# Design Specifications and Nutrient Accounting for

# Remedying Discharging Sand Filters

## Summary

### Description

**Remedying Discharging Sand Filters** is the practice of replacing discharging sand filter onsite wastewater systems serving single family residences with alternatives to reduce nutrient loading to surface waters. As discharging systems, DSF’s are treated on an individual basis, not a programmatic basis.

Three Creditable Remedies:

1. Discharging TS-II Equivalent (nitrogen reducing) System
2. TS-II w/Subsurface Soil Dispersal System
3. Connection to Permitted Major NPDES System

Acronyms used:

* OWPB - Onsite Wastewater Protection Branch, Department of Health and Human Services.
* DWR - Division of Water Resources, Department of Environmental Quality

### Utility

Discharging sand filter systems are typically found in areas where drainage issues make soils unsuitable for conventional onsite septic systems. Replacing or upgrading these systems results in nutrient load reduction that can be counted towards load reduction requirements under applicable Division nutrient rules.

### Applicability

This practice applies toward compliance with Existing Development stormwater rules and may be implemented by any credit seeking party.

### Credit Overview

Credit varies depending on the type of system being replaced, type of improvement/replacement, and the number of bedrooms served by the system.

On-Site Improvement / Replacement (Range represents = 2-5 bedrooms)

* Nitrogen credit range: 4.5 lb/yr - 27.01 lb/yr
* Phosphorus credit range: (-1.35) lb/yr - 6.6 lb/yr

Connect to WWTP / Permitted NPDES System\* (Range represents 2-5 bedrooms)

* Nitrogen credit range: 6.6 lb/yr - 27.38 lb/yr
* Phosphorus credit range: 1.35 lb/yr - 6.66 lb/yr

\*Receive 100% reduction credit for the DSF load connected to the WWTP for treatment.

## Practice Design and Implementation

Discharging sand filters (DSF's) are residential wastewater treatment systems (permitted under the general NPDES permit NCG550000 or NCG570000) that use some form of treatment after the primary treatment a septic tank provides and are permitted to discharge to surface waters, ditches or the ground surface. There are four major types of DSFs and three possible remedies to replace/repair/eliminate them.

Four Major Types of Discharging Sand Filters:

1. Gravity-dosed, single-pass sand filters with regular discharges;
2. Gravity-dosed, single-pass sand filters with no or infrequent discharges (unlined or bottomless systems);
3. Recirculating filters and other advanced discharging systems;
4. Malfunctioning discharging systems (with surfacing effluent that can run offsite)

Three Creditable Remedies:

1. TS-II Equivalent (nitrogen reducing) System
2. TS-II Equivalent WITH Subsurface Soil Dispersal System
3. Connection to Permitted Major NPDES System

These three remedies are further explained below.

1. **Upgrade to Discharging TS-II Equivalent (nitrogen reducing) System.** This practice involves the installation of a DWR-approved advanced treatment system, which currently includes recirculating sand filters and EZ Treat and Orenco AdvanTex proprietary recirculating media filter systems.
2. **Upgrade to a Discharging TS-II Equivalent (nitrogen reducing) System WITH Subsurface Soil Dispersal.** This practice involves the installation of a DWR-approved advanced treatment system AND the installation of an onsite subsurface drain field. Treatment options are the same as in #1 above. The subsurface drainage system needs approval by the Onsite Wastewater Protection Branch (OWPB) of Department of Health and Human Services.
3. **Connect to a Permitted NPDES System**. This practice involves decommissioning or ending the use of the discharging sand filter and connected treatment system and connecting the residence to a permitted NPDES wastewater treatment system such as a municipal WWTP, via a sewer system.

### Qualifying Conditions and Limitations

#### Applicability

This practice applies toward compliance with Existing Development stormwater rules and may be implemented by any credit seeking party.

#### Preconditions

The proximity of existing sewer lines may make connection to permitted NPDES wastewater treatment plant the most viable option. In cases where sewer is either unavailable or cost prohibitive, replacement of the DSF system with a TS-II or equivalent system may still be a cost-effective option.

#### Constraints

Remedy 1 requires DWR approval.

Remedy 2 requires DWR and OWPB approval.

Remedy 3 requires an agreement with a wastewater treatment plant.

### Design Guidance

#### Required Elements

Discharging TS-II equivalent systems permitted by DWR must adhere to the standards, limits, management practices, and reporting requirements provided in the general NPDES permit (NCG550000 or NCG570000) to which they are subject. The following requirements are found in 15A NCAC 18A Section .1900, which refers to the NC laws and rules for sewage treatment and disposal systems and includes Rules .1969 and .1970.

* + - Major installation requirements for all OWPB approved onsite systems, including requirements such as setbacks from wells, design flows, and minimum vertical separation are established in Rules 15A NCAC 18A Section .1900.
    - Specific design elements for all TS-II Advanced treatment systems are addressed through existing regulations provided in Rules .1969 and .1970.
    - Rule .1969 "Approval and Permitting of On-Site Subsurface Wastewater Systems, Technologies, Components, or Devices" provides the process through which a product or system not specifically listed in the Section .1900 “Sewage Treatment and Disposal System” rules, can be approved for use in subsurface wastewater systems in NC.
    - Rule .1970 "Advanced Wastewater Pretreatment Systems" establishes advanced pre-treatment performance standards. It addresses TS-II system effluent quality standards, siting and sizing requirements, vertical separation requirements, horizontal setbacks, and design, installation requirements, inspections, and permitting.

Onsite wastewater design professionals may propose experimental or innovative systems that remove nutrients better than the system being replaced. Such departure from Section 1900 rules requires an applicant to provide technical justification to OWPB. Requirements include demonstrating the proposed system design is equally or more protective of water quality than the system being replaced. Vague, anecdotal or isolated evidence is not acceptable. If approved, alternative designs may receive lower regulatory credits, based on case-specific review. Contact OWBP for guidance.

### Installation/Implementation

#### Required Elements

General Installation requirements, maintenance procedures, and inspection frequency for OWPB approved TS-II systems are provided in 15A NCAC 18A Section .1900. Rule .1970 Item (l) provides specific installation and construction instructions for approved TS-II systems. Innovative and experimental systems are required to be installed by a manufacturer-authorized installer according to the manufacturer's installation specifications and system-specific installation conditions prescribed in the products Accepted System approval document on the OWPB website.

### Operation and Maintenance

#### Required Elements

General Installation requirements, maintenance procedures and inspection frequency for OWPB approved TS-II systems are provided in 15A NCAC 18A Section .1900.

#### 2. Recommended Elements

Local governments planning to achieve nutrient reduction credit using these measures can adopt USEPA’s Decentralized Management Model II to improve the overall management of these discharging systems. The key provisions of this model program include requiring maintenance service contracts and tracking, system inventories. Search for “Voluntary National Guidelines for Management” at https://www.epa.gov/septic/septic-systems-guidance.

### Credit Award and Renewal

DWR permitted TS-II equivalent systems must adhere to the standards, limits, management practices, and reporting requirements provided in the general NPDES permit (NCG550000 or NCG570000) to which they are subject.

System performance and reporting for OWPB approved TS-II systems is described in Item (n) of 15A NCAC 18A .1970. It requires:

* + certified wastewater treatment facility operators (ORC) monitor each installed system
  + annual performance reports submitted to the local health department

Monitoring type and frequency varies by system type, the designated performance standard, system flow, and history of performance. These parameters are described in the systems approval document on the OWPB website and in sub-items (n)(1) through (n)(5) of rule .1970. Site and system compliance with the performance standards is determined by the requirements described in sub-item (o) of the same rule.

In order to track credit and ensure continued credit, copies of the system performance reports will be required by DWR.  
  
DWR permitted TS-II equivalent systems must adhere to the reporting requirements provided in the general NPDES permit (NCG550000 or NCG570000) to which they are subject.

The performance verification for reductions achieved through connection to a permitted NPDES facility will be handled through the WWTP's annual discharge reports provided to DWR.

## Nutrient Credit Estimation

### Credit Method Description

The load estimation method is a 2-step calculation comparing the remedy measure's per capita loading rate to the original DSF loading rate and multiplying by the assumed number of occupants.

* To determine the credit rate (lbs/capita/year), the remedy load rate is subtracted from the DSF load rate it is replacing. (Table 1 &2)
* To calculate the per system reduction credit (lbs/year) which is the credit the onsite system will be given, the credit rate from Table 1 & 2 is multiplied by the number of people in the household where the repair takes place (Table 3). The number of people is based on the number of bedrooms.

The loading rates and reduction credits in Tables 1 & 2 are drawn from *Tetra Tech, 2013*. For context, the nutrient loading rates for DSF systems are less than direct septic tank effluent discharge, which is estimated to have per capita nutrient loading rates of 11.0 lbs/capita/yr TN and 1.8 lbs/capita/yr TP.

Remedied systems will receive the credit if designed, constructed and maintained in accordance with OWPB Rules. Please note that maintenance of credit is contingent upon compliance with proper maintenance and inspection schedules.

**Table 1 TN Load Reduction Credit Matrix**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **System** | | | |
|  | DSF  Loading  Rate  (lb/cap/yr) | Discharging TS-II Equivalent | TS-II WITH Subsurface Soil Dispersal | Connection to major NPDES System |
|  |  | Remedy System Loading Rate  (lb/cap/year) | | |
|  |  | 4.4 | .13 | 0\* |
| Types of DSFs |  | Credit Rate | | |
| Single-pass w/ regular discharges | 7.4 | 3.0 | 7.3 | 7.4 |
| Single-pass w/ no or infrequent discharges | 7.4 | 3.0 | 7.3 | 7.4 |
| Recirculating filters and other advanced discharging systems | 4.4 | 0 | 4.3 | 4.4 |
| Malfunctioning discharging systems | 7.4 | 3.0 | 7.3 | 7.4 |

*\* Assumes all load transferred to major NPDES sector*

**Table 2 TP Load Reduction Credit Matrix**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **System** | | | |
|  | DSF  Loading  Rate  (lb/cap/yr) | Discharging TS-II Equivalent | TS-II WITH Subsurface Soil Dispersal | Connection to major NPDES System |
|  |  | Remedy System Loading Rate  (lb/cap/year) | | |
|  |  | 1.8 | 0 | 0\* |
| Types of DSFs |  | Credit Rate | | |
| Single-pass w/ regular discharges | 1.8 | 0 | 1.8 | 1.8 |
| Single-pass w/ no or infrequent discharges | 0.9 | (-0.9) | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| Recirculating filters and other advanced discharging systems | 1.8 | 0 | 1.8 | 1.8 |
| Malfunctioning discharging systems | 1.8 | 0 | 1.8 | 1.8 |

*\* Assumes all load transferred to major NPDES sector*

**Table 3 Assumed Number People Per Household of Various Sizes**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Number of Bedrooms** | **Number of Persons** |
| 0-2 | 1.5 |
| 3 | 2.2 |
| 4 | 2.9 |
| 5 | 3.7 |
| Each Additional | 0.7 |

### Calculation Instructions

The following equation is used to determine N and P removal credit for a given remedy at a residence.

RC = CR x P = (DSFLR - RLR) x P

Where:

* RC = Reduction credit (lb/year, RCN or RCP)
* CR = Credit Rate (lb/capita/year)
* DSFLR = Discharging Sand Filter Loading Rate (lb/capita/year)
* RLR = Remedy Loading Rate (lb/capita/year)
* P = # of persons per household (capita)

## Supporting Technical Information

### Reductions Obtained

Describe the range of nutrient reductions that could be obtained based on the practice options and site conditions.

Credit varies depending on:

* the type of system being replaced,
* the type of remedy, and
* the number of bedrooms served by the system.

**Range of reductions for On-Site Remedy Upgrades 1 and 2**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2 bedroom | 5 bedroom |
| Nitrogen | 4.5 lbs/yr | 27.01 lb/yr |
| Phosphorus | (-1.35) lb/yr | 6.66 lb/yr |

**Range of reduction for Connection to a permitted NPDES System\***

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2 bedroom | 5 bedroom |
| Nitrogen | 6.6 lbs/yr | 27.38 lb/yr |
| Phosphorus | 1.35 lb/yr | 6.66 lb/yr |

*\* Receive 100% reduction credit for the DSF load connected.*

### Example Calculation

Aromaville lies along a river and many of the older homes have septic systems. A survey of the systems found some with discharging sand filters (DSFs). DSFs can be upgraded in different ways to improve nutrient removal, regardless of whether they are malfunctioning or working as they were designed. Malfunctioning systems would be placed higher on the replacement priority list. One road directly the river has 10 homes with discharging sandfilters, all built about the same time, each with 3 bedrooms. Six of these homes abut land behind them that will soon hold a new development with municipal water and sewer. All 6 homes have single-pass DSFs, 4 with regular discharges and 2 completely malfunctioning. All 6 septic systems will be decommissioned, and the homes connected to the municipal sewer system. The other 4 homes have single pass DSFs, 2 with no discharges, 1 with regular discharges, and 1 completely malfunctioning DSF system. The town, working with the homeowners will upgrade all 4 of these to a Discharging TS-II equivalent system.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | N | P |  | N | P |
| Current single pass DSF | Replacement System | Credit RATE per system (lb/cap/yr) | | # systems | Credit  Rate  (lb/year) | |
| with regular discharge | connect to municipal system | 7.4 | 1.8 | 4 | 29.6 | 7.2 |
| malfunctioning | connect to municipal system | 7.4 | 1.8 | 2 | 14.8 | 3.6 |
| with no discharge | TS-II equivalent | 3.0 | (-0.9) | 2 | 6 | -1.8 |
| with regular discharge | TS-II equivalent | 3.0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| malfunctioning | TS-II equivalent | 3.0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| Totals (lbs/capita/year) |  | 23.8 | 2.7 | 10 | 56.4 | 9 |

RC = CR x P = (DSFLR - RLR) x P

Where:

* RC = Reduction credit (lbs of nutrient, RCN or RCP)
* CR = Credit Rate (lb/capita/year)
* DSFLR = Discharging Sand Filter Loading Rate (lb/capita/year)
* RLR = Remedy Loading Rate (lb/capita/year)
* P = # of persons per household (capita)

Use the tables found in Section 3.A to determine the appropriate Credit Rate for N and P for each System/Remedy change. Multiply those rates by the # of each type of system change. Add all those rates to determine the Total Credit Rate for the entire 10 system project.

Since each house has 3 bedrooms, Table 3.A.3 is used to determine a 3 bedrooms house has 2.2 people (capita) living in it.

Multiple the Credit Rate above by 2.2 for both N and P.

For Nitrogen:

RCN = CR x P = 56.4 (lb/cap/yr) x 2.2 (cap) = 124.08 lb/yr

For Phosphorous:

RCP = CR x P = 9 (lb/cap/yr) x 2.2 (cap) = 19.8 lb/yr

### Credit Basis and Relative Confidence

A Confidence Evaluation Matrix (NCDWR, 2018) confidence evaluation compares a range of factors regarding the available science used to determine nutrient credits and meant to guide further research. It is intended to lend structure and consistency to a qualitative evaluation process and can help determine the need for incorporating conservatism into final credit assignments. The matrix focuses mainly on the studies behind estimates, but also on the estimation methods themselves.

The matrix is a structured decision-making tool, designed to help compare different options by choosing one of the confidence levels for each of the eleven factors. Some factors may be more relevant to certain practices and studies. Lack of information or a low-confidence result for a factor does not connote disapproval.

In this case, the load estimation method is a direct comparison of the remedy measure's load to the original estimated load of the DSF, adding no other uncertainty to the assessment.

Using the Confidence Evaluation Matrix, relative confidence in the reductions estimated for Remedying Discharging Sand Filters is Medium to High.

### Cost Analysis

The cost of implementing the various remedies described in this chapter is highly variable and site specific. For instance, connection to an existing NPDES permitted discharge (WTTP) varies by municipality and can cost as little as $2,500. However, this low end estimate assumes a sewer line is accessible in a location close the home desiring to connect. If existing sewer lines are not nearby, connecting to the city's sewer system can be considerably more expensive and would likely not be a cost-effective option.

Advanced TS-II and equivalent systems can range in costs anywhere from $15K to $25K to install depending on soils, topography, and other site-specific conditions. Ultimately the cost effectiveness of each remedy must be assessed on a site by site basis by the jurisdiction and homeowner to identify the most cost-effective approach.

### Risks and Benefits

The focus of this guidance is nutrient load reductions through remedying discharging sand filters. However, it is important to recognize that nutrient reducing measures such as those described in this chapter may result in other benefits to the environment and quantifiable ecosystem services that further add to their overall value and desirability. In the case of remedying DSF's, additional benefits may be limited to additional reduction of fecal coliform levels through the elimination of surface discharges or through additional treatment prior to discharge.
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### Credit Development Documentation

Design rules were subjected to a rigorous public process and scrutiny by technical experts, as such these design and operation requirements are considered reliable and sufficient for the purposes of sustained nutrient removal function. It is essential to sustained nutrient removal that single-family discharging systems receive ongoing operation and maintenance by a professional management entity or certified contract operator. Overall, while some amount of performance variability must be assumed associated with variation in actual levels of operation, practice O&M specifications are well developed, helping to minimize additional uncertainty regarding operational based loads.