Study for the Ongoing Assessment of Water Quality in Jordan Lake 2013 Results

Purpose:

The objective of this study is to evaluate progress in reducing nutrient and nutrient related pollution in Jordan Lake, as required by the Jordan water supply nutrient strategy (15A NCAC 02B.0262). This report summarizes results of samples collected in 2013.

Methods:

The detailed study plan can be found at <u>http://portal.ncdenr.org/web/wq/fallsjordan</u>. A total of nine monitoring stations were sampled in Jordan Lake during 2013 that represent the three lake management areas, Upper New Hope, Lower New Hope, and Haw River. All stations were sampled twice per month from May through September, and once per month during all other months. Chemical samples were collected from the photic zone and analyzed for total phosphorus (TP), total nitrogen (TN), ammonia (NH₃), nitrate + nitrite (NO₃+NO₂), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), turbidity, and chlorophyll *a* (Chla). Duplicate samples were collected at one station per sampling event on a rotating schedule for quality control. Results for each duplicate sample were averaged and used as a single result for data analyzed in 2013. Physical measurements of dissolved oxygen (DO), temperature, pH and conductivity were collected through the water column in one meter (m) increments with a mulitparameter meter.

Results:

One year summary results are presented by station for each of the three management areas, Upper New Hope (Figure 1), Lower New Hope (Figure 2) and Haw River Arm (Figure 3). These figures show annual mean (average), minimum and maximum concentrations for TP, TN (mg/L), Chla (μ g/L), and turbidity (NTU) from the photic zone; DO (mg/L) and pH (s.u.) from a depth of 0.15 m (surface sample). Data summaries are calculated from seventeen sampling events (n = 17). Percent exceedance of state water quality standards are shown for each station with the respective percent confidence for 2013 samples. All nitrate + nitrite and ammonium data below detection (< 0.02 mg/L) were entered as 0.01 mg/L in order to calculate TN values.

CPF086C									
	n	ТР	ΤN	Chla	Turbidity	DO	рН		
Mean	17	0.08	1.11	50	18	8.8	7.8		
Min	17	0.06	0.97	24	8.4	5.7	6.3		
Max	17	0.11	1.43	73	27	12	9.4		
n > Stan	dard			10*	2	0	2		
% Exceedance			63%	12%	0%	12%			
% Confi	% Confidence				48%	n/a	48%		

CPF081A1C								
	n	TP	TN	Chla	Turbidity	DO	рН	
Mean	17	0.10	1.10	52	19	8.5	7.7	
Min	17	0.07	0.89	28	11	5.5	6.3	
Max	17	0.15	1.42	96	28	12	9.1	
n > Standard				11	3	0	1	
% Exceedance				65%	18%	0%	6%	
% Confi	dence			100%	76%	n/a	17%	

CPF086F									
	n	TP	ΤN	Chla	Turbidity	DO	рН		
Mean	17	0.08	1.01	41	13	8.4	7.7		
Min	17	0.06	0.78	22	6.5	4.9	5.9		
Max	17	0.13	1.41	84	21	12	8.7		
n > Stan	dard			7	0	0	1		
% Excee	dance			41%	0%	0%	6%		
% Confi	dence			100%	n/a	n/a	17%		

Figure 1. Upper New Hope section of Jordan Lake 2013 Results



Environmental Sciences Section

Division of Water Resources



Figure 2. Lower New Hope area of Jordan Lake 2013 Results

Figure 3. Haw River Arm of Jordan Lake 2013 Results

CPF055C								
	n	TP	TN	Chla	Turbidity	DO	рН	
Mean	17	0.08	1.22	31	12	9.4	8.2	
Min	17	0.06	0.98	7	4.6	6.7	6.6	
Max	17	0.14	1.78	63	39	12	9.4	
n > Stan	dard			6	2	0	3	
% Exceedance			35%	12%	0%	18%		
% Confi	dence			100%	48%	n/a	76%	

CPF055D										
	n	TP	TN	Chla	Turbidity	DO	рН			
Mean	17	0.07	1.17	29	8.9	8.9	8.0			
Min	17	0.01	0.91	8	4.1	6.9	6.3			
Max	17	0.12	1.61	43	22	12	9.2			
n > Standard			2	0	0	2				
% Exceedance				12%	0%	0%	12%			
% Confi	dence			48%	n/a	n/a	48%			

CPF055E									
	n	ТР	TN	Chla	Turbidity	DO	рН		
Mean	17	0.06	1.06	27	7.5	8.7	7.9		
Min	17	0.04	0.75	11	3.8	6.3	6.4		
Max	17	0.09	1.48	45	19	12	8.9		
n > Stan	dard			1*	0	0	0		
% Exceedance				6%	0%	0%	0%		
% Confi	dence			19%	n/a	n/a	n/a		



DO

7.9

4.4

13

0

0%

n/a

DO

8.4

5.7

12

0

0%

n/a

DO

8.1

4.8

12

0

0%

n/a

рΗ

7.4

6.5

7.9

0

0%

n/a

рΗ

7.5

6.7

8.4

0

0%

n/a

рΗ

7.7

6.1

8.6

0

0%

n/a

*Represents 16 chlorophyll *a* samples

Environmental Sciences Section