

TETRA TECH, INC.

Cape Fear Building, Suite 105 3200 Chapel Hill-Nelson Hwy. P.O. Box 14409

Research Triangle Park, NC 27709

Telephone: (919) 485-8278 Telefax: (919) 485-8280

MEMORANDUM

To: Todd Kennedy, Michelle Woolfolk (NC DWQ) Date: November 7, 2003

From: Jon Butcher Project: Jordan Lake

cc: Trevor Clements

Subject: Jordan Lake Additional Figures and Tables Pjn: 13108-01

In response to your request of October 24, we completed and analyzed a number of different runs for Jordan Lake nutrient response. In addition, there was a small error (barely perceptible) in the development of Figure 52 in the report, "Segments 14 and 15 Aggregated Response to Haw River Load", which is replaced.

The new figures are provided in an accompanying PowerPoint file, and corresponding tables are attached to the end of this memo. The eleven figures and tables are as follows:

- 1. **Lumped Segments 14 & 15 Response to Haw River Load Changes** (replacement). There was an error in the growing season portion (only) of Figure 52 in the report (season wasn't properly restricted). This has been corrected and redone, with only very minor changes in the result. The annual part of the existing Figure 52 was correct.
- 2. Lumped Segments 1-4 Response to New Hope, Northeast, and Morgan Creek Load Reductions (maximum growing season frequency of excursions). Created (from existing output) a new worst case figure and table for aggregated segments 1-4 (no volume weighting) showing worst growing season frequency of 1997-2001in response to reductions in Upper New Hope loads.
- 3. Lumped Segments 1-4 Response to New Hope, Northeast, and Morgan Creek Load Reductions (maximum annual frequency of excursions). Created (from existing output) a new worst case figure and table for aggregated segments 1-4 (no volume weighting) showing worst annual frequency of 1997-2001in response to reductions in Upper New Hope loads.
- 4. Lumped Segments 14 & 15 Response to Haw River Load Changes (maximum growing season frequency of excursions). Created (from existing output) a new worst case figure and table for aggregated segments 14+15 (no volume weighting) showing worst growing season frequency of 1997-2001in response to reductions in Haw River (only) load changes.
- 5. Lumped Segments 14 & 15 Response to Haw River Load Changes (maximum annual frequency of excursions). Created (from existing output) a new worst case figure and table for aggregated segments 14+15 (no volume weighting) showing worst annual frequency of 1997-2001in response to reductions in Haw River (only) load changes.

6. Lumped Segments 5 & 6 Response to New Hope, Northeast, and Morgan Creek Load Reductions (volume-weighted average growing season chlorophyll a concentrations).

Created concentration response figure and accompanying table (average growing season concentration) for aggregated segments 5-6, volume weighted, in response to Upper New Hope reductions. A new iteration set was created to produce this, in which volume weighting was applied as the results were tabulated. Segment volumes, calculated from the project GIS at normal pool and including any underlying segments, are shown in the following table:

Wasp	Surface Area	Average Depth	Volume
Segmt	(acres)	(feet)	(ac-ft)
1	599.63	3.00	1,798.88
2	846.60	9.00	7,329.88
3	657.81	3.00	1,973.44
4	1,206.60	10.87	12,997.00
5	843.03	13.25	10,428.09
6	1,103.40	19.33	20,004.06
7	633.05	13.43	8,906.77
8	668.41	22.25	14,350.32
9	773.00	20.62	15,691.29
10	345.17	10.40	3,957.47
11	1,167.15	11.17	12,813.04
12	2,097.36	26.50	53,631.19
13	597.55	24.33	14,382.42
14	922.96	31.77	28,392.48
15	867.42	11.94	10,392.27
Total	13,329.14	16.23	217,048.60

- 7. Lumped Segments 5 & 6 Response to Haw River Load Reductions (volume-weighted average growing season chlorophyll a concentrations). Created concentration response figure and accompanying table (average growing season concentration) for aggregated segments 5-6, volume weighted, in response to Haw River reductions. A new iteration set was created to produce this, in which volume weighting was applied as the results were tabulated.
- 8. Lumped Segments 8, 9, & 12 Response to New Hope, Northeast, and Morgan Creek Load Reductions (volume-weighted average growing season chlorophyll a concentrations).

 Created concentration response figure and accompanying table (average growing season concentration) for aggregated segments 8, 9, and 12, volume weighted, in response to Upper New Hope reductions.
- 9. Lumped Segments 8, 9, & 12 Response to Haw River Load Reductions (volume-weighted average growing season chlorophyll a concentrations). Created concentration response figure and accompanying table (average growing season concentration) for aggregated segments 8, 9, and 12, volume weighted, in response to Haw River load reductions.
- 10. Lumped Segments 1-4 Response to New Hope, Northeast, and Morgan Creek Load Reductions (volume-weighted average growing season frequency of excursions). Figure is an alternate view of the lumped response Figure 48 shown in the report. Frequencies of excursion of the 40 μg/L target were re-calculated with volume weighting, and are thus dominated by Segment 4. The recalculations were done with a new iteration set in which the count of excursions in each segment was weighted by the relative volume and the number of

- segments. A 100-percent frequency for a given time period would correspond to excursions in all four segments simultaneously.
- 11. Lumped Segments 14 & 15 Response to Haw River Load Changes (volume-weighted average growing season frequency of excursions). Figure is an alternate view of the lumped response Figure 52 shown in the report (and corrected with this memo). The recalculations were done with a new iteration set in which the count of excursions in each segment was weighted by the relative volume and the number of segments. A 100-percent frequency for a given time period would correspond to excursions in both segments simultaneously.

Results tables for each of the figures are reproduced below.

Table 1. Segments 14 and 15 Aggregated Response to Haw River Load (Growing Season Average) - *Updated Table B-22*

					Perce	nt of Ch	lorophy	II <i>a</i> Excι	ırsions						
	1.5	0.00	1.24	4.05	6.47	10.78	17.45	22.68	28.17	32.16	34.44	36.21			
	1.4	0.00	1.24	4.05	6.47	10.72	17.52	22.29	27.26	31.11	33.40	35.16			
Load	1.3	0.00	1.24	4.05	6.47	10.65	17.39	21.83	26.47	30.20	32.42	33.99			
	1.2	0.00	1.24	4.05	6.47	10.65	16.80	20.78	25.36	28.63	30.85	32.09			
Fraction of Existing Total P	1.1	0.00													
isting	1.0	0.00	1.24	4.05	6.14	9.80	14.18	17.65	21.18	24.31	25.69	26.99			
of Ex	0.9	0.00	1.24	4.05	6.08	8.63	12.81	15.56	18.43	21.05	22.61	23.01			
tion	0.8	0.00	1.24	4.05	5.88	8.17	12.03	14.38	16.34	18.17	19.48	19.61			
Frac	0.7	0.00	1.24	4.05	5.75	7.45	10.72	11.83	12.81	13.27	13.46	13.46			
	0.6	0.00	1.18	3.86	5.03	6.67	9.41	10.52	10.59	10.52	10.59	10.59			
	0.5	0.00	1.18	3.07	3.66	4.31	5.82	5.82	5.82	5.82	5.82	5.82			
		0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9	1.0	1.1	1.2	1.3	1.4	1.5			
					Frac	tion of E	Existing	Total N	Load						

Table 2. Worst Case Aggregate Response of Segments 1-4 to New Hope, Northeast, and Morgan Creek Load Reductions (Maximum Annual)

				Percei	nt of Ch	lorophy	II <i>a</i> Excu	ursions						
	1.0	0.48	1.78	3.97	5.96	7.67	14.45	20.14	25.82	28.90				
oad	0.9	0.48	1.78	3.90	5.96	7.74	14.38	20.07	25.75	28.70				
I P L	0.8	0.48	1.78	3.84	5.89	7.74	14.32	20.14	25.34	28.29				
Fraction of Existing Total P Load	0.7	0.48	1.78	3.84	5.69	7.60	14.25	20.21	25.48	28.01				
isting	0.6	0.48 1.71 3.84 5.55 7.60 13.49 19.73 25.27 27.74												
of Ex	0.5	0.48	1.71	3.63	5.21	7.06	13.43	18.56	24.66	26.71				
tion	0.4	0.48	1.58	3.49	4.73	6.71	11.78	16.37	20.41	24.73				
Frac	0.3	0.48	1.51	2.81	3.77	5.21	8.49	12.19	16.99	21.58				
	0.2	0.48	1.30	1.85	3.22	4.59	7.26	10.21	14.45	18.56				
		0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9	1.0				
				Frac	tion of E	Existing	Total N	Load						

Table 3. Worst Case Aggregate Response of Segments 1-4 to New Hope, Northeast, and Morgan Creek Load Reductions (Maximum Growing Season)

				Perce	nt of Ch	orophyl	I <i>a</i> Excu	rsions							
	1.0	1.14	4.25	9.48	14.22	18.30	32.68	45.43	57.84	64.54					
Load	0.9	1.14	4.25	9.31	14.22	18.46	32.68	45.43	57.84	64.38					
I P L	0.8	1.14	4.25	9.15	14.05	18.46	32.52	45.92	57.19	63.89					
Fraction of Existing Total P	0.7	1.14	4 4.25 9.15 13.56 18.14 32.68 45.75 57.68 63.73												
isting	0.6	1.14	4 4.09 9.15 13.24 18.14 32.19 45.26 58.50 64.22												
of Ex	0.5	1.14	4.09	8.66	12.42	16.83	32.03	44.28	58.01	62.91					
tion	0.4	1.14	3.76	8.33	11.28	16.01	28.11	39.05	48.69	58.99					
Frac	0.3	1.14	3.60	6.70	8.99	12.42	20.26	29.09	40.52	51.47					
	0.2	1.14	3.11	4.41	7.68	10.95	17.32	24.35	34.48	44.28					
		0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9	1.0					
				Frac	tion of E	xisting	Total N	Load							

Table 4. Worst Case Aggregate Response of Segments 14 & 15 to Haw River Load Changes (Maximum Annual)

					Perce	nt of Ch	lorophy	II a Excι	ırsions			
	1.5	0.00	2.60	6.85	9.73	13.70	20.69	23.01	25.62	27.81	28.77	29.18
	1.4	0.00	2.60	6.85	9.73	13.70	20.69	22.88	25.62	27.67	28.49	28.77
Load	1.3	0.00	2.60	6.85	9.73	13.56	20.69	22.88	25.62	27.53	28.36	28.49
	1.2	0.00	2.60	6.85	9.73	13.56	20.69	22.88	25.75	27.12	27.95	28.08
J Total P	1.1	0.00	2.60	6.85	9.73	13.56	20.96	22.88	25.34	26.58	27.12	27.26
isting	1.0	0.00	2.60	6.85	9.73	13.56	20.27	22.47	24.38	25.48	25.89	26.16
of Ex	0.9	0.00	2.60	6.85	9.59	13.43	20.14	22.06	23.43	24.80	25.48	25.48
Fraction of Existing	0.8	0.00	2.60	6.85	9.18	13.15	19.86	21.51	22.19	23.56	23.97	23.97
Frac	0.7	0.00	2.60	6.71	8.90	12.06	18.49	20.00	20.27	20.41	20.41	20.41
	0.6	0.00	2.47	6.30	7.53	10.69	16.44	18.63	18.77	18.77	18.90	18.90
	0.5	0.00	2.47	4.66	5.07	6.30	9.45	9.45	9.45	9.45	9.45	9.45
		0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9	1.0	1.1	1.2	1.3	1.4	1.5
					Frac	tion of E	Existing	Total N	Load			

Table 5. Worst Case Aggregate Response of Segments 14 & 15 to Haw River Load Changes (Maximum Growing Season)

					Percei	nt of Ch	lorophyl	l <i>a</i> Excu	rsions						
	1.5	0.00	6.21	16.34	23.20	32.68	49.35	54.90	61.11	66.34	66.99	67.32			
	1.4	0.00	6.21	16.34	23.20	32.68	49.35	54.58	61.11	66.01	66.34	66.67			
Load	1.3	0.00	6.21	16.34	23.20	32.35	49.35	54.58	61.11	65.69	66.01	66.34			
	1.2	0.00	6.21	16.34	23.20	32.35	49.35	54.58	61.44	64.71	65.36	65.36			
) Tota	1.1	0.00	6.21	16.34	23.20	32.35	50.00	54.58	60.46	63.40	64.05	64.05			
isting	1.0	0.00	6.21 16.34 23.20 32.35 48.37 53.60 58.17 60.78 61.77 62.42												
of Ex	0.9	0.00	6.21	16.34	22.88	32.03	48.04	52.61	55.88	59.15	60.78	60.78			
Fraction of Existing Total P	0.8	0.00	6.21	16.34	21.90	31.37	47.39	51.31	52.94	56.21	57.19	57.19			
Frac	0.7	0.00	6.21	16.01	21.24	28.76	44.12	47.71	48.37	48.69	48.69	48.69			
	0.6	0.00	5.88	15.03	17.97	25.49	39.22	44.44	44.77	44.77	45.10	45.10			
	0.5	0.00	5.88	11.11	12.09	15.03	22.55	22.55	22.55	22.55	22.55	22.55			
		0.5	0.5												
					Frac	tion of E	xisting	Total N	Load						

Table 6. Aggregate Concentration Response of Segments 5 & 6 to New Hope, Northeast, and Morgan Creek Load Reductions (Volume-Weighted Growing Season Average)

				Chlore	ophyll <i>a</i>	Concen	trations	(μ g/l)							
	1.0	14.40	15.40	16.36	17.36	18.44	19.49	20.55	21.75	22.95					
Load	0.9	14.40	15.40	16.36	17.37	18.45	19.51	20.57	21.76	22.90					
ᅀ	0.8	14.41	15.40	16.37	17.38	18.47	19.55	20.60	21.70	22.82					
Fraction of Existing Total	0.7	14.41	15.40	16.37	17.39	18.49	19.55	20.61	21.64	22.77					
isting	0.6	14.41	41 15.40 16.37 17.41 18.50 19.52 20.56 21.61 22.71												
of Ex	0.5	14.40	15.40	16.38	17.42	18.46	19.48	20.50	21.50	22.45					
tion	0.4	14.38	15.39	16.39	17.39	18.42	19.38	20.30	21.10	21.84					
Frac	0.3	14.37	15.37	16.35	17.35	18.24	18.99	19.58	20.10	20.60					
	0.2	14.33	15.31	16.23	16.99	17.58	18.07	18.47	18.81	19.35					
		0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9	1.0					
				Fract	tion of E	xisting	Total N	Load							

Table 7. Aggregate Concentration Response of Segments 5 & 6 to Haw River Load Reductions (Volume-Weighted Growing Season Average)

				Chlore	ophyll <i>a</i>	Concen	trations	(μ g/l)							
	1.0	20.41	20.67	20.94	21.21	21.51	21.85	22.21	22.63	22.95					
oad	0.9	20.41	20.68	20.94	21.22	21.52	21.86	22.22	22.61	22.93					
I P L	0.8	20.41	20.68	20.95	21.23	21.52	21.87	22.23	22.59	22.91					
Fraction of Existing Total P Load	0.7	20.42	20.69	20.96	21.24	21.54	21.88	22.22	22.57	22.88					
isting	0.6	20.43	.43 20.70 20.97 21.25 21.56 21.89 22.21 22.55 22.86												
of Ex	0.5	20.43	20.71	20.99	21.27	21.57	21.88	22.19	22.53	22.90					
tion	0.4	20.45	20.72	21.01	21.28	21.56	21.86	22.18	22.55	22.92					
Frac	0.3	20.46	20.75	21.02	21.28	21.55	21.86	22.20	22.57	22.91					
	0.2	20.48	20.76	21.02	21.27	21.55	21.88	22.25	22.58	22.88					
		0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9	1.0					
				Fract	ion of E	xisting	Total N	Load							

Table 8. Aggregate Concentration Response of Segments 8, 9, & 12 to New Hope, Northeast, and Morgan Creek Load Reductions (Volume-Weighted Growing Season Average)

				Chlore	ophyll <i>a</i>	Concen	trations	(μg/l)							
	1.0	16.92	17.48	17.99	18.52	19.17	19.70	20.24	20.82	21.40					
Load	0.9	16.92	17.48	17.99	18.52	19.16	19.69	20.22	20.80	21.39					
II P L	8.0	16.92	17.47	17.98	18.52	19.14	19.67	20.21	20.77	21.37					
Fraction of Existing Total P	0.7	16.92	17.47	17.98	18.53	19.13	19.66	20.23	20.77	21.46					
isting	0.6	16.91	5.91 17.46 17.99 18.53 19.11 19.66 20.23 20.89 21.54												
of Ex	0.5	16.90	17.45	17.99	18.52	19.11	19.66	20.25	20.98	21.63					
tion	0.4	16.89	17.43	17.98	18.53	19.13	19.71	20.43	21.08	21.69					
Frac	0.3	16.92	17.42	17.99	18.58	19.21	19.89	20.57	21.13	21.58					
	0.2	16.90	17.44	18.06	18.70	19.40	20.07	20.60	21.03	21.45					
		0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9	1.0					
				Fract	tion of E	xisting	Total N	Load							

Table 9. Aggregate Concentration Response of Segments 8, 9, & 12 to Haw River Load Reductions (Volume-Weighted Growing Season Average)

				Chlore	ophyll <i>a</i>	Concen	trations	(μ g/l)							
	1.0	14.81	15.54	16.26	17.00	17.80	18.71	19.66	20.60	21.40					
oad	0.9	14.81	15.54	16.27	17.01	17.80	18.70	19.62	20.47	21.26					
P L	0.8	14.81	15.55	16.27	17.01	17.80	18.67	19.52	20.34	21.10					
Fraction of Existing Total P Load	0.7	14.82													
isting	0.6	14.82	32 15.56 16.28 17.00 17.72 18.47 19.23 19.96 20.58												
of Ex	0.5	14.83	15.56	16.27	16.94	17.61	18.31	19.02	19.65	20.10					
tion	0.4	14.83	15.55	16.22	16.83	17.45	18.08	18.69	19.11	19.39					
Frac	0.3	14.83	15.50	16.10	16.65	17.21	17.74	18.11	18.35	18.47					
	0.2	14.77	15.36	15.89	16.39	16.81	17.10	17.28	17.38	17.44					
		0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9	1.0					
				Fract	tion of E	xisting	Total N	Load							

Table 10. Aggregate Response of Segments 1-4 to New Hope, Northeast, and Morgan Creek Load Reductions (Volume-Weighted Growing Season Average)

				Perce	nt of Ch	lorophy	II a Excι	ırsions							
	1.0	0.10	0.39	1.18	2.83	4.64	10.75	19.56	29.77	39.39					
Load	0.9	0.10	0.39	1.14	2.86	4.68	10.73	19.57	29.73	38.98					
₾	0.8	0.10	0.39	1.13	2.79	4.58	10.66	19.55	29.08	38.69					
Fraction of Existing Total	0.7	0.10	0.39	1.13	2.70	4.48	10.59	19.46	28.38	37.23					
isting	0.6	0.10	0.38 1.17 2.71 4.49 10.30 18.68 28.16 36.08												
of Ex	0.5	0.10	0.38	1.09	2.58	4.27	10.00	17.19	26.49	32.90					
tion	0.4	0.10	0.36	1.05	2.07	3.90	8.73	14.52	20.88	29.30					
Frac	0.3	0.10	0.36	0.69	1.54	3.22	6.47	9.97	13.47	18.06					
	0.2	0.10	0.28	0.50	0.97	2.22	4.61	7.33	11.42	18.17					
		0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	8.0	0.9	1.0					
				Frac	tion of I	Existing	Total N	Load							

Table 11. Aggregate Response of Segments 14 & 15 to Haw River Load Reductions (Volume-Weighted Growing Season Average)

					Perce	nt of Ch	lorophy	II <i>a</i> Excu	ursions			
	1.5	0.00	0.67	2.17	3.77	8.81	17.06	22.65	29.41	34.64	37.45	39.91
	1.4	0.00	0.67	2.17	3.77	8.72	17.15	22.26	28.32	33.36	36.22	38.62
Load	1.3	0.00	0.67	2.17	3.77	8.68	17.02	21.77	27.35	32.26	35.09	37.20
II P L	1.2	0.00	0.67	2.17	3.77	8.68	16.28	20.42	25.90	30.20	33.03	34.73
J Tota	1.1	0.00	0.67	2.17	3.64	8.61	15.38	19.10	23.81	28.33	30.84	32.35
isting	1.0	0.00	0.67	2.17	3.47	7.80	13.12	16.74	20.93	25.16	26.81	28.24
of Ex	0.9	0.00	0.67	2.17	3.44	6.26	11.41	14.34	18.01	21.11	22.86	23.43
Fraction of Existing Total P	0.8	0.00	0.67	2.17	3.27	5.71	10.75	13.35	15.85	18.17	19.84	19.97
Frac	0.7	0.00	0.67	2.17	3.20	5.08	9.57	10.89	12.02	12.69	12.92	12.92
	0.6	0.00	0.63	2.07	2.70	4.30	8.32	9.83	9.92	9.89	9.98	9.98
	0.5	0.00	0.63	1.65	1.96	2.61	4.39	4.39	4.39	4.39	4.39	4.39
		0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9	1.0	1.1	1.2	1.3	1.4	1.5
					Frac	tion of	Existing	Total N	Load			