	222.82
25.8	222.68
29.3	221.88
31.3	221.73
31.9	220.99
32.3	221.01
33.0	221.42
33.7	221.25
34.1	221.43
35.3	221.61
37.1	221.83
39.9	222.63
41.4	222.86
46.4	222.68
52.8	222.77
59.6	223.05
64.2	223.20
67.8	223.19

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	222.9
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	16.8
Bankfull Width:	20.8
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	224.8
Flood Prone Width:	>70
Max Depth at Bankfull:	1.9
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	0.8
W / D Ratio:	25.8
Entrenchment Ratio:	3.4
Bank Height Ratio:	1.0




*MY-00 cross-section plots shifted as needed due to likely stationing issue during baseline monitoring.

River Basin:	Tar-Pamlico
Watershed:	UT to Crooked Creek , MY04
XS ID	XS - 8, Riffle
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.52
Date:	12/17/2010
Field Crew:	A. French, L. Lord




Station	Elevation
0.0	222.25
1.1	222.20
3.6	221.98
7.7	222.11
13.2	221.92
19.9	221.87
26.1	221.67
27.6	221.29
28.3	220.82
28.7	220.78
29.9	219.86
31.0	219.75
32.4	219.61
33.1	219.83
34.0	220.30
35.2	220.27
36.2	220.58
37.3	221.08
38.7	221.56
39.8	221.75
46.9	221.66
54.7	221.78
58.5	221.71
62.8	221.80

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	221.7
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	15.9
Bankfull Width:	13.5
Flood Prone Area Elevation:	223.8
Flood Prone Width:	>65
Max Depth at Bankfull:	2.1
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	1.2
W / D Ratio:	11.5
Entrenchment Ratio:	4.8
Bank Height Ratio:	1.0




*MY-00 cross-section plots shifted as needed due to likely stationing issue during baseline monitoring.

Longitudinal Profile
UT to Crooked Creek (Upper Reach), Franklin County
EEP Project Number 434 - MY04



— MY-00, 8/06
 — MY-01, 12/07
 — MY-02, 10/6/08
 — MY-03, 8/26/09
 — MY-04, 12/15/10
 ■ Bankfull
 - - - Water Surface
 ◆ In-stream Structures
 ◆ Beaver Dams
 — Bankfull
 - - - - Water Surface

Longitudinal Profile
UT to Crooked Creek (Lower Reach), Franklin County
EEP Project Number 434 - MY04




— MY-00, 8/06
 — MY-01, 12/07
 — MY-02, 10/6/08
 — MY-03, 8/26/09
 — MY-04, 12/15/10
 ■ Bankfull
 - - - Water Surface
 ◆ In-stream Structures
 ◆ Beaver Dams
 — Bankfull
 - - - - Water Surface

Note: MY-01 profile data ends at Station 29+50. A survey error affected the elevations from Station 29+50 to the end of the profile.

Pebble Count Plots

Cross-Section 1 Pool - MY04			
Particle	Millimeter		Count
Silt/Clay	< 0.062	S/C	54
Very Fine	.062 - .125	S	10
Fine	.125 - .25	A	15
Medium	.25 - .50	N	16
Coarse	.50 - 1	D	6
Very Coarse	1 - 2	S	
Very Fine	2 - 4		
Fine	4 - 5.7	G	
Fine	5.7 - 8	R	
Medium	8 - 11.3	A	
Medium	11.3 - 16	V	
Coarse	16 - 22.6	E	
Coarse	22.6 - 32	L	
Very Coarse	32 - 45	S	
Very Coarse	45 - 64		
Small	64 - 90	C	
Small	90 - 128	O	
Large	128 - 180	B	
Large	180 - 256	L	
Small	256 - 362	B	
Small	362 - 512	L	
Medium	512 - 1024	D	
Lrg- Very Lrg	1024 - 2048	R	
Bedrock	>2048	BDRK	
		Total	101
Note:			




Size (mm)	
D16	0.062
D35	0.062
D50	0.062
D65	0.13
D84	0.32
D95	0.56

Size Distribution	
mean	0.1
dispersion	3.1
skewness	0.42

Type	
silt/clay	53%
sand	47%
gravel	0%
cobble	0%
boulder	0%
bedrock	0%
hardpan	0%
wood/det	0%
artificial	0%

Cross-Section 2 Riffle - MY04			
Particle	Millimeter		Count
Silt/Clay	< 0.062	S/C	12
Very Fine	.062 - .125	S	13
Fine	.125 - .25	A	27
Medium	.25 - .50	N	20
Coarse	.50 - 1	D	18
Very Coarse	1 - 2	S	5
Very Fine	2 - 4		
Fine	4 - 5.7	G	1
Fine	5.7 - 8	R	1
Medium	8 - 11.3	A	4
Medium	11.3 - 16	V	
Coarse	16 - 22.6	E	
Coarse	22.6 - 32	L	
Very Coarse	32 - 45	S	
Very Coarse	45 - 64		
Small	64 - 90	C	
Small	90 - 128	O	
Large	128 - 180	B	
Large	180 - 256	L	
Small	256 - 362	B	
Small	362 - 512	L	
Medium	512 - 1024	D	
Lrg- Very Lrg	1024 - 2048	R	
Bedrock	>2048	BDRK	
	Total		101
Note:			




Size (mm)	
D16	0.078
D35	0.16
D50	0.24
D65	0.4
D84	0.82
D95	5.9

Size Distribution	
mean	0.3
dispersion	3.2
skewness	0.02

Type	
silt/clay	12%
sand	82%
gravel	6%
cobble	0%
boulder	0%
bedrock	0%
hardpan	0%
wood/det	0%
artificial	0%

Cross-Section 3 Riffle - MY04			
Particle	Millimeter		Count
Silt/Clay	< 0.062	S/C	13
Very Fine	.062 - .125	S	26
Fine	.125 - .25	A	28
Medium	.25 - .50	N	36
Coarse	.50 - 1	D	
Very Coarse	1 - 2	S	
Very Fine	2 - 4		
Fine	4 - 5.7	G	
Fine	5.7 - 8	R	
Medium	8 - 11.3	A	
Medium	11.3 - 16	V	
Coarse	16 - 22.6	E	
Coarse	22.6 - 32	L	
Very Coarse	32 - 45	S	
Very Coarse	45 - 64		
Small	64 - 90	C	
Small	90 - 128	O	
Large	128 - 180	B	
Large	180 - 256	L	
Small	256 - 362	B	
Small	362 - 512	L	
Medium	512 - 1024	D	
Lrg- Very Lrg	1024 - 2048	R	
Bedrock	>2048	BDRK	
	Total		103
Note:			




Size (mm)	
D16	0.068
D35	0.12
D50	0.17
D65	0.25
D84	0.36
D95	0.45

Size Distribution	
mean	0.2
dispersion	2.3
skewness	-0.04

Type	
silt/clay	13%
sand	87%
gravel	0%
cobble	0%
boulder	0%
bedrock	0%
hardpan	0%
wood/det	0%
artificial	0%

Cross-Section 4 Pool - MY04			
Particle	Millimeter		Count
Silt/Clay	< 0.062	S/C	14
Very Fine	.062 - .125	S	18
Fine	.125 - .25	A	31
Medium	.25 - .50	N	34
Coarse	.50 - 1	D	2
Very Coarse	1 - 2	S	1
Very Fine	2 - 4		2
Fine	4 - 5.7	G	
Fine	5.7 - 8	R	
Medium	8 - 11.3	A	
Medium	11.3 - 16	V	
Coarse	16 - 22.6	E	
Coarse	22.6 - 32	L	
Very Coarse	32 - 45	S	
Very Coarse	45 - 64		
Small	64 - 90	C	
Small	90 - 128	O	
Large	128 - 180	B	
Large	180 - 256	L	
Small	256 - 362	B	
Small	362 - 512	L	
Medium	512 - 1024	D	
Lrg- Very Lrg	1024 - 2048	R	
Bedrock	>2048	BDRK	
	Total		102
Note:			




Size (mm)	
D16	0.068
D35	0.14
D50	0.19
D65	0.27
D84	0.4
D95	0.5

Size Distribution	
mean	0.2
dispersion	2.4
skewness	-0.07

Type	
silt/clay	14%
sand	84%
gravel	2%
cobble	0%
boulder	0%
bedrock	0%
hardpan	0%
wood/det	0%
artificial	0%

Cross-Section 5 Pool - MY04			
Particle	Millimeter		Count
Silt/Clay	< 0.062	S/C	21
Very Fine	.062 - .125	S	11
Fine	.125 - .25	A	28
Medium	.25 - .50	N	42
Coarse	.50 - 1	D	
Very Coarse	1 - 2	S	
Very Fine	2 - 4		
Fine	4 - 5.7	G	
Fine	5.7 - 8	R	
Medium	8 - 11.3	A	
Medium	11.3 - 16	V	
Coarse	16 - 22.6	E	
Coarse	22.6 - 32	L	
Very Coarse	32 - 45	S	
Very Coarse	45 - 64		
Small	64 - 90	C	
Small	90 - 128	O	
Large	128 - 180	B	
Large	180 - 256	L	
Small	256 - 362	B	
Small	362 - 512	L	
Medium	512 - 1024	D	
Lrg- Very Lrg	1024 - 2048	R	
Bedrock	>2048	BDRK	
	Total		102
Note:			




Size (mm)	
D16	0.062
D35	0.14
D50	0.2
D65	0.28
D84	0.38
D95	0.46

Size Distribution	
mean	0.2
dispersion	2.6
skewness	-0.13

Type	
silt/clay	21%
sand	79%
gravel	0%
cobble	0%
boulder	0%
bedrock	0%
hardpan	0%
wood/det	0%
artificial	0%

Cross-Section 6 Pool - MY04			
Particle	Millimeter		Count
Silt/Clay	< 0.062	S/C	22
Very Fine	.062 - .125	S	24
Fine	.125 - .25	A	30
Medium	.25 - .50	N	29
Coarse	.50 - 1	D	1
Very Coarse	1 - 2	S	
Very Fine	2 - 4		
Fine	4 - 5.7	G	
Fine	5.7 - 8	R	
Medium	8 - 11.3	A	
Medium	11.3 - 16	V	
Coarse	16 - 22.6	E	
Coarse	22.6 - 32	L	
Very Coarse	32 - 45	S	
Very Coarse	45 - 64		
Small	64 - 90	C	
Small	90 - 128	O	
Large	128 - 180	B	
Large	180 - 256	L	
Small	256 - 362	B	
Small	362 - 512	L	
Medium	512 - 1024	D	
Lrg- Very Lrg	1024 - 2048	R	
Bedrock	>2048	BDRK	
	Total		106
Note:			




Size (mm)	
D16	0.062
D35	0.096
D50	0.15
D65	0.21
D84	0.34
D95	0.45

Size Distribution	
mean	0.1
dispersion	2.3
skewness	-0.02

Type	
silt/clay	21%
sand	79%
gravel	0%
cobble	0%
boulder	0%
bedrock	0%
hardpan	0%
wood/det	0%
artificial	0%

Cross-Section 7 Riffle - MY04			
Particle	Millimeter		Count
Silt/Clay	< 0.062	S/C	44
Very Fine	.062 - .125	S	21
Fine	.125 - .25	A	16
Medium	.25 - .50	N	15
Coarse	.50 - 1	D	3
Very Coarse	1 - 2	S	1
Very Fine	2 - 4		1
Fine	4 - 5.7	G	
Fine	5.7 - 8	R	
Medium	8 - 11.3	A	
Medium	11.3 - 16	V	
Coarse	16 - 22.6	E	
Coarse	22.6 - 32	L	
Very Coarse	32 - 45	S	
Very Coarse	45 - 64		
Small	64 - 90	C	
Small	90 - 128	O	
Large	128 - 180	B	
Large	180 - 256	L	
Small	256 - 362	B	
Small	362 - 512	L	
Medium	512 - 1024	D	
Lrg- Very Lrg	1024 - 2048	R	
Bedrock	>2048	BDRK	
		Total	101
Note:			




Size (mm)	
D16	0.062
D35	0.062
D50	0.077
D65	0.13
D84	0.3
D95	0.5

Size Distribution	
mean	0.1
dispersion	2.6
skewness	0.30

Type	
silt/clay	44%
sand	55%
gravel	1%
cobble	0%
boulder	0%
bedrock	0%
hardpan	0%
wood/det	0%
artificial	0%

Cross-Section 8 Riffle - MY04			
Particle	Millimeter		Count
Silt/Clay	< 0.062	S/C	28
Very Fine	.062 - .125	S	4
Fine	.125 - .25	A	10
Medium	.25 - .50	N	10
Coarse	.50 - 1	D	12
Very Coarse	1 - 2	S	7
Very Fine	2 - 4		5
Fine	4 - 5.7	G	2
Fine	5.7 - 8	R	5
Medium	8 - 11.3	A	5
Medium	11.3 - 16	V	4
Coarse	16 - 22.6	E	2
Coarse	22.6 - 32	L	6
Very Coarse	32 - 45	S	3
Very Coarse	45 - 64		
Small	64 - 90	C	
Small	90 - 128	O	
Large	128 - 180	B	
Large	180 - 256	L	
Small	256 - 362	B	
Small	362 - 512	L	
Medium	512 - 1024	D	
Lrg- Very Lrg	1024 - 2048	R	
Bedrock	>2048	BDRK	
		Total	103
Note:			



Size (mm)	
D16	0.062
D35	0.17
D50	0.48
D65	1.3
D84	10
D95	28

Size Distribution	
mean	0.8
dispersion	14.3
skewness	0.14

Type	
silt/clay	27%
sand	42%
gravel	31%
cobble	0%
boulder	0%
bedrock	0%
hardpan	0%
wood/det	0%
artificial	0%

Table 10. Baseline - Stream Data Summary Table

Project Number and Name: 434 - UT to Crooked Creek

Segment Reach: UT to Crooked Creek (2,219 ft)

Parameter	USGS Gage Data			Regional Curve Interval			Pre-Existing Condition			Project Reference Stream			Design			As-built		
	Min	Max	Mean	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Mean	Min	Max	Mean	Min	Max	Mean	Min	Max	Mean
Bankfull Width (ft)									16.4			11.4			15.0			
Floodprone Width (ft)									24.8	67.5	69	68.3			59			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)									13.3			8.8			17.3			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)									0.8			0.7			1.2			
Bankfull Maximum Depth (ft)									1.9			1.8			1.5			
Width/Depth Ratio									20.2			15.4			13.0			
Bank Height Ratio																		
Entrenchment Ratio									1.5	4.6	4.6	4.6			5.3			
Wetted Perimeter (ft)									18.0			12.5			17.3			
Hydraulic Radius (ft)									0.74			0.64			1.02			
Pattern																		
Channel Beltwidth (ft)									7.9			37.7	31.5	63	94.5	25	63	45
Radius of Curvature (ft)							4	7	5.5	6.6	15.8	11.2	30	45	38	25	40	32
Meander Wavelength (ft)							6	29	17.5	19.7	42	31	45	135	90	101	150	125
Meander Width Ratio									0.6	1.8	3.8	5.6	3	9	6	6.7	10	8
Profile																		
Riffle Length (ft)							6	20	13	5	13	9	20	40	25	21	61	48
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)									0.043			0.031			0.004			
Pool Length (ft)							6	8	7	14	20	17	15	60	20	7	81	29
Pool Spacing (ft)							6	31	18.5	4.9	47.3	26.1	36	82.5	59.3	23.6	129.5	49.3
Substrate																		
d50 (mm)									0.2						0.2			0.2
d84 (mm)									0.2						0.2			0.2
Additional Reach Parameters																		
Valley Length (ft)									1,900			86			1,866			1,866
Channel Length (ft)									1,920			106			2,277			2,267
Sinuosity									1.01			1.23			1.22			1.21
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)									0.0071			0.0164			0.0039			N/A
BF Slope (ft/ft)									0.0071			0.0164			0.0039			0.004
Rosgen Classification									F5			C5			C5			C5

Table 11a. Monitoring - Cross-Section Morphology Data Table

Project Number and Name: 434 - UT to Crooked Creek

Segment / Reach: UT to Crooked Creek (2,219 ft.)

Parameter	Cross-Section 1						Cross-Section 2						Cross-Section 3					
	Pool						Riffle						Riffle					
Dimension	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Elevation (datum) used		235.0	235.0	235.0				234.3	234.3	234.3				232.7	232.7	232.7		
Bankfull Width (ft)	17.7	16.4	16.4	18.6			15.0	15.3	14.7	14.1			12.6	12.7	11.2	12.6		
Floodprone Width (ft)	95						61	>60	>60	>60			77	>70	>70	>70		
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	17.5	14.4	15.2	18.6			9.6	9.1	9.2	12.1			12.2	10.1	10.0	11.2		
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	1.0	0.9	0.9	1.0			0.6	0.6	0.6	0.9			1.0	0.8	0.9	0.9		
Bankfull Maximum Depth (ft)	2.5	2.2	2.3	2.5			1.4	1.4	1.3	1.6			1.5	1.3	1.3	1.5		
Width/Depth Ratio							23.5	25.6	23.6	16.4			13.0	16.1	12.7	14.2		
Entrenchment Ratio	5.4						4.0	>4.0	>4.0	>4.0			6.1	>5.0	>6.0	>6.0		
Bank Height Ratio							1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		
Cross-Sectional Area between end pins (ft ²)				16.2						30.0						18.2		
d50 (mm)	0.2	0.9	0.8	0.1			0.2	0.8	0.3	0.2			0.2	0.6	1.1	0.2		

Parameter	Cross-Section 4						Cross-Section 5						Cross-Section 6					
	Pool						Pool						Pool					
Dimension	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Elevation (datum) used		231.5	231.5	231.5				227.3	227.3	227.3				224.4	224.4	224.4		
Bankfull Width (ft)	9.6	9.9	10.8	10.5			16.5	16.7	16.3	17.2			15.9	15.0	15.2	15.4		
Floodprone Width (ft)	48						71						68					
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	26.6	26.8	25.3	30.8			25.6	25.7	21.1	23.7			22.3	20.0	20.5	21.7		
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	2.8	2.7	2.3	2.9			1.6	1.5	1.3	1.4			1.4	1.3	1.4	1.4		
Bankfull Maximum Depth (ft)	3.5	3.6	3.5	4.1			3.6	3.5	2.9	3.4			2.8	2.5	2.7	2.7		
Width/Depth Ratio																		
Entrenchment Ratio	5.0						4.3						4.3					
Bank Height Ratio																		
Cross-Sectional Area between end pins (ft ²)				37.4						45.8						43.5		
d50 (mm)	0.2	1.1	0.9	0.2			0.2	0.4	0.5	0.2			0.2	0.4	0.5	0.2		

Table 11a. Monitoring - Cross-Section Morphology Data Table

Project Number and Name: 434 - UT to Crooked Creek

Segment / Reach: UT to Crooked Creek (2,219 ft.)

Parameter	Cross-Section 7						Cross-Section 8					
	Riffle						Riffle					
Dimension	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Elevation (datum) used		222.9	222.9	222.9				221.7	221.7	221.6		
Bankfull Width (ft)	19.1	17.0	19.5	20.8			15.0	13.3	12.1	13.5		
Floodprone Width (ft)	68	>60	>60	>60			200	>60	>60	>60		
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area (ft ²)	16.7	17.5	15.2	16.8			15.7	14.0	14.6	15.9		
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.9	1.0	0.8	0.8			1.1	1.1	1.2	1.2		
Bankfull Maximum Depth (ft)	1.6	2.6	1.9	2.5			1.2	2.0	2.1	2.2		
Width/Depth Ratio	21.9	16.6	22.4	25.7			14.3	12.6	10.1	11.4		
Entrenchment Ratio	3.6	>3.0	>3.0	>3.0			4.0	>4.0	>4.0	>4.0		
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		
Cross-Sectional Area between end pins (ft ²)				25.4						20.7		
d50 (mm)	0.2	0.2	0.5	0.1			0.2	0.1	3.2	0.5		

Table 11b. Monitoring - Stream Reach Morphology Data Table

Project Number and Name: 434 - UT to Crooked Creek

Segment Reach: UT to Crooked Creek (2,219 ft.)

Parameter	MY - 01 (2007)			MY - 02 (2008)			MY - 03 (2009)						MY - 04 (2010)						MY - 05 (2011)						
	Min	Max	Med	Min	Max	Med	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD	n	
Bankfull Width (ft)	12.6	19.0	15.0	12.7	17.0	14.3	11.2	14.4	13.4	19.5	3.7	4	12.6	15.6	13.4	22.9	4.9	4							
Floodprone Width (ft)	60	200	75	60	70	60	60.0	62.5	60.0	70.0	5.0	4	60.0	67.5	67.5	75.0	6.5	4							
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft ²)	10.0	17.0	16.0	9.1	17.5	12.1	9.2	12.3	12.3	15.2	3.1	4	11.2	13.7	13.4	16.8	2.5	4							
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)	0.6	1.1	0.9	0.6	1.1	0.9	0.6	0.9	0.9	1.2	0.3	4	0.8	0.9	0.9	1.1	0.1	4							
Bankfull Maximum Depth (ft)	1.4	2.0	1.5	1.3	2.6	1.7	1.3	1.7	1.6	2.1	0.4	4	1.5	1.8	1.8	2.0	0.2	4							
Width/Depth Ratio	13.0	23.5	14.3	12.6	25.6	16.4	10.1	17.2	17.6	23.6	6.8	4	11.0	16.9	15.3	25.8	6.4	4							
Entrenchment Ratio	4.0	13.0	6.0	-	-	-	3.0	4.3	4.0	6.0	1.3	4	3.4	4.7	4.7	6.0	1.1	4							
Bank Height Ratio	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	4	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	4							
Pattern																									
Channel Beltwidth (ft)																									
Radius of Curvature (ft)																									
Meander Wavelength (ft)																									
Meander Width Ratio*																									
Profile																									
Riffle Length (ft)	11	66	30	10	44	22	4	14		52			3	15	13	47	12.3	18							
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)	0.000	0.025	0.006	0.003	0.037	0.009	0.001	0.010		0.051			0.009	0.015	0.012	0.049	0.013	18							
Pool Length (ft)	5	48	27	3	29	10	3	9		40			3	15	15	44	7.9	40							
Pool Spacing (ft)	10	86	48	12	160	44	12	34		138			17	59	48	182	37.4	39							
Additional Reach Parameters																									
Valley Length (ft)	1,866			1,866			1,866						1,866												
Channel Thalweg Length (ft)	2,376**			2,287			2,287						2,287												
Sinuosity	1.21			1.23			1.23						1.23												
Water Surface Slope (ft/ft)	0.00384-0.00484			0.0073			0.0067						0.0074												
Bankfull Slope (ft/ft)	0.00384-0.00484			0.0073			0.0068						0.0072												
SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% / Be%													25% / 70% / 5% / - / - / -												
d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95													0.062 / 0.096 / 0.17 / 0.44 / 2												
% of Reach with Eroding Banks													0%												
Rosgen Classification	C5			C5			C5						C5												

** Thalweg longer due to tape skew

Appendix E

Hydrologic Data

Table 12. Verification of Bankfull Events			
Project Number and Name: 434 - UT to Crooked Creek			
Date of Data Collection	Date of Occurrence	Method	Photo Number
11/11/2009	06/18/09	Evaluation of rainfall data	N/A
11/25/2009	11/13/09	Evaluation of rainfall data	N/A
10/15/2010	9/30/2010	Site visit to evaluate indicators after rainfall event	N/A