# Chapter 10 Water Resources

**10.1** River Basin Hydrologic Units

Under the federal system, the New River basin is made up of hydrologic areas referred to as cataloging units (USGS 8-digit hydrologic units). Cataloging units are further divided into smaller watershed units (14-digit hydrologic units or local watersheds) that are used for smaller scale planning like that done by NCEEP (Chapter 12). There are 20 local watershed units in the basin, all of which are listed in Table 16. A map identifying the hydrologic units and subbasins can be found in Appendix I.

Watershed Name and Major Tributaries	DWQ Subbasin 6-Digit Codes	USGS 8-Digit Hydrologic Units	USGS 14-Digit Hydrologic Units Local Watersheds*
South Fork New River Middle Fork South Fork New River East Fork South Fork New River Winkler Creek Howard Creek Meat Camp Creek Pine Orchard Creek Old Field Creek Pine Swamp Creek South Beaver Creek (Lake Ashe) Obids Creek Roan Creek Naked Creek Peak Creek Cranberry Creek	05-07-01	05050001	020010, 020020, 020030, 020040, 020050, 020060, 020070, 030010
North Fork New River Hoskin Fork Three Top Creek Big Laurel Creek Buffalo Creek Big Horse Creek Helton Creek	05-07-02		010010, 010020, 010030, 010040, 010050, 010060, 010070, 010080
<i>Little River</i> Pine Swamp Creek Bledsoe Creek Elk Creek Glade Creek Brush Creek Crab Creek	05-07-03		030015, 030020, 030030, 040040

Table 16Hydrologic Subdivisions in the New River Basin

\* Numbers from the 8-digit and 14-digit column make the full 14-digit HU.

## 10.2 Minimum Streamflow

Conditions may be placed on dam operations specifying mandatory minimum releases in order to maintain adequate quantity and quality of water in the length of a stream affected by an impoundment. One of the purposes of the Dam Safety Law is to ensure maintenance of minimum streamflows below dams. The Division of Water Resources (DWR), in conjunction with the Wildlife Resources Commission (WRC), recommends conditions related to release of flows to satisfy minimum instream flow requirements. The Division of Land Resources (DLR) issues the permits.

Under the authority of the Federal Power Act, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) licenses all non-federal dams located on the navigable waters in the United States that produce hydropower for the purposes of interstate commerce. The license may include requirements for flows from the project for designated in-stream or off-stream uses.

Under the authority of Section 404 of the Clean Water Act, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers issues permits for the discharge of fill material into navigable waters. The permit may include requirements for flows for designated in-stream or off-stream uses. A 404 permit will not only apply to dams under state and federal regulatory authorities mentioned above but will also cover structures that are not under their authority such as weirs, diversions and small dams. Table 17 presents selected minimum streamflow projects in the New River basin. It should be noted that this is not necessarily a complete list of minimum streamflow requirements in the basin. Absence from this list should not be interpreted as relief from fulfilling existing permit flow requirements.

Name	Location	Waterbody	Drainage Area (sq. mi.)	Minimum Release (cu.ft./sec)	
Hydroelectric Dams					
Sharpe Falls	Near Dresden, Ashe County	North Fork New River	112	None <sup>a</sup>	
Impoundment Dams/Weirs					
Roaring Gap	Golf course, Alleghany County	Laurel Branch	1.06	1.4	
Old Beau Upper	Golf course, Alleghany County	Laurel Branch	1.33	None <sup>b</sup>	
Old Beau Lower	Golf course, Alleghany County	Laurel Branch	1.54	1.6	
Water Supply Weir	Near Boone, Watauga County	South Fork	19.5	4.0 °	
Water Supply Dam	Near Boone, Watauga County	Winkler Creek	5.7	2.4 °	

#### Table 17Selected Minimum Streamflow Projects in the New River Basin

<sup>a</sup> Even though there is no minimum flow, the project must operate in a run-of-river mode; i.e., instantaneous inflow equals instantaneous outflow. Note: A noncompliant project can noticeably alter the streamflow.

<sup>b</sup> The upper and lower ponds were built in series so that the system will provide 1.6 cubic feet/second (cfs) downstream.

<sup>c</sup> The Section 404 permit, issued by the U.S. Army Corp of Engineers, also states "the Town of Boone will in all cases be permitted to withdraw a maximum of 4.6 cfs from the combined sources."

# **10.3** Interbasin Transfers

In addition to water withdrawals, water users in North Carolina are also required to register surface water transfers with DWR if the amount is 100,000 gallons per day or more. In addition, persons wishing to transfer two million gallons per day (MGD) or more, or increase an existing transfer by 25 percent or more, must first obtain a certificate from the Environmental Management Commission (General Statute 143-215.22I). The basin boundaries that apply to these requirements are designated on a map entitled *Major River Basins and Sub-Basins in North Carolina*, on file in the Office of the Secretary of State. These boundaries differ from the 17 major river basins delineated by DWQ. Table 18 summarizes interbasin transfers within the New River basin.

In determining whether a certificate should be issued, the state must determine that the overall benefits of a transfer outweigh the potential impacts. Factors used to determine whether a certificate should be issued include:

- Necessity, reasonableness and beneficial effects of the transfer;
- Detrimental effects on the source and receiving basins, including effects on water supply needs, wastewater assimilation, water quality, fish and wildlife habitat, hydroelectric power generation, navigation and recreation;
- Cumulative effect of existing transfers or water uses in the source basin;
- Reasonable alternatives to the proposed transfer; and
- Any other facts and circumstances necessary to evaluate the transfer request.

A provision of the interbasin transfer law requires that an environmental assessment or environmental impact statement be prepared in accordance with the State Environmental Policy Act as supporting documentation for a transfer petition. For more information on interbasin transfers, visit the website at <a href="http://www.ncwater.org/">http://www.ncwater.org/</a> or call DWR (919) 733-4064.

Supplying System	Receiving System	Source Subbasin	Receiving Subbasin	Estimated Transfer (MGD)
Blowing Rock	Blowing Rock	New	Catawba	Unknown
Blowing Rock	Blowing Rock	New	Yadkin Pee-Dee	Unknown
Town of Boone	Town of Boone	New	Watauga	Unknown

Table 18 Estimated Interba	sin Transfers in th	he New River Basin (1	997)
----------------------------	---------------------	-----------------------	------

### 10.4 Water Quality Issues Related to Drought

Water quality problems associated with rainfall events usually involve degradation of aquatic habitats because the high flows may carry increased loadings of substances like metals, oils, herbicides, pesticides, sand, clay, organic material, bacteria and nutrients. These substances can be toxic to aquatic life (fish and insects) or may result in oxygen depletion or sedimentation. During drought conditions, these pollutants become more concentrated in streams due to reduced flow. Summer months are generally the most critical months for water quality. Dissolved

oxygen is naturally lower due to higher temperatures, algae grow more due to longer periods of sunlight, and streamflows are reduced. In a long-term drought, these problems can be greatly exacerbated and the potential for water quality problems to become catastrophic is increased. This section discusses water quality problems that can be expected during low flow conditions.

The frequency of acute impacts due to nonpoint source pollution (runoff) is actually minimized during drought conditions. However, when rain events do occur, pollutants that have been collecting on the land surface are quickly delivered to streams. When streamflows are well below normal, this polluted runoff becomes a larger percentage of the water flowing in the stream. Point sources may also have water quality impacts during drought conditions even though permit limits are being met. Facilities that discharge wastewater have permit limits that are based on the historic low flow conditions. During droughts these wastewater discharges make up a larger percentage of the water flowing in streams than normal and might contribute to lowered dissolved oxygen concentrations and increased levels of other pollutants.

As streamflows decrease, there is less habitat available for aquatic insects and fish, particularly around lake shorelines. There is also less water available for irrigation and for water supplies. The dry conditions and increased removal of water for these uses further increases strain on the resource. With less habitat, naturally lower dissolved oxygen levels and higher water temperatures, the potential for large kills of fish and aquatic insects is very high. These conditions may stress the fish to the point where they become more susceptible to disease and where stresses that normally would not harm them result in mortality.

These are also areas where longer retention times due to decreased flows allow algae to take full advantage of the nutrients present resulting in algal blooms. During the daylight hours, algae greatly increase the amount of dissolved oxygen in the water, but at night, algal respiration and die off can cause dissolved oxygen levels to drop low enough to cause fish kills. Besides increasing the frequency of fish kills, algae blooms can also cause difficulty in water treatment resulting in taste and odor problems in finished drinking water.

# 10.5 Source Water Assessment of Public Water Supplies

### 10.5.1 Introduction

The Federal Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA) Amendments of 1996 emphasize pollution prevention as an important strategy for the protection of ground and surface water resources. This new focus promotes the prevention of drinking water contamination as a cost-effective means to provide reliable, long-term and safe drinking water sources for public water supply (PWS) systems. In order to determine the susceptibility of public water supply sources to contamination, the amendments also required that all states establish a Source Water Assessment Program (SWAP). Specifically, Section 1453 of the SDWA Amendments require that states develop and implement a SWAP to:

- Delineate source water assessment areas;
- Inventory potential contaminants in these areas; and
- Determine the susceptibility of each public water supply to contamination.

In North Carolina, the agency responsible for the SWAP is the Public Water Supply (PWS) Section of the DENR Division of Environmental Health (DEH). The PWS Section received approval from the EPA for their SWAP Plan in November 1999. The SWAP Plan, entitled *North Carolina's Source Water Assessment Program Plan*, fully describes the methods and procedures used to delineate and assess the susceptibility of more than 9,000 wells and approximately 207 surface water intakes. To review the SWAP Plan, visit the PWS website at http://www.deh.enr.state.nc.us/pws/index.htm.

#### 10.5.2 Delineation of Source Water Assessment Areas

The SWAP Plan builds upon existing protection programs for ground and surface water resources. These include the state's Wellhead Protection Program and the Water Supply Watershed Protection Program.

#### Wellhead Protection (WHP) Program

North Carolinians withdraw more than 88 million gallons of groundwater per day from more than 9,000 water supply wells across the state. In 1986, Congress passed Amendments to the SDWA requiring states to develop wellhead protection programs that reduce the threat to the quality of groundwater used for drinking water by identifying and managing recharge areas to specific wells or wellfields.

Defining a wellhead protection area (WHPA) is one of the most critical components of wellhead protection. A WHPA is defined as "the surface and subsurface area surrounding a water well or wellfield, supplying a public water system, through which contaminants are reasonably likely to move toward and reach such water well or wellfield." The SWAP uses the methods described in the state's approved WHP Program to delineate source water assessment areas for all public water supply wells. More information related to North Carolina's WHP Program can be found at http://www.deh.enr.state.nc.us/pws/swap.

#### Water Supply Watershed Protection (WSWP) Program

DWQ is responsible for managing the standards and classifications of all water supply watersheds. In 1992, the WSWP Rules were adopted by the EMC and require all local governments that have land use jurisdiction within water supply watersheds adopt and implement water supply watershed protection ordinances, maps and management plans. SWAP uses the established water supply watershed boundaries and methods established by the WSWP program as a basis to delineate source water assessment areas for all public water surface water intakes. Additional information regarding the WSWP Program can be found at http://h2o.enr.state.nc.us/wswp/index.html.

#### 10.5.3 Susceptibility Determination – North Carolina's Overall Approach

The SWAP Plan contains a detailed description of the methods used to assess the susceptibility of each PWS intake in North Carolina. The following is a brief summary of the susceptibility determination approach.

#### **Overall Susceptibility Rating**

The overall susceptibility determination rates the potential for a drinking water source to become contaminated. The overall susceptibility rating for each PWS intake is based on two key

components: a contaminant rating and an inherent vulnerability rating. For a PWS to be determined "susceptible", a potential contaminant source must be present and the existing conditions of the PWS intake location must be such that a water supply could become contaminated. The determination of susceptibility for each PWS intake is based on combining the results of the inherent vulnerability rating and the contaminant rating for each intake. Once combined, a PWS is given a susceptibility rating of higher, moderate or lower (H, M or L).

#### Inherent Vulnerability Rating

Inherent vulnerability refers to the physical characteristics and existing conditions of the watershed or aquifer. The inherent vulnerability rating of groundwater intakes is determined based on an evaluation of aquifer characteristics, unsaturated zone characteristics and well integrity and construction characteristics. The inherent vulnerability rating of surface water intakes is determined based on an evaluation of the watershed classification (WSWP Rules), intake location, raw water quality data (i.e., turbidity and total coliform) and watershed characteristics (i.e., average annual precipitation, land slope, land use, land cover, groundwater contribution).

#### Contaminant Rating

The contaminant rating is based on an evaluation of the density of potential contaminant sources (PCSs), their relative risk potential to cause contamination, and their proximity to the water supply intake within the delineated assessment area.

#### Inventory of Potential Contaminant Sources (PCSs)

In order to inventory PCSs, the SWAP conducted a review of relevant, available sources of existing data at federal, state and local levels. The SWAP selected sixteen statewide databases that were attainable and contained usable geographic information related to PCSs.

#### 10.5.4 Source Water Protection

The PWS Section believes that the information from the source water assessments will become the basis for future initiatives and priorities for public drinking water source water protection (SWP) activities. The PWS Section encourages all PWS system owners to implement efforts to manage identified sources of contamination and to reduce or eliminate the potential threat to drinking water supplies through locally implemented programs

To encourage and support local SWP, the state offers PWS system owners assistance with local SWP as well as materials such as:

- Fact sheets outlining sources of funding and other resources for local SWP efforts.
- Success stories describing local SWP efforts in North Carolina.
- Guidance about how to incorporate SWAP and SWP information in Consumer Confidence Reports (CCRs).

Information related to SWP can be found at http://www.deh.enr.state.nc.us/pws/swap.

#### 10.5.5 Public Water Supply Susceptibility Determinations in the New River Basin

In April 2004, the PWS Section completed source water assessments for all drinking water sources and generated reports for the PWS systems using these sources. A second round of assessments were completed in April 2005. The results of the assessments can be viewed in two different ways, either through the interactive ArcIMS mapping tool or compiled in a written report for each PWS system. To access the ArcIMS mapping tool, simply click on the "NC SWAP Info" icon on the PWS web page (<u>http://www.deh.enr.state.nc.us/pws/swap</u>). To view a report, select the PWS System of interest by clicking on the "SWAP Reports" icon.

In the New River Basin, 199 public water supply sources were identified. Six are surface water sources, and 193 are groundwater sources. Of the193 groundwater sources, five have a Higher susceptibility rating and 188 have a Moderate susceptibility rating. Table 19 identifies the six surface water sources and the overall susceptibility rating. It is important to note that a susceptibility rating of Higher <u>does not</u> imply poor water quality. Susceptibility is an indication of a water supply's <u>potential</u> to become contaminated by the identified PCSs within the assessment area.

PWS ID Number	Inherent Vulnerability Rating	Contaminant Rating	Overall Susceptibility Rating	Name of Surface Water Source	PWS Name
0105015	н	L	М	South Fork New River	Town of Jefferson
0195010	Н	L	М	Winklers Creek	Town of Boone
0195010	Н	L	М	South Fork New River	Town of Boone
0195020	М	L	М	Town Lake	Town of Blowing Rock
0195101	М	L	М	Norris Branch	App. State University WTP
0195101	Н	L	М	Howards Creek	App. State University WTP

Table 19 SWAP Results for Surface Water Sources in the New River Ba	able 19	ace Water Sources in the New	<sup>7</sup> River Basir
---	---------	------------------------------	--------------------------