Nutrient Scientific Advisory Board Charter

- A. Purpose
- B. Participants and Roles
- C. Decision Making
- D. Operating Principles
- E. Meetings
- F. Agenda
- G. Appendix 1: Session Law 2009-216 Section 3(d)(2)b and Section 4
- H. Appendix 2: Membership history

The charter may be amended or modified by consensus of the Board.

A. Purpose

Session Law 2009-216 Section 3(d)(2)b and Section 4 sets the initial charge of the Nutrient Scientific Advisory Board (NSAB) as it relates to the Existing Development rules.

The Secretary of DEQ shall establish a Nutrient Scientific Advisory Board. (Section 4.(a))

The NSAB shall: (Section 4.(b))

- 1) Identify management strategies that can be used by local governments to reduce nutrient loading from existing development.
- 2) Evaluate the feasibility, costs, and benefits of implementing the identified management strategies.
- 3) Develop an accounting system for assignment of nutrient reduction credits for the identified management strategies.
- 4) Identify the need for any improvements or refinements to modeling and other analytical tools used to evaluate water quality in nutrient-impaired waters and nutrient management strategies.

The NSAB shall advise the Secretary on any other issue related to management and restoration of nutrient-impaired water bodies. (Section 4.(c))

The NSAB shall submit an annual report to the Secretary no later than July 1 of each year concerning its activities, findings, and recommendations. (Section 4.(c))

B. Participants / Roles / Responsibilities

Roles and responsibilities

Nine roles have been identified.

1. Primary Member

Appointed by the Secretary of DEQ. Shall make an effort to attend all meetings. Shall designate an alternate. Sits at the discussion table and participates fully. Voting member.

2. Primary Member Alternate

Identified by the primary member. Sits at the discussion table and participates fully. Non-voting member unless Primary Member is absent.

3. Non-Voting Advisor

Identified by the NSAB. Sits at the discussion table and participates fully. Non-voting member.

4. Non-Voting Advisor Alternate

Identified by the Non-Voting member. Sits at the discussion table and participates fully. Non-voting member.

5. DWR Nutrient Strategy Staff

Convenes the NSAB. Sits at the discussion table and participates fully. Non-voting member.

6. DEMLR Stormwater Permitting Staff

Sits at the discussion table and participates fully as needed. Non-voting member.

7. Invited Guests

Identified by the NSAB or DWR staff. Sits at the discussion table and participates as indicated on the agenda or as requested by the board or DWR staff.

8. Visitors

Visitors are welcome as observers only. The NSAB may invite a visitor to provide a perspective or answer a question.

9. Facilitator

Invited by DWR on behalf of the NSAB. Consults to DWR and NSAB on the group's process (agendas, ground rules, room set up, participation/inclusion/balance/focus, discussion and decision making procedures). Runs each meeting. Neutral regarding discussion content. Does not vote.

As of September 2017 the NSAB consists of the following members.

Representation	Member (affiliation)	Alternate
Local Government	Eric Kulz (Cary)	Charles Brown (Cary)
	Allison Weakley (Chapel Hill)	Chris Jensen (Chapel Hill)
	Sandra Wilbur (Durham)	Michelle Woolfolk (Durham)
	David Phlegar (Greensboro)	Peter Schneider (Greensboro)
	Josh Johnson (Alley, Williams, Carmen and King, Inc. for Mebane, Graham, Elon, Gibsonville)	
Professional or Academic		
Professional Engineer	Bill Hunt (NCSU)	Sally Hoyt (UNC)
NC DOT Representative	Andy McDaniel	Brian Jacobsen
Conservation Organization	Grady McCallie (NC Conservation Network)	Peter Raabe – 2010 (American Rivers)
Representation	Advisor (affiliation)	Alternate
Falls Lake Watershed*	Forrest Westall (Upper Neuse River Basin Association)	Haywood Phthisic (UNRBA)

In 2009 the NSAB membership was mandated to consist of 5-10 primary members appointed by the Secretary of DEQ representing the expertise or experience listed in Session Law 2009-216 Section 4.(a).

All official members above were reappointed by the Secretary of DEQ on June 6, 2017.

C. Decision Making

In order for NSAB to make a decision, seven (7) NSAB members with voting privileges (Primary Members and Primary Alternates), at least five (5) of them primary members, must be present.

Consensus will be used to make decisions. A member, staff, or the facilitator can make a proposal.

A 5-finger poll method can be used to identify specific points of discussion and will be used to determine gradients of agreement:

- 1. I endorse the proposal.
- 2. I agree with minor reservations.
- 3. I can agree but am very conflicted about it.
- 4. I vote against the proposal but will support implementation if this passes.
- 5. I vote against the proposal and will not help implement it if it passes.

If the poll results in votes of 1 and 2 only, it shows a desired threshold of support for the proposal exists, and the NSAB adopts the decision by consensus.

If the poll results in any votes of 3, 4, or 5, it shows that a desired threshold of support for the proposal does NOT exist. The group will seek to clarify the concerns of those voting 3, 4, or 5, and attempt to generate and prioritize amendments to the proposal that address those objections.

Amended proposals will be tested using the same 5-finger poll method.

If no amended proposal generates a desired threshold of agreement during the meeting, a proposal will be made to defer the matter to the next meeting with objector(s) agreeing to meet with proposer(s) to redraft the proposal. A poll on this proposal must result in votes of 1 or 2 only.

In the absence of consensus to refer the matter to next meeting, the original proposal is immediately submitted to a yes/no vote and may be approved by an affirmative vote consisting of the full group in attendance minus one.

Meeting reports on decision-making can reflect minority and majority viewpoints where that is desired by those holding a minority perspective (let us know), especially if Board members will work with DWR to provide accurate language, as needed.

D. Operating Principles

- 1. Stick to the agenda topics.
- 2. Focus on one subject at a time.
- 3. Discuss all relevant information and issues, even difficult ones.
- 4. Keep discussion open and balanced.
- 5. Contribute to the discussion.
- 6. Listen actively.
- 7. Avoid repetition.
- 8. Be respectful of others.
- 9. Disagree openly, but try not to be disagreeable.
- 10. Focus on interests, not positions.
- 11. Look for mutually beneficial solutions.
- 12. Follow through on commitments.

E. Meetings

The NSAB meets on the first Friday of each month at Triangle J Council of Governments. Meetings will be moved or rescheduled as needed.

F. Agenda

Future agenda items will be identified during meetings and by contacting staff with ideas in between meetings. Staff will be responsible for setting the agenda.

Appendix 1: Legislation

Session Law 2009-216 Section 3(d)(2)b.

b. The Department shall establish a load reduction goal for existing development for each municipality and county required to implement a Stage 2 adaptive management program to control nutrient loading from existing development. The load reduction goal shall be designed to achieve, relative to the baseline period 1997 through 2001, an eight percent (8%) reduction in nitrogen loading and a five percent (5%) reduction in phosphorus loading reaching Jordan Reservoir from existing developed lands within the police power jurisdiction of the local government. The baseline load shall be calculated by applying the Tar-Pamlico Nutrient Export Calculation Worksheet, Piedmont Version, dated October 2004, to acreages of different types of existing development within the police power jurisdiction of the local government during the baseline period. The baseline load may also be calculated using an equivalent or more accurate method acceptable to the Department and recommended by the Scientific Advisory Board established pursuant to Section 4(a) of this act. The baseline load for a municipality or county shall not include nutrient loading from lands under State or federal control or lands in agriculture or forestry. The load reduction goal shall be adjusted to account for nutrient loading increases from lands developed subsequent to the baseline period but prior to implementation of new development stormwater programs.

Session Law 2009-216 Section 4

SECTION 4.(a) Scientific Advisory Board for Nutrient-Impaired Waters Established. - No later than July 1, 2010, the Secretary shall establish a Nutrient Sensitive Waters Scientific Advisory Board. The Scientific Advisory Board shall consist of no fewer than five and no more than 10 members with the following expertise or experience:

- (1) Representatives of one or more local governments in the Jordan Reservoir watershed. Local government representatives shall have experience in stormwater management, flood control, or management of a water or wastewater utility.
- (2) One member with at least 10 years of professional or academic experience relevant to the management of nutrients in impaired water bodies and possessing a graduate degree in a related scientific discipline, such as aquatic science, biology, chemistry, geology, hydrology, environmental science, engineering, economics, or limnology.
- (3) One professional engineer with expertise in stormwater management, hydrology, or flood control.
- (4) One representative of the Department of Transportation with expertise in stormwater management.
- (5) One representative of a conservation organization with expertise in stormwater management, urban landscape design, nutrient reduction, or water quality.

SECTION 4.(b) Duties. - No later than July 1, 2012, the Scientific Advisory Board shall do all of the following:

- (1) Identify management strategies that can be used by local governments to reduce nutrient loading from existing development.
- (2) Evaluate the feasibility, costs, and benefits of implementing the identified management strategies.
- (3) Develop an accounting system for assignment of nutrient reduction credits for the identified management strategies.
- (4) Identify the need for any improvements or refinements to modeling and other analytical tools used to evaluate water quality in nutrient-impaired waters and nutrient management strategies.

SECTION 4.(c) Report; Miscellaneous Provisions. - The Scientific Advisory Board shall also advise the Secretary on any other issue related to management and restoration of nutrient-impaired water bodies. The Scientific Advisory Board shall submit an annual report to the Secretary no later than July 1 of each year concerning its activities, findings, and recommendations. Members of the Scientific Advisory Board shall be reimbursed for reasonable travel expenses to attend meetings convened by the Department for the purposes set out in this section.

Appendix 2: Membership history

Representation	Member (Affiliation)	Alternate
Local Government	Michael Layne 2010	
	Eric Kulz (Cary) 2014	Charles Brown
	Matt Flynn (Cary) 2011	
	Allison Weakley (Chapel Hill) 2016	Chris Jensen
	Trish D'Arconte (Chapel Hill) 2010	
	Sandra Wilbur (Durham) 2016	Michelle Woolfolk
	-John Cox (Durham) 2010	
	David Phlegar (Greensboro)	Peter Schneider (Greensboro)
	Josh Johnson (Alley, Williams, Carmen	
	and King, Inc. for Mebane, Graham,	Fred Royal (Chatham County)
	Elon, Gibsonville)	2010
Professional or Academic		
Trolessional of Academic	Larry Band (UNC) 2010	Jon Duncan (UNC) 2014
Professional Engineer	Bill Hunt (NCSU) 2010	Sally Hoyt (UNC) - 2013
Professional Engineer		Kathy DeBusk - 2010
NC DOT Representative	Andy McDaniel 2013	Brian Jacobsen
Ne Bot Representative	Matt Lauffer 2010	Andy McDaniel
Conservation Organization	Grady McCallie (NC Conservation	Peter Raabe – 2010 (American
Conservation Organization	Network) 2010	Rivers)
Representation	Advisor (affiliation)	Alternate
Falls Lake Watershed	Forrest Westall (Upper Neuse River	Haywood Phthisic (UNRBA)
	Basin Association) 2013	
	Year is initial start date. Strikethrough text denotes a previous member in	
	the position.	

^{*}In 2013: the NSAB decided to add a representative of the Falls Lake watershed as a non-voting advisor.