Year 1 Monitoring Report
FINAL

UT to Uwharrie River Stream Restoration Project
Randolph County, North Carolina
EEP Project No. 847

Construction Completed: March 2011
Vegetation Data Collected: September 2012
Morphology Data Collected: November 2012
Submission Date: March 2013

North Carolina Department of
Environment and Natural Resources
Ecosystem Enhancement Program
1652 Mail Service Center
Raleigh, NC 27699-1652

Owner

NCDENR
Ecosystem Enhancement Program
1652 Mail Service Center
Raleigh, NC 27699-1652

EEP Project Manager: Harry Tsomides
Phone: (828) 545-7057

Monitoring Firm

Mulkey Engineers and Consultants
6750 Tryon Road
Cary, North Carolina 27518
Phone: (919) 851-1912
Fax: (919) 851-1918

Project Manager: Mark Mickley
Phone: (919) 858-1797

TABLE OF CONTENTS

APPENDICES

1.0 Executive Summary

The following report summarizes the vegetation establishment and stream stability for Year 1 monitoring for the UT to Uwharrie River Stream Restoration Project (Site) in Randolph County, North Carolina.

1.1 Goals and Objectives

The following goals and objectives were selected for the UT to Uwharrie River Stream Restoration Project as part of the 2007 EEP Final Restoration Plan.

Goals

- Improve the overall water quality by reducing the input of sediment and nutrients into the aquatic system.
- Improve the richness and diversity of the plant species within the riparian zone.
- Improve the overall wildlife habitat across the entire conservation easement,

Objectives

- Create a stable network of stream channels by altering either the dimension, pattern, or profile of each reach.
- Restore the riparian zone of each reach by reestablishing the appropriate plant community and eliminating the invasive plant species.
- Eliminate the feedlot runoff from entering the stream channels and degrading water quality.
- Protect the completed stream and habitat restoration at the Site through a perpetual conservation easement.

1.2 Project Background

The Site is located on a UT to the Uwharrie River approximately 5.0 miles southeast of the city of Thomasville and 3.2 miles southwest of the city of Trinity in Randolph County. The site is within the area bounded by Welborn Road (SR 1556) to the north, Hopewell Church Road (SR 3252) and Morris Road (SR 1557) to the east, Kennedy Road (SR 3106) to the south, and Finch Farm Road (SR 1547) to the west (Figure 1). The restoration project is located entirely on one private parcel owned by Mr. Donnie R. Sumner (Parcel ID No. 7706263620). The Ecosystem Enhancement Program (EEP) purchased 32.76 acres and established a perpetual conservation easement to protect stream restoration activities.

Topography associated with the site consists of gently sloping hills and valleys. Elevations range from a high of 740 feet above mean sea level (msl) at the southwestern project boundary to a low of approximately 640 feet above msl at the eastern project boundary, adjacent to Morris Road. The Site is located in the North Carolina Division of Water Quality (NCDWQ) Sub-basin 03-07-09 of the Yadkin-Pee Dee River Basin, USGS Hydrologic Unit Code 03040103 (8-digit HUC) and Local Watershed Unit 03040103050010 (14-digit HUC). The Uwharrie River is the closest named stream to the Site. The restoration project is located within the extent of EEP's Upper Uwharrie Local Watershed Plan. The overall drainage area at the Site is approximately 1,269.7 acres or 1.98 square miles.

The Site was selected because it presented an excellent opportunity to restore natural stream functions, to establish effective riparian buffers, and to protect a segment of stream channel from impending development. Primary land use within the Site is open pasture without fencing. The entire cattle farm encompasses approximately 330 acres and generally contains between 100 cattle in the summer months to over 350 during other seasons. Cattle had relatively unrestricted access to the creek channel for watering for over a century, resulting in substantial erosion along the stream banks, incision of the channels, channel widening, and impaired water quality through low dissolved oxygen, increased levels of fecal coliforms and nutrients. Additionally, runoff from a feedlot had denuded the aquatic life in portions of two reaches by significantly changing the dissolved oxygen and pH levels.

As part of the project, farm best management practices (BMPs) were implemented to protect project assets during the monitoring period and beyond. Approximately 12,000 linear feet of livestock fencing was installed around the project easement to eliminate cattle access to streams and associated riparian buffers. An alternate watering system including one well and four livestock drinkers was installed to provide cattle access to drinking water. Additionally, concentrated leachate from the feed lot upslope of the project was addressed through the decommissioning of a silage pit, thereby removing the pollution source.

1.3 Vegetation

Stream Vegetation Success Criteria

Vegetation monitoring will be considered successful for stream mitigation credit if at least 260 planted stems/acre (trees and shrubs) are surviving at the end of five years. The interim measure of vegetative success for the site will be the survival of at least 320 3-year old stems per acre at the end of year three of the monitoring period and 288 4-year old stems per acre at the end of year four of the monitoring period (USACE et al. 2003).

Monitoring Results

Overall stem counts were based on an average of the evaluated vegetation plots. Based on the number of stems counted toward stream mitigation credit, average densities were measured at 385 planted stems per acre (excluding livestakes) surviving in Year 1 (2012). The dominant species identified at the Site were planted stems of American sycamore (*Platanus occidentalis*) and white oak (*Quercus alba*).

Thirteen of the seventeen individual vegetation plots met success criteria by greater than ten percent when counting planted stems alone. Three plots (Plots 4, 6, and 12) did not meet success criteria based on planted stems alone, nor when including appropriate naturally recruited stems. Plot 11 had a total of 323 stems per acre, which is only slightly over the success criteria threshold of 320 stems per acre.

A dense population of kudzu (*Pueraria lobata*) is located just inside the easement boundary at the westernmost portion of the Site, between the NW-UT and SW-UT. The location of this population is mapped on the Current Condition Plan View (CCPV) map (Figure 2). Invasive/exotic vegetatation is not currently compromising the vegetative success of the site.

1.4 Stream Stability

Year 1 monitoring surveys along UT to Uwharrie occurred in November 2012. Multiple areas of instability were noted during longitudinal surveys and are documented on the CCPV maps. One area of bank erosion was noted along the Main West reach. Four areas of bank erosion and one area of mass wasting were observed along the Main Center reach. One short section of bed degradation was observed along the SW-Trib reach. No areas of instability were observed during longitudinal surveys of the SE-UT.

A baseline monitoring survey was not conducted at the Site so comparison of channel dimension and profile data between as-built and Year 1 conditions could not be conducted. However, based strictly on visual assessment, there does not appear to be any evidence of trends toward significant change in channel dimension or profile between as-built and Year 1 conditions. The limited as-built surveys that were conducted do allow for comparison of channel pattern. Similarly, there is no evidence of trends toward significant change in channel pattern between as-built and Year 1 conditions. The majority of stream banks and structures throughout the Site are stable and functioning as intended.

Based on overall visual assessment of the channel, Main Center appears to contain the majority of the problem areas on the Site. Five areas of bank erosion, including one area of apparent mass wasting were observed along the reach. One rock vane has been compromised as a result of stream bank erosion around the vane arm. All problem areas within the extents of longitudinal surveys are depicted on the CCPV. Appropriate remedial action, if necessary, will be determined by EEP.

Baseline monitoring features, including two crest gauges, were installed at the Site in August 2012. No bankfull events had occurred at these gauges by the time of stream surveys in November 2012. However, visible damage to a section of fencing and conversations with the property owner indicate that at least one significant flood event had occurred at the Site prior to the installation of baseline monitoring features. It is likely that the areas of instability noted on the CCPV resulted from that flood event.

1.5 Note

Summary information/data related to the occurrence of items such as beaver or encroachment and statistics related to performance of various project and monitoring elements can be found in the tables and figures in the report appendices. Narrative background and supporting information formerly found in these reports can be found in the Baseline Monitoring Report (formerly Mitigation Plan) and in the Mitigation Plan (formerly the Restoration Plan) documents available on EEP's website. All raw data supporting the tables and figures in the appendices is available from EEP upon request.

2.0 Methodology

The Year 1 Monitoring survey was completed using a Total Station. Fourteen cross-sections and 3,000 feet of longitudinal survey have been established to monitor stream conditions at the Site.

Each cross-section and longitudinal survey section is marked with two rebar monuments at their beginning and ending points. The rebar has been located vertically and horizontally in NAD 83-State Plane to facilitate proper orientation and future comparison. The survey data was imported into MicroStation for verification. RIVERMorph was used to analyze the profile and cross section data. Tables and figures were created using Microsoft Excel. Reach-wide pebble counts were conducted at random riffle sections along the longitudinal survey sections of each reach. Crest gauges have been installed to monitor hydrologic success criteria at the site. In addition to longitudinal survey, project-wide stream monitoring was accomplished using visual assessment as well as photo documentation.

Vegetation monitoring was conducted according to the CVS-EEP Protocol for Recording Vegetation, Version 4.0 (Lee, M.T. et al., 2006). Seventeen 100 square meter vegetation monitoring plots were established along the project reaches in September 2012. Eight plots measure ten meters by ten meters, and nine plots measure five meters by 20 meters. The four corners of each plot are marked with one-half inch steel rebar. Level 2 (planted and volunteer woody stems) data collection was performed in all plots. Each planted woody stem location (x and y), height (cm), and live stem diameter (dbh) were recorded. All planted stems were identified with pink flagging and silver tree tags indicating tree species. Vegetation was identified using Weakley (Weakley 2007). Photos were taken of each vegetation plot. A qualitative visual assessment of the reaches will be performed each year. Areas lacking cover, with low planted-stem density or vigor, or areas experiencing invasive species encroachment will be identified and mapped on the CCPV.

3.0 References

Lee, Michael Tl, R.K. Peet, S.D. Roberts, and T.R. Wentworth. 2008. *CVS-EEP Protocol for Recording Vegetation, Version 4.2* (http://cvs.bio.unc.edu/methods.htm).

NCDENR-Ecosystem Enhancement Program. 2007. Final Restoration Plan, Unnamed Tributary to Uwharrie River Stream Restoration Project, Randolph County, North Carolina.

NRCS (Natural Resources Conservation Service). 2012. Web Soil Survey—Randolph County. Available at: http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/HomePage.htm.

Schafale, M.P., and A.S. Weakley. 1990. Classification of the natural communities of North Carolina, third approximation. N.C. Natural Heritage Program, Raleigh, NC.

USACE. 2003. *Stream Mitigation Guidelines*. USACOE, USEPA, NCWRC, NCDENR-DWQ.

Weakley, Alan S. 2011. Flora of the Southern and Mid-Atlantic States. University of North Carolina Herbarium, North Carolina Botanical Garden, UNC Chapel Hill. http://herbarium/unc/edu/FloraArchives/WeakleyFlora_2011-May-nav.pdf

APPENDIX A
Project Vicinity Map and Background Tables

The subject project site is an environmental restoration site of the NCDENR Ecosystem Enhancement Program (EEP) and is encompassed by a recorded conservation easement, but is bordered by land under private ownership. Accessing the site may require traversing areas near or along the easement boundary and therefore access by the general public is not permitted. Access by authorized personnel of state and federal agencies or their designees/contractors involved in the development, oversight and stewardship of the restoration site is permitted within the terms and timeframes of their defined roles. Any intended site visitation or activity by any person outside of these previously sanctioned roles and activities requires prior coordination with EEP.

Directions to the Project:
The project site is located approximately 5.0 miles southeast of the City of Thomasville and 3.2 miles southwest of the City of Trinity in Randolph County. The approximate center of the project site is located at 35.849838º N Latitude and 80.004514º W Longitude. From I-85 take Exit 108 and follow Hopewell Church Road (SR 3252) south for 1.7 miles. Turn right onto Morris Road (SR 1557) and follow for 0.8 miles. Turn right onto Kennedy Road (SR 3106) and follow for 600 feet to the Site entrance.

Access to the conservation easement during all phases of the project will be maintained through the landowner's gated entrance to the Site. This entrance is located at the end of landowner's private driveway off of Kennedy Road, approximately 600 ft west of Morris Road.

Ecosystem Enhancement Program

1 inch = 1,000 feet

GRAPHIC SCALE
0 500 1,000 Feet

PROJECT VICINITY MAP
UT TO UWHARRIE RIVER
STREAM RESTORATION PROJECT
EEP PROJECT #847
RANDOLPH COUNTY, NC

Legend
Project Boundary

FIGURE
1

Table 1. Project Components and Mitigation Credits
UT to Uwharrie River Stream Restoration Project (#847)

Mitigation Credits

	Stream[1]		Riparian Wetland		Non-riparian Wetland		Buffer	Nitrogen Nutrient Offset	Phosphorous Nutrient Offset
Type	R	RE	R	RE	R	RE			
Totals	6611	144		0.19					

Project Components

Project Component -or- Reach ID	Stationing/Location	Existing Footage/Acreage	Approach (PI, PII etc.)	Restoration -or- Restoration Equivalent	Restoration Footage or Acreage	Mitigation Ratio
NW-UT	0+00 - 3+38	355'	P3	E1	338'	1.5:1
SW-UT	0+00 - 2+62	271'	P3	E1	262'	1.5:1
SW Tributary	0+00 - 2+71	1440'		P	271'	5:1
	2+71 - 15+09		P2	R	1238'	1:1
Main West	0+00 - 2+29	1235'	P3	E1	229'	1.5:1
	2+29 - 14+27		P2	R	1198'	1:1
Main Center	14+27 - 29+40	1330'	P2	R	1513'	1:1
SE-UT	-36.0 - 10+70	1020'	P2/P1	R	1106'	1:1
N-UT	0+30 - 1+02	206'	P3	E1	72'	1.5:1
	1+02 - 3+18		P2	R	216'	1:1
Main East	29+40 - 36+56	1163'	P2	R	716'	1:1
	36+56 - 41+32			P	476'	5:1
Tributary 1	Drains to Main East	129'	P3	E2	104'	2.5:1
Tributary 2	Drains to Main East	91'	P3	E2	59'	2.5:1
Wetland A	Top of SW-Trib	0.65		P	0.65	5:1
Wetland B	Adjacent to SW-Trib	0.02		P	0.02	5:1
Wetland C	Adjacent to SE-UT	0.26		P	0.26	5:1

Component Summation

Restoration Level	Stream (linear feet)	Riparian Wetland (acres)		Non-riparian Wetland (acres)	Buffer (square feet)	Upland (acres)
		Riverine	Non-Riverine			
Restoration	5986					
Enhancement						
Enhancement I	901					
Enhancement II	163					
Creation						
Preservation	747		0.93			
High Quality Preservation						

BMP Elements

Element	Location	Purpose/Function	Notes

BMP Elements
BR = Bioretention Cell; SF = Sand Filter; SW = Stormwater Wetland; WDP = Wet Detention Pond; DDP = Dry Detention Pond; FS = Filter Strip; S = Grassed Swale; LS = Level Spreader; NI = Natural Infiltration Area; FB = Forested Buffer

1 - A total of 41 linear feet of restored stream and 25 linear feet of preserved stream was subtracted from the Mitigation Credit summation to account for the three permanent stream crossings at the Site.

Table 2. Project Activity and Reporting History UT to Uwaharrie River Stream Restoration Project (#847)		
Activity or Deliverable	**Data Collection Complete**	**Completion or Delivery**
Environmental Resources Technical Report	Dec-06	Mar-07
Permanent Conservation Easement Executed & Recorded	N/A	Aug-2006
Restoration Plan	N/A	Jul-07
Final Design – Construction Plans	N/A	Aug-10
Construction	N/A	Mar-11
Planting	N/A	Feb-11
Baseline Monitoring Installation	Sep-12	Dec-12
Year 1 Monitoring	Nov-12	Mar-13

Table 3. Project Contacts Table UT to Uwaharrie River Stream Enhancement Project (#847)	
Designer	Mulkey Engineers and Consultants, Inc. 6750 Tryon Road Cary, NC 27518
Primary project design POC	Tom Barrett, (919) 858-1817
Construction Contractor	Vaughn Contracting, Inc. Post Office Box 796 Wadesboro, NC 28170
Construction contractor POC	Tommy Vaughn, (704) 694-6450
Survey Contractor	Dixie Land Surveying, PLLC 4278 Country Club Road Wadesboro, NC 28170
Survey contractor POC	Michael R. Ingram, (704) 694-5810
Planting/Seeding Contractor	Vaughn Contracting, Inc. Post Office Box 796 Wadesboro, NC 28170
Planting contractor POC	Tommy Vaughn, (704) 694-6450
Seed Mix Sources	Evergreen Seed, (919) 567-1333 Southern States, (336) 625-3779
Nursery Stock Suppliers	NC Forest Service - Claridge Nursery, (919) 731-7988 Arborgen - (800) 222-1290
Monitoring Performers	Mulkey Engineers and Consultants, Inc. 6750 Tryon Road Cary, NC 27518
Stream/Vegetation Monitoring POC	Mark Mickley, (919) 858-1797

Table 4. Project Attribute Table - UT to Uwharrie River Stream Enhancement Project (#847)

Project County	Randolph
Physiographic Region	Piedmont
Ecoregion	Carolina Slate Belt
Project River Basin	Yadkin-Pee Dee
USGS HUC for Project (14 digit)	3040103050010
NCDWQ Sub-basin for Project	03-07-09
Within extent of EEP Watershed Plan?	Upper Uwharrie Local Watershed Plan
WRC Hab Class (Warm, Cool, Cold)	Warm
% of project easement fenced or demarcated	100%
Beaver activity observed during design phase?	No

Restoration Component Attribute Table

Reach	NW-UT	SW-UT	Main West	Main Center	Main East	SW-Trib	SE-UT	N-UT	Trib 1	Trib 2
Drainage area (ac)	537.6	256.0	819.2	915.2	1267.2	51.2	25.6	307.2	19.2	19.2
Stream order	2nd	1st	2nd	2nd	2nd/3rd	1st	1st	2nd	1st	1st
Restored length (feet)	338.0	262.0	1427.0	1513.0	1192.0	1509.0	1106.0	288.0	104.0	59.0
Perennial or Intermittent	Per	Per	Per	Per	Per	Per	Per	Per	Int	Int
Watershed type (Rural, Urban, Developing etc.)	Rural									
Watershed LULC Distribution (e.g.)										
Residential	27%									
Ag-Row Crop	2%									
Ag-Livestock	30%									
Forested	39%									
Etc.	2%									
Watershed impervious cover (%)	1%									
NCDWQ AU/Index number	13-2-(0.5)									
NCDWQ classification	WS-III									
303d listed?	No									
Upstream of a 303d listed segment?	No									
Reasons for 303d listing or stressor	N/A									
Total acreage of easement	32.8									
Total vegetated acreage within the easement	32.8									
Total planted acreage as part of the restoration	32.76									
Rosgen classification of pre-existing	E3/1	E4b	E3/4	E4	E4	E4b	G5	E4	U	U
Rosgen classification of As-built[1]	-	-	E4/1	E4	-	B4	C5b	-	-	-
Valley type	VIII	VIII	VIII	VIII	VIII	II	II	VIII	U	U
Valley slope	0.01625	0.02366	0.0134	0.0071	0.009	0.0325	0.03068	0.01228	U	U
Valley side slope range (e.g. 2-3.%)	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
Valley toe slope range (e.g. 2-3.%)	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
Cowardin classification	R5UB1	R5UB1	R5UB1	R5UB1	R5UB1	R5UB1	R5UB2	R5UB1	R4	R4
Trout waters designation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Species of concern, endangered etc.? (Y/N)	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
Dominant soil series and characteristics										
Series	Mecklenburg Loam 8-15%	Mecklenburg Loam 8-15%	Mecklenburg Loam 8-15%	Riverview sandy loam 0-2%/Wilkes-poindexter-Wynott complex 15-45%	Mecklenburg Loam 8-15%	Mecklenburg Loam 8-15%	Mecklenburg Loam 8-15%/Wilkes-poindexter-Wynott complex 15-45%	Riverview sandy loam 0-2%/Mecklenburg Loam 8-15%	Mecklenburg Loam 8-15%	Mecklenburg Loam 8-15%
Depth (in)	61	61	61	42-60	61	61	42-61	60-61	61	61
Clay%	33.7	33.7	33.7	33.7	26.3	32.5	28.8	26.3	32.5	32.5
K	0.28	0.28	0.28	0.24-0.31	0.28	0.28	0.28-0.31	0.24-0.28	0.28	0.28
T	4	4	4	2-5	4	4	2-4	4-5	4	4

1 - Rosgen classifications based on MY1 survey data and are therefore not available for all reaches

N/A = Not Applicable, "-" = Unavailable, "U" = Unknown

APPENDIX B
Visual Assesment Data

Year 1 Conditions

Bed/Bank Condition
- Bed Degradation
- Bank Wasting
- Bank Erosion/Scour
- Bank Stable

In-Stream Structure Condition
- Failing
- Stressed
- Stable

Vegetation Problem Areas
- Bare Bench
- Invasive Population

Vegetation Plot Condition
- Criteria Met
- Criteria Not Met

PREPARED FOR			PREPARED BY
Ecosystem Enhancement Program	SHEET 1 OF 5 2/21/2013 DRAWN: MLM REVIEWED: TBB PROJECT NUMBER MEC: 2012057.00 NCEEP: 847	**FIGURE 2. CURRENT CONDITION PLAN VIEW** **UT TO UWHARRIE RIVER STREAM RESTORATION PROJECT** **RANDOLPH COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA** 1 inch = 250 feet GRAPHIC SCALE 0 125 250 500 Feet	MULKEY ENGINEERS & CONSULTANTS

LEGEND

- Easement Boundary
- As-built Centerline
- Crest Gauge
- Wetlands
- Year 1 Centerline
- Photo Point
- Designed Centerline
- Cross Section
- Cross Section Pin



PREPARED FOR			PREPARED BY
Ecosystem Enhancement Program	SHEET 2 OF 5 2/21/2013 DRAWN: MLM REVIEWED: TBB PROJECT NUMBER MEC: 2012057.00 NCEEP: 847	**FIGURE 2. CURRENT CONDITION PLAN VIEW** **UT TO UWHARRIE RIVER STREAM RESTORATION PROJECT** **RANDOLPH COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA** 1 inch = 100 feet GRAPHIC SCALE 0 50 100 200 Feet	MULKEY ENGINEERS & CONSULTANTS

LEGEND

Easement Boundary	As-built Centerline	Crest Gauge
Wetlands	Year 1 Centerline	Photo Point
Designed Centerline	Cross Section	Cross Section Pin



PREPARED FOR			PREPARED BY
Ecosystem Enhancement Program	SHEET 3 OF 5 2/21/2013 DRAWN: MLM REVIEWED: TBB PROJECT NUMBER MEC: 2012057.00 NCEEP: 847	**FIGURE 2. CURRENT CONDITION PLAN VIEW** **UT TO UWHARRIE RIVER STREAM RESTORATION PROJECT** **RANDOLPH COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA** 1 inch = 100 feet GRAPHIC SCALE 0 50 100 200 Feet	MULKEY ENGINEERS & CONSULTANTS

LEGEND

- Easement Boundary
- Wetlands
- Designed Centerline
- As-built Centerline
- Year 1 Centerline
- Cross Section
- Crest Gauge
- Photo Point
- Cross Section Pin

PREPARED FOR			PREPARED BY
Ecosystem Enhancement Program	SHEET 4 OF 5 2/21/2013 DRAWN: MLM REVIEWED: TBB PROJECT NUMBER MEC: 2012057.00 NCEEP: 847	**FIGURE 2. CURRENT CONDITION PLAN VIEW** **UT TO UWHARRIE RIVER STREAM RESTORATION PROJECT** **RANDOLPH COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA** 1 inch = 75 feet GRAPHIC SCALE 0 37.5 75 150 Feet	MULKEY ENGINEERS & CONSULTANTS

LEGEND

Easement Boundary	As-built Centerline	Crest Gauge
Wetlands	Year 1 Centerline	Photo Point
Designed Centerline	Cross Section	Cross Section Pin

Year 1 Conditions

Bed/Bank Condition
- Bed Degradation
- Bank Wasting
- Bank Erosion/Scour
- Bank Stable

In-Stream Structure Condition
- Failing
- Stressed
- Stable

Vegetation Problem Areas
- Bare Bench
- Invasive Population

Vegetation Plot Condition
- Criteria Met
- Criteria Not Met

PREPARED FOR			LEGEND	PREPARED BY
Ecosystem Enhancement Program	SHEET 5 OF 5 2/21/2013 DRAWN: MLM REVIEWED: TBB PROJECT NUMBER MEC: 2012057.00 NCEEP: 847	**FIGURE 2. CURRENT CONDITION PLAN VIEW** **UT TO UWHARRIE RIVER STREAM RESTORATION PROJECT** **RANDOLPH COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA** 1 inch = 75 feet GRAPHIC SCALE 0 37.5 75 150 Feet	Easement Boundary Wetlands Designed Centerline As-built Centerline Year 1 Centerline Cross Section Crest Gauge Photo Point Cross Section Pin	MULKEY ENGINEERS & CONSULTANTS

Table 5. Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment - UT to Uwharrie River Stream Restoration Project (#847) - MY1 (2012)
Main West - 235 ft

Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number Stable, Performing as Intended	[1]Total Number in As-built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Adjusted % for Stabilizing Woody Vegetation
1. Bed	1. Vertical Stability (Riffle and Run units)	1. Aggradation - Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly deflect flow laterally (not to include point bars)			0	0	100%			
		2. Degradation - Evidence of downcutting			0	0	100%			
	2. Riffle Condition	1. Texture/Substrate - Riffle maintains coarser substrate	5	5			100%			
	3. Meander Pool Condition	1. Depth Sufficient (Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth > 1.6)	8	8			100%			
		2. Length appropriate (>30% of centerline distance between tail of upstream riffle and head of downstrem riffle)	8	8			100%			
	4.Thalweg Position	1. Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run)	5	5			100%			
		2. Thalweg centering at downstream of meander (Glide)	5	5			100%			
2. Bank	1. Scoured/Eroding	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion			1	21.5	95%	0	0	95%
	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does NOT include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat.			0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, calving, or collapse			0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
				Totals	1	21.5	95%	0	0	95%
3. Engineered Structures	1. Overall Integrity	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs.	4	4			100%			
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill.	1	1			100%			
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	4	4			100%			
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does not exceed 15%. (See guidance for this table in EEP monitoring guidance document)	3	3			100%			
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ~ Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth ratio > 1.6 Rootwads/logs providing some cover at base-flow.	2	2			100%			

1 Total number derived from MY1 survey data as detailed As-built surveys were not conducted for the project

Table 5. Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment - UT to Uwharrie River Stream Restoration Project (#847) - MY1 (2012)
Main Center/East - 1588 ft

Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number Stable, Performing as Intended	[1]Total Number in As-built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Adjusted % for Stabilizing Woody Vegetation
1. Bed	1. **Vertical Stability** (Riffle and Run units)	1. Aggradation - Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly deflect flow laterally (not to include point bars)			0	0	100%			
		2. Degradation - Evidence of downcutting			0	0	100%			
	2. Riffle Condition	1. Texture/Substrate - Riffle maintains coarser substrate	28	28			100%			
	3. Meander Pool Condition	1. Depth Sufficient (Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth > 1.6)	27	27			100%			
		2. Length appropriate (>30% of centerline distance between tail of upstream riffle and head of downstrem riffle)	25	27			93%			
	4.**Thalweg Position**	1. Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run)	22	23			96%			
		2. Thalweg centering at downstream of meander (Glide)	20	23			87%			
2. Bank	**1. Scoured/Eroding**	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion			4	109.7	96%	0	0	96%
	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does NOT include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat.			0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, calving, or collapse			1	64.2	98%	0	0	98%
				Totals	**5**	**174**	**94%**	**0**	**0**	**94%**
3. Engineered Structures	**1. Overall Integrity**	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs.	21	22			95%			
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill.	8	8			100%			
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	20	22			91%			
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does not exceed 15%. (See guidance for this table in EEP monitoring guidance document)	14	16			88%			
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ~ Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth ratio > 1.6 Rootwads/logs providing some cover at base-flow.	4	4			100%			

1 Total number derived from MY1 survey data as detailed As-built surveys were not conducted for the project

Table 5. Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment - UT to Uwharrie River Stream Restoration Project (#847) - MY1 (2012)

SW-Trib - 724 ft

Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number Stable, Performing as Intended	[1]Total Number in As-built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Adjusted % for Stabilizing Woody Vegetation
1. Bed	1. **Vertical Stability** (Riffle and Run units)	1. Aggradation - Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly deflect flow laterally (not to include point bars)			0	0	100%			
		2. Degradation - Evidence of downcutting			1	7	99%			
	2. Riffle Condition	1. Texture/Substrate - Riffle maintains coarser substrate	35	36			97%			
	3. Meander Pool Condition	1. Depth Sufficient (Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth > 1.6)	29	31			94%			
		2. Length appropriate (>30% of centerline distance between tail of upstream riffle and head of downstrem riffle)	29	31			94%			
	4.**Thalweg Position**	1. Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run)	29	29			100%			
		2. Thalweg centering at downstream of meander (Glide)	28	29			97%			
2. Bank	**1. Scoured/Eroding**	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion			0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does NOT include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat.			0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, calving, or collapse			0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
				Totals	**0**	**0**	**100%**	**n/a**	**n/a**	**n/a**
3. Engineered Structures	**1. Overall Integrity**	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs.	11	11			100%			
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill.	11	11			100%			
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	11	11			100%			
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does not exceed 15%. (See guidance for this table in EEP monitoring guidance document)	11	11			100%			
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ~ Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth ratio > 1.6 Rootwads/logs providing some cover at base-flow.	8	11			73%			

1 Total number derived from MY1 survey data as detailed As-built surveys were not conducted for the project

Table 5. Visual Stream Morphology Stability Assessment - UT to Uwharrie River Stream Restoration Project (#847) - MY1 (2012)

SE-UT - 517 ft

Major Channel Category	Channel Sub-Category	Metric	Number Stable, Performing as Intended	[1]Total Number in As-built	Number of Unstable Segments	Amount of Unstable Footage	% Stable, Performing as Intended	Number with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Footage with Stabilizing Woody Vegetation	Adjusted % for Stabilizing Woody Vegetation
1. Bed	1. **Vertical Stability** (Riffle and Run units)	1. Aggradation - Bar formation/growth sufficient to significantly deflect flow laterally (not to include point bars)			0	0	100%			
		2. Degradation - Evidence of downcutting			0	0	100%			
	2. Riffle Condition	1. Texture/Substrate - Riffle maintains coarser substrate	26	26			100%			
	3. Meander Pool Condition	1. Depth Sufficient (Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth > 1.6)	21	22			95%			
		2. Length appropriate (>30% of centerline distance between tail of upstream riffle and head of downstrem riffle)	20	22			91%			
	4.**Thalweg Position**	1. Thalweg centering at upstream of meander bend (Run)	25	25			100%			
		2. Thalweg centering at downstream of meander (Glide)	25	25			100%			
2. Bank	**1. Scoured/Eroding**	Bank lacking vegetative cover resulting simply from poor growth and/or scour and erosion			0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
	2. Undercut	Banks undercut/overhanging to the extent that mass wasting appears likely. Does NOT include undercuts that are modest, appear sustainable and are providing habitat.			0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
	3. Mass Wasting	Bank slumping, calving, or collapse			0	0	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
				Totals	**0**	**0**	**100%**	**n/a**	**n/a**	**n/a**
3. Engineered Structures	**1. Overall Integrity**	Structures physically intact with no dislodged boulders or logs.	10	10			100%			
	2. Grade Control	Grade control structures exhibiting maintenance of grade across the sill.	10	10			100%			
	2a. Piping	Structures lacking any substantial flow underneath sills or arms.	10	10			100%			
	3. Bank Protection	Bank erosion within the structures extent of influence does not exceed 15%. (See guidance for this table in EEP monitoring guidance document)	10	10			100%			
	4. Habitat	Pool forming structures maintaining ~ Max Pool Depth : Mean Bankfull Depth ratio > 1.6 Rootwads/logs providing some cover at base-flow.	9	10			90%			

1 Total number derived from MY1 survey data as detailed As-built surveys were not conducted for the project

Table 6. Vegetation Condition Assessment - UT to Uwaharrie River Stream Restoration Project (#847) - MY1 (2012)

Planted Acreage[1]	32.76

Vegetation Category	Definitions	Mapping Threshold	CCPV Depiction	Number of Polygons	Combined Acreage	% of Planted Acreage
1. Bare Areas	Very limited cover of both woody and herbaceous material.	0.1 acres	Pattern and Color	1	0.04	<1
2. Low Stem Density Areas	Woody stem densities clearly below target levels based on MY3, 4, or 5 stem count criteria.	0.1 acres	Pattern and Color	0	0	0
			Total			
3. Areas of Poor Growth Rates or Vigor	Areas with woody stems of a size class that are obviously small given the monitoring year.	0.25 acres	Pattern and Color	N/A	N/A	N/A
			Cumulative Total			

Easement Acreage[2]	32.76

Vegetation Category	Definitions	Mapping Threshold	CCPV Depiction	Number of Polygons	Combined Acreage	% of Easement Acreage
4. Invasive Areas of Concern[3]	Areas or points (if too small to render as polygons at map scale).	1000 sf	Pattern and Color	1	0.13	<1
5. Easement Encroachment Areas[4]	Areas or points (if too small to render as polygons at map scale).	none	Pattern and Color	0	0	0

1 = Enter the planted acreage within the easement. This number is calculated as the easement acreage minus any existing mature tree stands that were not subject to supplemental planting of the understory, the channel acreage, crossings or any other elements not directly planted as part of the project effort.

2 = The acreage within the easement boundaries.

3 = Invasives may occur in or out of planted areas, but still within the easement and will therefore be calculated against the overall easement acreage. Invasives of concern/interest are listed below. The list of high concern spcies are those with the potential to directly outcompete native, young, woody stems in the short-term (e.g. monitoring period or shortly thereafter) or affect the community structure for existing, more established tree/shrub stands over timeframes that are slightly longer (e.g. 1-2 decades). The low/moderate concern group are those species that generally do not have this capacity over the timeframes discussed and therefore are not expected to be mapped with regularity, but can be mapped, if in the judgement of the observer their coverage, density or distribution is suppressing the viability, density, or growth of planted woody stems. Decisions as to whether remediation will be needed are based on the integration of risk factors by EEP such as species present, their coverage, distribution relative to native biomass, and the practicality of treatment. For example, even modest amounts of Kudzu or Japanese Knotweed early in the projects history will warrant control, but potentially large coverages of Microstegium in the herb layer will not likley trigger control because of the limited capacities to impact tree/shrub layers within the timeframes discussed and the potential impacts of treating extensive amounts of ground cover. Those species with the "watch list" designator in gray shade are of interest as well, but have yet to be observed across the state with any frequency. Those in red italics are of particular interest given their extreme risk/threat level for mapping as points where isolated specimens are found, particularly ealry in a projects monitoring history. However, areas of discreet, dense patches will of course be mapped as polygons. The symbology scheme below was one that was found to be helpful for symbolzing invasives polygons, particulalry for situations where the conditon for an area is somewhere between isolated specimens and dense, discreet patches. In any case, the point or polygon/area feature can be symbolized to describe things like high or low concern and species can be listed as a map inset, in legend items if the number of species are limited or in the narrative section of the executive summary.

4 = Encroachment may occur within or outside of planted areas and will therefore be calculated against the overall easement acreage. In the event a polygon is cataloged into items 1, 2 or 3 in the table and is the result of encroachment, the associated acreage should be tallied in the relevant item (i.e., item 1,2 or 3) as well as a parallel tally in item 5.

PHOTO POINT PHOTOGRAPHS

Photo Point 1; Looking Downstream on Northwest Tributary

Year 1 Monitoring: September 2012

Year 2 Monitoring:

Year 3 Monitoring:

Year 4 Monitoring:

Year 5 Monitoring:

PHOTO POINT PHOTOGRAPHS

Photo Point 2; Looking Downstream on Southwest Tributary

Year 1 Monitoring: September 2012

Year 2 Monitoring:

Year 3 Monitoring:

Year 4 Monitoring:

Year 5 Monitoring:

PHOTO POINT PHOTOGRAPHS

Photo Point 3; Looking Upstream on Northwest Tributary

Year 1 Monitoring: September 2012

Year 2 Monitoring:

Year 3 Monitoring:

Year 4 Monitoring:

Year 5 Monitoring:

PHOTO POINT PHOTOGRAPHS

Photo Point 3; Looking Across NW Trib stream on southwest tributary

Year 1 Monitoring: September 2012

Year 2 Monitoring:

Year 3 Monitoring:

Year 4 Monitoring:

Year 5 Monitoring:

PHOTO POINT PHOTOGRAPHS

Photo Point 3; Looking Downstream Northwest Tributary

Year 1 Monitoring: September 2012

Year 2 Monitoring:

Year 3 Monitoring:

Year 4 Monitoring:

Year 5 Monitoring:

PHOTO POINT PHOTOGRAPHS

Photo Point 4; Looking Upstream Along Main

Year 1 Monitoring: September 2012

Year 2 Monitoring:

Year 3 Monitoring:

Year 4 Monitoring:

Year 5 Monitoring:

PHOTO POINT PHOTOGRAPHS

Photo Point 4; Looking Across Main

Year 1 Monitoring: September 2012

Year 2 Monitoring:

Year 3 Monitoring:

Year 4 Monitoring:

Year 5 Monitoring:

PHOTO POINT PHOTOGRAPHS

Photo Point 4; Looking Downstream Along Main

Year 1 Monitoring: September 2012

Year 2 Monitoring:

Year 3 Monitoring:

Year 4 Monitoring:

Year 5 Monitoring:

PHOTO POINT PHOTOGRAPHS

Photo Point 5; Looking Upstream Along Main

Year 1 Monitoring: September 2012

Year 2 Monitoring:

Year 3 Monitoring:

Year 4 Monitoring:

Year 5 Monitoring:

PHOTO POINT PHOTOGRAPHS

Photo Point 5; Looking Across Main

Year 1 Monitoring: September 2012

Year 2 Monitoring:

Year 3 Monitoring:

Year 4 Monitoring:

Year 5 Monitoring:

PHOTO POINT PHOTOGRAPHS

Photo Point 5; Looking Downstream Along Main

Year 1 Monitoring: September 2012

Year 2 Monitoring:

Year 3 Monitoring:

Year 4 Monitoring:

Year 5 Monitoring:

PHOTO POINT PHOTOGRAPHS

Photo Point 6; Looking Upstream Along Main

Year 1 Monitoring: September 2012

Year 2 Monitoring:

Year 3 Monitoring:

Year 4 Monitoring:

Year 5 Monitoring:

PHOTO POINT PHOTOGRAPHS

Photo Point 6; Looking Upstream Southwest Tributary

Year 1 Monitoring: September 2012

Year 2 Monitoring:

Year 3 Monitoring:

Year 4 Monitoring:

Year 5 Monitoring:

PHOTO POINT PHOTOGRAPHS

Photo Point 6; Looking Downstream Along Main

Year 1 Monitoring: September 2012

Year 2 Monitoring:

Year 3 Monitoring:

Year 4 Monitoring:

Year 5 Monitoring:

PHOTO POINT PHOTOGRAPHS

Photo Point 7; Looking Upstream Along Main

Year 1 Monitoring: September 2012

Year 2 Monitoring:

Year 3 Monitoring:

Year 4 Monitoring:

Year 5 Monitoring:

PHOTO POINT PHOTOGRAPHS

Photo Point 7; Looking Downstream Along Main

Year 1 Monitoring: September 2012

Year 2 Monitoring:

Year 3 Monitoring:

Year 4 Monitoring:

Year 5 Monitoring:

PHOTO POINT PHOTOGRAPHS

Photo Point 8; Looking Upstream Along Main

Year 1 Monitoring: September 2012

Year 2 Monitoring:

Year 3 Monitoring:

Year 4 Monitoring:

Year 5 Monitoring:

PHOTO POINT PHOTOGRAPHS

Photo Point 8; Looking Downstream Along Main

Year 1 Monitoring: September 2012

Year 2 Monitoring:

Year 3 Monitoring:

Year 4 Monitoring:

Year 5 Monitoring:

PHOTO POINT PHOTOGRAPHS

Photo Point 9; Looking Upstream Along Main

Year 1 Monitoring: September 2012

Year 2 Monitoring:

Year 3 Monitoring:

Year 4 Monitoring:

Year 5 Monitoring:

PHOTO POINT PHOTOGRAPHS

Photo Point 9; Looking Downstream Along Main

Year 1 Monitoring: September 2012

Year 2 Monitoring:

Year 3 Monitoring:

Year 4 Monitoring:

Year 5 Monitoring:

PHOTO POINT PHOTOGRAPHS

Photo Point 9; Looking Upstream Along North UT

Year 1 Monitoring: September 2012

Year 2 Monitoring:

Year 3 Monitoring:

Year 4 Monitoring:

Year 5 Monitoring:

PHOTO POINT PHOTOGRAPHS

Photo Point 10; Looking Upstream Along Main

Year 1 Monitoring: September 2012

Year 2 Monitoring:

Year 2 Monitoring: November 2009

Year 4 Monitoring:

Year 5 Monitoring:

PHOTO POINT PHOTOGRAPHS

Photo Point 10; Looking Downstream Along Main

Year 1 Monitoring: September 2012

Year 2 Monitoring:

Year 3 Monitoring:

Year 4 Monitoring:

Year 5 Monitoring:

PHOTO POINT PHOTOGRAPHS

Photo Point 11; Looking Upstream Along Main

Year 1 Monitoring: September 2012

Year 2 Monitoring:

Year 3 Monitoring:

Year 4 Monitoring:

Year 5 Monitoring:

PHOTO POINT PHOTOGRAPHS

Photo Point 11; Looking Downstream Along Main

Year 1 Monitoring: September 2012

Year 2 Monitoring:

Year 3 Monitoring:

Year 4 Monitoring:

Year 5 Monitoring:

PHOTO POINT PHOTOGRAPHS

Photo Point 12; Looking Upstream Along Southeast Trib

Year 1 Monitoring: September 2012

Year 2 Monitoring:

Year 3 Monitoring:

Year 4 Monitoring:

Year 5 Monitoring:

PHOTO POINT PHOTOGRAPHS

Photo Point 12; Looking Across Along Reach Southeast Trib

Year 1 Monitoring: September 2012

Year 2 Monitoring:

Year 3 Monitoring:

Year 4 Monitoring:

Year 5 Monitoring:

PHOTO POINT PHOTOGRAPHS

Photo Point 12; Looking Downstream Southeast Tributary

Year 1 Monitoring: September 2012

Year 2 Monitoring:

Year 3 Monitoring:

Year 4 Monitoring:

Year 5 Monitoring:

PHOTO POINT PHOTOGRAPHS

Photo Point 13; Looking Upstream Along Southeast Tributary

Year 1 Monitoring: September 2012

Year 2 Monitoring:

Year 3 Monitoring:

Year 4 Monitoring:

Year 5 Monitoring:

PHOTO POINT PHOTOGRAPHS

Photo Point 13; Looking Across Southeast Tributary

Year 1 Monitoring: September 2012

Year 2 Monitoring:

Year 3 Monitoring:

Year 4 Monitoring:

Year 5 Monitoring:

PHOTO POINT PHOTOGRAPHS

Photo Point 13; Looking Downstream Along Southeast Tributary

Year 1 Monitoring: September 2012

Year 2 Monitoring:

Year 3 Monitoring:

Year 4 Monitoring:

Year 5 Monitoring:

PHOTO POINT PHOTOGRAPHS

Photo Point 14; Looking Uptream Along Southwest Tributary

Year 1 Monitoring: September 2012

Year 2 Monitoring:

Year 3 Monitoring:

Year 4 Monitoring:

Year 5 Monitoring:

PHOTO POINT PHOTOGRAPHS

Photo Point 14; Looking Downstream Along Southwest Tributary

Year 1 Monitoring: September 2012

Year 2 Monitoring:

Year 3 Monitoring:

Year 4 Monitoring:

Year 5 Monitoring:

PHOTO POINT PHOTOGRAPHS

Photo Point 15; Looking Upstream Along Southwest Tributary

Year 1 Monitoring: September 2012

Year 2 Monitoring:

Year 3 Monitoring:

Year 4 Monitoring:

Year 5 Monitoring:

PHOTO POINT PHOTOGRAPHS

Photo Point 15; Looking Downstream Along Southwest Tributary

Year 1 Monitoring: September 2012

Year 2 Monitoring:

Year 3 Monitoring:

Year 4 Monitoring:

Year 5 Monitoring:

APPENDIX C
Vegetation Plot Data

Table 7. Vegetation Plot Criteria Attainment
Table 8. CVS Vegetation Metadata Table
Table 9. Planted and Total Stem Counts (Species by Plot with Annual Means)
Vegetation Plot Photographs

Table 7. Vegetation Plot Criteria Attainment - MY1 (2012) UT to Uwharrie River Stream Restoration Project (#847)					
Vegetation Plot ID	**Reach ID**	**Method**	**CVS Level**	**Survival Threshold Met?**	**Tract Mean**
1	NW-UT	CVS	I&II	Yes	100%
2	Main West	CVS	I&II	Yes	75%
3	Main West	CVS	I&II	Yes	
4	Main West	CVS	I&II	No	
5	Main West	CVS	I&II	Yes	
6	Main Center	CVS	I&II	No	67%
7	Main Center	CVS	I&II	Yes	
8	Main Center	CVS	I&II	Yes	
9	Main East	CVS	I&II	Yes	100%
10	Main East	CVS	I&II	Yes	
11	Main East	CVS	I&II	Yes	
12	SE-UT	CVS	I&II	No	50%
13	SE-UT	CVS	I&II	Yes	
14	SW-Trib	CVS	I&II	Yes	100%
15	SW-Trib	CVS	I&II	Yes	
16	SW-Trib	CVS	I&II	Yes	
17	SW-Trib	CVS	I&II	Yes	

Table 8. CVS Vegetation Metadata Table - UT to Uwharrie River Stream Restoration Project (#847) MY1 (2012)	
Report Prepared By	Brian Dustin
Date Prepared	11/21/2012 12:58
Database name	cvs-eep-entrytool-v2.3.1.mdb
Database location	G:\Project\2012\2012057.00\ENV\Monitoring\Year 1\CVS\cvs-eep-entrytool-v2.3.1
Computer name	BDUSTIN7
File size	38666240
DESCRIPTION OF WORKSHEETS IN THIS DOCUMENT----------	
Metadata	Description of database file, the report worksheets, and a summary of project(s) and project data.
Proj, planted	Each project is listed with its PLANTED stems per acre, for each year. This excludes live stakes.
Proj, total stems	Each project is listed with its TOTAL stems per acre, for each year. This includes live stakes, all planted stems, and all natural/volunteer stems.
Plots	List of plots surveyed with location and summary data (live stems, dead stems, missing, etc.).
Vigor	Frequency distribution of vigor classes for stems for all plots.
Vigor by Spp	Frequency distribution of vigor classes listed by species.
Damage	List of most frequent damage classes with number of occurrences and percent of total stems impacted by each.
Damage by Spp	Damage values tallied by type for each species.
Damage by Plot	Damage values tallied by type for each plot.
Planted Stems by Plot and Spp	A matrix of the count of PLANTED living stems of each species for each plot; dead and missing stems are excluded.
ALL Stems by Plot and spp	A matrix of the count of total living stems of each species (planted and natural volunteers combined) for each plot; dead and missing stems are excluded.
PROJECT SUMMARY----------	
Project Code	847
Project Name	UT to Uwharrie River
Description	The Unnamed Tributary (UT) to Uwharrie River Stream Restoration Site (Site) is situated in the northwest corner of Randolph County, North Carolina. Specifically, the project site is located on a UT to the Uwharrie River approximately 5.0 miles southeast of Thomasville
River Basin	Yadkin-Pee Dee
Length(ft)	
Stream-to-edge width (ft)	
Area (sq m)	132736.89
Required Plots (calculated)	22
Sampled Plots	17

Table 9. Planted and Total Stem Counts (Species by Plot with Annual Means) - UT to Uwharrie River Stream Enhancement Project (#847)

			Current Plot Data (MY1 2012)																														Annual Means	
			Plot 1		Plot 2		Plot 3		Plot 4		Plot 5		Plot 6		Plot 7		Plot 8		Plot 9		Plot 10		Plot 11		Plot 12		Plot 13		Plot 14		Plot 15		Plot 16		Plot 17		MY1 (2012)	
Scientific Name	Common Name	Species Type	P	T	P	T	P	T	P	T	P	T	P	T	P	T	P	T	P	T	P	T	P	T	P	T	P	T	P	T	P	T	P	T	P	T
Aesculus sylvatica	painted buckeye	Shrub																								2										2
Betula nigra	river birch	Tree							1	1					6	6	3	3															1	1	11	11
Cephalanthus occidentalis	common buttonbush	Shrub			2	2			1	1																									3	3
Cercis canadensis	eastern redbud	Tree	2	2																															2	2
Diospyros virginiana	common persimmon	Tree											1	1	1	1																			2	2
Fraxinus pennsylvanica	green ash	Tree							1	1	1	1			5	5	1	1															1	1	9	9
Juglans nigra	black walnut	Tree																								4										4
Juniperus virginiana	eastern red cedar	Tree																			1	1											1	1	2	2
Malus angustifolia	southern crabapple	Tree		1																																1
Pinus echinata	shortleaf pine	Tree					4	4					1	1							1	1													6	6
Pinus strobus	eastern white pine	Tree	1	1			1	1																		1	1	3	3						6	6
Pinus taeda	loblolly pine	Tree														2		3				1						1	1		1				2	9
Pinus virginiana	Virginia pine	Tree																			2	2				1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2		7	7
Platanus occidentalis	American sycamore	Tree			4	6			2	2	4	4			3	3	5	5	3	4					1	1							2	2	24	27
Prunus serotina	black cherry	Tree																			1	1				1	1			1	1	2	2		5	5
Quercus alba	white oak	Tree	4	4			4	4					3	3							2	2	4	4		5	5	4	4	5	5	2	2		33	33
Quercus falcata	southern red oak	Tree	3	3																			4	4	2	2	1	1			2	2	1	1		13	13
Quercus michauxii	swamp chestnut oak	Tree									3	3			1	1	1	1	5	5					3	3							2	2	15	15
Quercus nigra	water oak	Tree			1	1	1	1									2	2	3	3													3	3	10	10
Quercus phellos	willow oak	Tree			3	3					2	2			1	1																	1	1	7	7
Quercus rubra	northern red oak	Tree											1	1							3	3													4	4
Salix nigra	black willow	Tree				1				2								1																		4
Sambucus canadensis	common elderberry	Shrub																							1	1									1	1
Ulmus alata	winged elm	Tree		21																1																22
		Stem count	10	32	10	13	10	10	5	7	10	10	6	6	17	19	12	16	11	13	10	11	8	8	7	7	9	15	9	9	9	9	9	9	10	11	162	205
		Size (ares)	1		1		1		1		1		1		1		1		1		1		1		1		1		1		1		1		17	
		Size (acres)	0.02		0.02		0.02		0.02		0.02		0.02		0.02		0.02		0.02		0.02		0.02		0.02		0.02		0.02		0.02		0.02		0.42	
		Species count	4	6	4	5	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	6	7	5	7	3	4	6	7	2	2	4	4	5	7	4	4	4	4	6	6	6	7	19	24
		Stems per acre	404.7	1295.0	404.7	526.1	404.7	404.7	202.3	283.3	404.7	404.7	242.8	242.8	688.0	768.9	485.6	647.5	445.2	526.1	404.7	445.2	323.7	323.7	283.3	283.3	364.2	607.0	364.2	364.2	364.2	364.2	364.2	364.2	404.7	445.2	385.6	488.0

Exceeds requirements by 10%
Exceeds requirements by less than 10%
Fails to meet requirements by more than 10%

VEGETATION PLOT PHOTOGRAPHS

Vegetation Plot 1

Year 1 Monitoring: September 2012

Year 2 Monitoring:

Year 3 Monitoring:

Year 4 Monitoring:

Year 5 Monitoring:

VEGETATION PLOT PHOTOGRAPHS

Vegetation Plot 2

Year 1 Monitoring: September 2012

Year 2 Monitoring:

Year 3 Monitoring:

Year 4 Monitoring:

Year 5 Monitoring:

VEGETATION PLOT PHOTOGRAPHS

Vegetation Plot 3

Year 1 Monitoring: September 2012

Year 2 Monitoring:

Year 3 Monitoring:

Year 4 Monitoring:

Year 5 Monitoring:

VEGETATION PLOT PHOTOGRAPHS

Vegetation Plot 4

Year 1 Monitoring: September 2012

Year 2 Monitoring:

Year 3 Monitoring:

Year 4 Monitoring:

Year 5 Monitoring:

VEGETATION PLOT PHOTOGRAPHS

Vegetation Plot 5

Year 1 Monitoring: September 2012

Year 2 Monitoring:

Year 3 Monitoring:

Year 4 Monitoring:

Year 5 Monitoring:

VEGETATION PLOT PHOTOGRAPHS

Vegetation Plot 6

Year 1 Monitoring: September 2012

Year 2 Monitoring:

Year 3 Monitoring:

Year 4 Monitoring:

Year 5 Monitoring:

VEGETATION PLOT PHOTOGRAPHS

Vegetation Plot 7

Year 1 Monitoring: September 2012

Year 2 Monitoring:

Year 3 Monitoring:

Year 4 Monitoring:

Year 5 Monitoring:

VEGETATION PLOT PHOTOGRAPHS

Vegetation Plot 8

Year 1 Monitoring: September 2012

Year 2 Monitoring:

Year 3 Monitoring:

Year 4 Monitoring:

Year 5 Monitoring:

VEGETATION PLOT PHOTOGRAPHS

Vegetation Plot 9

Year 1 Monitoring: September 2012

Year 2 Monitoring:

Year 3 Monitoring:

Year 4 Monitoring:

Year 5 Monitoring:

VEGETATION PLOT PHOTOGRAPHS

Vegetation Plot 10

Year 1 Monitoring: September 2012

Year 2 Monitoring:

Year 3 Monitoring:

Year 4 Monitoring:

Year 5 Monitoring:

VEGETATION PLOT PHOTOGRAPHS

Vegetation Plot 11

Year 1 Monitoring: September 2012

Year 2 Monitoring:

Year 3 Monitoring:

Year 4 Monitoring:

Year 5 Monitoring:

VEGETATION PLOT PHOTOGRAPHS

Vegetation Plot 12

Year 1 Monitoring: September 2012

Year 2 Monitoring:

Year 3 Monitoring:

Year 4 Monitoring:

Year 5 Monitoring:

VEGETATION PLOT PHOTOGRAPHS

Vegeation Plot 13

Year 1 Monitoring: September 2012

Year 2 Monitoring:

Year 3 Monitoring:

Year 4 Monitoring:

Year 5 Monitoring:

VEGETATION PLOT PHOTOGRAPHS

Vegetation Plot 14

Year 1 Monitoring: September 2012

Year 2 Monitoring:

Year 3 Monitoring:

Year 4 Monitoring:

Year 5 Monitoring:

VEGETATION PLOT PHOTOGRAPHS

Vegetation Plot 15

Year 1 Monitoring: September 2012

Year 2 Monitoring:

Year 3 Monitoring:

Year 4 Monitoring:

Year 5 Monitoring:

VEGETATION PLOT PHOTOGRAPHS

Vegetation Plot 16

Year 1 Monitoring: September 2012

Year 2 Monitoring:

Year 3 Monitoring:

Year 4 Monitoring:

Year 5 Monitoring:

VEGETATION PLOT PHOTOGRAPHS

Vegetation Plot 17

Year 1 Monitoring: September 2012

Year 2 Monitoring:

Year 3 Monitoring:

Year 4 Monitoring:

Year 5 Monitoring:

APPENDIX D
Stream Survey Data

Cross-sections with Annual Overlays
Longitudinal Profiles with Annual Overlays
Pebble Count Plots with Annual Overlays

Table 10a.	Baseline Stream Data Summary
Table 10b.	Baseline Stream Data Summary (Substrate, Bed, Bank, and Hydraulic Containment Parameter Distributions)
Table 11a.	Monitoring Data – Dimension Morphology Summary (Dimensional Parameters – Cross-Sections)
Table 11b.	Monitoring Data – Stream Reach Data Summary

River Basin:	Yadkin - Pee Dee
Watershed:	Uwharrie River
XS ID:	XS-1, Riffle, SW-Trib, 9+65
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.08 (51.2 ac)
Date:	11/27/2012
Field Crew:	M. Mickley, T. Barrett

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	688.65
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	3.56
Bankfull Width:	6.68
Floodprone Area Elevation:	689.67
Floodprone Width:	13.02
Max Depth at Bankfull:	1.02
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	0.53
W/D Ratio:	12.6
Entrenchment Ratio:	1.95
Bank Height Ratio:	1.0

Stream Type
B4

Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation
0	693.053		
15	692.022		
25	691.481		
40	690.988		
48	690.986		
50	690.79		
53	690.023		
55	689.273		
56	689.093		
58	688.421		
59	688.239		
60.4	687.795		
60.7	687.627		
61.1	687.68		
62	687.848		
64	688.652		
68	690.034		
76	692.082		
88	692.604		
100	693.673		



River Basin:	Yadkin - Pee Dee
Watershed:	Uwharrie River
XS ID:	XS-2, Pool, SW-Trib, 11+81
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.08 (51.2 ac)
Date:	11/27/2012
Field Crew:	M. Mickley, T. Barrett

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	683.37
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	3.73
Bankfull Width:	6.49
Floodprone Area Elevation:	684.58
Floodprone Width:	14.59
Max Depth at Bankfull:	1.21
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	0.57
W/D Ratio:	11.39
Entrenchment Ratio:	2.25
Bank Height Ratio:	n/a

Stream Type
B4

Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation
0	689.073		
25	689.089		
40	688.474		
42	688.473		
48	686.998		
50	686.397		
55	684.824		
58	683.947		
58.5	683.595		
60	683.113		
61.4	682.413		
62	682.233		
62.4	682.157		
63	682.461		
63.5	682.765		
65.7	683.374		
68	684.026		
75	685.643		
85	687.409		
100	687.914		



River Basin:	Yadkin - Pee Dee
Watershed:	Uwharrie River
XS ID:	XS-3, Riffle, SW-Trib, 13+83
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.08 (51.2 ac)
Date:	11/27/2012
Field Crew:	M. Mickley, T. Barrett

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	678.72
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	1.59
Bankfull Width:	4.05
Floodprone Area Elevation:	679.97
Floodprone Width:	11.23
Max Depth at Bankfull:	1.25
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	0.39
W/D Ratio:	10.38
Entrenchment Ratio:	2.77
Bank Height Ratio:	1.0

Stream Type
B4

Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation
0	681.773	79	682.359
15	681.992	90	682.162
25	682.279		
30	682.271		
35	681.63		
40	680.481		
43	679.63		
44	679.286		
45	678.944		
46	678.709		
46.5	678.298		
47	677.723		
47.2	677.473		
47.4	677.711		
47.8	678.361		
50	678.717		
51	679.293		
56	680.942		
63	682.369		
69	682.533		



River Basin:	Yadkin - Pee Dee
Watershed:	Uwharrie River
XS ID:	XS-4, Pool, Main West, 12+54
Drainage Area (sq mi):	1.28 (819.2 ac)
Date:	11/27/2012
Field Crew:	M. Mickley, T. Barrett



SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	678.51
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	26.27
Bankfull Width:	17.58
Floodprone Area Elevation:	681.94
Floodprone Width:	100.0
Max Depth at Bankfull:	3.43
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	1.49
W/D Ratio:	11.8
Entrenchment Ratio:	5.69
Bank Height Ratio:	n/a

Stream Type
E4/1

Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation
0	678.716	57	677.701
15	678.577	59	678.385
25	678.83	61	678.51
35	678.575	70	678.662
40	678.91	85	678.832
42	678.741	90	679.366
43	678.727	95	680.192
44	678.211	100	680.378
45	677.777		
46	677.163		
46.7	675.735		
47.5	675.206		
48	675.143		
48.7	675.083		
49.5	675.303		
50.2	675.719		
51	676.148		
52	676.549		
53	676.998		
55	677.201		



River Basin:	Yadkin - Pee Dee
Watershed:	Uwharrie River
XS ID:	XS-5, Pool, Main West, 14+12
Drainage Area (sq mi):	1.28 (819.2 ac)
Date:	11/27/2012
Field Crew:	M. Mickley, T. Barrett

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	677.14
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	38.18
Bankfull Width:	23.84
Floodprone Area Elevation:	680.35
Floodprone Width:	115.0
Max Depth at Bankfull:	3.21
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	1.6
W/D Ratio:	14.9
Entrenchment Ratio:	4.82
Bank Height Ratio:	n/a

Stream Type
E4/1

Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation
0	678.932	64	674.217
8	678.859	65	675.343
14	677.668	65.5	675.578
20	677.307	67	675.805
25	677.32	69	676.177
35	677.023	72	677.135
40	677.049	75	677.472
45	677.304	90	677.426
47	677.375	100	678.377
48	677.183	110	679.315
50	676.646	115	679.533
52	676.109		
54	675.657		
56	675.63		
57	675.637		
57.4	675.306		
58	674.584		
59	674.275		
61	673.932		
63	674.054		



River Basin:	Yadkin - Pee Dee
Watershed:	Uwharrie River
XS ID:	XS-6, Riffle, Main Center, 16+30
Drainage Area (sq mi):	1.43 (915.2 ac)
Date:	11/27/2012
Field Crew:	M. Mickley, T. Barrett

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	675.67
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	31.51
Bankfull Width:	17.9
Floodprone Area Elevation:	678.55
Floodprone Width:	110.0
Max Depth at Bankfull:	2.88
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	1.76
W/D Ratio:	10.17
Entrenchment Ratio:	6.15
Bank Height Ratio:	1.0

Stream Type
E4

Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation
0	677.304	59	673.48
5	676.922	62	673.831
10	676.893	63	674.117
15	676.96	67	675.708
20	676.88	68	676.055
25	676.905	73	675.981
30	676.549	76	676.087
35	676.008	80	675.968
40	675.739	90	675.865
45	675.787	95	675.891
47	675.749	100	675.89
49	675.673	110	675.96
50	675.168		
52	673.597		
53	672.996		
54	672.788		
55	672.818		
55.5	672.843		
56	672.983		
57	673.296		



River Basin:	Yadkin - Pee Dee
Watershed:	Uwharrie River
XS ID:	XS-7, Pool, Main Center, 18+20
Drainage Area (sq mi):	1.43 (915.2 ac)
Date:	11/27/2012
Field Crew:	M. Mickley, T. Barrett

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	674.98
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	40.29
Bankfull Width:	20.2
Floodprone Area Elevation:	679.21
Floodprone Width:	100.0
Max Depth at Bankfull:	4.23
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	2.0
W/D Ratio:	10.1
Entrenchment Ratio:	4.95
Bank Height Ratio:	n/a

Stream Type
E4

Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation
0	676.783	52.5	672.086
10	676.012	54	672.673
20	675.406	56	673.254
25	675.147	58	673.422
30	675.033	60	673.879
35	674.933	62.5	674.977
38	675.162	65	674.999
40	675.242	70	675.176
41	675.164	80	675.014
43	674.882	90	674.995
45	673.755	100	674.501
46	673.291		
47	672.578		
48	671.728		
48	671.152		
49	670.943		
50	670.751		
50.5	670.948		
51.5	671.249		
52	671.625		



River Basin:	Yadkin - Pee Dee
Watershed:	Uwharrie River
XS ID:	XS-8, Riffle, Main Center, 20+04
Drainage Area (sq mi):	1.43 (915.2 ac)
Date:	11/27/2012
Field Crew:	M. Mickley, T. Barrett

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	673.6
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	36.71
Bankfull Width:	21.42
Floodprone Area Elevation:	677.5
Floodprone Width:	100.0
Max Depth at Bankfull:	3.66
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	1.71
W/D Ratio:	12.53
Entrenchment Ratio:	4.67
Bank Height Ratio:	1.0

Stream Type
E4

Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation
0	674.034	52	671.272
10	673.576	53	671.616
20	673.687	55	672.232
25	673.915	56	672.516
30	673.907	58.5	673.863
34	674.039	62	673.971
37	673.845	65	674.103
39	673.604	70	673.994
40	673.302	80	674.088
42	672.705	90	674.152
44	672.196	100	674.211
45	671.923		
46.5	671.536		
47	671.032		
48.5	670.734		
49.5	670.637		
50	670.237		
50.5	670.23		
51	670.181		
51.5	670.58		



River Basin:	Yadkin - Pee Dee
Watershed:	Uwharrie River
XS ID:	XS-9, Pool, Main Center, 21+96
Drainage Area (sq mi):	1.43 (915.2 ac)
Date:	11/27/2012
Field Crew:	M. Mickley, T. Barrett

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	672.98
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	38.25
Bankfull Width:	19.24
Floodprone Area Elevation:	677.01
Floodprone Width:	100.0
Max Depth at Bankfull:	4.03
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	1.99
W/D Ratio:	9.67
Entrenchment Ratio:	5.2
Bank Height Ratio:	n/a

Stream Type
E4

Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation
0	672.839	57	673.492
10	672.915	60	673.454
20	673.045	65	673.232
25	672.966	70	673.126
30	673.113	75	673.066
33	673.156	80	673.178
35	672.978	86	673.162
36	672.835	91	673.594
38	672.336	96	674.083
40	671.841	100	674.103
43	671.305		
45	671.013		
46	670.405		
46.5	669.163		
47.5	668.954		
49	669.179		
50.5	669.484		
52	669.86		
52.5	670.424		
54.5	673.352		



River Basin:	Yadkin - Pee Dee
Watershed:	Uwharrie River
XS ID:	XS-10, Riffle, Main Center, 24+66
Drainage Area (sq mi):	1.43 (915.2 ac)
Date:	11/27/2012
Field Crew:	M. Mickley, T. Barrett

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	671.09
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	28.39
Bankfull Width:	17.86
Floodprone Area Elevation:	674.14
Floodprone Width:	100.0
Max Depth at Bankfull:	3.05
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	1.59
W/D Ratio:	11.23
Entrenchment Ratio:	5.6
Bank Height Ratio:	1.0

Stream Type
E4

Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation
0	671.104	59	670.453
10	671.106	61	671.144
20	671.273	63	671.201
30	671.286	65	671.268
35	671.289	70	671.447
38	671.251	80	671.741
42	671.201	90	671.943
43	671.088	100	672.041
45	670.201		
47	669.478		
48.5	668.865		
49	668.686		
51	668.269		
51.5	668.163		
52	668.161		
52.5	668.039		
53	668.353		
54	669.048		
55	669.391		
57	669.733		



River Basin:	Yadkin - Pee Dee
Watershed:	Uwharrie River
XS ID:	XS-11, Pool, Main Center, 27+24
Drainage Area (sq mi):	1.43 (915.2 ac)
Date:	11/27/2012
Field Crew:	M. Mickley, T. Barrett

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	669.89
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	28.75
Bankfull Width:	18.66
Floodprone Area Elevation:	673.53
Floodprone Width:	100.0
Max Depth at Bankfull:	3.64
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	1.54
W/D Ratio:	12.12
Entrenchment Ratio:	5.36
Bank Height Ratio:	n/a

Stream Type
E4

Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation
0	669.893	59	669.684
10	669.919	60	669.998
20	669.956	63	669.904
30	669.946	65	669.981
35	670.128	70	669.947
38	670.028	75	669.859
41	669.888	85	669.807
42	669.542	90	669.713
44	668.408	100	670.063
45	667.806		
45.5	667.289		
47	666.246		
47.5	666.257		
48	666.413		
48.5	666.633		
49	667.284		
50	667.964		
52	668.389		
54	668.688		
57	669.134		



River Basin:	Yadkin - Pee Dee
Watershed:	Uwharrie River
XS ID:	XS-12, Riffle, SE-UT, 5+76
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.04 (25.6 ac)
Date:	11/27/2007
Field Crew:	M. Mickley, B. Dustin

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	681.67
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	3.51
Bankfull Width:	7.08
Floodprone Area Elevation:	682.78
Floodprone Width:	16.11
Max Depth at Bankfull:	1.11
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	0.5
W/D Ratio:	14.16
Entrenchment Ratio:	2.28
Bank Height Ratio:	1.0

Stream Type
C5/1b

Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation
0	684.379	67	684.037
6	683.667	72	684.2
10.5	683.327	80	684.62
17	683.386		
23	682.997		
31	683.063		
33	682.599		
35	681.778		
37.5	681.131		
38.3	680.947		
38.5	680.599		
39	680.611		
39.5	680.56		
39.8	680.91		
40	681.053		
42.5	681.671		
45.8	682.249		
50	683.13		
53.5	683.9		
61	683.79		



River Basin:	Yadkin - Pee Dee
Watershed:	Uwharrie River
XS ID:	XS-13, Pool, SE-UT, 7+70
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.04 (25.6 ac)
Date:	11/28/2012
Field Crew:	M. Mickley, B. Dustin

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	675.58
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	5.82
Bankfull Width:	8.45
Floodprone Area Elevation:	677.22
Floodprone Width:	23.18
Max Depth at Bankfull:	1.64
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	0.69
W/D Ratio:	12.25
Entrenchment Ratio:	2.74
Bank Height Ratio:	n/a

Stream Type
C5b

Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation
0	678.561	63	679.109
5	678.319	69	679.163
9	678.458	74	679.267
17.6	677.368	80	679.269
22	677.549		
30	676.69		
34	675.584		
36	675.214		
37.5	675.14		
38	674.76		
38.6	673.998		
39.3	673.944		
40.5	674.131		
41	675.149		
41.5	675.216		
43	675.78		
46.5	676.72		
49	677.415		
53	678.469		
57	678.879		



River Basin:	Yadkin - Pee Dee
Watershed:	Uwharrie River
XS ID:	XS-14, Riffle, SE-UT, 8+84
Drainage Area (sq mi):	0.04 (25.6 ac)
Date:	11/28/2012
Field Crew:	M. Mickley, B. Dustin

SUMMARY DATA	
Bankfull Elevation:	672.57
Bankfull Cross-Sectional Area:	3.71
Bankfull Width:	7.26
Floodprone Area Elevation:	673.82
Floodprone Width:	24.64
Max Depth at Bankfull:	1.25
Mean Depth at Bankfull:	0.51
W/D Ratio:	14.24
Entrenchment Ratio:	3.39
Bank Height Ratio:	1.0

Stream Type
C5b

Station	Elevation	Station	Elevation
0	674.217		
6	674.317		
12	674.436		
20	674.364		
25	674.07		
29	673.052		
31	672.569		
33.5	671.885		
34.2	671.644		
34.2	671.458		
34.6	671.324		
34.8	671.63		
35	671.759		
37	672.191		
40	673.096		
44	673.887		
50	674.025		
58	674.019		
65	673.735		
70	673.682		



(Year 1) SW-Trib Longitudinal Profile (STA 7+76 -- 15+00)



(Year 1) Main West Longitudinal Profile (STA 11+92 -- 14+27)



(Year 1) Main Center/Main East Longitudinal Profile (STA 14+27 -- 30+15)



(Year 1) SE-UT Longitudinal Profile (STA 4+83 -- 10+00)



UT to Uwharrie River Stream Restoration Project (#847)					
Reachwide Riffle Pebble Count					
SW-Trib					
			MY1 2012		
Description	**Material**	**Size (mm)**	**Total #**	**Item %**	**Cum %**
Silt/Clay	silt/clay	0.062	3	3%	3%
Sand	very fine sand	0.125	3	3%	6%
	fine sand	0.25	2	2%	8%
	medium sand	0.5	4	4%	12%
	coarse sand	1	10	10%	22%
	very coarse sand	2	23	23%	45%
Gravel	very fine gravel	4	11	11%	56%
	fine gravel	5.7	20	20%	76%
	fine gravel	8	12	12%	88%
	medium gravel	11.3	4	4%	92%
	medium gravel	16	1	1%	93%
	coarse gravel	22.3	1	1%	94%
	coarse gravel	32	1	1%	95%
	very coarse gravel	45	3	3%	98%
	very coarse gravel	64	2	2%	100%
Cobble	small cobble	90	0	0%	100%
	medium cobble	128	0	0%	100%
	large cobble	180	0	0%	100%
	very large cobble	256	0	0%	100%
Boulder	small boulder	362	0	0%	100%
	small boulder	512	0	0%	100%
	medium boulder	1024	0	0%	100%
	large boulder	2048	0	0%	100%
Bedrock	bedrock	bedrock	0	0%	100%
		Total % of whole count	100		

Summary Data	
D50	2.91
D84	7.23
D95	32.0





UT to Uwharrie River Stream Restoration Project (#847)					
Reachwide Riffle Pebble Count					
Main Center					
			MY1 2012		
Description	**Material**	**Size (mm)**	**Total #**	**Item %**	**Cum %**
Silt/Clay	silt/clay	0.062	9	9%	9%
Sand	very fine sand	0.125	5	5%	14%
	fine sand	0.25	4	4%	18%
	medium sand	0.5	0	0%	18%
	coarse sand	1	11	11%	29%
	very coarse sand	2	11	11%	40%
Gravel	very fine gravel	4	0	0%	40%
	fine gravel	5.7	4	4%	44%
	fine gravel	8	2	2%	46%
	medium gravel	11.3	5	5%	51%
	medium gravel	16	8	8%	59%
	coarse gravel	22.3	10	10%	69%
	coarse gravel	32	7	7%	76%
	very coarse gravel	45	10	10%	86%
	very coarse gravel	64	6	6%	92%
Cobble	small cobble	90	4	4%	96%
	medium cobble	128	2	2%	98%
	large cobble	180	2	2%	100%
	very large cobble	256	0	0%	100%
Boulder	small boulder	362	0	0%	100%
	small boulder	512	0	0%	100%
	medium boulder	1024	0	0%	100%
	large boulder	2048	0	0%	100%
Bedrock	bedrock	bedrock	0	0%	100%
		Total % of whole count	100		

Summary Data	
D50	10.64
D84	42.4
D95	83.5





UT to Uwharrie River Stream Restoration Project (#847)					
Reachwide Riffle Pebble Count					
SE-UT					
			MY1 2012		
Description	**Material**	**Size (mm)**	**Total #**	**Item %**	**Cum %**
Silt/Clay	silt/clay	0.062	20	20%	20%
Sand	very fine sand	0.125	6	6%	26%
	fine sand	0.25	14	14%	40%
	medium sand	0.5	10	10%	50%
	coarse sand	1	23	23%	73%
	very coarse sand	2	14	14%	87%
Gravel	very fine gravel	4	3	3%	90%
	fine gravel	5.7	2	2%	92%
	fine gravel	8	4	4%	96%
	medium gravel	11.3	2	2%	98%
	medium gravel	16	0	0%	98%
	coarse gravel	22.3	0	0%	98%
	coarse gravel	32	0	0%	98%
	very coarse gravel	45	0	0%	98%
	very coarse gravel	64	0	0%	98%
Cobble	small cobble	90	0	0%	98%
	medium cobble	128	0	0%	98%
	large cobble	180	0	0%	98%
	very large cobble	256	0	0%	98%
Boulder	small boulder	362	0	0%	98%
	small boulder	512	0	0%	98%
	medium boulder	1024	0	0%	98%
	large boulder	2048	0	0%	98%
Bedrock	bedrock	bedrock	2	2%	100%
		Total % of whole count	100		

Summary Data	
D50	0.5
D84	1.79
D95	7.42





Table 10a. Baseline Stream Data Summary
UT to Uwharrie River Stream Enhancement Project (#847) - Reach: NW-UT (338 feet)

Parameter	Gauge[2]	Regional Curve			Pre-Existing Condition						Reference Reach(es) Data						Design			Monitoring Baseline					
Dimension and Substrate - Riffle Only		LL	UL	Eq.	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[5]	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[5]	n	Min	Med	Max	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[5]	n
Bankfull Width (ft)		-	-	-	15.83	15.9		15.97	-	-							-	16.25	-						
Floodprone Width (ft)					20.26	40.13		60	-	-							63.71	88.9	119.7						
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)		-	-	-	1.35	1.37		1.4	-	-							-	1.35	-						
[1]Bankfull Max Depth (ft)					1.29	1.98		2.64	-	-							1.29	1.98	2.64						
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft^2)		-	-	-	21.5	22.1		21.8	-	-							-	22	-						
Width/Depth Ratio					11.34	11.6		11.86	-	-							-	12	-						
Entrenchment Ratio					1.28	2.52		3.76	-	-							3.92	5.47	7.37						
[1]Bank Height Ratio					1.12	1.85		2.46	-	-							1.00	1.00	1.00						
Profile																									
Riffle Length (ft)					9.77	29.36		56.76	-	-							9.77	29.36	56.76						
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)					0.012	0.025		0.054	-	-							0.012	0.025	0.054						
Pool Length (ft)					19.23	20.25		21.06	-	-							19.23	20.25	21.06						
Pool Max depth (ft)					3.08	3.37		3.86	-	-							3.08	3.37	3.86						
Pool Spacing (ft)					87.59	147.9		208.1	-	-							87.59	147.9	208.1						
Pattern																									
Channel Beltwidth (ft)					NA	NA		NA	-	-							NA	NA	NA						
Radius of Curvature (ft)					NA	NA		NA	-	-							NA	NA	NA						
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)					NA	NA		NA	-	-							NA	NA	NA						
Meander Wavelength (ft)					NA	NA		NA	-	-							NA	NA	NA						
Meander Width Ratio					NA	NA		NA	-	-							NA	NA	NA						
Transport parameters																									
Reach Shear Stress (competency) lb/f^2						1.163												1.182							
Max part size (mm) mobilized at bankfull						91												93							
Stream Power (transport capacity) W/m^2						-												-							
Additional Reach Parameters																									
Rosgen Classification						E3/1												E3/1							
Bankfull Velocity (fps)		-	-	-		4.14												4.05							
Bankfull Discharge (cfs)		-	-	-		89																			
Valley length (ft)						323																			
Channel Thalweg length (ft)						355												355							
Sinuosity (ft)						1.1												1.1							
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft)						0.01423												0.01477							
BF slope (ft/ft)						0.02043												0.01440							
[3]Bankfull Floodplain Area (acres)						-												-							
[4]% of Reach with Eroding Banks						-																			
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric						-																			
Biological or Other						-																			

Reference reach data not used for design

No baseline data collected.

Shaded cells indicate that these will typically not be filled in.
1 = The distributions for these parameters can include information from both the cross-section surveys and the longitudinal profile.
2 = For projects with a proximal USGS gauge in-line with the project reach (added bankfull verification - rare).
3 = Utilizing survey data produce an estimate of the bankfull floodplain area in acres, which should be the area from the top of bank to the toe of the terrace riser/slope.
4 = Proportion of reach exhibiting banks that are eroding based on the visual survey for comparison to monitoring data;
5 = Of value/needed only if the n exceeds 3

Table 10a. Baseline Stream Data Summary
UT to Uwharrie River Stream Enhancement Project (#847) - Reach: SW-UT (262 feet)

Parameter	Gauge[2]	Regional Curve			Pre-Existing Condition						Reference Reach(es) Data						Design			Monitoring Baseline					
Dimension and Substrate - Riffle Only		LL	UL	Eq.	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[5]	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[5]	n	Min	Med	Max	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[5]	n
Bankfull Width (ft)		-	-	-	11.48	11.5		11.52	-	-							-	11.96	-						
Floodprone Width (ft)					13.65	31.64		49.62	-	-							40.03	49.8	67.96						
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)		-	-	-	1.04	1.14		1.24	-	-							-	1.09	-						
[1]Bankfull Max Depth (ft)					1.22	1.43		2.17	-	-							1.22	1.43	1.77						
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft^2)		-	-	-	11.94	13.1		14.25	-	-							-	13	-						
Width/Depth Ratio					9.25	10.18		11.11	-	-							-	11	-						
Entrenchment Ratio					1.18	2.75		4.32	-	-							3.35	4.16	5.68						
[1]Bank Height Ratio					1.75	2.22		2.75	-	-							1.00	1.00	1.00						
Profile																									
Riffle Length (ft)					2.18	25.77		61.25	-	-							2.18	25.77	61.25						
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)					0.025	0.030		0.034	-	-							0.025	0.030	0.034						
Pool Length (ft)					8.5	11.92		14.39	-	-							8.5	11.92	14.39						
Pool Max depth (ft)					2.23	2.49		2.86	-	-							2.23	2.49	2.86						
Pool Spacing (ft)					40.98	52.43		63.87	-	-							40.98	52.43	63.87						
Pattern																									
Channel Beltwidth (ft)					NA	NA		NA	-	-							NA	NA	NA						
Radius of Curvature (ft)					NA	NA		NA	-	-							NA	NA	NA						
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)					NA	NA		NA	-	-							NA	NA	NA						
Meander Wavelength (ft)					NA	NA		NA	-	-							NA	NA	NA						
Meander Width Ratio					NA	NA		NA	-	-							NA	NA	NA						
Transport parameters																									
Reach Shear Stress (competency) lb/f^2						1.607												1.486							
Max part size (mm) mobilized at bankfull						128												118							
Stream Power (transport capacity) W/m^2						-												-							
Additional Reach Parameters																									
Rosgen Classification						E4b												E4b							
Bankfull Velocity (fps)		-	-	-		4.07												4.46							
Bankfull Discharge (cfs)		-	-	-		58																			
Valley length (ft)						261																			
Channel Thalweg length (ft)						271												271							
Sinuosity (ft)						1.04												1.04							
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft)						0.02275												0.02275							
BF slope (ft/ft)						0.02597												0.02469							
[3]Bankfull Floodplain Area (acres)						-												-							
[4]% of Reach with Eroding Banks						-																			
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric						-																			
Biological or Other						-																			

Reference reach data not used for design

No baseline data collected.

Shaded cells indicate that these will typically not be filled in.

1 = The distributions for these parameters can include information from both the cross-section surveys and the longitudinal profile.

2 = For projects with a proximal USGS gauge in-line with the project reach (added bankfull verification - rare).

3 = Utilizing survey data produce an estimate of the bankfull floodplain area in acres, which should be the area from the top of bank to the toe of the terrace riser/slope.

4 = Proportion of reach exhibiting banks that are eroding based on the visual survey for comparison to monitoring data;

5 = Of value/needed only if the n exceeds 3

Table 10a. Baseline Stream Data Summary
UT to Uwharrie River Stream Enhancement Project (#847) - Reach: Main West (1427 feet)

Parameter	Gauge[2]	Regional Curve			Pre-Existing Condition						Reference Reach(es) Data						Design			Monitoring Baseline					
Dimension and Substrate - Riffle Only		LL	UL	Eq.	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[5]	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[5]	n	Min	Med	Max	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[5]	n
Bankfull Width (ft)		-	-	-	13.83	16.72		18.7	-	-	11.9	15.48		17.7	-	-	-	18.03	-						
Floodprone Width (ft)					46.36	70.06		104.9	-	-	162	171.3		186	-	-	55	277.5	500						
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)		-	-	-	1.35	1.46		1.58	-	-	1.23	1.29		1.41	-	-	-	1.39	-						
[1]Bankfull Max Depth (ft)					1.27	2.13		2.99	-	-	1.6	1.94		2.12	-	-	1.72	2.08	2.28						
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft^2)		-	-	-	23.01	24.66		25.52	-	-	20	21.33		22.7	-	-	-	25	-						
Width/Depth Ratio					10.22	12.06		13.89	-	-	11.42	12.97		14.33	-	-	-	13	-						
Entrenchment Ratio					2.87	4.36		7.58	-	-	10.06	11.3		14.45	-	-	2.2	15.39	20						
[1]Bank Height Ratio					1.48	1.74		1.92	-	-	1.00	1.06		1.15	-	-	1.00	1.00	1.00						
Profile																									
Riffle Length (ft)					9.21	32.04		73.15	-	-	4.87	9.64		15.7	-	-	4.87	9.64	15.7						
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)					0.007	0.025		0.081	-	-	0.016	0.023		0.027	-	-									
Pool Length (ft)					11.92	26.43		45.48	-	-	14.89	18.82		22.74	-	-	14.89	18.82	22.74						
Pool Max depth (ft)					1.87	2.94		3.39	-	-	2.85	2.87		2.89	-	-	2.85	2.87	2.89						
Pool Spacing (ft)					41.13	110.8		251.2	-	-	35.73	51.98		68.22	-	-	41.62	60.55	79.47						
Pattern																									
Channel Beltwidth (ft)					8.76	27.68		60.42	-	-	12.54	31.92		54.25	-	-	14.61	37.19	63.2						
Radius of Curvature (ft)					10.12	18.07		24.31	-	-	11.73	18.44		25.3	-	-	13.66	21.48	29.47						
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)					0.61	1.08		1.45	-	-	0.76	1.19		1.63	-	-	0.76	1.19	1.63						
Meander Wavelength (ft)					68.83	99.94		145.6	-	-	64.32	80		114	-	-	74.93	93.55	132.8						
Meander Width Ratio					0.52	1.66		3.61	-	-	0.81	2.06		3.51	-	-	0.81	2.06	3.51						
Transport parameters																									
Reach Shear Stress (competency) lb/f^2						1.136												0.682							
Max part size (mm) mobilized at bankfull						89												52							
Stream Power (transport capacity) W/m^2						-												-							
Additional Reach Parameters																									
Rosgen Classification						E4						CE4/1						CE4/1							
Bankfull Velocity (fps)		-	-	-		4.19												4.28							
Bankfull Discharge (cfs)		-	-	-		107																			
Valley length (ft)						1165						219													
Channel Thalweg length (ft)						1235						309						1422							
Sinuosity (ft)						1.06						1.41						1.27							
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft)						0.01264						0.00872						0.01055							
BF slope (ft/ft)						0.01159						0.00781						(0.00773 - 0.00839)							
[3]Bankfull Floodplain Area (acres)						-						-						-							
[4]% of Reach with Eroding Banks						-						Less than 1%													
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric						-						-													
Biological or Other						-						-													

No baseline data collected.

Shaded cells indicate that these will typically not be filled in.

1 = The distributions for these parameters can include information from both the cross-section surveys and the longitudinal profile.

2 = For projects with a proximal USGS gauge in-line with the project reach (added bankfull verification - rare).

3 = Utilizing survey data produce an estimate of the bankfull floodplain area in acres, which should be the area from the top of bank to the toe of the terrace riser/slope.

4 = Proportion of reach exhibiting banks that are eroding based on the visual survey for comparison to monitoring data;

5 = Of value/needed only if the n exceeds 3

Table 10a. Baseline Stream Data Summary
UT to Uwharrie River Stream Enhancement Project (#847) - Reach: Main Center (1513 feet)

Parameter	Gauge[2]	Regional Curve			Pre-Existing Condition						Reference Reach(es) Data						Design			Monitoring Baseline					
Dimension and Substrate - Riffle Only		LL	UL	Eq.	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[5]	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[5]	n	Min	Med	Max	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[5]	n
Bankfull Width (ft)		-	-	-	11.84	12.2		12.55	-	-	11.9	15.48		17.7	-	-	-	19.08	-						
Floodprone Width (ft)					54.98	65.59		76.2	-	-	162	171.3		186	-	-	192	215.6	275.8						
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)		-	-	-	2.19	2.22		2.25	-	-	1.23	1.29		1.41	-	-	-	1.47	-						
[1]Bankfull Max Depth (ft)					2.15	2.69		3.23	-	-	1.6	1.94		2.12	-	-	1.82	2.2	2.41						
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft^2)		-	-	-	26.66	27.08		27.5	-	-	20	21.33		22.7	-	-	-	28	-						
Width/Depth Ratio					5.26	5.49		5.73	-	-	11.42	12.97		14.33	-	-	-	13	-						
Entrenchment Ratio					4.38	5.41		6.44	-	-	10.06	11.3		14.45	-	-	10.06	11.3	14.45						
[1]Bank Height Ratio					1.69	1.96		2.1	-	-	1.00	1.06		1.15	-	-	1.00	1.00	1.00						
Profile																									
Riffle Length (ft)					7.26	19.27		33.85	-	-	4.87	9.64		15.7	-	-	0.31	0.62	1.01						
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)					0.002	0.013		0.026	-	-	0.016	0.023		0.027	-	-	0.010	0.014	0.016						
Pool Length (ft)					11.98	26.85		55.23	-	-	14.89	18.82		22.74	-	-	18.36	23.2	28.04						
Pool Max depth (ft)					2.96	3.8		4.76	-	-	2.85	2.87		2.89	-	-	3.24	3.26	3.28						
Pool Spacing (ft)					45.62	98.98		249.9	-	-	35.73	51.98		68.22	-	-	44.05	64.08	84.11						
Pattern																									
Channel Beltwidth (ft)					4.48	25.55		60.75	-	-	12.54	31.92		54.25	-	-	15.46	39.35	66.88						
Radius of Curvature (ft)					14.59	21.7		26.88	-	-	11.73	18.44		25.3	-	-	14.46	22.73	31.19						
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)					1.2	1.78		2.2	-	-	0.76	1.19		1.63	-	-	0.76	1.19	1.63						
Meander Wavelength (ft)					37.73	87.68		146.3	-	-	64.32	80		114	-	-	79.3	99	140.6						
Meander Width Ratio					0.37	2.1		4.98	-	-	0.81	2.06		3.51	-	-	0.81	2.06	3.51						
Transport parameters																									
Reach Shear Stress (competency) lb/f^2						0.749												0.499							
Max part size (mm) mobilized at bankfull						58												38							
Stream Power (transport capacity) W/m^2						-												-							
Additional Reach Parameters																									
Rosgen Classification						E4						CE 4/1						CE 4/1							
Bankfull Velocity (fps)		-	-	-		4.22												4.14							
Bankfull Discharge (cfs)		-	-	-		116																			
Valley length (ft)						1220						219													
Channel Thalweg length (ft)						1330						309						1568							
Sinuosity (ft)						1.09						1.41						1.33							
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft)						0.00651						0.00872						0.00534							
BF slope (ft/ft)						0.00655						0.00781						0.00562							
[3]Bankfull Floodplain Area (acres)						-						-						-							
[4]% of Reach with Eroding Banks						-						Less than 1%													
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric						-						-													
Biological or Other						-						-													

No baseline data collected.

Shaded cells indicate that these will typically not be filled in.

1 = The distributions for these parameters can include information from both the cross-section surveys and the longitudinal profile.

2 = For projects with a proximal USGS gauge in-line with the project reach (added bankfull verification - rare).

3 = Utilizing survey data produce an estimate of the bankfull floodplain area in acres, which should be the area from the top of bank to the toe of the terrace riser/slope.

4 = Proportion of reach exhibiting banks that are eroding based on the visual survey for comparison to monitoring data;

5 = Of value/needed only if the n exceeds 3

Table 10a. Baseline Stream Data Summary
UT to Uwharrie River Stream Enhancement Project (#847) - Reach: Main East (1192 feet)

Parameter	Gauge[2]	Regional Curve			Pre-Existing Condition						Reference Reach(es) Data						Design			Monitoring Baseline					
Dimension and Substrate - Riffle Only		LL	UL	Eq.	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[5]	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[5]	n	Min	Med	Max	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[5]	n
Bankfull Width (ft)		-	-	-	13.46	14.9		16.34	-	-	11.9	15.48		17.7	-	-	-	21.02	-	No baseline data collected.					
Floodprone Width (ft)					109.1	113.2		117.2	-	-	162	171.3		186	-	-	46.2	180.6	315						
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)		-	-	-	2.04	2.27		2.49	-	-	1.23	1.29		1.41	-	-	-	1.62	-						
[1]Bankfull Max Depth (ft)					2.58	3.19		4.38	-	-	1.6	1.94		2.12	-	-	2	2.43	2.65						
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft^2)		-	-	-	33.41	33.45		33.48	-	-	20	21.33		22.7	-	-	-	34	-						
Width/Depth Ratio					5.41	6.7		7.99	-	-	11.42	12.97		14.33	-	-	-	13	-						
Entrenchment Ratio					7.17	7.64		8.11	-	-	10.06	11.3		14.45	-	-	2.2	8.59	15						
[1]Bank Height Ratio					1.14	1.62		1.93	-	-	1.00	1.06		1.15	-	-	1.00	1.00	1.00						
Profile																									
Riffle Length (ft)					12.63	25.58		66.32	-	-	4.87	9.64		15.7	-	-	6.62	13.1	21.33						
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)					0.003	0.016		0.031	-	-	0.016	0.023		0.027	-	-	0.013	0.019	0.022						
Pool Length (ft)					20	36.17		52.63	-	-	14.89	18.82		22.74	-	-	20.23	25.57	30.89	No baseline data collected.					
Pool Max depth (ft)					3.54	4.46		5.12	-	-	2.85	2.87		2.89	-	-	3.57	3.59	3.62						
Pool Spacing (ft)					41.05	119		207.4	-	-	35.73	51.98		68.22	-	-	48.54	70.62	92.68						
Pattern																									
Channel Beltwidth (ft)					12.23	25.4		45.16	-	-	12.54	31.92		54.25	-	-	17.04	43.37	73.7						
Radius of Curvature (ft)					23.16	39.42		54.37	-	-	11.73	18.44		25.3	-	-	15.94	25.05	34.37						
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)					1.55	2.65		3.65	-	-	0.76	1.19		1.63	-	-	0.76	1.19	1.63						
Meander Wavelength (ft)					88.19	127.7		178.7	-	-	64.32	80		114	-	-	87.38	109.1	154.9						
Meander Width Ratio					0.82	1.7		3.03	-	-	0.81	2.06		3.51	-	-	0.81	2.06	3.51						
Transport parameters																									
Reach Shear Stress (competency) lb/f^2					1.024												0.522								
Max part size (mm) mobilized at bankfull					80												40								
Stream Power (transport capacity) W/m^2					-												-								
Additional Reach Parameters																									
Rosgen Classification					E4						CE 4/1						CE 4/1								
Bankfull Velocity (fps)		-	-	-	4.27												4.2			No baseline data collected.					
Bankfull Discharge (cfs)		-	-	-	143																				
Valley length (ft)					1067						219														
Channel Thalweg length (ft)					1163						309						1195								
Sinuosity (ft)					1.09						1.41						1.25								
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft)					0.00826						0.00872						0.0072								
BF slope (ft/ft)					0.00764						0.00781						0.00535								
[3]Bankfull Floodplain Area (acres)					-						-						-								
[4]% of Reach with Eroding Banks					-						Less than 1%														
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric					-						-														
Biological or Other					-						-														

Shaded cells indicate that these will typically not be filled in.

1 = The distributions for these parameters can include information from both the cross-section surveys and the longitudinal profile.

2 = For projects with a proximal USGS gauge in-line with the project reach (added bankfull verification - rare).

3 = Utilizing survey data produce an estimate of the bankfull floodplain area in acres, which should be the area from the top of bank to the toe of the terrace riser/slope.

4 = Proportion of reach exhibiting banks that are eroding based on the visual survey for comparison to monitoring data;

5 = Of value/needed only if the n exceeds 3

Table 10a. Baseline Stream Data Summary
UT to Uwharrie River Stream Enhancement Project (#847) - Reach: SW-Trib (1509 feet)

Parameter	Gauge[2]	Regional Curve			Pre-Existing Condition						Reference Reach(es) Data						Design			Monitoring Baseline					
Dimension and Substrate - Riffle Only		LL	UL	Eq.	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[5]	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[5]	n	Min	Med	Max	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[5]	n
Bankfull Width (ft)		-	-	-	3.92	4.5		5.07	-	-	8.7	10.75		12.6	-	-	-	8	-						
Floodprone Width (ft)					8.51	15.89		23.26	-	-	21.6	26.97		38.36	-	-	14.02	20.81	30.69						
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)		-	-	-	0.48	0.74		1.01	-	-	0.49	0.73		0.9	-	-	-	0.5	-						
[1]Bankfull Max Depth (ft)					0.9	1.07		1.24	-	-	0.97	1.19		1.3	-	-	0.66	0.81	0.89	No baseline data collected.					
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft^2)		-	-	-	2.43	3.19		3.94	-	-	5.7	7.9		9.8	-	-	-	4	-						
Width/Depth Ratio					3.9	7.24		10.58	-	-	10.66	15.26		24.02	-	-	-	16	-						
Entrenchment Ratio					2.17	3.38		4.59	-	-	1.75	2.6		3.84	-	-	1.75	2.6	3.84						
[1]Bank Height Ratio					1.13	1.82		2.31	-	-	1.03	1.12		1.24	-	-	1.00	1.00	1.00						
Profile																									
Riffle Length (ft)					5.91	13.72		23..67	-	-	4.9	16.93		34.09	-	-	3.65	12.6	25.37						
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)					0.008	0.053		0.152	-	-	0.014	0.038		0.055	-	-	0.009	0.026	0.009						
Pool Length (ft)					6.99	12		19.64	-	-	4.13	6.4		9.01	-	-	3.07	4.76	6.71						
Pool Max depth (ft)					1.29	1.62		1.95	-	-	1.52	1.66		1.78	-	-	1.03	1.13	1.21						
Pool Spacing (ft)					11.13	52.59		176.3	-	-	27.6	34.59		49.44	-	-	20.54	25.74	36.79						
Pattern																				No baseline data collected.					
Channel Beltwidth (ft)					4.44	15.85		37.56	-	-	12	15		18	-	-	8.93	11.16	13.4						
Radius of Curvature (ft)					8.69	17.81		25.68	-	-	8.1	13.4		22.3	-	-	6.03	9.97	16.6						
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)					1.93	3.96		5.74	-	-	0.75	1.25		2.07	-	-	0.75	1.25	2.07						
Meander Wavelength (ft)					54.12	55.36		57.65	-	-	47	59		67	-	-	34.98	43.91	49.86						
Meander Width Ratio					0.99	3.53		8.36	-	-	1.12	1.4		1.67	-	-	1.12	1.4	1.67						
Transport parameters																									
Reach Shear Stress (competency) lb/f^2						0.76												0.707							
Max part size (mm) mobilized at bankfull						59												59							
Stream Power (transport capacity) W/m^2						-												-							
Additional Reach Parameters																									
Rosgen Classification						E4b						B 4/1a						B 4/1a							
Bankfull Velocity (fps)		-	-	-		3.61												2.19							
Bankfull Discharge (cfs)		-	-	-		9																			
Valley length (ft)						1333						203.6													
Channel Thalweg length (ft)						1440						224						1564		No baseline data collected.					
Sinuosity (ft)						1.08						1.1						1.22							
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft)						0.03009						0.04009						0.02664							
BF slope (ft/ft)						0.0289						0.04159						(0.02180 - 0.04359)							
[3]Bankfull Floodplain Area (acres)						-						-						-							
[4]% of Reach with Eroding Banks						-						None													
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric						-						-													
Biological or Other						-						-													

Shaded cells indicate that these will typically not be filled in.

1 = The distributions for these parameters can include information from both the cross-section surveys and the longitudinal profile.

2 = For projects with a proximal USGS gauge in-line with the project reach (added bankfull verification - rare).

3 = Utilizing survey data produce an estimate of the bankfull floodplain area in acres, which should be the area from the top of bank to the toe of the terrace riser/slope.

4 = Proportion of reach exhibiting banks that are eroding based on the visual survey for comparison to monitoring data;

5 = Of value/needed only if the n exceeds 3

Table 10a. Baseline Stream Data Summary
UT to Uwharrie River Stream Enhancement Project (#847) - Reach: SE-UT(1106 feet)

Parameter	Gauge[2]	Regional Curve			Pre-Existing Condition						Reference Reach(es) Data						Design			Monitoring Baseline					
Dimension and Substrate - Riffle Only		LL	UL	Eq.	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[5]	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[5]	n	Min	Med	Max	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[5]	n
Bankfull Width (ft)		-	-	-	3.02	3.1		3.17	-	-	8.7	10.75		12.6	-	-	-	6.32	-						
Floodprone Width (ft)					3.61	4.54		5.46	-	-	21.6	26.97		38.36	-	-	8.4	10.8	13.2						
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)		-	-	-	0.65	0.67		0.68	-	-	0.49	0.73		0.9	-	-	-	0.4	-						
[1]Bankfull Max Depth (ft)					0.81	0.87		0.92	-	-	0.97	1.19		1.3	-	-	0.52	0.64	0.7						
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft^2)		-	-	-	2.05	2.06		2.07	-	-	5.7	7.9		9.8	-	-	-	2.5	-						
Width/Depth Ratio					4.45	4.65		4.85	-	-	10.66	15.26		24.02	-	-	-	16	-						
Entrenchment Ratio					1.14	1.47		1.81	-	-	1.75	2.6		3.84	-	-	1.4	1.71	2.2						
[1]Bank Height Ratio					2.64	3.17		3.7	-	-	1.03	1.12		1.24	-	-	1.00	1.00	1.00						
Profile																									
Riffle Length (ft)					0.5	10.27		45.5	-	-	4.9	16.93		34.09	-	-	2.88	9.96	20.06						
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)					0.000	0.087		0.459	-	-	0.014	0.038		0.055	-	-	0.009	0.024	0.004						
Pool Length (ft)					2.32	7.8		18.47	-	-	4.13	6.4		9.01	-	-	2.43	3.77	5.3						
Pool Max depth (ft)					1.15	1.32		1.49	-	-	1.52	1.66		1.78	-	-	0.82	0.89	0.96						
Pool Spacing (ft)					13.69	46.05		88.11	-	-	27.6	34.59		49.44	-	-	16.24	20.35	29.09						
Pattern																									
Channel Beltwidth (ft)					17.13	25.49		36.11	-	-	12	15		18	-	-	7.06	8.82	10.59						
Radius of Curvature (ft)					9.88	18.11		32.13	-	-	8.1	13.4		22.3	-	-	4.77	7.88	13.12						
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)					3.19	5.85		10.38	-	-	0.75	1.25		2.07	-	-	0.75	1.25	2.07						
Meander Wavelength (ft)					63.75	90.5		138.9	-	-	47	59		67	-	-	27.65	34.71	39.42						
Meander Width Ratio					5.53	8.24		11.67	-	-	1.12	1.4		1.67	-	-	1.12	1.4	1.67						
Transport parameters																									
Reach Shear Stress (competency) lb/f^2						0.879												0.499							
Max part size (mm) mobilized at bankfull						68												38							
Stream Power (transport capacity) W/m^2						-												-							
Additional Reach Parameters																									
Rosgen Classification						G5						B 4/1a						B 4/1a							
Bankfull Velocity (fps)		-	-	-		3.68												3.04							
Bankfull Discharge (cfs)		-	-	-		8																			
Valley length (ft)						895						203.6													
Channel Thalweg length (ft)						1020						224						1106							
Sinuosity (ft)						1.14						1.1						1.24							
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft)						0.02691						0.04009						0.02474							
BF slope (ft/ft)						0.02948						0.04159						(0.01980 - 0.02739)							
[3]Bankfull Floodplain Area (acres)						-						-						-							
[4]% of Reach with Eroding Banks						-						None													
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric						-						-													
Biological or Other						-						-													

No baseline data collected.

Shaded cells indicate that these will typically not be filled in.

1 = The distributions for these parameters can include information from both the cross-section surveys and the longitudinal profile.

2 = For projects with a proximal USGS gauge in-line with the project reach (added bankfull verification - rare).

3 = Utilizing survey data produce an estimate of the bankfull floodplain area in acres, which should be the area from the top of bank to the toe of the terrace riser/slope.

4 = Proportion of reach exhibiting banks that are eroding based on the visual survey for comparison to monitoring data;

5 = Of value/needed only if the n exceeds 3

Table 10a. Baseline Stream Data Summary
UT to Uwharrie River Stream Enhancement Project (#847) - Reach: N-UT (288 feet)

Parameter	Gauge[2]	Regional Curve			Pre-Existing Condition						Reference Reach(es) Data						Design			Monitoring Baseline					
Dimension and Substrate - Riffle Only		LL	UL	Eq.	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[5]	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[5]	n	Min	Med	Max	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[5]	n
Bankfull Width (ft)		-	-	-	7.36	7.56		7.76	-	-	11.9	15.48		17.7	-	-	-	13	-	No baseline data collected.					
Floodprone Width (ft)					66.47	70.9		75.5	-	-	162	171.3		186	-	-	130.8	146.9	187.9						
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)		-	-	-	1.65	1.71		1.76	-	-	1.23	1.29		1.41	-	-	-	1	-						
[1]Bankfull Max Depth (ft)					2.04	2.27		2.55	-	-	1.6	1.94		2.12	-	-	1.24	1.5	1.64						
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft^2)		-	-	-	12.82	12.9		12.97	-	-	20	21.33		22.7	-	-	-	13	-						
Width/Depth Ratio					4.18	4.44		4.7	-	-	11.42	12.97		14.33	-	-	-	13	-						
Entrenchment Ratio					9.03	9.38		9.73	-	-	10.06	11.3		14.45	-	-	10.06	11.3	14.45						
[1]Bank Height Ratio					1.1	1.21		1.35	-	-	1.00	1.06		1.15	-	-	1.00	1.00	1.00						
Profile																									
Riffle Length (ft)					2.55	14.03		34.73	-	-	4.87	9.64		15.7	-	-	4.09	8.1	13.19						
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)					0.000	0.027		0.070	-	-	0.016	0.023		0.027	-	-	0.018	0.027	0.031						
Pool Length (ft)					15.89	19.52		23.15	-	-	14.89	18.82		22.74	-	-	12.51	15.81	19.1						
Pool Max depth (ft)					2.87	3.08		3.23	-	-	2.85	2.87		2.89	-	-	2.21	2.22	2.24						
Pool Spacing (ft)					40.02	80.83		121.6	-	-	35.73	51.98		68.22	-	-	30.02	43.67	57.31						
Pattern																				No baseline data collected.					
Channel Beltwidth (ft)					NA	NA		NA	-	-	12.54	31.92		54.25	-	-	10.53	26.81	45.57						
Radius of Curvature (ft)					NA	NA		NA	-	-	11.73	18.44		25.3	-	-	9.85	15.49	21.25						
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)					NA	NA		NA	-	-	0.76	1.19		1.63	-	-	0.76	1.19	1.63						
Meander Wavelength (ft)					NA	NA		NA	-	-	64.32	80		114	-	-	54.03	67.46	95.77						
Meander Width Ratio					NA	NA		NA	-	-	0.81	2.06		3.51	-	-	0.81	2.06	3.51						
Transport parameters																									
Reach Shear Stress (competency) lb/f^2					0.781												0.546								
Max part size (mm) mobilized at bankfull					60												42								
Stream Power (transport capacity) W/m^2					-												-								
Additional Reach Parameters																									
Rosgen Classification					E4						CE 4/1						CE 4/1								
Bankfull Velocity (fps)		-	-	-	4.02												4.14			No baseline data collected.					
Bankfull Discharge (cfs)		-	-	-	52																				
Valley length (ft)					184						219														
Channel Thalweg length (ft)					206						309						300								
Sinuosity (ft)					1.12						1.41						1.21								
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft)					0.01096						0.00872						0.01015								
BF slope (ft/ft)					0.0135						0.00781						0.00937								
[3]Bankfull Floodplain Area (acres)					-						-						-								
[4]% of Reach with Eroding Banks					-						Less than 1%														
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric					-						-														
Biological or Other					-						-														

Shaded cells indicate that these will typically not be filled in.

1 = The distributions for these parameters can include information from both the cross-section surveys and the longitudinal profile.

2 = For projects with a proximal USGS gauge in-line with the project reach (added bankfull verification - rare).

3 = Utilizing survey data produce an estimate of the bankfull floodplain area in acres, which should be the area from the top of bank to the toe of the terrace riser/slope.

4 = Proportion of reach exhibiting banks that are eroding based on the visual survey for comparison to monitoring data;

5 = Of value/needed only if the n exceeds 3

Table 10b. Baseline Stream Data Summary (Substrate, Bed, Bank, and Hydrologic Containment Parameter Distributions)
UT to Uwharrie River Stream Enhancement Project (#847) - Reach: NW-UT (338 feet)

Parameter	Pre-Existing Condition							Reference Reach(es) Data	Design							As-built/Baseline
[1]Ri% / Ru% / P% / G% / S%	35	29	18	18	0			Reference reach data not used for design	35	29	18	18	0			No baseline data collected.
[1]SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% / Be%	0	9.8	39.2	47	0.98	2.94										
[1]d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 / di^{p} / di^{sp} (mm)	10.2	47	65.4	120	228	110	156									
[2]Entrenchment Class <1.5 / 1.5-1.99 / 2.0-4.9 / 5.0-9.9 / >10	114	213	0	0	0											
[3]Incision Class <1.2 / 1.2-1.49 / 1.5-1.99 / >2.0	0	0	25	75												

Table 10b. Baseline Stream Data Summary (Substrate, Bed, Bank, and Hydrologic Containment Parameter Distributions)
UT to Uwharrie River Stream Enhancement Project (#847) - Reach: SW-UT (262 feet)

Parameter	Pre-Existing Condition							Reference Reach(es) Data	Design							As-built/Baseline
[1]Ri% / Ru% / P% / G% / S%	38	25	18.5	18.5	0			Reference reach data not used for design	38	25	18.5	18.5	0			No baseline data collected.
[1]SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% / Be%	0	26.3	34.4	33.3	0	6.06										
[1]d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 / di^{p} / di^{sp} (mm)	1.37	8.72	21.8	120.2	bedr	103	83									
[2]Entrenchment Class <1.5 / 1.5-1.99 / 2.0-4.9 / 5.0-9.9 / >10	22.2	0	32.8	45	0											
[3]Incision Class <1.2 / 1.2-1.49 / 1.5-1.99 / >2.0	0	0	20	80												

Table 10b. Baseline Stream Data Summary (Substrate, Bed, Bank, and Hydrologic Containment Parameter Distributions)
UT to Uwharrie River Stream Enhancement Project (#847) - Reach: Main West (1427 feet)

Parameter	Pre-Existing Condition							Reference Reach(es) Data							Design							As-built/Baseline
[1]Ri% / Ru% / P% / G% / S%	38	25	18.5	18.5	0			26.3	31.6	26.3	15.8	0			25	25	25	25	0			No baseline data collected.
[1]SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% / Be%	0	15.2	24.2	50.5	9.09	1.01		4.23	23	60.1	8.45	0	4.23									
[1]d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 / di^{p} / di^{sp} (mm)	3.68	44.3	86.7	174.0	476	70.0	142.0	0.36	7.52	17.2	55.6	123.8	76	96								
[2]Entrenchment Class <1.5 / 1.5-1.99 / 2.0-4.9 / 5.0-9.9 / >10	0	0	75	25	0			0	0	0	0	100										
[3]Incision Class <1.2 / 1.2-1.49 / 1.5-1.99 / >2.0	0	25	75	0				100	0	0	0											

Table 10b. Baseline Stream Data Summary (Substrate, Bed, Bank, and Hydrologic Containment Parameter Distributions)
UT to Uwharrie River Stream Enhancement Project (#847) - Reach: Main Center (1513 feet)

Parameter	Pre-Existing Condition							Reference Reach(es) Data							Design							As-built/Baseline
[1]Ri% / Ru% / P% / G% / S%	28.3	30	20	21.7	0			26.3	31.6	26.3	15.8	0			25	25	25	25	0			No baseline data collected.
[1]SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% / Be%	0	28.7	56.4	11.9	0.99	1.98		4.23	23	60.1	8.45	0	4.23									
[1]d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 / di^{p} / di^{sp} (mm)	1.08	8.97	18.9	61.2	169	50.0	45.0	0.36	7.52	17.2	55.6	123.8	76	96								
[2]Entrenchment Class <1.5 / 1.5-1.99 / 2.0-4.9 / 5.0-9.9 / >10	0	0	20	80	0			0	0	0	0	100										
[3]Incision Class <1.2 / 1.2-1.49 / 1.5-1.99 / >2.0	0	0	25	75				100	0	0	0											

Shaded cells indicate that these will typically not be filled in.
1 = Riffle, Run, Pool, Glide, Step; Silt/Clay, Sand, Gravel, Cobble, Boulder, Bedrock; dip = max pave, disp = max subpave
2 = Entrenchment Class - Assign/bin the reach footage into the classes indicated and provide the percentage of the total reach footage in each class in the table. This will result from the measured cross-sections as well as visual estimates.
3 = Assign/bin the reach footage into the classes indicated and provide the percentage of the total reach footage in each class in the table. This will result from the measured cross-sections as well as the longitudinal profile.

Footnotes 2,3 - These classes are loosley built around the Rosgen classification and hazard ranking breaks, but were adjusted slightly to make for easier assignment to somewhat coarser bins based on visual estimates in the field such that measurement of every segment for ER would not be necessary. The intent here is to provide the reader/consumer of design and monitoring information with a good general sense of the extent of hydrologic containment in the pre-existing and the rehabilitated states as well as comparisons to the reference distributions. ER and BHR have been addressed in prior submissions as a subsample (cross-sections as part of the design survey), however, these subsamples have often focused entirely on facilitating design without providing a thorough pre-constrution distribution of these parameters, leaving the reader/consumer with a sample that is weighted heavily on the stable sections of a more complete sample distribution for these parameters, thereby providing the distribution/coverage necessary to provide meaningful comparisons.

Table 10b. Baseline Stream Data Summary (Substrate, Bed, Bank, and Hydrologic Containment Parameter Distributions)
UT to Uwharrie River Stream Enhancement Project (#847) - Reach: Main East (1192 feet)

Parameter	Pre-Existing Condition							Reference Reach(es) Data							Design							As-built/Baseline
[1]Ri% / Ru% / P% / G% / S%	31	31	18	20	0			26.3	31.6	26.3	15.8	0			25	25	25	25	0			No baseline data collected.
[1]SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% / Be%	6	31	40	16	1	6		4.23	23	60.1	8.45	0	4.23									
[1]d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 / di^{p} / di^{sp} (mm)	0.36	1.75	27.3	82.2	Bed	73.0	130.0	0.36	7.52	17.2	55.6	123.8	76	96								
[2]Entrenchment Class <1.5 / 1.5-1.99 / 2.0-4.9 / 5.0-9.9 / >10	0	25	0	75	0			0	0	0	0	100										
[3]Incision Class <1.2 / 1.2-1.49 / 1.5-1.99 / >2.0	20	20	60	0				100	0	0	0											

Table 10b. Baseline Stream Data Summary (Substrate, Bed, Bank, and Hydrologic Containment Parameter Distributions)
UT to Uwharrie River Stream Enhancement Project (#847) - Reach: SW-Trib (1509 feet)

Parameter	Pre-Existing Condition							Reference Reach(es) Data							Design							As-built/Baseline
[1]Ri% / Ru% / P% / G% / S%	45.5	32.7	3	18.8	0			28.6	25	21.4	25	0			25	25	25	25	0			No baseline data collected.
[1]SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% / Be%	7.92	40.6	49.5	1.98	0	0		0	30	38	22	5	5									
[1]d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 / di^{p} / di^{sp} (mm)	0.2	0.63	2.6	16.9	31.9	11	19	0.42	3.67	10.4	124	bed										
[2]Entrenchment Class <1.5 / 1.5-1.99 / 2.0-4.9 / 5.0-9.9 / >10	0.0	33.3	33.3	0.3	0.0			0	50	50	0	0										
[3]Incision Class <1.2 / 1.2-1.49 / 1.5-1.99 / >2.0	20	20	20	40				75	25	0	0											

Table 10b. Baseline Stream Data Summary (Substrate, Bed, Bank, and Hydrologic Containment Parameter Distributions)
UT to Uwharrie River Stream Enhancement Project (#847) - Reach: SE-UT(1106 feet)

Parameter	Pre-Existing Condition							Reference Reach(es) Data							Design							As-built/Baseline
[1]Ri% / Ru% / P% / G% / S%	37.5	25	16.7	20.8	0			28.6	25	21.4	25	0			25	25	25	25	0			No baseline data collected.
[1]SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% / Be%	20	46	29	3	0	2		0	30	38	22	5	5									
[1]d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 / di^{p} / di^{sp} (mm)	0.05	0.18	0.59	14.1	64	52	19	0.42	3.67	10.4	124	bed										
[2]Entrenchment Class <1.5 / 1.5-1.99 / 2.0-4.9 / 5.0-9.9 / >10	66.6	33.3	0	0	0			0	50	50	0	0										
[3]Incision Class <1.2 / 1.2-1.49 / 1.5-1.99 / >2.0	0	0	0	100				75	25	0	0											

Table 10b. Baseline Stream Data Summary (Substrate, Bed, Bank, and Hydrologic Containment Parameter Distributions)
UT to Uwharrie River Stream Enhancement Project (#847) - Reach: N-UT (288 feet)

Parameter	Pre-Existing Condition							Reference Reach(es) Data							Design							As-built/Baseline
[1]Ri% / Ru% / P% / G% / S%	33.3	25	16.7	25	0			26.3	31.6	26.3	15.8	0			25	25	25	25	0			No baseline data collected.
[1]SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% / Be%	7	35	56	2	0	0		4.23	23	60.1	8.45	0	4.23									
[1]d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 / di^{p} / di^{sp} (mm)	0.33	0.97	10.8	31.3	44	34.0	32.0	0.36	7.52	17.2	55.6	123.8	76	96								
[2]Entrenchment Class <1.5 / 1.5-1.99 / 2.0-4.9 / 5.0-9.9 / >10	0	0	0	100	0			0	0	0	0	100										
[3]Incision Class <1.2 / 1.2-1.49 / 1.5-1.99 / >2.0	60	40	0	0				100	0	0	0											

Shaded cells indicate that these will typically not be filled in.
1 = Riffle, Run, Pool, Glide, Step; Silt/Clay, Sand, Gravel, Cobble, Boulder, Bedrock; dip = max pave, disp = max subpave
2 = Entrenchment Class - Assign/bin the reach footage into the classes indicated and provide the percentage of the total reach footage in each class in the table. This will result from the measured cross-sections as well as visual estimates.
3 = Assign/bin the reach footage into the classes indicated and provide the percentage of the total reach footage in each class in the table. This will result from the measured cross-sections as well as the longitudinal profile.

Footnotes 2,3 - These classes are loosley built around the Rosgen classification and hazard ranking breaks, but were adjusted slightly to make for easier assignment to somewhat coarser bins based on visual estimates in the field such that measurement of every segment for ER would not be necessary. The intent here is to provide the reader/consumer of design and monitoring information with a good general sense of the extent of hydrologic containment in the pre-existing and the rehabilitated states as well as comparisons to the reference distributions. ER and BHR have been addressed in prior submissions as a subsample (cross-sections as part of the design survey), however, these subsamples have often focused entirely on facilitating design without providing a thorough pre-constrution distribution of these parameters, leaving the reader/consumer with a sample that is weighted heavily on the stable sections of a more complete sample distribution for these parameters, thereby providing the distribution/coverage necessary to provide meaningful comparisons.

Table 11a. Monitoring Data - Dimensional Morphology Summary (Dimensional Parameters – Cross Sections)
UT to Uwharrie River Stream Enhancement Project (#847) - Reach: SW-Trib (724 feet)

	Cross Section 1 (Riffle)							Cross Section 2 (Pool)							Cross Section 3 (Riffle)																		
Based on fixed baseline bankfull elevation[1]	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used		688.7							683.4							678.7																		
Bankfull Width (ft)		6.68							6.49							4.05																		
Floodprone Width (ft)		13.02							14.59							11.23																		
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)		0.53							0.57							0.39																		
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)		1.02							1.21							1.25																		
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft^2)		3.56							3.73							1.59																		
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio		12.6							11.39							10.38																		
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio		1.95							2.25							2.77																		
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio		1.00							1.00							1.00																		
Based on current/developing bankfull feature[2]																																		
Record elevation (datum) used																																		
Bankfull Width (ft)																																		
Floodprone Width (ft)																																		
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)																																		
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)																																		
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft^2)																																		
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio																																		
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio																																		
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio																																		
Cross Sectional Area between end pins (ft^2)																																		
d50 (mm)																																		

These cells may or may not require population in any given year. See footnote 2 below

Table 11a. Monitoring Data - Dimensional Morphology Summary (Dimensional Parameters – Cross Sections)
UT to Uwharrie River Stream Enhancement Project (#847) - Reach: Main West (235 feet)

	Cross Section 4 (Pool)							Cross Section 5 (Pool)																										
Based on fixed baseline bankfull elevation[1]	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used		678.5							677.1																									
Bankfull Width (ft)		17.58							23.84																									
Floodprone Width (ft)		100+							115																									
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)		1.49							1.6																									
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)		3.43							3.21																									
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft^2)		26.27							38.18																									
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio		11.8							14.9																									
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio		5.69							4.82																									
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio		1.00							1.00																									
Based on current/developing bankfull feature[2]																																		
Record elevation (datum) used																																		
Bankfull Width (ft)																																		
Floodprone Width (ft)																																		
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)																																		
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)																																		
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft^2)																																		
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio																																		
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio																																		
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio																																		
Cross Sectional Area between end pins (ft^2)																																		
d50 (mm)																																		

These cells may or may not require population in any given year. See footnote 2 below

1 = Widths and depths for monitoring resurvey will be based on the baseline bankfull datum regardless of dimensional/depositional development. Input the elevation used as the datum, which should be consistent and based on the baseline datum established. If the performer has inherited the project and cannot acquire the datum used for prior years this must be discussed with EEP. If this cannot be resolved in time for a given years report submission a footnote in this should be included that states: "It is uncertain if the monitoring datum has been consistent over the monitoring history, which may influence calculated values. Additional data from a prior performer is being acquired to provide confirmation. Values will be recalculated in a future submission based on a consistent datum if determined to be necessary."

2 = Based on the elevation of any dominant depositional feature that develops and is observed at the time of survey. If the baseline datum remains the only significant depositional feature then these two sets of dimensional parameters will be equal, however, if another depositional feature of significance develops above or below the baseline bankfull datum then this should be tracked and quantified in these cells.

Table 11a. Monitoring Data - Dimensional Morphology Summary (Dimensional Parameters – Cross Sections)
UT to Uwharrie River Stream Enhancement Project (#847) - Reach: Main Center and Main East (1588 feet)

	Cross Section 6 (Riffle)							Cross Section 7 (Pool)							Cross Section 8 (Riffle)							Cross Section 9 (Pool)							Cross Section 10 (Riffle)						
Based on fixed baseline bankfull elevation[1]	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used		675.7							675.0							673.8							673.0							671.1					
Bankfull Width (ft)		17.9							20.2							21.42							19.2							17.86					
Floodprone Width (ft)		110							100+							100+							100+							100+					
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)		1.76							2							1.71							1.99							1.59					
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)		2.88							4.23							3.66							4.03							3.05					
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft^2)		31.51							40.29							36.71							38.25							28.39					
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio		10.17							10.1							12.53							9.67							11.23					
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio		6.15							4.95							4.67							5.2							5.6					
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio		1.00							1.00							1.00							1.00							1.00					
Based on current/developing bankfull feature[2]																																			
Record elevation (datum) used																																			
Bankfull Width (ft)																																			
Floodprone Width (ft)																																			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)																																			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)																																			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft^2)																																			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio																																			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio																																			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio																																			
Cross Sectional Area between end pins (ft^2)																																			
d50 (mm)																																			

These cells may or may not require population in any given year. See footnote 2 below

	Cross Section 11 (Pool)																																		
Based on fixed baseline bankfull elevation[1]	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+
Record elevation (datum) used		669.9																																	
Bankfull Width (ft)		18.66																																	
Floodprone Width (ft)		100+																																	
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)		1.54																																	
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)		3.64																																	
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft^2)		28.75																																	
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio		12.12																																	
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio		5.36																																	
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio		1.00																																	
Based on current/developing bankfull feature[2]																																			
Record elevation (datum) used																																			
Bankfull Width (ft)																																			
Floodprone Width (ft)																																			
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)																																			
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)																																			
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft^2)																																			
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio																																			
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio																																			
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio																																			
Cross Sectional Area between end pins (ft^2)																																			
d50 (mm)																																			

1 = Widths and depths for monitoring resurvey will be based on the baseline bankfull datum regardless of dimensional/depositional development. Input the elevation used as the datum, which should be consistent and based on the baseline datum established. If the performer has inherited the project and cannot acquire the datum used for prior years this must be discussed with EEP. If this cannot be resolved in time for a given years report submission a footnote in this should be included that states: "It is uncertain if the monitoring datum has been consistent over the monitoring history, which may influence calculated values. Additional data from a prior performer is being acquired to provide confirmation. Values will be recalculated in a future submission based on a consistent datum if determined to be necessary."

2 = Based on the elevation of any dominant depositional feature that develops and is observed at the time of survey. If the baseline datum remains the only significant depositional feature then these two sets of dimensional parameters will be equal, however, if another depositional feature of significance develops above or below the baseline bankfull datum then this should be tracked and quantified in these cells.

Table 11a. Monitoring Data - Dimensional Morphology Summary (Dimensional Parameters – Cross Sections)
UT to Uwharrie River Stream Enhancement Project (#847) - Segment/Reach: SE-UT (517 feet)

	Cross Section 12 (Riffle)							Cross Section 13 (Pool)							Cross Section 14 (Riffle)																								
Based on fixed baseline bankfull elevation[1]	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+	Base	MY1	MY2	MY3	MY4	MY5	MY+				
Record elevation (datum) used		681.7							675.6							672.6																						
Bankfull Width (ft)		7.08							8.45							7.26																						
Floodprone Width (ft)		16.11							23.18							24.64																						
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)		0.5							0.69							0.51																						
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)		1.11							1.64							1.25																						
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft^2)		3.51							5.82							3.71																						
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio		14.16							12.25							14.24																						
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio		2.28							2.74							3.39																						
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio		1.00							1.00							1.00																						
Based on current/developing bankfull feature[2]																																						
Record elevation (datum) used																																						
Bankfull Width (ft)																																						
Floodprone Width (ft)																																						
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)																																						
Bankfull Max Depth (ft)																																						
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft^2)																																						
Bankfull Width/Depth Ratio																																						
Bankfull Entrenchment Ratio																																						
Bankfull Bank Height Ratio																																						
Cross Sectional Area between end pins (ft^2)																																						
d50 (mm)																																						

These cells may or may not require population in any given year. See footnote 2 below

1 = Widths and depths for monitoring resurvey will be based on the baseline bankfull datum regardless of dimensional/depositional development. Input the elevation used as the datum, which should be consistent and based on the baseline datum established. If the performer has inherited the project and cannot acquire the datum used for prior years this must be discussed with EEP. If this cannot be resolved in time for a given years report submission a footnote in this should be included that states: "It is uncertain if the monitoring datum has been consistent over the monitoring history, which may influence calculated values. Additional data from a prior performer is being acquired to provide confirmation. Values will be recalculated in a future submission based on a consistent datum if determined to be necessary."

2 = Based on the elevation of any dominant depositional feature that develops and is observed at the time of survey. If the baseline datum remains the only significant depositional feature then these two sets of dimensional parameters will be equal, however, if another depositional feature of significance develops above or below the baseline bankfull datum then this should be tracked and quantified in these cells.

Exhibit Table 11b. Monitoring Data - Stream Reach Data Summary
UT to Uwharrie River Stream Enhancement Project (#847) - Reach: SW-Trib (724 feet)

Parameter	Baseline						MY-1						MY-2						MY- 3						MY- 4						MY- 5					
Dimension and Substrate - Riffle only	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[4]	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[4]	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[4]	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[4]	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[4]	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[4]	n
Bankfull Width (ft)							4.05	5.37		6.68		2																								
Floodprone Width (ft)							11.23	12.13		13.0		2																								
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)							0.39	0.46		0.53		2																								
[1]Bankfull Max Depth (ft)							1.02	1.14		1.25		2																								
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft^2)							1.59	2.58		3.56		2																								
Width/Depth Ratio							10.38	11.67		12.6		2																								
Entrenchment Ratio							1.95	2.26		2.77		2																								
[1]Bank Height Ratio							1.00	1.00		1.00		2																								
Profile																																				
Riffle Length (ft)							0.61	4.99	4.9	13.19	2.74	36																								
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)							0.0057	0.0839	0.0397	0.0871	0.1530	36																								
Pool Length (ft)							2.40	9.68	10.02	14.64	3.15	31																								
Pool Max depth (ft)							0.62	1.24	1.25	1.8	0.28	31																								
Pool Spacing (ft)							8.54	22.22	22.34	37.32	8.3	30																								
Pattern																																				
Channel Beltwidth (ft)							6.57	10.8	10.48	15.07	2.51	20																								
Radius of Curvature (ft)							9.83	13.88	13.64	17.44	2.64	28																								
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)							1.831	2.585	2.54	3.248		28																								
Meander Wavelength (ft)							37	42.87	42.38	50.51	3.41	20																								
Meander Width Ratio							1.223	2.011	1.952	2.806		20																								
Additional Reach Parameters																																				
Rosgen Classification							B4																													
Channel Thalweg length (ft)							724																													
Sinuosity (ft)							1.15																													
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft)							0.02372																													
BF slope (ft/ft)							0.02376																													
[3]Ri% / Ru% / P% / G% / S%							38.3	17.02	32.98	11.7	0																									
[3]SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% / Be%							3	42	55	0	0	0																								
[3]d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 /							0.7	1.57	2.91	7.23	32																									
[2]% of Reach with Eroding Banks							0%																													
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric							N/A																													
Biological or Other							N/A																													

Pattern data will not typically be collected unless visual data, dimensional data or profile data indicate significant shifts from baseline

Shaded cells indicate that these will typically not be filled in.
1 = The distributions for these parameters can include information from both the cross-section surveys and the longitudinal profile.
2 = Proportion of reach exhibiting banks that are eroding based on the visual survey from visual assessment table
3 = Riffle, Run, Pool, Glide, Step; Silt/Clay, Sand, Gravel, Cobble, Boulder, Bedrock; dip = max pave, disp = max subpave
4 = Of value/needed only if the n exceeds 3

Exhibit Table 11b. Monitoring Data - Stream Reach Data Summary
UT to Uwharrie River Stream Enhancement Project (#847) - Reach: Main West (235 feet)

Parameter	Baseline						MY-1						MY-2						MY- 3						MY- 4						MY- 5					
Dimension and Substrate - Riffle only	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[4]	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[4]	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[4]	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[4]	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[4]	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[4]	n
Bankfull Width (ft)																																				
Floodprone Width (ft)																																				
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)																																				
[1]Bankfull Max Depth (ft)																																				
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft^2)																																				
Width/Depth Ratio																																				
Entrenchment Ratio																																				
[1]Bank Height Ratio																																				
Profile																																				
Riffle Length (ft)							2.23	5.47	6.14	7.26	1.91	5																								
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)							0.0091	0.0225	0.0228	0.0372	0.0128	5																								
Pool Length (ft)							8.1	16.58	12.57	35.19	9.94	8																								
Pool Max depth (ft)							3.18	3.36	3.29	3.68	0.17	8																								
Pool Spacing (ft)							19.83	29.2	25.97	44.68	9.23	7																								
Pattern																																				
Channel Beltwidth (ft)							18.67	29.28	33.64	35.54	9.24	3																								
Radius of Curvature (ft)							24.34	27.54	26.78	32.26	3.87	4																								
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)							See note above																													
Meander Wavelength (ft)							86.37	91.22		96.06		2																								
Meander Width Ratio							See note above																													
Additional Reach Parameters																																				
Rosgen Classification							E4/1																													
Channel Thalweg length (ft)							235																													
Sinuosity (ft)							1.28																													
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft)							0.0056																													
BF slope (ft/ft)							0.0085																													
[3]Ri% / Ru% / P% / G% / S%							25.0	20.0	40.0	15.0	0																									
[3]SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% / Be%							9	31	52	8	0	0																								
[3]d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 /							0	0	10.71	38.67	71																									
[2]% of Reach with Eroding Banks							5%																													
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric							N/A																													
Biological or Other							N/A																													

Only pool cross sections exist on Main West Reach

Pattern data will not typically be collected unless visual data, dimensional data or profile data indicate significant shifts from baseline

Shaded cells indicate that these will typically not be filled in.
1 = The distributions for these parameters can include information from both the cross-section surveys and the longitudinal profile.
2 = Proportion of reach exhibiting banks that are eroding based on the visual survey from visual assessment table
3 = Riffle, Run, Pool, Glide, Step; Silt/Clay, Sand, Gravel, Cobble, Boulder, Bedrock; dip = max pave, disp = max subpave
4 = Of value/needed only if the n exceeds 3

Exhibit Table 11b. Monitoring Data - Stream Reach Data Summary
UT to Uwharrie River Stream Enhancement Project (#847) - Reach: Main Center and Main East (1588 feet)

Parameter	Baseline						MY-1						MY-2						MY- 3						MY- 4						MY- 5					
Dimension and Substrate - Riffle only	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[4]	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[4]	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[4]	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[4]	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[4]	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[4]	n
Bankfull Width (ft)							17.86	19.06	17.9	21.42	2.04	3																								
Floodprone Width (ft)							100	103.3	110	100	5.77	3																								
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)							1.59	1.69	1.71	1.76	0.09	3																								
[1]Bankfull Max Depth (ft)							2.88	3.2	3.05	3.66	0.41	3																								
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft^2)							28.39	32.2	31.51	36.71	4.2	3																								
Width/Depth Ratio							10.17	11.31	11.23	12.53	1.18	3																								
Entrenchment Ratio							4.67	5.47	5.6	6.15	0.75	3																								
[1]Bank Height Ratio							1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	3																								
Profile																																				
Riffle Length (ft)							5.23	12.98	11.86	28.96	6.04	28																								
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)							0.0013	0.0153	0.0113	0.0700	0.0142	28																								
Pool Length (ft)							11.08	24.93	22.79	44.15	10.63	27																								
Pool Max depth (ft)							3	4.09	4.12	4.91	0.44	27																								
Pool Spacing (ft)							20.08	56.26	50.03	108.9	23.02	27																								
Pattern																																				
Channel Beltwidth (ft)							19.05	36.85	35.75	57.38	11.73	20																								
Radius of Curvature (ft)							22.63	29.81	29.63	35.08	3.56	22																								
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)							1.187	1.564	1.555	1.841		22																								
Meander Wavelength (ft)							78.88	103	110.8	119	13.73	18																								
Meander Width Ratio							1.00	1.933	1.876	3.01		18																								
Additional Reach Parameters																																				
Rosgen Classification							E4																													
Channel Thalweg length (ft)							1588																													
Sinuosity (ft)							1.28																													
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft)							0.00584																													
BF slope (ft/ft)							0.00543																													
[3]Ri% / Ru% / P% / G% / S%							29.17	23.96	28.13	18.75	0																										
[3]SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% / Be%							9	31	52	8	0	0																								
[3]d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 /							0.19	1.55	10.64	42.4	83.5																										
[2]% of Reach with Eroding Banks							6%																													
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric							N/A																													
Biological or Other							N/A																													

Pattern data will not typically be collected unless visual data, dimensional data or profile data indicate significant shifts from baseline

Shaded cells indicate that these will typically not be filled in.
1 = The distributions for these parameters can include information from both the cross-section surveys and the longitudinal profile.
2 = Proportion of reach exhibiting banks that are eroding based on the visual survey from visual assessment table
3 = Riffle, Run, Pool, Glide, Step; Silt/Clay, Sand, Gravel, Cobble, Boulder, Bedrock; dip = max pave, disp = max subpave
4 = Of value/needed only if the n exceeds 3

Exhibit Table 11b. Monitoring Data - Stream Reach Data Summary
UT to Uwharrie River Stream Enhancement Project (#847) - Reach: SE-UT (517 feet)

Parameter	Baseline						MY-1						MY-2						MY- 3						MY- 4						MY- 5					
Dimension and Substrate - Riffle only	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[4]	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[4]	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[4]	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[4]	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[4]	n	Min	Mean	Med	Max	SD[4]	n
Bankfull Width (ft)							7.08	7.17		7.26		2																								
Floodprone Width (ft)							16.11	20.38		24.64		2																								
Bankfull Mean Depth (ft)							0.5	0.51		0.51		2																								
[1]Bankfull Max Depth (ft)							1.11	1.18		1.25		2																								
Bankfull Cross Sectional Area (ft^2)							3.51	3.61		3.71		2																								
Width/Depth Ratio							14.16	14.2		14.24		2																								
Entrenchment Ratio							2.28	2.835		3.39		2																								
[1]Bank Height Ratio							1.00	1.00		1.00		2																								
Profile																																				
Riffle Length (ft)							1.39	6.09	4.91	19.19	4.36	26																								
Riffle Slope (ft/ft)							0.0097	0.0764	0.0463	0.2849	0.0756	26																								
Pool Length (ft)							3.84	10.82	10.62	20.02	4.07	22																								
Pool Max depth (ft)							0.74	1.41	1.43	1.99	0.32	22																								
Pool Spacing (ft)							6.27	22.3	18.75	56.93	11.64	22																								
Pattern																																				
Channel Beltwidth (ft)							5.57	8.88	8.24	13.15	2.37	13																								
Radius of Curvature (ft)							10.13	13.24	12.58	16.34	2.29	21																								
Rc:Bankfull width (ft/ft)							1.413	1.847	1.755	2.279		21																								
Meander Wavelength (ft)							30.92	36.99	37.36	41.41	3.34	16																								
Meander Width Ratio							0.777	1.238	1.149	1.834		16																								
Additional Reach Parameters																																				
Rosgen Classification							C5b																													
Channel Thalweg length (ft)							517																													
Sinuosity (ft)							1.17																													
Water Surface Slope (Channel) (ft/ft)							0.02925																													
BF slope (ft/ft)							0.02975																													
[3]Ri% / Ru% / P% / G% / S%							39.39	15.15	33.33	12.12	0																									
[3]SC% / Sa% / G% / C% / B% / Be%							20	67	11	0	0	2																								
[3]d16 / d35 / d50 / d84 / d95 /							0.05	0.21	0.5	1.79	7.42																									
[2]% of Reach with Eroding Banks							0%																													
Channel Stability or Habitat Metric							N/A																													
Biological or Other							N/A																													

Pattern data will not typically be collected unless visual data, dimensional data or profile data indicate significant shifts from baseline

Shaded cells indicate that these will typically not be filled in.
1 = The distributions for these parameters can include information from both the cross-section surveys and the longitudinal profile.
2 = Proportion of reach exhibiting banks that are eroding based on the visual survey from visual assessment table
3 = Riffle, Run, Pool, Glide, Step; Silt/Clay, Sand, Gravel, Cobble, Boulder, Bedrock; dip = max pave, disp = max subpave
4 = Of value/needed only if the n exceeds 3

APPENDIX E
Hydrologic Data

Table 12. Verification of Bankfull Events

Table 12. Verification of Bankfull Events
UT to Uwharrie River Stream Restoration Project (#847)

Date of Data Collection	Date of Occurrence	Method	Photo No. (If Available)

No bankfull events documented during MY1