

**State Water Infrastructure Authority
December 9, 2020 Meeting
Agenda Item G – Draft List of Distressed Units**

Division of Water Infrastructure Staff Report

Background

Session Law 2020-79, entitled in part “An Act to Improve the Viability of the Water and Wastewater Systems of Certain Units of Local Government...”, authorizes the State Water Infrastructure Authority (Authority) and Local Government Commission (Commission) to develop criteria to assess and review local government units, and to utilize the assessment and review process to identify distressed units.

At a Special Joint meeting of the Authority and Commission held on October 14, 2020, the staffs of the Division of Water Infrastructure (Division) and the Commission presented the Authority and Commission with proposed Assessment Criteria. The Division and Commission staffs then developed criteria for identifying distressed units (Identification Criteria). The Commission adopted the Assessment Criteria and the Identification Criteria at its meeting on November 10, 2020. The Authority adopted the Assessment Criteria and the Identification Criteria at its meeting on November 18, 2020.

Distressed Unit Identification Criteria

The Authority and Commission adopted the following Identification Criteria to be used to identify distressed units:

1. A unit whose fiscal affairs are under the control of the Commission pursuant to its authority granted by G.S. 159-181 (“under Commission fiscal control”), or
2. A unit that has not submitted its annual audits for the last two (2) fiscal years to the Commission as required by G.S. 159-34, or
3. A unit with a total Assessment Criteria (see Attachment 1) score that:
 - a) Equals or exceeds 9 for units providing both drinking water and wastewater services, or
 - b) Equals or exceeds 8 for units providing only one service, either drinking water or wastewater, or
4. A unit for which other information is available to or known by the Authority or Commission that reflects and is consistent with, but does not expressly appear in, the Assessment Criteria to account for situations in which the Assessment Criteria score does not wholly or accurately reflect a system’s level of risk due to the limitations of available data.

Draft Distressed List

Division and Commission staff have compiled data for local government units to begin identifying distressed units. “Attachment 2 – Key Data” lists units in alphabetic order along with key data values used to assign scores for each of the Assessment Criteria parameters. “Attachment 3 – Assessment Score” shows the score assigned to each unit for each of the parameters and total score. Units meeting the Identification Criteria are identified by a 1, 2, or 3 in the “Distressed ID Category” column.

The first four units on the list were officially designated as distressed by the Authority (November 18, 2020) and the Commission (November 10, 2020): Town of Eureka, Cliffside Sanitary District, Town of Bethel, and Town of Kingstown. The remainder of the list is presented for consideration with action expected to be taken to identify additional units as distressed at future meetings.

State Water Infrastructure Authority
December 9, 2020 Meeting
Agenda Item G Attachment 1 – Distressed Unit Assessment Criteria

Distressed Unit Assessment Criteria

Parameter	Weight	Description	Formula / Other	Data Source
Criteria Required by Statute				
Service Population	1	Identifies smaller systems (less than 10,000 people served).		Varied
Transfers Out	1	Point scored when money is transferred out of the system's dedicated utility fund in 2 or more of the last 5 fiscal years if the system also has a negative surplus in the fiscal year of the transfer, or if the system has no debt and there is a negative surplus with debt service for a \$1 million "test" project. This indicates that money generated by the utilities is not being put back into the system for improvements.		Calculated from LGC data
Transfers In	1	Indicates that the system is not generating enough money to cover expenses. Point scored when money is transferred into the primary water/sewer fund from other sources in 2 or more of the last 5 years.		Calculated from LGC data
Debt Service Coverage Ratio (DSCR)	1	Measures unit's ability to cover loan payments by looking at revenue, expenses, and loan payments (principal and interest). Threshold value is less than 1.1.	(Operating revenues - operating expenses excluding depreciation) / (principal + interest payments)	Calculated from LGC data
Established, operational, and adequately funded program for repair, maintenance, and management		This criterion is measured by other parameters that are identified by an asterisk (*) including compliance, flow moratorium, and UAL control issues.		
Infrastructure/Organizational Criteria				
DW Compliance (*)	1	Point scored for more than 5 MCL violations in a 5-year period or for ongoing treatment technique violations.		NC DEQ-DWR

Parameter	Weight	Description	Formula / Other	Data Source
Infrastructure/Organizational Criteria (continued)				
WW / CS Compliance (*)	1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • WW: Point scored if either in the top 10% for number of violations in a 5-year period, or a combination of in the top 20% for number of violations in a 5-year period and more than 50% of inspections document violations for wastewater treatment operations. • CS: Point scored if either the following occur: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The system is in the top 10% of systems for the number of SSO violations in a 5-year period and the top 20% for the number of SSOs per mile of collection system, or ○ The system is in the top 20% of systems for the number of SSO violations in a 5-year period and the top 10% for the number of SSOs per mile of collection system. 		NC DEQ-DWR
Flow Moratorium (*)	4	Points scored when the system is under a moratorium preventing service expansion due to inability to treat wastewater or because the system has reached 90% of permitted capacity.		NC DEQ-DWR
Revenue Outlook	4	Reflects service unit's ability to generate income in the future. Points scored when the system has high rates AND declining population.		EFC rates; source of population varies
Affordability	1	Identifies economically disadvantaged communities by comparing the service area's population change rate, poverty rate, median household income (MHI), unemployment rate, and property valuation per capita to established state benchmarks. Point scored if 4 or 5 of these indicators are worse than the state benchmark. Note that the benchmark values are updated every year.	<u>Current Benchmarks:</u> Population change: 4.42% Poverty rate: 14.0% MHI: \$52,413 Unemployment rate: 4.0% Property valuation per capita: \$107,620	Developed by DWI/SWIA
Rates	1	Point scored when rates are already high. Indicates that the system is unlikely to be able to increase rates to improve revenue. High rates thresholds are: > \$100/month for combined water and sewer service; > \$50/month for water service only; and > \$60/month for wastewater service only.	2019 inside rate for 5,000 gallons used	EFC
DW Pop / Mile	1	Evaluates population density. Lower density areas tend to face more service challenges. Threshold value is less than 100.	Service Population/ Miles of drinking water pipe	LWSP (see above for population)
Sewer Pop / Mile	1	Evaluates population density. Lower density areas tend to face more service challenges. Note that there is a significant lack of data on miles of sewer line for small systems. Threshold value is less than 100.	Service Population/ Miles of sewer pipe	NC DEQ-DWR

Parameter	Weight	Description	Formula / Other	Data Source
Infrastructure/Organizational Criteria (continued)				
UAL Control Issues - W/S only (*)	3	Points scored for systems that have been identified as medium risk (2) or high risk (1) of accounting control issues associated with water and/or sewer systems based on annual audits.		LGC
Financial Criteria				
UAL Missing Audit	3	If audit not submitted, then treat as if on the UAL for control issues (for FY 19 audits)		LGC
Surplus (deficit) w/ Debt	2	Identifies systems that are not generating enough revenue to cover expenses, asset depreciation, and debt payments. Threshold value is less than or equal to \$0.	Operating Revenues- ((Operating Expenses- Depreciation)+Long-term Debt Service)	Calculated from LGC data
No Debt DSCR Test	1	Similar to the DSCR calculated above but includes \$1 million "test" project if system has no debt to allow evaluation of the ability to finance a simple project. Threshold value is 1.1.		Calculated from LGC data
% Depreciated	1	Evaluates the financial impact of depreciation of water and sewer assets as they age. Threshold value is greater than 50%.		Calculated from LGC data
Operating Margin	1	Point scored if the system is not generating enough revenue to cover operating expenses. Threshold value is less than 0.	(Operating Revenue - Operating Expenses) / Operating Revenue Includes depreciation	Calculated from LGC data
Quick Ratio	1	Evaluates a system's ability to meet short-term financial obligations with cash or easily accessible funds. Threshold value is less than 1.1.	Current assets (excluding inventories and prepaids) / current liabilities	Calculated from LGC data
Receivables Ratio	1	Measures how well the system is collecting money from customers. Point scored for 3-year average greater than or equal to 2.3 or if there is an increase of greater than or equal to 0.2 in each of the last two years which demonstrates a declining trend in bill payment.	Days Receivables /billing period Days receivables=Net Customer Accounts Receivable/Charges for services /365	Calculated from LGC data

List of Acronyms		
CS = Collection System	DWR = Division of Water Resources	SSO = Sanitary Sewer Overflow
DEQ = Dept. of Environmental Quality	EFC = Environmental Finance Center	SWIA = State Water Infrastructure Authority
DSCR = Debt Service Coverage Ratio	LGC = Local Government Commission	WW = Wastewater (sewer)
DW = Drinking Water	LWSR = Local Water Supply Plan	UAL = Unit Assistant List generated by LGC to identify communities that may have problems with accounting procedures identified through audits
DWI = Division of Water Infrastructure	MCL = Maximum Contaminant Level	

Agenda Item G. Attachment 2 - Key Data

Criteria	Utility Type	Distressed ID Category	Assessment Score	Service Population	% Pop Change (2014 - 2018)	Affordability Exceedances	Years Audit Missing	1, 2, or ANS*	N/A	See Criteria	See Criteria WW Compliance Distressed Indicators	M, SOC, or 90%**	See Criteria WW Collection System Compliance	<100	<100	>\$50 (Single)	>\$60 (Single)	>\$100 (Both)	<1.1	<\$0	Surplus If No Debt w/ \$1 M Test Project	>50%	<0			>1.1	<1.1	Receivabl es Ratio 2019	Receivables Ratio Trend (#>= 0.2)	T In - # of Years	T Out - # of Years	>=2 & Criteria
Aberdeen	BOTH		6	7,419	10.70%	0		1	1					253	266	\$ 24.33	\$ 27.65	\$ 51.98	NoDebt	\$ 452,626	\$ 402,626	57.15%	(0.02)	\$ -	359	9.05	4.4	1.1	0.0	0	0	
Ahoskie	BOTH		8	4,882	-2.69%	5		3	3					147	114	\$ 40.58	\$ 61.93	\$ 102.51	1.89	\$ 754,031		32.03%	0.20	\$ 843,452	33		1.3	1.3	1.0	1	5	
Alamance	BOTH		4	1,069	36.01%	0								91	NoData	\$ 36.45	\$ 42.00	\$ 78.45	5.21	\$ 53,885		27.26%	(0.23)	\$ 12,799	402		9.5	1.8	0.0	2	2	
Albemarle	BOTH		4	15,889	-0.22%	4				2		4		84	NoData	\$ 21.84	\$ 20.20	\$ 42.04	1.55	\$ 1,169,790		52.30%	0.05	\$ 2,137,003	215		2.5	1.4	0.0	0	5	
Alexander County	BOTH		3	11,138	-0.15%	4								90	NoData	\$ 47.80	\$ 35.87	\$ 83.67	3.42	\$ 982,822		43.20%	0.16	\$ 405,702	1276		6.9	1.5	1.0	5	5	
Andrews	BOTH	3	10	1,830	-6.58%	5		1	2					116	88	\$ 43.00	\$ 43.00	\$ 86.00	2.92	\$ 192,941		66.80%	(0.07)	\$ 100,327	86		3.4	1.4	2.0	1	2	
Angier	BOTH		1	5,376	12.94%	3								114	112	\$ 30.15	\$ 45.00	\$ 75.15	1.48	\$ 233,470		41.92%	0.10	\$ 482,239	691		7.6	1.7	0.0	0	1	
Anson County	BOTH		8	25,306	-3.81%	5		1	3				4	48	444	\$ 25.97	\$ 22.55	\$ 48.52	10.35	\$ 1,435,848		59.40%	(0.00)	\$ 153,492	377		13.1	1.2	1.0	0	0	
Ansonville	BOTH		5	735	13.08%	3								28	NoData	\$ 30.48	\$ 41.57	\$ 72.05	1.15	\$ 8,619		53.03%	(0.03)	\$ 55,613	189		3.4	0.6	0.0	1	4	
Apex	BOTH		1	48,254	18.76%	0								185	194	\$ 26.49	\$ 56.19	\$ 82.68	1.32	\$ 1,254,457		36.72%	(0.32)	\$ 3,899,182	1990		3.4	2.0	1.0	0	0	
Archdale	BOTH		1	11,538	1.91%	4								228	134	\$ 28.19	\$ 38.04	\$ 66.23	1.58	\$ 666,290		44.01%	0.18	\$ 1,140,482	1190		7.1	1.8	0.0	0	0	
Asheboro	BOTH		5	25,859	1.12%	4							4	107	110	\$ 28.12	\$ 31.75	\$ 59.87	1.53	\$ 325,972		56.08%	(0.10)	\$ 614,462	375		7.7	2.3	0.0	0	3	
Asheville	DW		1	90,531	5.53%	1								74	DW Only	\$ 34.88			3.23	\$ 12,580,591		47.26%	0.29	\$ 5,643,566	1224		5.5	0.7	0.0	1	0	
Askewville		2	5	199	-11.16%	4	19 and 18	ANS						WW Only	DW Only				NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	LimitedData	0	0	
Atkinson	DW		6	338	5.96%	4		1	3					NoData	DW Only	NoData			1.28	\$ 9,014		16.25%	(0.17)	\$ 32,365	1371	NoData	10.7	NoData	LimitedData	0	0	
Atlantic Beach	DW		3	1,736	7.29%	3								128	DW Only	\$ 21.50			8.90	\$ 304,519		79.00%	0.10	\$ 38,527	404		12.7	2.4	0.0	0	5	
Aulander	BOTH		5	831	3.10%	5							3	120	207	\$ 38.50	\$ 28.75	\$ 67.25	1.78	\$ 58,415		53.85%	(0.14)	\$ 74,993	787		1.9	1.7	1.0	0	0	
Aurora	BOTH		7	554	1.65%	5				4				72	NoData	\$ 38.97	\$ 31.16	\$ 70.13	NoDebt	\$ 22,652	\$ (27,348)	81.46%	0.01	\$ -	143	0.45	21.5	1.9	0.0	0	0	
Autryville	DW	3	8	207	-48.89%	4								32	DW Only	\$ 49.36			0.23	\$ (23,408)		67.30%	(0.07)	\$ 30,427	158	NoData	6.2	1.0	0.0	0	0	
Ayden	BOTH		4	5,112	1.87%	5								82	152	\$ 39.25	\$ 62.74	\$ 101.99	1.19	\$ 84,305		39.33%	0.04	\$ 451,506	174		1.2	2.2	1.0	1	0	
Bailey	BOTH	3	9	450	-8.91%	5						M		68	NoData	\$ 40.55	\$ 45.75	\$ 86.30	2.41	\$ 59,144		48.53%	0.01	\$ 42,004	547		9.8	1.5	2.0	0	0	
Bakersville	BOTH		6	468	19.69%	4								46	NoData	\$ 21.50	\$ 21.50	\$ 43.00	2.84	\$ 33,764		34.48%	(0.28)	\$ 18,330	247		5.6	3.1	2.0	0	0	
Bald Head Island	BOTH		8	234	30.73%	1								7	75	\$ 54.60	\$ 97.05	\$ 151.65	0.99	\$ (9,740)		23.20%	0.18	\$ 838,893	293		2.3	1.0	0.0	2	0	
Banner Elk	BOTH		4	1,445	34.04%	2								54	53	\$ 39.00	\$ 39.00	\$ 78.00	4.18	\$ 269,175		62.49%	0.16	\$ 84,758	784		11.3	1.2	0.0	0	0	
Bath	BOTH	3	21	218	-10.66%	3		2	1			M		24	NoData	\$ 61.00	\$ 61.00	\$ 122.00	0.02	\$ (66,967)		54.60%	(0.21)	\$ 68,168	219		0.4	1.2	0.0	0	0	
Bay River Metropolitan Sewage District	WW	3	8	7,800	-2.45%	NoData								WW Only	35	\$ 50.00			0.98	\$ (7,142)		56.18%	(0.19)	\$ 315,560	294		5.9	2.5	1.0	0	0	
Beaufort	BOTH		5	4,314	4.73%	3								97	95	\$ 35.72	\$ 105.17	\$ 140.89	1.43	\$ 654,696		29.12%	0.27	\$ 1,515,018	191		1.5	2.4	2.0	0	1	
Beaufort County	DW	3	9	32,210	-0.72%	4								29	DW Only	\$ 52.43			1.06	\$ 199,198		28.52%	0.16	\$ 3,145,859	717		2.7	2.6	1.0	0	0	
Beech Mountain	BOTH		7	581	3.57%	1								5	54	\$ 46.50	\$ 46.50	\$ 93.00	0.47	\$ (543,063)		35.67%	0.00	\$ 1,021,317	242		2.7	1.2	0.0	2	0	
Belfast/Patetown Sanitary District	DW		0	Unknown	-0.07%	NoData								NoData	DW Only	NoData			2.33	\$ 676,964		34.26%	0.20	\$ 507,403	1318		11.4	NoData	LimitedData	0	0	
Belhaven	BOTH	3	12	1,879	5.15%	4		2	2					98	83	\$ 44.70	\$ 57.25	\$ 101.95	0.87	\$ (470,726)		35.60%	0.09	\$ 322,018	0		0.5	1.8	1.0	0	0	
Belmont	BOTH		5	11,768	13.74%	1							3	99	95	\$ 37.08	\$ 44.16	\$ 81.24	2.15	\$ 724,307		43.14%	(0.11)	\$ 630,899	632		2.0	2.2	2.0	0	1	
Benson	BOTH		8	3,651	6.29%	3								93	92	\$ 31.45	\$ 37.95	\$ 69.40	(0.20)	\$ (360,949)		35.67%	(0.14)	\$ 300,213	53		2.0	1.6	0.0	2	1	
Bermuda Run	BOTH		1	2,568	1.42%	1								NoData	NoData	\$ 31.18	\$ 58.52	\$ 89.70	NoDebt	\$ 353,289	\$ 303,289	38.52%	0.47	\$ -	3087	7.07	19706.2	0.0	0.0	1	0	
Bertie County	DW	3	9	19,644	-5.00%	5		1	1					31	DW Only	\$ 38.00			0.75	\$ (259,398)		30.42%	(0.03)	\$ 1,019,786	235		2.1	1.1	0.0	0	0	
Bessemer City	BOTH		2	5,438	0.52%	5								125	110	\$ 29.10	\$ 45.82	\$ 74.92	3.86	\$ 697,838		40.49%	0.07	\$ 243,957	72		1.7	2.0	1.0	0	2	
Bethel	BOTH	3 D	17	1,753	-4.42%	5		2	1					138	63	\$ 61.20	\$ 73.10	\$ 134.30	0.26	\$ (290,502)		32.90%	(0.47)	\$ 393,675	0		0.6	3.8	2.0	0	0	
Beulaville	BOTH		5	1,513	-7.29%	5								90	95	\$ 37.00	\$ 37.00	\$ 74.00	1.33	\$ 57,598		40.80%	(0.13)	\$ 172,689	629		10.4	2.2	1.0	0	0	
Biltmore Forest	BOTH		4	1,536	5.28%	0								76	NoData	\$ 45.90	\$ 39.11	\$ 85.01	NoDebt	\$ 180,295	\$ 130,295	50.49%	0.02	\$ -	113	3.61	207.5	0.9	1.0	2	0	
Biscoe	BOTH		4	2,490	42.04%	4								97	43	\$ 28.50	\$ 28.50	\$ 57.00	3.79	\$ 392,044		42.59%	0.12	\$ 140,542	479		8.9	1.3	1.0	1	1	
Black Creek	BOTH		7	865	9.77%	4	2019	ANS						72	NoData	\$ 30.55	\$ 30.85	\$ 61.40	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	LimitedData	0	1	
Black Mountain	DW		1	8,137	2.01%	2								139	DW Only	\$ 37.70			1.84	\$ 199,868		44.30%	0.11	\$ 238,236	357		6.0	2.0	1.0	0	0	
Bladen County	DW		4	6,020	-3.26%	5								13	DW Only	\$ 31.04			1.23	\$ 242,951		35.95%	0.22	\$ 1,058,888	694		3.5	2.7	1.0	1	0	
Bladenboro	BOTH	3	9	1,934	-0.21%	5								85	91	\$ 17.86	\$ 26.47	\$ 44.33	(5.65)	\$ (59,356)		41.19%	(0.49)	\$ 8,929	154		9.7	3.2	1.0	0	1	
Blowing Rock	BOTH		7	1,202	2.30%	1							3	62	97	\$ 37.75	\$ 37.75	\$ 75.50	1.01	\$ 3,988		43.40%	(0.09)	\$ 319,020	692		5.6	1.2	0.0	2	0	
Boardman	BOTH	3	11	273	85.71%	4		2	2					52	87	\$ 30.00	\$ 44.50	\$ 74.50	NoDebt	\$ (39,087)	\$ (89,087)	40.91%	(2.65)	\$ -	429	(0.78)	NoData	1.0	1.0	0	0	
Boiling Springs	BOTH		3	4,598	-0.45%	3								86	90	\$ 34.50	\$ 34.50	\$ 69.00	2.36	\$ 261,898		43.75%	0.12	\$ 192,438	172		3.2	1.4	0.0	0	1	
Bolton	BOTH	3	12	669	-7.85%	5		1	1					63	NoData	\$ 24.00	\$ 37.50	\$ 61.50	(8.70)	\$ (86,289)		21.71%	(1.70)	\$ 8,900	0		0.8	1.0	0.0	0	0	
Boone	BOTH		1	18,795	5.58%	3								182	146	\$ 34.20	\$ 32.25	\$ 66.45	1.72	\$ 1,332,750		30.07%	0.25	\$ 1,845,180	870		22.4	11.4	2.0	1	1	
Boonville	BOTH		7	1,174	-24.11%	3								80	117	\$ 30.45	\$ 46.20	\$ 76.65	0.33	\$ (56,032)		56.90										

Agenda Item G. Attachment 2 - Key Data

Criteria	Utility Type	Distressed ID Category	Assessment Score	< 10,000 Population	See Criteria % Pop Change (2014 - 2018)	4 or 5 Affordability Exceedances	Last 2 yrs Audit Missing	1, 2, or ANS*	N/A	See Criteria DW Compliance (4 or More)	See Criteria WW Compliance Distressed Indicators	M, SOC, or 90%**	See Criteria WW Collection System Compliance	<100	<100	>\$50 (Single)	>\$60 (Single)	>\$100 (Both)	<1.1	<\$0	Surplus If No Debt w/ \$1 M Test Project	>50% Depreciation	<0 Operating Margin	Annual Debt Service	Days Cash on Hand	>1.1 No Debt DSCR Test	<1.1 Quick Ratio	Receivables Ratio 2019	Receivables Ratio Trend (#>= 0.2)	>1 T In - # of Years	>=2 T Out - # of Years	>=2 & Criteria	
								UAL (Control Issues)	UAL (W/S Financial Issues)					DW Pop / Mile	Sewer Pop / mile	DW Rate \$/5000 gal (2019 inside)	\$/5000 gal (2019 inside)	Combined Rate \$/5000 gal (2019 inside sum)	Debt Service Coverage Ratio	Surplus (deficit) w/ Debt													
Fontana Dam			3	10	-2.13%	2		3	1					WW Only	DW Only				NoDebt	\$ 13,705	\$ (36,295)	3.40%	(0.93)	\$ -	0	0.27	1.1	NoData	LimitedData	1	0		
Forest City	BOTH		4	7,167	-2.98%	4								80	84	\$ 22.37	\$ 23.12	\$ 45.49	18.21	\$ 1,649,284		44.08%	0.08	\$ 95,846	528		13.6	1.5	1.0	0	5		
Fork Township Sanitary District	BOTH		4	11,770	-0.07%	NoData		2	3					47	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	9.78	\$ 925,042		36.29%	0.14	\$ 105,380	1893		17.5	NoData	LimitedData	0	0		
Fountain	BOTH	3	12	341	-25.71%	5								54	NoData	\$ 50.00	\$ 57.50	\$ 107.50	NoDebt	\$ 8,311	\$ (41,689)	57.02%	(0.25)	\$ -	270	0.17	6.7	1.1	0.0	1	0		
Four Oaks	BOTH		8	2,159	4.40%	4		2	3					81	NoData	\$ 22.66	\$ 44.16	\$ 66.82	1.10	\$ 10,427		52.63%	0.01	\$ 109,603	349		9.8	1.1	1.0	0	0		
Foxfire Village	DW		4	1,189	5.22%	0								44	DW Only	\$ 31.76			1.67	\$ 63,564		57.98%	0.38	\$ 95,548	2875		33.3	1.5	2.0	0	1		
Franklin	BOTH		3	3,943	1.28%	3								71	86	\$ 30.20	\$ 29.42	\$ 59.62	1.55	\$ 564,915		33.08%	0.22	\$ 1,035,197	435		5.8	1.6	1.0	0	0		
Franklin County	BOTH		3	13,057	5.15%	3								67	248	\$ 51.90	\$ 58.10	\$ 110.00	4.27	\$ 4,222,492		41.97%	0.35	\$ 1,290,650	432		2.7	2.9	0.0	0	0		
Franklinville	BOTH	3	10	1,106	12.06%	3		1	1					57	NoData	\$ 70.18	\$ 47.05	\$ 117.23	(1.40)	\$ (51,742)		40.57%	(0.52)	\$ 21,527	239		9.1	1.2	1.0	0	0		
Fremont	BOTH	3	17	1,196	-5.90%	5		1	1					63	32	\$ 44.85	\$ 68.90	\$ 113.75	(1.51)	\$ (254,879)		36.59%	(0.38)	\$ 101,510	12		0.6	1.7	1.0	1	0		
Fuquay-Varina	BOTH		0	25,932	28.08%	0								134	150	\$ 34.63	\$ 42.47	\$ 77.10	1.27	\$ 1,069,513		31.31%	0.15	\$ 3,966,990	974		5.5	1.3	0.0	0	4		
Gamewell	WW	3	12	3,959	-0.93%	4		2	1					WW Only	64		\$ 28.75			NoDebt	\$ (9,459)	\$ (59,459)	39.38%	(1.38)	\$ -	7	(0.19)	NoData	2.5	0.0	2	0	
Garland	BOTH		4	1,052	50.50%	4					2			32	NoData	\$ 30.50	\$ 41.00	\$ 71.50	5.61	\$ 58,624		38.06%	0.06	\$ 12,719	704		28.4	1.2	0.0	0	0		
Gastonia	BOTH		2	75,138	3.40%	5								140	129	\$ 26.20	\$ 36.40	\$ 62.60	1.50	\$ 3,550,207		44.91%	0.14	\$ 7,048,410	263		4.1	2.0	2.0	1	4		
Gates County	BOTH	3	11	11,563	-2.64%	4		1	3			M		30	NoData	\$ 22.00	\$ 44.00	\$ 66.00	NoDebt	\$ 1,755,637	\$ 1,705,637	63.72%	0.48	\$ -	583	35.11	21.9	1.9	1.0	3	1		
Gibson	BOTH	3	10	482	-11.40%	5								29	NoData	\$ 28.63	\$ 18.75	\$ 47.38	NoDebt	\$ (41,926)	\$ (91,926)	63.81%	(0.78)	\$ -	492	(0.84)	8.2	2.5	1.0	0	0		
Gibsonville	BOTH		3	7,024	6.99%	2								175	139	\$ 23.16	\$ 59.16	\$ 82.32	5.01	\$ 195,471		62.96%	0.05	\$ 48,718	191		24.3	1.0	0.0	3	0		
Glen Alpine		3	9	1,557	3.04%	4		2	2					WW Only	DW Only				NoDebt	\$ (6,320)	\$ (56,320)	46.90%	(7.72)	\$ -	2012	(0.13)	200.9	NoData	LimitedData	1	0		
Godwin	DW	3	15	113	-8.13%	5		1	3					51	DW Only	\$ 52.00			NoDebt	\$ 824	\$ (49,176)	52.59%	(0.06)	\$ -	402	0.02	1.1	0.5	0.0	0	0		
Goldsboro	BOTH		4	34,972	-2.61%	5	2019	ANS						128	144	\$ 26.49	\$ 44.85	\$ 71.34	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	LimitedData	1	0	
Goldston	WW		6	264	9.09%	1								WW Only	NoData		\$ 55.00		0.29	\$ (90,016)		7.21%	(1.25)	\$ 126,000	1125		2.9	0.0	0.0	1	0		
Goldston/Gulf Sanitary District	DW		4	14,670	1.99%	NoData								48	DW Only	\$ 55.00			13.68	\$ 144,874		66.05%	0.05	\$ 11,421	2015		1.3	3.2	1.0	0	0		
Graham	BOTH		2	14,670	1.99%	4							3	136	159	\$ 24.05	\$ 29.85	\$ 53.90	2.91	\$ 1,240,946		35.73%	0.12	\$ 650,773	696		17.3	2.2	1.0	0	2		
Granite Falls	BOTH	3	9	4,638	-1.19%	2								86	94	\$ 32.37	\$ 30.83	\$ 63.20	0.65	\$ (70,118)		51.61%	(0.26)	\$ 201,561	368		11.2	3.4	1.0	1	1		
Green Level	BOTH		4	2,153	3.61%	5							3	212	NoData	\$ 41.67	\$ 93.10	\$ 134.77	1.10	\$ 21,809		27.23%	0.12	\$ 215,020	622		3.9	1.8	1.0	0	0		
Greene County	BOTH	3	11	9,852	-1.62%	4		1	3					28	NoData	\$ 42.49	\$ 36.11	\$ 78.60	0.47	\$ (734,305)		25.32%	(0.19)	\$ 1,381,485	344		2.8	2.0	0.0	0	5		
Greeneville	BOTH	3	13	742	-8.73%	4		3	1					154	NoData	\$ 47.75	\$ 57.75	\$ 105.50	NoDebt	\$ (42,245)	\$ (92,245)	35.43%	(0.58)	\$ -	140	(0.84)	1.7	1.3	0.0	4	0		
Greensboro	BOTH		1	288,719	4.52%	4								191	187	\$ 21.17	\$ 28.72	\$ 49.89	2.32	\$ 29,075,916		41.92%	0.18	\$ 21,982,105	224		2.4	1.8	0.0	1	1		
Greenville Utilities Commission	BOTH		1	2,863	9.78%	NoData								153	186	\$ 29.85	\$ 39.28	\$ 69.13	1.56	\$ 6,410,045		43.45%	0.17	\$ 11,443,630	183		2.5	1.6	1.0	0	0		
Gritton	BOTH	3	11	2,863	9.78%	4		1	2					238	120	\$ 42.90	\$ 49.35	\$ 92.25	0.45	\$ (27,154)		50.39%	(0.13)	\$ 49,792	135		4.8	1.4	0.0	0	5		
Grimesland	BOTH		8	483	6.62%	4								203	NoData	\$ 40.95	\$ 93.05	\$ 134.00	0.40	\$ (16,804)		43.07%	(0.52)	\$ 28,080	154		4.1	0.8	0.0	1	1		
Grover	BOTH	3	9	710	-34.98%	4		3	2					88	NoData	\$ 34.08	\$ 50.40	\$ 84.48	NoDebt	\$ (20,016)	\$ (70,016)	60.23%	(0.23)	\$ -	137	(0.40)	12.6	1.2	1.0	0	0		
Halifax	BOTH	3	11	148	-46.95%	5		1	1					59	NoData	\$ 22.75	\$ 25.50	\$ 48.25	NoDebt	\$ (21,770)	\$ (71,770)	28.88%	(0.79)	\$ -	126	(0.44)	5.7	1.9	0.0	0	0		
Halifax County	DW		2	20,250	-3.84%	5								33	DW Only	\$ 45.05			1.15	\$ 255,695		48.17%	0.07	\$ 1,751,991	353		2.6	1.5	0.0	0	3		
Hamilton	BOTH	3	9	355	5.34%	2		1	1					102	NoData	\$ 34.25	\$ 52.50	\$ 86.75	0.22	\$ (34,433)		42.30%	(0.35)	\$ 44,133	703		5.5	1.4	1.0	0	0		
Hamlet	BOTH		6	6,362	-3.11%	5		3	3					86	85	\$ 40.50	\$ 27.98	\$ 68.48	5.76	\$ 528,715		75.48%	(0.00)	\$ 111,115	489		14.4	1.3	1.0	0	0		
Handy Sanitary District	BOTH		3	3,143	0.04%	NoData								20	NoData	\$ 39.00	\$ 83.00	\$ 122.00	1.41	\$ 384,986		25.86%	0.21	\$ 940,887	483		3.2	1.9	1.0	0	0		
Harkers Island Sanitary District	DW		2	2,229	1.58%	NoData								106	DW Only	\$ 44.00			6.89	\$ 245,898		65.10%	0.35	\$ 41,762	1485		22.5	1.4	1.0	0	0		
Harmony	WW		3	592	4.96%	3								WW Only	NoData		\$ 36.80		1.89	\$ 12,108		26.71%	(0.76)	\$ 13,581	1416		38.4	1.3	1.0	0	0		
Harnett County	BOTH		4	130,361	7.04%	4							4	62	34	\$ 34.25	\$ 41.25	\$ 75.50	3.37	\$ 11,415,190		25.56%	0.12	\$ 4,813,681	916		8.4	1.5	0.0	1	1		
Harrellsville	DW		4	116	8.41%	4								54	DW Only	\$ 32.00			1.62	\$ 22,467		60.58%	0.13	\$ 36,102	633		4.0	1.3	1.0	0	0		
Harrisburg	BOTH		0	15,269	12.69%	0								145	128	\$ 29.49	\$ 29.31	\$ 58.80	1.44	\$ 738,130		29.16%	0.11	\$ 1,674,920	501		5.2	2.2	1.0	1	0		
Havelock	BOTH		2	20,330	-2.49%	4							3	176	191	\$ 35.18	\$ 57.32	\$ 92.50	1.59	\$ 604,6													

Agenda Item G. Attachment 2 - Key Data

Criteria	Utility Type	Distressed ID Category	Assess ment Score	Service Population	% Pop Change (2014 - 2018)	4 or 5	Last 2 yrs	1, 2, or ANS*	N/A	See Criteria	See Criteria WW Compliance Distressed Indicators	M, SOC, or 90%**	See Criteria WW Collection System Compliance	<100	<100	>\$50 (Single)	>\$60 (Single)	>\$100 (Both)	<1.1	<\$0	Surplus If No Debt w/ \$1 M Test Project	>50%	<0	>1.1	<1.1	=>2.3	>1	=>2	=>2 & Criteria			
																														DW Pop / Mile	Sewer Pop / mile	DW Rate \$/5000 gal (2019 inside)
Kinston	BOTH		2	20,659	-4.31%	5		3	2					125	127	\$ 41.86	\$ 42.15	\$ 84.01	1.93	\$ 1,813,264		45.60%	0.05	\$ 1,941,856	158	3.3	1.5	1.0	3	4		
Kure Beach	BOTH		2	2,271	-4.90%	1								230	218	\$ 24.75	\$ 38.00	\$ 62.75	1.92	\$ 237,191		41.81%	0.11	\$ 258,815	489	7.6	2.1	2.0	0	0		
La Grange	BOTH		4	2,702	-5.39%	5					2			106	100	\$ 37.75	\$ 42.75	\$ 80.50	25.47	\$ 504,945		57.88%	0.09	\$ 20,635	396	10.6	0.6	0.0	0	3		
Lake Lure	BOTH		4	1,557	13.24%	1					2			32	NoData	\$ 50.95	\$ 69.50	\$ 120.45	4.11	\$ 405,161		37.93%	0.19	\$ 130,262	128	3.3	1.1	0.0	1	0		
Lake Santeetlah	DW		7	51	27.50%	1								6	DW Only	\$ 49.58						(0.23)	\$ (15,495)	91.05%	(0.07)	\$ 12,558	261	17.4	0.0	0.0	0	0
Lake Waccamaw	BOTH		4	1,622	16.69%	3								99	49	\$ 21.00	\$ 42.10	\$ 63.10	2.26	\$ 138,072		44.78%	(0.06)	\$ 109,941	845	8.2	1.5	0.0	0	0		
Landis	BOTH	3	11	3,092	-0.39%	3		1	2					79	111	\$ 56.75	\$ 66.60	\$ 123.35	2.02	\$ 430,346		44.28%	0.12	\$ 422,479	67	1.6	1.7	1.0	2	2		
Lansing	BOTH		8	182	-7.61%	4								67	NoData	\$ 23.00	\$ 29.50	\$ 52.50	NoDebt	\$ 12,900	\$ (37,100)	50.76%	(0.23)	\$ -	486	0.26	85.8	2.4	1.0	1	0	
Laurel Park	BOTH		3	2,883	6.94%	0								65	NoData	\$ 42.50	\$ 39.90	\$ 82.40	NoDebt	\$ 70,101	\$ 20,101	47.88%	0.01	\$ -	712	1.40	23.1	4.2	1.0	4	0	
Laurinburg	BOTH		3	15,253	-3.46%	5								91	110	\$ 20.02	\$ 29.18	\$ 49.20	3.25	\$ 2,268,985		51.00%	0.21	\$ 1,007,208	488	7.4	0.8	0.0	0	2		
Lawndale	BOTH	3	9	807	17.13%	4								91	8	\$ 38.25	\$ 46.75	\$ 85.00	NoDebt	\$ (1,205)	\$ (51,205)	37.95%	(0.50)	\$ -	1264	(0.02)	20.1	2.0	2.0	0	0	
Leland	BOTH		3	19,157	25.08%	1								49	65	\$ 32.95	\$ 32.27	\$ 65.22	3.12	\$ 2,619,943		30.29%	0.36	\$ 1,235,325	2168	13.6	1.9	1.0	5	5		
Lenoir	BOTH		3	17,899	-0.90%	3					2			97	95	\$ 23.62	\$ 24.30	\$ 47.92	2.58	\$ 2,046,024		37.42%	0.20	\$ 1,294,959	453	4.8	0.8	0.0	0	0		
Lewiston-Woodville	WW		5	808	-9.52%	5								WW Only	NoData		NoData		NoDebt	\$ 39,773	\$ (10,227)	81.43%	0.23	\$ -	304	0.80	8.5	NoData	LimitedData	0	0	
Lexington	BOTH		6	18,897	-0.69%	4				6				99	77	\$ 27.23	\$ 36.60	\$ 63.83	8.57	\$ 2,244,565		52.59%	0.12	\$ 296,541	227	16.1	1.6	0.0	4	5		
Liberty	BOTH		8	2,657	-0.34%	4							4	91	78	\$ 23.74	\$ 33.35	\$ 57.09	4.07	\$ 18,633		60.79%	(0.25)	\$ 6,064	471	20.8	4.0	1.0	0	1		
Lilesville	BOTH	3	9	445	-17.59%	3								60	NoData	\$ 47.55	\$ 74.95	\$ 122.50	2.14	\$ 12,413		25.83%	(0.32)	\$ 10,875	289	17.7	1.3	0.0	0	2		
Lillington	BOTH		7	3,509	5.25%	4								103	86	\$ 23.84	\$ 30.69	\$ 54.53	NoDebt	\$ (342,294)	\$ (392,294)	48.45%	(0.28)	\$ -	167	(6.85)	3.6	1.4	1.0	0	0	
Lincoln County	BOTH		3	26,535	3.04%	2								80	87	\$ 33.70	\$ 40.23	\$ 73.93	2.31	\$ 4,369,163		37.85%	0.30	\$ 3,339,939	1233	9.7	1.1	1.0	2	2		
Lincolnton	BOTH		4	10,678	0.73%	4								54	42	\$ 29.99	\$ 46.97	\$ 76.96	1.35	\$ 853,675		61.85%	0.22	\$ 2,468,795	860	3.9	1.2	1.0	0	5		
Linden	DW		4	126	-19.23%	5								81	DW Only	\$ 44.08			5.38	\$ 94,543		64.53%	0.15	\$ 21,597	1396	30.4	1.3	1.0	0	2		
Littleton	BOTH		7	607	8.98%	4								117	48	\$ 36.90	\$ 33.75	\$ 70.65	0.74	\$ (8,865)		41.09%	(0.38)	\$ 34,189	184	5.4	1.8	1.0	0	0		
Locust	WW		3	3,351	11.81%	0								WW Only	40		\$ 60.44		1.38	\$ 348,467		32.73%	0.50	\$ 911,702	493	32.1	1.7	1.0	1	1		
Long View	BOTH		4	4,949	-0.34%	4								101	131	\$ 24.61	\$ 25.43	\$ 50.04	3.35	\$ 147,240		55.99%	(0.06)	\$ 62,537	99	11.8	1.0	0.0	0	1		
Louisburg	BOTH		5	3,490	0.46%	5								93	57	\$ 33.46	\$ 52.20	\$ 85.66	1.40	\$ 102,154		49.21%	(0.07)	\$ 255,451	274	6.1	1.4	0.0	0	0		
Lowell	BOTH		4	3,612	1.43%	5							3	118	126	\$ 33.38	\$ 32.20	\$ 65.58	3.34	\$ 133,985		67.17%	0.04	\$ 57,335	171	3.4	1.8	1.0	0	0		
Lower Cape Fear Water & Sewer Authority	BULK		5	4,700	NoData	NoData								WW Only	DW Only				0.48	\$ (1,063,822)		39.13%	(0.14)	\$ 2,044,289	369	1.9	NoData	LimitedData	0	0		
Lucama	BOTH		7	1,200	17.76%	4	2019	ANS						73	NoData	\$ 37.51	\$ 53.55	\$ 91.06	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	LimitedData	2	0	
Lumberton	BOTH		5	21,198	-2.34%	5							3	92	112	\$ 21.02	\$ 34.72	\$ 55.74	3.00	\$ 2,915,014		50.84%	0.23	\$ 1,455,952	2	3.4	9.2	1.0	1	5		
Macclesfield	BOTH	3	12	555	25.28%	4		2	1					127	NoData	\$ 27.95	\$ 35.65	\$ 63.60	NoDebt	\$ (45,180)	\$ (95,180)	50.40%	(0.75)	\$ -	0	(0.90)	0.5	1.7	1.0	0	0	
Madison	BOTH	3	10	2,463	9.96%	4							3	71	85	\$ 37.26	\$ 38.35	\$ 75.61	0.69	\$ (101,217)		42.96%	(0.05)	\$ 322,234	214	2.6	0.6	0.0	0	0		
Maggie Valley	WW		3	1,654	17.22%	1								WW Only	94		\$ 27.00		1.77	\$ 152,496		36.34%	0.17	\$ 197,182	1081	10.7	2.3	1.0	0	0		
Maggie Valley Sanitary District	DW		3	9,290	-3.77%	NoData								83	DW Only	\$ 38.25			2.02	\$ 232,197		54.60%	0.06	\$ 226,798	298	3.6	1.2	0.0	0	0		
Magnolia	BOTH		6	1,252	-3.77%	5	2019	ANS						98	118	\$ 33.99	\$ 47.89	\$ 81.88	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	LimitedData	0	0		
Maiden	BOTH		4	3,397	1.74%	1								125	65	\$ 21.49	\$ 25.14	\$ 46.63	3.23	\$ 176,239		60.21%	(0.04)	\$ 79,013	803	25.1	1.0	0.0	1	1		
Manteo	BOTH		4	1,852	25.82%	2								90	64	\$ 34.45	\$ 53.70	\$ 88.15	5.30	\$ 337,558		54.92%	0.05	\$ 78,568	520	24.0	2.1	0.0	0	0		
Marion	BOTH		5	7,839	-1.37%	4								92	36	\$ 25.44	\$ 25.44	\$ 50.88	2.70	\$ 510,241		48.24%	(0.02)	\$ 299,368	207	5.1	1.8	0.0	0	5		
Mars Hill	BOTH		2	2,746	26.66%	3								80	150	\$ 31.95	\$ 31.95	\$ 63.90	3.81	\$ 316,184		49.32%	0.13	\$ 112,375	1430	15.9	2.2	1.0	0	0		
Marshall	BOTH		5	691	-22.97%	2							3	52	35	\$ 45.13	\$ 43.28	\$ 88.41	2.02	\$ 69,738		47.19%	(0.20)	\$ 68,378	557	14.4	1.2	1.0	0	0		
Marshville	BOTH		3	2,644	6.06%	3								0	132	\$ 33.00	\$ 76.30	\$ 109.30	8.75	\$ 326,960		44.26%	0.13	\$ 42,173	1025	4.5	1.0	0.0	1	1		
Martin County	DW	3	8	4,568	10.04%	4								16	DW Only	\$ 84.00			0.56	\$ (367,228)		24.48%	0.07	\$ 842,764	5	1.4	4.7	2.0	1	0		
Martin County Regional Water and Sewer Authority			5	-	88.37%	NoData								WW Only	DW Only				0.98	\$ (13,376)		13.45%	(0.16)	\$ 796,603	524	6.7	NoData	LimitedData	0	0		
Maury Sanitary Land District			3	815	NoData	NoData								WW Only	DW Only				NoDebt	\$ 70,863	\$ 20,863	52.71%	(0.02)	\$ -	1097	1.42	66.9	NoData	LimitedData	0	0	
Maxton	BOTH		6	2,494	2.59%	5								87	83	\$ 20.99	\$ 23.08	\$ 44.07	3.65	\$ 115,916		39.55%	(0.12)	\$ 43,756	625	9.6	3.7	1.0	0	5		
Mayodan	BOTH		4	2,754	-0.07%	5								69	237	\$ 22.80	\$ 22.80	\$ 45.60	2.07	\$ 393,368		41.70%	0.07	\$ 367,510	328	5.9	0.7	0.0	2	0		
Maysville	BOTH	3	16	1,038	-5.46%	5		2	2					92	NoData	\$ 46.90	\$ 75.50	\$ 122.40	11.93	\$ 137,602		37.81%	0.01	\$ 12,589	83	3.8	2.1	0.0	0	0		
Mcadenville	BOTH		3	717	16.21%	1					3	SOC		115	NoData	\$ 16.63	\$ 27.18	\$ 43.81	NoDebt	\$ 161,016	\$ 111,016	25.13%	0.19	\$ -	716	3.22	2.6	1.3	0.0	2	0	
Mcdowell County	DW		7	540	88.37%	3								32	DW Only	\$ 40.65			0.41	\$ (73,943)		13.35%	(0.47)	\$ 125,811	285	0.6	1.1	0.0	0	1		
Mebane	BOTH		1	15,198	21.50%	0								132	124	\$ 30.55	\$ 32.80	\$ 63.35	2.15	\$ 983,447		29.59%	0.05	\$ 857,075	574	8.2	1.7	0.0	3	3		
Micro	BOTH		6	672	43.59%	3	2019	ANS						97	NoData	\$ 32.35	\$ 57.63	\$ 89.98	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	LimitedData	0	0		
Middlesex	BOTH	3	11	966	-10.89%	5								73	NoData	\$ 30.50	\$ 83.75	\$ 114.25	1.42	\$ 27,104		50.78%	(0.15)	\$ 65,269								

Agenda Item G. Attachment 2 - Key Data

Criteria	Utility Type	Distressed ID Category	Assessment Score	Service Population	% Pop Change (2014 - 2018)	Affordability Exceedances	Years Audit Missing	1, 2, or ANS*	N/A	See Criteria	See Criteria WW Compliance Distressed Indicators	M, SOC, or 90%**	See Criteria WW Collection System Compliance	<100	<100	>\$50 (Single)	>\$60 (Single)	>\$100 (Both)	<1.1	<\$0	Surplus If No Debt w/ \$1 M Test Project	>50%	<0			>1.1	<1.1	=>2.3	>1	>=2	>=2 & Criteria	
																																DW Pop / Mile
Newton	BOTH		5	13,005	0.05%	4							3	69	87	\$ 32.44	\$ 42.81	\$ 75.25	1.13	\$ 224,206		60.45%	0.00	\$ 1,785,503	161			2.4	1.9	1.0	1	1
Newton Grove	BOTH	3	12	546	-15.87%	4								30	NoData	\$ 30.25	\$ 36.75	\$ 67.00	(3.61)	\$ (166,051)		71.47%	(0.58)	\$ 35,988	106			5.5	1.9	0.0	0	0
Norlina	BOTH		6	1,101	13.74%	4	2019	ANS						92	NoData	\$ 44.50	\$ 40.75	\$ 85.25	1.67	\$ 80,575		36.21%	0.07	\$ 80,575	16			1.4	1.6	1.0	0	0
North Wilkesboro	BOTH		4	4,201	-1.43%	4								115	64	\$ 28.98	\$ 23.99	\$ 52.97	1.80	\$ 458,067		51.56%	0.08	\$ 574,542	630			4.3	2.0	0.0	0	0
Northampton County	BOTH		6	20,186	-5.27%	5								37	NoData	\$ 44.50	\$ 42.50	\$ 87.00	1.21	\$ 226,559		34.73%	0.18	\$ 1,067,391	119			2.3	2.8	1.0	0	0
Northwest	DW	3	8	922	4.65%	4		2	2					66	DW Only	NoData			1.03	\$ 2,268		20.50%	(0.28)	\$ 87,845	286			1.3	NoData	LimitedData	1	0
Northwestern Wayne Sanitary District			0	Unknown	-0.07%	NoData								WW Only	DW Only				2.08	\$ 372,532		38.21%	0.34	\$ 345,835	1130			5.4	NoData	LimitedData	0	0
Norwood	BOTH	3	11	2,800	2.68%	3		1	1			SOC		67	85	\$ 38.41	\$ 38.41	\$ 76.82	1.14	\$ 32,740		40.72%	(0.08)	\$ 228,599	196			2.4	1.5	1.0	0	0
Oak Island	BOTH		5	7,612	7.96%	1								0	77	\$ 35.14	\$ 46.74	\$ 81.88	1.16	\$ 1,109,935		26.99%	0.20	\$ 7,019,070	1295			7.2	2.0	0.0	3	5
Oakboro	BOTH		2	1,872	-0.69%	2								148	197	\$ 33.38	\$ 49.11	\$ 82.49	4.19	\$ 224,169		54.37%	0.03	\$ 70,376	840			13.3	1.7	1.0	0	0
Ocean Isle Beach	BOTH		2	705	6.98%	1								19	288	\$ 34.90	\$ 39.35	\$ 74.25	NoDebt	\$ 463,814	\$ 413,818	46.44%	0.12	\$ -	832	9.28	49.7	1.5	0.0	0	0	
Ocracoke Sanitary District	BOTH		8	900	-6.32%	NoData								69	NoData	\$ 64.57	NoData	NoData	0.43	\$ (67,344)		64.81%	(0.29)	\$ 117,460	351			4.7	1.2	1.0	0	0
Old Fort	BOTH		7	900	-6.74%	4								135	NoData	\$ 29.35	\$ 21.95	\$ 51.30	(0.92)	\$ (124,200)		44.72%	(0.48)	\$ 64,764	507			12.2	0.9	0.0	0	0
Onslow Water and Sewer Authority	BOTH		2	140,877	6.01%	NoData							3	122	507	\$ 28.22	\$ 51.08	\$ 79.30	1.29	\$ 1,582,554		36.44%	0.06	\$ 5,493,288	945			4.4	2.5	1.0	0	0
Orange County	DW	3	9	142,938	4.13%	1		2						NoData	DW Only	\$ 38.00			NoDebt	\$ (144,711)	\$ (194,711)	47.91%	(2.42)	\$ -	139	(2.89)	0.6	0.0	0.0	2	0	
Orange Water & Sewer Authority	BOTH		0	83,000	4.13%	NoData								218	241	\$ 39.91	\$ 45.29	\$ 85.20	1.77	\$ 5,753,907		41.06%	0.10	\$ 7,478,450	257			1.7	1.2	1.0	0	0
Oriental	DW		7	996	2.79%	2								41	DW Only	\$ 35.95			NoDebt	\$ (15,840)	\$ (65,840)	54.77%	(0.20)	\$ -	145	(0.32)	17.1	1.2	0.0	0	0	
Ossipee	DW	3	12	488	-22.66%	3	2019	ANS						111	DW Only	\$ 53.25			NoDebt	\$ 10,835	\$ (39,165)	41.70%	(0.15)	\$ -	536	0.22	0.9	1.6	1.0	0	0	
Oxford	BOTH		3	8,648	0.73%	4								107	149	\$ 40.87	\$ 53.71	\$ 94.58	4.07	\$ 2,499,489		41.32%	0.31	\$ 813,657	642			3.8	3.2	1.0	1	5
Pamlico County	DW		2	12,742	-2.45%	4								43	DW Only	\$ 39.25			2.02	\$ 421,124		45.55%	0.06	\$ 412,552	720			5.1	1.8	0.0	0	5
Parkton	BOTH		5	507	-15.08%	4								81	44	\$ 38.25	\$ 38.25	\$ 76.50	1.28	\$ 7,922		35.69%	(0.13)	\$ 27,891	304			1.7	1.6	0.0	0	0
Parmele	BOTH	3	10	249	-23.15%	3								11	NoData	\$ 38.00	\$ 65.80	\$ 103.80	NoDebt	\$ 13,988	\$ (36,012)	35.23%	(0.55)	\$ -	391	0.28	10.2	0.4	0.0	0	0	
Pasquotank County	BOTH		2	39,479	-1.87%	5								72	NoData	\$ 39.00	\$ 25.00	\$ 64.00	1.93	\$ 1,049,940		46.57%	0.15	\$ 1,127,028	964			12.7	1.8	1.0	0	0
Peachland	BOTH		5	496	12.22%	4								42	NoData	\$ 46.00	\$ 53.00	\$ 99.00	10.88	\$ 54,930		34.32%	(0.19)	\$ 5,557	729			23.6	1.5	0.0	0	0
Pembroke	BOTH		3	3,044	1.30%	5								89	105	\$ 21.47	\$ 27.05	\$ 48.52	3.31	\$ 406,132		37.51%	0.03	\$ 175,681	372			8.4	0.5	0.0	0	0
Pender County	BOTH	3	9	16,431	8.86%	2		1	3		2			61	NoData	\$ 58.17	\$ 52.50	\$ 110.67	1.07	\$ 289,119		13.28%	0.10	\$ 4,172,709	748			2.7	1.7	0.0	5	4
Perquimans County	DW		6	13,459	-0.36%	4								29	DW Only	\$ 43.00			0.84	\$ (66,680)		53.06%	0.00	\$ 415,577	256			3.0	1.4	1.0	0	0
Piedmont Triad Regional Water Authority	BULK		1	-	NoData	NoData								WW Only	DW Only				2.13	\$ 2,346,839		28.99%	0.13	\$ 2,071,336	1445			8.2	NoData	LimitedData	0	0
Pikeville	BOTH	3	19	649	-9.36%	5		1	1					89	48	\$ 64.60	\$ 91.15	\$ 155.75	1.12	\$ 8,418		39.61%	(0.19)	\$ 69,138	136			0.8	1.3	1.0	0	0
Pilot Mountain	BOTH	3	13	1,483	-15.35%	4		1	1					58	65	\$ 40.30	\$ 40.30	\$ 80.60	1.02	\$ 2,963		62.90%	(0.27)	\$ 131,679	10			0.6	2.3	2.0	2	0
Pine Knoll Shores	DW		3	1,368	-11.68%	2								74	DW Only	\$ 27.08			1.23	\$ 64,677		33.67%	0.24	\$ 285,378	712			9.4	3.5	0.0	0	0
Pine Level	BOTH		8	2,132	6.60%	2				5				99	NoData	\$ 27.00	\$ 49.00	\$ 76.00	0.86	\$ (11,692)		56.42%	(0.03)	\$ 81,664	211			9.7	1.6	1.0	0	0
Pinebluff	BOTH		3	1,471	9.61%	2								48	NoData	\$ 29.28	\$ 39.70	\$ 68.98	11.31	\$ 151,088		64.41%	0.26	\$ 14,661	1050			83.4	0.4	0.0	0	0
Pinetops	BOTH	3	12	1,273	-0.31%	5		2	2					130	93	\$ 29.17	\$ 38.02	\$ 67.19	NoDebt	\$ (123,789)	\$ (173,789)	54.97%	(0.49)	\$ -	35	(2.48)	59.4	2.7	2.0	0	4	
Pink Hill	BOTH	3	9	437	15.92%	4								68	NoData	\$ 51.50	\$ 58.50	\$ 110.00	0.52	\$ (21,911)		40.79%	(0.41)	\$ 46,079	379			12.6	1.7	1.0	1	1
Pittsboro	BOTH		6	4,098	4.75%	1								0	49	\$ 40.40	\$ 55.86	\$ 96.26	1.71	\$ 115,021		51.18%	(0.11)	\$ 162,583	473			12.1	1.5	0.0	4	4
Plymouth	BOTH	3	10	3,542	-6.35%	5								133	127	\$ 52.00	\$ 54.00	\$ 106.00	1.46	\$ 84,739		40.54%	(0.06)	\$ 182,485	0			1.4	2.8	1.0	2	2
Polkton	BOTH		8	3,187	-2.48%	5								60	NoData	\$ 26.50	\$ 42.00	\$ 68.50	NoDebt	\$ (62,492)	\$ (112,492)	38.30%	(0.44)	\$ -	1089	(1.25)	29.1	1.4	0.0	5	0	
Polkville		3	10	527	7.11%	4		2	1					WW Only	DW Only				NoDebt	\$ (4,237)	\$ (54,237)	22.03%	(2.14)	\$ -	78	(0.08)	0.4	NoData	LimitedData	1	0	
Pollocksville	BOTH	3	11	485	1.89%	5		1	1					92	NoData	\$ 36.50	\$ 55.50	\$ 92.00	(3.26)	\$ (273,776)		39.87%	(1.55)	\$ 64,301	111			1.8	1.1	0.0	1	0
Powellsville	BOTH		8	249	-3.86%	5								36	NoData	\$ 35.00	\$ 37.00	\$ 72.00	(1.78)	\$ (46,315)		23.85%	(0.79)	\$ 16,690	2			1.8	0.9	0.0	0	0
Princeton	BOTH		4	944	-32.62%	4								45	72	\$ 42.51	\$ 52.72	\$ 95.23	1.42	\$ 51,204		47.75%	0.01	\$ 122,439	141			2.5	1.1	0.0	0	2
Proctorville	WW		5	142	-19.32%	4		3	2					WW Only	48		\$ 30.00		NoDebt	\$ 2,599	\$ (47,401)	31.52%	(1.23)	\$ -	130	0.05	29.7					

Agenda Item G. Attachment 2 - Key Data

Criteria	Utility Type	Distressed ID Category	Assessment Score	Service Population	% Pop Change (2014 - 2018)	See Criteria	4 or 5	Last 2 yrs Audit Missing	1, 2, or ANS*	N/A	See Criteria	See Criteria WW Compliance Distressed Indicators	M, SOC, or 90%**	See Criteria WW Collection System Compliance	<100	<100	>\$50 (Single)	>\$60 (Single)	>\$100 (Both)	<1.1	<\$0	Surplus If No Debt w/ \$1 M Test Project	>50%	<0		>1.1	<1.1	Receivabl es Ratio 2019	Receivables Ratio Trend (#>= 0.2)	T In - # of Years	T Out - # of Years	>=2 & Criteria
Saluda	BOTH		4	864	44.97%		2							4	100	NoData	\$ 66.25	\$ 66.25	\$ 132.50	2.21	\$ 204,851		34.44%	0.29	\$ 168,719	409	2.9	1.2	0.0	1	0	
Sampson County	DW		3	11,010	-0.44%		5								22	DW Only	\$ 43.65			1.23	\$ 220,650		35.83%	0.06	\$ 951,374	512	6.4	2.4	1.0	1	0	
Sandyfield	DW		7	371	-25.95%		5								26	DW Only	\$ 25.00			NoDebt	\$ (2,510)	\$ (52,510)	16.99%	(0.82)	\$ -	625	(0.05)	3.9	0.9	1.0	0	0
Sanford	BOTH		5	29,274	1.65%		5								79	128	\$ 30.93	\$ 34.77	\$ 65.70	0.99	\$ (47,797)		37.01%	0.01	\$ 5,857,962	438	3.5	1.6	0.0	0	3	
Saratoga	BOTH		6	502	15.14%		4								59	NoData	\$ 59.60	\$ 60.00	\$ 119.60	10.56	\$ 79,066		35.34%	(0.06)	\$ 8,270	1020	40.5	1.5	1.0	0	0	
Sawmills	BOTH		3	5,159	-0.10%		4								105	65	\$ 38.60	\$ 49.95	\$ 88.55	66.18	\$ 383,309		35.69%	0.23	\$ 5,881	2681	135.9	1.4	0.0	0	0	
Scotland County	DW		6	5,990	-2.14%		5		1	3					33	DW Only	\$ 42.09			1.86	\$ 306,658		41.58%	0.22	\$ 356,681	547	7.9	1.1	1.0	0	0	
Scotland Neck	BOTH	3	9	1,380	-19.35%		5		1	1		2			164	97	\$ 48.90	\$ 49.75	\$ 98.65	1.22	\$ 16,219		54.64%	(0.27)	\$ 72,420	0	1.5	1.8	1.0	1	2	
Seaboard	BOTH	3	9	736	-2.65%		5		2	3					62	NoData	\$ 59.25	\$ 29.60	\$ 88.85	1.45	\$ 18,742		49.01%	(0.09)	\$ 41,636	452	7.1	2.5	2.0	1	1	
Seagrove-Ulah Metropolitan Water District			1	2,250	-40.68%	NoData									WW Only	DW Only				1.73	\$ 115,169		30.54%	0.16	\$ 156,905	370	4.6	NoData	LimitedData	0	0	
Sedgefield Sanitary District	WW		7	523	4.53%	NoData									WW Only	NoData		NoData	NoData	NoDebt	\$ (130,150)	\$ (180,150)	69.27%	(1.48)	\$ -	2085	(2.60)	175.6	NoData	LimitedData	0	0
Selma	BOTH		8	6,577	6.56%		3							3	110	170	NoData	\$ 15.30	NoData	0.39	\$ (103,438)		55.97%	(0.15)	\$ 169,609	299	8.4	2.1	1.0	4	4	
Seven Devils	DW		2	304	33.33%		0								16	DW Only	\$ 20.76			1.78	\$ 67,500		32.67%	0.27	\$ 86,899	738	2.6	1.3	1.0	0	0	
Seven Springs			3	70	-40.68%		5								WW Only	DW Only				NoDebt	\$ 55,135	\$ 5,135	34.25%	(0.15)	\$ -	439	1.10	14.2	1.0	0	0	
Seyern	BOTH		6	237	-2.47%		4								44	NoData	\$ 30.00	\$ 30.00	\$ 60.00	NoDebt	\$ 49,079	\$ (921)	58.45%	0.05	\$ -	3416	0.98	981.2	1.5	1.0	0	0
Shallotte	BOTH		3	3,961	8.85%		3								94	26	\$ 28.40	\$ 56.15	\$ 84.55	NoDebt	\$ 888,011	\$ 838,011	34.75%	0.13	\$ -	624	17.76	22.8	1.3	0.0	0	0
Sharpsburg	BOTH	3	13	2,158	10.33%		4		2	2					91	91	\$ 51.66	\$ 76.76	\$ 128.42	(0.14)	\$ (141,089)		33.17%	(0.18)	\$ 124,154	196	5.4	4.3	0.0	0	1	
Shelby	BOTH		5	20,053	-1.13%		5								92	96	\$ 25.42	\$ 41.42	\$ 66.84	1.19	\$ 751,455		36.42%	0.21	\$ 3,884,730	166	1.1	2.2	2.0	2	5	
Siler City	BOTH		5	8,106	-0.04%		4					2		3	76	150	\$ 41.00	\$ 37.25	\$ 78.25	2.10	\$ 1,301,342		57.75%	0.23	\$ 1,178,565	262	3.7	2.1	0.0	0	1	
Sims	BOTH		7	510	70.00%		4	2019	ANS						79	NoData	\$ 21.10	\$ 32.00	\$ 53.10	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	LimitedData	0	0
Smithfield	BOTH		1	12,117	6.69%		3								103	126	\$ 31.97	\$ 54.78	\$ 86.75	1.94	\$ 1,304,108		58.37%	0.23	\$ 1,391,614	581	9.8	1.7	1.0	0	1	
Snow Hill	BOTH	3	11	1,604	-14.59%		4		2	2					103	90	\$ 33.00	\$ 47.50	\$ 80.50	(0.00)	\$ (134,038)		55.47%	(0.30)	\$ 133,397	274	7.3	1.5	1.0	0	0	
South Granville Water and Sewer Authority	BOTH		1	20,116	1.59%	NoData									139	125	\$ 38.89	\$ 70.93	\$ 109.82	2.23	\$ 4,200,319		21.00%	0.43	\$ 3,424,581	2052	8.9	1.9	1.0	0	0	
Southeast Brunswick Sanitary District	WW		2	5,000	12.36%	NoData									WW Only	75	\$ 45.00			1.62	\$ 300,500		43.20%	0.14	\$ 481,757	920	12.3	1.2	0.0	0	0	
Southeastern Wayne Sanitary District	BOTH	0	Unknown	-0.07%	NoData										NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	2.16	\$ 473,453		38.27%	0.08	\$ 409,558	1343	13.8	NoData	LimitedData	0	0	
Southern Pines	BOTH		2	13,694	7.14%		1								53	85	\$ 26.83	\$ 33.49	\$ 60.32	3.20	\$ 1,299,633		49.74%	0.00	\$ 590,927	670	12.1	2.2	1.0	0	1	
Southern Wayne Sanitary District	BOTH		1	Unknown	-0.07%	NoData									NoData	NoData	\$ 29.00	\$ 60.00	\$ 89.00	NoDebt	\$ 302,302	\$ 252,302	58.64%	0.04	\$ -	655	6.05	47.9	1.3	0.0	0	0
Southport	BOTH	3	10	3,585	18.24%		1		1	3					276	58	\$ 35.65	\$ 64.58	\$ 100.23	(0.26)	\$ (144,822)		37.82%	(0.14)	\$ 115,199	56	1.5	1.1	0.0	0	2	
Southwestern Wayne Sanitary District	BOTH		4	Unknown	-0.07%	NoData									NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	0.96	\$ (5,573)		42.61%	(0.11)	\$ 142,099	521	8.0	NoData	LimitedData	0	0	
Sparta	BOTH		6	1,851	-11.39%		5								45	70	\$ 37.89	\$ 31.40	\$ 69.29	16.69	\$ 100,090		50.16%	(0.02)	\$ 6,381	273	7.1	1.2	0.0	0	0	
Spindale	WW		7	4,231	-1.51%		4								WW Only	71	\$ 43.60			0.78	\$ (134,792)		54.83%	0.08	\$ 626,381	523	3.0	2.2	1.0	0	0	
Spring Hope	BOTH		4	1,603	33.03%		4								88	110	\$ 24.00	\$ 43.00	\$ 67.00	2.43	\$ 50,126		24.67%	(0.04)	\$ 35,072	254	12.1	1.4	0.0	0	3	
Spring Lake	BOTH	3	10	12,284	-6.24%		5	2019	ANS						147	160	\$ 36.55	\$ 39.00	\$ 75.55	(0.02)	\$ (242,326)		52.85%	(0.11)	\$ 238,107	128	10.1	1.9	0.0	0	5	
Spruce Pine	BOTH		6	2,204	-2.35%		5								51	120	\$ 19.90	\$ 20.80	\$ 40.70	7.15	\$ 149,176		41.11%	(0.16)	\$ 24,260	69	1.1	2.4	1.0	0	0	
Stanfield	BOTH		7	1,498	-13.51%		2								NoData	NoData	\$ 47.70	\$ 58.40	\$ 106.10	NoDebt	\$ 101,957	\$ 51,957	35.51%	(0.06)	\$ -	555	2.04	9.4	1.0	0.0	0	0
Stanley	BOTH		6	3,643	1.45%		4								109	147	\$ 34.10	\$ 49.40	\$ 83.50	0.22	\$ (394,856)		37.50%	(0.14)	\$ 507,088	162	2.2	1.6	0.0	0	0	
Stanly County	DW	3	11	14,213	0.93%		4					2			50	DW Only	NoData	NoData	NoData	1.09	\$ 31,059		59.78%	(0.13)	\$ 334,531	91	2.2	NoData	LimitedData	5	2	
Stanly Water & Sewer Authority	BOTH		1	Unknown	0.93%	NoData			3	1					NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	1.33	\$ 120,604		16.26%	0.30	\$ 363,037	0	0.1	NoData	LimitedData	0	0		
Stantonsburg	BOTH		2	683	5.24%		4								166	153	\$ 41.50	\$ 58.00	\$ 99.50	2.12	\$ 176,140		40.32%	0.03	\$ 156,982	1453	23.8	1.6	0.0	0	0	
Star	BOTH	3	11	830	-3.04%		4					2			97	92	\$ 37.58	\$ 39.75	\$ 77.33	NoDebt	\$ (8,289)	\$ (58,289)	80.26%	(0.20)	\$ -	83	(0.17)	1.3	2.6	0.0	0	0
Statesville	BOTH		2	26,263	4.50%		3								92	89	\$ 23.45	\$ 37.44	\$ 60.89	1.71	\$ 1,905,793		48.31%	0.06	\$ 2,680,240	860	6.8	2.1	1.0	0	5	
Stedman	BOTH		4	1,325	16.84%		2								68	NoData	\$ 32.00	\$ 40.50	\$ 72.50	1.62	\$ 38,854		46.12%	(0.17)	\$ 62,797	465	12.9	5.4	1.0	0	0	
Stokes County	DW		6	45,905	-2.03%		3								NoData	DW Only	\$ 70.00			247.89	\$ 130,109		25.95%	(0.29)	\$ 527	828	102.7	1.5	1.0	0	0	
Stokes County Water & Sewer Authority	DW		3	363	-2.03%	NoData									61	DW Only	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoDebt	\$ 51,873	\$ 1,873	37.23%	0.09	\$ -	435	1.04	145.4	NoData	LimitedData	0	0
Stokesdale	DW		5	5,367	3.67%		3								44	DW Only	\$ 62.95			NoDebt	\$ 37,173	\$ (12,827)	34.22%	(0.16)	\$ -	1145	0.74	84.4	1.0	1.0	0	1
Stoneville	BOTH		6	1,300	10.45%		4								53	46	\$ 46.90	\$ 46.90	\$ 93.80	1.11	\$ 10,387		45.55%	(0.08)	\$ 98,713	130	1.2	1.7	0.0	1	3	
Stovall	BOTH	3	10	362	10.70%		2		3	2		6			40	NoData	\$ 61.77	\$ 45.00	\$ 106.77	0.32	\$ (18,753)		30.50%	(0.30)	\$ 27,745	54	3.7	2.2	0.0	0	5	
Surf City	BOTH		4	3,264	30.66%		1								13	34	\$ 36.54	\$ 55.28	\$ 91.82	1.75	\$ 1,617,126		32.27%	0.45	\$ 2,167,945	764	2.2	2.2	2.0	0	0	
Surry County	DW	3	13	175	-1.76%		4								44	DW Only	\$ 60.73			0.87	\$ (17,673)		15.82%	(0.57)	\$ 136,189	1713	2.4	1.7	0.0	5	1	
Swan Quarter Sanitary District	WW		4	Unknown	-6.32%	NoData									WW Only	NoData	\$ 48.00			NoDebt	\$ (27,895)	\$ (77,895)	31.17%	(0.83)	\$ -	339	(0.56)	10.3	1.7	1.0	0	

Agenda Item G. Attachment 2 - Key Data

Criteria				< 10,000	See Criteria	4 or 5	Last 2 yrs	1, 2, or ANS*	N/A	See Criteria	See Criteria WW Compliance Distressed Indicators	M, SOC, or 90%**	See Criteria WW Collection System Compliance	<100	<100	>\$50 (Single)	>\$60 (Single)	>\$100 (Both)	<1.1	<\$0		>50%	<0		>1.1	<1.1	>=2.3	>1	>=2	>=2 & Criteria	
Utility Type	Distressed ID Category	Assessment Score	Service Population	% Pop Change (2014 - 2018)	Affordability Exceedances	Years Audit Missing	UAL (Control Issues)	UAL (W/S Financial Issues)	DW Compliance (4 or More)	WW Compliance Distressed Indicators	Flow Moratorium	Collection System Compliance	DW Pop / Mile	Sewer Pop / mile	DW Rate \$/5000 gal (2019 inside)	WW Rate \$/5000 gal (2019 inside)	Combined Rate \$/5000 gal (2019 inside sum)	Debt Service Coverage Ratio	Surplus (deficit) w/ Debt	Surplus If No Debt w/ \$1 M Test Project	Percent Depreciation	Operating Margin	Annual Debt Service	Days Cash on Hand	No Debt DSCR Test	Quick Ratio	Receivables Ratio 2019	Receivables Ratio Trend (#>= 0.2)	T In - # of Years	T Out - # of Years	
Warren County	BOTH	3	8,303	-2.88%	4								13	NoData	\$ 50.00	\$ 38.00	\$ 88.00	1.90	\$ 754,345		35.62%	0.10	\$ 839,109	1088		5.9	1.5	0.0	1	0	
Warrenton	BOTH	3	9	1,056	19.59%	4							64	29	\$ 33.63	\$ 49.75	\$ 83.38	(2.90)	\$ (141,673)		58.28%	(0.35)	\$ 36,350	125		1.7	1.1	1.0	0	2	
Warsaw	BOTH	7	3,131	-0.98%	5	2019	ANS						115	96	\$ 25.15	\$ 41.72	\$ 66.87	2.28	\$ 214,814		38.41%	(0.13)	\$ 168,127	694		10.7	1.8	0.0	0	0	
Washington	BOTH	5	9,649	-1.22%	5								144	109	\$ 29.83	\$ 39.18	\$ 69.01	7.22	\$ 1,394,915		57.29%	(0.00)	\$ 224,240	489		18.5	1.9	0.0	4	5	
Washington County	DW	3	11	6,487	-5.30%	5							48	DW Only	\$ 63.00			0.85	\$ (57,226)		43.75%	0.05	\$ 382,203	538		1.6	1.6	0.0	0	4	
Wayne County	DW	6	124,002	-0.07%	5								NoData	DW Only	\$ 38.14			(0.12)	\$ (238,026)		43.21%	(0.27)	\$ 213,147	418		5.2	1.7	0.0	4	0	
Waynesville	BOTH	5	9,898	1.31%	3					2			119	57	\$ 22.95	\$ 26.93	\$ 49.88	4.82	\$ 779,009		61.75%	(0.01)	\$ 203,937	324		19.0	1.2	1.0	0	4	
Weaverville	DW	3	3,887	2.75%	1								99	DW Only	\$ 42.25			4.01	\$ 615,039		47.50%	0.21	\$ 204,004	819		6.7	2.6	1.0	0	0	
Weldon	BOTH	4	1,679	2.13%	5								165	59	\$ 30.55	\$ 24.50	\$ 55.05	NoDebt	\$ 271,393	\$ 221,393	50.90%	0.00	\$ -	468	5.43	15.4	1.1	1.0	0	2	
West Jefferson	BOTH	4	1,485	-1.53%	3								45	60	\$ 42.02	\$ 39.49	\$ 81.51	1.91	\$ 123,906		43.70%	(0.04)	\$ 136,814	588		1.3	1.2	1.0	0	0	
Western Bay River Metropolitan Sewer District		1	Unknown	NoData	NoData								WW Only	DW Only				NoDebt	\$ -	\$ (50,000)	6.74%	NoData	\$ -	#DIV/0!	0.00	NoData	NoData	LimitedData	0	0	
Whitakers	BOTH	3	12	921	0.55%	5		2	2				58	NoData	\$ 65.15	\$ 68.94	\$ 134.09	(0.97)	\$ (60,334)		33.60%	(0.22)	\$ 30,620	189		6.8	1.6	1.0	0	0	
White Lake	BOTH	3	1,072	14.90%	1								47	94	\$ 19.85	\$ 29.26	\$ 49.11	6.73	\$ 336,689		47.30%	0.19	\$ 58,779	602		27.3	1.3	0.0	0	0	
Whiteville	BOTH	5	5,450	-0.27%	5								80	61	\$ 16.00	\$ 40.25	\$ 56.25	1.47	\$ 184,186		43.31%	0.04	\$ 394,947	423		6.5	2.6	0.0	0	4	
Whitley Heights Sanitary District		4	Unknown	4.97%	NoData								WW Only	DW Only				0.93	\$ (783)		56.62%	0.38	\$ 11,233	1856		6.3	NoData	LimitedData	0	0	
Whitsett		3	1,018	32.21%	2								WW Only	DW Only				NoDebt	\$ 5,130	\$ (44,870)	17.08%	(0.58)	\$ -	2275	0.10	11.3	NoData	LimitedData	0	0	
Whittier Sanitary District	BOTH	5	225	4.08%	NoData								23	NoData	\$ 17.50	NoData	NoData	NoDebt	\$ 6,896	\$ (43,104)	77.86%	0.05	\$ -	332	0.14	50.1	0.5	1.0	0	0	
Wilkesboro	BOTH	2	6	3,505	-1.52%	3	19 and 18	ANS					48	56	\$ 10.69	\$ 13.10	\$ 23.79	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	LimitedData	0	0
Williamston	BOTH	3	11	5,375	-0.65%	4							85	89	\$ 64.90	\$ 53.00	\$ 117.90	1.17	\$ 133,380		52.41%	0.04	\$ 803,428	865		11.0	1.0	1.0	4	0	
Wilson	BOTH	1	49,230	-0.32%	5								124	149	\$ 34.60	\$ 41.82	\$ 76.42	2.47	\$ 5,901,415		44.61%	0.21	\$ 4,015,868	403		4.8	1.5	0.0	1	1	
Wilson County	DW	3	8	7,974	-0.20%	5							30	DW Only	\$ 51.50			1.36	\$ 203,714		32.24%	0.07	\$ 571,782	677		7.7	1.5	0.0	0	0	
Windsor	BOTH	5	3,523	-0.65%	5								93	109	\$ 19.10	\$ 23.00	\$ 42.10	2.59	\$ 65,115		50.59%	(0.11)	\$ 40,862	495		4.0	1.6	0.0	0	0	
Winfall	WW	5	653	1.56%	5								WW Only	NoData		\$ 75.50		1.11	\$ 6,539		36.05%	(0.40)	\$ 60,794	144		1.9	2.2	1.0	0	0	
Wingate	BOTH	6	4,078	9.98%	3								184	143	\$ 31.20	\$ 56.75	\$ 87.95	0.78	\$ (59,512)		27.12%	(0.03)	\$ 267,275	159		1.6	1.4	0.0	2	0	
Winston-Salem	BOTH	1	242,125	3.27%	4								152	164	\$ 32.26	\$ 52.23	\$ 84.49	1.38	\$ 19,584,678		41.93%	0.37	\$ 50,944,004	614		3.2	1.6	0.0	0	5	
Winterville	BOTH	1	9,546	1.29%	3								139	153	\$ 29.02	\$ 47.97	\$ 76.99	1.91	\$ 364,915		40.18%	0.12	\$ 399,452	141		2.4	1.6	0.0	1	1	
Winton	BOTH	5	849	-4.93%	5								189	39	\$ 29.50	\$ 20.35	\$ 49.85	10.98	\$ 139,775		57.07%	(0.01)	\$ 14,006	786		4.5	1.7	1.0	0	5	
Woodfin Sanitary District	DW	5	9,000	4.04%	NoData			2	2				101	DW Only	\$ 26.38			2.57	\$ 3,749		39.71%	(0.14)	\$ 2,381	705		12.0	1.2	1.0	0	0	
Woodland	BOTH	7	880	-3.40%	5								77	NoData	\$ 30.50	\$ 36.50	\$ 67.00	NoDebt	\$ 77,998	\$ 27,998	71.87%	0.04	\$ -	895	1.56	13.4	1.8	1.0	2	0	
Wrightsville Beach	BOTH	3	2,536	0.44%	1								83	122	\$ 33.70	\$ 52.13	\$ 85.83	NoDebt	\$ 1,074,578	\$ 1,024,578	63.73%	0.24	\$ -	1140	21.49	63.5	1.3	1.0	0	1	
Yadkin County	BOTH	3	14	100	-1.14%	4							7	NoData	\$ 73.33	\$ 48.63	\$ 121.96	0.51	\$ (102,918)		36.50%	(0.46)	\$ 208,750	1298		49.5	1.3	1.0	2	1	
Yadkin Valley Sewer Authority	WW	4	6,800	-23.42%	NoData								WW Only	67		\$ 55.50		1.46	\$ 327,924		37.84%	0.13	\$ 717,107	241		3.0	3.0	1.0	0	0	
Yadkinville	BOTH	5	2,908	-1.29%	4								53	33	\$ 19.86	\$ 20.84	\$ 40.70	NoDebt	\$ 651,340	\$ 601,340	57.93%	0.01	\$ -	552	13.03	39.6	1.9	0.0	0	0	
Yanceyville	BOTH	4	2,248	11.01%	4								84	102	\$ 35.69	\$ 27.89	\$ 63.58	1.76	\$ 243,402		43.29%	0.14	\$ 320,623	536		6.7	3.3	0.0	0	0	

* 1 = High Risk, 2 = Med Risk, ANS = Audit not submitted
 ** M = Moratorium, SOC = Consent Order, 90% = 90% Rule

Agenda Item G. Attachment 3 - Assessment Score

Local Government Unit	Utility Type	Distressed ID Category*	Assessment Score	Score + Missing	Missing / Limited Data #	Service Population (1)	Affordability (1)	2 Missing Audits	UAL Control - W/S only (3)	DW Compliance (1)	WW / CS Compliance (1)	Flow Moratorium (4)	DW Pop / Mile (1)	Sewer Pop / Mile (1)	Rate (2019 inside) \$/5000 gal (1)	Revenue Outlook (4)	Debt Service Coverage Ratio (1)	Surplus (deficit) w/ Debt (2)	No Debt DSCR Test (1)	% Depreciated (1)	Operating Margin (1)	Quick Ratio	Receivables Ratio	Transfers In (1)	Transfers Out (1)
Eureka	WW	1 D	6	11	5	1			3					1	1		NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	LimitedData	LimitedData	LimitedData
Cliffside Sanitary District	WW	1 D	4	13	9	NoData	NoData	Yes	3					1			NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	LimitedData	LimitedData	LimitedData
Bethel	BOTH	3 D	17	17	0	1	1		3					1	1	4	1	2			1	1	1		
Kingstown	WW	3 D	11	16	5	1	1		3					1	1	4	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	LimitedData		
Robersonville	BOTH	1	7	14	7	1		Yes	3				1	1	1		NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	LimitedData	LimitedData	LimitedData
Wilkesboro	BOTH	2	6	13	7	1		Yes	3				1	1			NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	LimitedData	LimitedData	LimitedData
Askewville		2	5	12	7	1	1	Yes	3								NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	LimitedData	NoData	NoData
Castalia		2	5	12	7	1	1	Yes	3								NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	LimitedData	NoData	NoData
Ronda	DW	2	5	12	7	1		Yes	3				1				NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	LimitedData	LimitedData	LimitedData
Bath	BOTH	3	21	21	0	1			3			4	1	1	1	4	1	2		1	1	1			
Pikeville	BOTH	3	19	19	0	1	1		3		1	4	1	1	1	4					1	1			
Fremont	BOTH	3	17	17	0	1	1		3				1	1	1	4	1	2			1	1			
Maysville	BOTH	3	16	17	1	1	1		3		1	4	1	NoData	1	4									
Elm City	BOTH	3	16	16	0	1	1		3		1	4	1				1	2			1	1			
Godwin	DW	3	15	15	0	1	1		3				1		1	4			1	1	1	1			
Mount Olive	BOTH	3	15	15	0	1	1		3		1	4	1				1	2				1			
Rockingham County	BOTH	3	15	15	0	1	1			1			1	1	1	4	1	2			1			1	
Rowland	BOTH	3	15	15	0	1	1				1		1	1	1	4	1	2		1	1				
East Spencer	BOTH	3	14	15	1	1	1		3				1	NoData	1		1	2		1	1	1	1		
Yadkin County	BOTH	3	14	14	0	1	1						1	1	1	4	1	2			1			1	
Navassa	BOTH	3	13	14	1	1	1		3		1		1	NoData			1	2			1	1	1		
Greenevers	BOTH	3	13	13	0	1	1						1	1	1	4		2	1		1			1	
Pilot Mountain	BOTH	3	13	13	0	1	1		3				1	1			1		1		1	1	1	1	
Sharpsburg	BOTH	3	13	13	0	1	1		3				1	1	1		1	2			1		1		
Surry County	DW	3	13	13	0	1	1						1		1	4	1	2			1			1	
Trenton	BOTH	3	12	14	2	1					1	4	NoData	1	NoData			2	1		1		LimitedData	1	
Everetts	BOTH	3	12	13	1	1			3				1	1	NoData			2	1		1	1	LimitedData	1	
Belhaven	BOTH	3	12	12	0	1	1		3				1	1	1		1	2				1			
Bolton	BOTH	3	12	12	0	1	1		3				1	1			1	2			1	1			
Fairmont	BOTH	3	12	12	0	1	1				1	4		1			1	2			1				
Fountain	BOTH	3	12	12	0	1	1						1	1	1	4			1	1	1				
Gamewell	WW	3	12	12	0	1	1		3				1	1				2	1		1	NoData	1	1	
Hertford	BOTH	3	12	12	0	1	1						1		1	4	1	2			1				
Macclesfield	BOTH	3	12	12	0	1	1		3				1	1				2	1	1	1	1			
Newton Grove	BOTH	3	12	12	0	1	1		3				1	1			1	2		1	1				
Ossipee	DW	3	12	12	0	1			3						1	4			1		1	1			
Pinetops	BOTH	3	12	12	0	1	1		3				1	1				2	1	1	1		1		
Taylorstown	BOTH	3	12	12	0	1			3				1	1				2	1	1	1	1			
Whitakers	BOTH	3	12	12	0	1	1		3				1	1	1		1	2			1				
Edgecombe County	BOTH	3	11	16	5		1		3				1	1	1	4	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	LimitedData		
Gates County	BOTH	3	11	12	1		1		3			4	1	NoData						1				1	
Greene County	BOTH	3	11	12	1	1	1		3				1	NoData			1	2			1				1
Stanly County	DW	3	11	12	1		1				1	4	1		NoData		1			1	1		LimitedData	1	
Tryon	BOTH	3	11	12	1	1			3		1		1	NoData			1	2		1	1				
Boardman	BOTH	3	11	11	0	1	1		3				1	1				2	1		1	NoData			
Creswell	BOTH	3	11	11	0	1	1						1	1	1	4			1		1				
East Arcadia	DW	3	11	11	0	1	1		3				1					2	1	1	1				
Edenton	BOTH	3	11	11	0	1	1			1	1	4	1	1						1					
Ellerbe	BOTH	3	11	11	0	1	1		3				1	1			1	2			1				
Fair Bluff	BOTH	3	11	11	0	1	1		3				1	1			1	2			1				
Grifton	BOTH	3	11	11	0	1	1		3				1	1			1	2		1	1				1
Halifax	BOTH	3	11	11	0	1	1		3				1	1				2	1		1				
Landis	BOTH	3	11	11	0	1			3				1	1	1	4								1	
Middlesex	BOTH	3	11	11	0	1	1						1	1	1	4				1	1				
Morven	BOTH	3	11	11	0	1	1		3				1	1				2	1		1				
Norwood	BOTH	3	11	11	0	1			3			4	1	1							1				
Pollockville	BOTH	3	11	11	0	1	1		3				1	1			1	2			1				
Rich Square		3	11	11	0	1	1		3		1	4									1		LimitedData		
Snow Hill	BOTH	3	11	11	0	1	1		3					1			1	2		1	1				
Star	BOTH	3	11	11	0	1	1				1		1	1			1	2	1	1	1		1		
Trinity	WW	3	11	11	0	1									1	4	1	2			1			1	

* D = Previously designated as distressed; 1 = LGC Assumed Control; 2 = No audit last 2 yrs; 3 = Score based; 4 = Other

Agenda Item G. Attachment 3 - Assessment Score

Local Government Unit	Utility Type	Distressed ID Category*	Assessment Score	Score + Missing	Missing / Limited Data #	Service Population (1)	Affordability (1)	2 Missing Audits	UAL Control - W/S only (3)	DW Compliance (1)	WW / CS Compliance (1)	Flow Moratorium (4)	DW Pop / Mile (1)	Sewer Pop / Mile (1)	Rate (2019 inside) \$/5000 gal (1)	Revenue Outlook (4)	Debt Service Coverage Ratio (1)	Surplus (deficit) w/ Debt (2)	No Debt DSCR Test (1)	% Depreciated (1)	Operating Margin (1)	Quick Ratio	Receivables Ratio	Transfers In (1)	Transfers Out (1)
Vanceboro	BOTH	3	11	11	0	1	1		3		1				1	4	1	2		1	1				
Washington County	DW	3	11	11	0	1	1						1		1	4	1	2							
Williamston	BOTH	3	11	11	0	1	1						1	1	1	4				1				1	
Franklinville	BOTH	3	10	11	1	1	1		3				1	NoData	1	4	1	2			1				
Nash County	BOTH	3	10	11	1	1	1						1	NoData	1	4								1	1
Andrews	BOTH	3	10	10	0	1	1		3					1						1	1		1		1
Cerro Gordo	BOTH	3	10	10	0	1	1		3				1	1					1		1		1		
Gibson	BOTH	3	10	10	0	1	1						1	1				2	1	1	1		1		
Hyde County	DW	3	10	10	0	1	1		3				1				1	2			1				
Madison	BOTH	3	10	10	0	1	1				1		1	1			1	2		1	1				
Parmele	BOTH	3	10	10	0	1	1						1	1	1	4			1		1				
Plymouth	BOTH	3	10	10	0	1	1								1	4					1		1	1	
Polkville		3	10	10	0	1	1		3									2	1		1	1	LimitedData		
Robbins	BOTH	3	10	10	0	1	1				1		1	1	1		1	2		1	1				
Southport	BOTH	3	10	10	0	1	1		3					1	1		1	2			1				
Spring Lake	BOTH	3	10	10	0		1		3									1	2		1				1
Stovall	BOTH	3	10	10	0	1	1			1			1	1	1		1	2			1			1	
Walstonburg	BOTH	3	10	10	0	1	1						1	1	1	4			1		1				
Engelhard Sanitary District	WW	3	9	12	3	NoData	NoData					4		NoData				2	1		1		1		
Saint Pauls	BOTH	3	9	12	3	NoData	NoData		3			4				NoData				1	1				
Orange County	DW	3	9	11	2		1		3				NoData					2	1		1	1	1	1	LimitedData
Cumberland County	BOTH	3	9	10	1		1						NoData	1	1		1	2			1		1	1	
Jonesville	BOTH	3	9	10	1	1	1						1	NoData	1	4							1		
Pender County	BOTH	3	9	10	1		1		3		1		1	NoData	1		1							1	1
Bailey	BOTH	3	9	9	0	1	1					4	1	1									1		
Beaufort County	DW	3	9	9	0		1						1		1	4	1						1		
Bertie County	DW	3	9	9	0		1		3				1				1	2			1				
Bladenboro	BOTH	3	9	9	0	1	1						1	1			1	2			1		1		
Dover	BOTH	3	9	9	0	1	1		3				1	1							1		1		
Dublin	BOTH	3	9	9	0	1	1						1	1			1	2		1	1				
East Bend	BOTH	3	9	9	0	1	1						1	1	1			2	1	1	1				
Enfield	BOTH	3	9	9	0	1	1		3						1					1	1		1		
Glen Alpine		3	9	9	0	1	1		3									2	1		1		LimitedData		
Granite Falls	BOTH	3	9	9	0	1	1						1	1			1	2		1	1		1		
Grover	BOTH	3	9	9	0	1	1						1	1				2	1	1	1				
Hamilton	BOTH	3	9	9	0	1	1		3					1			1	2			1				
Hookerton	BOTH	3	9	9	0	1	1						1	1			1	2			1		1		1
Hot Springs	BOTH	3	9	9	0	1	1		3				1	1					1					1	
Lawndale	BOTH	3	9	9	0	1	1						1	1				2	1		1		1		
Lilesville	BOTH	3	9	9	0	1	1						1	1	1	4					1				
Pink Hill	BOTH	3	9	9	0	1	1						1	1	1		1	2			1				
Ramseur	BOTH	3	9	9	0	1	1		3		1		1	1					1						
Rutherfordton	WW	3	9	9	0	1	1				1			1			1	2			1		1		
Scotland Neck	BOTH	3	9	9	0	1	1		3		1			1					1		1				
Seaboard	BOTH	3	9	9	0	1	1		3				1	1							1		1		
Topsail Beach	DW	3	9	9	0	1	1						1		1	4							1		1
Vance County	DW	3	9	9	0	1	1						1		1	4								1	
Warrenton	BOTH	3	9	9	0	1	1						1	1			1	2		1	1				
Bay River Metropolitan Sewage District	WW	3	8	9	1	1	NoData							1			1	2		1	1		1		
Northwest	DW	3	8	9	1	1	1		3				1		NoData		1				1		LimitedData		
Autryville	DW	3	8	8	0	1	1						1				1	2		1	1	NoData			
Carteret County	DW	3	8	8	0	1	1						1		1		1	2			1			1	
Colerain	WW	3	8	8	0	1	1							1	1		1	2	1	1	1				
Davidson County	WW	3	8	8	0		1							1	1		1	2			1			1	
Martin County	DW	3	8	8	0	1	1						1		1		1	2					1		
Wade	DW	3	8	8	0	1	1						1				1	2		1	1			1	
Wilson County	DW	3	8	8	0	1	1						1		1	4									
Ocracoke Sanitary District	BOTH		8	10	2	1	NoData						1	1	NoData		1	2		1	1		LimitedData		
Riegelwood Sanitary District			8	10	2	NoData	NoData		3								1	2		1	1		LimitedData		
Tyrrell County	BOTH		8	10	2	1	1		3				1	NoData	NoData						1		LimitedData	1	
Four Oaks	BOTH		8	9	1	1	1		3				1	NoData			1			1					

* D = Previously designated as distressed; 1 = LGC Assumed Control; 2 = No audit last 2 yrs; 3 = Score based; 4 = Other

Agenda Item G. Attachment 3 - Assessment Score

Local Government Unit	Utility Type	Distressed ID Category*	Assessment Score	Score + Missing	Missing / Limited Data #	Service Population (1)	Affordability (1)	2 Missing Audits	UAL Control - W/S only (3)	DW Compliance (1)	WW / CS Compliance (1)	Flow Moratorium (4)	DW Pop / Mile (1)	Sewer Pop / Mile (1)	Rate (2019 inside) \$/5000 gal (1)	Revenue Outlook (4)	Debt Service Coverage Ratio (1)	Surplus (deficit) w/ Debt (2)	No Debt DSCR Test (1)	% Depreciated (1)	Operating Margin (1)	Quick Ratio	Receivables Ratio	Transfers In (1)	Transfers Out (1)
Milton	BOTH		8	9	1	1							1	1	NoData		1	2			1		LimitedData	1	
Pine Level	BOTH		8	9	1	1				1			1	NoData			1	2		1	1				
Polkton	BOTH		8	9	1	1	1						1	NoData				2	1		1			1	
Selma	BOTH		8	9	1	1					1				NoData		1	2		1	1			1	
Ahoskie	BOTH		8	8	0	1	1								1	4									1
Anson County	BOTH		8	8	0		1		3		1		1							1	1				
Bald Head Island	BOTH		8	8	0	1							1	1	1		1	2						1	
Benson	BOTH		8	8	0	1					1		1	1			1	2			1			1	
Brevard	BOTH		8	8	0	1					1				1		1	2				1		1	
Columbia	BOTH		8	8	0	1	1		3								1				1				1
Denton	BOTH		8	8	0	1					1		1	1	1		1	2							
Fallston	BOTH		8	8	0	1	1						1	1			1	2			1				
Grimesland	BOTH		8	8	0	1	1							1	1		1	2			1				
Lansing	BOTH		8	8	0	1	1						1	1					1	1	1		1		
Liberty	BOTH		8	8	0	1	1				1		1	1					1	1	1		1		
Murfreesboro	BOTH		8	8	0	1	1		3								1	2							
Powellsville	BOTH		8	8	0	1	1						1	1			1	2				1			
Raeford	BOTH		8	8	0	1	1						1				1	2		1	1				
Rose Hill	BOTH		8	8	0	1	1						1	1			1	2			1				
Lucama	BOTH		7	13	6	1	1		3				1	NoData			NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	LimitedData	1	
Black Creek	BOTH		7	12	5	1	1		3				1	1			NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	LimitedData		
Sims	BOTH		7	12	5	1	1		3				1	1			NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	LimitedData		
Camden County	BOTH		7	9	2	1							1	NoData	NoData		1	2			1		LimitedData	1	
Carthage	BOTH		7	9	2	1					1		NoData	NoData	1		1	2				1			
Stanfield	BOTH		7	9	2	1							NoData	NoData	1	4						1			
Currituck County	BOTH		7	8	1						1	4	1		NoData								LimitedData	1	
Rhodhiss	BOTH		7	8	1	1	1							NoData			1	2			1				
Sedgefield Sanitary District	WW		7	8	1	1	NoData							1				2	1	1	1		LimitedData		
Wadesboro	BOTH		7	8	1	1	1				1		1	NoData					1	1	1			1	
Aurora	BOTH		7	7	0	1	1			1			1	1				1	1						
Beech Mountain	BOTH		7	7	0	1							1	1			1	2						1	
Blowing Rock	BOTH		7	7	0	1					1		1	1			1				1			1	
Boonville	BOTH		7	7	0	1							1				1	2		1	1				
Brunswick	BOTH		7	7	0	1	1						1	1					1	1	1			1	
Burke County	BOTH		7	7	0	1	1				1		1	1						1	1				
Cameron	DW		7	7	0	1							1					2	1	1	1				
Chadbourn	BOTH		7	7	0	1	1				1		1	1							1			1	
Cherryville	BOTH		7	7	0	1	1										1	2		1	1				
Drexel	BOTH		7	7	0	1					1						1	2		1	1				
Haw River	BOTH		7	7	0	1							1				1	2		1	1				
High Shoals	BOTH		7	7	0	1							1	1				2	1		1				
Hobgood	BOTH		7	7	0	1	1						1	1					1		1		1		
Kenansville	BOTH		7	7	0	1	1		3											1	1				
Kenly	BOTH		7	7	0	1	1				1		1	1							1		1		
Lake Santeetlah	DW		7	7	0	1							1				1	2		1	1				
Lillington	BOTH		7	7	0	1	1							1				2	1		1				
Littleton	BOTH		7	7	0	1	1							1			1	2			1				
Mcdowell County	DW		7	7	0	1							1				1	2			1	1			
Nags Head	DW		7	7	0	1							1				1	2		1	1				
Nashville	BOTH		7	7	0	1			3				1							1	1				
Old Fort	BOTH		7	7	0	1	1							1			1	2			1				
Oriental	DW		7	7	0	1							1					2	1	1	1				
Ranlo			7	7	0	1	1		3										1		1		LimitedData		
Red Springs	BOTH		7	7	0	1	1		3											1	1			1	
Richfield	WW		7	7	0	1								1			1	2			1		LimitedData	1	
Roper	BOTH		7	7	0	1	1				1		1	1					1		1				
Roseboro	BOTH		7	7	0	1	1						1	1						1	1			1	
Rosman	BOTH		7	7	0	1	1				1		1	1							1		1		
Roxboro	BOTH		7	7	0	1	1										1	2			1		1		
Salemburg	BOTH		7	7	0	1	1						1	1					1	1	1				
Sandyfield	DW		7	7	0	1	1						1					2	1	1	1				

* D = Previously designated as distressed; 1 = LGC Assumed Control; 2 = No audit last 2 yrs; 3 = Score based; 4 = Other

Agenda Item G. Attachment 3 - Assessment Score

Local Government Unit	Utility Type	Distressed ID Category*	Assessment Score	Score + Missing	Missing / Limited Data #	Service Population (1)	Affordability (1)	2 Missing Audits	UAL Control - W/S only (3)	DW Compliance (1)	WW / CS Compliance (1)	Flow Moratorium (4)	DW Pop / Mile (1)	Sewer Pop / Mile (1)	Rate (2019 inside) \$/5000 gal (1)	Revenue Outlook (4)	Debt Service Coverage Ratio (1)	Surplus (deficit) w/ Debt (2)	No Debt DSCR Test (1)	% Depreciated (1)	Operating Margin (1)	Quick Ratio	Receivables Ratio	Transfers In (1)	Transfers Out (1)
Spindale	WW		7	7	0	1	1							1			1	2		1					
Wagram	BOTH		7	7	0	1	1						1	1					1		1	NoData			1
Warsaw	BOTH		7	7	0	1	1		3					1						1					
Woodland	BOTH		7	7	0	1	1				1		1	1						1				1	
Jackson	BOTH		6	11	5	1	1		3					1			NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	LimitedData	
Magnolia	BOTH		6	11	5	1	1		3				1				NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	LimitedData	
Micro	BOTH		6	11	5	1	1		3				1	1			NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	LimitedData	
Atkinson	DW		6	8	2	1	1		3				NoData		NoData									LimitedData	
Goldston	WW		6	7	1	1								1			1	2						1	LimitedData
Holden Beach	BOTH		6	7	1	1							1	NoData			1	2							
Norlina	BOTH		6	7	1	1	1		3				1	NoData											
Northampton County	BOTH		6	7	1		1		3				1	NoData									1		
Rockingham	BOTH		6	7	1	1	1				1			1	NoData					1			LimitedData	1	
Stokes County	DW		6	7	1								NoData		1	4						1			
Wayne County	DW		6	7	1		1						NoData				1	2						1	
Aberdeen	BOTH		6	6	0	1			3											1					
Bakersville	BOTH		6	6	0	1	1						1	1									1		
Bryson City	BOTH		6	6	0	1	1				1			1										1	
Bunn	BOTH		6	6	0	1	1						1	1						1					
Burgaw	BOTH		6	6	0	1	1							1									1	1	
Columbus	BOTH		6	6	0	1							1	1			1	2							
Duplin County	DW		6	6	0		1		3				1				1								
Ellenboro	BOTH		6	6	0	1	1						1	1									1		
Falcon	DW		6	6	0	1	1						1						1	1	1				
Hamlet	BOTH		6	6	0	1	1						1	1						1	1				
Jamesville	BOTH		6	6	0	1							1	1					1	1	1				
Johnston County	BOTH		6	6	0				3				1	1											1
Lexington	BOTH		6	6	0		1			1			1	1						1				1	
Maxton	BOTH		6	6	0	1	1						1	1							1		1		
Mocksville	BOTH		6	6	0	1					1		1	1						1	1				
Montreat	DW		6	6	0	1			3				1							1					
Mount Gilead	BOTH		6	6	0	1	1				1		1	1									1		
Perquimans County	DW		6	6	0		1						1				1	2		1					
Pittsboro	BOTH		6	6	0	1							1	1						1	1			1	
Robbinsville	BOTH		6	6	0	1	1						1	1	1						1				
Robeson County	DW		6	6	0		1		3				1							1					
Saratoga	BOTH		6	6	0	1	1						1	1	1						1				
Scotland County	DW		6	6	0	1	1		3				1												
Severn	BOTH		6	6	0	1	1						1	1					1	1					
Sparta	BOTH		6	6	0	1	1						1	1						1	1				
Spruce Pine	BOTH		6	6	0	1	1						1								1	1	1		
Stanley	BOTH		6	6	0	1	1										1	2							
Stoneville	BOTH		6	6	0	1	1				1		1	1									1		
Taylorsville	BOTH		6	6	0	1			3					1									1		
Walnut Cove			6	6	0	1	1		3											1					
Wingate	BOTH		6	6	0	1											1	2			1			1	
Chimney Rock	DW		5	10	5	1			3				1				NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	LimitedData		
Newport	BOTH		5	10	5	1	1		3								NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	LimitedData		
Flat Rock/Bannertown Water and Sewer District	DW		5	9	4	NoData	NoData						NoData		NoData		1	2			1	1	LimitedData		
Lower Cape Fear Water & Sewer Authority	BULK		5	7	2	1	NoData									NoData	1	2			1		LimitedData		
Whittier Sanitary District	BOTH		5	7	2	1	NoData						1	1	NoData				1	1					
Clay County	BOTH		5	6	1	1	1						1	NoData						1	1				
Martin County Regional Water and Sewer Authority			5	6	1	1	NoData										1	2			1		LimitedData		
New London	BOTH		5	6	1	1							NoData	1					1		1			1	
Rutherford College	BOTH		5	6	1	1							1	NoData			1	2							
Woodfin Sanitary District	DW		5	6	1	1	NoData		3														1		
Ansonville	BOTH		5	5	0	1							1	1						1	1				
Asheboro	BOTH		5	5	0		1				1									1	1		1		
Aulander	BOTH		5	5	0	1	1				1									1	1				
Beaufort	BOTH		5	5	0	1							1	1	1								1		
Belmont	BOTH		5	5	0						1		1	1							1		1		

* D = Previously designated as distressed; 1 = LGC Assumed Control; 2 = No audit last 2 yrs; 3 = Score based; 4 = Other

Agenda Item G. Attachment 3 - Assessment Score

Local Government Unit	Utility Type	Distressed ID Category*	Assessment Score	Score + Missing	Missing / Limited Data #	Service Population (1)	Affordability (1)	2 Missing Audits	UAL Control - W/S only (3)	DW Compliance (1)	WW / CS Compliance (1)	Flow Moratorium (4)	DW Pop / Mile (1)	Sewer Pop / Mile (1)	Rate (2019 inside) \$/5000 gal (1)	Revenue Outlook (4)	Debt Service Coverage Ratio (1)	Surplus (deficit) w/ Debt (2)	No Debt DSCR Test (1)	% Depreciated (1)	Operating Margin (1)	Quick Ratio	Receivables Ratio	Transfers In (1)	Transfers Out (1)
Beulaville	BOTH		5	5	0	1	1						1	1							1				
Bridgeton	WW		5	5	0	1								1						1	1			1	
Chocowinity	BOTH		5	5	0	1	1						1	1							1				
Clarkton	BOTH		5	5	0	1	1						1	1							1				
Conway	BOTH		5	5	0	1	1						1	1							1				
Craven County	DW		5	5	0		1						1								1		1	1	
Crossnore	BOTH		5	5	0	1							1	1					1		1				
Davie County	BOTH		5	5	0								1	1						1			1	1	
Dobson	BOTH		5	5	0	1	1						1	1							1				
Elizabethtown	BOTH		5	5	0	1	1						1	1							1				
Elon	BOTH		5	5	0									1			1	2			1				
Faith	BOTH		5	5	0	1	1							1			1				1				
Jefferson	BOTH		5	5	0	1							1	1							1			1	
Lewiston-Woodville	WW		5	5	0	1	1							1					1		1		LimitedData		
Louisburg	BOTH		5	5	0	1	1						1	1							1				
Lumberton	BOTH		5	5	0		1				1		1								1		1		
Marion	BOTH		5	5	0	1	1						1	1							1				
Marshall	BOTH		5	5	0	1					1		1	1							1				
Murphy	BOTH		5	5	0	1	1				1		1								1				
Newton	BOTH		5	5	0		1				1		1	1							1				
Oak Island	BOTH		5	5	0	1							1	1										1	1
Parkton	BOTH		5	5	0	1	1						1	1							1				
Peachland	BOTH		5	5	0	1	1						1	1							1				
Proctorville	WW		5	5	0	1	1							1					1		1				
Sanford	BOTH		5	5	0		1						1				1	2							
Shelby	BOTH		5	5	0		1						1	1									1	1	
Siler City	BOTH		5	5	0	1	1				1		1								1				
Stokesdale	DW		5	5	0	1							1		1					1					
Tabor City	BOTH		5	5	0	1	1										1				1			1	
Troy	BOTH		5	5	0	1	1														1				
Washington	BOTH		5	5	0	1	1														1			1	
Waynesville	BOTH		5	5	0	1					1			1							1				
Whiteville	BOTH		5	5	0	1	1						1	1									1		
Windsor	BOTH		5	5	0	1	1						1								1				
Winfall	WW		5	5	0	1	1							1	1						1				
Winton	BOTH		5	5	0	1	1							1							1				
Yadkinville	BOTH		5	5	0	1	1						1	1							1				
Goldsboro	BOTH		4	10	6		1		3								NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	NoData	LimitedData	LimitedData	
Southwestern Wayne Sanitary District	BOTH		4	9	5	NoData	NoData						NoData	NoData	NoData		1	2	NoData	NoData	1	NoData	LimitedData	LimitedData	
Connelly Springs	BOTH		4	7	3	1	1						NoData	NoData	NoData				1	NoData	1		LimitedData		
Fork Township Sanitary District	BOTH		4	7	3		NoData		3				1	NoData	NoData								LimitedData		
Swan Quarter Sanitary District	WW		4	7	3	NoData	NoData							NoData					2	1	1				
Walnut Creek	BOTH		4	6	2	1							1	NoData	NoData						1		LimitedData	1	
Whitley Heights Sanitary District			4	6	2	NoData	NoData										1	2			1		LimitedData		
Alamance	BOTH		4	5	1	1							1	NoData							1			1	
Albemarle	BOTH		4	5	1		1				1		1	NoData							1				
Biltmore Forest	BOTH		4	5	1	1							1	NoData							1			1	
Garland	BOTH		4	5	1	1	1				1		1	NoData											
Goldston/Gulf Sanitary District	DW		4	5	1		NoData						1		1								1		
Green Level	BOTH		4	5	1	1	1							NoData	1										
Kill Devil Hills	BOTH		4	5	1	1							1	NoData	1						1				
Lake Lure	BOTH		4	5	1	1					1		1	NoData	1										
Stedman	BOTH		4	5	1	1							1	NoData							1		1		
Yadkin Valley Sewer Authority	WW		4	5	1	1	NoData				1			1									1		
Ayden	BOTH		4	4	0	1	1						1		1										
Banner Elk	BOTH		4	4	0	1							1	1							1				
Biscoe	BOTH		4	4	0	1	1						1	1											
Bladen County	DW		4	4	0	1	1						1										1		
Burnsville	BOTH		4	4	0	1					1										1		1		
Calypso	BOTH		4	4	0	1	1						1	1											
Candor	BOTH		4	4	0	1	1						1	1							1				

* D = Previously designated as distressed; 1 = LGC Assumed Control; 2 = No audit last 2 yrs; 3 = Score based; 4 = Other

Agenda Item G. Attachment 3 - Assessment Score

Local Government Unit	Utility Type	Distressed ID Category*	Assessment Score	Score + Missing	Missing / Limited Data #	Service Population (1)	Affordability (1)	2 Missing Audits	UAL Control - W/S only (3)	DW Compliance (1)	WW / CS Compliance (1)	Flow Moratorium (4)	DW Pop / Mile (1)	Sewer Pop / Mile (1)	Rate (2019 inside) \$/5000 gal (1)	Revenue Outlook (4)	Debt Service Coverage Ratio (1)	Surplus (deficit) w/ Debt (2)	No Debt DSCR Test (1)	% Depreciated (1)	Operating Margin (1)	Quick Ratio	Receivables Ratio	Transfers In (1)	Transfers Out (1)
Claremont	BOTH		4	4	0	1							1	1						1					
Clayton	BOTH		4	4	0												1	2			1				
Clinton	BOTH		4	4	0	1	1							1						1					
Coats	DW		4	4	0	1	1						1							1					
Cofield	BOTH		4	4	0	1	1						1	1											
Conover	BOTH		4	4	0	1							1	1										1	
Cove City	BOTH		4	4	0	1	1							1							1				
Eden	BOTH		4	4	0		1					1		1											
Elk Park	BOTH		4	4	0	1							1	1							1				
Faison	BOTH		4	4	0	1	1							1							1				
Forest City	BOTH		4	4	0	1	1						1	1											
Foxfire Village	DW		4	4	0	1							1							1			1		
Harnett County	BOTH		4	4	0		1					1		1											
Harrellsville	DW		4	4	0	1	1						1							1					
Hertford County	DW		4	4	0	1	1						1											1	
Highlands	BOTH		4	4	0	1							1							1				1	
Jones County	DW		4	4	0	1	1						1										1		
La Grange	BOTH		4	4	0	1	1					1								1					
Lake Waccamaw	BOTH		4	4	0	1							1	1							1				
Lincolnton	BOTH		4	4	0		1						1	1						1					
Linden	DW		4	4	0	1	1						1							1					
Long View	BOTH		4	4	0	1	1													1	1				
Lowell	BOTH		4	4	0	1	1					1								1					
Maiden	BOTH		4	4	0	1								1						1	1				
Manteo	BOTH		4	4	0	1							1	1						1					
Mayodan	BOTH		4	4	0	1	1						1											1	
Morganton	BOTH		4	4	0		1						1	1											
Mount Pleasant	BOTH		4	4	0	1							1	1											
Newland	BOTH		4	4	0	1							1	1							1				
North Wilkesboro	BOTH		4	4	0	1	1							1						1					
Princeton	BOTH		4	4	0	1	1						1	1											
Randleman	BOTH		4	4	0	1						1		1						1					
Saluda	BOTH		4	4	0	1								1	1										
Spring Hope	BOTH		4	4	0	1	1						1								1				
Surf City	BOTH		4	4	0	1							1	1									1		
Tarboro	BOTH		4	4	0		1						1							1	1				
Turkey	DW		4	4	0	1	1						1							1					
Valdese	BOTH		4	4	0	1	1						1							1					
Wallace	BOTH		4	4	0	1	1							1							1				
Weldon	BOTH		4	4	0	1	1							1						1					
West Jefferson	BOTH		4	4	0	1							1	1							1				
Yanceyville	BOTH		4	4	0	1	1						1										1		
Eastern Wayne Sanitary District			3	5	2	NoData	NoData										1	2					LimitedData		
Fontana Dam			3	5	2	1													1		1		LimitedData	LimitedData	LimitedData
Handy Sanitary District	BOTH		3	5	2	1	NoData						1	NoData	1						1				
Maury Sanitary Land District			3	5	2	1	NoData									NoData				1	1		LimitedData		
Stokes County Water & Sewer Authority	DW		3	5	2	1	NoData						1		NoData				1				LimitedData		
Alexander County	BOTH		3	4	1		1						1	NoData										1	
Broadway	BOTH		3	4	1	1								NoData						1	1				
Chatham County	BOTH		3	4	1								1	NoData	1									1	
First Craven Sanitary District	DW		3	4	1	1	NoData						1							1					
Henderson	BOTH		3	4	1		1					1		NoData										1	
Laurel Park	BOTH		3	4	1	1							1	NoData										1	
Maggie Valley Sanitary District	DW		3	4	1	1	NoData						1							1					
Montgomery County	BOTH		3	4	1		1						1	NoData									1		
Pinebluff	BOTH		3	4	1	1							1	NoData						1					
Sweepsonville	BOTH		3	4	1	1							NoData	1							1				
Warren County	BOTH		3	4	1	1	1						1	NoData											
Atlantic Beach	DW		3	3	0	1														1			1		
Boiling Springs	BOTH		3	3	0	1							1	1											
Bostic	DW		3	3	0	1	1						1												

* D = Previously designated as distressed; 1 = LGC Assumed Control; 2 = No audit last 2 yrs; 3 = Score based; 4 = Other

Agenda Item G. Attachment 3 - Assessment Score

Local Government Unit	Utility Type	Distressed ID Category*	Assessment Score	Score + Missing	Missing / Limited Data #	Service Population (1)	Affordability (1)	2 Missing Audits	UAL Control - W/S only (3)	DW Compliance (1)	WW / CS Compliance (1)	Flow Moratorium (4)	DW Pop / Mile (1)	Sewer Pop / Mile (1)	Rate (2019 inside) \$/5000 gal (1)	Revenue Outlook (4)	Debt Service Coverage Ratio (1)	Surplus (deficit) w/ Debt (2)	No Debt DSCR Test (1)	% Depreciated (1)	Operating Margin (1)	Quick Ratio	Receivables Ratio	Transfers In (1)	Transfers Out (1)
Burlington	BOTH		3	3	0		1													1			1		
Cajah's Mountain	WW		3	3	0	1													1	1					
Caldwell County	DW		3	3	0		1						1							1					
Chowan County	DW		3	3	0		1						1							1					
Cleveland	BOTH		3	3	0	1					1												1		
Columbus County	DW		3	3	0		1						1											1	
Dallas	BOTH		3	3	0	1	1						1												
Dunn	BOTH		3	3	0	1	1						1												
Elizabeth City	BOTH		3	3	0		1							1									1		
Elkin	DW		3	3	0	1							1							1					
Farmville	BOTH		3	3	0	1	1						1												
Franklin	BOTH		3	3	0	1							1	1											
Franklin County	BOTH		3	3	0								1		1								1		
Gibsonville	BOTH		3	3	0	1													1					1	
Harmony	WW		3	3	0	1								1							1				
Henderson County	WW		3	3	0	1								1									1		
Hickory	BOTH		3	3	0								1	1										1	
Hillsborough	BOTH		3	3	0	1							1		1										
Kings Mountain	BOTH		3	3	0		1							1						1					
Laurinburg	BOTH		3	3	0		1						1							1					
Leland	BOTH		3	3	0								1	1										1	
Lenoir	BOTH		3	3	0						1		1	1											
Lincoln County	BOTH		3	3	0								1	1										1	
Locust	WW		3	3	0	1								1	1										
Maggie Valley	WW		3	3	0	1								1									1		
Marshville	BOTH		3	3	0	1							1		1										
Mcadenville	BOTH		3	3	0	1								1										1	
Morehead City	BOTH		3	3	0	1	1							1											
Mount Airy	BOTH		3	3	0								1	1						1					
Mount Holly	BOTH		3	3	0								1							1				1	
Oxford	BOTH		3	3	0	1	1																1		
Pembroke	BOTH		3	3	0	1	1						1												
Pine Knoll Shores	DW		3	3	0	1							1										1		
Richmond County	DW		3	3	0		1						1							1					
River Bend	BOTH		3	3	0	1											1			1					
Sampson County	DW		3	3	0		1						1										1		
Sawmills	BOTH		3	3	0	1	1							1											
Seven Springs			3	3	0	1	1														1				
Shalotte	BOTH		3	3	0	1							1	1											
Teachey	BOTH		3	3	0	1								1							1				
Thomasville	BOTH		3	3	0		1					1								1					
Troutman	BOTH		3	3	0	1							1												
Union County	BOTH		3	3	0																		1	1	
Weaverville	DW		3	3	0	1							1										1		
White Lake	BOTH		3	3	0	1							1	1											
Whitsett			3	3	0	1													1		1		LimitedData		
Wrightsville Beach	BOTH		3	3	0	1							1							1					
Public Work Commission of the City of Fayetteville	BOTH		2	4	2		NoData							NoData									1	1	
Tuckaseegee Water and Sewer Authority	BOTH		2	4	2	1	NoData						1	NoData											
Dare County	BOTH		2	3	1								1	NoData											
Harkers Island Sanitary District	DW		2	3	1	1	NoData													1					
Junaluska Sanitary District	BOTH		2	3	1	1	NoData						1												
Onslow Water and Sewer Authority	BOTH		2	3	1		NoData						1										1		
Pasquotank County	BOTH		2	3	1		1						1	NoData											
Southeast Brunswick Sanitary District	WW		2	3	1	1	NoData							1											
Bessemer City	BOTH		2	2	0	1	1																		
Brunswick County	BOTH		2	2	0								1												
Canton	BOTH		2	2	0	1							1												
Clyde	BOTH		2	2	0	1																			
Gastonia	BOTH		2	2	0		1																1		
Graham	BOTH		2	2	0		1							1											

* D = Previously designated as distressed; 1 = LGC Assumed Control; 2 = No audit last 2 yrs; 3 = Score based; 4 = Other

Agenda Item G. Attachment 3 - Assessment Score

Local Government Unit	Utility Type	Distressed ID Category*	Assessment Score	Score + Missing	Missing / Limited Data #	Service Population (1)	Affordability (1)	2 Missing Audits	UAL Control - W/S only (3)	DW Compliance (1)	WW / CS Compliance (1)	Flow Moratorium (4)	DW Pop / Mile (1)	Sewer Pop / Mile (1)	Rate (2019 inside) \$/5000 gal (1)	Revenue Outlook (4)	Debt Service Coverage Ratio (1)	Surplus (deficit) w/ Debt (2)	No Debt DSCR Test (1)	% Depreciated (1)	Operating Margin (1)	Quick Ratio	Receivables Ratio	Transfers In (1)	Transfers Out (1)
Halifax County	DW		2	2	0		1						1												
Havelock	BOTH		2	2	0		1				1														
Hendersonville	BOTH		2	2	0						1		1												
High Point	BOTH		2	2	0		1												1						
Hoke County	BOTH		2	2	0		1							1											
Jacksonville	BOTH		2	2	0														1				1		
Kannapolis	BOTH		2	2	0												1						1		
King	BOTH		2	2	0	1							1											1	
Kinston	BOTH		2	2	0		1																	1	
Kure Beach	BOTH		2	2	0	1																	1		
Mars Hill	BOTH		2	2	0	1							1												
Moore County	BOTH		2	2	0								1											1	
New Bern	BOTH		2	2	0		1							1											
Oakboro	BOTH		2	2	0	1														1					
Ocean Isle Beach	BOTH		2	2	0	1							1												
Pamlico County	DW		2	2	0		1						1												
Reidsville	BOTH		2	2	0		1																	1	
Rocky Mount	BOTH		2	2	0		1													1					
Salisbury	BOTH		2	2	0		1													1					
Seven Devils	DW		2	2	0	1							1												
Southern Pines	BOTH		2	2	0								1	1											
Stantonsburg	BOTH		2	2	0	1	1																		
Statesville	BOTH		2	2	0								1	1											
Stanly Water & Sewer Authority	BOTH		1	6	5	NoData	NoData						NoData	NoData	NoData							1	LimitedData		
Southern Wayne Sanitary District	BOTH		1	5	4	NoData	NoData						NoData	NoData						1					
Western Bay River Metropolitan Sewer District			1	5	4	NoData	NoData												1		NoData	NoData	LimitedData		
Bermuda Run	BOTH		1	3	2	1							NoData	NoData											
Piedmont Triad Regional Water Authority	BULK		1	3	2	1	NoData																	LimitedData	
Broad River Water Authority	DW		1	2	1		NoData						1												
Brunswick Regional Water and Sewer H2GO	BOTH		1	2	1		NoData																1		
Cabarrus County Water & Sewer Authority	BULK		1	2	1		NoData														1		LimitedData		
Cape Fear Public Utility Authority	BOTH		1	2	1		NoData				1														
Cleveland County Water	DW		1	2	1		NoData						1												
Greenville Utilities Commission	BOTH		1	2	1	1	NoData																		
Roanoke Rapids Sanitary District	BOTH		1	2	1		NoData				1														
Seagrove-Ulah Metropolitan Water District			1	2	1	1	NoData																LimitedData		
South Granville Water and Sewer Authority	BOTH		1	2	1		NoData								1										
Angier	BOTH		1	1	0	1																			
Apex	BOTH		1	1	0																1				
Archdale	BOTH		1	1	0		1																		
Asheville	DW		1	1	0								1												
Black Mountain	DW		1	1	0	1																			
Boone	BOTH		1	1	0																		1		
Carolina Beach	BOTH		1	1	0	1																			
Durham	BOTH		1	1	0																			1	
Durham County	WW		1	1	0																1				
Greensboro	BOTH		1	1	0		1																		
Holly Springs	BOTH		1	1	0																			1	
Jamestown	BOTH		1	1	0	1																		1	
Mebane	BOTH		1	1	0																			1	
Monroe	BOTH		1	1	0		1																		
Raleigh	BOTH		1	1	0																			1	
Smithfield	BOTH		1	1	0															1					
Wilson	BOTH		1	1	0		1																		
Winston-Salem	BOTH		1	1	0		1																		
Winterville	BOTH		1	1	0	1																			
Southeastern Wayne Sanitary District	BOTH		0	5	5	NoData	NoData						NoData	NoData	NoData								LimitedData		
Belfast/Patetown Sanitary District	DW		0	4	4	NoData	NoData						NoData		NoData								LimitedData		
Contentnea Metropolitan Sewage District	BULK		0	2	2	NoData	NoData																LimitedData		
Neuse Regional Water & Sewer Authority	BULK		0	2	2		NoData														NoData		LimitedData		
Northwestern Wayne Sanitary District			0	2	2	NoData	NoData																LimitedData		

* D = Previously designated as distressed; 1 = LGC Assumed Control; 2 = No audit last 2 yrs; 3 = Score based; 4 = Other

Agenda Item G. Attachment 3 - Assessment Score

Local Government Unit	Utility Type	Distressed ID Category*	Assessment Score	Score + Missing	Missing / Limited Data #	Service Population (1)	Affordability (1)	2 Missing Audits	UAL Control - W/S only (3)	DW Compliance (1)	WW / CS Compliance (1)	Flow Moratorium (4)	DW Pop / Mile (1)	Sewer Pop / Mile (1)	Rate (2019 inside) \$/5000 gal (1)	Revenue Outlook (4)	Debt Service Coverage Ratio (1)	Surplus (deficit) w/ Debt (2)	No Debt DSCR Test (1)	% Depreciated (1)	Operating Margin (1)	Quick Ratio	Receivables Ratio	Transfers In (1)	Transfers Out (1)
Buncombe County Metropolitan Sewerage District	WW		0	1	1		NoData																		
Orange Water & Sewer Authority	BOTH		0	1	1		NoData																		
Cary	BOTH		0	0	0																				
Charlotte	BOTH		0	0	0																				
Concord	BOTH		0	0	0																				
Fuquay-Varina	BOTH		0	0	0																				
Harrisburg	BOTH		0	0	0																				
Mooreville	BOTH		0	0	0																				

* D = Previously designated as distressed; 1 = LGC Assumed Control; 2 = No audit last 2 yrs; 3 = Score based; 4 = Other