

LAKE & RESERVOIR ASSESSMENTS LITTLE TENNESSEE RIVER BASIN



Nantahala Lake

Intensive Survey Branch
Water Sciences Section
Division of Water Resources
February 27, 2015

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS	2
GLOSSARY	3
OVERVIEW	5
ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY	5
QUALITY ASSURANCE OF FIELD AND LABORATORY LAKES DATA	6
WEATHER OVERVIEW FOR SUMMER 2013.....	6
ASSESSMENT BY 8-DIGIT HUC	
HUC 06010202	
Lake Sequoyah	8
Nantahala Lake	9
HUC 06010203	
Bear Creek Reservoir.....	10
Cedar Cliff Reservoir	11
Wolf Creek Reservoir.....	12
Thorpe Reservoir	13
HUC 06010204	
Fontana Lake	15
Lake Cheoah.....	16
Santeetlah Lake.....	17
Calderwood Lake	18
APPENDIX A. Little Tennessee River Basin Lakes Data	
January 1, 2010 through December 31, 2014	A-1
Figures	
Figure 1. Percent of normal precipitation for March, April and May 2013 (State Climate Office of North Carolina, June 4, 2014.....	7
Figure 2. Percent of normal precipitation for June, July and August 2014 (State Climate Office of North Carolina, September 9, 2014.	7
Tables	
Table 1. Algal Growth Potential Test, Thorpe Reservoir, August 20, 2014.....	14
Table 2. Algal Growth Potential Test, Lake Santeetlah, July 23, 2014.....	18

GLOSSARY

Algae	Small aquatic plants that occur as single cells, colonies, or filaments. May also be referred to as phytoplankton, although phytoplankton are a subset of algae.
Algal biovolume	The volume of all living algae in a unit area at a given point in time. To determine biovolume, individual cells in a known amount of sample are counted. Cells are measured to obtain their cell volume, which is used in calculating biovolume
Algal density	The density of algae based on the number of units (single cells, filaments and/or colonies) present in a milliliter of water. The severity of an algae bloom may be determined by the algal density as follows: Mild bloom = 10,000 to 20,000 units/ml Mild bloom = 20,000 to 30,000 units/ml Severe bloom = 30,000 to 100,000 units/ml Extreme bloom = Greater than 100,000 units/ml
Algal Growth Potential Test (AGPT)	A test to determine the nutrient that is the most limiting to the growth of algae in a body of water. The sample water is split such that one sub-sample is given additional nitrogen, another is given phosphorus, a third may be given a combination of nitrogen and phosphorus, and one sub-sample is not treated and acts as the control. A specific species of algae is added to each sub-sample and is allowed to grow for a given period of time. The dry weights of algae in each sub-sample and the control are then measured to determine the rate of productivity in each treatment. The treatment (nitrogen or phosphorus) with the greatest algal productivity is said to be the limiting nutrient of the sample source. If the control sample has an algal dry weight greater than 5 mg/L, the source water is considered to be unlimited for either nitrogen or phosphorus.
Centric diatom	Diatoms are photosynthetic algae that have a siliceous skeleton (frustule) found in almost every aquatic environment including fresh and marine waters, as well as moist soils. Centric diatoms are circular in shape and are often found in the water column.
Chlorophyll a	Chlorophyll <i>a</i> is an algal pigment that is used as an approximate measure of algal biomass. The concentration of chlorophyll <i>a</i> is used in the calculation of the NCTSI, and the value listed is a lake-wide average from all sampling locations.
Clinograde	In productive lakes where oxygen levels drop to zero in the lower waters near the bottom, the graphed changes in oxygen from the surface to the lake bottom produces a curve known as clinograde curve.
Cocoid	Round or spherical shaped cell
Conductivity	This is a measure of the ability of water to conduct an electrical current. This measure increases as water becomes more mineralized. The concentrations listed are the range of values observed in surface readings from the sampling locations.
Dissolved oxygen	A measurement of oxygen concentrations found at the sampling locations.
Dissolved oxygen saturation	The capacity of water to absorb oxygen gas. Often expressed as a percentage, the amount of oxygen that can dissolve into water will change depending on a number of parameters, the most important being temperature. Dissolved oxygen saturation is inversely proportion to temperature, that is, as temperature increases, water's capacity for oxygen will decrease, and vice versa.

Eutrophic	Describes a lake with high biological productivity and low water transparency.
Eutrophication	The process of physical, chemical, and biological changes associated with nutrient, organic matter, and silt enrichment and sedimentation of a lake.
Limiting nutrient	The plant nutrient present in lowest concentration relative to need limits growth such that addition of the limiting nutrient will stimulate additional growth. In northern temperate lakes, phosphorus (P) is commonly the limiting nutrient for algal growth
Manganese	A naturally occurring metal commonly found in soils and organic matter. As a trace nutrient, manganese is essential to all forms of biological life. Manganese in lakes is released from bottom sediments and enters the water column when the oxygen concentration in the water near the lake bottom is extremely low or absent. Manganese in lake water may cause taste and odor problems in drinking water and require additional treatment of the raw water at water treatment facilities to alleviate this problem.
Mesotrophic	Describes a lake with moderate biological productivity and water transparency
NCTSI	North Carolina Trophic State Index was specifically developed for North Carolina lakes as part of the state's original Clean Lakes Classification Survey (NRCD 1982). It takes the nutrients present along with chlorophyll <i>a</i> and Secchi depth to calculate a lake's biological productivity.
Oligotrophic	Describes a lake with low biological productivity and high water transparency.
pH	The range of surface pH readings found at the sampling locations. This value is used to express the relative acidity or alkalinity of water.
Photic zone	The portion of the water column in which there is sufficient light for algal growth. DWR considers 2 times the Secchi depth as depicting the photic zone.
Secchi depth	This is a measure of water transparency expressed in meters. This parameter is used in the calculation of the NCTSI value for the lake. The depth listed is an average value from all sampling locations in the lake.
Temperature	The range of surface temperatures found at the sampling locations.
Total Kjeldahl nitrogen	The sum of organic nitrogen and ammonia in a water body. High measurements of TKN typically results from sewage and manure discharges in water bodies.
Total organic nitrogen (TON)	Total Organic Nitrogen (TON) can represent a major reservoir of nitrogen in aquatic systems during summer months. Similar to phosphorus, this concentration can be related to lake productivity and is used in the calculation of the NCTSI. The concentration listed is a lake-wide average from all sampling stations and is calculated by subtracting Ammonia concentrations from TKN concentrations.
Total phosphorus (TP)	Total phosphorus (TP) includes all forms of phosphorus that occur in water. This nutrient is essential for the growth of aquatic plants and is often the nutrient that limits the growth of phytoplankton. It is used to calculate the NCTSI. The concentration listed is a lake-wide average from all sampling stations.
Trophic state	This is a relative description of the biological productivity of a lake based on the calculated NCTSI value. Trophic states may range from extremely productive (Hypereutrophic) to very low productivity (Oligotrophic).
Turbidity	A measure of the ability of light to pass through a volume of water. Turbidity may be influenced by suspended sediment and/or algae in the water.
Watershed	A drainage area in which all land and water areas drain or flow toward a central collector such as a stream, river, or lake at a lower elevation.

Overview

The Little Tennessee River basin is located within the Blue Ridge Province of the Appalachian Mountains of western North Carolina. It encompasses about 1,800 mi² in Swain, Macon, Clay, Graham, Cherokee, and Jackson counties. Much of the land within the basin is federally owned (49%) and in the U.S. Forest Service's Nantahala National Forest (including the Joyce Kilmer/Slick Rock Wilderness Area) or the Great Smoky Mountains National Park. The basin also includes the Cherokee Indian Reservation. The North Carolina section of the Little Tennessee River is typical of many other mountain rivers. The gradient is relatively steep in most reaches of the river and the substrate is dominated by riffle habitats. The headwater reaches of the Little Tennessee River are located in Georgia. Most tributaries are high gradient streams capable of supporting trout populations in the upper reaches. Most of the basin is forested. However, lower reaches of many tributary catchments are farmed or developed, resulting in the increased potential for nonpoint source problems.

Ten lakes were sampled in this river basin by DWR staff in 2014. Following the description of the assessment methodology used for the Little Tennessee River Basin, there are individual summaries for each of the lakes and a two-paged matrix that distills the information used to make the lakes use support assessments. For additional information on a particular lake (including sampling data), please go to <http://www.esb.enr.state.nc.us/>.

A statewide fish consumption advisory for largemouth bass due to mercury contamination was issued by the NC Department of Health and Human Services, Division of Public Health. This advisory includes lakes in the Little Tennessee River Basin which might support largemouth bass. On September 10, 2008, an advisory regarding the consumption of walleye fish from Santeetlah and Fontana Lakes was issued due to elevated mercury levels found in walleye collected from these reservoirs. An advisory regarding the consumption of smallmouth bass, walleye, yellow perch and largemouth bass taken from Nantahala Lake was added in December 2012 (<http://www.epi.state.nc.us/epi/fish/current.html>)

Assessment Methodology

For this report, data from January 1, 2010 through December 31, 2014 were reviewed. Lake monitoring and sample collection activities performed by DWR field staff are in accordance with the Intensive Survey Unit Standard Operating Procedures Manual

(http://portal.ncdenr.org/c/document_library/get_file?uuid=522a90a4-b593-426f-8c11-21a35569dfd8&groupId=38364) An interactive map of the state showing the locations of lake sites sampled by DWR may be found at <http://portal.ncdenr.org/web/wq/ambient-lakes-map>.

All lakes were sampled during the growing season from May through September. Data were assessed for excursions of the state's Class C water quality standards for chlorophyll *a*, pH, dissolved oxygen, water temperature, turbidity, and surface metals. Other parameters discussed in this report include Secchi depth and percent dissolved oxygen saturation. Secchi depth provides a measure of water clarity and is used in calculating the trophic or nutrient enriched status of a lake. Percent dissolved oxygen saturation gives information on the amount of dissolved oxygen in the water column and may be increased by photosynthesis or depressed by oxygen-consuming decomposition.

For algae collection and assessment, water samples are collected from the photic zone, preserved in the field and taken concurrently with chemical and physical parameters. Samples were quantitatively analyzed to determine assemblage structure, density (units/ml) and biovolume (m³/mm³).

For the purpose of reporting, algal blooms were determined by the measurement of unit density (units/ml). Unit density is a quantitative measurement of the number of filaments, colonies or single celled taxa in a waterbody. Blooms are considered mild if they are between 10,000 and 20,000 units/ml.

Moderate blooms are those between 20,000 and 30,000 units/ml. Severe blooms are between 30,000 and 100,000 units/ml. Extreme blooms are those 100,000 units/ml or greater.

An algal group is considered dominant when it comprises 40% or more of the total unit density or total biovolume. A genus is considered dominant when it comprises 30% or more of the total unit density or total biovolume.

Additional data considered as part of the use support assessment include historic DWR water quality data, documented algal blooms and/or fish kills, problematic aquatic macrophytes, or listing on the EPA's 303(d) List of Impaired Waters.

For a more complete discussion of lake ecology and assessment, please go to <http://portal.ncdenr.org/web/wq/ess/isu>. The 1992 North Carolina Lake Assessment Report (downloadable from this website) contains a detailed chapter on ecological concepts that clarifies how the parameters discussed in this review relate to water quality and reservoir health.

Quality Assurance of Field and Laboratory Lakes Data

Data collected in the field via single or multiparameter water quality meters are entered into the Ambient Lakes Database within 24 hours of the sampling date. These data are then reviewed for accuracy and completeness within a week of entry. Data that have not been reviewed are given a 'P' code for 'Provisional' (data has been entered but not been verified for accuracy and/or completeness). Data that have been verified are given an 'A' code for 'Accepted'.

Chemistry data from the DWR Water Quality Laboratory are entered into the Lakes Database within 48 hours of receipt from the lab. As with the field data, laboratory results are coded 'P' until the entered data is verified for entry accuracy and completeness, after which, the code is changed to 'A'. Generally, laboratory data entered into the Lakes Database are verified within a week following the initial entry.

Data, either laboratory or field, which appear to be out of range for the lake sampled are double checked against field sheets or the laboratory results form by the Lakes Data Administrator for possible data entry error. If there are data entry mistakes, possible equipment, sampling, and/or analysis errors, these are investigated and corrected if possible. If the possible source of an error cannot be determined, the data remains in the database. If an error is determined, the data value is removed from the appropriate database parameter field and placed in the 'Notes' field along with a comment regarding the error. Chemistry results received from the laboratory that have been given an qualification code are also entered into the 'Notes' field along with the assigned laboratory code. Laboratory qualification coded data or data which may be in error due to sampling, handling, and/or equipment problems are only entered into the 'Notes' field and never in the data field(s) in the Ambient Lakes Database.

Additional information regarding the Quality Assurance Program is covered in the Ambient Lake Monitoring Program Quality Assurance Plan. Version 2.0 (March 28, 2014) of this document is available on the ISU website (<http://portal.ncdenr.org/web/wq/ess/isu>).

Weather Overview for Summer 2014

May 2013 began cool for most of the state but ended warm. Precipitation in the western mountains, (including the Little Tennessee River Basin), ranged from 95% to 25% of normal for the month (Figure 1). Temperatures in June were closer to normal for the month while precipitation ranged from 75% to 200%. June turned out to be warm throughout the state and ranked as the 33rd warmest June on record.




Figure 1. Percent of normal precipitation for March, April and May 2013 (State Climate Office of North Carolina, June 4, 2014, (<http://nc-climate.ncsu.edu/climateblog?id=77>)).

In contrast to June, July and August 2014 in North Carolina turned out to be cooler than normal. The cool mean temperatures for these months was driven by the cooler than normal maximum temperatures. Near normal precipitation in the western mountains fell in the western mountains of the state during these three months (Figure 2).




Figure 2. Percent of normal precipitation for June, July and August 2014 (State Climate Office of North Carolina, September 9, 2014, (<http://nc-climate.ncsu.edu/climateblog?id=98>)).

After a mild summer, warm temperatures returned in late August and continued through the first week of September, then cooling again. This pattern of up and down temperatures is normal for the month of September in North Carolina as the transition from summer to fall begins. Rainfall amounts in September for the western mountains were similar to those observed in June through August.

LAKE & RESERVOIR ASSESSMENTS

HUC 06010202

Lake Sequoyah



<i>Ambient Lakes Program Name</i>	Lake Sequoyah		
<i>Trophic Status (NC TSI)</i>	Mesotrophic		
<i>Mean Depth (meters)</i>	2.1		
<i>Volume (10⁶ m³)</i>	0.1		
<i>Watershed Area (mi²)</i>	36		
<i>Classification</i>	WS-III B Tr		
<i>Stations</i>	LTN006C	LTN008C	LTN008E
<i>Number of Times Sampled</i>	5	5	5

Lake Sequoyah, located near the Town of Highlands, is an impoundment of the Cullasaja River and serves as a water supply source for the town. This shallow lake has a maximum depth of 13 feet (four meters). The shoreline consists of residential homes and commercial businesses. The Highlands Country Club, which is comprised of a golf course and private homes, is also located in the watershed.

DWR staff sampled Lake Sequoyah monthly from May through September 2014. Secchi depths ranged from 1.3 to 2.1 meters and the Secchi depth at the mid-lake sampling site, LTN008C, in August (1.3 meters) reached to the bottom of the lake. The Secchi depth at the most upstream sampling site, LTN006C, also reached the bottom of the lake (1.6 meters). Surface dissolved oxygen in 2014 ranged from 5.6 to 8.7 mg/L and surface water temperatures ranged from 18.9 C° to 25.5 C° (Appendix A). Surface pH values for Lake Sequoyah from May through September ranged from 6.4 to 7.5 s.u.

Total phosphorus concentrations ranged from <0.02 mg/L near the dam in May to 0.16 mg/L at the upper end of the lake in June (Appendix A). Total Kjeldahl nitrogen ranged from 0.22 to 0.85 mg/L and total organic nitrogen ranged from 0.18 to 0.79 mg/L. Chlorophyll a values ranged from 3.3 to 24.0 µg/L. Because Lake Sequoyah is designated as a Trout Water (Tr), chlorophyll a values may not exceed the state water quality standard of 15 µg/L. On July 8, 2014, chlorophyll a values near the dam (LTN008E) and at the mid-lake sampling site (LTN008C) were greater than 15 µg/L. In June, turbidity values at the upper end of the lake (LTN006C) and at the mid-lake sampling site were greater than the state water quality standard of 10 NTU for lakes designated as a Trout Water (Appendix A).

Based on the NCTSI scores calculated for Lake Sequoyah in 2014, the lake was determined to exhibit moderate biological productivity or mesotrophic conditions. The trophic state has varied from oligotrophic to eutrophic since 1988 when DWR began monitoring efforts on Lake Sequoyah.

Nantahala Lake



Ambient Lakes Program Name	Nantahala Lake		
Trophic Status (NC TSI)	Oligotrophic		
Mean Depth (meters)	38.1		
Volume ($10^6 m^3$)	160.0		
Watershed Area (mi^2)	280		
Classification	B Tr		
Stations	LTN013B	LTN013C	LTN013D
Number of Times Sampled	5	5	5

Nantahala Lake lies in the western tip of North Carolina and is an impoundment of the Nantahala River. Duke Energy owns this reservoir, which was impounded in 1942 for hydroelectric power production. Nantahala Lake is 76 meters deep at the dam at maximum pool. The rugged, mountainous drainage area is primarily forested.

Nantahala Lake was monitored five times from May through September 2009 by DWR field staff. Secchi depths ranged from 3.8 to 9.0 meters, indicating very good water clarity (Appendix A). Surface dissolved oxygen ranged from 6.9 to 8.7 mg/L and surface water temperatures ranged from 16.7 C° to 25.2 C°. Surface pH values ranged from 7.2 to 7.7 s.u. and surface conductivity was very consistent, ranging from 16 to 17 μ mhos/cm.

Nutrient concentrations were very low in Nantahala Lake in 2014; total phosphorus, total Kjeldahl nitrogen ammonia and nitrite plus nitrate were at or below DWR laboratory detection levels (Appendix A). Due to the limited availability of nutrients, chlorophyll *a* values were also low, ranging from <1.0 to 2.9 μ g/L. Turbidity values ranged from <1.0 to 2.7 NTU. Based on the calculated NCTSI scores, Nantahala Lake was determined to have very low biological productivity (oligotrophic conditions). This reservoir has been consistently oligotrophic since monitoring by DWR began in 1981.

LAKE & RESERVOIR ASSESSMENTS

HUC 06010203

Bear Creek Reservoir



<i>Ambient Lakes Program Name</i>	Bear Creek Reservoir	
<i>Trophic Status (NC TSI)</i>	Oligotrophic	
<i>Mean Depth (meters)</i>	33.0	
<i>Volume (10⁶ m³)</i>	5.60	
<i>Watershed Area (mi²)</i>	194	
<i>Classification</i>	WS-III B Tr	
<i>Stations</i>	LTN015B	LTN015D
<i>Number of Times Sampled</i>	5	5

Bear Creek Reservoir is a hydroelectric impoundment of the Tuckasegee River. Most of the 194 square kilometer upland drainage area is forested with steep slopes and clean, fast-moving streams. Bear Creek Lake was built in 1953 and is currently owned by Duke Energy.

DWR field staff monitored Bear Creek Reservoir five times from May through September in 2014. Surface dissolved oxygen ranged from 6.7 to 8.8 mg/L and surface water temperatures ranged from 20.4 C° to 25.8 C° (Appendix A). Surface pH values were between 14 and 17 s.u. and surface conductivity ranged from 14 to 17 µmhos/cm. Secchi depths for Bear Creek Reservoir ranged from 2.9 to 3.9 meters.

Nutrient concentrations in this reservoir were low. Total phosphorus was <0.02 mg/L as was ammonia (Appendix A). Total Kjeldahl nitrogen ranged from <0.02 to 0.28 mg/L and total organic nitrogen ranged from 0.09 to 0.19 mg/L. Chlorophyll a values were low (range = 2.0 to 4.4 µg/L). The turbidity measurements for Bear Creek Reservoir ranged from <1.0 to 1.6 NTU. This reservoir was determined to exhibit very low biological productivity (oligotrophic) in 2014 based on the calculated NCTSI scores for May through September and has been oligotrophic since it was first monitored by DWR in 1988.

Cedar Cliff Reservoir



<i>Ambient Lakes Program Name</i>	Cedar Cliff Reservoir	
<i>Trophic Status (NC TSI)</i>	Oligotrophic	
<i>Mean Depth (meters)</i>	27.1	
<i>Volume (10⁶ m³)</i>	7.20	
<i>Watershed Area (mi²)</i>	210	
<i>Classification</i>	WS-III B Tr	
<i>Stations</i>	LTN015F	LTN015H
<i>Number of Times Sampled</i>	5	5

Cedar Cliff Reservoir is a picturesque mountain lake on the Tuckasegee River. The lake is owned by Duke Energy and was built in 1952. The volume of the lake is $7.2 \times 10^6 \text{m}^3$ with a maximum depth of 53 meters. The watershed for this reservoir is mostly forested. Recreational activities at lake include swimming, boating, and trout fishing.

This lake was sampled monthly from May through September by DWR staff. Secchi depths in 2014 ranged from 2.3 to 4.2 meters (Appendix A). Surface dissolved oxygen ranged from 6.5 to 9.0 mg/L and surface water temperatures ranged from 20.6 C° to 26.0 C°. The lowest surface pH values was observed in May (6.5 s.u.) and the highest value occurred in September (7.4 s.u.). Surface conductivity ranged from 19 to 22 $\mu\text{mhos/cm}$.

Total phosphorus in Cedar Cliff Reservoir was <0.02 mg/L and total Kjeldahl nitrogen ranged from <0.02 to 0.23 mg/L (Appendix A). Total organic nitrogen ranged from 0.09 to 0.22 mg/L. Chlorophyll a values in this reservoir ranged from 1.6 to 4.3 $\mu\text{g/L}$ and turbidity ranged from <1.0 to 2.0 NTU. Cedar Cliff Reservoir had very low biological productivity or oligotrophic conditions in 2014 based on the calculated NCTSI scores and has been oligotrophic since monitoring by DWR began in 1988.

Wolf Creek Reservoir



Ambient Lakes Program Name	Wolf Creek Reservoir	
Trophic Status (NC TSI)	Oligotrophic	
Mean Depth (meters)	27.1	
Volume ($10^6 m^3$)	2.10	
Watershed Area (mi^2)	104	
Classification	WS-III B Tr	
Stations	LTN015A	LTN015A1
Number of Times Sampled	4	4

Wolf Creek Reservoir, a small hydroelectric reservoir built by Nantahala Power and Light Company in 1955 on the Tuckasegee River, is currently owned by Duke Energy. Wolf Creek Reservoir has a forested watershed. The shoreline of the lake has a relatively low density of private homes.

This reservoir was sampled four times in 2014 by DWR field staff (Appendix A). Secchi depths ranged from 3.1 to 7.5 meters, indicating very good water clarity. Surface dissolved oxygen ranged from 6.6 to 8.2 mg/L and surface water temperatures ranged from 21.8 C° to 25.9 C°. Surface conductivity ranged from 13 to 15 μ mhos/cm and surface pH values ranged from 6.5 s.u. in May to 7.3 s.u. in June.

Nutrient concentrations in Wolf Creek Reservoir were low. Total phosphorus, ammonia and nitrite plus nitrate were below DWR Laboratory detection levels (Appendix A). Total Kjeldahl nitrogen ranged from <0.20 to 0.20 mg/L and total organic nitrogen ranged from 0.09 to 0.19 mg/L. Chlorophyll a values were low and ranged from 1.1 to 5.8 μ g/L. Wolf Creek Reservoir was determined to have very low biological productivity (oligotrophic conditions) in 2014 based on the calculated NCTSI scores. This reservoir has been oligotrophic since it was first monitored by DWR in 1988.

Thorpe Reservoir



<i>Ambient Lakes Program Name</i>	Thorpe Reservoir			
<i>Trophic Status (NC TSI)</i>	Oligotrophic			
<i>Mean Depth (meters)</i>	23.2			
<i>Volume (10⁶ m³)</i>	82.6			
<i>Watershed Area (mi²)</i>	96.0			
<i>Classification</i>	WS-III B Tr HQW			
<i>Stations</i>	LTN015L	LTN015N	LTN015P	LTN015R
<i>Number of Times Sampled</i>	5	5	5	5

Thorpe Reservoir, also known as Glenville Lake, is a man-made impoundment on the Tuckasegee River in Jackson County, NC. The lake is used for recreational fishing, swimming, and boating. Owned by Duke Energy, the reservoir also has been used for hydroelectric power generation since its construction in 1941. Volume of the lake is 82.6 x 10⁶m³ with a mean retention time of 294 days. Most of the 95 km² drainage area is forested with scattered residences. Tributaries include West Fork Tuckasegee River, Norton Creek, Hurricane Creek, Cedar Creek, Mill Creek, and Pine Creek.

Thorpe Reservoir was monitored monthly from May through September by DWR staff. Secchi depths for this reservoir ranged from 2.1 to 3.0 meters. Surface dissolved oxygen ranged from 7.0 mg/L in September to 9.6 mg/L in May and surface water temperatures ranged from 16.3 C° in May to 25.7 C° in July. Surface pH values ranged from 6.8 to 8.0 s.u. and surface conductivity ranged from 22 to 25 µmhos/cm. Secchi depths for Thorpe Reservoir in 2014 ranged from 2.1 to 3.0 meters.

Total phosphorus, ammonia and nitrite plus nitrate concentrations were below the DWR laboratory detection levels (Appendix A). Total Kjeldahl nitrogen ranged from 0.20 to 0.26 mg/L and total organic nitrogen ranged from 0.19 to 0.25 mg/L. Chlorophyll *a* values in 2014 ranged from 3.0 to 12.0 µg/L and did not exceed the state water quality standard of 15.0 µg/L for a lake designated as a Trout Water (Tr). Turbidity values were also well below the Trout Water limit of 10 NTU.

An Algal Growth Potential Test (AGPT) conducted by the Region 4 EPA Laboratory on water samples collected by DWR field staff on August 20, 2014 determined that algal growth in Thorpe Lake was limited by the concentration of the nutrient, phosphorus, in the lake water (Table 1).

Table 1. Algal Growth Potential Test, Thorpe Reservoir, August 20, 2014.

Station	Maximum Standing Crop, Dry Weight (mg/L)			Limiting Nutrient
	Control	C+N	C+P	
LTN015L	0.09	0.08	0.28	Phosphorus
LTN015N	0.08	0.06	0.14	Phosphorus

Freshwater AGPT using Selenastrum capricornutum as test alga

C+N = Control + 1.0 mg/L Nitrate-N

C+P = Control + 0.05 mg/L Phosphate-P

Based on the calculated NCTSI scores, Thorpe Reservoir was determined to exhibit very low biological productivity or oligotrophic conditions. This reservoir has been consistently oligotrophic since it was first monitored by DWR staff in 1988.

LAKE & RESERVOIR ASSESSMENTS

HUC 06010204

Fontana Lake



Ambient Lakes Program Name	Fontana Lake				
Trophic Status (NC TSI)	Oligotrophic				
Mean Depth (meters)	41.2				
Volume ($10^6 m^3$)	1782.0				
Watershed Area (mi^2)	4020				
Classification	WS-IV B CA				
Stations	LTN031A	LTN031B	LTN031D	LTN031H	LTN031J
Number of Times Sampled	5	5	5	5	5

Fontana Lake, located along the southern boundary of the Great Smoky Mountain National Park, provides hydropower power and flood control on the Little Tennessee River. This reservoir is owned by the Federal Government and operated by the Tennessee Valley Authority. Construction on the dam began in 1942 and was completed in 1944. At a height of over 480 feet, the Fontana Dam is the highest dam east of the Mississippi River.

Fontana Lake was sampled monthly from May through September 2014 by DWR field staff. Secchi depths ranged from 3.5 to 8.0 meters in 2014 (Appendix A). Surface dissolved oxygen ranged from 7.2 to 8.8 mg/L and surface water temperatures ranged from 20.0 C° to 28.1 C°. Surface conductivity ranged from 24 to 28 μ mhos/cm and surface pH ranged from 7.2 to 8.6 s.u.

Nutrient concentrations in Fontana Lake were low. Total phosphorus was <0.02 mg/L and total Kjeldahl nitrogen ranged from <0.02 to 0.02 mg/L. Total organic nitrogen ranged from 0.09 to 0.21 mg/L. Chlorophyll a values were also low, ranging from 1.1 to 4.8 μ g/L. Lake turbidity measurements ranged from <1.0 to 2.4 NTU. Fontana Lake was oligotrophic (exhibited low biological productivity) in 2014 based on the NCTSI scores for May through September and has remained oligotrophic since monitoring by DWR began in 1981.

Lake Cheoah



<i>Ambient Lakes Program Name</i>	Lake Cheoah		
<i>Trophic Status (NC TSI)</i>	Oligotrophic		
<i>Mean Depth (meters)</i>	40.0		
<i>Volume (10⁶ m³)</i>	287.5		
<i>Watershed Area (mi²)</i>	4165		
<i>Classification</i>	C Tr		
<i>Stations</i>	LTN032B	LTN032D	LTN032F
<i>Number of Times Sampled</i>	2	2	2

Lake Cheoah was originally constructed by the Aluminum Company of America (ALCOA) and is currently owned by Tallesee Power Company (TAPOCO). This is a narrow and deep impoundment of the Little Tennessee River on the North Carolina/Tennessee border. Inflow to this lake is dominated by the hypolimnetic discharge from Fontana Lake, located directly upstream. The upstream portion of the lake flows swiftly in response to this water release from Fontana Dam and water temperatures in Lake Cheoah are generally low.

Lake Cheoah was monitored by DWR field staff in May and October 2014. Secchi depths ranged from 4.5 to 10.0 meters in May and from 4.0 to 7.0 meters in October (Appendix A). The greatest surface dissolved oxygen values were observed in May (range = 9.7 to 10.1 mg/L) and coincided with the low surface water temperatures which ranged from 7.1 C° to 17.2 C°. Surface dissolved oxygen concentrations in October were lower, ranging from 6.1 to 7.1 mg/L and surface water temperatures ranged from 13.5 C° to 16.6 C°. Surface pH in Lake Cheoah ranged from 7.0 to 7.9 s.u. and surface conductivity ranged from 22 to 27 µmhos/cm.

Nutrient concentrations were low in Lake Cheoah in 2014. Total phosphorus was less than the DWR Laboratory detection level of 0.02 mg/L and total Kjeldahl nitrogen was at or below detection level (Appendix A). Total organic nitrogen ranged from 0.09 to 0.19 mg/L. In response to the low nutrient concentrations, chlorophyll *a* values were also low, ranging from <1.0 to 2.6 µg/L. Nutrient and chlorophyll *a* concentrations observed in 2014 were similar to those previously recorded for this reservoir by DWR. Based on the calculated NCTSI scores, Lake Cheoah was determined to have very low biological productivity (oligotrophic conditions). This reservoir has been oligotrophic since it was first monitored by DWR in 1988.

Santeetlah Lake



Ambient Lakes Program Name	Santeetlah Lake		
Trophic Status (NC TSI)	Oligotrophic		
Mean Depth (meters)	17.1		
Volume ($10^6 m^3$)	195.0		
Watershed Area (mi^2)	451		
Classification	B Tr		
Stations	LTN037B	LTN037D	LTN037E
Number of Times Sampled	5	5	5

Santeetlah Lake is located on the Cheoah River in the mountains of western North Carolina and is owned by the Aluminum Company of America (ALCOA). This reservoir is used for hydroelectric power generation as well as providing recreational uses. Santeetlah Lake is a deep lake with a maximum depth of 213 feet (65 meters) and a mean hydraulic retention time of 161 days. Major tributaries to Santeetlah Lake include the Cheoah River, Santeetlah Creek, West Buffalo Creek and Snowbird Creek. The watershed consists of rugged, mountainous terrain, almost all of which is forested.

Santeetlah Lake was monitored monthly from May through August and in October 2014 by DWR field staff. Secchi depths ranged from 2.8 to 5.9 meters, with the lowest Secchi depths frequently observed in the Cheoah River arm of the lake (LTN037B; Appendix A). Surface dissolved oxygen ranged from 6.7 to 8.9 mg/L and surface water temperatures ranged from 21.1 C° to 28.0 C°. Surface pH values ranged from 6.7 to 7.7 s.u. and surface conductivity ranged from 21 to 26 μ mhos/cm.

Total phosphorus and ammonia concentrations were less than the DWR Laboratory detection levels in 2014 (Appendix A). Total organic nitrogen ranged from 0.09 mg/L near the dam (LTN037E) in June to 0.31 mg/L at all three sampling sites in October. Chlorophyll a values were low, ranging from 2.5 to 6.9 μ g/L. Turbidity values were also low (range = <1.0 to 3.1 NTU).

The Region 4, EPA Laboratory on water samples collected by DWR field staff on July 23, 2014 (Table 2), conducted an Algal Growth Potential Test (AGPT). Algal growth at the lake site located near the middle of the lake that was sampled indicated that algal growth was limited by the availability of phosphorus.

Table 2. Algal Growth Potential Test, Lake Santeetlah, July 23, 2014.

Station	Maximum Standing Crop, Dry Weight (mg/L)			Limiting Nutrient
	Control	C+N	C+P	
LTN037D	0.12	0.08	0.22	Phosphorus

Freshwater AGPT using Selenastrum capricornutum as test alga

C+N = Control + 1.0 mg/L Nitrate-N

C+P = Control + 0.05 mg/L Phosphate-P

Santeetlah Lake had very low biological productivity or oligotrophic conditions in 2014 based on calculated NCTSI scores for the months it was sampled. This reservoir has been consistently oligotrophic since it was first monitored by DWR in 1981.

Calderwood Lake



Ambient Lakes Program Name	Calderwood Lake	
Trophic Status (NC TSI)	Oligotrophic	
Mean Depth (meters)	29.0	
Volume (10⁶ m³)	1.60	
Watershed Area (mi²)	4807	
Classification	C Tr	
Stations	LTN040	LTN041
Number of Times Sampled	2	2

Calderwood Lake was completed in 1930 by the Aluminum Company of America (ALCOA) for hydropower production for their plant in Tennessee. This reservoir is currently owned by a subsidiary of ALCOA known as the Tallassee Power Company (TAPOCO). Located at the edge of the Great Smokey Mountains on the North Carolina/Tennessee border, Calderwood Lake is a narrow, but deeply channeled reservoir surrounded forests. The Little Tennessee River (Lake Cheoah) is the major inflow to this reservoir.

DWR field staff sampled Calderwood Lake in May and October 2014. Surface dissolved oxygen ranged from 6.5 to 9.4 mg/L and surface water temperature ranged from 13.7 C° to 18.2 C° (Appendix A). The lowest surface dissolved oxygen and water temperature readings were observed in October at the most upstream sampling site (LTN040). Surface pH values ranged from 7.0 to 7.9 s.u and surface conductivity ranged from 22 to 26 µmhos/cm. Secchi depths for Calderwood Lake ranged from 4.0 to 10.3 meters at LTN040.

Nutrient concentration in 2014 were low. Total phosphorus, total Kjeldahl nitrogen and ammonia were at or below DWR Laboratory detection levels (Appendix A). Total organic nitrogen ranged from 0.09 to 0.19 mg/L. Chlorophyll a values were low in response to the limited availability of nutrients and ranged from <1.0 to 4.2 µg/L. Based on the calculated NCTSI scores, Calderwood Lake was determined to be oligotrophic (i.e., exhibiting low biological productivity). This reservoir has remained oligotrophic since monitoring by DWR staff began in 1981.

Appendix A - Little Tennessee River Basin Lake Data
January 1, 2010 Through December 31, 2014

Lake	Date	SURFACE PHYSICAL DATA							PHOTIC ZONE DATA										Total Solids mg/L	Total Suspended Solids mg/L	Turbidity NTU	Total Hardnes mg/L
		Sampling Station	DO mg/L	Temp Water C	pH s.u.	Cond. umhos/cm	Depth Secchi meters	Percent SAT	TP mg/L	TKN mg/L	NH3 mg/L	NOx mg/L	TN mg/L	TON mg/L	TIN mg/L	Chla ug/L						
HUC 06010202																						
LAKE SEQUOYAH	September 23, 2014	LTN006C	6.4	19.9	7.5	43	1.6	74.1%	0.05	0.49	0.04	0.12	0.61	0.45	0.16	4.6	80.0	25.0	10.0			
	September 23, 2014	LTN008C	6.3	19.9	7.6	40	1.6	72.9%	0.02	0.34	0.04	0.11	0.45	0.30	0.15	3.8	36.0	<6.2	3.7			
	September 23, 2014	LTN008E	6.2	20.3	7.4	37	1.6	71.8%	0.02	0.32	0.04	0.09	0.41	0.28	0.13	5.2		<6.2	2.3	10.0		
	August 20, 2014	LTN006C	5.6	22.6		45	1.3	64.8%	0.02	0.33	0.02	0.10	0.43	0.31	0.12	3.7		<6.2	3.1			
	August 20, 2014	LTN008C	6.4	22.7		41	1.3	74.2%	0.02	0.26	<0.02	0.09	0.35	0.25	0.10	4.1	36.0	<6.2	2.4			
	August 20, 2014	LTN008E	6.3	22.4		40	2.0	72.6%	0.02	0.24	0.06	0.06	0.30	0.18	0.12	4.8	39.0	<6.2	2.4	9.0		
	July 8, 2014	LTN006C	7.5	25.0	7.2	44	1.4	90.8%	0.02	0.38	<0.02	0.08	0.46	0.37	0.09	12.0	70.0		3.9			
	July 8, 2014	LTN008C	7.9	24.2	7.1	40	1.4	94.2%	0.02	0.35	<0.02	0.04	0.39	0.34	0.05	24.0	64.0		3.9			
	July 8, 2014	LTN008E	7.5	23.6	7.2	37	1.4	88.5%	0.02	0.29	<0.02	0.05	0.34	0.27	0.07	16.0	32.0		2.4	10.0		
	June 3, 2014	LTN006C	7.6	20.8	6.6	45	1.4	84.9%	0.16	0.85	0.06	0.14	0.99	0.79	0.20	7.9	120.0	77.0	50.0			
	June 3, 2014	LTN008C	7.9	20.2	6.8	38	1.4	87.3%	0.06	0.41	0.02	0.11	0.52	0.39	0.13	7.6	104.0	32.0	16.0			
	June 3, 2014	LTN008E	8.0	18.9	6.7	34	1.4	86.1%	0.02	0.31	<0.02	0.09	0.40	0.30	0.10	9.9	31.0	<6.2	3.1	8.0		
	May 6, 2014	LTN006C	7.5	20.3	7.3	47	1.5	83.0%	0.02	0.30	0.03	0.16	0.46	0.27	0.19	3.3	186.0		2.6			
	May 6, 2014	LTN008C	8.7	19.5	7.0	41	1.8	94.8%	0.02	0.22	<0.02	0.13	0.35	0.21	0.14	5.9	39.0	8.2	3.3			
	May 6, 2014	LTN008E	8.5	25.5	6.4	30	2.1	103.8%	<0.02	0.24	<0.02	0.10	0.34	0.23	0.11	13.0		<6.2	2.5	8.0		
	HUC 06010203																					
	NANTAHALA LAKE	September 23, 2014	LTN013B	6.7	23.7	7.4	16	5.0	79.2%	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.11	0.09	0.02	2.5	21.0	<6.2	2.7		
		September 23, 2014	LTN013C	7.2	23.6	7.5	16	4.5	84.9%	<0.02	0.20	<0.02	<0.02	0.21	0.19	0.02	2.2	20.0	<6.2	2.5		
September 23, 2014		LTN013D	6.9	24.0	7.2	17	6.0	82.0%	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.11	0.09	0.02	2.9	20.0	<6.2	1.9			
August 12, 2014		LTN013B	7.3	25.0	7.6	17	5.0	88.4%	<0.02	<0.20	<0.02	<0.02	0.11	0.09	0.02	1.7	14.0	<6.2	2.7			
August 12, 2014		LTN013C	7.4	25.1	7.7	17	5.0	89.7%	<0.02	<0.20	<0.02	<0.02	0.11	0.09	0.02	1.7	<12	<6.2	2.2			
August 12, 2014		LTN013D	7.3	25.2	7.6	17	5.0	88.7%	<0.02	<0.20	<0.02	<0.02	0.11	0.09	0.02	1.8	13.0	<6.2	2.2			
July 22, 2014		LTN013B	7.5	24.8	7.5	16	4.7	90.5%	<0.02	<0.20	<0.02	<0.02	0.11	0.09	0.02	1.5	17.0		<1.0			
July 22, 2014		LTN013C	7.4	24.8	7.4	17	3.8	89.3%	<0.02	0.20	<0.02	<0.02	0.21	0.19	0.02	1.1	14.0	<6.2	<1.0			
July 22, 2014		LTN013D	7.3	25.1	7.5	16	5.2	88.5%	<0.02	<0.20	<0.02	<0.02	0.11	0.09	0.02	1.8	44.0		<1.0			
June 17, 2014		LTN013B	7.6	24.7	7.5	17	9.0	91.5%	<0.02	<0.20	<0.02	<0.02	0.11	0.09	0.02	1.1	12.0		1.7			
June 17, 2014		LTN013C	7.5	24.9	7.5	17	8.0	90.6%	<0.02	<0.20	<0.02	<0.02	0.11	0.09	0.02	<1.0	30.0		1.7			
June 17, 2014		LTN013D	7.4	25.0	7.4	16	8.0	89.6%	<0.02	<0.20	<0.02	<0.02	0.11	0.09	0.02	1.2			<1.0			
May 6, 2014		LTN013B	8.5	18.1	7.5	16	7.0	90.0%	<0.02	<0.20	<0.02	<0.02	0.11	0.09	0.02	<1.0	18.0	<6.2	2.6			
May 6, 2014		LTN013C	8.7	16.7	7.5	16	7.0	89.5%	<0.02	<0.20	<0.02	<0.02	0.11	0.09	0.02	1.2	48.0	<6.2	2.0			
May 6, 2014		LTN013D	8.4	18.2	7.3	16	9.0	89.1%	<0.02	<0.20	<0.02	0.02	0.12	0.09	0.03	<1.0	17.0	<6.2	1.5			
HUC 06010203																						
BEAR CREEK RESERVOIR		September 24, 2014	LTN015B	7.2	22.1	7.4	17	3.8	82.5%	<0.02	0.28	<0.02	<0.02	0.29	0.27	0.02	4.4	22.0	<6.2	<1.0		
		September 24, 2014	LTN015D	7.0	22.6	7.4	17	3.9	81.0%	<0.02	0.20	<0.02	<0.02	0.21	0.19	0.02	4.3	28.0	<6.2	<1.0	5.0	
	August 19, 2014	LTN015B	6.7	25.7		17	3.5	82.2%	<0.02	<0.20	<0.02	<0.02	0.11	0.09	0.02	2.4	16.0	<6.2	<1.0			
	August 19, 2014	LTN015D	6.8	25.8		17	3.5	83.5%	<0.02	<0.20	<0.02	<0.02	0.11	0.09	0.02	2.0	16.0	<6.2	<1.0	4.0		
	July 9, 2014	LTN015B	7.8	24.9	6.9	17	2.9	94.2%	<0.02	0.20	<0.02	<0.02	0.21	0.19	0.02	3.1	21.0	<6.2	1.6			
	July 9, 2014	LTN015D	7.6	24.7	7.1	16	3.3	91.5%	<0.02	0.20	<0.02	<0.02	0.21	0.19	0.02	2.4	50.0	<6.2	1.2	5.0		
	June 4, 2014	LTN015B	8.2	22.9	7.3	15	3.7	95.4%	<0.02	<0.20	<0.02	0.02	0.12	0.09	0.03	4.0	20.0	<6.2	1.0			
	June 4, 2014	LTN015D	8.3	23.4	7.2	16	3.8	97.5%	<0.02	<0.20	<0.02	<0.02	0.21	0.19	0.02	2.9	21.0	<6.2	<1.0	5.0		
	May 14, 2013	LTN015B	8.8	20.4	6.4	14	3.1	97.6%	<0.02	<0.20	<0.02	0.04	0.14	0.09	0.05	3.9	20.0		1.3			
	May 14, 2013	LTN015D	8.1	22.1	6.5	15	3.1	92.8%	<0.02	0.20	<0.02	0.02	0.22	0.19	0.03	3.4	18.0	<6.2	<1.0	4.0		
	HUC 06010203																					
	CEDAR CLIFF RESERVOIR	September 24, 2014	LTN015F	6.6	21.7	7.4	19	4.2	75.1%	<0.02	0.20	<0.02	0.04	0.24	0.19	0.05	2.6	18.0	<6.2	1.3		
September 24, 2014		LTN015H	6.5	22.0	7.3	19	4.2	74.4%	<0.02	0.20	<0.02	0.06	0.26	0.19	0.07	2.3	34.0	<6.2	<1.0	5.0		
August 19, 2014		LTN015F	6.9	25.8		19	2.9	84.8%	<0.02	0.23	<0.02	<0.02	0.24	0.22	0.02	3.4	20.0		<1.0			
August 19, 2014		LTN015H	7.0	26.0		19	4.5	86.3%	<0.02	0.20	<0.02	<0.02	0.21	0.18	0.03	2.3	16.0		<1.0	5.0		
July 9, 2014		LTN015F	7.6	24.2	7.2	19	2.3	90.6%	<0.02	0.20	<0.02	<0.02	0.21	0.19	0.02	4.2	19.0	<6.2	1.7			
July 9, 2014		LTN015H	8.0	23.6	7.2	19	3.9	94.4%	<0.02	0.20	<0.02	<0.02	0.21	0.19	0.02	1.8	24.0	<6.2	<1.0	3.0		
June 4, 2014		LTN015F	8.0	21.5	7.3	21	3.6	95.2%	<0.02	<0.20	<0.02	0.05	0.15	0.09	0.06	1.6	20.0	<6.2	<1.0			
June 4, 2014		LTN015H	8.4	22.6	7.2	21	4.0	104.2%	<0.02	0.20	<0.02	0.03	0.23	0.19	0.04	4.3	21.0	<6.2	<1.0	7.0		
May 14, 2013		LTN015F	8.4	20.6	6.5	22	3.3	93.5%	<0.02	0.20	<0.02	0.05	0.25	0.19	0.06	2.2	20.0	<6.2	2.0			
May 14, 2013		LTN015H	8.3	21.5	6.8	21	3.3	94.0%	<0.02	0.10	<0.02	0.04	0.14	0.09	0.05	2.9	22.0	<6.2		6.0		
HUC 06010203																						
WOLF CREEK RESERVOIR		August 19, 2014	LTN015A	6.7	25.9		15	5.2	82.5%	<0.02	<0.20	<0.02	<0.02	0.11	0.09	0.02	1.6	14.0	<6.2	<1.0		
	August 19, 2014	LTN015A1	6.6	25.2		15	7.5	80.2%	<0.02	<0.20	<0.02	<0.02	0.11	0.09	0.02	1.1	14.0		<1.0	4.0		
	July 9, 2014	LTN015A	7.5	25.0	7.2	14	3.7	90.8%	<0.02	<0.20	<0.02	<0.02	0.11	0.09	0.02	2.9	60.0		1.7			
	July 9, 2014	LTN015A1	7.8	24.9	6.9	14	4.0	94.2%	<0.02	0.20	<0.02	<0.02	0.21	0.19	0.02	2.7	20.0		1.1	4.0		
	June 4, 2014	LTN015A	8.2	22.7	7.2	15	4.2	95.1%	<0.02	<0.20	<0.02	<0.02	0.11	0.09	0.02	2.5	19.0	<6.2	<1.0			
	June 4, 2014	LTN015A1	8.0	22.5	7.3	14	4.8	92.4%	<0.02	0.20	<0.02	<0.02	0.21	0.19	0.02	5.8	19.0	<6.2	<1.0	5.0		
	May 14, 2013	LTN015A	8.1	21.9	6.7	13	3.1	92.5%	<0.02													

Appendix A - Little Tennessee River Basin Lake Data
January 1, 2010 Through December 31, 2014

Lake	SURFACE PHYSICAL DATA								PHOTIC ZONE DATA								Total Solids mg/L	Total Suspended Solids mg/L	Turbidity NTU	Total Hardnes mg/L
	Date	Sampling Station	DO mg/L	Temp Water C	pH s.u.	Cond. umhos/cm	Depth Secchi meters	Percent SAT	TP mg/L	TKN mg/L	NH3 mg/L	NOx mg/L	TN mg/L	TON mg/L	TIN mg/L	Chla ug/L				
THORPE RESERVOIR	September 23, 2014	LTN015L	7.1	22.9	7.8	24	2.7	82.6%	<0.02	0.20	<0.02	<0.02	0.21	0.19	0.02	5.4	26.0	<6.2	1.7	
	September 23, 2014	LTN015N	7.4	23.2	7.9	25	2.8	86.6%	<0.02	0.21	<0.02	<0.02	0.21	0.19	0.02	5.0	13.0	<6.2	1.5	
	September 23, 2014	LTN015P	7.0	22.9	7.9	24	2.9	81.5%	<0.02	0.20	<0.02	<0.02	0.21	0.19	0.02	4.7	13.0		1.5	
	September 23, 2014	LTN015R	7.2	22.9	8.0	24	2.9	83.8%	<0.02	0.22	<0.02	<0.02	0.21	0.19	0.02	5.0	20.0	<6.2	1.8	6.0
	August 20, 2014	LTN015L	6.8	25.1		23	2.8	82.5%	<0.02	0.20	<0.02	<0.02	0.21	0.19	0.02	3.4	20.0	<6.2	1.3	
	August 20, 2014	LTN015N	6.9	24.9		24	2.6	83.4%	<0.02	0.21	<0.02	<0.02	0.22	0.20	0.02	3.8		<6.2	1.4	
	August 20, 2014	LTN015P	6.8	25.0		24	2.5	82.3%	<0.02	0.24	<0.02	<0.02	0.25	0.23	0.02	4.3	50.0	<6.2	1.5	
	August 20, 2014	LTN015R	6.9	25.3		23	2.4	84.0%	<0.02	0.24	<0.02	<0.02	0.25	0.23	0.02	3.0	22.0	<6.2	1.5	5.0
	July 8, 2014	LTN015L	7.8	25.2	7.6	23	2.3	94.8%	<0.02	0.22	<0.02	<0.02	0.23	0.21	0.02	5.1	26.0		2.0	
	July 8, 2014	LTN015N	7.7	25.7	6.8	24	2.3	94.4%	<0.02	0.20	<0.02	<0.02	0.21	0.19	0.02	4.9	26.0	<6.2	1.9	
	July 8, 2014	LTN015P	7.7	25.6	7.0	23	2.1	94.2%	<0.02	0.20	<0.02	<0.02	0.21	0.19	0.02	6.1	25.0	<6.2	2.3	
	July 8, 2014	LTN015R	7.8	25.4	7.1	23	2.3	95.1%	<0.02	0.24	<0.02	<0.02	0.25	0.23	0.02	5.9	26.0		2.1	5.0
	June 3, 2014	LTN015L	8.2	22.4	7.4	23	2.5	94.5%	<0.02	0.20	<0.02	<0.02	0.21	0.19	0.02	5.1	22.0	<6.2	1.7	
	June 3, 2014	LTN015N	8.2	22.3	7.4	23	2.5	94.4%	<0.02	0.20	<0.02	<0.02	0.21	0.19	0.02	3.6	23.0		1.5	
	June 3, 2014	LTN015P	8.2	22.4	7.3	23	3.0	94.5%	<0.02	0.21	<0.02	<0.02	0.22	0.20	0.02	4.2	39.0	<6.2	1.6	
	June 3, 2014	LTN015R	8.1	23.1	7.5	24	2.3	94.6%	<0.02	0.20	<0.02	<0.02	0.21	0.19	0.02	4.2	27.0		1.8	5.0
	May 6, 2014	LTN015L	9.1	17.4	6.9	22	2.7	95.0%	<0.02	0.21	<0.02	<0.02	0.22	0.20	0.02	12.0	19.0	<6.2	1.3	
	May 6, 2014	LTN015N	9.6	16.9	7.1	23	3.0	99.2%	<0.02	0.20	<0.02	<0.02	0.22	0.19	0.03	11.0	22.0	<6.2	1.3	
	May 6, 2014	LTN015P	9.5	17.0	6.9	22	2.7	98.3%	<0.02	0.24	<0.02	<0.02	0.26	0.23	0.03	8.9	23.0	<6.2	1.5	
	May 6, 2014	LTN015R	9.2	16.3	7.0	22	2.8	93.8%	<0.02	0.26	<0.02	<0.02	0.29	0.25	0.04	11.0	20.0	<6.2	1.6	5.0
HUC 06010204																				
LAKE FONTANA	September 30, 2014	LTN031A	7.2	25.8	7.4	27	3.8	88.4%	<0.02	0.20	<0.02	<0.02	0.21	0.19	0.02	4.5	26.0	<6.2	2.4	
	September 30, 2014	LTN031B	7.4	25.8	7.5	28	3.9	90.9%	<0.02	0.20	<0.02	<0.02	0.21	0.19	0.02	4.8	29.0	<6.2	1.6	
	September 30, 2014	LTN031D	7.6	25.3	7.4	27	5.7	92.5%	<0.02	0.20	<0.02	<0.02	0.21	0.19	0.02	3.8	26.0	<6.2	1.2	
	September 30, 2014	LTN031H	7.4	24.7	7.8	25	5.7	89.1%	<0.02	0.20	<0.02	<0.02	0.21	0.19	0.02	3.4	21.0	<6.2	1.8	
	September 30, 2014	LTN031J	7.7	24.7	7.5	24	5.7	92.7%	<0.02	<0.20	<0.02	<0.02	0.11	0.09	0.02	3.0	26.0	<6.2	2.0	6.9
	August 12, 2014	LTN031A	7.8	28.1	8.3	27	3.9	99.9%	<0.02	<0.20	<0.02	<0.02	0.11	0.09	0.02	2.6	20.0	<6.2	<1.0	
	August 12, 2014	LTN031B	8.0	27.4	8.2	27	4.5	101.1%	<0.02	<0.20	<0.02	<0.02	0.11	0.09	0.02	1.5	27.0	<6.2	<1.0	
	August 12, 2014	LTN031D	7.7	27.2	7.8	26	4.5	97.0%	<0.02	<0.20	<0.02	<0.02	0.11	0.09	0.02	3.4	26.0	<6.2	1.8	
	August 12, 2014	LTN031H	7.7	26.5	7.8	24	5.0	95.8%	<0.02	<0.20	<0.02	<0.02	0.11	0.09	0.02	1.9	22.0	<6.2	<1.0	
	August 12, 2014	LTN031J	7.6	26.6	7.7	24	5.9	94.7%	<0.02	0.22	<0.02	<0.02	0.23	0.21	0.02	1.7	27.0	<6.2	<1.0	7.0
	July 23, 2014	LTN031A	7.9	28.0	7.8	26	4.1	101.0%	<0.02	0.20	<0.02	<0.02	0.22	0.19	0.03	3.1	20.0	<6.2	<1.0	
	July 23, 2014	LTN031B	7.8	27.8	7.6	28	4.5	99.3%	<0.02	<0.20	<0.02	<0.02	0.11	0.09	0.02	2.7	21.0	<6.2	<1.0	
	July 23, 2014	LTN031D	7.8	27.6	7.7	26	5.6	99.0%	<0.02	0.20	<0.02	<0.02	0.21	0.19	0.02	3.2	19.0	<6.2	<1.0	
	July 23, 2014	LTN031H	7.6	27.3	7.5	25	6.0	95.9%	<0.02	<0.20	<0.02	<0.02	0.11	0.09	0.02	1.8	20.0	<6.2	<1.0	
	July 23, 2014	LTN031J	7.9	27.0	7.4	24	6.2	99.2%	<0.02	<0.20	<0.02	<0.02	0.11	0.09	0.02	1.8	17.0	<6.2	<1.0	7.0
	June 24, 2012	LTN031A	8.0	27.4	8.6	26	4.8	101.1%	<0.02	<0.20	<0.02	0.05	0.15	0.09	0.06	4.7	30.0		<1.0	
	June 24, 2012	LTN031B	7.8	27.2	8.3	25	4.8	98.3%	<0.02	0.20	<0.02	0.05	0.25	0.19	0.06	3.0	32.0		<1.0	
	June 24, 2012	LTN031D	7.6	26.6	7.6	24	4.8	94.7%	<0.02	0.20	<0.02	<0.02	0.21	0.19	0.02	2.6	25.0		<1.0	
	June 24, 2012	LTN031H	7.5	25.8	7.4	24	4.8	92.1%	<0.02	0.20	<0.02	0.03	0.23	0.19	0.04	1.3	23.0	<6.2	<1.0	
	June 24, 2012	LTN031J	7.4	25.9	7.3	24	4.8	91.1%	<0.02	0.20	<0.02	<0.02	0.21	0.19	0.02	1.1	33.0	<6.2	<1.0	7.0
May 20, 2014	LTN031A	8.8	20.8	7.9	27	3.5	98.4%	<0.02	0.20	<0.02	0.06	0.26	0.19	0.07	2.7	28.0	<6.2	1.3		
May 20, 2014	LTN031B	8.6	20.0	7.9	27	4.5	94.6%	<0.02	0.20	<0.02	0.07	0.27	0.19	0.08	2.1	26.0	<6.2	1.9		
May 20, 2014	LTN031D	8.4	20.8	7.9	26	4.5	93.9%	<0.02	<0.20	<0.02	0.09	0.19	0.09	0.10		23.0	<6.2	<1.0		
May 20, 2014	LTN031H	8.4	20.1	7.7	25	8.0	92.6%	<0.02	<0.20	<0.02	0.10	0.20	0.09	0.11		21.0	<6.2	<1.0		
May 20, 2014	LTN031J	8.3	20.8	7.2	25	7.5	92.8%	<0.02	0.20	<0.02	0.09	0.29	0.19	0.10	1.4	18.0		1.3	7.0	
LAKE CHEOAH	October 1, 2014	LTN032B	6.3	13.5	7.2	26	4.5	60.5%	<0.02	<0.20	<0.02	0.18	0.28	0.09	0.19	<1.0	24.0	<6.2	1.4	
	October 1, 2014	LTN032D	6.1	13.7	7.9	26	6.0	58.8%	<0.02	<0.20	<0.02	0.18	0.28	0.09	0.19	<1.0	18.0	<6.2	1.8	
	October 1, 2014	LTN032F	7.1	16.6	7.1	25	10.0	72.9%	<0.02	<0.20	<0.02	0.17	0.27	0.09	0.18	<1.0	25.0	<6.2	<1.0	
	May 21, 2014	LTN032B	10.1	7.1	7.1	27	4.0	83.4%	<0.02	<0.20	<0.02	0.16	0.26	0.09	0.17	<1.0	20.0	<6.2	1.5	
	May 21, 2014	LTN032D	10.1	9.7	7.2	24	7.0	88.9%	<0.02	0.20	<0.02	0.15	0.35	0.19	0.16	<1.0	21.0	<6.2	1.2	
May 21, 2014	LTN032F	9.7	17.2	7.0	22	4.0	100.8%	<0.02	0.20	<0.02	0.11	0.31	0.19	0.12	2.6	22.0	<6.2	1.1		
SANTEETLAH LAKE	October 1, 2014	LTN037B	6.7	23.6	7.1	26	3.4	79.0%	<0.02	0.22	<0.02	<0.02	0.52	0.31	0.21	6.9	25.0	<6.2	1.9	
	October 1, 2014	LTN037D	7.6	23.3	7.2	22	3.3	89.1%	<0.02	0.20	<0.02	<0.02	0.52	0.31	0.21	5.8	23.0	<6.2	1.9	
	October 1, 2014	LTN037E	7.8	23.1	7.3	21	3.5	91.1%	<0.02	0.20	<0.02	<0.02	0.52	0.31	0.21	5.7	24.0	<6.2	1.8	
	August 11, 2014	LTN037B	7.8	28.0	7.7	24	2.8	99.7%	<0.02	0.20	<0.02	0.02	0.22	0.19	0.03	8.1	21.0	<6.2	<1.0	
	August 11, 2014	LTN037D	7.8	27.1	7.5	22	3.2	98.1%	<0.02	0.20	<0.02	<0.02	0.21	0.19	0.02	2.5	<12	<6.2	<1.0	
	August 11, 2014	LTN037E	7.7	26.8	7.3	21	4.5	96.3%	<0.02	0.20	<0.02	<0.02	0.21	0.19	0.02					