NOTICE: This report is required by 49 CFR Part 195. Failure to report can result in a civil penalty not to exceed \$100,000 for each violation for each day that such violation persists except that the maximum civil penalty shall not exceed \$1,000,000 as provided in 49 USC 60122.		OMB NO: 2137-0047 EXPIRATION DATE: 8/31/2020
<u> </u>	Original Report Date:	09/13/2020
U.S Department of Transportation	No.	20200253 - 34271
Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration		(DOT Use Only)

ACCIDENT REPORT - HAZARDOUS LIQUID PIPELINE SYSTEMS

A federal agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, nor shall a person be subject to a penalty for failure to comply with a collection of information subject to the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act unless that collection of information displays a current valid OMB Control Number. The OMB Control Number for this information collection is 2137-0047. All responses to the collection of information are mandatory. Send comments regarding this burden or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden to: Information Collection Clearance Officer, PHMSA, Office of Pipeline Safety (PHP-30) 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE, Washington, D.C. 20590.

INSTRUCTIONS

Important: Please read the separate instructions for completing this form before you begin. They clarify the information requested and provide specific examples. If you do not have a copy of the instructions, you can obtain one from the PHMSA Pipeline Safety Community Web Page at http://www.phmsa.dot.gov/pipeline/library/forms.

PART A - KEY REPORT INFORMATION

Report Type: (select all that apply)	Original:	Supplemental:	Final:
Nepolt Type. (Select all that apply)		Yes	_
Last Revision Date:	09/14/2020		
Operator's OPS-issued Operator Identification Number (OPID):	2552		
2. Name of Operator	COLONIAL PIPEL	INE CO	
3. Address of Operator:			
3a. Street Address		Y PARKWAY SUITE 100	
3b. City	ALPHARETTA		
3c. State	Georgia		
3d. Zip Code	30009-4765		
4. Local time (24-hr clock) and date of the Accident:	08/14/2020 18:20		
5. Location of Accident:			
Latitude / Longitude	35.414106, -80.806	6185	
6. National Response Center Report Number (if applicable):	1284598		
7. Local time (24-hr clock) and date of initial telephonic report to the National Response Center (if applicable):	08/14/2020 19:42		
Commodity released: (select only one, based on predominant	Refined and/or Pet	roleum Product (non-HVL)	which is a
volume released)	Liquid at Ambient (Willoll Io a
- Specify Commodity Subtype:	Gasoline (non-Etha		
- If "Other" Subtype, Describe:	04000 (
If Biofuel/Alternative Fuel and Commodity Subtype is			
Ethanol Blend, then % Ethanol Blend:			
- If Biofuel/Alternative Fuel and Commodity Subtype is			
Biodiesel, then Biodiesel Blend e.g. B2, B20, B100			
9. Estimated volume of commodity released unintentionally (Barrels):	6,490.00		
10. Estimated volume of intentional and/or controlled release/blowdown			
(Barrels): 11. Estimated volume of commodity recovered (Barrels):	3,094.00		
12. Were there fatalities?	No		
- If Yes, specify the number in each category:	INO		
12a. Operator employees			
12b. Contractor employees working for the Operator			
12c. Non-Operator emergency responders			
12d. Workers working on the right-of-way, but NOT			
associated with this Operator			
12e. General public			
12f. Total fatalities (sum of above)			
13. Were there injuries requiring inpatient hospitalization?	No		
- If Yes, specify the number in each category:			
13a. Operator employees			
13b. Contractor employees working for the Operator			
13c. Non-Operator emergency responders			
13d. Workers working on the right-of-way, but NOT			
associated with this Operator			
13e. General public			
13f. Total injuries (sum of above)			

14. Was the pipeline/facility shut down due to the Accident?	Yes	
- If No, Explain:		
- If Yes, complete Questions 14a and 14b: (use local time, 24-hr clock)		
14a. Local time and date of shutdown:	08/14/2020 18:43	
14b. Local time pipeline/facility restarted:	08/19/2020 21:00	
- Still shut down? (* Supplemental Report Required)		
15. Did the commodity ignite?	No No	
16. Did the commodity explode? 17. Number of general public evacuated:	No 0	
18. Time sequence (use local time, 24-hour clock):		
18a. Local time Operator identified Accident - effective 7- 2014		
changed to "Local time Operator identified failure":	08/14/2020 18:20	
18b. Local time Operator resources arrived on site:	08/14/2020 18:42	
PART B - ADDITIONAL LOCATION INFORMATION		
Was the origin of the Accident onshore?	Yes	
If Yes, Complete Ques	tions (2-12)	
If No, Complete Questi	ons (13-15)	
- If Onshore:		
2. State:	North Carolina	
3. Zip Code:	28078	
4. City	Huntersville Mecklenburg	
County or Parish Operator-designated location:	Milepost/Valve Station	
Specify:	ROW	
7. Pipeline/Facility name:	L01	
Segment name/ID:	Charlotte to Kannapolis	
Was Accident on Federal land, other than the Outer Continental Shelf (OCS)?	No	
10. Location of Accident:	Pipeline Right-of-way	
11. Area of Accident (as found):	Underground	
Specify:	Under soil	
- If Other, Describe:		
Depth-of-Cover (in):	36	
12. Did Accident occur in a crossing?	No	
- If Yes, specify type below:		
- If Bridge crossing – Cased/ Uncased:		
- If Railroad crossing –		
- If Railroad crossing – Cased/ Uncased/ Bored/drilled		
- If Railroad crossing – Cased/ Uncased/ Bored/drilled - If Road crossing –		
- If Railroad crossing – Cased/ Uncased/ Bored/drilled - If Road crossing – Cased/ Uncased/ Bored/drilled		
- If Railroad crossing – Cased/ Uncased/ Bored/drilled - If Road crossing – Cased/ Uncased/ Bored/drilled - If Water crossing –		
- If Railroad crossing – Cased/ Uncased/ Bored/drilled - If Road crossing – Cased/ Uncased/ Bored/drilled - If Water crossing – Cased/ Uncased		
- If Railroad crossing – Cased/ Uncased/ Bored/drilled - If Road crossing – Cased/ Uncased/ Bored/drilled - If Water crossing – Cased/ Uncased - Name of body of water, if commonly known:		
- If Railroad crossing – Cased/ Uncased/ Bored/drilled - If Road crossing – Cased/ Uncased/ Bored/drilled - If Water crossing – Cased/ Uncased		
- If Railroad crossing — Cased/ Uncased/ Bored/drilled - If Road crossing — Cased/ Uncased/ Bored/drilled - If Water crossing — Cased/ Uncased - Name of body of water, if commonly known: - Approx. water depth (ft) at the point of the Accident: - Select: - If Offshore:		
- If Railroad crossing — Cased/ Uncased/ Bored/drilled - If Road crossing — Cased/ Uncased/ Bored/drilled - If Water crossing — Cased/ Uncased - Name of body of water, if commonly known: - Approx. water depth (ft) at the point of the Accident: - Select: - If Offshore: 13. Approximate water depth (ft) at the point of the Accident:		
- If Railroad crossing — Cased/ Uncased/ Bored/drilled - If Road crossing — Cased/ Uncased/ Bored/drilled - If Water crossing — Cased/ Uncased - Name of body of water, if commonly known: - Approx. water depth (ft) at the point of the Accident: - Select: - If Offshore: 13. Approximate water depth (ft) at the point of the Accident: 14. Origin of Accident:		
- If Railroad crossing — Cased/ Uncased/ Bored/drilled - If Road crossing — Cased/ Uncased/ Bored/drilled - If Water crossing — Cased/ Uncased - Name of body of water, if commonly known: - Approx. water depth (ft) at the point of the Accident: - If Offshore: 13. Approximate water depth (ft) at the point of the Accident: 14. Origin of Accident: - In State waters - Specify:		
- If Railroad crossing — Cased/ Uncased/ Bored/drilled - If Road crossing — Cased/ Uncased/ Bored/drilled - If Water crossing — Cased/ Uncased - Name of body of water, if commonly known: - Approx. water depth (ft) at the point of the Accident: - Select: - If Offshore: 13. Approximate water depth (ft) at the point of the Accident: 14. Origin of Accident: - In State waters - Specify: - State:		
- If Railroad crossing — Cased/ Uncased/ Bored/drilled - If Road crossing — Cased/ Uncased/ Bored/drilled - If Water crossing — Cased/ Uncased - Name of body of water, if commonly known: - Approx. water depth (ft) at the point of the Accident: - Select: - If Offshore: 13. Approximate water depth (ft) at the point of the Accident: 14. Origin of Accident: - In State waters - Specify: - State: - Area:		
- If Railroad crossing — Cased/ Uncased/ Bored/drilled - If Road crossing — Cased/ Uncased/ Bored/drilled - If Water crossing — Cased/ Uncased - Name of body of water, if commonly known: - Approx. water depth (ft) at the point of the Accident: - Select: - If Offshore: 13. Approximate water depth (ft) at the point of the Accident: 14. Origin of Accident: - In State waters - Specify: - State: - Area: - Block/Tract #:		
- If Railroad crossing — Cased/ Uncased/ Bored/drilled - If Road crossing — Cased/ Uncased/ Bored/drilled - If Water crossing — Cased/ Uncased - Name of body of water, if commonly known: - Approx. water depth (ft) at the point of the Accident: - Select: - If Offshore: 13. Approximate water depth (ft) at the point of the Accident: 14. Origin of Accident: - In State waters - Specify: - State: - Area: - Block/Tract #: - Nearest County/Parish:		
- If Railroad crossing — Cased/ Uncased/ Bored/drilled - If Road crossing — Cased/ Uncased/ Bored/drilled - If Water crossing — Cased/ Uncased - Name of body of water, if commonly known: - Approx. water depth (ft) at the point of the Accident: - Select: - If Offshore: 13. Approximate water depth (ft) at the point of the Accident: 14. Origin of Accident: - In State waters - Specify: - State: - Area: - Block/Tract #:		
- If Railroad crossing — Cased/ Uncased/ Bored/drilled - If Road crossing — Cased/ Uncased/ Bored/drilled - If Water crossing — Cased/ Uncased - Name of body of water, if commonly known: - Approx. water depth (ft) at the point of the Accident: - Select: - If Offshore: 13. Approximate water depth (ft) at the point of the Accident: 14. Origin of Accident: - In State waters - Specify: - State: - Area: - Block/Tract #: - Nearest County/Parish: - On the Outer Continental Shelf (OCS) - Specify:		
- If Railroad crossing — Cased/ Uncased/ Bored/drilled - If Road crossing — Cased/ Uncased/ Bored/drilled - If Water crossing — Cased/ Uncased - Name of body of water, if commonly known: - Approx. water depth (ft) at the point of the Accident: - Select: - If Offshore: 13. Approximate water depth (ft) at the point of the Accident: 14. Origin of Accident: - In State waters - Specify: - State: - Area: - Block/Tract #: - Nearest County/Parish: - On the Outer Continental Shelf (OCS) - Specify: - Area:		
- If Railroad crossing — Cased/ Uncased/ Bored/drilled - If Road crossing — Cased/ Uncased/ Bored/drilled - If Water crossing — Cased/ Uncased - Name of body of water, if commonly known: - Approx. water depth (ft) at the point of the Accident: - Select: - If Offshore: 13. Approximate water depth (ft) at the point of the Accident: 14. Origin of Accident: - In State waters - Specify: - State: - Area: - Block/Tract #: - Nearest County/Parish: - On the Outer Continental Shelf (OCS) - Specify: - Area: - Block #:		
- If Railroad crossing — Cased/ Uncased/ Bored/drilled - If Road crossing — Cased/ Uncased/ Bored/drilled - If Water crossing — Cased/ Uncased - Name of body of water, if commonly known: - Approx. water depth (ft) at the point of the Accident: - Select: - If Offshore: 13. Approximate water depth (ft) at the point of the Accident: 14. Origin of Accident: - In State waters - Specify: - State: - Area: - Block/Tract #: - Nearest County/Parish: - On the Outer Continental Shelf (OCS) - Specify: - Area: - Block #: 15. Area of Accident: PART C - ADDITIONAL FACILITY INFORMATION 1. Is the pipeline or facility:	Interstate	
- If Railroad crossing — Cased/ Uncased/ Bored/drilled - If Road crossing — Cased/ Uncased/ Bored/drilled - If Water crossing — Cased/ Uncased - Name of body of water, if commonly known: - Approx. water depth (ft) at the point of the Accident: - Name of body of water, if commonly known: - Approx. water depth (ft) at the point of the Accident: - If Offshore: 13. Approximate water depth (ft) at the point of the Accident: 14. Origin of Accident: - In State waters - Specify: - State: - Area: - Block/Tract #: - Nearest County/Parish: - On the Outer Continental Shelf (OCS) - Specify: - Area: - Block #: 15. Area of Accident: PART C - ADDITIONAL FACILITY INFORMATION 1. Is the pipeline or facility: 2. Part of system involved in Accident:	Interstate Onshore Pipeline, Including Valve Sites	
- If Railroad crossing — Cased/ Uncased/ Bored/drilled - If Road crossing — Cased/ Uncased/ Bored/drilled - If Water crossing — Cased/ Uncased - Name of body of water, if commonly known: - Approx. water depth (ft) at the point of the Accident: - Approx. water depth (ft) at the point of the Accident: - If Offshore: 13. Approximate water depth (ft) at the point of the Accident: 14. Origin of Accident: - In State waters - Specify: - State: - Area: - Block/Tract #: - Nearest County/Parish: - On the Outer Continental Shelf (OCS) - Specify: - Area: - Block #: 15. Area of Accident: PART C - ADDITIONAL FACILITY INFORMATION 1. Is the pipeline or facility: 2. Part of system involved in Accident: - If Onshore Breakout Tank or Storage Vessel, Including Attached		
- If Railroad crossing — Cased/ Uncased/ Bored/drilled - If Road crossing — Cased/ Uncased/ Bored/drilled - If Water crossing — Cased/ Uncased - Name of body of water, if commonly known: - Approx. water depth (ft) at the point of the Accident: - Select: - If Offshore: 13. Approximate water depth (ft) at the point of the Accident: 14. Origin of Accident: - In State waters - Specify: - State: - Area: - Block/Tract #: - Nearest County/Parish: - On the Outer Continental Shelf (OCS) - Specify: - Area: - Block #: 15. Area of Accident: PART C - ADDITIONAL FACILITY INFORMATION 1. Is the pipeline or facility: 2. Part of system involved in Accident: - If Onshore Breakout Tank or Storage Vessel, Including Attached Appurtenances, specify:	Onshore Pipeline, Including Valve Sites	
- If Railroad crossing — Cased/ Uncased/ Bored/drilled - If Road crossing — Cased/ Uncased/ Bored/drilled - If Water crossing — Cased/ Uncased - Name of body of water, if commonly known: - Approx. water depth (ft) at the point of the Accident: - Select: - If Offshore: 13. Approximate water depth (ft) at the point of the Accident: 14. Origin of Accident: - In State waters - Specify: - State: - Area: - Block/Tract #: - Nearest County/Parish: - On the Outer Continental Shelf (OCS) - Specify: - Area: - Block #: 15. Area of Accident: PART C - ADDITIONAL FACILITY INFORMATION 1. Is the pipeline or facility: 2. Part of system involved in Accident: - If Onshore Breakout Tank or Storage Vessel, Including Attached Appurtenances, specify: 3. Item involved in Accident:	Onshore Pipeline, Including Valve Sites Pipe	
- If Railroad crossing — Cased/ Uncased/ Bored/drilled - If Road crossing — Cased/ Uncased/ Bored/drilled - If Water crossing — Cased/ Uncased - Name of body of water, if commonly known: - Approx. water depth (ft) at the point of the Accident: - Select: - If Offshore: 13. Approximate water depth (ft) at the point of the Accident: - In State waters - Specify: - State: - Area: - Block/Tract #: - Nearest County/Parish: - On the Outer Continental Shelf (OCS) - Specify: - Area: - Block #: 15. Area of Accident: PART C - ADDITIONAL FACILITY INFORMATION 1. Is the pipeline or facility: 2. Part of system involved in Accident: - If Onshore Breakout Tank or Storage Vessel, Including Attached Appurtenances, specify: 3. Item involved in Accident: - If Pipe, specify:	Onshore Pipeline, Including Valve Sites Pipe Pipe Body	
- If Railroad crossing — Cased/ Uncased/ Bored/drilled - If Road crossing — Cased/ Uncased/ Bored/drilled - If Water crossing — Cased/ Uncased - Name of body of water, if commonly known: - Approx. water depth (ft) at the point of the Accident: - Select: - If Offshore: 13. Approximate water depth (ft) at the point of the Accident: 14. Origin of Accident: - In State waters - Specify: - State: - Area: - Block/Tract #: - Nearest County/Parish: - On the Outer Continental Shelf (OCS) - Specify: - Area: - Block #: 15. Area of Accident: PART C - ADDITIONAL FACILITY INFORMATION 1. Is the pipeline or facility: 2. Part of system involved in Accident: - If Onshore Breakout Tank or Storage Vessel, Including Attached Appurtenances, specify: 3. Item involved in Accident:	Onshore Pipeline, Including Valve Sites Pipe	

2. CMVC (Considered Minimum Violat Chromath) of mine (mai).	60,000
3c. SMYS (Specified Minimum Yield Strength) of pipe (psi):	60,000
3d. Pipe specification:	API 5L
3e. Pipe Seam , specify:	DSAW
- If Other, Describe:	
3f. Pipe manufacturer:	Bethlehem Steel
3g. Year of manufacture:	1978
3h. Pipeline coating type at point of Accident, specify:	Coal Tar
- If Other, Describe:	
- If Weld, including heat-affected zone, specify. If Pipe Girth Weld,	
3a through 3h above are required:	
- If Other, Describe:	
- If Valve, specify:	
- If Mainline, specify:	
- If Other, Describe:	
3i. Manufactured by:	
3j. Year of manufacture:	
- If Tank/Vessel, specify:	
- If Other - Describe:	
- If Other, describe:	
Year item involved in Accident was installed:	1978
5. Material involved in Accident:	Carbon Steel
- If Material other than Carbon Steel, specify:	
6. Type of Accident Involved:	Leak
- If Mechanical Puncture – Specify Approx. size:	
in. (axial) by	
in. (circumferential)	
- If Leak - Select Type:	Other
- If Other, Describe:	Under Investigation
- If Rupture - Select Orientation:	
- If Other, Describe:	
Approx. size: in. (widest opening) by	
in. (length circumferentially or axially)	
in. (length circumlerentially of axially)	
- If Other – Describe:	
· -	
· -	
- If Other – Describe: PART D - ADDITIONAL CONSEQUENCE INFORMATION	
- If Other – Describe: PART D - ADDITIONAL CONSEQUENCE INFORMATION 1. Wildlife impact:	Yes
- If Other – Describe: PART D - ADDITIONAL CONSEQUENCE INFORMATION 1. Wildlife impact: 1a. If Yes, specify all that apply:	
- If Other – Describe: PART D - ADDITIONAL CONSEQUENCE INFORMATION 1. Wildlife impact:	
- If Other – Describe: PART D - ADDITIONAL CONSEQUENCE INFORMATION 1. Wildlife impact: 1a. If Yes, specify all that apply: - Fish/aquatic	
- If Other – Describe: PART D - ADDITIONAL CONSEQUENCE INFORMATION 1. Wildlife impact: 1a. If Yes, specify all that apply: - Fish/aquatic - Birds	Yes
- If Other – Describe: PART D - ADDITIONAL CONSEQUENCE INFORMATION 1. Wildlife impact: 1a. If Yes, specify all that apply: - Fish/aquatic - Birds - Terrestrial	Yes Yes
- If Other – Describe: PART D - ADDITIONAL CONSEQUENCE INFORMATION 1. Wildlife impact: 1a. If Yes, specify all that apply: - Fish/aquatic - Birds - Terrestrial 2. Soil contamination:	Yes Yes Yes Yes
- If Other – Describe: PART D - ADDITIONAL CONSEQUENCE INFORMATION 1. Wildlife impact: 1a. If Yes, specify all that apply: - Fish/aquatic - Birds - Terrestrial 2. Soil contamination: 3. Long term impact assessment performed or planned:	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes
- If Other – Describe: PART D - ADDITIONAL CONSEQUENCE INFORMATION 1. Wildlife impact: 1a. If Yes, specify all that apply:	Yes Yes Yes Yes
- If Other – Describe: PART D - ADDITIONAL CONSEQUENCE INFORMATION 1. Wildlife impact: 1a. If Yes, specify all that apply: - Fish/aquatic - Birds - Terrestrial 2. Soil contamination: 3. Long term impact assessment performed or planned: 4. Anticipated remediation: 4a. If Yes, specify all that apply:	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes
- If Other – Describe: PART D - ADDITIONAL CONSEQUENCE INFORMATION 1. Wildlife impact: 1a. If Yes, specify all that apply:	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes
- If Other – Describe: PART D - ADDITIONAL CONSEQUENCE INFORMATION 1. Wildlife impact: 1a. If Yes, specify all that apply: - Fish/aquatic - Birds - Terrestrial 2. Soil contamination: 3. Long term impact assessment performed or planned: 4. Anticipated remediation: 4a. If Yes, specify all that apply:	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes
- If Other – Describe: PART D - ADDITIONAL CONSEQUENCE INFORMATION 1. Wildlife impact: 1a. If Yes, specify all that apply:	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes
- If Other – Describe: PART D - ADDITIONAL CONSEQUENCE INFORMATION 1. Wildlife impact:	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes
- If Other – Describe: PART D - ADDITIONAL CONSEQUENCE INFORMATION 1. Wildlife impact: 1a. If Yes, specify all that apply:	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes
- If Other – Describe: PART D - ADDITIONAL CONSEQUENCE INFORMATION 1. Wildlife impact:	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes
- If Other – Describe: PART D - ADDITIONAL CONSEQUENCE INFORMATION 1. Wildlife impact:	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes
- If Other – Describe: PART D - ADDITIONAL CONSEQUENCE INFORMATION 1. Wildlife impact:	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes
- If Other – Describe: PART D - ADDITIONAL CONSEQUENCE INFORMATION 1. Wildlife impact:	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes
- If Other – Describe: PART D - ADDITIONAL CONSEQUENCE INFORMATION 1. Wildlife impact:	Yes
- If Other – Describe: PART D - ADDITIONAL CONSEQUENCE INFORMATION 1. Wildlife impact:	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes
- If Other – Describe: PART D - ADDITIONAL CONSEQUENCE INFORMATION 1. Wildlife impact:	Yes
- If Other – Describe: PART D - ADDITIONAL CONSEQUENCE INFORMATION 1. Wildlife impact:	Yes
- If Other – Describe: PART D - ADDITIONAL CONSEQUENCE INFORMATION 1. Wildlife impact:	Yes
- If Other – Describe: PART D - ADDITIONAL CONSEQUENCE INFORMATION 1. Wildlife impact:	Yes
- If Other – Describe: PART D - ADDITIONAL CONSEQUENCE INFORMATION 1. Wildlife impact:	Yes
- If Other – Describe: PART D - ADDITIONAL CONSEQUENCE INFORMATION 1. Wildlife impact:	Yes
- If Other – Describe: PART D - ADDITIONAL CONSEQUENCE INFORMATION 1. Wildlife impact:	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Y
- If Other – Describe: PART D - ADDITIONAL CONSEQUENCE INFORMATION 1. Wildlife impact:	Yes
- If Other – Describe: PART D - ADDITIONAL CONSEQUENCE INFORMATION 1. Wildlife impact:	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Y
- If Other – Describe: PART D - ADDITIONAL CONSEQUENCE INFORMATION 1. Wildlife impact:	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Y
- If Other – Describe: PART D - ADDITIONAL CONSEQUENCE INFORMATION 1. Wildlife impact:	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Y
- If Other – Describe: PART D - ADDITIONAL CONSEQUENCE INFORMATION 1. Wildlife impact:	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Y
- If Other – Describe: PART D - ADDITIONAL CONSEQUENCE INFORMATION 1. Wildlife impact:	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Y
- If Other – Describe: PART D - ADDITIONAL CONSEQUENCE INFORMATION 1. Wildlife impact: 1a. If Yes, specify all that apply: - Fish/aquatic - Birds - Terrestrial 2. Soil contamination: 3. Long term impact assessment performed or planned: 4. Anticipated remediation: 4a. If Yes, specify all that apply: - Surface water - Groundwater - Soil - Vegetation - Wildlife 5. Water contamination: 5a. If Yes, specify all that apply: - Ocean/Seawater - Surface - Groundwater - Surface - Groundwater - Surface - Groundwater - Drinking water: (Select one or both) - Private Well - Public Water Intake 5b. Estimated amount released in or reaching water (Barrels): 5c. Name of body of water, if commonly known: 6. At the location of this Accident, had the pipeline segment or facility been identified as one that "could affect" a High Consequence Area (HCA) as determined in the Operator's Integrity Management Program? 7. Did the released commodity reach or occur in one or more High Consequence Area (HCA)? 7a. If Yes, specify HCA type(s): (Select all that apply) - Commercially Navigable Waterway: Was this HCA identified in the "could affect"	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Y
- If Other – Describe: PART D - ADDITIONAL CONSEQUENCE INFORMATION 1. Wildlife impact:	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Y

Ligh Dopulation Area:	
- High Population Area: Was this HCA identified in the "could affect"	
determination for this Accident site in the Operator's	
Integrity Management Program?	
- Other Populated Area	
Was this HCA identified in the "could affect" determination	
for this Accident site in the Operator's Integrity	
Management Program?	
- Unusually Sensitive Area (USA) - Drinking Water	
Was this HCA identified in the "could affect" determination	
for this Accident site in the Operator's Integrity	
Management Program?	
- Unusually Sensitive Area (USA) - Ecological	
Was this HCA identified in the "could affect" determination	
for this Accident site in the Operator's Integrity Management Program?	
S. Estimated cost to Operator – effective 12-2012, changed to "Estimated"	N Proporty Domogo":
8a. Estimated cost to Operator – enective 12-2012, changed to Estimated 8a. Estimated cost of public and non-Operator private property	Froperty Damage .
	\$ 0
damage paid/reimbursed by the Operator – effective 12-2012, "paid/reimbursed by the Operator" removed	\$ 0
8b. Estimated cost of commodity lost	\$ 351,000
8c. Estimated cost of Commodity lost 8c. Estimated cost of Operator's property damage & repairs	\$ 3,500,000
8d. Estimated cost of Operator's emergency response	\$ 2,500,000
8e. Estimated cost of Operator's environmental remediation	\$ 2,600,000
8f. Estimated other costs	\$ 1,400,000
Describe:	Misc.
8g. Estimated total costs (sum of above) – effective 12-2012,	
changed to "Total estimated property damage (sum of above)"	\$ 10,351,000
stratiged to votal commuted property damage (cam or accord)	
PART E - ADDITIONAL OPERATING INFORMATION	
Estimated pressure at the point and time of the Accident (psig): The stimated pressure at the point and time of the Accident (psig): The stimated pressure at the point and time of the Accident (psig): The stimated pressure at the point and time of the Accident (psig): The stimated pressure at the point and time of the Accident (psig): The stimated pressure at the point and time of the Accident (psig): The stimated pressure at the point and time of the Accident (psig): The stimated pressure at the point and time of the Accident (psig): The stimated pressure at the point and time of the Accident (psig): The stimated pressure at the point and time of the Accident (psig): The stimated pressure at the point and time of the Accident (psig): The stimated pressure at the point and time of the Accident (psig): The stimated pressure at the point and time of the Accident (psig): The stimated pressure at the psign (psign) at	183.00
2. Maximum Operating Pressure (MOP) at the point and time of the	673.00
Accident (psig):	
Describe the pressure on the system or facility relating to the	Pressure did not exceed MOP
Accident (psig): 4. Not including pressure reductions required by PHMSA regulations	
(such as for repairs and pipe movement), was the system or facility	
relating to the Accident operating under an established pressure	No
restriction with pressure limits below those normally allowed by the	
MOP?	
- If Yes, Complete 4.a and 4.b below:	
4a. Did the pressure exceed this established pressure	
restriction?	
restriction? 4b. Was this pressure restriction mandated by PHMSA or the	
restriction? 4b. Was this pressure restriction mandated by PHMSA or the State?	
restriction? 4b. Was this pressure restriction mandated by PHMSA or the State? 5. Was "Onshore Pipeline, Including Valve Sites" OR "Offshore	
restriction? 4b. Was this pressure restriction mandated by PHMSA or the State? 5. Was "Onshore Pipeline, Including Valve Sites" OR "Offshore Pipeline, Including Riser and Riser Bend" selected in PART C, Question	Yes
restriction? 4b. Was this pressure restriction mandated by PHMSA or the State? 5. Was "Onshore Pipeline, Including Valve Sites" OR "Offshore Pipeline, Including Riser and Riser Bend" selected in PART C, Question 2?	
restriction? 4b. Was this pressure restriction mandated by PHMSA or the State? 5. Was "Onshore Pipeline, Including Valve Sites" OR "Offshore Pipeline, Including Riser and Riser Bend" selected in PART C, Question 2? - If Yes - (Complete 5a. – 5f below) effective 12-2012, changed to "(i)	
restriction? 4b. Was this pressure restriction mandated by PHMSA or the State? 5. Was "Onshore Pipeline, Including Valve Sites" OR "Offshore Pipeline, Including Riser and Riser Bend" selected in PART C, Question 2? - If Yes - (Complete 5a. – 5f below) effective 12-2012, changed to "(5a. Type of upstream valve used to initially isolate release	
restriction? 4b. Was this pressure restriction mandated by PHMSA or the State? 5. Was "Onshore Pipeline, Including Valve Sites" OR "Offshore Pipeline, Including Riser and Riser Bend" selected in PART C, Question 2? - If Yes - (Complete 5a. – 5f below) effective 12-2012, changed to "(i 5a. Type of upstream valve used to initially isolate release source:	Complete 5.a – 5.e below)"
restriction? 4b. Was this pressure restriction mandated by PHMSA or the State? 5. Was "Onshore Pipeline, Including Valve Sites" OR "Offshore Pipeline, Including Riser and Riser Bend" selected in PART C, Question 2? - If Yes - (Complete 5a. – 5f below) effective 12-2012, changed to "(Complete 5a. – 5f below) effective 12-2012, changed to "(Complete 5a. – 5f below) effective 12-2012, changed to "(Complete 5a. – 5f below) effective 12-2012, changed to "(Complete 5a. – 5f below) effective 12-2012, changed to "(Complete 5a. – 5f below) effective 12-2012, changed to "(Complete 5a. – 5f below) effective 12-2012, changed to "(Complete 5a. – 5f below) effective 12-2012, changed to "(Complete 5a. – 5f below) effective 12-2012, changed to "(Complete 5a. – 5f below) effective 12-2012, changed to "(Complete 5a. – 5f below) effective 12-2012, changed to "(Complete 5a. – 5f below) effective 12-2012, changed to "(Complete 5a. – 5f below) effective 12-2012, changed to "(Complete 5a. – 5f below) effective 12-2012, changed to "(Complete 5a. – 5f below) effective 12-2012, changed to "(Complete 5a. – 5f below) effective 12-2012, changed to "(Complete 5a. – 5f below) effective 12-2012, changed to "(Complete 5a. – 5f below) effective 12-2012, changed to "(Complete 5a. – 5f below) effective 12-2012, changed to "(Complete 5a. – 5f below) effective 12-2012, changed to "(Complete 5a. – 5f below) effective 12-2012, changed to "(Complete 5a. – 5f below) effective 12-2012, changed to "(Complete 5a. – 5f below) effective 12-2012, changed to "(Complete 5a. – 5f below) effective 12-2012, changed to "(Complete 5a. – 5f below) effective 12-2012, changed to "(Complete 5a. – 5f below) effective 12-2012, changed to "(Complete 5a. – 5f below) effective 12-2012, changed to "(Complete 5a. – 5f below) effective 12-2012, changed to "(Complete 5a. – 5f below) effective 12-2012, changed to "(Complete 5a. – 5f below) effective 12-2012, changed to "(Complete 5a. – 5f below) effective 12-2012, changed to "(Complete 5a. – 5f below) effective 12-2012, chang	Complete 5.a – 5.e below)"
restriction? 4b. Was this pressure restriction mandated by PHMSA or the State? 5. Was "Onshore Pipeline, Including Valve Sites" OR "Offshore Pipeline, Including Riser and Riser Bend" selected in PART C, Question 2? - If Yes - (Complete 5a. – 5f below) effective 12-2012, changed to "(including Signary Signa	Complete 5.a – 5.e below)" Remotely Controlled Remotely Controlled
restriction? 4b. Was this pressure restriction mandated by PHMSA or the State? 5. Was "Onshore Pipeline, Including Valve Sites" OR "Offshore Pipeline, Including Riser and Riser Bend" selected in PART C, Question 2? - If Yes - (Complete 5a. – 5f below) effective 12-2012, changed to "(i 5a. Type of upstream valve used to initially isolate release source: 5b. Type of downstream valve used to initially isolate release source: 5c. Length of segment isolated between valves (ft):	Complete 5.a – 5.e below)" Remotely Controlled Remotely Controlled 93,000
restriction? 4b. Was this pressure restriction mandated by PHMSA or the State? 5. Was "Onshore Pipeline, Including Valve Sites" OR "Offshore Pipeline, Including Riser and Riser Bend" selected in PART C, Question 2? - If Yes - (Complete 5a. – 5f below) effective 12-2012, changed to "(i 5a. Type of upstream valve used to initially isolate release source: 5b. Type of downstream valve used to initially isolate release source: 5c. Length of segment isolated between valves (ft): 5d. Is the pipeline configured to accommodate internal	Complete 5.a – 5.e below)" Remotely Controlled Remotely Controlled
restriction? 4b. Was this pressure restriction mandated by PHMSA or the State? 5. Was "Onshore Pipeline, Including Valve Sites" OR "Offshore Pipeline, Including Riser and Riser Bend" selected in PART C, Question 2? - If Yes - (Complete 5a. – 5f below) effective 12-2012, changed to "(i 5a. Type of upstream valve used to initially isolate release source: 5b. Type of downstream valve used to initially isolate release source: 5c. Length of segment isolated between valves (ft): 5d. Is the pipeline configured to accommodate internal inspection tools?	Complete 5.a – 5.e below)" Remotely Controlled Remotely Controlled 93,000 Yes
restriction? 4b. Was this pressure restriction mandated by PHMSA or the State? 5. Was "Onshore Pipeline, Including Valve Sites" OR "Offshore Pipeline, Including Riser and Riser Bend" selected in PART C, Question 2? - If Yes - (Complete 5a. – 5f below) effective 12-2012, changed to "(i 5a. Type of upstream valve used to initially isolate release source: 5b. Type of downstream valve used to initially isolate release source: 5c. Length of segment isolated between valves (ft): 5d. Is the pipeline configured to accommodate internal inspection tools? - If No, Which physical features limit tool accommodation?	Complete 5.a – 5.e below)" Remotely Controlled Remotely Controlled 93,000 Yes
restriction? 4b. Was this pressure restriction mandated by PHMSA or the State? 5. Was "Onshore Pipeline, Including Valve Sites" OR "Offshore Pipeline, Including Riser and Riser Bend" selected in PART C, Question 2? - If Yes - (Complete 5a. – 5f below) effective 12-2012, changed to "(i 5a. Type of upstream valve used to initially isolate release source: 5b. Type of downstream valve used to initially isolate release source: 5c. Length of segment isolated between valves (ft): 5d. Is the pipeline configured to accommodate internal inspection tools?	Complete 5.a – 5.e below)" Remotely Controlled Remotely Controlled 93,000 Yes
restriction? 4b. Was this pressure restriction mandated by PHMSA or the State? 5. Was "Onshore Pipeline, Including Valve Sites" OR "Offshore Pipeline, Including Riser and Riser Bend" selected in PART C, Question 2? - If Yes - (Complete 5a. – 5f below) effective 12-2012, changed to "(i 5a. Type of upstream valve used to initially isolate release source: 5b. Type of downstream valve used to initially isolate release source: 5c. Length of segment isolated between valves (ft): 5d. Is the pipeline configured to accommodate internal inspection tools? - If No, Which physical features limit tool accommodation? - Changes in line pipe diameter	Complete 5.a – 5.e below)" Remotely Controlled Remotely Controlled 93,000 Yes
restriction? 4b. Was this pressure restriction mandated by PHMSA or the State? 5. Was "Onshore Pipeline, Including Valve Sites" OR "Offshore Pipeline, Including Riser and Riser Bend" selected in PART C, Question 2? - If Yes - (Complete 5a. – 5f below) effective 12-2012, changed to "(i 5a. Type of upstream valve used to initially isolate release source: 5b. Type of downstream valve used to initially isolate release source: 5c. Length of segment isolated between valves (ft): 5d. Is the pipeline configured to accommodate internal inspection tools? - If No, Which physical features limit tool accommodation? - Changes in line pipe diameter - Presence of unsuitable mainline valves	Complete 5.a – 5.e below)" Remotely Controlled Remotely Controlled 93,000 Yes
restriction? 4b. Was this pressure restriction mandated by PHMSA or the State? 5. Was "Onshore Pipeline, Including Valve Sites" OR "Offshore Pipeline, Including Riser and Riser Bend" selected in PART C, Question 2? - If Yes - (Complete 5a. – 5f below) effective 12-2012, changed to "(including Sites of Sa. Type of upstream valve used to initially isolate release source: 5b. Type of downstream valve used to initially isolate release source: 5c. Length of segment isolated between valves (ft): 5d. Is the pipeline configured to accommodate internal inspection tools? - If No, Which physical features limit tool accommodation? - Changes in line pipe diameter - Presence of unsuitable mainline valves - Tight or mitered pipe bends - Other passage restrictions (i.e. unbarred tee's, projecting instrumentation, etc.)	Complete 5.a – 5.e below)" Remotely Controlled Remotely Controlled 93,000 Yes
restriction? 4b. Was this pressure restriction mandated by PHMSA or the State? 5. Was "Onshore Pipeline, Including Valve Sites" OR "Offshore Pipeline, Including Riser and Riser Bend" selected in PART C, Question 2? - If Yes - (Complete 5a. – 5f below) effective 12-2012, changed to "(including Sites of Sa. Type of upstream valve used to initially isolate release source: 5b. Type of downstream valve used to initially isolate release source: 5c. Length of segment isolated between valves (ft): 5d. Is the pipeline configured to accommodate internal inspection tools? - If No, Which physical features limit tool accommodation? - Changes in line pipe diameter - Presence of unsuitable mainline valves - Tight or mitered pipe bends - Other passage restrictions (i.e. unbarred tee's, projecting instrumentation, etc.) - Extra thick pipe wall (applicable only for magnetic	Complete 5.a – 5.e below)" Remotely Controlled Remotely Controlled 93,000 Yes
restriction? 4b. Was this pressure restriction mandated by PHMSA or the State? 5. Was "Onshore Pipeline, Including Valve Sites" OR "Offshore Pipeline, Including Riser and Riser Bend" selected in PART C, Question 2? - If Yes - (Complete 5a. – 5f below) effective 12-2012, changed to "(including Sites of Sa. Type of upstream valve used to initially isolate release source: 5b. Type of downstream valve used to initially isolate release source: 5c. Length of segment isolated between valves (ft): 5d. Is the pipeline configured to accommodate internal inspection tools? - If No, Which physical features limit tool accommodation? - Changes in line pipe diameter - Presence of unsuitable mainline valves - Tight or mitered pipe bends - Other passage restrictions (i.e. unbarred tee's, projecting instrumentation, etc.) - Extra thick pipe wall (applicable only for magnetic flux leakage internal inspection tools)	Complete 5.a – 5.e below)" Remotely Controlled Remotely Controlled 93,000 Yes
restriction? 4b. Was this pressure restriction mandated by PHMSA or the State? 5. Was "Onshore Pipeline, Including Valve Sites" OR "Offshore Pipeline, Including Riser and Riser Bend" selected in PART C, Question 2? - If Yes - (Complete 5a. – 5f below) effective 12-2012, changed to "(including Sites of Sa. Type of upstream valve used to initially isolate release source: 5b. Type of downstream valve used to initially isolate release source: 5c. Length of segment isolated between valves (ft): 5d. Is the pipeline configured to accommodate internal inspection tools? - If No, Which physical features limit tool accommodation? - Changes in line pipe diameter - Presence of unsuitable mainline valves - Tight or mitered pipe bends - Other passage restrictions (i.e. unbarred tee's, projecting instrumentation, etc.) - Extra thick pipe wall (applicable only for magnetic flux leakage internal inspection tools) - Other -	Complete 5.a – 5.e below)" Remotely Controlled Remotely Controlled 93,000 Yes
restriction? 4b. Was this pressure restriction mandated by PHMSA or the State? 5. Was "Onshore Pipeline, Including Valve Sites" OR "Offshore Pipeline, Including Riser and Riser Bend" selected in PART C, Question 2? - If Yes - (Complete 5a. – 5f below) effective 12-2012, changed to "(6 5a. Type of upstream valve used to initially isolate release source: 5b. Type of downstream valve used to initially isolate release source: 5c. Length of segment isolated between valves (ft): 5d. Is the pipeline configured to accommodate internal inspection tools? - If No, Which physical features limit tool accommodation? - Changes in line pipe diameter - Presence of unsuitable mainline valves - Tight or mitered pipe bends - Other passage restrictions (i.e. unbarred tee's, projecting instrumentation, etc.) - Extra thick pipe wall (applicable only for magnetic flux leakage internal inspection tools) - Other -	Complete 5.a – 5.e below)" Remotely Controlled Remotely Controlled 93,000 Yes
restriction? 4b. Was this pressure restriction mandated by PHMSA or the State? 5. Was "Onshore Pipeline, Including Valve Sites" OR "Offshore Pipeline, Including Riser and Riser Bend" selected in PART C, Question 2? - If Yes - (Complete 5a. – 5f below) effective 12-2012, changed to "(including Sites of Sa. Type of upstream valve used to initially isolate release source: 5b. Type of downstream valve used to initially isolate release source: 5c. Length of segment isolated between valves (ft): 5d. Is the pipeline configured to accommodate internal inspection tools? - If No, Which physical features limit tool accommodation? - Changes in line pipe diameter - Presence of unsuitable mainline valves - Tight or mitered pipe bends - Other passage restrictions (i.e. unbarred tee's, projecting instrumentation, etc.) - Extra thick pipe wall (applicable only for magnetic flux leakage internal inspection tools) - Other - - If Other, Describe: 5e. For this pipeline, are there operational factors which	Complete 5.a – 5.e below)" Remotely Controlled 93,000 Yes (select all that apply)
restriction? 4b. Was this pressure restriction mandated by PHMSA or the State? 5. Was "Onshore Pipeline, Including Valve Sites" OR "Offshore Pipeline, Including Riser and Riser Bend" selected in PART C, Question 2? - If Yes - (Complete 5a. – 5f below) effective 12-2012, changed to "(i 5a. Type of upstream valve used to initially isolate release source: 5b. Type of downstream valve used to initially isolate release source: 5c. Length of segment isolated between valves (ft): 5d. Is the pipeline configured to accommodate internal inspection tools? - If No, Which physical features limit tool accommodation? - Changes in line pipe diameter - Presence of unsuitable mainline valves - Tight or mitered pipe bends - Other passage restrictions (i.e. unbarred tee's, projecting instrumentation, etc.) - Extra thick pipe wall (applicable only for magnetic flux leakage internal inspection tools) - Other - - If Other, Describe: 5e. For this pipeline, are there operational factors which significantly complicate the execution of an internal inspection tool	Complete 5.a – 5.e below)" Remotely Controlled Remotely Controlled 93,000 Yes
restriction? 4b. Was this pressure restriction mandated by PHMSA or the State? 5. Was "Onshore Pipeline, Including Valve Sites" OR "Offshore Pipeline, Including Riser and Riser Bend" selected in PART C, Question 2? - If Yes - (Complete 5a. – 5f below) effective 12-2012, changed to "(including Sites of Unitary States of Unitary Stat	Complete 5.a – 5.e below)" Remotely Controlled Remotely Controlled 93,000 Yes (select all that apply)
restriction? 4b. Was this pressure restriction mandated by PHMSA or the State? 5. Was "Onshore Pipeline, Including Valve Sites" OR "Offshore Pipeline, Including Riser and Riser Bend" selected in PART C, Question 2? - If Yes - (Complete 5a. – 5f below) effective 12-2012, changed to "(i 5a. Type of upstream valve used to initially isolate release source: 5b. Type of downstream valve used to initially isolate release source: 5c. Length of segment isolated between valves (ft): 5d. Is the pipeline configured to accommodate internal inspection tools? - If No, Which physical features limit tool accommodation? - Changes in line pipe diameter - Presence of unsuitable mainline valves - Tight or mitered pipe bends - Other passage restrictions (i.e. unbarred tee's, projecting instrumentation, etc.) - Extra thick pipe wall (applicable only for magnetic flux leakage internal inspection tools) - Other - - If Other, Describe: 5e. For this pipeline, are there operational factors which significantly complicate the execution of an internal inspection tool	Complete 5.a – 5.e below)" Remotely Controlled Remotely Controlled 93,000 Yes (select all that apply)

	_
 Low operating pressure(s) 	
- Low flow or absence of flow	
- Incompatible commodity	
- Other -	
- If Other, Describe:	
5f. Function of pipeline system:	> 20% SMYS Regulated Trunkline/Transmission
Was a Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA)-based	2 20 /0 CIVITO Tregulated Transmito/ Transmission
	Yes
system in place on the pipeline or facility involved in the Accident? If Yes -	
	Tv
6a. Was it operating at the time of the Accident?	Yes
6b. Was it fully functional at the time of the Accident?	Yes
6c. Did SCADA-based information (such as alarm(s),	
alert(s), event(s), and/or volume calculations) assist with	No
the detection of the Accident?	
6d. Did SCADA-based information (such as alarm(s),	
alert(s), event(s), and/or volume calculations) assist with	No
the confirmation of the Accident?	
7. Was a CPM leak detection system in place on the pipeline or facility	
involved in the Accident?	No
- If Yes:	
7a. Was it operating at the time of the Accident?	
7b. Was it fully functional at the time of the Accident?	
7c. Did CPM leak detection system information (such as alarm	
(s), alert(s), event(s), and/or volume calculations) assist with	
the detection of the Accident?	
7d. Did CPM leak detection system information (such as alarm	
(s), alert(s), event(s), and/or volume calculations) assist with	
the confirmation of the Accident?	
8. How was the Accident initially identified for the Operator?	Notification From Public
- If Other, Specify:	
8a. If "Controller", "Local Operating Personnel", including	
contractors", "Air Patrol", or "Ground Patrol by Operator or its	
contractor" is selected in Question 8, specify:	
	No, the Operator did not find that an investigation of the
Was an investigation initiated into whether or not the controller(s) or	controller(s) actions or control room issues was necessary
control room issues were the cause of or a contributing factor to the	due to: (provide an explanation for why the Operator did not
Accident?	
If No, the Consistent did not find that an investigation of the	investigate)
- If No, the Operator did not find that an investigation of the	Not contributing factors
controller(s) actions or control room issues was necessary due to:	Not contributing factors.
(provide an explanation for why the operator did not investigate)	
- If Yes, specify investigation result(s): (select all that apply)	
 Investigation reviewed work schedule rotations, 	
continuous hours of service (while working for the	
Operator), and other factors associated with fatigue	
 Investigation did NOT review work schedule rotations, 	
continuous hours of service (while working for the	
Operator), and other factors associated with fatigue	
Provide an explanation for why not:	
Investigation identified no control room issues	
- Investigation identified no controller issues	
Investigation identified incorrect controller action or	
controller error	
- Investigation identified that fatigue may have affected the	
controller(s) involved or impacted the involved controller(s)	
response	
- Investigation identified incorrect procedures	
 Investigation identified incorrect control room equipment 	
operation	
- Investigation identified maintenance activities that affected	
control room operations, procedures, and/or controller	
response	
- Investigation identified areas other than those above:	
Describe:	
PART F - DRUG & ALCOHOL TESTING INFORMATION	
A As a result of this Assistant was a second	
As a result of this Accident, were any Operator employees tested	
under the post-accident drug and alcohol testing requirements of DOT's	No
Drug & Alcohol Testing regulations?	
l - If Yes:	
	I
1a. Specify how many were tested:	

	1
2. As a result of this Accident, were any Operator contractor employees	
tested under the post-accident drug and alcohol testing requirements of	No
DOT's Drug & Alcohol Testing regulations?	
- If Yes:	<u> </u>
2a. Specify how many were tested:	
2b. Specify how many failed:	
PART G – APPARENT CAUSE	
FART G - AFFARENT CAUSE	
Select only one box from PART G in shaded column on left represent	ting the APPARENT Cause of the Accident, and answer
the questions on the right. Describe secondary, contributing or root	causes of the Accident in the narrative (PART H).
A	On Other Ledder Course
Apparent Cause:	G8 - Other Incident Cause
G1 - Corrosion Failure - only one sub-cause can be picked from shad	ded left-hand column
Compaign Failure Cub Course	
Corrosion Failure – Sub-Cause:	
- If External Corrosion:	
Results of visual examination:	
- If Other, Describe:	
2. Type of corrosion: (select all that apply)	
- Galvanic	
- Atmospheric	
- Stray Current	
- Microbiological	
- Selective Seam	
- Other:	
- If Other, Describe:	
	Landard all that analy)
3. The type(s) of corrosion selected in Question 2 is based on the following	ig: (select all that apply)
- Field examination	
 Determined by metallurgical analysis 	
- Other:	
- If Other, Describe:	
4. Was the failed item buried under the ground?	
	<u>L</u>
- If Yes :	
□4a. Was failed item considered to be under cathodic	
protection at the time of the Accident?	
If Yes - Year protection started:	
4b. Was shielding, tenting, or disbonding of coating evident at	
the point of the Accident?	
4c. Has one or more Cathodic Protection Survey been	
conducted at the point of the Accident?	
If "Yes, CP Annual Survey" – Most recent year conducted:	
If "Yes, Close Interval Survey" – Most recent year conducted:	
If "Yes, Other CP Survey" – Most recent year conducted:	
	<u> </u>
- If No:	
4d. Was the failed item externally coated or painted?	
5. Was there observable damage to the coating or paint in the vicinity of	
the corrosion?	
- If Internal Corrosion:	
6. Results of visual examination:	
- Other:	
7. Type of corrosion (select all that apply): -	
- Corrosive Commodity	
- Water drop-out/Acid	
- Microbiological	
- Erosion	
- Other:	
	
- If Other, Describe:	
8. The cause(s) of corrosion selected in Question 7 is based on the follow	ring (select all that apply): -
- Field examination	
- Determined by metallurgical analysis	
- Other:	
- If Other, Describe:	<u> </u>
9. Location of corrosion (select all that apply): -	
- Low point in pipe	
- Elbow	
- Other:	
- If Other, Describe:	
·	
10. Was the commodity treated with corrosion inhibitors or biocides?	1

11. Was the interior coated or lined with protective coating?	
12. Were cleaning/dewatering pigs (or other operations) routinely	
utilized?	
13. Were corrosion coupons routinely utilized?	
Complete the following if any Corrosion Failure sub-cause is selected AND	the "Item Involved in Accident" (from PART C,
Question 3) is Tank/Vessel.	
14. List the year of the most recent inspections:	
14a. API Std 653 Out-of-Service Inspection	
- No Out-of-Service Inspection completed	
14b. API Std 653 In-Service Inspection	
- No In-Service Inspection completed	
Complete the following if any Corrosion Failure sub-cause is selected AND Question 3) is Pipe or Weld.	the "Item Involved in Accident" (from PART C,
15. Has one or more internal inspection tool collected data at the point of the Accident?	
15a. If Yes, for each tool used, select type of internal inspection tool and	indicate most recent year run: -
- Magnetic Flux Leakage Tool	
Most recent year:	
- Ultrasonic	
Most recent year:	
- Geometry	
Most recent year:	
- Caliper	
Most recent year:	
- Crack	
Most recent year:	
- Hard Spot	
Most recent year:	
- Combination Tool	
Most recent year:	
- Transverse Field/Triaxial	
Most recent year:	
- Other	
Most recent year: Describe:	
16. Has one or more hydrotest or other pressure test been conducted since	
original construction at the point of the Accident?	
If Yes -	
If Yes - Most recent year tested:	
If Yes - Most recent year tested: Test pressure:	
If Yes - Most recent year tested: Test pressure: 17. Has one or more Direct Assessment been conducted on this segment?	
If Yes - Most recent year tested: Test pressure: 17. Has one or more Direct Assessment been conducted on this segment? - If Yes, and an investigative dig was conducted at the point of the Accident::	
If Yes - Most recent year tested: Test pressure: 17. Has one or more Direct Assessment been conducted on this segment? - If Yes, and an investigative dig was conducted at the point of the Accident:: Most recent year conducted:	
If Yes - Most recent year tested: Test pressure: 17. Has one or more Direct Assessment been conducted on this segment? - If Yes, and an investigative dig was conducted at the point of the Accident:: Most recent year conducted: - If Yes, but the point of the Accident was not identified as a dig site:	
If Yes - Most recent year tested: Test pressure: 17. Has one or more Direct Assessment been conducted on this segment? - If Yes, and an investigative dig was conducted at the point of the Accident:: Most recent year conducted: - If Yes, but the point of the Accident was not identified as a dig site: Most recent year conducted:	
If Yes - Most recent year tested: Test pressure: 17. Has one or more Direct Assessment been conducted on this segment? - If Yes, and an investigative dig was conducted at the point of the Accident:: Most recent year conducted: - If Yes, but the point of the Accident was not identified as a dig site: Most recent year conducted: 18. Has one or more non-destructive examination been conducted at the	
If Yes - Most recent year tested: Test pressure: 17. Has one or more Direct Assessment been conducted on this segment? - If Yes, and an investigative dig was conducted at the point of the Accident:: Most recent year conducted: - If Yes, but the point of the Accident was not identified as a dig site: Most recent year conducted:	e of non-destructive examination and indicate most
If Yes - Most recent year tested: Test pressure: 17. Has one or more Direct Assessment been conducted on this segment? - If Yes, and an investigative dig was conducted at the point of the Accident:: Most recent year conducted: - If Yes, but the point of the Accident was not identified as a dig site: Most recent year conducted: 18. Has one or more non-destructive examination been conducted at the point of the Accident since January 1, 2002? 18a. If Yes, for each examination conducted since January 1, 2002, select type	e of non-destructive examination and indicate most
If Yes - Most recent year tested: Test pressure: 17. Has one or more Direct Assessment been conducted on this segment? - If Yes, and an investigative dig was conducted at the point of the Accident:: Most recent year conducted: - If Yes, but the point of the Accident was not identified as a dig site: Most recent year conducted: 18. Has one or more non-destructive examination been conducted at the point of the Accident since January 1, 2002? 18a. If Yes, for each examination conducted since January 1, 2002, select typ recent year the examination was conducted: - Radiography Most recent year conducted:	e of non-destructive examination and indicate most
If Yes - Most recent year tested: Test pressure: 17. Has one or more Direct Assessment been conducted on this segment? - If Yes, and an investigative dig was conducted at the point of the Accident:: Most recent year conducted: - If Yes, but the point of the Accident was not identified as a dig site: Most recent year conducted: 18. Has one or more non-destructive examination been conducted at the point of the Accident since January 1, 2002? 18a. If Yes, for each examination conducted since January 1, 2002, select typ recent year the examination was conducted: - Radiography	e of non-destructive examination and indicate most
If Yes - Most recent year tested: Test pressure: 17. Has one or more Direct Assessment been conducted on this segment? - If Yes, and an investigative dig was conducted at the point of the Accident:: Most recent year conducted: - If Yes, but the point of the Accident was not identified as a dig site: Most recent year conducted: 18. Has one or more non-destructive examination been conducted at the point of the Accident since January 1, 2002? 18a. If Yes, for each examination conducted since January 1, 2002, select typ recent year the examination was conducted: - Radiography Most recent year conducted:	e of non-destructive examination and indicate most
If Yes - Most recent year tested: Test pressure: 17. Has one or more Direct Assessment been conducted on this segment? - If Yes, and an investigative dig was conducted at the point of the Accident:: Most recent year conducted: - If Yes, but the point of the Accident was not identified as a dig site: Most recent year conducted: 18. Has one or more non-destructive examination been conducted at the point of the Accident since January 1, 2002? 18a. If Yes, for each examination conducted since January 1, 2002, select typ recent year the examination was conducted: - Radiography Most recent year conducted: - Guided Wave Ultrasonic	e of non-destructive examination and indicate most
If Yes - Most recent year tested: Test pressure: 17. Has one or more Direct Assessment been conducted on this segment? - If Yes, and an investigative dig was conducted at the point of the Accident:: Most recent year conducted: - If Yes, but the point of the Accident was not identified as a dig site: Most recent year conducted: 18. Has one or more non-destructive examination been conducted at the point of the Accident since January 1, 2002? 18a. If Yes, for each examination conducted since January 1, 2002, select typ recent year the examination was conducted: - Radiography Most recent year conducted: - Guided Wave Ultrasonic Most recent year conducted:	e of non-destructive examination and indicate most
If Yes - Most recent year tested: Test pressure: 17. Has one or more Direct Assessment been conducted on this segment? - If Yes, and an investigative dig was conducted at the point of the Accident:: Most recent year conducted: - If Yes, but the point of the Accident was not identified as a dig site: Most recent year conducted: 18. Has one or more non-destructive examination been conducted at the point of the Accident since January 1, 2002? 18a. If Yes, for each examination conducted since January 1, 2002, select typ recent year the examination was conducted: - Radiography Most recent year conducted: - Guided Wave Ultrasonic Most recent year conducted: - Handheld Ultrasonic Tool	e of non-destructive examination and indicate most
If Yes - Most recent year tested: Test pressure: 17. Has one or more Direct Assessment been conducted on this segment? - If Yes, and an investigative dig was conducted at the point of the Accident:: Most recent year conducted: - If Yes, but the point of the Accident was not identified as a dig site: Most recent year conducted: 18. Has one or more non-destructive examination been conducted at the point of the Accident since January 1, 2002? 18a. If Yes, for each examination conducted since January 1, 2002, select typ recent year the examination was conducted: - Radiography Most recent year conducted: - Guided Wave Ultrasonic Most recent year conducted: - Handheld Ultrasonic Tool Most recent year conducted:	e of non-destructive examination and indicate most
If Yes - Most recent year tested: Test pressure: 17. Has one or more Direct Assessment been conducted on this segment? - If Yes, and an investigative dig was conducted at the point of the Accident:: Most recent year conducted: - If Yes, but the point of the Accident was not identified as a dig site: Most recent year conducted: 18. Has one or more non-destructive examination been conducted at the point of the Accident since January 1, 2002? 18a. If Yes, for each examination conducted since January 1, 2002, select typ recent year the examination was conducted: - Radiography Most recent year conducted: - Guided Wave Ultrasonic Most recent year conducted: - Handheld Ultrasonic Tool Most recent year conducted: - Wet Magnetic Particle Test Most recent year conducted: - Dry Magnetic Particle Test	e of non-destructive examination and indicate most
If Yes - Most recent year tested: Test pressure: 17. Has one or more Direct Assessment been conducted on this segment? - If Yes, and an investigative dig was conducted at the point of the Accident:: Most recent year conducted: - If Yes, but the point of the Accident was not identified as a dig site: Most recent year conducted: 18. Has one or more non-destructive examination been conducted at the point of the Accident since January 1, 2002? 18a. If Yes, for each examination conducted since January 1, 2002, select typ recent year the examination was conducted: - Radiography Most recent year conducted: - Guided Wave Ultrasonic Most recent year conducted: - Handheld Ultrasonic Tool Most recent year conducted: - Wet Magnetic Particle Test Most recent year conducted: - Dry Magnetic Particle Test Most recent year conducted:	e of non-destructive examination and indicate most
If Yes - Most recent year tested: Test pressure: 17. Has one or more Direct Assessment been conducted on this segment? - If Yes, and an investigative dig was conducted at the point of the Accident:: Most recent year conducted: - If Yes, but the point of the Accident was not identified as a dig site: Most recent year conducted: 18. Has one or more non-destructive examination been conducted at the point of the Accident since January 1, 2002? 18a. If Yes, for each examination conducted since January 1, 2002, select typ recent year the examination was conducted: - Radiography Most recent year conducted: - Guided Wave Ultrasonic Most recent year conducted: - Handheld Ultrasonic Tool Most recent year conducted: - Wet Magnetic Particle Test Most recent year conducted: - Dry Magnetic Particle Test Most recent year conducted: - Other	e of non-destructive examination and indicate most
If Yes - Most recent year tested: Test pressure: 17. Has one or more Direct Assessment been conducted on this segment? - If Yes, and an investigative dig was conducted at the point of the Accident:: Most recent year conducted: - If Yes, but the point of the Accident was not identified as a dig site: Most recent year conducted: 18. Has one or more non-destructive examination been conducted at the point of the Accident since January 1, 2002? 18a. If Yes, for each examination conducted since January 1, 2002, select typ recent year the examination was conducted: - Radiography Most recent year conducted: - Guided Wave Ultrasonic Most recent year conducted: - Handheld Ultrasonic Tool Most recent year conducted: - Wet Magnetic Particle Test Most recent year conducted: - Dry Magnetic Particle Test Most recent year conducted: - Other Most recent year conducted:	e of non-destructive examination and indicate most
If Yes - Most recent year tested: Test pressure: 17. Has one or more Direct Assessment been conducted on this segment? - If Yes, and an investigative dig was conducted at the point of the Accident:: Most recent year conducted: - If Yes, but the point of the Accident was not identified as a dig site: Most recent year conducted: 18. Has one or more non-destructive examination been conducted at the point of the Accident since January 1, 2002? 18a. If Yes, for each examination conducted since January 1, 2002, select typ recent year the examination was conducted: - Radiography Most recent year conducted: - Guided Wave Ultrasonic Most recent year conducted: - Handheld Ultrasonic Tool Most recent year conducted: - Wet Magnetic Particle Test Most recent year conducted: - Dry Magnetic Particle Test Most recent year conducted: - Other	e of non-destructive examination and indicate most
If Yes - Most recent year tested: Test pressure: 17. Has one or more Direct Assessment been conducted on this segment? - If Yes, and an investigative dig was conducted at the point of the Accident:: Most recent year conducted: - If Yes, but the point of the Accident was not identified as a dig site: Most recent year conducted: 18. Has one or more non-destructive examination been conducted at the point of the Accident since January 1, 2002? 18a. If Yes, for each examination conducted since January 1, 2002, select typ recent year the examination was conducted: - Radiography Most recent year conducted: - Guided Wave Ultrasonic Most recent year conducted: - Handheld Ultrasonic Tool Most recent year conducted: - Wet Magnetic Particle Test Most recent year conducted: - Dry Magnetic Particle Test Most recent year conducted: - Other Most recent year conducted:	
If Yes - Most recent year tested: Test pressure: 17. Has one or more Direct Assessment been conducted on this segment? - If Yes, and an investigative dig was conducted at the point of the Accident:: Most recent year conducted: - If Yes, but the point of the Accident was not identified as a dig site: Most recent year conducted: 18. Has one or more non-destructive examination been conducted at the point of the Accident since January 1, 2002? 18a. If Yes, for each examination conducted since January 1, 2002, select typ recent year the examination was conducted: - Radiography Most recent year conducted: - Guided Wave Ultrasonic Most recent year conducted: - Handheld Ultrasonic Tool Most recent year conducted: - Wet Magnetic Particle Test Most recent year conducted: - Dry Magnetic Particle Test Most recent year conducted: - Other Most recent year conducted: - Other	
If Yes - Most recent year tested: Test pressure: 17. Has one or more Direct Assessment been conducted on this segment? - If Yes, and an investigative dig was conducted at the point of the Accident:: Most recent year conducted: - If Yes, but the point of the Accident was not identified as a dig site: Most recent year conducted: 18. Has one or more non-destructive examination been conducted at the point of the Accident since January 1, 2002? 18a. If Yes, for each examination conducted since January 1, 2002, select typ recent year the examination was conducted: - Radiography Most recent year conducted: - Guided Wave Ultrasonic Most recent year conducted: - Handheld Ultrasonic Tool Most recent year conducted: - Wet Magnetic Particle Test Most recent year conducted: - Dry Magnetic Particle Test Most recent year conducted: - Other Most recent year conducted: - Other Most recent year conducted: - Describe: G2 - Natural Force Damage - only one sub-cause can be picked from shall and the point of the Accident: Test pressure: Test pressure: Most recent year conducted: Describe:	
If Yes - Most recent year tested: Test pressure: 17. Has one or more Direct Assessment been conducted on this segment? - If Yes, and an investigative dig was conducted at the point of the Accident:: Most recent year conducted: - If Yes, but the point of the Accident was not identified as a dig site: Most recent year conducted: 18. Has one or more non-destructive examination been conducted at the point of the Accident since January 1, 2002? 18a. If Yes, for each examination conducted since January 1, 2002, select typ recent year the examination was conducted: - Radiography Most recent year conducted: - Guided Wave Ultrasonic Most recent year conducted: - Handheld Ultrasonic Tool Most recent year conducted: - Wet Magnetic Particle Test Most recent year conducted: - Other	
If Yes - Most recent year tested: Test pressure: 17. Has one or more Direct Assessment been conducted on this segment? - If Yes, and an investigative dig was conducted at the point of the Accident:: Most recent year conducted: - If Yes, but the point of the Accident was not identified as a dig site: Most recent year conducted: 18. Has one or more non-destructive examination been conducted at the point of the Accident since January 1, 2002? 18a. If Yes, for each examination conducted since January 1, 2002, select typ recent year the examination was conducted: - Radiography Most recent year conducted: - Guided Wave Ultrasonic Most recent year conducted: - Handheld Ultrasonic Tool Most recent year conducted: - Wet Magnetic Particle Test Most recent year conducted: - Other Most recent year conducted: - Other Most recent year conducted: - Dry Magnetic Particle Test Most recent year conducted: - Other Most recent year conducted: - Describe: G2 - Natural Force Damage - only one sub-cause can be picked from shall a conducted to the point of the Accident is segment? In the point of the Accident: Test pressure: Most recent year conducted: - Describe: G2 - Natural Force Damage - only one sub-cause can be picked from shall a conducted to the point of the Accident is segment? In the Accident is segment? Test pressure: Most recent year conducted: Describe: G2 - Natural Force Damage - only one sub-cause can be picked from shall a conducted to the picked from	

2. Specify:	
- If Other, Describe:	
- If Lightning:	,
3. Specify:	
- If Temperature:	T
4. Specify: - If Other, Describe:	
- If Other Natural Force Damage:	
5. Describe:	
Complete the following if any Natural Force Damage sub-cause is sele	l acted
Were the natural forces causing the Accident generated in	l l
conjunction with an extreme weather event?	
6a. If Yes, specify: (select all that apply)	
- Hurricane	
- Tropical Storm	
- Tornado	
- Other	
- If Other, Describe:	
G3 - Excavation Damage - only one sub-cause can be picked from s	haded left-hand column
Excavation Damage – Sub-Cause:	
- If Previous Damage due to Excavation Activity: Complete Questions C, Question 3) is Pipe or Weld.	s 1-5 ONLY IF the "Item Involved in Accident" (from PART
Has one or more internal inspection tool collected data at the point of the Accident?	
1a. If Yes, for each tool used, select type of internal inspection tool a	nd indicate most recent year run: -
- Magnetic Flux Leakage	
Most recent year conducted:	
- Ultrasonic	
Most recent year conducted: - Geometry	
Most recent year conducted:	
- Caliper	
Most recent year conducted:	
- Crack	
Most recent year conducted:	
- Hard Spot	
Most recent year conducted: - Combination Tool	
Most recent year conducted:	
- Transverse Field/Triaxial	
Most recent year conducted:	
- Other	
Most recent year conducted:	
Describe:	
Do you have reason to believe that the internal inspection was	
completed BEFORE the damage was sustained?	
3. Has one or more hydrotest or other pressure test been conducted since original construction at the point of the Accident?	
- If Yes:	<u> </u>
Most recent year tested:	
Test pressure (psig):	
4. Has one or more Direct Assessment been conducted on the pipeline	
segment?	
- If Yes, and an investigative dig was conducted at the point of the Acci Most recent year conducted:	aent:
- If Yes, but the point of the Accident was not identified as a dig site:	
Most recent year conducted:	
5. Has one or more non-destructive examination been conducted at the point of the Accident since January 1, 2002?	
5a. If Yes, for each examination, conducted since January 1, 2002, recent year the examination was conducted:	select type of non-destructive examination and indicate most
- Radiography	
Most recent year conducted:	
- Guided Wave Ultrasonic	
Most recent year conducted:	
- Handheld Ultrasonic Tool	
Most recent year conducted:	

- Wet Magnetic Particle Test	
Most recent year conducted:	
- Dry Magnetic Particle Test	
Most recent year conducted:	
- Other	
Most recent year conducted:	
Describe:	
Complete the following if Excavation Damage by Third Party is selected	ed as the sub-cause
	The sub-badde.
6. Did the operator get prior notification of the excavation activity?	
6a. If Yes, Notification received from: (select all that apply) -	
- One-Call System	
- Excavator	
- Contractor	
- Landowner	
Complete the following mandatory CGA-DIRT Program questions if any	Excavation Damage sub-cause is selected.
	•
7. Do you want PHMSA to upload the following information to CGA-	
DIRT (www.cga-dirt.com)?	
8. Right-of-Way where event occurred: (select all that apply) -	T
- Public	
- If "Public", Specify:	
- Private	
- If "Private", Specify:	
- Pipeline Property/Easement - Power/Transmission Line	
- Power/ transmission Line - Railroad	
- Railroad - Dedicated Public Utility Easement	
- Federal Land	
- Data not collected	
- Unknown/Other	
9. Type of excavator:	
Type of excavation. 10. Type of excavation equipment:	
11. Type of work performed:	
12. Was the One-Call Center notified?	
12a. If Yes, specify ticket number:	
12b. If this is a State where more than a single One-Call Center	
exists, list the name of the One-Call Center notified:	
13. Type of Locator:	
14. Were facility locate marks visible in the area of excavation?	
15. Were facilities marked correctly?	
16. Did the damage cause an interruption in service?	
16a. If Yes, specify duration of the interruption (hours)	
17. Description of the CGA-DIRT Root Cause (select only the one predon	ninant first level CGA-DIRT Root Cause and then, where
available as a choice, the one predominant second level CGA-DIRT Root	
Root Cause:	,
- If One-Call Notification Practices Not Sufficient, specify:	
- If Locating Practices Not Sufficient, specify:	
- If Excavation Practices Not Sufficient, specify:	
- If Other/None of the Above, explain:	
·	
G4 - Other Outside Force Damage - only one sub-cause can be se	elected from the shaded left-hand column
Other Outside Force Damage – Sub-Cause:	
	T Engaged in Everystica.
If Damage by Car, Truck, or Other Motorized Vehicle/Equipment NO Vehicle/Equipment operated by:	Engaged in Excavation.
- If Damage by Boats, Barges, Drilling Rigs, or Other Maritime Equipm	cont or Voscale Set Adrift or Which Have Otherwise Lest
Their Mooring:	ient of vessels set Autilt of Willen Have Otherwise Lost
Select one or more of the following IF an extreme weather event was a	factor:
- Hurricane	
- Tropical Storm	
- Tornado	
- Heavy Rains/Flood	
- Other	
- If Other, Describe:	
- If Previous Mechanical Damage NOT Related to Excavation: Complete	ete Questions 3-7 ONLY IF the "Item Involved in
Accident" (from PART C, Question 3) is Pipe or Weld.	
Has one or more internal inspection tool collected data at the point of	
the Accident?	
3a. If Yes, for each tool used, select type of internal inspection tool and in	dicate most recent year run:

- Magnetic Flux Leakage	
Most recent year conducted:	
- Ultrasonic	
Most recent year conducted:	
- Geometry	
Most recent year conducted:	
- Caliper	
Most recent year conducted:	
- Crack	
Most recent year conducted:	
- Hard Spot	
Most recent year conducted:	
- Combination Tool	
Most recent year conducted:	
- Transverse Field/Triaxial	
Most recent year conducted:	
- Other	
Most recent year conducted:	
Describe:	
4. Do you have reason to believe that the internal inspection was	
completed BEFORE the damage was sustained?	
5. Has one or more hydrotest or other pressure test been conducted	
since original construction at the point of the Accident?	
- If Yes:	
Most recent year tested:	
Test pressure (psig):	
6. Has one or more Direct Assessment been conducted on the pipeline	
segment?	
<u> </u>	
- If Yes, and an investigative dig was conducted at the point of the Accident	Т
Most recent year conducted:	
- If Yes, but the point of the Accident was not identified as a dig site:	
Most recent year conducted:	
7. Has one or more non-destructive examination been conducted at the	
point of the Accident since January 1, 2002?	
7a. If Yes, for each examination conducted since January 1, 2002, s	elect type of non-destructive examination and indicate most
recent year the examination was conducted:	
- Radiography	
Most recent year conducted:	
- Guided Wave Ultrasonic	
Most recent year conducted:	
- Handheld Ultrasonic Tool	
Most recent year conducted:	
- Wet Magnetic Particle Test	
Most recent year conducted:	
- Dry Magnetic Particle Test	
Most recent year conducted:	
- Other	
Most recent year conducted:	
Describe:	
- If Intentional Damage:	
8. Specify:	
- If Other, Describe:	_
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
- If Other Outside Force Damage:	
9. Describe:	
G5 - Material Failure of Pipe or Weld - only one sub-cause can be	selected from the shaded left-hand column
Use this section to report material failures ONLY IF the "Item Involve	d in Accident" (from PART C, Question 3) is "Pipe" or
"Weld."	
Material Failure of Pipe or Weld – Sub-Cause:	
•	
1. The sub-cause shown above is based on the following: (select all that	apply)
- Field Examination	
- Determined by Metallurgical Analysis	
- Other Analysis	
- If "Other Analysis", Describe:	
- Sub-cause is Tentative or Suspected; Still Under Investigation	
(Supplemental Report required)	
- If Construction, Installation, or Fabrication-related:	
List contributing factors: (select all that apply)	

- Fatigue or Vibration-related	
Specify:	
- If Other, Describe:	
- Mechanical Stress:	
- Other	
- If Other, Describe:	
- If Environmental Cracking-related:	
3. Specify:	
- If Other - Describe:	
Complete the following if any Material Failure of Pipe or Weld sub-cau	se is selected.
Additional factors: (select all that apply):	
- Dent	
- Gouge	
- Pipe Bend	
- Arc Burn	
- Crack	
- Lack of Fusion	
- Lamination	
- Buckle	
- Wrinkle	
- Misalignment	
- Burnt Steel	
- Other:	
- If Other, Describe:	
5. Has one or more internal inspection tool collected data at the point of	
the Accident?	
5a. If Yes, for each tool used, select type of internal inspection tool a	nd indicate most recent year run:
- Magnetic Flux Leakage	
Most recent year run:	
- Ultrasonic	
Most recent year run:	
- Geometry	
Most recent year run:	
- Caliper	
Most recent year run:	
- Crack	
Most recent year run:	
- Hard Spot	
·	
Most recent year run:	
- Combination Tool	
Most recent year run:	
- Transverse Field/Triaxial	
Most recent year run:	
- Other	
Most recent year run:	
Describe:	
6. Has one or more hydrotest or other pressure test been conducted since	
original construction at the point of the Accident?	
- If Yes:	
Most recent year tested:	
Test pressure (psig):	
7. Has one or more Direct Assessment been conducted on the pipeline	
segment?	
If Yes, and an investigative dig was conducted at the point of the Acci	l dent -
Most recent year conducted:	done
- If Yes, but the point of the Accident was not identified as a dig site -	
Most recent year conducted:	
8. Has one or more non-destructive examination(s) been conducted at the point of the Accident since January 1, 2002?	
8a. If Yes, for each examination conducted since January 1, 2002, se	elect type of non-destructive examination and indicate most
recent year the examination was conducted: -	
- Radiography	
Most recent year conducted:	
- Guided Wave Ultrasonic	
Most recent year conducted:	
- Handheld Ultrasonic Tool	
Most recent year conducted:	
- Wet Magnetic Particle Test	
Most recent year conducted:	

- Dry Magnetic Particle Test		
Most recent year conducted:		
- Other		
Most recent year conducted:		
Describe:		
G6 - Equipment Failure - only one sub-cause can be selected from the shaded left-hand column		
Equipment Failure – Sub-Cause:		
- If Malfunction of Control/Relief Equipment:		
Specify: (select all that apply) -		
- Control Valve		
- Instrumentation		
- SCADA		
- Communications		
- Block Valve		
- Check Valve - Relief Valve		
- Relief valve - Power Failure		
- Stopple/Control Fitting		
- ESD System Failure		
- Other		
- If Other – Describe:		
- If Pump or Pump-related Equipment:		
2. Specify:		
- If Other – Describe:		
- If Threaded Connection/Coupling Failure:		
3. Specify:		
- If Other – Describe:		
- If Non-threaded Connection Failure:		
4. Specify: - If Other – Describe:		
- If Other Equipment Failure:		
5. Describe:		
Complete the following if any Equipment Failure sub-cause is selected.		
6. Additional factors that contributed to the equipment failure: (select all th	nat annivi	
- Excessive vibration		
- Overpressurization		
- No support or loss of support		
- Manufacturing defect		
- Loss of electricity		
- Improper installation		
- Mismatched items (different manufacturer for tubing and tubing		
fittings) - Dissimilar metals		
- Breakdown of soft goods due to compatibility issues with		
transported commodity		
- Valve vault or valve can contributed to the release - Alarm/status failure		
- Misalignment		
- Thermal stress		
- Other		
- If Other, Describe:		
G7 - Incorrect Operation - only one sub-cause can be selected from the shaded left-hand column		
Incorrect Operation – Sub-Cause:		
- If Tank, Vessel, or Sump/Separator Allowed or Caused to Overfill or Overflow		
1. Specify:		
- If Other, Describe:		
- If Other Incorrect Operation		
2. Describe:		
Complete the following if any Incorrect Operation sub-cause is selected	u.	

3. Was this Accident related to (select all that apply): -		
- Inadequate procedure		
- No procedure established		
- Failure to follow procedure		
- Other:		
- If Other, Describe:		
4. What category type was the activity that caused the Accident?		
5. Was the task(s) that led to the Accident identified as a covered task in your Operator Qualification Program?		
5a. If Yes, were the individuals performing the task(s) qualified for the task(s)?		
G8 - Other Accident Cause - only one sub-cause can be selected from the shaded left-hand column		
Other Accident Cause – Sub-Cause:	Unknown	
- If Miscellaneous:		
1. Describe:		
- If Unknown:		
2. Specify:	Still under investigation, cause of Accident to be determined* (*Supplemental Report required)	

PART H - NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION OF THE ACCIDENT

On 8/14/2020 at 18:20, a Colonial employee was notified by a local resident about a possible leak in Colonial's Right-of-way (ROW) approximately 100 feet north (i.e., downstream) of Huntersville-Concord Road in Huntersville, NC. The possible leak location was discovered by utility vehicle riders that were on a trail that crosses the pipeline ROW. The Colonial employee lives in the area and went to inspect the location. Upon inspection, the Colonial employee confirmed a product release visible at the ground surface at 18:42 near mile marker 980 that was believed to be gasoline. The Colonial employee contacted the Colonial Control Center in Alpharetta, GA to provide notification of the visible release and the Control Center initiated shutdown of Lines 1 and 2 at 18:43. The lines were blocked by closing valves upstream of the release location at Colonial's Charlotte Delivery facility (DF) and downstream of the release location at the Kannapolis Station. The Colonial Operations Manager (OM) was notified at 18:44, and the Director of Operations (DO) was notified at 19:00, followed by additional internal notifications that were made to mobilize resources to address the conditions discovered. At 19:42, a NRC notification was made by the Control Center (Report number 1284598), the initial volume was reported at 75 barrels (bbls.), based on the limited information Colonial had at the time. Notifications were also made to Mecklenburg County, US EPA, NCDEQ, and PHMSA. The NRC notification was updated on 8/16/2020 at 17:40, with an estimated release volume of 1500 bbls. based on additional information available to Colonial as a result of the initial response efforts.

Colonial issued an internal Tier 2 response notification at 19:32 to mobilize internal and contractor resources to the site, and established an Incident Command Post to support the response. The leak source was identified on 8/15/2020 at approximately 12:00. Following confirmation of the leak source being on Line 1, Line 2 was authorized to restart on 8/15/2020 at 0:05. The leak source was originating from beneath a prior repair (Type A sleeve) made in 2004 to address a pipeline anomaly identified through a previous integrity assessment. The leak was repaired by installing a Type B pressure containing sleeve over the prior Type A sleeve repair. Line 1 was restarted on 8/19/2020 at approximately 21:00 after repair were completed. The continued recovery of product and completion of the site characterization will have oversight by the North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality (NCDEQ) and Mecklenburg County.

Updated on 9/14/2020 to correct error in cost estimate.

PART I - PREPARER AND AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE Preparer's Name Denise Langley Preparer's Title Compliance Coordinator Preparer's Telephone Number 770.819.3574 Preparer's E-mail Address dlangley@colpipe.com Preparer's Facsimile Number Authorized Signer Name Mark Piazza Authorized Signer Title Manager Pipeline Compliance Authorized Signer Telephone Number 678.763.5911 Authorized Signer Email mpiazza@colpipe.com 09/14/2020 Date